

AgriLAC Resiliente: Sistemas de Innovación Agroalimentaria Resilientes en América Latina y el Caribe



**Remote Sensing and Artificial** Intelligence for Soil Organic Carbon Geospatial Modeling

Mariella Carbajal<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Cecilia Turin<sup>1,3</sup>, Sean Schaeffer<sup>4</sup>, Roberto Quiroz<sup>1,5</sup>, Percy Zorogastua<sup>1,6</sup>, Felipe Mendiburu<sup>1,7</sup>, David A. Ramírez<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>International Potato Center (CIP). Crop and Systems Sciences Division. Av. La Molina 1895, La Molina, Lima, Peru.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering. North Carolina State University.

<sup>3</sup>The Mountain Institute

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biosystems Engineering and Soil Science. University of Tennessee Knoxville.

<sup>5</sup>Centro Agronómico Tropical de Investigación y Enseñanza.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Agronomy. Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Statistics and Informatics. Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina.

76°0'W

\*e-mail: m.carbajal@cigar.org

## Background

High plateau grasslands and peatlands are great carbon sequesters but highly vulnerable

Most of the efforts have been mostly addressed soil organic carbon; however, recalcitrant carbon and their isopic compositions are also key variables to pay attention when taking about climate change

In Junin, from 1987 to 2015, Maca areas increased from 60 ha to 1543 ha, which meant a 55% loss of pastures (Fig 1).



Fig. 1: Evolution of the area planted with maca in Peru.

Land use change has a significant impact on carbon sequestration/emissions, soil fertility, livestock, sustainability, among others.



## **Soil sampling and analysis**

## **Preliminary Results**



### Soil sampling:

- Sampling sites were defined with the Latin hypercube method.
- Soil samples were collected as

shown in Fig. 3.

Soil analysis:

- Soil carbon analysis were performed at University of Tennessee, USA.
- Fig. 3: Soil sampling approach
- Texture and pH at UNALM-Lima, Peru

### **Carbon variables of interest for this study and their abbreviations:**

- Soil organic carbon (SOC)
- $\circ$  Isotopic composition of soil organic carbon ( $\delta$ 13C SOC)
- Recalcitrant carbon (Recalc. C)
- $\circ$  Isotropic composition of recalcitrant carbon ( $\delta$ 13C Recalc. C)

**Table 1:** Pearson correlation among the carbon variables.

	SOC	<b>δ13C SOC</b>	Recal. C	δ13C Recal. C
SOC	1			
<b>δ13C SOC</b>	-0.514	1		
Recal. C	0.796	-0.276	1	
<b>δ13C Recal. C</b>	0.179	-0.001	0.196	1

# Machine learning modeling approach

0.6 Model Efficiency Model RF NN SVM 0.0 SOC Recalc. C SOC δ13C Recalc. C δ13C SOC

Fig. 5: Model efficiencies for total soil organic carbon, recalcitrant carbon and their isotopic compositions. RF = random forest, NN = neural networks, and SVM = support vector machine.

Table 2: Most important
variables found by the models
for all the carbon variables.

SOC	Land-use, NBR, SWIR2, NDMI
δ13C SOC	Land-use, NDMI
Recal. C	Land-use, pH, Eto
δ13C Recal. C	pH, dlake, Tmin, NDMI

- Land-use was the most important variable for almost all carbon variables (except) δ13C Recal. C), followed by pH for both recalcitrant C variables. NDMI was also in the top-5 for almost all of the carbon variables.
- $\circ \delta 13C$  Recal. C had very different top-3 most important variables from the others; which is consistent with its lack of correlation with the other carbon variables.
- Both model performance and most important variables agree with other similar studies [2,3]

- Due to the complexity of the carbon dynamics, machine learning algorithms was tested and compared.
- Complementary to the sampling data environmental data from remote sensing were considered as potential drivers of the carbon variables.

Machine learning models used for comparison: • Random Forest • Neural Networks

• Support vector Machine

**REMOTE SENSING DATA** WORLDCLIM / LANDSAT 8/ ALOS PALSAR DEM Publicly available data CLIMATE SOILS **VEGETATION** TOPOGRAPHY 7 MS bands, Tmax/ Tmin DEM / slope/ /Precipitation/ NDVI/EVI/SAVI TWI / Lake pH / clay / sil MSAVI/NDW /apotranspira distance / sand SER1/SER2/SE on (dlake) (Eto) R3/NBR1/NBR

### CARBON VARIABLE Fig. 4: Machine learning model components.

## Main Takeaways

- The RF and NN models captured non-linear interaction with an acceptable performance (except for  $\delta 13C$  SOC), considering that majority of land-uses were a kind of pasture in the end.
- Different carbon variables seems to have somewhat different drivers. Further research is needed.
- The high spatial heterogeneity of the Andes as well as the small plots could not be well represented by remote sensing data.

## References

[1] Turin, C.; Carbajal, M.; Zorosgatua, P.; Chamorro, A. 2017. El boom de la maca, transformando paisajes y sociedades rurales de la zona altoandina. 17 Seminario Permanente de Investigacion Agraria (SEPIA). Cajamarca (Peru). 29-31 Ago 2017. Lima (Peru). SEPIA. 23 p. [2] Zhang, H., Zhou, Z. Recalcitrant carbon controls the magnitude of soil organic matter mineralization in temperate forests of northern China. For. Ecosyst. 5, 17 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40663-018-0137-z

[3] Keskin, H., Grunwald, S., & Harris, W. G. (2019). Digital mapping of soil carbon fractions with machine learning. *Geoderma*, 339, 40-58.

#### CIP thanks all donors and organizations that globally support its work through their contributions to the CGIAR Trust Fund: www.cgiar.org/funders