

## ANNALES

### DE

# L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Jean-Louis CLERC

Covariant bi-differential operators on matrix space

Tome 67, nº 4 (2017), p. 1427-1455.

<http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\_2017\_\_67\_4\_1427\_0>



© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2017, *Certains droits réservés.* 

Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 3.0 FRANCE. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/fr/

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (http://aif.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://aif.cedram.org/legal/).

## cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques http://www.cedram.org/

#### COVARIANT BI-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON MATRIX SPACE

#### by Jean-Louis CLERC

ABSTRACT. — A family of bi-differential operators from  $C^{\infty}(\operatorname{Mat}(m,\mathbb{R}) \times \operatorname{Mat}(m,\mathbb{R}))$  into  $C^{\infty}(\operatorname{Mat}(m,\mathbb{R}))$  which are covariant for the projective action of the group  $SL(2m,\mathbb{R})$  on  $\operatorname{Mat}(m,\mathbb{R})$  is constructed, generalizing both the transvectants and the Rankin–Cohen brackets (case m = 1).

RÉSUMÉ. — On construit une famille d'opérateurs bi-différentiels de  $C^{\infty}(\operatorname{Mat}(m,\mathbb{R}) \times \operatorname{Mat}(m,\mathbb{R}))$  dans  $C^{\infty}(\operatorname{Mat}(m,\mathbb{R}))$  qui sont covariants pour l'action projective du groupe  $SL(2m,\mathbb{R})$  sur  $\operatorname{Mat}(m,\mathbb{R})$ . Dans le cas m = 1, cette construction fournit une nouvelle approche des transvectants et des crochets de Rankin–Cohen.

#### Introduction

Let  $X = Gr(m, 2m, \mathbb{R})$  the Grassmannian of *m*-planes in  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ , and consider the projective action of the group  $G = SL(2m, \mathbb{R})$  on X, given for  $g \in G$  and  $p \in X$  by  $g.p = \{gv, v \in p\}$ . Choose an origin o and let P be the stabilizer of o in G. The group P is a maximal parabolic subgroup and  $X \sim G/P$ . The characters  $\chi_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  of P are indexed by  $(\lambda, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$ . For  $(\lambda, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$ , let  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}$ , be the corresponding representation induced from P, realized on the space  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  of smooth sections of the line bundle  $E_{\lambda,\epsilon} = X \times_{P,\chi_{\lambda,\epsilon}} \mathbb{C}$  (degenerate principal series). For the purpose of this paper, it is more convenient to work with the noncompact realization of  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  on a space  $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  of smooth functions on  $V = \operatorname{Mat}(m, \mathbb{R})$ .

The Knapp–Stein intertwining operators form a meromorphic family (in  $\lambda$ ) of operators which intertwines  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  and  $\pi_{2m-\lambda,\epsilon}$  (in our notation). In the non compact picture, for generic  $\lambda$ , the corresponding operators,

Keywords: Covariant differential operators, Knapp–Stein intertwining operators, Zeta functional equation, transvectants, Rankin–Cohen brackets. Math. classification: 22E45, 58J70.

denoted by  $J_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  are convolution operators on V by certain tempered distributions. The properties of this family of operators are presented in Section 3 and are mostly consequences of the theory of *local zeta functions* and their functional equation on (the simple real Jordan algebra) V. Incidentally, the results for  $\epsilon = -1$  seem to be new, at least in the present form.

Let  $(\lambda, \epsilon), (\mu, \eta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$  and consider the tensor product  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}$ , realized (after completion) on a space  $\mathcal{H}_{(\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta)}$  of smooth functions on  $V \times V$ . Because of the covariance property (see (1.9)) of the kernel k(x, y) =det(x-y) under the diagonal action of G on  $V \times V$ , the multiplication M by det(x-y) intertwines  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}$  and  $\pi_{\lambda-1,-\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu-1,-\eta}$  (Proposition 4.2).

Let  $(\lambda, \epsilon), (\mu, \eta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$  and consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_{(\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta)} & \xrightarrow{?} & \mathcal{H}_{(\lambda+1,-\epsilon),(\mu+1,-\eta)} \\ & \downarrow^{J_{\lambda,\epsilon}\otimes J_{\mu,\eta}} & \downarrow^{J_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon}\otimes J_{\mu+1,-\eta}} \\ \mathcal{H}_{(2m-\lambda,\epsilon),(2m-\mu,\eta)} & \xrightarrow{M} & \mathcal{H}_{(2m-\lambda-1,-\epsilon),(2m-\mu-1,-\eta)} \end{array}$$

The main result of the paper is a (rather explicit) construction of a differential operator on  $V \times V$  which completes the diagram (Theorem 4.1). The proof uses the Fourier transform on V and some delicate calculation specific to the matrix space V, based in particular on Bernstein–Sato's identities for  $(\det x)^s$  (Section 2). Up to some normalization factors, this yields a family of differential operators  $D_{\lambda,\mu}$  with polynomial coefficients on  $V \times V$ , covariant w.r.t.  $(\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}, \pi_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu+1,-\eta}))$ . Their expression does not depend on  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$ , and the family depends holomorphically on  $(\lambda, \mu)$ . See also Theorem 4.4 for a formulation of the same result in the compact picture.

From this result, it is then easy to construct families of projectively covariant bi-differential operators from  $C^{\infty}(V \times V)$  into  $C^{\infty}(V)$ . For any integer k, define

$$B_{\lambda,\mu\,;\,k} = \operatorname{res} \circ D_{\lambda+k,\mu+k} \circ \cdots \circ D_{\lambda+1,\mu+1} \circ D_{\lambda,\mu}$$

where res is the restriction map from  $V \times V$  to the diagonal diag $(V \times V) \sim V$ . Clearly,  $B_{\lambda,\mu;k}$  is *G*-covariant w.r.t.  $(\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}, \pi_{\lambda+\mu+2k,\epsilon\eta})$ . For *k* fixed, the family depends holomorphically on  $\lambda, \mu$  and is generically non trivial.

For m = 1, there is another classical construction of such projectively covariant bi-differential operators. The  $\Omega$ -process, a cornerstone in classical invariant theory leads to the construction of the transvectants, which are covariant bi-differential operators for special values of the parameters  $\lambda$ and  $\mu$  connected to the finite-dimensional representations of  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . The Rankin–Cohen brackets, much used in the theory of modular forms, are other examples of such covariant bi-differential operators, for special values of  $(\lambda, \mu)$  connected to the holomorphic discrete series of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . There is a vast literature about Rankin–Cohen brackets, see e.g. [6, 7, 21, 22, 23].

In case m = 1, it has been observed later (see e.g. [16]) that the  $\Omega$ -process can be extended to general  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , yielding both the transvectants and the Rankin–Cohen brackets as special cases. As computations are easy when m = 1, the present construction can be shown to coincide with the approach through the  $\Omega$ -process, and the operators  $B_{\lambda,\mu;k}$  for special of values of  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , essentially coincide with the transvectants or the Rankin–Cohen brackets. For another related but different point of view see [13] (specially Section 9) or [12]. The situation where  $m \ge 2$  is further commented in Section 6. Although not directly related to the present approach, it might be worth to mention the papers [17] and [10], for other approaches to multivariable analogues of Rankin–Cohen brackets.

The striking fact that the operator  $D_{\lambda,\mu}$ , although obtained by composing non-local operators, is a differential operator (hence local) was already observed in another geometric context, namely for conformal geometry on the sphere  $S^d, d \ge 3$  (see [2, 5]). It seems reasonable to conjecture that similar results are valid for any (real or complex) simple Jordan algebra and its conformal group (see [1] for analysis on these spaces).

The author wishes to thank T. Kobayashi for helpful conversations related to this paper.

#### **1.** The degenerate principal series for $Gr(m, 2m, \mathbb{R})$

Let  $X = Gr(m, 2m; \mathbb{R})$  be the Grassmannian of *m*-dimensional vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ . The group  $G = SL(2m, \mathbb{R})$  acts transitively on X.

Let  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_{2m})$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$  and let

$$p_0 = \bigoplus_{j=m+1}^{2m} \mathbb{R}\epsilon_j, \qquad p_\infty = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathbb{R}\epsilon_j.$$

The stabilizer of  $p_0$  in G is the parabolic subgroup P given by

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \ a, d \in GL(m, \mathbb{R}), \ \det a \det d = 1 \right\},$$

and  $X \simeq G/P$ .

Two subspaces p and q in X are said to be transverse if  $p \cap q = \{0\}$ , and this relation is denoted by  $p \pitchfork q$ . Let  $\mathcal{O} = \{p \in X, p \pitchfork p_{\infty}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}$  is a dense open subset of X. Any subspace p transverse to  $p_{\infty}$  can be realized as the graph of some linear map  $x : p_0 \longrightarrow p_{\infty}$ , and vice versa. More explicitly, any  $p \in \mathcal{O}$  can be realized as

$$p = p_x = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x\xi \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m \right\},$$

where  $\xi$  is interpreted as a column vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and x is viewed as an element of  $V = \operatorname{Mat}(m, \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $g \in G$  and  $x \in V$ . The element  $g \in G$  is said to be defined at x if  $g.p_x \in \mathcal{O}$  and then g(x) is defined by  $p_{g(x)} = g.p_x$ . More explicitly, if  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , then

$$g.p_x = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (ax+b)\,\xi\\ (cx+d)\,\xi \end{pmatrix}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m \right\},\,$$

so that g is defined at x iff (cx + d) is invertible, and then

$$g(x) = (ax+b)(cx+d)^{-1}$$
.

Define  $\alpha: G \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

(1.1) 
$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \alpha(g, x) = \det(cx + d).$$

The following elementary calculation is left to the reader.

LEMMA 1.1. — Let  $g, g' \in G$  and  $x \in V$ , and assume that g' is defined at x and g is defined at g'(x). Then gg' is defined at x and

(1.2) 
$$\alpha(gg', x) = \alpha(g, g'(x))\alpha(g', x).$$

The map  $x \mapsto p_x$  is a homeomorphism of V onto  $\mathcal{O}$ . The reciprocal of this map  $\kappa : \mathcal{O} \to V$  is a local chart, thereafter called the *principal chart*. For any  $g \in G$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_g = g^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$  and  $\kappa_g : \mathcal{O}_g \longrightarrow V$  defined by  $\kappa_g = \kappa \circ g$ . Then  $(\mathcal{O}_g, \kappa_g)_{g \in G}$  is an atlas for X.

Let 
$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$$
. Then  
 $V_g := \kappa(\mathcal{O}_g \cap \mathcal{O}) = \{x \in V, \det(cx+d) \neq 0\},$ 

and the change of coordinates between the charts  $\mathcal O$  and  $\mathcal O_g$  is given by

$$V_g \ni x \quad \longmapsto g(x) = (ax+b)(cx+d)^{-1}$$

The group P admits the Langlands decomposition  $P = L \ltimes N$ , where

$$L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \det a \det d = 1 \right\}, \qquad N = \left\{ t_v = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ v & \mathbf{1}_m \end{pmatrix}, v \in V \right\}.$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

The group L acts on V by

$$l = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad l(x) = axd^{-1}.$$

Let

$$\overline{N} = \left\{ \overline{n}_y = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & y \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_m \end{pmatrix}, \ y \in V \right\} \sim V$$

be the opposite unipotent subgroup. The subgroup  $\overline{N}$  acts on V by translations, i.e.  $\overline{n}_y(x) = x + y$  for  $y \in V$ .

Let  $\iota = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_m \\ -\mathbf{1}_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  be the *inversion*. It is defined on the open set  $V^{\times}$  of invertible matrices and acts by  $\iota(x) = -x^{-1}$ . Its differential  $D\iota(x)$  is given by  $V \ni u \longmapsto D\iota(x)u = x^{-1}ux^{-1}$ .

It is a well-known result that G is generated by  $L, \overline{N}$  and  $\iota$  (a special case of a theorem valid for the *conformal group* of a simple (real or complex) Jordan algebra).

An element  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$  belongs to  $\overline{N}P$  iff det  $d \neq 0$  and then the following Bruhat decomposition holds

(1.3) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & bd^{-1} \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a - bd^{-1}c & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\chi$  be the character of P defined by

(1.4) 
$$P \ni p = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \chi(p) = \det a = (\det d)^{-1}.$$

LEMMA 1.2. — Let  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G, x \in V$  and assume that g is defined at x.

(1) the differential Dg(x) belongs to L

(2) 
$$\chi(Dg(x)) = \alpha(g, x)^{-1}$$

(3) the Jacobian of g at x is equal to

(1.5) 
$$j(g,x) = \chi (Dg(x))^{2m} = \alpha(g,x)^{-2m}$$

*Proof.* — By elementary calculation, the statements are verified for elements of N, L and for  $\iota$ . As these elements generate G, the conclusion follows by using the cocycle relations satisfied by  $\alpha(g, x)$  (see (1.2)) and by  $\chi(Dg(x) \text{ or } j(g, x)$  as consequences of the chain rule.

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\epsilon \in \{\pm\}$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$  let  $t^{\lambda, \epsilon}$  be defined by

$$t \longmapsto \begin{cases} |t|^{\lambda} & \text{if } \epsilon = +\\ \operatorname{sgn}(t)|t|^{\lambda} & \text{if } \epsilon = - \end{cases}$$

The map  $t \mapsto t^{\lambda,\epsilon}$  is a smooth character of  $\mathbb{R}^*$ , and any smooth character is of this form.

Let  $\chi^{\lambda,\epsilon}$  be the character of P defined by

$$\chi^{\lambda,\epsilon}(p) = \chi(p)^{\lambda,\epsilon}.$$

Let  $E_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  be the line bundle over X = G/P associated to the character  $\chi^{\lambda,\epsilon}$  of P. Let  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  be the space of smooth sections of  $E_{\lambda,\epsilon}$ . Then G acts on  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  by the natural action on the sections of  $E_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  and gives raise to a representation  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  of G on  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$ .

A smooth section of  $E_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  can be realized as a smooth function F on G which satisfies

$$F(gp) = \chi(p^{-1})^{\lambda,\epsilon} F(g) \,.$$

To each such function F, associate its restriction to  $\overline{N}$ , which can be viewed as a function f on V defined for  $y \in V$  by

$$f(y) = F(\overline{n}_y) = F\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & y\\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_m \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Using the Bruhat decomposition (1.3), the function F can be recovered from f as

$$F\left(\begin{pmatrix}a&b\\c&d\end{pmatrix}\right) = (\det d)^{\lambda,\epsilon} f(bd^{-1}).$$

The formula is valid for  $g \in \overline{NP}$  and extends by continuity to all of G.

This yields the realization of  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  in the noncompact picture, namely for  $g \in G$ , such that  $g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(g)f(y) = \left(\det(cy+d)^{-1}\right)^{\lambda,\epsilon}f\left((ay+b)(cy+d)^{-1}\right)$ 

$$\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(g)f(y) = \left(\det(cy+d)^{-1}\right)^{\lambda,\epsilon}f\left((ay+b)(cy+d)^{-1}\right) \\ = \alpha(g^{-1},y)^{-\lambda,\epsilon}f(g^{-1}(y)).$$

In the noncompact picture, the representation  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  is defined on the image  $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  by the principal chart. The local expression of an element of  $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  is a function  $f \in C^{\infty}(V)$ . For  $g \in G$ , the function  $x \mapsto (\alpha(g,x)^{-1})^{-\lambda,\epsilon} f(g(x))$  is a priori defined on the (dense open) subset  $\mathcal{O}_g$ 

of V. Hence a (rather nasty) characterization of the space is as follows : a smooth function f on V belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  if and only if,

(1.6) 
$$\forall g \in G, \quad x \mapsto \left(\alpha(g, x)^{-1}\right)^{-\lambda, \epsilon} f(g(x))$$
  
extends as a  $C^{\infty}$  function on  $V$ .

Let  $(\lambda, \epsilon), (\mu, \eta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$ , and let  $\pi_{\lambda, \epsilon} \boxtimes \pi_{\mu, \eta}$  be the corresponding product representation of  $G \times G$ . The space of the representation  $\mathcal{E}_{(\lambda, \epsilon), (\mu, \eta)}$  (after completion) is the space of smooth sections of the fiber bundle  $E_{\lambda, \epsilon} \boxtimes E_{\mu, \eta}$ over  $X \times X$ . For the non-compact realization, observe that  $\mathcal{O}^2 = \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}$  is an open dense set in  $X \times X$ . For any  $g \in G$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_g^2$  be the image of  $\mathcal{O}^2$  under the diagonal action of  $g^{-1}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_g^2 = \{g(x), g(y), x \in \mathcal{O}, y \in \mathcal{O}\}$ . Then the family  $(\mathcal{O}_g^2, g \in G)$  is a covering of  $X \times X$ . Using the corresponding atlas, the local expressions in the principal chart  $\kappa \otimes \kappa : \mathcal{O}^2 \to V \times V$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{(\lambda, \epsilon), (\mu, \eta)}$ is the space  $\mathcal{H}_{(\lambda, \epsilon), (\mu, \eta)}$  of  $C^{\infty}$  functions f on  $V \times V$  such that, for any  $g \in G$ 

(1.7) 
$$\alpha(g,x)^{-\lambda,\epsilon} f(g(x),g(y)) \alpha(g,y)^{-\mu,\eta}$$

extends as a  $C^{\infty}$  function on  $V \times V$ .

The group  $G \times G$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}_{(\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta)}$  by

(1.8) 
$$(\pi_{\lambda} \boxtimes \pi_{\mu})(g_1, g_2) f(x, y)$$
  
=  $\alpha(g_1^{-1}, x)^{-\lambda, \epsilon} \alpha(g_2^{-1}, y)^{-\mu, \eta} f(g_1^{-1}(x), g_2^{-1}(y)).$ 

LEMMA 1.3. — Let  $g \in G$ ,  $x, y \in V$  such that g is defined at x and at y. Then

(1.9) 
$$\det (g(x) - g(y)) = \alpha(g, x)^{-1} \det(x - y) \alpha(g, y)^{-1}.$$

Proof. — If  $g \in \overline{N}$ , g acts by translations on V and hence (1.9) is trivial. If  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $g(x) - g(y) = a(x - y)d^{-1}$ ,  $\alpha(g, x) = \alpha(g, y) = det a^{-1} det d$  and (1.9) is easily verified. When  $g = \iota$ , then

$$det(-x^{-1} + y^{-1}) = det(x^{-1}(x - y)y^{-1}) = det x^{-1} det(x - y) det y^{-1}$$
$$\forall v \in V, \quad D\iota(x)v = x^{-1}vx^{-1}, \qquad \alpha(\iota, x) = det x$$

and (1.9) follows easily. The cocycle property (1.2) satisfied by  $\alpha$  and the fact that G is generated by  $\overline{N}$ , L and  $\iota$  imply (1.9) in full generality.  $\Box$ 

PROPOSITION 1.4. — The function  $k(x,y) = \det(x-y)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{(-1,-),(-1,-)}$  and is invariant under the diagonal action of G.

*Proof.* — Let  $x, y \in V$  and  $g \in G$  defined at x and y. (1.9) implies

$$\alpha(g, x)k(g(x), g(y))\alpha(g, y) = k(x, y)$$

which shows that k belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{(-1,-),(1,-)}$  by the criterion (1.7). Further apply (1.8) for  $g_1 = g_2 = g$  to get the invariance of k under the diagonal action of G.

#### **2.** Some functional identities in $Mat(m, \mathbb{C})$ and $Mat(m, \mathbb{R})$

Let  $(\mathbb{E}, (.,.))$  be a complex finite dimensional Hilbert space. To any holomorphic polynomial p on  $\mathbb{E}$ , associate the holomorphic differential operator  $p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)$  defined by

$$p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) e^{(z,\xi)} = p\left(\overline{\xi}\right) e^{(z,\xi)} .$$

Let  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  is an orthonormal basis, with corresponding coordinates  $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$ . For  $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)$  a *n*-tuple of integers, set

$$z^{I} = z_{1}^{i_{1}} z_{2}^{i_{2}} \dots z_{n}^{i_{n}}, \qquad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{I} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}\right)^{i_{1}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}\right)^{i_{2}} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n}}\right)^{i_{n}}.$$

Let  $p(z) = \sum_{|I| \leqslant N} a_I z^I$  be a holomorphic polynomial on  $\mathbb E.$  Then

$$p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = \sum_{|I| \leqslant N} a_I \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^I.$$

Let  $(E, \langle ., . \rangle)$  be a finite dimensional Euclidean vector space. To any polynomial p on E associate the differential operator  $p(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})$  such that

$$p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)e^{\langle x,\xi\rangle} = p(\xi)e^{\langle x,\xi\rangle}.$$

LEMMA 2.1. — Let  $(\mathbb{E}, (.,.))$  be a complex finite dimensional Hilbert space, and let  $(E, \langle .,. \rangle)$  be a real form of  $\mathbb{E}$  such that

$$\forall x, y \in E, \quad (x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle.$$

Let p be a holomorphic polynomial on  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $\omega = \mathcal{O} \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . Let f be a holomorphic function f on  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then for  $x \in \omega$ 

(2.1) 
$$p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)f(x) = p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)f_{|\omega}(x).$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Now let  $\mathbb{E} = \operatorname{Mat}(m, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{V}$  with the inner product  $(z, w) = \operatorname{tr} zw^*$ . The restriction of this inner product to the real form  $E = \operatorname{Herm}(m, \mathbb{C})$  is equal to

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{tr} xy^* = \operatorname{tr} xy = \operatorname{tr} y^t x^t = \operatorname{tr} \overline{yx} = \overline{\operatorname{tr} xy} = \overline{\operatorname{tr} xy^*} = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle}$$

and conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. Denote by  $\Omega_m \subset E$  the open cone of positive-definite Hermitian matrices.

Let  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{V}$ , let  $\Delta_k(z)$  be the principal minor of order k of the matrix z. Let  $\Delta_k^c(z)$  be the (m-k) anti-principal minor of z. Both  $\Delta_k$  and  $\Delta_k^c$  are holomorphic polynomials on  $\mathbb{V}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{V}^{\times}$  be the set of invertible matrices in  $\mathbb{V}$ . Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{V}^{\times}$ . Choose a local determination of  $\ln \det z$  on a neighborhood of  $z_0$ , and, for  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  define  $(\det z)^s = e^{s \ln \det z}$  accordingly. Any other local determination of  $\ln \det z$  is of the form  $\ln \det z + 2ik\pi$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the associated local determination of  $(\det z)^s$  is given by  $e^{2ik\pi s} (\det z)^s$ .

Recall the Pochhammer's symbol, for  $s \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$(s)_0 = 1$$
,  $(s)_1 = s$ , ...  $(s)_n = s(s+1)...(s+n-1)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.2. — For any  $z \in \mathbb{V}^{\times}$  and for any local determination of  $\ln \det$  in a neighborhood of z

(2.2) 
$$\Delta_k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) (\det z)^s = (s)_k \,\Delta_k^c(z) \,(\det z)^{s-1}.$$

Proof. — Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{V}^{\times}$ . Choose an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of z contained in  $\mathbb{V}^{\times}$  which is simply connected and such that  $\mathcal{V} \cap \Omega_m \neq \emptyset$ . On  $\Omega_m$ , det x > 0 so that Ln det z (where Ln is the principal determination of the logarithm on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ ) is an appropriate determination of ln det z in a neighborhood of  $\Omega_m$ , which can be analytically continued to  $\mathcal{V}$  and used for defining  $(\det z)^s$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ . For  $x \in \Omega_m$ , the identity

$$\Delta_k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) (\det x)^s = (s)_k \,\Delta_k^c(x) \,(\det x)^{s-1}$$

holds. It is a special case of [8, Proposition VII.1.6] for the simple Euclidean Jordan algebra  $Herm(m, \mathbb{C})$ . By Lemma 2.1, (2.2) is satisfied for  $z \in \mathcal{V} \cap Herm(m, \mathbb{C})$ . As both sides of (2.2) are holomorphic functions, (2.2) yields everywhere on  $\mathcal{V}$ . But if (2.2) is valid for some local determination of  $\ln \det z$  it is valid for any local determination.

There is a real version of these identities.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** — The following identity holds for  $x \in V^{\times}$ 

(2.3) 
$$\Delta_k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) (\det x)^{s,\epsilon} = (s)_k \Delta_k^c(x) (\det x)^{s-1,-\epsilon}.$$

Proof. — Let  $x \in V^{\times}$  and assume first that det x > 0. In a neighbourhood of x in  $\mathbb{V}^{\times}$  choose  $\operatorname{Ln}(\det z)$  as a local determination of  $\ln(\det z)$ . Then  $(\det x)^s = |\det x|^s$  and hence, using Lemma 2.1 and (2.2)

$$\Delta_k\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left|\det x\right|^s = (s)_k \,\Delta_k^c(x) \left|\det x\right|^{s-1}$$

Next assume that det x < 0. In a neighborhood of x in  $\mathbb{V}^{\times}$  choose  $\operatorname{Ln}(-\det z) + i\pi$  as a local determination of  $\ln(\det z)$ . Then  $(\det x)^s = e^{is\pi} |\det x|^s$ , so that, using again Lemma 2.1 and (2.2)

$$e^{is\pi}\Delta_k\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left|\det x\right|^s = e^{i(s-1)\pi}(s)_k\Delta_k^c(x)\left|\det x\right|^{s-1}.$$

The identity (2.3) follows.

Let  $a = (a_{ij})$  be a  $m \times m$  matrix with real or complex entries  $a_{ij}$ . Let I and J be two subsets of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  both of cardinality  $k, 0 \leq k \leq m$ . After deleting the m - k rows (resp. the m - k columns) corresponding to the indices not in I (resp. not in J), the determinant of the  $k \times k$  remaining matrix is the minor associated to (I, J) and will be denoted by  $\Delta_{I,J}(a)$ . For k = 0, i.e.  $I = J = \emptyset$ , by convention  $\Delta_{\emptyset,\emptyset}(a) = 1$ . For k = m,  $I = J = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}, \Delta_{I,J}(a) = \det a$ .

For  $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k\}$ , let  $|I| = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k$ . Also denote by  $I^c$  the complement of I in  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ , which is a subset of cardinality m - k. Recall the following elementary result.

LEMMA 2.4. — Let  $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k\}$  be a subset of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  of cardinality k. Let  $I^c = \{i'_1 < i'_2 < \cdots < i'_{m-k}\}$ . The permutation  $\sigma_I$  defined by

$$\sigma_I(1) = i_1, \dots, \sigma_I(k) = i_k, \quad \sigma_I(k+1) = i'_1, \dots, \sigma_I(m) = i'_{m-k}$$

has signature equal to  $\epsilon(\sigma_I) = (-1)^{|I|}$ .

The next lemma is a variation on (and a consequence of) the previous lemma.

LEMMA 2.5. — Let  $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k\}, \quad J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k\}$  be two subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  both of cardinality k. Let

 $I^c = \{i_1' < i_2' < \dots < i_{m-k}'\}, \quad J^c = \{j_1' < j_2' < \dots < j_{m-k}'\}.$ 

The permutation  $\sigma = \sigma_{I,J}$  given by

$$\sigma(i_1) = j_1, \dots, \sigma(i_k) = j_k, \quad \sigma(i'_1) = j'_1, \dots, \sigma(i'_{m-k}) = j'_{m-k}$$

has signature  $\epsilon(I, J) := \epsilon(\sigma_{I,J}) = (-1)^{|I| + |J|}$ .

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

A permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(I) = J$  can be written in a unique way as  $\sigma = (\tau \lor \tau_c) \circ \sigma_{I,J}$ , where  $\tau$  is a permutation of J and  $\tau_c$  is a permutation of  $J^c$ , and  $\tau \lor \tau_c$  is the permutation of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  which coincides on J with  $\tau$  and on  $J^c$  with  $\tau_c$ .

PROPOSITION 2.6. — Let  $I, J \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  of equal cardinality k. Then, for  $x \in \mathbb{V}^{\times}$ 

(2.4) 
$$\partial(\Delta_{I,J})(\Delta^s)(x) = \epsilon(I,J)(s)_k \Delta_{I^c,J^c}(x) \Delta(x)^{s-1} .$$

*Proof.* — By permuting raws and columns properly, the minor  $\Delta_{I,J}$  becomes the k-th principal minor and  $\Delta^{I^c,J^c}$  becomes the m-k antiprincipal minor, up to a sign. Hence (2.4) is a consequence of (2.2) and Lemma 2.4.

PROPOSITION 2.7. — Let f, g be two smooth functions defined on  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then

(2.5) 
$$\det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)(fg) = \sum_{\substack{I,J \subset \{1,2,\dots,m\}\\ \#I = \#J}} \epsilon(I,J)\Delta_{I,J}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) f \Delta_{I^c,J^c}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) g$$

*Proof.* — For  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ 

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial a_{1\sigma(1)}\partial a_{2\sigma(2)}\dots\partial a_{m\sigma(m)}}(fg)$$
$$=\sum_{I\subset\{1,2,\dots,m\}}\left(\prod_{i\in I}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i\sigma(i)}}\right)f\left(\prod_{i\in I^c}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i\sigma(i)}}\right)g.$$

Now, given  $I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ ,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} = \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \\ \#J = \#I}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m \\ \sigma(I) = J}}$$

so that

$$\begin{split} \partial(\Delta)(fg) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \epsilon(\sigma) \sum_{I \subset \{1,2,\dots,m\}} \left( \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i\sigma(i)}} \right) f\left( \prod_{i \in I^c} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i\sigma(i)}} \right) g \\ &= \sum_{I \subset \{1,2,\dots,m\}} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,m\} \\ \#I = \#J}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m \\ \sigma(I) = J}} \epsilon(\sigma) \left( \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i\sigma(i)}} \right) f\left( \prod_{i \in I^c} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i\sigma(i)}} \right) g \;. \end{split}$$

Let

$$I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k\}, \quad J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k\}$$
$$I^c = \{i'_1 < i'_2, \dots < i'_{m-k}\}, \quad J^c = \{j'_1 < j'_2, \dots < j'_{m-k}\}.$$

As noted after the proof of Lemma 2.5, a permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(I) = J$  can be written in a unique way as

$$\sigma = (\tau \lor \tau_c) \circ \sigma_{I,J}$$

where  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}(J), \tau_c \in \mathfrak{S}(J^c)$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m \\ \sigma(I) = J}} \epsilon(\sigma) \left( \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i\sigma(i)}} \right) f\left( \prod_{i \in I^c} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i\sigma(i)}} \right) g \\ &= \epsilon(I, J) \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}(J)} \sum_{\tau_c \in \mathfrak{S}(J^c)} \epsilon(\tau) \epsilon(\tau_c) \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial a_{i_1\tau(j_1)} \dots \partial a_{i_k\tau(j_k)}} \\ &\qquad \times \frac{\partial^{m-k} g}{\partial a_{i'_1\tau_c(j'_1)} \dots \partial a_{i'_{m-k}\tau_c(j'_{m-k})}} \\ &= \epsilon(I, J) \Delta_{I,J} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) f \Delta_{I^c, J^c} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) g \,. \end{split}$$

Formula (2.5) follows by summing over I and J.

There is a similar relative result, allowing to compute 
$$\Delta_{I,J}(fg)$$
 for  $I, J$  two subsets of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ , both of cardinality  $k \leq m$ . Let

 $\Box$ 

$$I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k\}, \qquad J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k\}.$$

A subset  $P \subset I$  (resp.  $Q \subset J) of cardinality <math display="inline">l \leqslant k$  can be uniquely written as

$$P = \{i_{p_1} < i_{p_2}, \dots < i_{p_l}\}, \quad \text{resp. } Q = \{j_{q_1}, j_{q_2}, \dots, j_{q_l}\}.$$

 $\operatorname{Set}$ 

$$\epsilon(P:I,Q:J) = (-1)^{p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_l} (-1)^{q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_l} \,.$$

PROPOSITION 2.8. — Let I, J be two subsets of  $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$ , both of cardinality  $k \leq m$ . Let f, g be two smooth functions defined on  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then

(2.6) 
$$\Delta_{I,J}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)(fg) = \sum_{\substack{P \subset I \\ Q \subset J \\ \#P = \#Q}} \epsilon(P:I,Q:J) \Delta_{P,Q}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) f \Delta_{I \smallsetminus P,J \smallsetminus Q}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) g.$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

*Proof.* — In order to calculate the left hand side of (2.6), it is possible to "freeze" all variables  $x_{ij}$  for  $(i, j) \notin I \times J$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{V}$ , let

$$\mathbb{V}_{I,J}^{x} = \left\{ z = \left( \qquad z_{ij} \right) \in \operatorname{Mat}(m,\mathbb{C}), z_{ij} = x_{ij} \text{ for } (i,j) \notin I \times J \right\}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{V}_{I,J}^x \sim \operatorname{Mat}(k,\mathbb{C})$ . Now to compute the left hand side of (2.6) at x, apply (2.5) to the restrictions of f and g to  $\mathbb{V}_{I,J}^x$ .

PROPOSITION 2.9. — Let  $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then, for  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V})$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$ , such that  $x, y - x \in \mathbb{V}^{\times}$ 

(2.7) 
$$\det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \left(\det(x)^s \det(y-x)^t f(x,y)\right)$$
$$= \det(x)^{s-1} \det(y-x)^{t-1} \left(E_{s,t}f\right)(x,y)$$

where  $E_{s,t}$  is the differential operator on  $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$  given by

$$E_{s,t}f(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{\substack{I,J \subset \{1,2,\dots,m\}\\ \#I = \#J = k}} p_{I,J}(x,y;s,t) \,\Delta_{I^c,J^c}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) f(x,y)$$

where, for I, J of cardinality k

$$p_{I,J}(x,y;s,t) = \sum_{\substack{0 \le l \le k}} (-1)^l (s)_{(k-l)}(t)_l \\ \times \sum_{\substack{P \subset I, Q \subset J \\ \#P = \#Q = l}} \epsilon(P:I,Q:J) \,\Delta_{I^c \cup P, J^c \cup Q}(x) \,\Delta_{P^c,Q^c}(y-x) \,.$$

*Proof.* — Using (2.5), the statement is equivalent to, for any  $I, J \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}, \#I = \#J = k$ ,

$$\epsilon(I,J) \det(x)^{-s+1} \det(y-x)^{-t+1} \Delta_{I,J}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \left(\det(x)^s \det(y-x)^t\right)$$

a priori defined for  $x \in \mathbb{V}^{\times}, y - x \in \mathbb{V}^{\times}$  extends as a polynomial in (x, y) equal to  $p_{I,J}(x, y; s, t)$ .

Use (2.6) to obtain

$$\Delta_{I,J} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) (\det x)^s \left(\det(y-x)\right)^t$$
  
=  $\sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{\substack{P \subset I, Q \subset J \\ \#P = \#Q = l}} \epsilon(P : I, Q : J) \Delta_{I \smallsetminus P, J \smallsetminus Q} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) (\det x)^s$   
 $\times \Delta_{P,Q} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \left(\det(y-x)\right)^t.$ 

By (2.4),

$$\det(x)^{-s+1}\Delta_{I\smallsetminus P, J\smallsetminus Q}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)(\det x)^s$$
  
=  $\epsilon(I\smallsetminus P, J\smallsetminus Q)(s)_{k-l}\Delta_{I^c\cup P, J^c\cup Q}(x)$ .

Moreover, as any constant coefficients differential operator,  $\Delta_{K,L}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})$  commutes to translations, so that again by (2.4)

$$\det(y-x)^{-t+1}\Delta_{P,Q}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left(\det(y-x)\right)^{t} = \epsilon(P,Q)(-1)^{l}(t)_{l}\Delta_{P^{c},Q^{c}}(y-x).$$
  
Next, as  $|I \smallsetminus P| + |P| = |I|$  and  $|J \smallsetminus Q| + |Q| = |J|$ 

it, as  $|I \setminus P| + |P| = |I|$  and  $|J \setminus Q| + |Q| = |J|$ 

$$\epsilon(P,Q)\epsilon(I\smallsetminus P,J\smallsetminus Q)=\epsilon(I,J)\,.$$

It remains to gather all formulæ to finish the proof of Proposition 2.9.  $\Box$ 

Let p be a polynomial on  $\mathbb{V}$ , and let q be the polynomial on  $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$  given by q(x,y) = p(x-y). Let f be a function on  $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$ . Let g be the function on  $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$  defined by g(u, v) = f(u, v - u) or equivalently g(x, x + y) = f(x, y). Then

(2.8) 
$$\left(q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)f\right)(x,y) = \left(p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)g\right)(x,x+y).$$

In the sequel, for commodity reason, the operator  $q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$  will be denoted by  $p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$ 

PROPOSITION 2.10. — Let  $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$ . For any smooth function on  $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$ and for  $x, y \in \mathbb{V}^{\times}$ 

(2.9) 
$$\det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \left( (\det x)^s (\det y)^t f \right) (x, y) \\ = (\det x)^{s-1} (\det y)^{t-1} F_{s,t} f(x, y)$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

where  $F_{s,t}$  is the differential operator on  $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$  given by

$$F_{s,t}f(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{\substack{I,J \subset \{1,2,\dots,m\}\\ \#I = \#J = k}} q_{I,J}(x,y;s,t) \Delta_{I^c,J^c} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) f(x,y)$$

where, for I, J of cardinality k

*Proof.* — Apply the change of variable formula (2.8) to  $p = \det$ .

There is a real version of these identities and they are obtained by the same method used to prove the *real* Bernstein–Sato identities (see the proof of (2.3)).

PROPOSITION 2.11. — Let  $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$ . For any  $f \in C^{\infty}(V \times V)$  and  $x, y \in V^{\times}$ 

(2.10) 
$$\left[\det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\right] (\det x)^{s,\epsilon} (\det y)^{t,\eta} f(x,y) = (\det x)^{s-1,-\epsilon} (\det y)^{t-1,-\eta} F_{s,t} f(x,y).$$

#### 3. Knapp–Stein intertwining operators

The definition and properties of the Knapp–Stein intertwining operators to be introduced later in this section are based on the study of the two (families of) distributions  $(\det x)^{s,\epsilon}$ . In a different terminology, there are the local Zeta functions on  $\operatorname{Mat}(n,\mathbb{R})$ . Many authors contributed to the study of these distributions, more generally in the context of simple Jordan algebras or in the context of prehomogeneous vector spaces (see [3, 4, 9, 14, 18, 19, 20]). For the present situation [1] turned out to be the most complete and most useful reference.

Let first consider the case where  $\epsilon = +1$ , and write  $|\det x|^s$  instead of  $(\det x)^{s,+}$ . Use the notation  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}'(V)$ ) for the Schwartz space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions (resp. of tempered distributions) on V. Also define, for  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ 

(3.1) 
$$\Gamma_V(s) = \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) \dots \Gamma\left(\frac{s+m}{2}\right).$$

Proposition 3.1.

- (1) For any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V)$  the integral  $\int_V \varphi(x) |\det(x)|^s dx$  converges for  $\Re(s) > -1$  and defines a tempered distribution  $T_{s,+}$  on  $\mathcal{S}(V)$ .
- (2) The  $\mathcal{S}'(V)$ -valued function  $s \mapsto T_{s,+}$  defined for  $\Re(s) > -1$  can be analytically continued as a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- (3) The function  $s \mapsto \frac{1}{\Gamma_V(s)} T_{s,+}$  extends as an entire function of s (denoted by  $\widetilde{T}_{s,+}$ ) with values in the space of tempered distributions.

*Proof.* — See [1], especially Theorem 5.12. A careful examination of the Γ factors in the normalizing factor  $\Gamma_V(s)$  shows that the poles are at  $s = -1, -2, \ldots$  if m > 1 and at  $s = -1, -3, \ldots$  if m = 1.

For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ , define the Euclidean Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}f$  by

$$\mathcal{F}f(x) = \int_{V} e^{-2i\pi \langle x,y \rangle} f(y) \,\mathrm{d}y$$

The Fourier transform is extended to various functional spaces, and in particular to the space of tempered distributions  $\mathcal{S}'(V)$ . Recall the elementary formulæ, for  $p \in \mathcal{P}(V)$ 

(3.2) 
$$\mathcal{F}\left(p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)f\right) = p(2i\pi.)\mathcal{F}f, \quad \mathcal{F}(pf) = p\left(-\frac{1}{2i\pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)(\mathcal{F}f).$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. — The Fourier transform of the tempered distribution  $\widetilde{T}_{s,+}$  is given by

(3.3) 
$$\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{T}_{s,+}) = \pi^{-\frac{m^2}{2} - ms} \widetilde{T}_{-m-s,+}$$

or equivalently

(3.4) 
$$\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma_V(s)} |\det(.)|^s\right) = \frac{\pi^{-\frac{m^2}{2} - ms}}{\Gamma_V(-s - m)} |\det(.)|^{-m - s}$$

Proof. — See [1, Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 5.12].

Now let  $\epsilon = -1$ . The corresponding results do not seem to have been written, although they could be deduced from [4]. In our approach, the results for  $(\det x)^{s,+}$  are used to prove those for  $(\det x)^{s,-}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** 

- (1) For any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V)$  the integral  $\int_V \varphi(x)(\det x)^{s,-} dx$  converges for  $\Re(s) > -1$  and defines a tempered distribution  $T_{s,-}$  on  $\mathcal{S}(V)$ .
- (2) The  $\mathcal{S}'(V)$ -valued function  $s \mapsto T_{s,-}$  defined for  $\Re(s) > -1$  can be analytically continued as a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

(3) The function  $s \mapsto \frac{1}{s \Gamma_V(s-1)} T_{s,-}$  extends as an entire function of s (denoted by  $\widetilde{T}_{s,-}$ ) with values in  $\mathcal{S}'(V)$ .

Proof. — As a special case of (2.3), the following identity holds on  $V^{\times}$ 

(3.5) 
$$\det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)(\det x)^{s+1,+} = (s+1)_m \,(\det x)^{s,-}$$

Next

$$\frac{\Gamma_V(s+1)}{\Gamma_V(s-1)} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2}+1)\dots\Gamma(\frac{s+m-1}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\dots\Gamma(\frac{s+m-1}{2})} = 2^{-m} (s)_m = 2^{-m} \frac{s}{s+m} (s+1)_m.$$

Rewrite (3.5) as

$$\frac{1}{s\,\Gamma_V(s-1)}(\det x)^{s,-} = 2^{-m}\frac{1}{s+m}\det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma_V(s+1)}(\det x)^{s+1,+}\right).$$

For  $\Re s$  large enough, both sides extend as continuous functions on V and hence coincide as distributions. Viewed now as a distribution-valued function of s, the right hand side extends holomorphically to all of  $\mathbb{C}$  except perhaps at s = -m. To get the statements of Proposition 3.3, it suffices to prove that at s = -m the right hand side can be continued as a holomorphic function. In turn this is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4.

(3.6) 
$$\det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left(\widetilde{T}_{-m+1,+}\right) = 0.$$

*Proof.* — The Fourier transform of the distribution  $\widetilde{T}_{-m+1,+}$  is equal (up to a non vanishing constant) to  $\widetilde{T}_{-1,+}$  (see (3.3)). Hence the statement of the lemma is equivalent to

(3.7) 
$$(\det x) T_{-1,+} = 0.$$

But  $\widetilde{T}_{-1,+}$  (the "first" residue of the meromorphic function  $s \mapsto T_{s,+}$ ) is equal (up to a non vanishing constant) to the quasi-invariant measure on the *L*-orbit  $\mathcal{O}_1 = \{x \in V, \operatorname{rank}(x) = m - 1\}$  (see [1, Theorem 5.12]). As  $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \{x \in V, \det x = 0\}$ , (3.7) follows.

This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.3. A careful analysis of the normalization factor  $s\Gamma_V(s-1)$  shows that  $T_{s,-}$  has poles at  $s = -1, -2, -3, \ldots$ if m > 1, and at  $s = -2, -4, \ldots$  if m = 1.

**Proposition 3.5.** 

(3.8) 
$$\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{T}_{s,-}) = -i^m \pi^{-\frac{m^2}{2} - ms} \widetilde{T}_{-m-s,-}$$

Proof. — During the proof of Proposition 3.3, it was established that

$$\widetilde{T}_{s,-} = 2^{-m} \frac{1}{s+m} \det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) T_{s+1,+}.$$

Hence, using (3.4)

$$\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{T}_{s,-}) = 2^{-m} \frac{1}{s+m} \pi^{-\frac{m^2}{2} - m(s+1)} (2i\pi)^m (\det x) \, \widetilde{T}_{-s-m-1,+}$$

which, for generic s can be rewritten as

$$i^m \pi^{-\frac{m^2}{2}-ms} \frac{1}{s+m} \frac{1}{\Gamma_V(-s-m-1)} (\det x) T_{-s-m-1,+}.$$

Next, for  $\Re(s)$  large enough,  $(\det x) T_{s,+} = T_{s+1,-}$ , and by analytic continuation this holds for any s where both sides are defined. Use this result to obtain (3.8) for generic s, and by continuity for all s.

For  $(s, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$ , let

$$\gamma(s,\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma_V(s)} & \text{if } \epsilon = 1\\ \frac{1}{s\Gamma_V(s-1)} & \text{if } \epsilon = -1 \end{cases}$$

so that

(3.9) 
$$\widetilde{T}_{s,\epsilon} = \gamma(s,\epsilon)T_{s,\epsilon}.$$

Let

$$\rho(s,\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \pi^{-\frac{m^2}{2} - ms} & \text{if } \epsilon = +1\\ -i^m \pi^{-\frac{m^2}{2} - ms} & \text{if } \epsilon = -1 \end{cases}$$

so that

(3.10) 
$$\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{T}_{s,\epsilon}) = \rho(s,\epsilon) \, \widetilde{T}_{-s-m,\epsilon} \, .$$

The Knapp–Stein intertwining operators play a central role in semisimple harmonic analysis (see [11] for general results). The present approach takes advantage of the specific situation to give more explicit results.

For  $(\lambda, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$  consider the following operator (Knapp–Stein intertwining operator) (formally) defined by

(3.11) 
$$J_{\lambda,\epsilon}f(x) = \int_V \det(x-y)^{-2m+\lambda,\epsilon}f(y) \,\mathrm{d}y \,.$$

The operator  $J_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  verifies the following (formal) intertwining property.

Proposition 3.6. — For any  $g \in G$ ,

$$J_{\lambda,\epsilon} \circ \pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(g) = \pi_{2m-\lambda,\epsilon}(g) \circ J_{\lambda,\epsilon}.$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Proof.

$$J_{\lambda,\epsilon} \big( \pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(g) f \big)(x) = \int_V \left( \det(x-y) \right)^{-2m+\lambda,\epsilon} \alpha(g^{-1},y)^{-\lambda,\epsilon} f \big( g^{-1}(y) \big) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

which, by using (1.9) and the cocycle property of  $\alpha$  can be rewritten as

$$\alpha(g^{-1},x)^{-2m+\lambda,\epsilon} \int_V \det\left(g^{-1}(x) - g^{-1}(y)\right)^{-2m+\lambda,\epsilon} \alpha(g^{-1},y)^{-2m-\lambda+\lambda,\epsilon^2} \,\mathrm{d}y$$

and use the change of variable  $z = g^{-1}(y), \ dz = |\alpha(g^{-1}, y)|^{-2m} dy$  to get

$$J_{\lambda,\epsilon}(\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(g)f)(x) = \alpha(g^{-1}, x)^{-(2m-\lambda),\epsilon} \int_{V} \det \left(g^{-1}(x) - z\right)^{-2m+\lambda,\epsilon} f(z) dz$$
$$= \pi_{2m-\lambda,\epsilon}(g) \left(J_{\lambda,\epsilon}f\right)(x) .$$

To pass from a formal operator to an actual operator, notice that the Knapp–Stein operator is a convolution operator and hence (3.11) can be rewritten as

$$J_{\lambda,\epsilon}f = T_{-2m+\lambda,\epsilon} \star f.$$

The study of the distributions  $T_{s,\pm}$  strongly suggests to define the normalized intertwining operator  $\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  by

(3.12) 
$$\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon}f = \widetilde{T}_{-2m+\lambda,\epsilon} \star f$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ , or more explicitly

$$\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,+}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_V(-2m+\lambda)} \int_V |\det(x-y)|^{-2m+\lambda} f(y) \,\mathrm{d}y \,,$$
$$\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,-}f(x) = \frac{1}{(-2m+\lambda)\Gamma_V(-2m+\lambda-1)} \int_V (\det(x-y))^{-2m+\lambda,-} f(y) \,\mathrm{d}y \,.$$

The representation  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  is not properly defined on  $\mathcal{S}(V)$ , but its infinitesimal version is. In fact, let  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(V)$ . For  $g \in G$  sufficiently close to the identity, g is defined on the compact  $Supp(\varphi)$ , so that the following definition makes sense : for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  let

$$d\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(X)\varphi = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)_{t=0}\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(\exp tX)\varphi$$

Moreover, it is well known that the resulting operator  $d\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(X)$  is a differential operator of order 1 on V with polynomial coefficients, hence can be extended as a continuous operator on the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(V)$ , and by duality as an operator on  $\mathcal{S}'(V)$ . An operator  $J : \mathcal{S}(V) \to \mathcal{S}'(V)$  is said to be an intertwining operator w.r.t.  $(\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}, \pi_{2m-\lambda,\epsilon})$  if for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$J \circ d\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(X) = d\pi_{2m-\lambda,\epsilon}(X) \circ J.$$

The next statement is easily obtained by combining the results on the family of distributions  $\widetilde{T}_{s,\epsilon}$ ,  $(s,\epsilon) \in \mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$  (see Propositions 3.1, 3.3), and the formal intertwining property.

Proposition 3.7.

- (1) the operator  $\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  is a continuous operator form  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  into  $\mathcal{S}'(V)$ .
- (2) the operator  $\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  intertwines the representations  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  and  $\pi_{2m-\lambda,\epsilon}$
- (3) the (operator-valued) function  $\lambda \mapsto \widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  is holomorphic.

#### 4. Construction of the families $D_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $B_{\lambda,\mu;k}$

Recall the differential operator  $F_{s,t}$  on  $V \times V$ , constructed in Section 2 (Proposition 2.10). Define for  $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$ 

(4.1) 
$$H_{s,t} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \circ F_{s,t} \circ \mathcal{F}$$

As  $F_{s,t}$  is a differential operator with polynomial coefficients,  $H_{s,t}$  is also a differential operator with polynomial coefficients. To be more explicit, according to (3.2), the passage from  $F_{s,t}$  to  $H_{s,t}$  consists in changing  $p(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$  to multiplication by  $p(-2i\pi x, -2i\pi y))$ , and multiplication by p(x, y) to the differential operator  $p(\frac{1}{2i\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{1}{2i\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ . Observe that  $q_{I,J}$  is homogeneous of degree 2m - k and  $\Delta_{I^c,J^c}$  is homogeneous of degree m - k, where k = #I = #J. This leads to

(4.2) 
$$H_{s,t} = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^m \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \sum_{\substack{I,J \subset \{1,2,\dots,m\}\\ \#I = \#J = k}} h_{I,J} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}; s, t\right) \times \left(\Delta_{I^c,J^c}(x-y)f(x,y)\right)$$

where the polynomial  $h_{I,J}(\xi,\eta;s,t)$  is given by

$$h_{I,J}(\xi,\eta;s,t) = \sum_{0 \leqslant l \leqslant k} (s)_{(k-l)} (t)_l \sum_{\substack{P \subset I, Q \subset J \\ \#P = \#Q = l}} \epsilon(P:I,Q:J) \times \Delta_{I^c \cup P, J^c \cup Q}(\xi) \Delta_{P^c,Q^c}(\eta).$$

THEOREM 4.1. — The operator  $H_{m-\lambda,m-\mu}$  is G-covariant with respect to  $(\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}, \pi_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu+1,-\eta}).$ 

The (rather long) proof will be given at the end of this section. The next results are preparations for the proof.

Let M be the continuous operator on  $\mathcal{S}(V \times V)$  given by

$$M\varphi(x,y) = \det(x-y)\varphi(x,y)$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

PROPOSITION 4.2. — The operator M intertwines  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}$  and  $\pi_{\lambda-1,-\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu-1,-\eta}$ .

Proof. — Let  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(V \times V)$ . Let  $g \in G$ , and assume that g is defined on  $Supp(\varphi)$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} M \circ (\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(g) \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}(g))\varphi \end{pmatrix}(x,y) = \det(x-y) \alpha(g^{-1},x)^{-\lambda,\epsilon} \alpha(g^{-1},y)^{-\mu,\eta} \varphi(g^{-1}(x),g^{-1}(y))$$

whereas

$$\left( \left( \pi_{\lambda-1,-\epsilon}(g) \otimes \pi_{\mu-1,-\eta}(g) \right) \circ M \right) \varphi(x,y)$$
  
= det  $(g^{-1}(x) - g^{-1}(y)) \alpha(g^{-1},x)^{-\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \alpha(g^{-1},y)^{-\mu+1,-\eta}$   
 $\times \varphi(g^{-1}(x) - g^{-1}(y)) .$ 

Use (1.9) to conclude that

$$\left(M\circ\left(\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(g)\otimes\pi_{\mu,\eta}(g)\right)\varphi=\left(\left(\pi_{\lambda-1,-\epsilon}(g)\otimes\pi_{\mu-1,-\eta}(g)\right)\circ M\right)\varphi.$$

For  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and for t small enough,  $g_t = \exp tX$  is defined on  $Supp(\varphi)$ . Apply the previous result to  $g_t$ , differentiate w.r.t. t at t = 0 to get

$$M \circ \left( \mathrm{d}(\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta})(X) \right) \varphi = \left( \mathrm{d}(\pi_{\lambda-1,-\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu-1,-\eta})(X) \right) \circ M\varphi$$

for any  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(V \times V)$ , and extend this equality to any  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(V \times V)$  by continuity.  $\Box$ 

The next proposition is the key result towards the proof.

PROPOSITION 4.3. — For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(V \times V)$ 

(4.3) 
$$M \circ (\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu,\eta}) f$$
  
= d(( $\lambda,\epsilon$ ), ( $\mu,\eta$ )) (( $\widetilde{J}_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu+1,-\eta}$ )  $\circ H_{-m+2\lambda,-m+2\mu}$ ) f,

where  $d((\lambda, \epsilon), (\mu, \eta))$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\pi^{4m^2}}{(\lambda-m)\dots(\lambda-2m+2)(\mu-m)\dots(\mu-2m+2)} & \epsilon = +1, \eta = +1\\ &\frac{2^{-m}\pi^{4m^2}}{(\lambda-m)\dots(\lambda-2m+2)(\mu-m)} & \epsilon = +1, \eta = -1\\ &\frac{2^{-m}\pi^{4m^2}}{(\lambda-m)(\mu-m)\dots(\mu-2m+2)} & \epsilon = -1, \eta = +1\\ &\frac{2^{-2m}\pi^{4m^2}}{(\lambda-m)(\mu-m)} & \epsilon = -1, \eta = -1 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* — As the operators  $\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  and  $\widetilde{J}_{\mu,\eta}$  are convolution operators by a tempered distribution, the left hand side is well defined as a tempered distribution on  $V \times V$ , and so is its Fourier transform.

In order to alleviate the proof,  $c_1, \ldots, c_4$  are used during the proof to mean complex numbers depending on  $\lambda, \epsilon, \mu, \eta$  but neither on f nor on  $(x, y) \in V \times V$ . Their actual values are listed at the end of the computation. By (3.4),

(4.4) 
$$\mathcal{F}((\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu,\eta})f)(x,y) = \mathcal{F}(\widetilde{T}_{-2m+\lambda,\epsilon})(x)\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{T}_{-2m+\mu,\eta})(y)\mathcal{F}f(x,y)$$
$$= c_1\widetilde{T}_{m-\lambda,\epsilon}(x)\widetilde{T}_{m-\mu,\eta}(x)\mathcal{F}f(x,y) .$$

Next, for p a polynomial on  $V \times V$ , and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}'(V)$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}(p\Phi)(x,y) = p\left((-2i\pi)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, (-2i\pi)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)(\mathcal{F}\Phi)(x,y).$$

Hence

(4.5) 
$$\mathcal{F}\left(M \circ (\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu,\eta})f\right)(x,y) = c_1 c_2 \det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \left((\det x)^{m-\lambda,\epsilon} (\det y)^{m-\mu,\eta} \mathcal{F}f(x,y)\right).$$

Assume temporarily that  $\Re \lambda$ ,  $\Re \mu \ll 0$  so that  $(\det x)^{m-\lambda,\epsilon} (\det y)^{m-\mu,\eta}$ is a sufficiently many times differentiable function on  $V \times V$ . Then, use Proposition 2.11 to get

(4.6) 
$$\mathcal{F}\left(M \circ (\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu,\eta})f\right)(x,y)$$
$$= c_1 c_2 (\det x)^{m-(\lambda+1),-\epsilon} (\det y)^{m-(\mu+1),-\eta} F_{m-\lambda,m-\mu} \left(\mathcal{F}f\right)(x,y) ,$$

the equality being valid a priori on  $V^{\times} \times V^{\times}$ , but thanks to the assumption on  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  it extends to all of  $V \times V$ . Next, by the definition of the operator  $H_{s,t}$ ,

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{F}(M \circ (\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu,\eta})f)(x,y) \\ = c_1 c_2 (\det x)^{m-\lambda-1,-\epsilon} (\det y)^{m-\mu-1,-\eta} \mathcal{F}(H_{-m+2\lambda,-m+2\mu}f)(x,y) \\ c_1 c_2 c_3 \widetilde{T}_{m-\lambda-1,-\epsilon}(x) \widetilde{T}_{m-\mu-1,-\eta}(y) \mathcal{F}(H_{m-\lambda,m-\mu}f)(x,y) \,.$$

Use inverse Fourier transform and (3.10) to conclude that

(4.8) 
$$M \circ (\widetilde{J}_{\lambda} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu}) f = c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 \left( (\widetilde{J}_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu+1,-\eta}) \circ H_{m-\lambda,m-\mu} \right) f.$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

The values of the constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  and  $c_4$  are given by

$$c_1 = \rho(-2m + \lambda, \epsilon) \rho(-2m + \mu, \eta)$$

$$c_2 = (-1)^m (2\pi)^{-2m} \gamma(m - \lambda, \epsilon) \gamma(m - \mu, \eta)$$

$$c_3 = \frac{1}{\gamma(m - \lambda - 1, -\epsilon) \gamma(m - \mu - 1, -\eta)}$$

$$c_4 = \frac{1}{\gamma(\lambda + 1, -\epsilon) \gamma(\mu + 1, -\eta)}$$

so that  $c_1c_2c_3c_4$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi^{4m^2}}{(\lambda - m)\dots(\lambda - 2m + 2)(\mu - m)\dots(\mu - 2m + 2)} & \epsilon = +1, \eta = +1 \\ \frac{2^{-m}\pi^{4m^2}}{(\lambda - m)\dots(\lambda - 2m + 2)(\mu - m)} & \epsilon = +1, \eta = -1 \\ \frac{2^{-m}\pi^{4m^2}}{(\lambda - m)(\mu - m)\dots(\mu - 2m + 2)} & \epsilon = -1, \eta = +1 \\ \frac{2^{-2m}\pi^{4m^2}}{(\lambda - m)(\mu - m)} & \epsilon = -1, \eta = -1 \end{aligned}$$

By analytic continuation, (4.3) holds for all  $\lambda, \mu$ , thus proving Proposition 4.3. Incidentally, notice that the last step implies the vanishing of  $((\widetilde{J}_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon}\otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu+1,-\eta})\circ H_{-m+2\lambda,-m+2\mu})$  at the poles of  $d((\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta))$ .  $\Box$ 

To finish the proof of Theorem 4.1, note that, by Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 3.7 the operator  $M \circ (\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu,\eta})$  is covariant with respect to  $(\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}), (\pi_{2m-\lambda-1,-\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{2m-\mu-1,-\eta})$ . Using Proposition 4.3, this implies, generically in  $(\lambda,\mu)$  that for any  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(V \times V)$  and any  $g \in G$  which is defined on Supp(f),

$$\begin{split} \big( (\widetilde{J}_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu+1,-\eta}) \circ (\pi_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon}(g) \otimes \pi_{\mu+1,-\eta}(g)) \circ H_{-m+2\lambda,-m+2\mu} \big) f \\ &= \big( (\widetilde{J}_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu+1,-\epsilon}) \circ H_{m-\lambda,m-\mu} \circ (\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(g) \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}(g)) \big) f \,. \end{split}$$

Generically in  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , the convolution operator  $\widetilde{J}_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{J}_{\mu+1,-\eta}$  is injective on  $C_c^{\infty}(V)$  as can be seen after performing a Fourier transform, so that

$$\left( \left( \pi_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon}(g) \otimes \pi_{\mu+1,-\eta}(g) \right) \circ H_{m-\lambda,m-\mu} \right) f = \left( H_{m-\lambda,m-\mu} \circ \left( \pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}(g) \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}(g) \right) \right) f .$$

The covariance of  $H_{m-\lambda,m-\mu}$  follows, at least generically in  $\lambda, \mu$  and hence everywhere by analytic continuation. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

For convenience in the sequel, let shift the parameters in the notation by setting

$$D_{\lambda,\mu} = H_{m-\lambda,m-\mu}.$$

Perhaps is it enlightening to state a version of Theorem 4.1 in the compact picture. Going back to the notation of the Introduction, the (outer) tensor product  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\epsilon} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}_{\mu,\eta}$  can be completed to a space  $\mathcal{E}_{(\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta)}$  of smooth sections of the line bundle  $E_{\lambda,\mu} \boxtimes E_{\mu,\eta}$  over  $X \times X$ . The operator M can also be transferred as a continuous operator from  $\mathcal{E}_{(\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta)}$  into  $\mathcal{E}_{(\lambda-1,-\epsilon),(\mu-1,-\eta)}$ . Denote by  $\widetilde{I}_{\lambda,\epsilon} : \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  into  $\mathcal{E}_{2m-\lambda,\epsilon}$  the normalized Knapp–Stein operator, which corresponds to  $\widetilde{J}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  in the principal chart. The formulation to be given below is a consequence of Theorem 4.1, using the well-known fact that the Knapp–Stein intertwining operators are invertible, at least generically in  $\lambda$ , the inverse of  $\widetilde{I}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  being equal (up to a scalar) to  $\widetilde{I}_{2m-\lambda,\epsilon}$ .

THEOREM 4.4. — The operator  $D_{(\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta)}$  defined as

$$D_{(\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta)} = \left(\widetilde{I}_{2m-\lambda-1,-\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{I}_{2m-\mu-1,-\eta}\right) \circ M \circ \left(\widetilde{I}_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \widetilde{I}_{\mu,\eta}\right)$$

which, by construction intertwines  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}$  and  $\pi_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu+1,-\eta}$  (as representations of G) is a differential operator on  $X \times X$ .

Let res :  $C^{\infty}(V \times V) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(V)$  be the restriction map defined by

$$\operatorname{res}(\varphi)(x) = \varphi(x, x)$$
 .

For any  $\lambda, \epsilon$  and  $\mu, \eta$  in  $\mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$ , the restriction map intertwines the representations  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}$  and  $\pi_{\lambda+\mu,\epsilon\eta}$ .

Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $B_{\lambda,\mu,k} : C^{\infty}(V \times V) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(V)$  be the bi-differential operator defined by

$$B_{\lambda,\mu;k} = \operatorname{res} \circ D_{\lambda+k-1,\mu+k-1} \circ \cdots \circ D_{\lambda,\mu}.$$

The covariance property of the operators  $D_{\lambda,\mu}$  and of res imply the following result.

THEOREM 4.5. — Let  $(\lambda, \epsilon), (\mu, \eta)$  be in  $\mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$ . The operator  $B_{\lambda,\mu;k}$  is covariant w.r.t.  $(\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}, \pi_{\lambda+\mu+2k,\epsilon\eta})$ .

A remarkable fact is that whereas the operator  $H_{\lambda,\mu}$  has polynomial functions as coefficients, the operator  $B_{\lambda,\mu;k}$  has constant coefficients, i.e. is of the form

$$\varphi \longmapsto \sum_{\alpha, \beta} a_{\alpha, \beta} \left( \frac{\partial^{|\alpha| + |\beta|}}{\partial y^{\alpha} \partial z^{\beta}} \varphi \right) (x, x)$$

where  $a_{\alpha,\beta}$  are complex numbers. In fact, this is merely a consequence of the invariance of the  $B_{\lambda,\mu;k}$  under the action of the translations (action

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

of  $\overline{N}$ ). More concretely, this is due to the vanishing on the diagonal diag(V) of many of the coefficients of the operators  $H_{\lambda,\mu}$ . It seems however difficult to find a closed formula for the coefficients of  $B_{\lambda,\mu;k}$  except if m = 1.

#### 5. The case m = 1 and the $\Omega$ -process

For m = 1, a simple calculation yields

(5.1) 
$$F_{s,t}f = (-tx + sy)f + xy\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y}\right)f$$

(5.2) 
$$H_{s,t}f = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left( -(t-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}f + (s-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}f - (x-y)\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \right)$$

(5.3) 
$$D_{\lambda,\mu} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left( \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - (x-y) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \right).$$

There is a relation with the  $\Omega$ -process, which we now recall following the classical spirit (see e.g. [15]), but in terms adapted to our situation.

Let  $(\lambda, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  be the space of smooth functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  which satisfy

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^* \qquad F(tx_1, tx_2) = t^{-\lambda, \epsilon} F(x_1, x_2)$$

To  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  associate the function f given by f(x) = F(x, 1). Then f is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and F can be recovered from f by

$$F(x_1, x_2) = x_2^{-\lambda, \epsilon} f(\frac{x_1}{x_2})$$

at least for  $x_2 \neq 0$  and then extended by continuity.

Let  $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . The function  $F \circ g^{-1}$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$ , and is explicitly given by

$$F \circ g^{-1}(x_1, x_2) = F(ax_1 + bx_2, cx_1 + dx_2)$$

Its associated function on  $\mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$(F \circ g^{-1})(x,1) = F(ax+b,cx+d) = (cx+d)^{-\lambda,\epsilon} f\left(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}\right),$$

so that the natural action of  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \epsilon}$  is but another realization of the representation  $\pi_{\lambda, \epsilon}$ .

Now let  $(\lambda, \epsilon), (\mu, \eta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \{\pm\}$  and consider the space  $\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda, \epsilon), (\mu, \eta)}$  of smooth functions F on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  which satisfy

$$\forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}^*, \qquad F(t(x_1, x_2), s(y_1, y_2)) = t^{-\lambda, \epsilon} s^{-\mu, \eta} F((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)).$$

The group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts naturally (diagonally) on  $\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta)}$ , and this action yields a realization of  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}$ . More explicitly, let

$$f(x,y) = F((x,1),(y,1)).$$

Then for  $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$F \circ g^{-1}((x,1),(y,1)) = (cx+d)^{-\lambda,\epsilon}(cy+d)^{-\mu,\eta} f\left(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d},\frac{ay+b}{cy+d}\right)$$

The polynomial det  $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$  is invariant by the action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and so is the differential operator

$$\Omega = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial y_2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2 \partial y_1}$$

The operator  $\Omega$  maps  $\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta)}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda+1,-\epsilon),(\mu+1,-\eta)}$  and yields a covariant differential w.r.t.  $(\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}, \pi_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu+1,-\eta})$ .

Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{(\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta)}$ . As above, let f be the function on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  obtained by deshomogenization of F i.e. f(x,y) = F((x,1),(y,1)). The corresponding differential operator on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$\omega_{\lambda,\mu}f(x,y)) = \left(\Omega F\right)\left((x,1),(y,1)\right) = -\mu\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \lambda\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + (x-y)\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y},$$

independently of  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$ , so that  $D_{\lambda,\mu} = -2i\pi\omega_{\lambda,\mu}$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $R_k : C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \longmapsto C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be the bi-differential operator given by  $R_k = \operatorname{res} \circ \Omega^k$  or more explicitly

(5.4)  $x \in V, \qquad R_k F(x) = \Omega^k F(x, x)$ 

The operator  $R_k$  commutes to the action of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . If F belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{(\lambda,\epsilon),(\mu,\eta)}$ , the function  $R_kF$  is homogeneous of degree  $(\lambda + \mu + 2k, \epsilon\eta)$ . By deshomogenization, the corresponding operator is

$$r_{\lambda,\mu;k} = \operatorname{res} \circ \omega_{\lambda+k-1,\mu+k-1} \circ \cdots \circ \omega_{\lambda,\mu}$$

so that  $B_{\lambda,\mu;k} = (-2i\pi)^k r_{\lambda,\mu:k}$ .

A classical computation in the theory of the  $\Omega$ -process yields an explicit expression for  $r_{\lambda,\mu,k}$ 

(5.5) 
$$r_{\lambda,\mu;k} = \operatorname{res} \circ \left( k! \sum_{i+j=k} (-1)^j \binom{-\lambda-i}{j} \binom{-\mu-j}{i} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^i \partial y^j} \right).$$

The computation can be found in [16], where the indices  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are supposed to be negative integers, but the computation goes through without this assumption.

Two special cases are worth being reported, both corresponding to cases where the representations  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}, \pi_{\mu,\eta}$  are reducible.

Suppose that  $\lambda = k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Choose  $\epsilon = (-1)^k$ , so that for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}^*, t^{\lambda, \epsilon} = t^k$ . Then for  $g \in G$  such that  $g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$\pi_{k,(-1)^k}(g)f(x) = (cx+d)^{-k}f\left(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}\right).$$

Let first consider the case where  $\lambda \in -\mathbb{N}$ , say  $\lambda = -l, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the space  $\mathcal{P}_l$  of polynomials of degree less than l is preserved by the representation  $\pi_{-l,(-1)^l}$  Similarly, let  $\mu = -m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_l, q \in \mathcal{P}_m$ . Let P (resp. Q) be the homogeneous polynomial on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  obtained by homogenization of p (resp. q). For  $k \leq \inf(l, m)$ , the function  $R_k(P \otimes Q)$  is a polynomial which is homogeneous of degree l + m - 2k and which in the classical theory of invariants is called the  $k^{th}$  transvectant of P and Q usually denoted by  $[P,Q]_k$ . So  $B_{-l,-m;k}$  just expresses the k-th transvectant at the level of inhomogeneous polynomials.

Now suppose that  $\lambda = l, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then restrictions of holomorphic functions to  $\mathbb{R}$  are preserved by the representation  $\pi_{l,(-1)^l}$ . Suppose also  $\mu = m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the operators  $D_{l,m}$  and  $B_{l,m,k}$ , extended as holomorphic differential operators are still covariant under the action of G. If f is an automorphic form of degree l and g of degree m, then the covariance property of  $B_{l,m;k}$  implies that  $B_{l,m,k}(f \otimes g)$  is an automorphic form of degree l+m+2k. The operators  $B_{l,m;k}$  essentially coincide with the Rankin–Cohen brackets, as easily deduced from formula (5.5).

#### 6. The general case and some open problems

When  $m \ge 2$ , the  $\Omega$ -process can be extended along the same lines (see [16]). Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  be the space of functions  $F : V \times V$  which are determinantially homogeneous of weight  $(\lambda, \epsilon)$ , i.e. satisfying

$$\forall \gamma \in GL(V) \qquad F(x\gamma, y\gamma) = (\det \gamma)^{-\lambda, \epsilon} F(x, y) \,.$$

To such a function F, associate the function f on V defined by  $f(x) = F(x, \mathbf{1}_m)$ . Then F can be recovered from f by

(6.1) 
$$F(x,y) = (\det y)^{-\lambda,\epsilon} f(xy^{-1}),$$

at least when  $y \in V^{\times}$  and everywhere by continuity.

The group  $G = SL(2m, \mathbb{R})$  acts on  $V \times V$  by left multiplication, i.e. if  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  $(g, (x, y)) \longmapsto g \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax + by \\ cx + dy \end{pmatrix}.$ 

The determinantial homogeneity of functions is preserved by this action, and hence the representation of G on  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  is but another realization of  $\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  as can be seen by transferring the action through the correspondence  $F \mapsto f$  given by (6.1). Using this time the polynomial  $\det_{2m} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , an operator  $\Omega$  can be defined along the same line as in the case m = 1. As the action of G commutes to the action (on the right) of GL(V),  $\Omega$  maps  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes F_{\mu,\eta}$  into  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \otimes F_{\mu+1,-\eta}$  and is covariant for the action of G. Again, using the correspondence  $F \mapsto f$ ,  $\Omega$  lifts to a differential operator on  $V \times V$  which is covariant w.r.t.  $(\pi_{\lambda,\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu,\eta}, \pi_{\lambda+1,-\epsilon} \otimes \pi_{\mu+1,-\eta})$  and which can be used for defining the covariant bi-differential operators. It

is not clear wether the two approaches coincide, as computations get very complicated.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- L. BARCHINI, M. R. SEPANSKI & R. ZIERAU, "Positivity of zeta distributions and small unitary representations", in *The ubiquitous heat kernel*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 398, American Mathematical Society, 2006, p. 1-46.
- [2] R. BECKMANN & J.-L. CLERC, "Singular invariant trilinear forms and covariant (bi)-differential operators under the conformal group", J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), no. 10, p. 4341-4376.
- [3] S. BEN SAÏD, "The functional equation of zeta distributions associated with non-Euclidean Jordan algebras.", Can. J. Math. 58 (2006), no. 1, p. 3-22.
- [4] N. BOPP & H. RUBENTHALER, Local zeta functions attached to the minimal spherical series for a class of symmetric spaces, Mem. Am. Math. Soc., vol. 821, American Mathematical Society, 2005, 233 pages.
- [5] J.-L. CLERC, "Singular conformally invariant trilinear forms. II: The higher multiplicity cases", to appear in *Transform. Groups*.
- [6] G. VAN DIJK & M. PEVZNER, "Ring structures for holomorphic discrete series and Rankin-Cohen brackets", J. Lie Theory 17 (2007), no. 2, p. 283-305.
- [7] A. M. EL GRADECHI, "The Lie theory of the Rankin-Cohen brackets and allied bi-differential operators", Adv. Math. 207 (2006), no. 2, p. 484-531.
- [8] J. FARAUT & A. KORÁNYI, Analysis on symmetric cones, Oxford Mathematical Publications, Clarendon Press, 1994, xii+382 pages.
- [9] S. S. GELBART, Fourier analysis on matrix space, Mem. Am. Math. Soc., vol. 108, American Mathematical Society, 1971, 77 pages.
- [10] T. IBUKIYAMA, T. KUZUMAKI & H. OCHIAI, "Holonomic systems of Gegenbauer polynomials of matrix arguments related with Siegel modular forms", J. Math. Soc. Japan 64 (2012), no. 1, p. 273-316.

- [11] A. W. KNAPP, Representation theory of semisimple groups, an overview based on examples, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 36, Princeton University Press, 1986, xvii+773 pages.
- [12] T. KOBAYASHI, T. KUBO & M. PEVZNER, "Vector-valued covariant differential operators for the Möbius transformation", in *Lie theory and its applications in physics*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol. 111, Springer, 2014, p. 67-85.
- [13] T. KOBAYASHI & M. PEVZNER, "Differential symmetry breaking operators. II: Rankin-Cohen operators for symmetric pairs", Sel. Math. 22 (2016), no. 2, p. 847-911.
- [14] I. MULLER, "Décomposition orbitale des espaces préhomogènes réguliers de type parabolique commutatif et application", C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris 303 (1986), p. 495-498.
- [15] P. J. OLVER, Classical Invariant Theory, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, 1999, xxi+280 pages.
- [16] P. J. OLVER, M. PETITOT & P. SOLÉ, "Generalized Transvectants and Siegel modular forms", Adv. Appl. Math. 38 (2007), no. 3, p. 404-418.
- [17] L. PENG & G. ZHANG, "Tensor products of holomorphic representations and bilinear differential operators", J. Funct. Anal. 210 (2004), no. 1, p. 171-192.
- [18] M. SATO & T. SHINTANI, "On zeta functions associated with prehomogeneous vector spaces", Ann. Math. 100 (1974), p. 131-170.
- [19] E. M. STEIN, "Analysis in matrix spaces and some new representations of  $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ ", Ann. Math. 86 (1967), p. 461-490.
- [20] J. T. TATE, "Fourier analysis in number fields and Hecke's zeta-functions", PhD Thesis, Princeton University, USA, 1950.
- [21] A. UNTERBERGER & J. UNTERBERGER, "Algebras of symbols and modular forms", J. Anal. Math. 68 (1996), p. 121-143.
- [22] D. ZAGIER, "Modular forms and differential operators", Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. 104 (1994), no. 1, p. 57-75.
- [23] G. ZHANG, "Rankin-Cohen brackets, transvectants and covariant differential operators", Math. Z. 264 (2010), no. 3, p. 513-519.

Manuscrit reçu le 27 janvier 2016, révisé le 29 août 2016, accepté le 27 octobre 2016.

Jean-Louis CLERC Institut Élie Cartan, Université de Lorraine 54506 Vandœuvre-lès Nancy (France) jean-louis.clerc@univ-lorraine.fr