

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

A survey of the training and working arrangements of general practitioners providing asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease care in a rural area of Maharashtra State

Citation for published version:

Agarwal, D, Ghorpade, M, Smith, P, Juvekar, S & Pinnock, H 2022, 'A survey of the training and working arrangements of general practitioners providing asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease care in a rural area of Maharashtra State', *Indian Journal of Public Health*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 480-486. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijph.ijph_102_22

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.4103/ijph.ijph_102_22

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Indian Journal of Public Health

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 Abstract:

2

Background: Chronic respiratory diseases (CRD), especially asthma and COPD, are common public health problems resulting in a substantial burden of disease for individuals. There is a need to understand the perceptions and practices of primary care physicians ("GPs") who provide most of the healthcare in rural India. We surveyed all private and public practitioners listed as practising in a rural area of Western India with the aim of identifying general practitioners (GPs: graduates, registered and allowed to practice in India) to understand their training, working arrangements and asthma/COPD workload.

10

Methodology: We administered a short questionnaire at educational meetings or via e-mail to all private and public practitioners listed as providing community-based services in the Junnar block, Pune district, Maharashtra. The survey asked about qualifications, experience and working

- 14 arrangements, and about current asthma and COPD workload. Descriptive analysis was performed.
- 15

16 **Results:** We approached 474 practitioners (434 from private sector; 40 from public sector). 88 were 17 no longer practising in the study area. Response rate was 330/354 (93.2%) of private and 28/32 18 (87.5%) of public sector practitioners. We excluded 135 non-respiratory hospital specialists and 23 19 private practitioners whose highest qualification was a diploma. Our final sample of 200 GPs (70% 20 male) was 177 from private sector and 23 from public sector. The private GPs had more experience in 21 clinical practice in comparison to public GPs. (18.6 vs 12.8 years). 84% of GPs from the private sector 22 only had AYUSH (Ayurveda, Yoga, Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha and Homoeopathy) qualifications 23 though >90% provided 'modern medicine' services. In the public sector, 43.5% GPs only had AYUSH 24 qualifications, though all provided 'modern medicine' services. A minority (9% of private; 16% of public 25 GPs) provided both services. Nearly two thirds (62%) of private GPs had inpatient facilities compared 26 to only 9% of public sector GPs. In both sectors, more GPs stated that they managed people with 27 asthma than treated COPD (Private: 97% vs 75%; Public 87% vs 57%).

28

29 Conclusion: Many GPs practising 'modern medicine' only had qualifications in Ayurveda/Homeopathy 30 and fewer GPs are involved in management of COPD as compared to asthma. These are important 31 factors that form the context for initiatives seeking to improve the quality of community-based care 32 for people with CRD in Maharashtra state in India.

- 34 Key words: asthma, COPD, diagnosis, management, perception, practices
- 35
- 36

37 Introduction

38

Chronic Respiratory Diseases (CRDs), especially asthma and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), are common public health problems with high prevalence and mortality rates across the world (1–4). In India, the population prevalence of asthma is estimated to be 5-10% (5–7) and that of COPD around 5-7% (6,8–10). Despite respiratory symptoms being the commonest reason for consulting a general practitioners (GPs) in India (11), diagnosis and management of the underlying condition (1,12– 15) requires clinical knowledge and skills as well as access to diagnostic tests which may not be available in resource-poor rural areas of low and middle income countries.

46

47 India has a mixed healthcare system with private and public sectors providing both AYUSH (alternative 48 medicine encompassing Ayurveda, Yoga and Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha and Homeopathy) and 49 'modern medicine' services (Figure 1A) (16,17). In rural areas, where 60% of the Indian population 50 live, the majority of respiratory healthcare is provided by GPs and other community-based 51 practitioners (from both private and public sector) as most respiratory physicians' practise in urban 52 areas (18). GPs are graduates and registered with the medical authority in India (19). In India, training 53 and qualifications may be in either modern medicine or AYUSH, so that their knowledge and skills 54 related to diagnosis and management of CRD varies. In addition, some community-based practitioners 55 may not be graduates. People tend to 'shop around' for treatment (exploring treatment options 56 available), and this 'shopping' for treatment means that people will go to both private and public GPs.

57

There is concern that non-communicable causes of chronic respiratory symptoms in developing 58 59 countries are poorly managed (20). The high prevalence of chronic respiratory symptoms (11) coupled 60 with limited recorded diagnoses (1) suggests that asthma and COPD (and other CRDs) are under-61 recognised and represent an unmet health need. Understanding context is crucial to developing 62 strategies to implement improved quality of care. There is a need to work with public health systems 63 and their different stakeholders to inform quality improvement initiatives. We therefore surveyed all 64 private and public practitioners listed as practising in Junnar block (a rural area of Western India) with 65 the aim of identifying GPs to understand their training, working arrangements and asthma/COPD workload. 66

67

68 Methodology:

69

70 Study area

The Junnar block is one of the 14 blocks in Pune district in Maharashtra state of India. It comprises of 179 villages with a total population of 400,000 (21), encompassing rural, urban, and tribal populations. Out of total 12 Primary Health Centres (PHCs); three are in tribal and nine in non-tribal areas. There are two rural hospitals, one at Junnar and other at Gohdegaon. Junnar has been an important trading and political centre for the last two millennia as it is situated on the border of three districts

- 76 (Ahmednagar, Nashik and Thane). The marketplaces (Narayangaon, Otur, and Junnar) in all three
 77 regions of Junnar have many private medical practitioners. The state and national highways cross in
 78 this block.
- 79

80 Study population and sample size

81 We approached all private and public practitioners listed as practising in Junnar (n=474) between Mar 82 2021 to Sep 2021. Lists of private sector practitioners were obtained from their local professional 83 associations (n=434) which includes formal registered practitioners of modern medicine and AYUSH 84 systems and public GPs from the PHCs/RHCs (n=40). We aimed to identify 'GPs' who we defined as 85 graduated (in modern medicine or AYUSH) registered with their respective medical authorities in India 86 and allowed to practice in India by Indian Law. Practitioners (n=158) who did not meet our definition 87 of GPs were noted but excluded from the analysis

88

89 Study questionnaire development

90 The study questionnaire was developed based on tools used in previous studies [19–23] and

91 developed in consultation with local pulmonologists and study investigators. We collected

92 demographics, trainings, service arrangements and workload related to asthma/COPD care.

93 See Supplementary Table 1 for the questionnaire. Before data collection, we piloted the

94 questionnaire among GPs practising in Shirur block of Maharashtra state.

95 Participant recruitment and study questionnaire administration

We used two modes of data collection. We distributed questionnaires to all attendees at a continuing
medical education (CME) activity regularly provided by the King Edward Memorial Hospital Research
Centre, Vadu Rural Health Program (KEMHRC, VRHP) for private and public GPs in the study area.
Those GPs who did not attend the CME, and others who we could not reach due to the COVID-19
pandemic, were invited by e-mail or text to complete an on-line version of the survey (Google Form).
Before sending the invitation, they were contacted by telephone, and verbal consent obtained to
participate in the study.

103

104 Data entry, quality check and analysis

105 Questionnaires were checked for the completeness and accuracy of data entry (e.g by 106 checking for values outside the plausible range). Missing values were treated as discrete 107 missing values. Analysis was descriptive using Stata software (version 15).

108

109 Stakeholder Engagement

GPs and other stakeholders (e.g., local chest physicians, researchers, public and private health practitioner representative) were actively engaged in developing the NIHR Global Health Unit (RESPIRE) research agenda (including this study), reviewing proposals, and are now involved in disseminating the findings of projects.

- 114
- 115 Results:
- 116

117 Survey and response rate

We approached 474 community-based practitioners. After excluding 88 practitioners who were no longer practicing in the area, 358 of the remaining 386 (92.7%) completed the questionnaire: 93.2% (330/354) of private and 87.5% (28/32) of public sector practitioners. Reasons for non-response are given in Figure 1B. Most non-responders were from the public sector and were too busy treating and managing COVID-19 patients to complete questionnaires.

123

We excluded 130/330 (39.4%) practitioners from the private sector and 5/28 (17.9%) from the public sector who had community-based specialist practices and (apart from paediatricians) were not involved in providing care for people with CRD. Of these 74 had post-graduate specialist qualifications, and 61 were graduate trained. We further excluded 23/200 (11.5%) private sector practitioners as their highest qualification was a diploma and thus not meeting the definition of a GP. Analysis was

- 129 therefore on 200 GPs (177 GPs from private sector and 23 GPs from public sector) Figure 1B.
- 130

131 GPs demography, qualifications and medical practice

The demographic characteristics of GPs with their experience and qualifications are given in Table 1.
Almost 70% of GPs were male both in private and public sector. The private GPs had more experience
(Mean 18.6 years, SD 8.9 years) in clinical practice compared to public GPs (Mean 12.8 years, SD 9.1
years).

136

Of the 177 GPs from the private sector 148 (83.6%) only had qualifications in AYUSH medicine although
135 (76.2%) of the AYUSH-trained GPs provided modern medicine services. All 23 of the GPs in the
public sector provided modern medicine services, though 10 (43.5%) only had AYUSH qualifications.
A minority in both sectors provided both AYUSH and modern medicine services. Nearly two thirds
(61.6%) of private sector GPs had inpatient facilities compared to only 8.7% of public sector GPs. All
others managed patients at clinic level only. Inpatient facility helps for better treatments.

143

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of GPs with their experience and qualification and number ofasthma and COPD cases with them.

Sr.	Characteristic	Private GPs	Public GPs
No.		(N=177)	(N=23)

1	Age (mean, SD)	44.0, 9.2	39.3, 9.8
2	Gender (Male: Female)	124:53	16:7
		(70.1%: 29.9%)	(69.6%:30.4%)
3	Qualification		
	Graduate in Ayurveda (n, %)	87 (49.1%)	10 (43.5%)
	Graduate in Homeopathy (n, %)	61 (34.5%)	0 (0%)
	Graduate in Modern Medicine (n, %)	14 (7.9%)	13 (56.5%)
	Graduate in Modern Medicine + Diploma in Paediatrics (n, %)	15 (8.5%)	0 (0%)
4	Experience of clinical practice in years		
	Mean, SD	18.6, 8.9	12.8, 9.1
	Min	3	1
	Max	50	32
5	Current practice		
	Ayurveda	5 (2.8%)	0 (0%)
	Homeopathy	10 (5.6%)	0 (0%)
	Modern Medicine	134 (75.8%)	21 (91.3%)
	Integrated AYUSH and Modern Medicine	28 (15.8%)	2 (8.7%)
6	Cross practice (Ayurveda/Homeopathy trained practicing Modern Medicine)	140 (79.1%)	10 (43.6%)
7	Place and Facility of clinical practice		
	Government Clinic	3 (1.7%)	21 (91.3%)
	Private Clinic	65 (36.7%)	0 (0%)
	Private Clinic with IPD	109 (61.6%)	0 (0%)
	Government Rural Hospital	0 (0%)	2 (8.7%)
8	Asthma cases		
	In last 3 months (Mean, SD)	12.6, 11.5	10.4, 13.4
	Currently (Mean, SD)	8.6, 11.5	5.4, 4.3
9	COPD cases		

Total	177 (100%)	23 (100%)
Currently (Mean, SD)	2.9, 4.8	2.0, 4.4
In last 3 months (Mean, SD)	4.2, 5.3	4.7, 12.4

148 Asthma and COPD case load

Of the 177 GPs from the private sector, almost all (172 (97.2%)) treat/manage asthma, but only 133
(75.1%) treat/manage COPD. Similarly, from public sector, more GPs treat/manage asthma [n=20,
87.0%] than treat/manage COPD [n=13 (56.5%)]. Figure 2A-2D shows cases currently in treatment with
GPs and cases in last three months with GPs.

153

154

We also looked at CRD case load by the GP's training (AYUSH or Modern Medicine) given in Table 2. Similar patterns emerged across both groups with more asthma than COPD cases, though two thirds 60/158 (37.9%) of AYUSH trained GPs were not involved in asthma management compared to only 2/42 (4.7%) of GPs trained in modern medicine (See Figure 2E-2F).

159

160 **Table 2:** CRD workload by GPs training

161

Sr. No.	Characteristic	GPs trained in AYUSH (N=158)	GPs trained in Modern Medicine (N=42)
1	GPs not involved in asthma management	60	2
2	GPs not involved in COPD management	41	13
3	Asthma cases seen		
	In last 3 months (Mean, SD)	11.0, 9.0	17.4, 18.0
	Currently (Mean, SD)	7.0, 7.1	13.0, 19.0
4	COPD cases seen		
	In last 3 months (Mean, SD)	4.2, 5.0	4.4, 10.4
	Currently (Mean, SD)	2.6, 4.2	2.7, 6.3

162

163 **Discussion:**

Our survey reveals that many GPs in Junnar block of Maharashtra state are practising modern medicine despite only having qualifications in ayurveda or homeopathy. This was particularly evident amongst private GPs, but also applied to nearly half the GPs in the public sector. Although almost all GPs looked after patients with asthma, but only three quarters provided COPD care.

168

169 Interpretation and implications

170 There may be several reasons for the mismatch between qualifications and practice. Healthcare is a 171 state responsibility, and cross practice is allowed legally in the state of Maharashtra. Secondly, most 172 the of the doctors trained in modern medicine prefer to practice in urban areas, whilst rural areas in 173 centuries-old tradition are served by ayurveda and other AYUSH practitioners. Maharashtra state has 174 almost 60 homeopathy and 70 ayurvedic colleges compared to only 48 modern medicine colleges, so 175 that the number of ayurvedic and homeopathic practitioners trained far exceeds the number of 176 modern medicine doctors in the state. Every year, of the 10,000 medical graduates in India only 2,800 177 are from government medical colleges where medical education is heavily subsidised on condition 178 that the doctor serves at least one year in one of the 1,814 government-run primary health centers 179 (PHCs). Having served their 12-month mandatory postings, few continue in rural practice (19).

180

181 Both asthma and COPD are both common causes of chronic respiratory symptoms in LMICs like India 182 (1–10), yet there was a marked discrepancy in the proportion of GPs who cared for patients with these 183 two conditions suggesting that COPD may be under-recognised and under-treated compared to 184 asthma. Reasons for this are likely to be multifactorial, including poor community awareness so that 185 people with insidious onset of breathlessness may not present to their GP in a timely manner (22) and 186 both GPs and patients may be unfamiliar with the terminology - asthma and COPD. A further problem 187 is that there is no specific word for COPD in the local dialect and the word 'dama' which means asthma 188 in the local dialect is commonly also used for COPD. Under recognition of COPD by GPs could be the 189 result of inappropriate training and misdiagnosis though modern medicine trained GPs had similarly 190 low COPD caseloads (23). In addition, as COPD requires some special diagnostic equipment (e.g., 191 spirometry) which may be difficult to access, it may be a diagnosis that GPs feel unable to make.

192

193 Strategies to improve the quality of care for respiratory disease in rural India will need to understand the perception and practice of GPs (both public and private) involved in diagnosis and management 194 195 of asthma and COPD. In depth qualitative interviews could explore the challenges of diagnosing and 196 managing asthma and COPD and identify strategies that could facilitate better care. COVID-19 has 197 raised the profile of respiratory disease both for GPs and the community which may be an incentive 198 for developing care. More broadly, there is a need to understand the healthcare context, in particular 199 the infrastructure at government facilities enabling diagnostic services and specialist support for GPs. 200 The perception and needs of patients are equally important.

201

202 Understanding context represents the first stage of developing and evaluating a complex initiative to 203 improve the quality of chronic respiratory disease care in our locality (24). The findings of this study

- highlight the need to provide training for GPs with a background in Ayurveda or homeopathy as well
 as offering advanced training on asthma and COPD diagnosis and management for modern medicine
 practitioners. It raises policy questions about eligibility to practice modern medicine and the training
- 207 that should be required before a practitioner is licenced to prescribe modern medicines.
- 208

209 Strengths and limitations

As this study was conducted only in one block of Maharashtra state, the findings may not be applicable to other blocks within the state or more widely in India. We achieved a good response rate (92.7%) but to do this we kept the questionnaire short which limits the inferences we can make. Several joint husband and wife practices responded but only completed one questionnaire limiting the information that we have about the individual GPs workload. Our assumption that practitioners with specialist training were providing specialist services in the community and not practicing as general practitioners

- 216 may not always be correct, but we have no further information on this group.
- 217

218 Conclusion:

219 Many GPs practising modern medicine only had AYUSH qualifications. Fewer GPs are involved in 220 management of COPD as compared to asthma. About half of the GPs who treat/manage these 221 common respiratory symptoms have in-patient facilities. To develop strategies to improve respiratory 222 care it will be necessary to recognise the diverse backgrounds of the practitioners providing general 223 medical services in the community, and to understand the challenges they face in diagnosing and 224 managing people with asthma and COPD.

225

227 **Declarations:**

228

229 Ethical approval and consent to participate:

Ethics approval was obtained from the KEM Hospital Research Centre ethics committee and the study 230 231 was sponsored by the University of Edinburgh (ACCORD: Reference number: AC18111). Written 232 informed consent was provided by all study participants. Permissions were obtained from the relevant 233 health officers at district and block level, and from the private GPs' association. The study was implemented following National Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical and Health Research involving 234 235 Human Participants issued by the Indian Council of Medical Research in 2017 and the recent National 236 Guidelines for Ethics Committees Reviewing Biomedical & Health Research During COVID-19 237 Pandemic issued in 2020.

238

239 **Consent for publication:**

- 240 Not applicable
- 241

242 Availability of data and materials:

Data analysed during the current study are not publicly available as data collection for qualitative aspect of the study is still ongoing. Corresponding author will upload data on Edinburgh DATASHARE data sharing repository (<u>https://datashare.ed.ac.uk/</u>) after completion of data collection for the current study. However, data used for current publication will be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

248

249 The RESPIRE collaboration:

The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; The Allergy & Asthma Institute, Islamabad, Pakistan;
 Maternal Neonatal and Child Health Research Network, Islamabad, Pakistan; University of Malaya,

252 Malaysia; KEM Hospital Research Centre, Pune, India; Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan; Christian

- 253 Medical College, Vellore, India;
- 254
- 255

256	References:		
257			
258 259 260	1.	Banda HT, Thomson R, Mortimer K, Bello GAF, Mbera B, Malmborg R, et al. Community prevalence of chronic respiratory symptoms in rural Malawi : Implications for policy. PLoS One. 2017;1623:1–13.	
261	2.	WHO. Global Tuberculosis Report. 2017. ort/gtbr2017_main_text.pdf (Accessed May 2021).	
262 263 264	3.	van Gemert F, van der Molen T, Jones R, Chavannes N. The impact of asthma and COPD in sub-Saharan Africa. Prim Care Respir J [Internet]. 2011;20(3):240–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.4104/pcrj.2011.00027	
265 266 267	4.	Aït-Khaled N, Enarson D, Bousquet J. Chronic respiratory diseases in developing countries: the burden and strategies for prevention and management. Bull World Health Organ. 2001;79(10):971–9.	
268	5.	Subbarao P. Asthma : epidemiology , etiology and risk factors. Can Med Assoc J. 2009;181(9).	
269 270 271	6.	Jindal SK. Indian Study on Epidemiology of Asthma , Respiratory Symptoms and Chronic Bronchitis (INSEARCH). Rep Indian Counc Med Res [Internet]. 2010;(September):335. Available from: http://icmr.nic.in/final/INSEARCH_Full _Report.pdf	
272 273	7.	Bishwajit G, Tang S, Yaya S, Feng Z. Burden of asthma, dyspnea, and chronic cough in South Asia. Int J COPD. 2017;12:1093–9.	
274 275 276 277	8.	De Santi F, Zoppini G, Locatelli F, Finocchio E, Cappa V, Dauriz M, et al. Type 2 diabetes is associated with an increased prevalence of respiratory symptoms as compared to the general population. BMC Pulm Med [Internet]. 2017;17(1):101. Available from: http://bmcpulmmed.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12890-017-0443-1	
278 279 280 281	9.	Lim S, Lam DC-L, Muttalif AR, Yunus F, Wongtim S, Lan LTT, et al. Impact of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in the Asia-Pacific region: the EPIC Asia population- based survey. Asia Pac Fam Med [Internet]. 2015;14(1):4. Available from: http://apfmj.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12930-015-0020-9	
282 283 284	10.	Loh LC, Rashid A, Sholehah S, Gnatiuc L, Patel JH, Burney P. Low prevalence of obstructive lung disease in a suburban population of Malaysia: A BOLD collaborative study. Respirology. 2016;21(6):1055–61.	
285 286 287 288	11.	Salvi S, Apte K, Madas S, Barne M, Chhowala S, Sethi T, et al. Symptoms and medical conditions in 204 912 patients visiting primary health-care practitioners in India: A 1-day point prevalence study (the POSEIDON study). Lancet Glob Heal [Internet]. 2015;3(12):e776–84. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00152-7	
289 290 291 292	12.	Van Gemert F, Kirenga B, Chavannes N, Kamya M, Luzige S, Musinguzi P, et al. Prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and associated risk factors in Uganda (FRESH AIR Uganda): A prospective cross-sectional observational study. Lancet Glob Heal [Internet]. 2015;3(1):e44–51. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70337-7	
293	13.	WHO. Health - United Nations Sustainable Development. 2010;1–92.	
294	14.	Lainez YB, Todd CS, Ahmadzai A, Doocy SC, Burnham G. Prevalence of respiratory symptoms	

Page 21 of 26

295 296 297		and cases suspicious for tuberculosis among public health clinic patients in Afghanistan, 2005-2006: Perspectives on recognition and referral of tuberculosis cases. Trop Med Int Heal. 2009;14(5):564–70.
298 299 300 301	15.	Bousquet J, Dahl R, Khaltaev N. GARD (Global Alliance against chronic Respiratory Diseases). Rev Mal Respir [Internet]. 2006;23(4):73–5. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17127971%5Cnhttp://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retriev e/pii/S076184250671662X
302 303 304	16.	J Sharma1, D Osrin2, B Patil3, SB Neogi1, M Chauhan1, R Khanna3, R Kumar4 VP and SZ, The. Newborn healthcare in urban India. J Perinatol [Internet]. 2016;36:s24–31. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jp.2016.187
305 306 307	17.	Chokshi M, Patil B, Khanna R, Neogi SB, Sharma J, Paul VK, et al. Health systems in India. J Perinatol [Internet]. 2016;36(s3):S9–12. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jp.2016.184
308 309 310	18.	Barik D, Thorat A. Issues of Unequal Access to Public Health in India. Front Public Heal [Internet]. 2015;3(October):1–3. Available from: http://journal.frontiersin.org/Article/10.3389/fpubh.2015.00245/abstract
311 312 313	19.	Chandra S, Patwardhan K. Allopathic, AYUSH and informal medical practitioners in rural India – a prescription for change. J Ayurveda Integr Med [Internet]. 2018;9(2):143–50. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaim.2018.05.001
314 315 316 317	20.	Geneva: World Health Organization. Assesing National Capacity For The Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases : Report of the 2019 Global Survey. Licence CC BY-NC- SA 30 IGO [Internet]. 2020;116. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/ncd-ccs-2019
318 319 320 321 322	21.	The Census of India. The Census of india 2011 report pdf. Gov India [Internet]. 2011; Available from: https://www.google.co.in/search?client=safari&rls=en&q=census+of+India+2011+report&ie= UTF-8&oe=UTF- 8&gfe_rd=cr&ei=dia4VqrsJceL8QeXuom4Aw#q=census+of+india+2011+report+pdf
323 324 325	22.	Ishpreet Kaur, Bhumika Aggarwal and JG. Understanding perception of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among general practitioners, physicians, and pulmonologists in India: Results from a face-to-face survey. Perspect Clin Res. 2016;
326 327	23.	Yawn BP, Wollan PC. Knowledge and attitudes of family physicians coming to COPD continuing medical education. Int J COPD. 2008;3(2):311–7.
328 329 330	24.	Skivington K, Matthews L, Simpson SA, Craig P, Baird J, Blazeby JM, et al. A new framework for developing and evaluating complex interventions: Update of Medical Research Council guidance. BMJ. 2021 Sep 30;374.
331		
332	Figure	Legends:

Figure 1: 1A: Indian Health System; 1B: Questionnaire filling status, reasons for not filling questionnaire and reasons for not including them in the analysis both from private and public sectors.

Figure 2: Number of cases for asthma and COPD currently and in last three months (2A-2D): 2A- cases currently in private sector, 2B- cases in last 3 months in private sector, 2C- cases currently in public sector, 2D- cases in last 3 months in public sector; Number of cases for asthma and COPD currently and in last three months according to GP training (2E-2F)- 2E- cases currently, 2F- cases in last 3 months.

Response to Reviewer Comments

Sr. No.	Comment	Authors Response
1	All the comments of the reviewers have been adequately addressed. The technical instructions of the Original Articles require that the number of figures and tables be limited to a maximum of four (https://ijph.in/contributors.asp). The authors are requested to make suitable changes to this effect.	We thank the reviewer for the comment. Accordingly we have done the changes in the revised manuscript. We have now 2 figures and 2 tables in the revised manuscript.





