

Scoping study report on potential existing value chains in the North-West region of Tunisia

Scoping report

ICARDA Team

Boubaker Dhehibi, Asma Souissi, Aymen, Frija, Hassen Ouerghemmi, Veronique Alary, Zied Idoudi, Udo Rudiger, and Mourad Rekik

Tunisian Team

Mohamed Zied Dhraief, Meriem Oueslati Zlaoui, Rihab Mejri, and Mourad Ouji

December/2022

The objective of this report is to select the main value chains with potential to integrate the agroecological principles in the Northwest of Tunisia. A descriptive analysis on the two governorates chosen was first made, then 4 focus group discussions were organized with local farmers associations. The VC assessment according to agroecological principles conducted with the main actors places the olive oil VC as the major value chain with agroecological character.

The CGIAR initiative Transformational Agroecology across Food, Land and Water Systems develops and scales agroecological innovations with small-scale farmers and other food system actors in seven low- and middle-income countries. It is one of 32 initiatives of CGIAR, a global research partnership for a food-secure future, dedicated to transforming food, land, and water systems in a climate crisis. www.cgiar.org/initiative/31-transformational-agroecology-across-food-land-and-water-systems/





List of acronyms

| ΑΡΙΑ | Agricultural Investment Promotion Agency |
|-----------|---|
| AVFA | Agricultural Extension and Training Agency |
| CEPEX | Center for the Promotion of Exports |
| CRDA | Regional Commissariat to the Agricultural Development |
| DGPA | Directorate-General for Agricultural Production |
| GIVLAIT | Interprofessional Grouping for Red Meats and Milk |
| GIZ | German Agency for International Cooperation |
| НА | Hectare |
| ICARDA | International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas |
| INRAT | National Institute of Agronomic Research of Tunis |
| MA | Ministry of Agriculture, Hydraulic Resources and Maritime Fishing |
| ODESYPANO | North West Development Sylvo-Pastoral Office |
| OEP | Office of Livestock and Pasture |
| ONH | National Office of Olive Oil |
| SMSA | Mutual Society for Agricultural Services |
| SWOT | Strengths Weaknesses Opportunities Threats |
| TND | Tunisian Dinar |
| VC | Value Chain |



List of figures

| Figure 1. Kef Governorate and its delegations (CRDA, 2020) | 5 |
|---|----|
| Figure 2. Location of Siliana Governorate (CRDA, 2020) | 7 |
| Figure 3. Workshop photo of the visioning in Sers community, October 2022 | 10 |
| Figure 4. The three dimensions for the selection of the value chains | 11 |



List of tables

| Table 1. Main agricultural speculationsin the kef region in 2020 (in Ha) | . 6 |
|---|-----|
| Table 2. Main livestock productionin the kef region in 2020 (in Ha) | . 6 |
| Table 3. Main agricultural speculation in Siliana region in 2020 (in Ha) | . 8 |
| Table 4. Main livestock production in Siliana region in 2020 (in Ha) | . 8 |
| Table 5. Main value chain selected at the living lab level according to the importance of economic, | |
| social and environmental aspects | 12 |
| Table 6. Agroecological practices revealed by the farmers of living labs | 13 |
| Table 7. Agroecological principles applied to the main VC at the living labs | 13 |



Introduction

The objective of this report is to analyze potential value chains in the north-west of Tunisia that are favorable to upgrade to Agro ecological principles. Based on previous results of development projects led by ICRADA, two regions were chosen Siliana and Kef. The first task of this work was to build some knowledge about the descriptive statistics on both regions on the most practiced value chains. The second task was to meet the community, to identify and co design, which value chain can integrate the Agroecological principles in a participatory approach. In this context four focus group discussions were organized one in kef and three in Siliana. This report is organized in two sections: Section 1 provides an overview of the descriptive national statistics on kef and Siliana governorates. Section 2 presents the results obtained from the focus group discussion conducted at the living labs level.

1. Descriptive national statistics on kef and Siliana governorates

1.1.General characteristics on Kef governorate

Located in the north-west of the country, Kef governorate is an area between Tunisia and the Maghreb countries along the Algerian border, it covers an area of 5,081 square kilometers, representing 3.2% of the national area and about 30.7% of the northwest region (Figure 1). The Kef governorate has a population of around 243,156 according to the 2014 census (2.2% of the country's total population). This population is also more rural (43.5%) than the Tunisian population as a whole with an average of 32.2% (RGPH, 2014).

The agricultural and fishing labour force represents about 14.6% of the total labour force (ODNO, 2017).Kef represents 10% of the national cereal production, 3.4% of milk and about 7% of red meat (ODNO, 2020)which makes the region an important contributor to national food security.



Figure 1. Kef Governorate and its delegations (CRDA, 2020)



The semi-arid climate of western and southwestern Kef is particularly dry. Annual precipitation is estimated to range between 300 and 600 mm (ODNO Kef, 2020), providing water to several dams, including the Meleg Dam and the Tessa river.

Kef governorate is a traditional agricultural region, starting from an extensive system integrating large crops and small ruminants, with an extension of the irrigated areas counting today around 16 600 ha. Four main plant speculations are practiced: cereals, olive trees, fruit trees, vegetable crops, which vary in terms of allocation from one delegation to another (Table 1, See Annex 1 for value chain mapping in Kef).

| Delegation | Cereals | Legumes | Forages | Olive trees | |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|--|
| The Kef Est | 19830 | 616 | 2480 | 5439 | |
| The West Kef | 10050 | 25 | 1400 | 3105 | |
| Dahmani | 31700 | 01 | 2255 | 4264 | |
| Tejerouine | 22960 | - | 3595 | 7895 | |
| Sers | 18700 | 200 | 5049 | 6305 | |
| Ksour | 17100 | - | 4100 | 3345 | |
| Djrissa | 9170 | 01 | 1214 | 995 | |
| KalaatSnen | 15870 | - | 1690 | 2741 | |
| KalaatKhesba | 10960 | - | 211 | 1719 | |
| Nebeur | 13560 | 1012 | 1690 | 7310 | |
| Sakiet Sidi Youssef | 20050 | 70 | 4141 | 5033 | |
| Touiref | 6950 | 550 | 1800 | 2660 | |
| Governorate | 196900 | 2475 | 29625 | 50810 | |
| Source: ODNO_KEE 2020 | | | | | |

Table 1. Main agricultural speculations in the kef region in 2020 (in Ha)

Concerning animal production, the livestock sector has a strategic position in the regional economy by contributing to the coverage of 70% of the governorate's needs in meat and milk products. Three major animal speculations are developed in the governorate: ruminant breeding (sheep, goats and cattle), poultry breeding and beekeeping (Table 2, See Annex 1 for value chain mapping in Kef).

| Delegation | Ovine (female | Bovine | | Goats Beekeeping (female | | | Poultry (Thousand |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | producer) | Local and cross-breed | Pure Race | producers) | Traditional Hives | Modern hives | |
| The Kef Est | 45000 | 1600 | 1500 | 5600 | 04 | 326 | |
| The West Kef | 19500 | 157 | 322 | 2330 | 10 | 580 | 75 |
| Dahmani | 35000 | 367 | 432 | 3000 | 27 | 725 | - |
| Tejerouine | 32350 | 132 | 234 | 3255 | 47 | 1395 | _ |
| Sers | 42615 | 474 | 531 | 3418 | _ | 200 | _ |
| Ksour | 41500 | 500 | 195 | 1900 | 30 | 440 | _ |
| Djrissa | 9000 | 45 | 14 | 1800 | 22 | 132 | _ |
| KalaatSnen | 49885 | 120 | 94 | 12460 | 426 | 445 | _ |
| KalaatKhesba | 9000 | 01 | 09 | 1500 | 30 | 560 | 18 |
| Nebeur | 20530 | 992 | 1125 | 2505 | 58 | 1437 | _ |
| Sakiet Sidi | 24600 | 360 | - | 5060 | 20 | 654 | - |
| Touiref | 16100 | 355 | 250 | 715 | 20 | 654 | _ |

Table 2. Main livestock productionin the kef region in 2020 (in Ha)



1.2. General characteristics on Siliana governorate

The governorate of Siliana is located in the region of the upper Tell of the north-west of Tunisia. It is bounded by 7 governorates (Beja, Jendouba, Kef, Sidi Bouzid, Kasserine, Kairouan and Zaghouan), making it an area of passage between the North-West and the center of the country (Figure 2). Siliana covers a total area of 4,642 km², representing 2.8% of the country's surface area and 28% of the total area of the North-West region. Its population is 223,087, among them 57% are rural. The agricultural labour force represents 27.2% of the total labour force (INS, 2014).



Figure 2. Location of Siliana Governorate (CRDA, 2020)

The governorate is characterized by a continental climate distinguished by fluctuating temperatures and frequent winds with an average annual rainfall of 500 mm in the heights and 300 mm in the plains (Table 3). There is a large cereal plantation in the north of the governorate while in the center and south there are small trees, cereal or fodder farms based mainly on mountain farming and extensive livestock farming.Four main plant speculations are practiced: cereals, olive trees, fruit trees, fodder and vegetable crops, which vary in terms of allocation from one delegation to another (Table 3, See Annex 2 for value chain mapping in Siliana).

The irrigated perimeters in the governorate of Siliana cover a total area of 18707ha, including 61% of public irrigated perimeters and 39% of private irrigated perimeters (ODNO, Siliana 2020).



| | | | | | Source: CRDA Si | liana, ODNO, 20 | 20 |
|---------------|---------|-------------|---------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| Delegation | Cereals | Olive trees | Arboriculture | Forages | Leguminous | Vegetables | Total |
| Siliana Nord | 16350 | 5673 | 315 | 5600 | 225 | 210 | 28373 |
| South Siliana | 18450 | 8295 | 713 | 6000 | 358 | 200 | 34016 |
| Bouarada | 13000 | 10606 | 170 | 3650 | 300 | 200 | 27926 |
| Gaafour | 14600 | 9164 | 473 | 5400 | 580 | 290 | 30507 |
| Lâroussa | 16800 | 5508 | 684 | 5700 | 363 | 330 | 29385 |
| El Krib | 12250 | 4918 | 625 | 5400 | 292 | 240 | 26425 |
| Bourouis | 12450 | 9850 | 401 | 4700 | 550 | 225 | 28176 |
| Makthar | 13650 | 6545 | 1161 | 1750 | 60 | 80 | 23246 |
| Bargou | 14000 | 6756 | 731 | 6000 | 137 | 220 | 27844 |
| Kesra | 8000 | 7259 | 866 | 1050 | 5 | 60 | 17240 |
| Rouhia | 20300 | 6790 | 2025 | 550 | 20 | 160 | 29845 |
| Governorate | 159850 | 81369 | 8164 | 45800 | 5590 | 2215 | 302983 |

 Table 3. Main agricultural speculation in Siliana region in 2020 (in Ha)

Livestock farming plays a strategic role in the regional economy by helping to meet the governorate's needs for meat and milk products. Two major animal speculations are developed in the governorate: small ruminants (sheep, goats and cattle) and beekeeping (Table 4, See Annex 2 for value chain mapping in Siliana).

| Delegation | Ovine | Bovine | | Goats | Goats | Be | ehives |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------|--------|-------------|
| | (female producer) | Local and cross- breed | Pure Race | (female producer) | (Female Unit) | Modern | Traditional |
| South | 22940 | 1190 | 740 | 2680 | 2680 | 650 | 35 |
| Siliana Nord | 21830 | 200 | 1080 | 2340 | 2340 | 850 | 40 |
| Bouarada | 28350 | 750 | 500 | 1040 | 1040 | 1800 | 28 |
| Gaâfour | 24300 | 646 | 162 | 345 | 345 | 1600 | 20 |
| Krib | 24290 | 2050 | 2300 | 2340 | 2340 | 900 | 30 |
| Bourouis | 27350 | 1690 | 530 | 895 | 895 | 1300 | 40 |
| Makthar | 34005 | 1600 | 460 | 2895 | 2895 | 1700 | 170 |
| Kesra | 28360 | 257 | 175 | 5660 | 5660 | 2300 | 120 |
| Rouhia | 48590 | 722 | 531 | 16695 | 16695 | 200 | 300 |
| Laâroussa | 15350 | 390 | 185 | 1450 | 1450 | 2400 | 45 |
| Bargou | 24635 | 695 | 507 | 3660 | 3660 | 2500 | 50 |
| Governorate | 300000 | 11990 | 7170 | 40000 | 40000 | 18000 | 878 |

Table 4. Main livestock production in Siliana region in 2020 (in Ha)

Source: ODNOSiliana, 2020

2. Value chain selection at the living labs level

After a first focus on the national descriptive data concerning the two regions of the project, the second step was to deepen our knowledge about the value chains practiced in these regions by meeting the communities. For this purpose, 4 focus group discussions(FGD) were organized:

- The first FGD was organized on the 5th October 2022 at SERS, el Kef with a local farmer association (GDA Rural women in el SERS); A visioning approach was done in collaboration with CIRAD.
- Then three FGDs were organized in Siliana during 3 days: November 1st, 2nd and 3rd, 2022 at the three living labs (SMSA Ankoud El Khir, SMSA ETTAWEN, SMSA Kouzira). The objective was to identify with the communities the main value chains with agroecological character. The selection of the value chains by the members of the living labs was done according to a global evaluation matrix prioritizing the value chains on the basis of a set of predefined criteria (economic, social and environmental) of impact and feasibility.

2.1. First FGD in Sers living lab: The visioning approach

Meeting the GDA "Rural women in el SERS" in Sers community was the first focus group discussion done with the community (Figure 3). This GDA was created in 2015, it has 6 members and 55 adherents. The activities done among the GDA are breeding of small ruminants, cereal cultivation (the average size is between 2 and 2,5ha in the irrigated perimeter and 3ha in the others. All the members have less than 10 hectares and some of them rent land. They also practice beekeeping, poultry, grow saffron and vegetables.

The objective of this FGD was to perform a visioning approach which is a process of creating a compelling statement of what an organization aspires to be or to achieve in the medium term (i.e., in five years) or in the long term (10 or 20 years) (Shipley, 2000). In our case study, the members of the GDA were asked about their vision concerning their agriculture in the past, present and future. The group was split into two smaller groups working in two parallel sessions according to their type of agriculture: rainfed or irrigated.

In order to develop this vision, all persons present had to communicate their statements clearly and unambiguously. The first step was the share of individual visions; each participant presented to the other members of the group his vision of what he sees in agriculture in the past, present and future. Then in a second step, the idea was to develop a collective vision. The participants were invited to design a common vision with a common statement summarizing the group's vision.





Figure 3. Workshop photo of the visioning in Sers community, October 2022

2.2. Living labs in Siliana

The first activity of WP3 of the project consists in selecting and validating value chains with high economic, social and environmental potential, by living lab/study area. The selection of the value chains must be done according to a global evaluation matrix prioritizing the value chains on the basis of a set of predefined criteria (economic, social and environmental) of impact and feasibility(See figure 4).

Matrix templates created with guidelines can be used throughout the selection process to guide data collection efforts during field visits, conduct stakeholder workshops and serve as checklists (Jochem S et al, 2015).





Figure 4. The three dimensions for the selection of the value chains

The three living lab in Siliana are:

- AnkoudElKhir: It is an SMSA situated in Rhahla (Gaafour), created in 2022. It has 3 members, 27 adherents and 100 beneficiaries. The activities concern livestock (more than 50% of the members are small ruminant breeders); Cereal crops (Wheat) and olive trees (between 100 and 400 trees for each member)
- Ettawen: It is an SMSA situated in Chouarnia (Makther), created in 2017. It has 3 members, 114 adherents and 126 beneficiaries. The activities concern arable crops (wheat and barley); livestock (fattening and lamb breeding). On average 80% of the members have between 20 and 50 heads of small ruminants and about 4 cows; olive trees (an average of 150 per farmer)
- Kouzira: It is an SMSA situated in Kesra, created in 2020. It has 9 members, 120 adherents and 500 beneficiaries. The activities concern arboriculture (Fig trees, Olive trees, Cherry trees); Cereals (between 0.5 and 5 ha).

In order to meet our objective, three workshops were organized with the stakeholders (partners/potential beneficiaries) of the value chains. The first one took place in Rhahla, Gaafour with SMSA'Ankoud El Khir' on the 1st November 2022, the second one took place in Chouarnia ,Makther on the 2nd of November 2022 with SMSA ETTAWEN and the third one took place in Kesra with SMSA Kouzira in the 3rd of November 2022.

2.3. Value chain selection from the livings labs FGD

The results of the focus group discussions conducted at the four living labs based on the economic, social and environmental aspects have revealed that the main value chains selected are cereals, olive oil and sheep meat for all the living labs. Honey VC and Fig tree VC were also chosen by the living lab of Kesra "Kouzira" (Table 5). In terms of added value, olive oil VC and Honey VC have great opportunities to valorise specific and local products especially in Siliana. Sheep meat VC allows the small breeders of all living labs to have a regular income by selling the lambs throughout the year. It is an activity anchored in the traditions of the region and with important social values (meat lamb consumed in the periods of celebration).



Table 5.Main value chain selected at the living lab level according to the importance of economic,social and environmental aspects.

| | | Cereal VC | Olive oil VC | Sheep meat VC | Fig tree VC | Honey VC |
|---|-------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Living lab rural women SERS (GDS) | Economic aspect | Selling to cereal office Use of straw for animal feed | Regular income By-products valorisation Interesting selling price | Sources of revenues Production cost suitable for breeders | | |
| | Social aspect | Social value (family cohesion) | Traditional activity Family cohesion | Family work force (know-how inherited between generations) | | |
| | Environmental aspect | Rainfall crop | Use of the by- products For feed animal Soil fixation | Organic fertilizer | | |
| Living lab Kouzira (SMSA) | Economic aspect | Large area Selling to cereal office | Small area Collective land | Opportunities to invest Integration crop- livestock | Added value Attractive market Opportunities to invest By-products valorisation | Added value Attractive market Opportunities to invest By-products valorisation |
| | Social aspect | Nutritional value Women participation Strategic crop | Family labour Nutritional value Creation of jobs | Family labour Farmer to farmer exchange Celebration events | Adapted to the farm system Inherited traditions | Healthy product Self- medication Family labour |
| | Environmental aspect | Adapted to the region climate (rainfall) | Soil fixation Use of olive by- products | Manure | Adapted to region climate | Pollinization Improve biodiversity |
| Living lab Ettawen (SMSA) | Economic aspect | Selling to cereal office | Attractive price Opportunities to invest | Regular revenue | | |
| | Social aspect | Valorisation of cereal products (traditional products: couscous, pasta, etc.) | Healthy and nutritive product | Farmer to farmer exchange Social value of sheep meat Lamb of Aîd | | |
| | Environmental aspect | Adapted to climate region | To avoid erosion Recycle by- products | Organic manure | | |
| Living lab Ankoud El Khir (SMSA) | Economic aspect | Selling to cereal office | High added value | interesting selling price in the Aid period Varied marketing channels | | |
| | Social aspect | Social value (harvest) | Traditional and healthy product | Social values of sheep meat (celebration events) | | |
| | Environmental aspect | Rainfall crop | Soil fixation Resilient crop | Organic manure | | |

Source: Focus group discussions, 2022



Agroecological assessment

Different agroecological practices are revealed by the farmers interviewed during the focus group discussions at the living labs (table 6). Several agroecological practices (Rotation, crop diversification, forage association, etc.) have been introduced by ICRADA in the farmers' production system through research projects such as the CLCA project.

Table 6. Agroecological practices revealed by the farmers of living labs

| | Agroecological practices |
|---------------|--|
| Cereal VC | Rotation, conservation agriculture, crop |
| | diversification, permanent crop, fallow land |
| Olive tree VC | Input reduction, manure, recycling by-products, |
| | benches |
| Sheep VC | Forage association ((Triticale + barley + oats), |
| | water save, manure, fallow land |
| Fig tree VC | Traditional product "Chriha" |
| Honey VC | Traditional beehive "Jebih" |
| | Improve biodiversity (planting sulla and acacia) |
| | |

Source : Focus group discussions, 2022

The 13 agroecological principles (HLPE,2019) applied to the five value chain selected by the members of livings labs are presented in the table 7.

| Table 7 | 7. Agroecological | principles | applied to the | e main VC at the | living labs |
|---------|-------------------|------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
|---------|-------------------|------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|

| Principles | Honey value chain |
|---|---|
| 1. Recycling | |
| Does your organization engage or promote the | Recycling opportunities in the olive value |
| recycling of inputs or outputs within the company | chain (leaves, trunks, etc.) |
| and with your partners? | Recycling wool |
| | Waxrecycling |
| | |
| | |
| 2. Input reduction/replacement | |
| Does your organization engage or promote the | Water save in the sheep value chain |
| reduction or elimination/replacement of | Inputs reduction in the olive oil value chain |
| purchased inputs for agricultural production? | Decrease/ stop the use of pesticides |
| 3. Soil health | |
| Does your organization engage or promote the | Conservation agriculture, rotation |
| management of organic matter and soil biological | Crop diversification, manure, Olive |
| activity? | plantations help floor fixing, Planting sulla |
| | and acacia, forage association |
| | |



| 4. Animal health | |
|--|---|
| Does your organization ensure animal health and | Certified inseminator, vaccination, aeration |
| welfare? | |
| | and hygiene of stable, traditional practices, |
| 5. Biodiversity | |
| Does your organization maintains and enhances the | Genetic potential in the olive crops, |
| diversity of species, functional diversity and/or | planting acacia and Sulla, pollinization |
| genetic resources? | (honey VC), intercropping |
| 6. Synergy | |
| Does your organization enhance positive ecological | Integration crop-livestock (sheep VC) |
| interactions and complementary in the | Recycling olive by-products to feed animal |
| agroecosystems? (Animals, crops, trees, soils and | Planting sulla and acacia to improve the |
| water). | agro ecosystem (Honey value chain) |
| 7. Economic diversification | |
| Does your organization promote productive and | Diversified agricultural activities |
| income diversification on farms? | Different use of the product (olive oil, |
| | Honey) |
| | Different use of the by-products (cereal, |
| | olive oil, honey) |
| 8. Co-creation of knowledge | |
| Does your organization enhances co-creation and | Exchange of olive varieties between farmers |
| sharing of knowledge?.(local, scientific | Farmer to farmer exchange at the |
| innovation, farmer to farmer exchange) | community level |
| | Sharing of knowledge between the |
| | members of association |
| 9. Social values and diets | |
| Does your organization contribute to building | Traditional and social product (lamb meat) |
| healthy, diversified and culturally appropriate | Local product (Fig tree) |
| diets, based on identity, tradition, social and | Creation of a label (olive oil) |
| gender equity of local communities? | High nutritional value (olive oil and honey) |
| | Healthy product(olive oil and honey) |
| 10. Fairness | |
| Does your organization supports dignified and | Beekeeping and olive oil guarantee a |
| robust livelihoods for all actors in the food system | decent income |
| (trade, employment, intellectual property rights, | Beekeepers, olive oil producers and |
| transparency)? | breeders have a suitable social place in the |
| | community |
| | Solidarity and respect between producers |
| | and consumers (Olive oil VC and Honey VC) |
| 11. Connectivity | |
| Does your organization ensures proximity and | Proximity and confidence between |
| contidence between producers and consumers? | producers and consumers (Honey and Fig |
| | tree VC) |



12. Land and natural resource governance Does your organization strengthen institutional arrangements to include the recognition of farmers as managers of natural and genetic resources?

Positive influence of SMSA on the biodiversity (planting sulla and acacia) Conservation of local varieties (olive oil VC) Conservation of local breeds (sheep VC)

13. Participation Does your organization encourages participation in decision making, decentralized governance and or local management of food systems?

No participation (sheep VC) Participation in the decision making for the management of Kesra mountain (Honey VC)

Source : Focus group discussions, 2022

Conclusion

The descriptive data on Kef and Siliana governorates allowed to notice that the most performing value chains in these regions were cereals, olive oil, sheep and honey. Based on economic, environmental and social criteria three value chains were chosen by the living labs during the focus group discussions. The 13 Agroecological principles were applied to these value chains during the workshops to highlight which of the value chain can integrate these principles.

Acknowledgments

This research work was implemented under the CGIAR Initiative on "Transformational Agroecology across Food Land and Water Systems" (<u>https://www.cgiar.org/initiative/31-transformational-agroecology-across-food-land-and-water-systems/</u>) in the frame of the WP3 "*Inclusive Business Models and Financing Strategies*" led by the International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas - ICARDA (<u>https://www.icarda.org/</u>) (Agreement # 200302).

Contacts

• Boubaker Dhehibi & Asma Souissi: Natural Resources Economist/ Research Associate - Agricultural Economics, RALSP-SEP-ICARDA, Tunisia: <u>b.dhehibi@cgiar.org</u> / <u>a.souissi@cgiar.org</u>

• Aymen Frija: Agricultural Economist (Economic Modeling), RALSP-SEP-ICARDA, Tunisia: <u>a.frija@cgiar.org</u>

Disclaimer

The views expressed are the authors' own and do not necessarily reflect those of ICARDA, CGIAR, IRESA, INRAT, or any research and development partners involved in this research program. Personal information, including name, business title, e-mail, phone, images, and GPS points included in this report, have been authorized in writing or verbally by the data subjects.



References

HLPE. 2019. Agroecological and other innovative approaches for sustainable agriculture and food systems that enhance food security and nutrition. A report by the High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security, Rome.

INS. 2021. Statistical Yearbook of Tunisia 2016-2020

Jochem, S. 2015. Les lignes directrices pour sélectionner des chaînes de valeur.

Office du Développement du Nord-Ouest (ODNO).2018. Gouvernorat de Siliana en chiffres 2017

Office du Développement du Nord-Ouest (ODNO).2021. Gouvernorat de Siliana en chiffres 2020

Office du Développement du Nord-Ouest (ODNO).2021. Gouvernorat du Kef en chiffres 2020

RGPH.2014. General Census of Population and Habitat.

Shipley, R. 2000. The origin and development of vision and visioning in planning. International Planning Studies, vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 225-236.



Annexes









Honey value chain mapping in Kef





Super market

Local business











