HUGH L. MONTGOMERY A note on rearrangements of Fourier coefficients

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 26, nº 2 (1976), p. 29-34 http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1976_26_2_29_0

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

\mathcal{N} umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

A NOTE ON REARRANGEMENTS OF FOURIER COEFFICIENTS by Hugh L. MONTGOMERY

Let $\{\varphi_k\}$ be a sequence of functions on $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, with the property that they are uniformly bounded,

$$\|\varphi_k\|_{\infty} \leq \mathbf{M},$$

and satisfy a Bessels inequality

(2)
$$\sum_{k} \left| \int_{0}^{1} f \varphi_{k} \right|^{2} \leq \mathbf{M}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} |f|^{2}.$$

For the sake of simplicity we suppose that M has the same value in (1) and (2); this does not occasion any loss of generality. Suppose that $\sum |a_k|^2 < \infty$. Then

(3)
$$f(x) = \sum_{k} a_{k} \varphi_{k}(x)$$

is a member of $L^{2}(\mathbf{T})$, since the dual of (2) asserts that

(4)
$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_k a_k \varphi_k \right|^2 \leq \mathbf{M}^2 \sum_k |a_k|^2.$$

In this note we obtain bounds for $\int_{E} |f|^2$ in terms of the measure of the set E and the numbers $|a_k|$. Following Hardy and Littlewood, we let the numbers a_0^*, a_1^*, \ldots be the numbers $|a_k|$, permuted so that $a_n^* \searrow$. Then we set

(5)
$$f^*(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^* \cos 2\pi nx.$$

THEOREM 1. — Let $\{\varphi_k\}$ be a sequence of functions satisfying (1) and (2), let f and f^* be defined by (3) and (5). Then for any measurable set $E \subseteq T$, with measure $|E| = 2\theta$, we have

(6)
$$\int_{\mathbf{E}} |f|^2 \leq 20 \mathbf{M}^2 \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} |f^*|^2.$$

If $C \in L^2(\mathbf{T})$, $C(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \cos 2\pi nx$, and if $C_n \setminus$, then $C = C^*$, so (6) implies that

$$\int_{\mathbf{E}} |\mathbf{C}|^2 \leq 20 \int_{-\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{0}} |\mathbf{C}|^2,$$

where $E \subseteq T$, $|E| = 2\theta$. Thus, although it is not necessarily true that C(x) is decreasing on $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, in a certain sense it is still the case that C is largest near 0.

Using a simple inequality of. A. Baernstein [2], we shall derive from Theorem 1 the following.

THEOREM 2. — Let ψ be a convex increasing function from $[0, \infty)$ to **R**. Then, in the above notation,

$$\int_{\mathbf{E}} \psi(|f|^2) \leq \int_{-6}^{6} \psi(20 \ \mathbf{M}^2 | f^* |^2).$$

Taking $\psi(t) = t^{q/2}$, we see from the above that

(7)
$$||f||_q \leq 5M ||f^*||_q \quad (q \geq 2).$$

Inequalities of this type have a long history. Hardy and Littlewood [3, 4] proved that

(8)
$$||f||_q \leq c_q ||f^*||_q \quad (q \geq 2)$$

in the case $\varphi_k(x) = e^{2\pi i k x}$, $-\infty < k < +\infty$. Littlewood [6] has shown that c_q is bounded in this case, and F. R. Keogh [5] has shown that $c_p \to 1$ as $q \to \infty$. In the opposite direction, Littlewood [7] showed that $c_q > 1$ except when q is an even integer. Consequently, the constant 20 in Theorems 1 and 2 can not be replaced by 1. R. E. A. C. Paley [9] extended (8) to the case of arbitrary uniformly bounded orthonormal φ_k (see Zygmund [11, XII § 5] for a simple proof). Theorem 2 does not seem to follow from the special case (7), since in general a convex increasing function $\psi(t)$ is not comparable to a sum $\sum c_r t^{a_r}, c_r \ge 0, a_r \ge 1$. If one were to consider, in place of f^* , a function

$$f^{-}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n * \varphi_n(x),$$

then one does not in general expect the inequality

$$\|f\|_q \leq c_q \|f^-\|_q \quad (q \geq 2)$$

to be valid, even when the φ_n are given in some natural order. (See G. A. Bachelis [1], and H. S. Shapiro [10]). However, in the special case of ordinary Dirichlet series, there are good reasons to believe that something positive may be said. For example, we can formulate a

Conjecture. — Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and $2 \le q \le 4$. Then for $T \ge 2$, $N > N_0(\varepsilon, q)$, we have

$$\int_{-\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{T}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n n^{-it} \right|^{q} dt \leq (\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{N}^{q/2}) \mathbf{N}^{q/2+\varepsilon},$$

for arbitrary coefficients a_n satisfying $|a_n| \leq 1$.

The above is known to be true when q = 2, q = 4; thus by Hölder's inequality it suffices to consider the case $T = N^{q/2}$. The Conjecture is of special interest in multiplicative number theory, since from it one can deduce (see Montgomery [8, Theorem 12.6]) that the interval $\left(x, x + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)$ contains a prime number, for all $x > x_0(\varepsilon)$.

We now prove Theorem 1. We have only countably many functions φ_k , so without loss of generality we may suppose that $0 \leq k < \infty$. Let π be the permutation such that $a_n^* = |a_{\pi(n)}|$. Put $N = [(2\theta)^{-1}]$, and set

$$\mathcal{N} = \{ \pi(n) : 0 \leq n \leq \mathbf{N} \}.$$

Thus \mathcal{N} is the set of indices of the N + 1 coefficients of largest absolute value. Break the sum (3) into two parts,

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathfrak{N}_b} + \sum_{n \notin \mathfrak{N}_b} = f_1 + f_2,$$

say. On one hand,

$$\int_{\mathbf{E}} |f_1|^2 \leq \|f_1\|_{\infty}^2 \int_{\mathbf{E}} 1 \leq 2\theta \left(\operatorname{M} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n^* \right)^2,$$

in view of (1). On the other hand, from (4) we see that

$$\int_{E} |f_{2}|^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{1} |f_{2}|^{2} \leq M^{2} \sum_{n > N} a_{n}^{*2}.$$

For each $|x, |f|^2 \leq 2|f_1|^2 + 2|f_2|^2$, so on combining the above we find that

(9)
$$\int_{\mathbf{E}} |f|^2 \leq 4\theta \left(\mathbf{M} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n^* \right)^2 + 2\mathbf{M}^2 \sum_{n>N} a_n^{*2}.$$

It now remains to relate the right hand side above to $\int_{-\theta}^{\theta} |f^*|^2$. Let $\mathbf{K}(x) = \max(0, 1 - |x|\theta^{-1})$ for $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\int_{-\theta}^{\theta} |f^*|^2 \geq \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{K} |f^*|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_m^* a_n^* (\hat{\mathbf{K}}(m+n) + \hat{\mathbf{K}}(m-n)).$ Now $\hat{\mathbf{K}}(m) = \theta \left(\frac{\sin \pi m \theta}{\pi m \theta}\right)^2 \geq 0$, so (10) $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_m^* a_n^* \hat{\mathbf{K}}(m-n) \leq \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} |f^*|^2.$

If $|m - n| \leq N$ then

(11)
$$\hat{K}(m-n) \ge \theta \left(\frac{\sin \pi N\theta}{\pi N\theta}\right)^2 \ge \theta \left(\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}\pi}{\frac{1}{2}\pi}\right)^2 = 4\pi^{-2}\theta,$$

since $N \leq (2\theta)^{-1}$. But $a_n^* \geq 0$, so

(12)
$$\theta \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} a_n^*\right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \pi^2 \sum_{0 \leq m, n \leq N} \hat{K}(m-n) a_m^* a_n^*.$$

If $0 < n - N \le m \le n$ then $a_m^* \ge a_n^*$, so from (11) we find that

$$\sum_{n-\mathbb{N}\leqslant m\leqslant n}a_m^*\hat{\mathbf{K}}(m-n) \geq 4\pi^{-2}\theta(\mathbb{N}+1)a_n^* \geq 2\pi^{-2}a_n^*,$$

since $N + 1 > (2\theta)^{-1}$. Hence

(13)
$$\sum_{n>N} a_n^{*2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 \sum_{\substack{n>N\\ n-N \leq m \leq n}} \hat{K}(m-n) a_m^* a_n^*.$$

32

$$\int_{\mathbf{E}} |f|^2 \leq \pi^2 \theta \mathbf{M}^2 \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_m^* a_n^* \hat{\mathbf{K}}(m-n).$$

But $2\pi^2 < 20$, so by (10) our proof is complete.

We note that once (9) is established, the remainder of the proof can be effected in several ways. In proving (8), Hardy and Littlewood [3] established that

$$\int_0^1 |f^*|^q \approx {}_q \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n^{*q} (n+1)^{q-2}.$$

One can modify their proof of this (see also Keogh [5]) to show that

$$\sum_{n>t_{j-1}} n^{-2} \left(\sum_{0 \le m \le n} a_m^* \right)^2 < c \int_{-4}^{4} |f^*|^2.$$

Theorem 1 follows easily from the above and (9), apart from the values of constants.

To prove Theorem 2 we require the following result of A. Baernstein [2].

LEMMA. – For $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, let $f^+(\theta) = \sup_{\mathbf{E}} \int_{\mathbf{E}} |f|$, where the supremum is taken over all measurable sets $\mathbf{E} \subseteq 0, 1$) such that $|\mathbf{E}| = 2\theta$. For two functions $r, s \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$, the following are equivalent:

(a) For all
$$\theta \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
, $r^+(\theta) \leq s^+(\theta)$;

1

(b) For any $\psi(t)$, convex and increasing on $[0, \infty)$, we have

$$\int_0^1 \psi(|\mathbf{r}|) \leq \int_0^1 \psi(|\mathbf{s}|).$$

In the language of this lemma, we find from Theorem 1 that $(|f|^2)^+(\theta) \leq (20M|f^*|^2)^+(\theta)$. Hence

$$\|\psi(|f|^2)\|_1 \leq \|\psi(20M|f^*|^2)\|_1.$$

However, with a little more care we obtain the full strength of Theorem 2. Let $E \subseteq [0, 1)$ be a set with $|E| = 2\theta$. Put $r = |f|^2 \chi_E$, $s = 20M^2 |f^*|^2 \chi_{(-\theta, \theta)}$. Then by Theorem 1, $r^+ \leq s^+$,

so by the Lemma above, $\|\psi(r)\|_1 \leq \|\psi(s)\|_1$. If $\psi(0) = 0$, then this asserts that

$$\int_{\mathbf{E}} \psi(|f|^2) \leq \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} \psi(20\mathrm{M}^2|f^*|^2).$$

To obtain this for general ψ we have only to add a constant to both sides of the inequality. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] G. A. BACHELIS, On the upper and lower majorant properties of L^p(G), Quart. J. Math. (Oxford), (2), 24 (1973), 119-128.
- [2] A. BAERNSTEIN, II, Integral means, univalent functions and circular symmetrizations, Acta Math., 133 (1974), 139-169.
- [3] G. H. HARDY and J. E. LITTELWOOD, Notes on the theory of series (XIII): Some new properties of Fourier constants, J. London Math. Soc., 6 (1931), 3-9.
- [4] G. H. HARDY and J. E. LITTELWOOD, A new proof of a theorem on rearrangements, J. London math. Soc., 23 (1949), 163-168.
- [5] F. R. KEOGH, Some inequalities of Littlewood and a problem on rearrangements, J. London Math. Soc., 36 (1961), 362-376.
- [6] J. E. LITTLEWOOD, On a theorem of Paley, J. London Math. Soc., 29 (1954), 387-395.
- [7] J. E. LITTLEWOOD, On inequalities between f and f^* , J. London Math. Soc., 35 (1960), 352-365.
- [8] H. L. MONTGOMERY, Topics in multiplicative number theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Vol. 227, (1971), 187 pp.
- [9] R. E. A. C. PALEY, Some theorems on orthogonal functions, Studia Math., 3 (1931), 226-238.
- [10] H. S. SHAPIRO, Majorant problems for Fourier coefficients, to appear.
- [11] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric series, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1968.

Manuscrit reçu le 17 avril 1975 Proposé par J. P. Kahane.

> Hugh L. MONTGOMERY, University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Mich. (USA).

34