



# ANNALES

DE

# L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Oriol SERRA & Gilles ZÉMOR

**Large sets with small doubling modulo  $p$  are well covered by an arithmetic progression**

Tome 59, n° 5 (2009), p. 2043-2060.

[http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\\_2009\\_\\_59\\_5\\_2043\\_0](http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2009__59_5_2043_0)

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2009, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du  
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques  
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

# LARGE SETS WITH SMALL DOUBLING MODULO $p$ ARE WELL COVERED BY AN ARITHMETIC PROGRESSION

by Oriol SERRA & Gilles ZÉMOR (\*)

---

ABSTRACT. — We prove that there is a small but fixed positive integer  $\epsilon$  such that for every prime  $p$  larger than a fixed integer, every subset  $S$  of the integers modulo  $p$  which satisfies  $|2S| \leq (2 + \epsilon)|S|$  and  $2(|2S|) - 2|S| + 3 \leq p$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $|2S| - |S| + 1$ . This is the first result of this nature which places no unnecessary restrictions on the size of  $S$ .

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous démontrons qu'il existe un entier strictement positif  $\epsilon$ , petit mais fixé, tel que pour tout nombre premier  $p$  plus grand qu'un entier fixé, tout sous-ensemble  $S$  des entiers modulo  $p$  qui vérifie  $|2S| \leq (2 + \epsilon)|S|$  et  $2(|2S|) - 2|S| + 3 \leq p$  est contenu dans une progression arithmétique de longueur  $|2S| - |S| + 1$ . Il s'agit du premier résultat de cette nature qui ne contraint pas inutilement le cardinal de  $S$ .

## 1. Introduction

In 1959 Freiman [2] proved that if  $S$  is a set of integers such that

$$|2S| \leq 3|S| - 4$$

then  $S$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $|2S| - |S| + 1$ .

This result is often known as Freiman's  $(3k - 4)$ -Theorem. It has been conjectured that the same result also holds in the finite groups  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  of prime order. Working towards this conjecture, Freiman [3] proved (see also [4] and Nathanson [14] for the following formulation of the result):

---

*Keywords:* Sumset, arithmetic progression, additive combinatorics.

*Math. classification:* 11P70.

(\*) Supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science under project MTM2008-06620-C03-01.

THEOREM 1.1 (Freiman [3]). — *Let  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $3 \leq |S| \leq c_0 p$  and*

$$|2S| \leq c_1 |S| - 3,$$

*with  $0 < c_0 \leq 1/12$ ,  $c_1 > 2$  and  $(2c_1 - 3)/3 < (1 - c_0 c_1)/c_1^{1/2}$ . Then  $S$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $|2S| - |S| + 1$ .*

The largest possible numerical value of  $c_1$  given by this theorem is  $c_1 \approx 2.45$ , which falls somewhat short of the value predicted by the conjecture (namely 3). In addition, Theorem 1.1 only guarantees the result for sets  $S$  that are small enough. For example, to guarantee  $c_1 = 2.4$ , the theorem needs the assumption  $|S| \leq p/35$ . This last assumption was improved to  $|S| \leq p/10.7$  by Rødseth [15] but without improving the value of the constant  $c_1$ .

It follows from a recent result of Green and Ruzsa [5] on rectification of sets with small doubling in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  that the value of  $c_1$  can actually be pushed all the way to 3 while preserving the conclusion that  $S$  is contained in a short arithmetic progression, but this comes at the expense of a stringent condition on the size of  $S$ : namely the extra assumption  $|S| < 10^{-180} p$ .

In the present paper, we shall work at the conjecture from a different direction. Rather than focusing on the best possible value for the constant  $c_1$ , we shall try to lift all restrictions on the size of  $S$ . First we need to formulate properly what should be the right version of Freiman's  $(3k - 4)$ -Theorem in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

For  $-1 \leq m \leq |S| - 4$ , we want the condition  $|2S| = 2|S| + m$  to imply that  $S$  is included in an arithmetic progression of length  $|S| + m + 1$ . One fact that has not been spelt out explicitly in the literature is that for such a result to hold, some lower bound on the size of the *complement*  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \setminus 2S$  of  $2S$  must be formulated. Indeed, if  $p - |2S|$  is too small, the conclusion will not hold even if  $m$  is small compared to  $|S| - 4$ . Consider in particular the following example. Let  $S = \{0\} \cup \{m+3, m+4, \dots, (p+1)/2\}$ . We have  $|2S| = p - (m+1) = 2|S| + m$ , but straightforward counting shows that for fixed  $m$  and sufficiently large  $p$  any arithmetic progression of difference  $d \neq 1$  that contains  $S$  must contain approximately  $p/2$  elements not in  $S$ , hence  $S$  is not included in an arithmetic progression of length  $|S| + m + 1$ . For the desired result to hold, we must therefore add the condition  $p - |2S| > m + 1$ . We conjecture that this extra condition is sufficient for a  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -version of Freiman's  $(3k - 4)$ -Theorem to hold. More precisely:

CONJECTURE 1.2. — *Let  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and let  $m = |2S| - 2|S|$ . Suppose that  $m$  satisfies:*

$$-1 \leq m \leq \min\{|S| - 4, p - |2S| - 3\}.$$

*Then  $S$  is included in an arithmetic progression of length  $|S| + m + 1$ .*

Note that  $p - |2S| = p - 2|S| - m$  can not be equal to  $m + 2$ , otherwise  $p$  would be an even number. Therefore the condition  $m \leq p - |2S| - 3$  of the conjecture is equivalent to  $p - |2S| > m + 1$  which is a necessary lower bound on  $p - |2S|$ , as the example above shows.

We remark that the cases  $m = -1, 0, 1$  of this conjecture are known. They are implied by Vosper's theorem [19] ( $m = -1$ ), by a result of Hamidoune and Rødseth [10] ( $m = 0$ ) and by a result of Hamidoune and the present authors [11] ( $m = 1$ ). In the present paper we shall prove conjecture 1.2 for all values of  $m$  up to  $\epsilon|S|$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a fixed absolute constant. More precisely, our main result is:

THEOREM 1.3. — *There exist positive numbers  $p_0$  and  $\epsilon$  such that, for all primes  $p > p_0$ , any subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  such that*

$$(i) \quad |2S| < (2 + \epsilon)|S|,$$

$$(ii) \quad m = |2S| - 2|S| \text{ satisfies } m \leq \min\{|S| - 4, p - |2S| - 3\},$$

*is included in an arithmetic progression of length  $|S| + m + 1$ .*

We shall prove this result with the numerical values  $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$  and  $p_0 = 2^{94}$ .

In the past, the dominant strategy, already present in Freiman's original proof of Theorem 1.1, has been to *rectify* the set  $S$ , i.e., find an argument that enables one to claim that the sum  $S + S$  must behave as in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and then apply Freiman's  $(3k - 4)$ -Theorem. Rectifying  $S$  directly however, becomes more and more difficult when the size of  $S$  grows, hence the different upper bounds on  $S$  that one regularly encounters in the literature. In our case, without any upper bound on  $S$ , rectifying  $S$  by studying its structure directly is a difficult challenge. Our method will be indirect. Our strategy is to use an auxiliary set  $A$  that minimizes the difference  $|S + A| - |S|$  among all sets such that  $|A| \geq m + 3$  and  $|S + A| \leq p - (m + 3)$ . The set  $A$  is called an  $(m + 3)$ -atom of  $S$  and using such sets to derive properties of  $S$  is an instance of the isoperimetric (or atomic) method in additive number theory which was introduced by Hamidoune and developed in [6, 7, 8, 9, 17, 11, 12]. The point of introducing the set  $A$  is that we shall manage to prove that it is both significantly smaller than  $S$  and also has a small sumset  $2A$ . This will enable us to show that first the sum  $A + A$ , and then the sum  $S + A$ ,

must behave as in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Finally we will use Lev and Smelianski's distinct set version [13] of Freiman's  $(3k - 4)$ -Theorem to conclude.

The paper is organised as follows. The next section will introduce  $k$ -atoms and their properties that are relevant to our purposes. In Section 3 we will show how our method works proving Theorem 1.3 in the relatively easy case when  $m$  is an arbitrary constant or a slowly growing function of  $p$  (i.e.,  $\log p$ ). In Section 4 we will prove Theorem 1.3 in full when  $m$  is a linear function of  $|S|$ .

## 2. Atoms

Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 \in S$ . For a positive integer  $k$ , we shall say that  $S$  is  $k$ -separable if there exists  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|X| \geq k$  and  $|X + S| \leq p - k$ .

Suppose that  $S$  is  $k$ -separable. The  $k$ -th isoperimetric number of  $S$  is then defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \kappa_k(S) = \min\{|X + S| - |X|, \mid X \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, |X| \geq k \text{ and } |X + S| \leq p - k\}.$$

For a  $k$ -separable set  $S$ , a subset  $X$  achieving the above minimum is called a  $k$ -fragment of  $S$ . A  $k$ -fragment with minimal cardinality is called a  $k$ -atom.

What makes  $k$ -atoms interesting objects is the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.1 (The intersection property [7]). — *Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 \in S$ , and suppose  $S$  is  $k$ -separable. Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -atom of  $S$ . Let  $F$  be a  $k$ -fragment of  $S$  such that  $A \not\subset F$ . Then  $|A \cap F| \leq k - 1$ .*

The following Lemma follows from [9, Theorem 6.1], see also [12]:

LEMMA 2.2. — *Let  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with  $|S| \geq 3$  and  $0 \in S$ . Suppose  $S$  is 2-separable and  $\kappa_2(S) \leq |S| + m$ . Let  $A$  be a 2-atom of  $S$ . Then  $|A| \leq m + 3$ .*

Lemma 2.2 implies the following upper bound on the size of atoms.

LEMMA 2.3. — *Let  $k \geq 3$  and let  $A$  be a  $k$ -atom of a  $k$ -separable set  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \in S$ ,  $|S| \geq 2$  and  $\kappa_k(S) \leq |S| + m$ . Then  $|A| \leq 2m + k + 2$ .*

*Proof.* — The set  $A$  is clearly 2-separable. Let  $B$  be a 2-atom of  $A$  with  $0 \in B$ , so that  $|B + A| \leq |B| + |A| + m$ . Let  $b \in B$ ,  $b \neq 0$ . By Lemma 2.2 we have  $|B| \leq m + 3$ . Therefore,

$$(2.2) \quad |A \cup (b + A)| = |\{0, b\} + A| \leq |B + A| \leq |A| + 2m + 3.$$

But  $b + A$  is also a  $k$ -atom of  $S$ . By the intersection property, it follows that  $|A \cap (b + A)| \leq k - 1$ . Hence  $2|A| - (k - 1) \leq |A \cup (b + A)|$  which together with (2.2) gives the result.  $\square$

From now on  $S$  will refer to a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.3 for a fixed  $\epsilon > 0$  to be determined later, and  $m$  always denotes the integer  $m = |2S| - |S|$ . Without loss of generality we will also assume  $0 \in S$ .

Note that condition (ii) implies that  $S$  is  $(m + 3)$ -separable so that  $(m + 3)$ -atoms of  $S$  exist. Note that by the definition of an atom, if  $X$  is an atom of  $S$  then so is  $x + X$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore there are atoms containing the zero element.

In the sequel  $A$  will denote an  $(m + 3)$ -atom of  $S$  with  $0 \in A$ . We will regularly call upon the following two inequalities:

$$(2.3) \quad |S + A| \leq |S| + |A| + m$$

which follows from the definition of an atom, and

$$(2.4) \quad |A| \leq 3m + 5.$$

which follows from Lemma 2.3 with  $k = m + 3$ .

The reader should also bear in mind that for all practical purposes, inequality (2.4) means that we will only be dealing with cases when  $|A|$  is significantly smaller than  $|S|$ . Indeed, we shall prove Theorem 1.3 for a small value of  $\epsilon$ , namely  $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$ , so that  $3m$  is very much smaller than  $|S|$ . We can also freely assume that  $|S| \geq p/35$ , since otherwise Freiman's Theorem 1.1 gives the result with  $\epsilon = 0.4$ . The prime  $p$  will also be assumed to be larger than some fixed value  $p_0$  to be determined later.

### 3. The case $m \leq \log p$

In this section we will deal with the case when  $m$  is a very small quantity, *i.e.*, smaller than a logarithmic function of  $p$ . This will allow us to introduce, without technical difficulties to hinder us, the general idea of the method which is to first show that  $A$  must be contained in a short arithmetic progression and then to transfer the structure of  $A$  to the larger set  $S$ . It will also serve the additional purpose of allowing us to suppose  $m \geq 6$  when we switch to the looser condition  $m \leq \epsilon|S|$ .

We start by stating some results that we shall call upon. The first is a generalization of Freiman's Theorem in  $\mathbb{Z}$  to sums of different sets and is proved by Lev and Smelianski in [13], we give it here somewhat reworded (see also [14, Th. 4.8], or [18, Th. 5.12] for a slightly weaker version).

THEOREM 3.1 (Lev and Smeliński [13]). — *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two non-empty finite sets of integers with*

$$|X + Y| = |X| + |Y| + \mu.$$

*Assume that  $\mu \leq \min\{|X|, |Y|\} - 3$  and that one of the two sets  $X, Y$  has size at least  $\mu + 4$ . Then  $X$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $|X| + \mu + 1$  and  $Y$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $|Y| + \mu + 1$ .*

The second result we shall use is due to Bilu, Lev and Ruzsa [1, Theorem 3.1]<sup>(1)</sup> and gives a bound on the length of small sets in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . By the length  $\ell(X)$  of a set  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  we mean the length (cardinality) of the shortest arithmetic progression which contains  $X$ .

THEOREM 3.2 (Bilu, Lev, Ruzsa [1]). — *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with  $|X| \leq \log_4 p$ . Then  $\ell(X) < p/2$ .*

Theorem 3.2 will be used to show that, when  $m$  is small enough, then the atom  $A$  is contained in a short arithmetic progression.

LEMMA 3.3. — *Suppose that  $6m + 11 \leq \log_4 p$ . Then  $A$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $2(|A| - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* — Since we assume  $|S| \geq p/35$ , it follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that  $A$  is an  $(m + 4)$ -separable set. Let therefore  $B$  be an  $(m + 4)$ -atom of  $A$  containing 0, so that  $|B + A| \leq |B| + |A| + m$ . By Lemma 2.3 we have  $|B| \leq 3m + 6$  so that  $|A \cup B| \leq 6m + 11$ . By the present lemma's hypothesis, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that  $A \cup B$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length less than  $p/2$ . The sum  $A + B$  can therefore be considered as a sum of integers, so that Theorem 3.1 applies and  $A$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $|A| + m + 1 \leq 2|A| - 2$ .  $\square$

We now proceed to deduce from Lemma 3.3 the structure of  $S$ . It will be convenient to introduce the following notation.

Recall that we denote by  $\ell(X)$  the length of the smallest arithmetic progression containing  $X$ . By  $\ell_X(Y)$  we shall denote the length of a smallest arithmetic progression of difference  $x$  containing  $Y$ , where  $x$  is the difference of a shortest arithmetic progression containing  $X$ .

The point of the above definition is that if we have  $\ell_A(S) + \ell(A) \leq p$  then the sum  $S + A$  can be considered as a sum in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so that (2.3) and Theorem 3.1 applied to  $S$  and  $A$  imply Theorem 1.3. We summarize this point in the next Lemma for future reference.

<sup>(1)</sup> In [1] their statement is slightly different from Theorem 3.2, but this is actually what they prove.

LEMMA 3.4. — *If  $\ell_A(S) + \ell(A) \leq p$  then Theorem 1.3 holds.*

Whenever we will wish transfer the structure of  $A$  to  $S$  we will assume that  $\ell_A(S) + \ell(A) > p$  and look for a contradiction. We can think of this hypothesis as  $S$  having no ‘holes’ of length  $\ell(A)$ . In the present case of very small  $m$ , the desired result on  $S$  follows with very little effort.

LEMMA 3.5. — *Suppose that  $6m + 11 \leq \log_4 p$ . Then  $S$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $|S| + m + 1$ .*

*Proof.* — By Lemma 3.3,  $A$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of difference  $r$ , that we can assume to equal  $r = 1$ , and of length  $2(|A| - 1)$ . In particular  $A$  has two consecutive elements. Without loss of generality we may replace  $A$  by a translate of  $A$  and assume that  $\{0, 1\} \subset A$ . Let  $S = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_k$  be the decomposition of  $S$  into maximal arithmetic progressions of difference 1, so that

$$|S + A| \geq |S| + k.$$

Because of (2.3) we have  $k \leq |A| + m$ . By Lemma 3.4 we can assume every maximal arithmetic progression in the complement of  $S$  to have length at most  $\ell(A)$ . Therefore,

$$\ell_A(S) + \ell(A) \leq |S| + k\ell(A) \leq |S| + (|A| + m)2(|A| - 1).$$

Now by (2.4) we get

$$\ell_A(S) + \ell(A) \leq |S| + (4m + 5)(6m + 8) < |S| + (\log_4 p)^2 < \frac{p}{2} + (\log_4 p)^2$$

since  $|S| < p/2$ . We have  $\log_4^2 p < p/2$  for all  $p$  therefore we get  $\ell_A(S) + \ell(A) < p$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

## 4. The general case

### 4.1. Overview

When  $m$  grows we encounter two difficulties. First, Theorem 3.2 will not apply anymore to any set containing  $A$ , and we need an alternative method to argue that  $A$  is contained in a short arithmetic progression. Second, even if we do manage to prove that  $A$  is contained in a short arithmetic progression, we will not be able to deduce the structure of  $S$  from (2.3) by the simple technique of the preceding section.

We will now use an extra tool, namely the Plüneck-Ruzsa estimates for sumsets; see e.g. [16, 14].



**THEOREM 4.1** (Plünecké-Ruzsa [16]). — *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be finite subsets of an abelian group with  $|S+T| \leq c|S|$ . There is a nonempty subset  $S' \subset S$  such that*

$$|S' + jT| \leq c^j |S'|.$$

The Plünecké-Ruzsa inequalities applied to  $S$  and  $A$  will give us that there exists a positive  $\delta$  such that either  $A$  is contained in a progression of length  $(2 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$  or  $2A$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $(2 - \delta)(|2A| - 1)$  (Lemma 4.4). We will then proceed to transfer the structure of  $A$  or  $2A$  to  $S$ .

Again we shall use Lemma 3.4 to assume that  $S$  does not contain a “gap” of length  $\ell(A)$  or  $\ell(2A)$ . We define the density of a set  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  as  $\rho(X) = (|X| - 1)/\ell(X)$ . If  $\ell(A) \leq (2 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$  we will argue that the sum  $S + A$  must have a density at least that of  $A$  and get a contradiction with the upper bound on  $|S + A|$ . The details will be given in Subsection 4.3.

We will not be quite done however, because we can not guarantee that  $\ell(A) \leq (2 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$  holds. In that case we have to fall back on the condition  $\ell(2A) \leq (2 - \delta)(|2A| - 1)$ , meaning that it is the set  $2A$ , rather than  $A$ , that has large enough density. In this case we have to work a little harder. We proceed in two steps: we first apply the Plünecké-Ruzsa inequalities again to show that there exists a large subset  $T$  of  $S$  such that  $|T + 2A|$  is small. We then apply the density argument to show that  $T$  must be contained in an arithmetic progression with few missing elements. We then focus on the remaining elements of  $S$ , i.e., the set  $S \setminus T$ . We will again argue that if this set has a gap of length  $\ell(A)$  the desired result holds and otherwise the density argument will give us that  $S + A$  is too large. This analysis is detailed in Subsection 4.4 and will conclude our proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 4.2. Structure of $A$

**LEMMA 4.2.** — *Suppose  $6 \leq m \leq \epsilon|S|$  with  $\epsilon \leq 10^{-4}$ . Then for any positive integer  $k \leq 32$  we have*

$$|kA| \leq k(|A| + m) \left(1 + \frac{5k\epsilon}{2}\right) + 1.$$

*Proof.* — Rewrite (2.3) as

$$|S + A| \leq |S| + |A| + m = c|S|,$$

with  $c = 1 + \frac{|A|+m}{|S|}$ . By Theorem 4.1 (Plünecké–Ruzsa), for each  $k$  there is a subset  $S' = S'(k)$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad |S' + kA| \leq c^k |S'|.$$

Apply (2.4) and  $m \geq 6$  to get  $|A| \leq 3m + 5 \leq 4m$ . Since  $m \leq \epsilon|S|$  we obtain for the constant  $c$  just defined  $c \leq 1 + 5\epsilon$ . We clearly have

$$c^k |S'| \leq c^k |S| \leq (1 + 5\epsilon)^k |S| < 2|S| < p$$

for  $k \leq 32$ . Now apply the Cauchy-Davenport Theorem to  $S' + kA$  in (4.1) to obtain  $|S'| + |kA| - 1 \leq c^k |S'|$ , from which

$$(4.2) \quad |kA| \leq (c^k - 1)|S'| + 1 \leq (c^k - 1)|S| + 1.$$

Numerical computations give that

$$(1 + x)^k \leq 1 + kx + \frac{k^2}{2}x^2$$

for any positive real number  $x \leq 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$  and for  $k \leq 32$ . Hence, since  $c = 1 + (|A| + m)/|S| \leq 1 + 5\epsilon$ , we can write, for  $k \leq 32$ ,

$$c^k = \left(1 + \frac{|A| + m}{|S|}\right)^k \leq 1 + k \frac{|A| + m}{|S|} + \frac{k^2}{2} \left(\frac{|A| + m}{|S|}\right)^2.$$

Applied to (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |kA| &\leq k(|A| + m) + \frac{k^2}{2} \left(\frac{(|A| + m)^2}{|S|}\right) + 1 \\ &\leq k(|A| + m) \left(1 + \frac{k}{2} \frac{(|A| + m)}{|S|}\right) + 1 \\ &\leq k(|A| + m) \left(1 + \frac{5k\epsilon}{2}\right) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

LEMMA 4.3. — *If  $6 \leq m \leq \epsilon|S|$  with  $\epsilon \leq 10^{-4}$ , then  $A$  and  $2A$  are contained in an arithmetic progression of length less than  $p/2$ .*

*Proof.* — Put  $k = 2^j$  and  $c_1 = 2.44$ . Suppose that  $|2^j A| \geq c_1 |2^{j-1} A| - 3$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq 5$ . Then,

$$|32A| \geq c_1^5 |A| - 3(c_1^5 - 1)/(c_1 - 1) \geq 86|A| - 179 \geq 65|A| + 10,$$

where in the last inequality we have used  $|A| \geq m + 3 \geq 9$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 4.2, we have

$$(4.3) \quad |kA| \leq k(|A| + m) \left(1 + \frac{5k\epsilon}{2}\right) + 1 \leq 2k\left(1 + \frac{5k\epsilon}{2}\right)|A|,$$

which, for  $k = 32$ , gives  $|32A| \leq 64(1 + 80\epsilon)|A| \leq 65|A|$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $|2^j A| \leq c_1|2^{j-1}A| - 3$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq 5$ . Since

$$|2^{j-1}A| \leq |16A| \leq 32(1 + 40\epsilon)|A| \leq 64(1 + 40\epsilon)\epsilon p < 8 \cdot 10^{-3}p,$$

where again we have used inequality (4.3) for  $k = 16$  and  $|A| \leq 4m \leq 4\epsilon|S| \leq 2\epsilon p$ . It follows from Freiman's Theorem 1.1 (with  $c_0 = 8 \cdot 10^{-3}$  and  $c_1 = 2.44$ ) that  $A \subset 2^{j-1}A$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length at most

$$|2^j A| - |2^{j-1}A| + 1 < 1.44|2^{j-1}A| \leq (1.44)8 \cdot 10^{-3}p.$$

In particular,  $A$  and  $2A$  are included in arithmetic progressions of lengths less than  $p/2$ . □

Now that we know that  $A$  and  $2A$  are contained in an arithmetic progression of length smaller than  $p/2$ , we can apply to them the Freiman's  $(3k - 4)$ -Theorem to get the following result.

LEMMA 4.4. — *Suppose  $6 \leq m \leq \epsilon|S|$  with  $\epsilon \leq 10^{-4}$ , and let  $0 < \delta \leq 10^{-1}$ . If  $A$  is not contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $(2 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$  then  $2A$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $(2 - \delta)(|2A| - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* — Suppose first that  $|2A| \geq (3 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$  and  $|4A| \geq (3 - \delta)(|2A| - 1)$ . Then

$$(4.4) \quad |4A| \geq (3 - \delta)^2|A| - (3 - \delta)^2 - (3 - \delta) \geq (3 - \delta)^2|A| - 12.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 4.2 for  $k = 4$  and  $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$  gives  $|4A| \leq 4(1 + 10\epsilon)(|A| + m) + 1$ . By using (4.4) and  $m \leq |A| - 3$  we get

$$(3 - \delta)^2|A| - 12 \leq 8(1 + 10\epsilon)|A| - 12(1 + 10\epsilon) + 1.$$

Since  $m \geq 6$ , we have  $|A| \geq m + 3 \geq 9$ . Therefore we obtain

$$(3 - \delta)^2|A| < \left(8(1 + 10\epsilon) + \frac{1}{9}\right)|A|,$$

a contradiction for  $\delta \leq 0.1$ .

Hence,

- (a) either  $|2A| < (3 - \delta)(|A| - 1) < 3|A| - 3$ , but since  $\ell(A) < p/2$  by Lemma 4.3, Freiman's  $(3k - 4)$ -Theorem applies and  $A$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $|2A| - (|A| - 1) \leq (2 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$ .
- (b) Or  $|4A| < (3 - \delta)(|2A| - 1) < 3|2A| - 3$ , but using Lemma 4.3 again, Freiman's  $(3k - 4)$ -Theorem implies that  $2A$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length  $(2 - \delta)(|2A| - 1)$ . □

**4.3. Structure of  $S$  when  $\ell(A)$  is small.**

For a subset  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  define the density of  $B$  by

$$\rho B = \frac{|B| - 1}{\ell(B)}.$$

The next lemma gives a lower bound for the cardinality of a sumset of two subsets  $B, C \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  when  $\ell(B) + \ell(C) > p$  in terms of their densities. In the statement, by an interval  $[a, b]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  we mean the set  $\{a, a+1, \dots, b-1\}$ .

LEMMA 4.5. — Let  $0 \in C \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with  $C \subset [0, \ell(C))$  and  $\ell(C) < p/2$ . Let  $I_1, \dots, I_i, \dots, I_{2t}$  be the sequence of intervals defined by  $I_i = [(i - 1)c, ic)$ , where  $c = \ell(C)$  and  $t < p/2c$ . Let  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  such that for every  $i = 1, \dots, 2t$ , we have  $I_i \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Then,

$$|B + C| \geq |B \cup [(B + C) \cap I]| \geq |B| + (t - \frac{1}{2})\ell(C) \left( \rho C - \frac{|B \cap I|}{(2t - 1)c} \right),$$

where  $I = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_{2t}$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $B' = B \cap I$ . Let  $B_0^i = B' \cap I_{2i-1}$  and  $B_1^i = B' \cap I_{2i}$  and define  $B'_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^t B_0^i$ ,  $B'_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^t B_1^i$  so that  $B' = B'_0 \cup B'_1$ . Note that, since  $C \subset [0, c)$ ,

$$(B_0^i + C) \cap (B_0^j + C) = \emptyset$$

for  $i \neq j$  and that  $B_0^i + C \subset I_{2i-1} \cup I_{2i}$ . Therefore  $B'_0 + C$  can be written as the following union of disjoint sets.

$$B'_0 + C = \bigcup_{i=1}^t (B_0^i + C) \subset I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_{2t}.$$

Hence, since every set  $B_0^i$  is nonempty, the Cauchy-Davenport Theorem implies

$$(4.5) \quad |B'_0 + C| \geq |B'_0| + t(|C| - 1).$$

In a similar manner we have

$$\begin{aligned} (B'_1 + C) \cap I &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} (B_1^i + C) \cup (B_1^{2t} + C) \cap I \\ &\supset \bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} (B_1^i + C) \cup B_1^{2t} \end{aligned}$$

so that, applying the Cauchy-Davenport Theorem for  $i = 1 \dots t - 1$ , we get

$$(4.6) \quad |(B'_1 + C) \cap I| \geq |B'_1| + (t - 1)(|C| - 1).$$

Now we have  $|B + C| \geq |B \setminus B'| + |(B'_0 + C) \cap I|$  and likewise  $|B + C| \geq |B \setminus B'| + |(B'_1 + C) \cap I|$ , hence, applying (4.5) and (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} |B + C| &\geq |B \setminus B'| + \frac{1}{2} (|(B'_0 + C) \cap I| + |(B'_1 + C) \cap I|) \\ &\geq |B| - |B'|/2 + (t - \frac{1}{2})(|C| - 1) \\ &\geq |B| + (t - \frac{1}{2})c \left( \rho C - \frac{|B'|}{(2t - 1)c} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. □

Lemma 4.5 allows us to conclude the proof when the  $(m + 3)$ -atom  $A$  is contained in a short arithmetic progression.

LEMMA 4.6. — *Suppose  $6 \leq m \leq \epsilon|S|$  with  $\epsilon \leq 10^{-4}$ . Suppose furthermore that  $\ell(A) \leq (2 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$ . Then  $\ell(S) \leq |S| + m + 1$ .*

*Proof.* — Set  $a = \ell(A)$ . Write  $p = 2ta + r$ ,  $0 < r < 2a$  and partition  $[0, 2ta)$  into the union of intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_i, \dots, I_{2t}$ , where we denote  $I_i = [(i - 1)a, ia)$ . Let  $I = \cup_{i=1}^{2t} I_i = [0, 2ta)$  and  $S' = S \cap I$ .

Suppose that  $\ell_A(S) + \ell(A) > p$ . Then we have  $I_i \cap S' \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, 2t$ . By Lemma 4.5 with  $B = S$  and  $C = A$ ,

$$(4.7) \quad |S + A| \geq |S| + (t - \frac{1}{2})a \left( \rho A - \frac{|S'|}{(2t - 1)a} \right).$$

Now we have  $(2t - 1)a > p - 3a$  by definition of  $t$ . Since  $|A| \leq 3m + 5$  we have  $a = \ell(A) \leq 2(|A| - 1) \leq 6m + 8$ , and since we have supposed  $m \geq 6$ , we get  $a \leq 8m$ . We therefore have

$$(4.8) \quad (2t - 1)a > p - 3a \geq p - 24m > (1 - 12\epsilon)p.$$

By the hypothesis of the Lemma we have  $\rho A \geq 1/(2 - \delta)$ . Together with (4.8) we get, writing  $|S'| \leq |S| < p/2$ ,

$$\rho A - \frac{|S'|}{(2t - 1)a} > \frac{1}{2 - \delta} - \frac{1}{2 - 24\epsilon}.$$

Finally, applying again (4.8), inequality (4.7) becomes

$$(4.9) \quad |S + A| > |S| + \frac{p}{2}(1 - 12\epsilon) \left( \frac{1}{2 - \delta} - \frac{1}{2 - 24\epsilon} \right).$$

Now recall that by definition of  $A$  we have  $|A| \geq m + 3$ . We will therefore get that (4.9) contradicts (2.3) whenever the righthand side of (4.9) is

greater than  $|S| + 2|A|$ . Since  $|A| \leq 3m + 5 \leq 4m \leq 2\epsilon p$ , a contradiction is obtained whenever

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{1}{2}(1 - 12\epsilon) \left( \frac{1}{2 - \delta} - \frac{1}{2 - 24\epsilon} \right) \geq 4\epsilon.$$

For  $\epsilon \leq 10^{-4}$  the inequality (4.10) is verified for every  $\delta > 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ . Since Lemma 4.4 allows us to choose  $\delta$  up to the value  $10^{-1}$ , the hypothesis  $\ell_A(S) + \ell(A) > p$  can not hold, so that the result follows from Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

#### 4.4. Structure of $S$ when $\ell(2A)$ is small.

To conclude the proof of Theorem 1.3 it remains to consider the case where  $\ell(A) > (2 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$ . We break up the proof into several lemmas.

LEMMA 4.7. — *Suppose  $6 \leq m \leq \epsilon|S|$  with  $\epsilon \leq 10^{-4}$ . Suppose furthermore that  $\ell(A) > (2 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$ . Then*

- (i)  $|2A| \geq (3 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$ .
- (ii)  $\ell(A) \leq (1 - \delta/2)|2A|$ .

*Proof.* — By point (a) of the final argument in the proof of Lemma 4.4 we know that we can not have  $|2A| < (3 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$ . This proves (i).

Since  $A$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of length less than  $p/2$  (Lemma 4.3) we have  $\ell(A) \leq (\ell(2A) + 1)/2$ . Now Lemma 4.4 implies  $\ell(2A) \leq (2 - \delta)(|2A| - 1)$ , hence  $(\ell(2A) + 1)/2 \leq (1 - \delta/2)|2A|$ . This proves (ii).  $\square$

Next we apply the Plüneck-Ruzsa inequalities to exhibit a subset  $T$  of  $S$  that sums to a small sumset with  $2A$ . We then show that this set  $T$  must be contained in an arithmetic progression with few missing elements.

LEMMA 4.8. — *Suppose  $6 \leq m \leq \epsilon|S|$  with  $\epsilon \leq 10^{-4}$ . Suppose furthermore that  $\ell(A) > (2 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$ . Then there exists  $T \subset S$  such that, denoting  $\lambda = |T|/|S|$ ,*

$$(4.11) \quad |2A| \leq \lambda(4 + 10\epsilon)(|A| - 1),$$

$$(4.12) \quad \ell(T) \leq |T| + 2\ell(A).$$

*Proof.* — By Theorem 4.1 and (2.3), there is  $T \subset S$  such that

$$|T + 2A| \leq \left(1 + \frac{|A| + m}{|S|}\right)^2 |T| \leq |T| + 2(|A| + m) \frac{|T|}{|S|} + \frac{(|A| + m)^2}{|S|} \frac{|T|}{|S|}.$$

Writing  $|A| + m \leq 3m + 5 + m \leq 5m \leq 5\epsilon|S|$  and  $\lambda = |T|/|S|$  we get

$$(4.13) \quad |T + 2A| \leq |T| + \lambda(|A| + m)(2 + 5\epsilon) < p.$$

Now apply the Cauchy-Davenport Theorem  $|T + 2A| \geq |T| + |2A| - 1$  in (4.13) to get, since  $|A| \geq m + 3$ ,

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} |2A| - 1 &\leq \lambda(2|A| - 3)(2 + 5\epsilon), \text{ and} \\ |2A| &\leq 2\lambda(2 + 5\epsilon)(|A| - 1) - \lambda(2 + 5\epsilon) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if  $\lambda(2 + 5\epsilon) < 1$  then (4.14) gives  $|2A| < 2(|A| - 1) + 1$  which contradicts the Cauchy-Davenport Theorem. Therefore we have  $1 - \lambda(2 + 5\epsilon) \leq 0$  and (4.14) yields (4.11).

In the remaining part we prove (4.12). Recall that the hypothesis of the present lemma together with Lemma 4.4 imply

$$(4.15) \quad \ell(2A) \leq (2 - \delta)(|2A| - 1).$$

Suppose first that

$$(4.16) \quad \ell_{2A}(T) + \ell(2A) > p.$$

Set  $a_2 = \ell(2A)$  and  $p = 2ta_2 + r$  with  $0 < r < 2a_2$ . Let  $I = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_{2t}$  with  $I_i = [(i - 1)a_2, ia_2)$ . By (4.16) we have  $T \cap I_i \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, 2t$ . By Lemma 4.5 with  $B = T$  and  $C = 2A$ ,

$$(4.17) \quad |T + 2A| \geq |T| + (t - \frac{1}{2})a_2 \left( \rho(2A) - \frac{|T'|}{(2t - 1)a_2} \right)$$

where  $T' = T \cap I$ . By (4.15) we have  $a_2 \leq 2|2A|$ , so that by using (4.11) and  $\lambda \leq 1$  we obtain the following rough upper bound

$$a_2 \leq (8 + 20\epsilon)|A| \leq 9(3m + 5) \leq 36m$$

where we have used  $\epsilon \leq 1/20$ .

As in the proof of Lemma 4.6, we have, by definition of  $t$ ,

$$(4.18) \quad (2t - 1)a_2 \geq p - 3a_2 \geq p - 108m \geq p(1 - 54\epsilon)$$

so that, writing  $|T'| \leq |T| \leq |S| \leq p/2$ , and applying (4.15) we have

$$\rho(2A) - \frac{|T'|}{(2t - 1)a_2} \geq \frac{1}{2 - \delta} - \frac{1}{2 - 108\epsilon}.$$

Applying again (4.18), inequality (4.17) becomes

$$(4.19) \quad |T + 2A| \geq |T| + \frac{p}{2}(1 - 54\epsilon) \left( \frac{1}{2 - \delta} - \frac{1}{2 - 108\epsilon} \right).$$

On the other hand, (4.13) implies

$$|T + 2A| \leq |T| + 10m + 25\epsilon m \leq |T| + p(5\epsilon + 25\epsilon^2/2)$$

which together with (4.19) gives

$$(4.20) \quad 5\epsilon + 25\epsilon^2/2 \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - 54\epsilon) \left( \frac{1}{2 - \delta} - \frac{1}{2 - 108\epsilon} \right).$$

For  $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$  the inequality (4.20) fails to hold for each  $\delta \geq 2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ . Since (4.15) holds for every  $\delta \leq 10^{-1}$ , the hypothesis (4.16) can not hold, so that the sumset  $T + 2A$  behaves like a sum of integers. Let us write

$$|T + 2A| = |T| + |2A| + \mu$$

and check that the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold. By Lemma 4.7 (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |2A| &\geq (3 - \delta)(|A| - 1) \\ &\geq (2 + 5\epsilon)|A| + (1 - \delta - 5\epsilon)|A| - 3 \\ &\geq (2 + 5\epsilon)|A| + \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned}$$

since  $m \geq 6$  and  $|A| \geq m + 3 \geq 9$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2|2A| &\geq 2(2 + 5\epsilon)|A| + 3 \\ &\geq (2 + 5\epsilon)(|A| + m) + 3, \end{aligned}$$

which, since  $\mu \leq (|A| + m)(2 + 5\epsilon) - |2A|$  by (4.13), leads to

$$(4.21) \quad |2A| \geq \mu + 3.$$

Now by definition of  $\lambda$  we have  $|T| = \lambda|S|$  and we also have  $|S| \geq 11\epsilon|S|$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} |T| &\geq \lambda 11\epsilon|S| \geq \lambda 11m \\ &\geq \lambda(2 + 5\epsilon)5m \geq \lambda(2 + 5\epsilon)(|A| + m) \end{aligned}$$

and, since  $\mu \leq \lambda(|A| + m)(2 + 5\epsilon) - |2A|$  by (4.13), we obtain

$$(4.22) \quad |T| \geq \mu + |2A| \geq \mu + 4.$$

Inequalities (4.21) and (4.22) mean that Theorem 3.1 holds and we have:

$$\ell(T) \leq |T| + \mu + 1 \leq |T| + |2A| \leq |T| + \ell(2A) \leq |T| + 2\ell(A).$$

This proves (4.12) and concludes the lemma. □

LEMMA 4.9. — *Suppose  $6 \leq m \leq \epsilon|S|$  with  $\epsilon \leq 10^{-4}$ . Suppose furthermore that  $\ell(A) > (2 - \delta)(|A| - 1)$ . Then  $\ell(S) \leq |S| + m + 1$ .*



*Proof.* — Let  $T$  be the set guaranteed by Lemma 4.8. Let  $\bar{T} = S \setminus T$ , which belongs to an interval of length  $p - \ell(T)$ . Set  $a = \ell(A)$ . Let us apply again Lemma 4.5, this time with  $B = S$ ,  $C = A$ , and  $t$  defined so as to have  $p - \ell(T) = 2ta + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < 2a$ . As before, set  $I = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_{2t}$  with  $I_i = [(i - 1)a, ia)$ . Note that  $T \cap I = \emptyset$ , so that  $\bar{T} \cap I = S \cap I$ . Let us first suppose

$$(4.23) \quad \ell_A(S) + \ell(A) > p$$

which implies  $\bar{T} \cap I_i \neq \emptyset$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, 2t$ , so that by Lemma 4.5, and denoting  $\bar{T}' = \bar{T} \cap I = S \cap I$ ,

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} |S + A| &\geq |S \cup [(S + A) \cap I]| \\ &\geq |S| + (t - \frac{1}{2})a \left( \rho A - \frac{|\bar{T}'|}{(2t - 1)a} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $t$  and by (4.12) we have

$$(4.25) \quad (2t - 1)a > p - \ell(T) - 3a \geq p - |T| - 5a.$$

Now Lemma 4.7 (ii) and (4.11) give the following upper bound on  $a$

$$a \leq |2A| \leq \lambda(4 + 10\epsilon)|A| \leq \lambda(4 + 10\epsilon)4m \leq \lambda(4 + 10\epsilon)2\epsilon p$$

so that we can write  $-5a \geq -\lambda f(\epsilon)p$  with  $f(\epsilon) = 10(4 + 10\epsilon)\epsilon$ . Writing  $|T| = \lambda|S| < \lambda p/2$ , (4.25) becomes

$$(4.26) \quad (2t - 1)a > p(1 - \lambda(\frac{1}{2} + f(\epsilon))).$$

Next we write  $|\bar{T}'| \leq |\bar{T}| = |S| - |T| = (1 - \lambda)|S|$ , so that  $|S| \leq p/2$  gives

$$(4.27) \quad |\bar{T}'| \leq \frac{p}{2}(1 - \lambda).$$

Finally we bound  $\rho A$  from below. Apply again Lemma 4.7 (ii) and (4.11) to get

$$\ell(A) \leq (1 - \delta/2)|2A| \leq (1 - \delta/2)\lambda(4 + 10\epsilon)(|A| - 1),$$

so that we have

$$(4.28) \quad \rho A \geq \frac{1}{\lambda(1 - \delta/2)(4 + 10\epsilon)}.$$

Applying (4.26), (4.27) and (4.28) to (4.24) now gives

$$|S + A| > |S| + \frac{p}{2} \left[ \frac{1 - \lambda(\frac{1}{2} + f(\epsilon))}{\lambda(1 - \delta/2)(4 + 10\epsilon)} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda) \right].$$

Together with (2.3), writing  $|A| \leq 4m$  and  $m \leq \epsilon p/2$ , we obtain

$$(4.29) \quad \frac{1 - \lambda(\frac{1}{2} + f(\epsilon))}{\lambda(1 - \delta/2)(4 + 10\epsilon)} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda) - 5\epsilon < 0.$$

Now there exists  $\epsilon_\delta > 5.8 \cdot 10^{-3} > 0$  such that for every  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_\delta$ , the left-hand side of (4.29) is strictly positive for every value of  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . In that case (4.29) can not hold and we obtain a contradiction with the hypothesis (4.23). Therefore Theorem 3.1 implies the result.  $\square$

**Numerical values.** As it has been shown in the proofs Theorem 1.3 holds with  $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$ . As for the value of  $p_0$ , we use  $m \geq 6$  in Section 4, so in order to cover smaller values of  $m$ , the prime  $p$  should satisfy the condition in Lemma 3.5 that  $\log_4 p \geq 6m + 11 \geq 47$  which is equivalent to  $p \geq 2^{94}$ . We have tried to strike a balance between readability and obtaining the best possible constants. These values of  $\epsilon$  and  $p_0$  are not the best possible, but they give a reasonable account of what can be achieved through the methods of this paper.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Y. F. BILU, V. F. LEV & I. Z. RUZSA, "Rectification principles in additive number theory", *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **19** (1998), no. 3, Special Issue, p. 343-353, Dedicated to the memory of Paul Erdős.
- [2] G. A. FREĪMAN, "The addition of finite sets. I", *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika* **1959** (1959), no. 6 (13), p. 202-213.
- [3] ———, "Inverse problems in additive number theory. Addition of sets of residues modulo a prime", *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **141** (1961), p. 571-573.
- [4] ———, *Foundations of a structural theory of set addition*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1973, Translated from the Russian, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol 37, vii+108 pages.
- [5] B. GREEN & I. Z. RUZSA, "Sets with small sumset and rectification", *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **38** (2006), no. 1, p. 43-52.
- [6] Y. O. HAMIDOUNE, "On the connectivity of Cayley digraphs", *European J. Combin.* **5** (1984), no. 4, p. 309-312.
- [7] ———, "An isoperimetric method in additive theory", *J. Algebra* **179** (1996), no. 2, p. 622-630.
- [8] ———, "Subsets with small sums in abelian groups. I. The Vosper property", *European J. Combin.* **18** (1997), no. 5, p. 541-556.
- [9] ———, "Some results in additive number theory. I. The critical pair theory", *Acta Arith.* **96** (2000), no. 2, p. 97-119.
- [10] Y. O. HAMIDOUNE & Ø. J. RØDSETH, "An inverse theorem mod  $p$ ", *Acta Arith.* **92** (2000), no. 3, p. 251-262.
- [11] Y. O. HAMIDOUNE, O. SERRA & G. ZÉMOR, "On the critical pair theory in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ", *Acta Arith.* **121** (2006), no. 2, p. 99-115.
- [12] ———, "On the critical pair theory in abelian groups: beyond Chowla's theorem", *Combinatorica* **28** (2008), no. 4, p. 441-467.

- [13] V. F. LEV & P. Y. SMELIANSKY, “On addition of two distinct sets of integers”, *Acta Arith.* **70** (1995), no. 1, p. 85-91.
- [14] M. B. NATHANSON, *Additive number theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 165, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996, Inverse problems and the geometry of sum-sets, xiv+293 pages.
- [15] Ø. J. RØDSETH, “On Freiman’s 2.4-Theorem”, *Skr. K. Nor. Vidensk. Selsk.* (2006), no. 4, p. 11-18.
- [16] I. Z. RUZSA, “An application of graph theory to additive number theory”, *Sci. Ser. A Math. Sci. (N.S.)* **3** (1989), p. 97-109.
- [17] O. SERRA & G. ZÉMOR, “On a generalization of a theorem by Vosper”, *Integers* (2000), p. A10, 10 pp. (electronic).
- [18] T. TAO & V. VU, *Additive combinatorics*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 105, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006, xviii+512 pages.
- [19] A. G. VOSPER, “The critical pairs of subsets of a group of prime order”, *J. London Math. Soc.* **31** (1956), p. 200-205.

Manuscrit reccu le 3 avril 2008,  
accepté le 15 décembre 2008.

Oriol SERRA  
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya  
Matemàtica Aplicada IV  
Campus Nord - Edif. C3, C. Jordi Girona, 1-3  
08034 Barcelona (Spain)  
oserra@ma4.upc.edu

Gilles ZÉMOR  
Université Bordeaux 1  
Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, UMR 5251  
351, cours de la Libération  
33405 Talence (France)  
zemor@math.u-bordeaux1.fr