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## Abstract

Fefferman (Acta Math 24:9-36, 1970, Theorem 2') has proved the weak $(1,1)$ boundedness for a class of oscillating singular integrals that includes the oscillating spectral multipliers of the Euclidean Laplacian $\Delta$, namely, operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\theta}(-\Delta):=(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} e^{i(1-\Delta)^{\frac{\theta}{2}}}, 0 \leq \theta<1 . \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The aim of this work is to extend Fefferman's result to oscillating singular integrals on any arbitrary compact Lie group. We also consider applications to oscillating spectral multipliers of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. The proof of our main theorem illustrates the delicate relationship between the condition on the kernel of the operator, its Fourier transform (defined in terms of the representation theory of the group) and the microlocal/geometric properties of the group.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Outline

This work deals with the weak $(1,1)$ boundedness of oscillating singular integrals on compact Lie groups by extending to the non-commutative setting the paradigm of the oscillating singular integrals introduced by Fefferman and Stein in [24, 25], which among other things, generalises some classical conditions introduced by Calderón and Zygmund [6] and Hörmander [35]. One of the novelties of this work is that we make use of the geometric properties of the group, of its microlocal analysis, and of its representation theory.

On compact Lie groups, oscillating singular integrals arose as generalisations of the oscillating spectral multipliers, which are linear operators defined by the spectral calculus via

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\theta}\left(\mathcal{L}_{G}\right):=\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} e^{i\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}}}, 0 \leq \theta<1, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{G}:=-\left(X_{1}^{2}+\cdots X_{n}^{2}\right)$ is the positive Laplace-Beltrami operator on a compact Lie group $G$.

The problem of finding boundedness criteria for Fourier multipliers (left-convolution operators) has been widely investigated for a long time. On a compact Lie group $G$, the problem has been considered for central operators by Weiss [47], Coifman and Weiss [18], Cowling and Sikora [21] in the case of $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$, and Chen and Fan [15]. However, only symbol criteria for general Fourier multipliers (and for pseudo-differential operators) on compact Lie groups, making use of the difference structure of the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$ of $G$, were firstly proved by the second author and Wirth in [39], and further generalisations were established in $[8,10,11,22]$ and in the works $[9,12,26,36]$ for the setting of graded Lie groups. We refer the reader to $[1,10,16]$ and [38] for an extensive list of references on the subject as well as for a historical perspective, in particular in the setting of central operators. In particular, the work [3] introduces an interesting family of multipliers covering e.g. the Hörmander-Mihlin condition.

Before presenting the contributions of this paper, let us review the classical result due to Charles Fefferman which is of central interest for this work, see [24, Page 23]. In the Euclidean setting, a convolution operator $T: f \mapsto f * K, f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, with $K$ being a distribution of compact support and locally integrable outside the origin, and satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widehat{K}(\xi)|=O\left((1+|\xi|)^{-\frac{n \theta}{2}}\right), \quad 0 \leq \theta<1, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}}:=\sup _{0<R \leq 1}\left\|\int_{|x| \geq 2 R^{1-\theta}}|K(x-y)-K(x)| d x\right\|_{L^{\infty}(B(0, R), d y)}<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called of oscillating type. Here, $\widehat{K}:=\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K$ denotes the Fourier transform of the distribution $K$. The $L^{p}$-properties for convolution operators of this form were firstly studied by Hardy [32], Hirschman [34] and Wainger [46], in the particular scenario of Fourier multipliers with symbols of the form

$$
\widehat{K}(\xi)=\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} e^{i\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}}}, \quad 0<\theta<1
$$

The corresponding operators are oscillating multipliers of the positive Euclidean Laplacian $-\Delta=\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$, namely, operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\theta}(-\Delta):=(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} e^{i(1-\Delta)^{\frac{\theta}{2}}}, 0 \leq \theta<1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

One of the fundamental contributions to the subject, came with the work [24] by Charles Fefferman where he established the weak $(1,1)$ boundedness of a class of oscillating singular integrals that includes the oscillating spectral multipliers of the Laplacian (1.4). It is also important to mention that Fefferman and Stein, when extending the theory of Hardy spaces to Euclidean spaces of several variables, observed that the theory of oscillating singular integrals in [24] also begets bounded operators from the Hardy space $H^{1}$ into $L^{1}$, see [25] for details.

We also observe that although it does not appear in the hypotheses of Theorem 2' of [24], Fefferman has assumed in the proof of such a statement (see [24, Page 23]) that the support of $K$ is small. For instance, his assumption says that $\operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}(K))<1$. This assumption in the Euclidean setting is not restrictive because one can use the natural dilation structure of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and the argument in [24, Page 23] to reduce the analysis of oscillating convolution kernels with compact support of arbitrary size to distributions with small support.

### 1.2 Fefferman's approach

Next, we review the fascinating technique developed by Fefferman in his Acta's paper [24] for the proof of the aforementioned weak $(1,1)$ estimate. As it was pointed out by Stein in [17, Page 1257], such a technique became a subject of much wider interest, as it was adapted to various other problems e.g. by S. Chanillo, M. Christ, Rubio de Francia, Seeger et al. [42], etc.

For this, let us fix a convolution operator $T$ with kernel $K$ satisfying (1.2) and (1.3) and assume that its support is small, for instance, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}(K))<1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To begin with he decomposed an arbitrary function $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, for a fixed $\alpha>0$, according to the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition theorem, in the standard way, that is $f=g+b$, $b:=\sum_{j} b_{j}$, where the $L^{2}$-norm of $g$ is bounded from above by $\alpha$, and the $b_{j}$ 's are supported on disjoint dyadic cubes $I_{j}$ 's in such a way that the following two properties are satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|I_{j}\right|} \int_{I_{j}}\left|b_{j}(x)\right| d x \sim \alpha, \quad \int_{I_{j}} b_{j}(x) d x=0 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

When estimating $\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|T f(x)|>\alpha\right\}\right|$, he started with the standard inequality

$$
\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|T f(x)|>\alpha\right\}\right| \leq\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|T b(x)|>\alpha / 2\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|T g(x)|>\alpha / 2\right\}\right| .
$$

Then, he observed that $T(g)$ can be estimated from above with the $L^{1}$-norm of $f$ using the $L^{2}$-theory. As for the estimate of $T\left(b_{j}\right)$, the main idea in Fefferman's argument was the consideration of a family of measurable sets $I_{j}^{*}$ such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{center}\left[I_{j}\right]=\operatorname{center}\left[I_{j}^{*}\right], \quad \text { diameter }\left[I_{j}^{*}\right] \sim \operatorname{diameter}\left[I_{j}\right]^{1-\theta} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making a suitable geometric reduction of the support of $K$, he proved that only cubes $I_{j}$ with $\operatorname{diam}\left[I_{j}\right]<1$ were significant when estimating $T\left(b_{j}\right)$. Moreover, the contribution of $T\left(b_{j}\right)$ outside the set $I_{j}^{*}$ can be handled in view of the kernel condition (1.3).

About the critical contribution of $T\left(b_{j}\right)$ in the set $I_{j}^{*} \backslash I_{j}$, he introduced a nice replacement $\tilde{b}_{j}$ of $b_{j}$, and another one $\tilde{b}=\sum_{j} \tilde{b}_{j}$ of $b$, and he was able to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(\tilde{b})\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \tilde{b}\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \alpha\|f\|_{L^{1}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by combining the $L^{2}$-theory, a suitable decomposition of the function $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \tilde{b}=F_{1}+F_{2}$, and the Sobolev inequality. Putting all these estimates together he proved the weak $(1,1)$ type of $T$.

### 1.3 Compact Lie groups setting

It is natural to investigate whether these results for oscillating singular integrals on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be extended to more general manifolds. In particular, in view of the behaviour of the Fourier transform of the kernel (1.2) one can analyse the problem in the setting of compact Lie groups where the group Fourier transform is defined in terms of the representation theory of the group.

On a compact Lie group $G$, an oscillating singular integral is a left-invariant operator $T: C^{\infty}(G) \rightarrow \mathscr{D}^{\prime}(G)$ with right convolution kernel $K$ satisfying the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}(G)}:=\sup _{0<R \leq 1}\left\|\int_{|x| \geq 2 R^{1-\theta}}\left|K\left(y^{-1} x\right)-K(x)\right| d x\right\|_{L^{\infty}(B(0, R), d y)}<\infty \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0 \leq \theta<1$. We have denoted by $|x|=d(x, e)$ the geodesic distance from $x \in G$ to the neutral element $e \in G$.

In order to study the boundedness of oscillating singular integrals in the non-commutative setting, one could adopt the argument of Fefferman and to study its extension to compact Lie groups. Nevertheless, when extending such a construction we found some obstructions related with the structure of the group $G$. More precisely, in Fefferman's argument, the $\tilde{b}_{j}^{\prime} s$ are defined by the convolution $b_{j} * \phi_{j}$, where roughly speaking, any $\phi_{j}$ is given by
$\phi_{j}(y):=\operatorname{diam}\left[I_{j}\right]^{-n /(1-\theta)} \phi\left(\operatorname{diam}\left[I_{j}\right]^{-1 /(1-\theta)} \cdot y\right), \quad \int \phi=1, \quad \operatorname{supp}[\phi] \subset\{x:|x| \leq 1\}$.
By taking into account the geometry of the group, we observe that there is not a global action $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times G \rightarrow G$ of $\mathbb{R}^{+}$into $G$, that allows us to define the elements $r \cdot y \in G$, for $r>0$ and $y \in G$, in a compatible way with Fefferman's argument. Of particular interest are the dilations factors

$$
r=\operatorname{diam}\left[I_{j}\right]^{-1 /(1-\theta)}>1 .
$$

Indeed, only on small neighborhoods $v$ of $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $v^{\prime}$ of the neutral element $e \in G$, the exponential mapping exp : v $\rightarrow \nu^{\prime}$ is a diffeomorphism, and a local family of dilations $D_{r}: \nu \rightarrow \nu$, with $0<r \leq 1$, denoted by $y \in \nu \mapsto D_{r}(y)=r \cdot y$, can be defined via $r \cdot y=\exp \left(r \exp ^{-1}(y)\right)$. One can translate these dilations to small neighborhoods of any point of the group by using the multiplication operation on $G$, but, still, the case of dilations by factors $r>1$ cannot be covered with this local construction.

However, inspired by the approach developed by Coifman and Weiss [20] and by Coifman and De Guzmán [19], we observe that for the scenario of compact Lie groups by taking the family of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}(y)=\frac{1}{\left|B\left(e, R_{j}\right)\right|} 1_{B\left(e, R_{j}\right)}, \quad R_{j} \sim \operatorname{diam}\left[I_{j}\right]^{1 /(1-\theta)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

will provide the necessary properties for extending Fefferman's argument.
Since our analysis is local we will assume the following hypothesis:
$(\mathrm{H}):$ Assume that $K$ is a distribution of compact support and that its diameter is small enough, for instance, we assume that $\operatorname{supp}(K)$ is contained in a neighborhood $v$ of the identity $e \in G$, in such a way that $\exp : v^{\prime}=\exp ^{-1}(v) \rightarrow v$ is a diffeomorphism, and that $\operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}(K))<c$, where $0<c \leq 1$.
The main theorem of this work is the following. Here $\widehat{G}$ denotes the unitary dual of $G$ and the elliptic weight $\langle\xi\rangle,[\xi] \in \widehat{G}$, is defined in terms of the spectrum of the Laplacian on $G$, see Sect. 2 for details.

Theorem 1.1 Let $G$ be a compact Lie group of dimension n, and let $T: C^{\infty}(G) \rightarrow \mathscr{D}^{\prime}(G)$ be a left-invariant operator with right-convolution kernel $K \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(G \backslash\{e\})$ satisfying the small support condition (H). Let us consider that for $0 \leq \theta<1, K$ satisfies the group Fourier transform condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists C>0, \quad \forall[\xi] \in \widehat{G}, \quad\|\widehat{K}(\xi)\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leq C\langle\xi\rangle^{-\frac{n \theta}{2}} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the oscillating Hörmander condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}(G)}:=\sup _{0<R \leq 1} \sup _{|y| \leq R} \int_{|x| \geq 2 R^{1-\theta}}\left|K\left(y^{-1} x\right)-K(x)\right| d x<\infty . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $T$ admits an extension of weak $(1,1)$ type.
Remark 1.2 It was proved in [13] that under the hypothesis in Theorem 1.1, $T$ is bounded from the Hardy space $H^{1}(G)$ into $L^{1}(G)$. So, in the case of compact Lie groups our main Theorem 1.1 together with the results in [13] provide a complete perspective on the subject, related with the Hörmander condition in (1.12) for all $0 \leq \theta<1$. We observe that the case $\theta=1$ is outside of the analysis in our approach. It is related to the wave operator for the Laplace-Beltrami operator and in view of the weak $(1,1)$ estimate for Fourier integral operators by Tao [44], it is expected that the right decay condition in (1.11) for $\theta=1$ could be the one with the order $-(n-1) / 2$ (instead of $-n / 2)$.

Remark 1.3 The condition that the support of the distribution $K$ is contained in a neighborhood $v$ of the identity $e \in G$, in such a way that that $\exp : v^{\prime}=\exp ^{-1}(\nu) \rightarrow v=\exp (v)$ is a diffeomorphism guarantees a local analysis.

Remark 1.4 We also observe that the relevant contribution of Theorem 1.1 is the case where $0<\theta<1$. Indeed, for $\theta=0$, the Fourier transform condition in (1.11) can be replaced for the $L^{2}$-boundedness of the operator $T$, and the condition in (1.12) is reduced to the smoothness Hörmander condition which can be analysed in the setting of the Calderón-Zygmund theory on spaces of homogeneous type in the sense of Coifman and Weiss [20].

Remark 1.5 One of the differences between the approach due to Fefferman in [24] and our proof of Theorem 1.1 lies in our application of the $L^{2}$-boundedness of the operator $\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{z}$ when $\operatorname{Re}(z)<0$, in view of the Stein identity (see [43, Page 58])

$$
\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{z}=\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}+\operatorname{Proj}_{\operatorname{Ker}\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)}\right)^{z}-\operatorname{Proj}_{\operatorname{Ker}\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)} .
$$

See e.g. Remark 2.4 of [40]. Indeed, we have that $\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{z}$ is a pseudo-differential operator on $G$ of order $\operatorname{Re}(z)<0$. Note that, this argument does not apply in the case of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ because the spectrum of the Euclidean Laplacian is continuous and the negative powers of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ are not pseudo-differential operators, while the powers of $1-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ are pseudo-differential operators with symbols in the Kohn-Nirenberg classes, see e.g. Taylor [45]. The boundedness of the operator $\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{z}$ on $L^{2}$ allows us to apply the microlocal analysis of $G$.

Remark 1.6 In view of the Hopf-Rinow theorem the exponential mapping on $G$ viewed as a Riemannian manifold agrees with the exponential mapping on $G$, considered as a closed sub-group of (the linear group of unitary matrices) $\mathrm{U}(N)$ for $N$ large enough. In particular on small neighborhoods $v$ of $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $v^{\prime}$ of the neutral element $e \in G$, the exponential mapping exp : $v \rightarrow v^{\prime}$ is a diffeomorphism. This geometric property of the group will be involved in the proof of our main Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.7 Clearly $G$ being a compact topological space is a homogeneous space in the sense of Coifman and Weiss [20]. The geometric measure theory of the group will be used due to the existence of Calderón-Zygmund type decompositions for any $f \in L^{1}(G)$, see [20, Pages 73-74].

Remark 1.8 We observe that using the theory of Coifman and De Guzmán [19], the second author and Wirth in [39] have proved Hörmander-Mihlin criteria for Fourier multipliers on compact Lie groups. In that setting, as expected, it was a non-trivial fact that the operators satisfy Calderón-Zygmund type conditions (corresponding to the case $\theta=0$ in Theorem 1.1).

Remark 1.9 For limited-range versions of the Calderón-Zygmund theorem we refer the reader to Baernstein and Sawyer [2], Carbery [7], Seeger [41], and Grafakos, Honzík, Ryabogin [31]. We observe that the case in the Euclidean setting, the case $\theta=0$ includes Fourier multipliers satisfying Hörmander-Mihlin conditions. Improvements for the Hörmander condition and conditions of Hörmander-Mihlin type in the Euclidean framework can be found in Grafakos [30]. We refer the reader to [14] for the boundedness properties of oscillating singular integrals on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

This paper is organised as follows. In the short Sect. 2 we present the preliminaries on the Fourier analysis on compact Lie groups. Our main theorem is proved in Sect. 3. Finally, some examples are given in the case of the torus $\mathbb{T}^{n}, S U(2) \cong \mathbb{S}^{3}$, and for oscillating Fourier multipliers in Sect. 4.

## 2 Group Fourier transform on compact Lie groups

In this section we present some preliminaries about the Fourier analysis on compact Lie groups, for this we follow the book [39, Part III].

Let $G$ be a compact Lie group and let $d x$ be its normalised left-invariant Haar measure. To define the group Fourier transform let us define the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$ of $G$. One reason for this is that the Fourier inversion formula becomes a series over $\widehat{G}$. Let us denote by $\xi$ a strongly continuous, unitary and irreducible representation of $G$, this means that,

- $\xi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(G, \mathrm{U}\left(H_{\xi}\right)\right)$, for some finite-dimensional vector space $H_{\xi} \cong \mathbb{C}^{d_{\xi}}$, i.e. $\xi(x y)=$ $\xi(x) \xi(y)$ and for the adjoint of $\xi(x), \xi(x)^{*}=\xi\left(x^{-1}\right)$, for every $x, y \in G$.
- The map $(x, v) \mapsto \xi(x) v$, from $G \times H_{\xi}$ into $H_{\xi}$ is continuous.
- For every $x \in G$, and $W_{\xi} \subset H_{\xi}$, if $\xi(x) W_{\xi} \subset W_{\xi}$, then $W_{\xi}=H_{\xi}$ or $W_{\xi}=\emptyset$.

In view of the compactness of the group, any strongly continuous unitary representation on $G$ is continuous. Let $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ be the set of unitary, continuous and irreducible representations of $G$.

One can define an equivalence relation on $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ as follows:

$$
\xi_{1} \sim \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \operatorname{Rep}(G) \Longleftrightarrow \exists A \in \operatorname{End}\left(H_{\xi_{1}}, H_{\xi_{2}}\right): \forall x \in G, A \xi_{1}(x) A^{-1}=\xi_{2}(x)
$$

With respect to this equivalence relation the quotient

$$
\widehat{G}:=\operatorname{Rep}(G) / \sim,
$$

is the unitary dual of $G$.
The Fourier transform $\widehat{f}$ of a distribution $f \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime}(G)$ is defined via,

$$
\widehat{f}(\xi) \equiv(\mathscr{F} f)(\xi):=\int_{G} f(x) \xi(x)^{*} d x,[\xi] \in \widehat{G}
$$

The Fourier inversion formula

$$
f(x)=\sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_{\xi} \operatorname{Tr}[\xi(x) \widehat{f}(\xi)]
$$

holds if for example $f \in L^{1}(G)$. In this setting, the Plancherel theorem states that $\mathscr{F}$ : $L^{2}(G) \rightarrow L^{2}(\widehat{G})$ is an isometry, where the inner product on $L^{2}(\widehat{G})$ is defined via

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, g)_{L^{2}(\widehat{G})}:=\sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_{\xi} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\xi)^{*}\right] . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, the Plancherel theorem takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}=\sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d \xi\|\widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^{2} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\text {HS }}$ the usual Hilbert-Schmidt norm on every representation space $H_{\xi}$.

Remark 2.1 In the symbol condition (1.11),

$$
\operatorname{Spect}\left(\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right):=\{\langle\xi\rangle:[\xi] \in \widehat{G}\}
$$

is the system of eigenvalues of the Bessel potential operator $\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ associated to the positive Laplacian on $G$, which can be defined as follows. Let $X=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ be an
orthonormal basis of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the unique (up to by a constant factor) bi-invariant Riemannian metric $g=\left(g_{x}(\cdot, \cdot)\right)_{x \in G}$ on $G$. The Laplace-Beltrami operator is defined by (minus) the sum of squares

$$
\mathcal{L}_{G}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j}^{2}
$$

and it is independent of the choice of the vector space basis $X$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that $\mathcal{L}_{G}$ is an elliptic operator on $G$, which admits a self-adjoint extension on $L^{2}(G, d x)$. Such an extension is an elliptic operator and its spectrum is a discrete set $\left\{\lambda_{[\xi]}:[\xi] \in \widehat{G}\right\}$ that can be enumerated by the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$ of the group. By the spectral mapping theorem one has that $\langle\xi\rangle=$ $\left(1+\lambda_{[\xi]}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},[\xi] \in \widehat{G}$.

Remark 2.2 Observe that in view of the Plancherel theorem, the hypothesis (1.11) in Theorem 1.1 implies that the operator $\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{\frac{n \theta}{4}} T$ admits a bounded extension on $L^{2}(G)$. Indeed, the Plancherel theorems implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{\frac{n \theta}{4}} T f\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}=\sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d \xi\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{\frac{n \theta}{2}} \widehat{K}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^{2} \leq \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d \xi\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{\frac{n \theta}{2}} \widehat{K}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathrm{op}}^{2}\|\widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in view of (1.11) we have that

$$
\left\|\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{\frac{n \theta}{4}} T f\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2} \leq C^{2} \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_{\xi}\|\widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^{2} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2},
$$

proving the boundedness of $\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{\frac{n \theta}{4}} T$ on $L^{2}(G)$.

## 3 Proof of the main theorem

In this section we are going to prove that for a singular integral operator $T$ satisfying (1.2) and (1.12) there is a constant $C>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T f\|_{L^{1, \infty}(G)}:=\sup _{\alpha>0} \alpha|\{x \in G:|T f(x)|>\alpha\}| \leq C\|f\|_{L^{1}(G)}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C$ independent of $f$. The positive Laplacian on $G$ or on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{G}$ and by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$, respectively. The Euclidean Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of a function $V \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, will be denoted by $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} V(\Xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i 2 \pi X \cdot \Xi} V(X) d X$, and it will be used at the end of this section.

For this fix $f \in L^{1}(G)$, and let us consider its Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, see Coifman and Weiss [20, Pages 73-74]. So, for any $\gamma, \alpha>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \gamma>\frac{1}{|G|} \int_{G}|f(x)| d x \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can have the decomposition

$$
f=g+b=g+\sum_{j} b_{j}
$$

where the following properties are satisfied:
(1) $\|g\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim_{G} \gamma \alpha$ and $\|g\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim_{G}\|f\|_{L^{1}}$.
(2) The $b_{j}$ 's are supported in open balls $I_{j}=B\left(x_{j}, r_{j}\right)$ where they satisfy the cancellation property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} b_{j}(x) d x=0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) Any component $b_{j}$ satisfies the $L^{1}$-estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|b_{j}\right\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim_{G}(\gamma \alpha)\left|I_{j}\right| \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) The sequence $\left\{\left|I_{j}\right|\right\}_{j} \in \ell^{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}\left|I_{j}\right| \lesssim_{G}(\gamma \alpha)^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{1}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|b\|_{L^{1}} \leq \sum_{j}\left\|b_{j}\right\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim G\|f\|_{L^{1}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(6) There exists $M_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that any point $x \in G$ belongs at most to $M_{0}$ balls of the collection $I_{j}$.
First of all note that given an altitude $\alpha \gamma>0$, the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition above works in the case where $\alpha \gamma>\frac{1}{|G|} \int|f(x)| d x$. We have denoted by $|G|$ the volume of the group $G$ without assuming that the Haar measure is normalised.

We want to emphasize that when proving the weak $(1,1)$ boundedness of $T$, one has to estimate the quantity $|\{x \in G:|T f(x)|>\gamma \alpha\}|$ only in the case where the CalderónZymund decomposition in [20] is available, that is when $\alpha \gamma>\frac{1}{|G|} \int|f(x)| d x$. Indeed, when estimating $|\{x \in G:|T f(x)|>\alpha \gamma\}|$ at any altitude $\alpha \gamma$ with $0<\alpha \gamma \leq \frac{1}{|G|} \int|f(x)| d x$, one trivially has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\{x \in G:|T f(x)|>\alpha \gamma\}| \leq|G| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha \gamma} \int_{G}|f(x)| d x \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as desired.
So, by fixing $\alpha \gamma$ as in (3.2), note that in terms of $g, b$ and $f$ one has the trivial estimate

$$
|\{x:|T f(x)|>\alpha\}| \leq|\{x:|T g(x)|>\alpha / 2\}|+|\{x:|T b(x)|>\alpha / 2\}|
$$

The estimates $\|g\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \gamma \alpha$ and $\|g\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{1}}$, imply that

$$
\|g\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lesssim\|g\|_{L^{\infty}}\|g\|_{L^{1}} \leq(\gamma \alpha)\|f\|_{L^{1}}
$$

So, by applying the Chebishev inequality and the $L^{2}$-boundedness of $T$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\{x:|T g(x)|>\alpha / 2\}| \lesssim 2^{2} \alpha^{-2}\|T g\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left(2\|T\|_{\mathscr{B}\left(L^{2}\right)}\right)^{2} \alpha^{-2}\|g\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(2\|T\|_{\mathscr{B}\left(L^{2}\right)}\right)^{2} \alpha^{-2}(\gamma \alpha)\|f\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim\|T\|_{\mathscr{B}\left(L^{2}\right)}^{2} \gamma \alpha^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{1}} \\
& \quad \lesssim \gamma \alpha^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In what follows, let us denote $I^{*}=\bigcup I_{j}^{*}$, where $I_{j}^{*}=B\left(x_{j}, 2 r_{j}\right)$, and let us make use of the doubling condition on $G$ in order to have the estimate

$$
\left|I_{j}^{*}\right| \sim c^{n}\left|I_{j}\right|
$$

from which follows that

$$
\left|I^{*}\right| \lesssim \sum_{j}\left|I_{j}^{*}\right| \lesssim \sum_{j}\left|I_{j}\right| \lesssim \gamma^{-1} \alpha^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{1}}
$$

Consequently, we have the estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\{x:|T b(x)|>\alpha / 2\}| \leq\left|I^{*}\right|+\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T b(x)|>\alpha / 2\right\}\right| \\
& \quad \leq(2 \sqrt{n})^{n} \gamma^{-1} \alpha^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{1}}+\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T b(x)|>\alpha / 2\right\}\right| . \\
& \quad \lesssim_{\gamma} \alpha^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{1}}+\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T b(x)|>\alpha / 2\right\}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, to conclude the inequality (3.1) we have to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\alpha>0} \alpha\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T b(x)|>\alpha / 2\right\}\right| \leq C\|f\|_{L^{1}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C$ independent of $f$. So, the proof of Theorem 1.1 consists of estimating the term

$$
\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T b(x)|>\alpha / 2\right\}\right| .
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We start by considering only the case $0<\theta<1$. Indeed, the statement for $\theta=0$ in Theorem 1.1 follows from the fundamental theorem of singular integrals due to Coifman and Weiss, see [20, Theorem 2.4, Page 74].

From now, let us suppose that the diameter of the support of $K$ is small, for instance, that

$$
\operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}(K))<c,
$$

where $0<c \leq 1$ is small enough. In particular, we can take $c$ small enough in order that we can guarantee that the exponential mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\exp : v \rightarrow v^{\prime}, B(e, c) \subset v^{\prime} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a diffeomorphism between two small neighborhoods $v^{\prime}$ and $v$ of the identity element $e$ and of the origin $0 \in \mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$, respectively.

For $\varepsilon>0$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(y, \varepsilon):=\frac{1}{|B(e, \varepsilon)|} 1_{B(e, \varepsilon)} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for any $j$, define

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi_{j}(y):=\phi\left(y, 2^{-\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\right), \\
\tilde{b}_{j}:=b_{j}(\cdot) * \phi_{j} \tag{3.11}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{b}:=\sum_{j} \tilde{b}_{j} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T b=\sum_{j} T b_{j} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important to mention that in (3.13) the sums on the right hand side only runs over $j$ whith $\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)<c$. Indeed, for all $x \in G \backslash I^{*}$, the property of the support $\operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}(K))<c$, implies that for all $j$ with $\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right) \geq c$, we have that

$$
T b_{j}=b_{j} * K=0
$$



Fig. 1 Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The replacement $\tilde{b}_{j}$ of $b_{j}$ is defined by modifying its support. To do so, we make the convolution between $b_{j}$ with the $L^{1}$-normalised function $\phi_{j}$ whose support is proportional to $R_{j}$.

So we only require to analyse the case where $\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)<c$. Indeed, for $x \in G \backslash I^{*}$, and $j$ such that $\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right) \geq c$,

$$
b_{j} * K(x)=\int_{I_{j}} K\left(y^{-1} x\right) b_{j}(y) d y
$$

Because, in the integral above $x \in G \backslash I^{*}$ and $y \in I_{j}$,

$$
\left|y^{-1} x\right|=\operatorname{dist}(x, y)>\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)>c
$$

we have that the element $y^{-1} x$ is not in the support of $K$ and then the integral vanishes.
In Figure 1 we compare the size of $I_{j}$ with the size of the support of $\phi_{j}$.
Now, going back to the analysis of (3.7), note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T b(x)|>\frac{\alpha}{2}\right\}\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T b(x)-T \tilde{b}(x)|>\frac{\alpha}{4}\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T \tilde{b}(x)|>\frac{\alpha}{4}\right\}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{4}{\alpha}\|T(b-\tilde{b})\|_{L^{1}\left(G \backslash I^{*}\right)}+\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T \tilde{b}(x)|>\frac{\alpha}{4}\right\}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and let us take into account the estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T(b-\tilde{b})\|_{L^{1}\left(G \backslash I^{*}\right)} & =\int_{G \backslash I^{*}}|T b(x)-T \tilde{b}(x)| d x \\
& \leq \sum_{j} \int_{G \backslash I^{*}}\left|T b_{j}(x)-T \tilde{b}_{j}(x)\right| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We are going to prove that $T \tilde{b}$ and $T \tilde{b}_{j}$ are good replacements for $T b$ and $T b_{j}$, respectively, on the set $G \backslash I^{*}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G \backslash I^{*}}\left|T b_{j}(x)-T \tilde{b}_{j}(x)\right| d x=\int_{G \backslash I^{*}}\left|b_{j} * K(x)-\tilde{b}_{j} * K(x)\right| d x \\
& \quad=\int_{G \backslash I^{*}}\left|b_{j} * K(x)-\left[b_{j} * \phi_{j} * K\right](x)\right| d x \\
& =\int_{G \backslash I^{*}}\left|\int_{I_{j}} K\left(y^{-1} x\right) b_{j}(y) d y-\int_{I_{j}}\left(\phi_{j} * K\right)\left(y^{-1} x\right) b_{j}(y) d y\right| d x \\
& \leq \int_{I_{j}} \int_{G \backslash I^{*}}\left|K\left(y^{-1} x\right)-\phi_{j} * K\left(y^{-1} x\right)\right| d x\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y \\
& \leq \int_{I_{j}} \int_{|z|>\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)}\left|K(z)-\phi_{j} * K(z)\right| d z\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y \\
& \leq \int_{I_{j}} \int_{|z|>\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)}\left|K(z)-\phi_{j} * K(z)\right| d z\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y,
\end{aligned}
$$

where, in the last line we have used the changes of variables $x \mapsto z=y^{-1} x$, and then we observe that $|z|>\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)$ when $x \in G \backslash I^{*}$ and $y \in I_{j}$. Using that $\phi_{j}$ is supported in a ball of radius

$$
R_{j}:=2^{-\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}
$$

and that $\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1 /\left|B\left(e, R_{j}\right)\right|$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|z|>\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)}\left|K(z)-\phi_{j} * K(z)\right| d z \\
& =\int_{|z|>\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)}\left|K(z) \int_{|y|<2^{-\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}} \phi_{j}(y) d y-\int_{|y|<2^{-\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}} \int^{\frac{1}{1-1}} K\left(y^{-1} z\right) \phi_{j}(y) d y\right| d z \\
& \left.=\int_{|z|>\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)} \int_{|y|<2^{-\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}}\left(K(z)-K\left(y^{-1} z\right)\right) \phi_{j}(y) d y \right\rvert\, d z \\
& \leq \int_{|y|<2^{-\frac{1}{1-\theta}}} \int_{\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)} \int^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\left|K\left(y^{-1} z\right)-K(z)\right| d z\left|\phi_{j}(y)\right| d y \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\left|B\left(e, R_{j}\right)\right|} \int_{|y|<R_{j}} \int_{|z|>2 R_{j}^{(1-\theta)}}\left|K\left(y^{-1} z\right)-K(z)\right| d z d y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lesssim \frac{1}{R_{j}^{n}} \int_{|y|<R_{j}} \int_{|z|>2 R_{j}^{(1-\theta)}}\left|K\left(y^{-1} z\right)-K(z)\right| d z d y \\
& \leq[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}(G)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used that $2 R_{j}^{1-\theta}=\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)$. Now, the inequalities above allow us to finish the estimate of $\|T(b-\tilde{b})\|_{L^{1}\left(G \backslash I^{*}\right)}$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T(b-\tilde{b})\|_{L^{1}\left(G \backslash I^{*}\right)} & \leq \sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \int_{G \backslash I^{*}}\left|K\left(y^{-1} x\right)-\phi_{j} * K\left(y^{-1} x\right)\right| d x\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y \\
& \leq \sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \int_{|z|>\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)}\left|K(z)-\phi_{j} * K(z)\right| d z\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y \\
& \lesssim[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}(G)} \sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}}\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y \leq[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}(G)}\|b\|_{L^{1}} \\
& \lesssim[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}(G)}\|f\|_{L^{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting together the estimates above we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T b(x)|>\frac{\alpha}{2}\right\}\right| \leq \frac{4}{\alpha}\|T b-T \tilde{b}\|_{L^{1}\left(G \backslash I^{*}\right)}+\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T \tilde{b}(x)|>\frac{\alpha}{4}\right\}\right| \\
& \quad \lesssim \frac{4}{\alpha}[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}(G)}\|f\|_{L^{1}}+\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T \tilde{b}(x)|>\frac{\alpha}{4}\right\}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we will estimate the term in the right hand side of the previous inequality. Indeed, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T \tilde{b}(x)|>\frac{\alpha}{4}\right\}\right| & \leq\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T \tilde{b}(x)|^{2}>\frac{\alpha^{2}}{16}\right\}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{16}{\alpha^{2}}\|T \tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From now, let us consider the positive operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\tilde{\Delta}=1+\mathcal{L}_{G} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (1.2) we deduce that $T(-\tilde{\Delta})^{\frac{n \theta}{4}}$ is bounded on $L^{2}$, (see Remark 2.2) and then

$$
\|T \tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|T(-\tilde{\Delta})^{\frac{n \theta}{4}}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}\left(L^{2}\right)}^{2}\left\|(-\tilde{\Delta})^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \tilde{b}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

In order to estimate the $L^{2}$-norm, let us use the following lemma whose proof we postpone for a moment.

Lemma 3.1 The function $F:=(-\tilde{\Delta})^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \tilde{b}$ can be decomposed as the sums $F=F_{1}+F_{2}$, where $\left\|F_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C \alpha \gamma\|f\|_{L^{1}}$, and $F_{1}$ is also a sum of functions $F_{1}^{j}$ with the following property.

- There exists $M_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A^{\prime}>0$, such that $F_{1}=\sum_{j: \operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)<1} F_{1}^{j},\left\|F_{1}^{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq$ $A^{\prime} \alpha^{2}\left|I_{j}\right|$, and for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there at most $M_{0}$ values of $j$ such that $F_{1}^{j}(x) \neq 0$.

Let us continue with the proof of Theorem 1.1. Using Lemma 3.1 and the inequalities in (3.5) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T \tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & \lesssim\left\|F_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|F_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \alpha \gamma\|f\|_{L^{1}}+\sum_{j}\left\|F_{1}^{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \alpha \gamma\|f\|_{L^{1}}+\sum_{j} \alpha^{2}\left|I_{j}\right| \lesssim \alpha \gamma\|f\|_{L^{1}}+\alpha^{2}(\gamma \alpha)^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{1}} \\
& =\left(\gamma^{-1}+\gamma\right) \alpha\|f\|_{L^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\left|\left\{x \in G \backslash I^{*}:|T \tilde{b}(x)|>\frac{\alpha}{4}\right\}\right| \lesssim \frac{16}{\alpha^{2}}\|T \tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lesssim\left(\gamma^{-1}+\gamma\right) \alpha^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{1}} .
$$

Thus, we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|T f(x)|>\alpha\right\}\right| \leq C_{\gamma,[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}(G)}} \alpha^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{1}}, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C:=C_{\gamma,[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}(G)}}$ independent of $f$. Because $\gamma$ is fixed we have proved the weak $(1,1)$ type of $T$. Note that the $L^{p}$-boundedness of $T$ can be deduced from Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem and the standard duality argument. Thus, the proof is complete once we proved the statement in Lemma 3.1. To do so, let us consider the right-convolution kernel $k_{\theta}:=(-\tilde{\Delta})^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \delta$ of the operator $(-\tilde{\Delta})^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}}$ and let us split the function $(-\tilde{\Delta})^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \tilde{b}(x)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-\tilde{\Delta})^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \tilde{b}(x) & =\sum_{j}(-\tilde{\Delta})^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \tilde{b}_{j}(x)=\sum_{j} \tilde{b}_{j} * k_{\theta}(x) \\
& =\sum_{j: x \in I_{j}} \tilde{b}_{j} * k_{\theta}(x)+\sum_{j: x \nsim I_{j}} \tilde{b}_{j} * k_{\theta}(x)=: G_{1}(x)+G_{2}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G_{1}(x):=\sum_{j: x \sim I_{j}} \tilde{b}_{j} * k_{\theta}(x)$ and $G_{2}(x):=\sum_{j: x \nsim I_{j}} \tilde{b}_{j} * k_{\theta}(x)$. We have denoted by $x \sim I_{j}$, if $x$ belongs to $I_{j}$ or to some $I_{j^{\prime}}$ with non-empty intersection with $I_{j}$. By the properties of these sets there are at most $M_{0}$ sets $I_{j^{\prime}}$ such that $I_{j} \cap I_{j^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset$. Also, the notation $x \nsim I_{j}$ will be employed to define the opposite of the previous property.

Let us prove the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C \alpha \gamma\|f\|_{L^{1}} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|G_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}} & =\int_{G}\left|\sum_{j: x \nsim I_{j}} b_{j} * \phi_{j} * k_{\theta}(x)\right| d x \leq \sum_{j: x \nsim I_{j}} \int_{G}\left|b_{j} * \phi_{j} * k_{\theta}(x)\right| d x \\
& \leq \sum_{j}\left\|b_{j} * \phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq \sum_{j}\left\|b_{j}\right\|_{L^{1}}\left\|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $(-\tilde{\Delta})^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}}$ is a pseudo-differential operator of order $-n \theta / 2$, and consequently, its kernel satisfies the estimate

$$
\left|k_{\theta}(x)\right| \leq C|x|^{-\left(-\frac{n \theta}{2}+n\right)} \lesssim|x|^{-n\left(1-\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}, x \in G \backslash\{e\} .
$$

The condition $0<\theta<1$, implies that $k_{\theta}$ is an integrable distribution and then

$$
\left\|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{L^{1}}\left\|k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1}}=\left\|k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1}}<\infty .
$$

So, we have that

$$
\left\|G_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim \sum_{j}\left\|b_{j}\right\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{1}}
$$

So, for the proof of (3.16), and in view of the inequality $\left\|G_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|G_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}}\left\|G_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}$ is enough to show that $\left\|G_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \alpha \gamma\|f\|_{L^{1}}$. To do this, let us consider $j$ such that $x \nsim I_{j}$. Since $\tilde{b}_{j} * k_{\theta}=b_{j} * \phi_{j} * k_{\theta}$, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|b_{j} * \phi_{j} * k_{\theta}(x)\right| & \leq \int_{I_{j}}\left|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\left(y^{-1} x\right)\right|\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y \leq \sup _{y \in I_{j}}\left|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\left(y^{-1} x\right)\right| \int_{I_{j}}\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y \\
& =\sup _{y \in I_{j}}\left|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\left(y^{-1} x\right) \| I_{j}\right| \times \frac{1}{\left|I_{j}\right|} \int_{I_{j}}\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

To continue, we follow as in [24, Page 26] the observation of Fefferman, that in view of the property $x \nsim I_{j}$, we have that $\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\left(y^{-1} x\right)$ is essentially constant over the ball $I_{j}=$ $B\left(x_{j}, r_{j}\right)$ and we can estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{y \in I_{j}}\left|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\left(y^{-1} x\right)\right|\left|I_{j}\right| \lesssim \int_{I_{j}}\left|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\left(y^{\prime-1} x\right)\right| d y^{\prime} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, observe that the positivity of the kernel $k_{\theta}$, and of $\phi_{j}$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{I_{j}}\left|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\left(y^{\prime-1} x\right)\right| d y^{\prime} \frac{1}{\left|I_{j}\right|} \int_{I_{j}}\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y & =\int_{G}\left|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\left(y^{\prime-1} x\right)\right|\left(\frac{1}{\left|I_{j}\right|} \int_{I_{j}}\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y\right) 1_{I_{j}}\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{\left|I_{j}\right|} \int_{I_{j}}\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y \times 1_{I_{j}}\right) * \phi_{j} * k_{\theta}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|G_{2}(x)\right| & \leq \sum_{j: x \nsim I_{j}}\left|\tilde{b}_{j} * k_{\theta}(x)\right| \lesssim \sum_{j: x \nsim I_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{\left|I_{j}\right|} \int_{I_{j}}\left|b_{j}(y)\right| d y \times 1_{I_{j}}\right) * \phi_{j} * k_{\theta}(x) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j: x \nsim I_{j}} \gamma \alpha \times 1_{I_{j}} * \phi_{j} * k_{\theta}(x)=\int_{G} \sum_{j: x \nsim I_{j}} \gamma \alpha \times 1_{I_{j}} * \phi_{j}(z) k_{\theta}\left(z^{-1} x\right) d z \\
& \lesssim \gamma \alpha\left\|k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1}}\left\|\sum_{j: x \nsim I_{j}} 1_{I_{j}} * \phi_{j}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By observing that the supports of the functions $1_{I_{j}} * \phi_{j}$ 's have bounded overlaps we have that $\left\|\sum_{j: x \nsim I_{j}} 1_{I_{j}} * \phi_{j}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}<\infty$, and that $\left\|G_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \gamma \alpha$.

It remains only to prove that $\left\|G_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \alpha\|f\|_{L^{1}}$. Let us define

$$
G^{j}(x):= \begin{cases}b_{j} * \phi_{j} * k_{\theta}(x), & x \in I_{j}  \tag{3.18}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $G_{1}=\sum_{j} G^{j}$ and in view of the finite overlapping of the balls $I_{j}$ 's, there is $M_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for any $x \in G, G^{j}(x) \neq 0$, for at most $M_{0}$ values of $j$. Therefore, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{G}\left|G_{1}(x)\right|^{2} d x & \leq M_{0} \sum_{j} \int_{G}\left|G^{j}(x)\right|^{2} d x=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}}\left|b_{j} * \phi_{j} * k_{\theta}(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \sum_{j}\left\|b_{j}\right\|_{L^{1}}^{2}\left\|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \sum_{j} \alpha^{2} \gamma^{2}\left|I_{j}\right|^{2}\left\|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because our argument is purely local let us use the diffeomorphism $\omega=\exp : v \rightarrow v^{\prime}$ in (3.8). Because $B(e, c) \subset \nu^{\prime}$, we can estimate the norm $\left\|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ in a small neighbourhood $v^{\prime}$ of the identity element $e \in G$. Note that for any $j$ with $\operatorname{diam}\left(I_{j}\right)<c$, we have the inclusion $B\left(e, R_{j}\right) \subset v^{\prime}$. So, we have

$$
\left\|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\left\|\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \phi_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{H^{-n \theta / 2}} \asymp\left\|\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \phi_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2},
$$

where

$$
\phi_{j}^{\prime}=\phi_{j} \circ \omega=\frac{1}{B\left(e, R_{j}\right)} 1_{B\left(e, R_{j}\right)} \circ \omega, \operatorname{supp}\left(\phi_{j}^{\prime}\right) \subset v
$$

Note that $\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}}$ is bounded on $L^{2}$, and clearly $\phi_{j}^{\prime} \in L^{2}$, so that $\phi_{j}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Dom}((1+$ $\left.\left.\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}}\right)$. Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp}\left[\phi_{j}^{\prime}\right] \subset B\left(0, R_{j}^{\prime}\right), R_{j}^{\prime} \sim R_{j} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define for any $j$,

$$
\psi_{j}^{\prime}(X):=\left|B\left(0, R_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right| \phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(R_{j}^{\prime} X\right), X \in v
$$

Then, one has the identity

$$
\phi_{j}^{\prime}(X)=\frac{1}{\left|B\left(0, R_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|} \psi_{j}^{\prime}\left(\frac{X}{R_{j}^{\prime}}\right), X \in v
$$

Observe that $\left|B\left(0, R_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|=v_{n} R_{j}^{\prime n}$, where $v_{n}$ is the volume of the unite ball $B(0,1)$. Also, note that $\left\|\psi_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=1$, and $\operatorname{supp}\left(\psi_{j}^{\prime}\right) \subset B(0,1)$. Using the Plancherel theorem, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \phi_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}} & =\left\|\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\phi_{j}^{\prime}\right](\eta)\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& =\left\|\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\frac{1}{\left|B\left(0, R_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|} \psi_{j}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\cdot}{R_{j}^{\prime}}\right)\right](\eta)\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& =\left(1 / v_{n}\right)\left\|\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\frac{1}{R_{j}^{\prime n}} \psi_{j}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\cdot}{R_{j}^{\prime}}\right)\right](\eta)\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& =\left(1 / v_{n}\right)\left\|\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\psi_{j}^{\prime}\right]\left(R_{j}^{\prime} \eta\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\psi_{j}^{\prime}\right]\left(R_{j} \eta\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & =\int\left|\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\psi_{j}^{\prime}\right]\left(R_{j} \eta\right)\right|^{2} d \eta \\
& =R_{j}^{\prime-n} \int\left|\left(1+\left|R_{j}^{\prime-1} z\right|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\psi_{j}^{\prime}\right](z)\right|^{2} d z
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =R_{j}^{\prime-n} R_{j}^{\prime n \theta} \int\left|\left(R_{j}^{\prime}+|z|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\psi_{j}^{\prime}\right](z)\right|^{2} d z \\
& \leq R_{j}^{\prime-n} R_{j}^{\prime n \theta} \int\left|\left(|z|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\psi_{j}^{\prime}\right](z)\right|^{2} d z \\
& \asymp R_{j}^{\prime-n} R_{j}^{\prime n \theta} \int\left|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \psi_{j}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& =R_{j}^{-n(1-\theta)}\left\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \psi_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the compactness of $G$, for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a finite number of elements $x_{0}^{\varepsilon}=e_{G}, x_{k}^{\varepsilon}$, $1 \leq k \leq N_{0}(\varepsilon)$, in $G$, and some smooth functions $\chi_{k}^{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(G,[0,1])$, supported in $B\left(e_{G}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\bigcup_{k=1}^{N_{0}(\varepsilon)} B\left(x_{k}^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon / 4\right), \quad \text { and } \sum_{j=0}^{N_{0}(\varepsilon)} \chi_{k}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k}^{-1} x\right)=1, \quad x \in G \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, the support of any $x \mapsto \chi_{k}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k}^{-1} x\right)$ is inside of $v^{\prime}$. In consequence, we can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \psi_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}} & \asymp\left\|\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} \psi_{j}^{\prime} \circ \exp \right\|_{L^{2}} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{N_{0}(\varepsilon)}\left\|\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}}\left[\psi_{j}^{\prime} \circ \exp \cdot \chi_{k}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k}^{-1} \cdot\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{N_{0}(\varepsilon)}\left\|\psi_{j}^{\prime} \circ \exp \cdot \chi_{k}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k}^{-1} \cdot\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} \asymp\left\|\psi_{j}^{\prime} \circ \exp \right\|_{L^{2}} \asymp\left\|\psi_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the $L^{2}$-boundedness of the operator $\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}}$ in view of the Stein identity (see [43, Page 58])

$$
\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}}=\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}+\operatorname{Proj}_{\operatorname{Ker}\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}}-\operatorname{Proj}_{\operatorname{Ker}\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)}
$$

and of Remark 2.4 of [40]. Indeed, we have that $\left(-\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}}$ is a pseudo-differential operator on $G$ of order $-n \theta / 2$ and hence bounded on $L^{2}(G)$. Note that, this argument does not apply in the case of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ because the spectrum of the Euclidean Laplacian is continuous and the negative powers of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ are not pseudo-differential operators while the powers of $1-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ are pseudo-differential operators with symbols in the Kohn-Nirenberg classes, see e.g. Taylor [45].

Continuing with the proof, since $\left\|\psi_{j}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=1$ and $\psi_{j}$ is supported in the unit ball, we have that $\left\|\psi_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq 1$. Consequently,

$$
\left\|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lesssim R_{j}^{-n(1-\theta)} \sim\left|I_{j}\right|^{-1}
$$

Finally, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{G}\left|G_{1}(x)\right|^{2} d x & \leq \sum_{j} \alpha^{2} \gamma^{2}\left|I_{j}\right|^{2}\left\|\phi_{j} * k_{\theta}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \sum_{j} \alpha^{2} \gamma^{2}\left|I_{j}\right|^{2}\left|I_{j}\right|^{-1}=\alpha^{2} \gamma^{2} \sum_{j}\left|I_{j}\right| \\
& \lesssim \alpha \gamma\|f\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim \gamma \alpha\|f\|_{L^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

in view of (3.5). Consequently, we can take $F_{2}:=G_{2}, F_{1}:=G_{1}$ and $F_{1}^{j}:=G_{1}^{j}$. Thus, the proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete as well as the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 4 Examples

In this section we give some applications of Theorem 1.1 on the torus, on $\mathrm{SU}(2) \cong \mathbb{S}^{3}$ and for oscillating multipliers. We refer the reader to [13, Section 4] for a variety of examples on the boundedness of oscillating singular integrals on Lie groups of polynomial growth.

### 4.1 Oscillating integrals on the torus $\mathbb{T}^{n}$

Let $G=\mathbb{T}^{n} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, be the $n$-torus. In this case we have the identification $\widehat{\mathbb{T}^{n}}=\left\{e_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \sim$ $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ for its unitary dual. We have denoted $e_{\ell}$ to the exponential function $e_{\ell}(x)=e^{i 2 \pi \ell \cdot x}$, $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$.

In terms of the Fourier transform of a distribution $K$ on the torus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{K}(\ell):=\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} e_{-\ell}(x) K(x) d x, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Fourier transform condition (1.11) becomes equivalent to the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{n},|\widehat{K}(\ell)| \leq C\langle\ell\rangle^{-\frac{n \theta}{2}},\langle\ell\rangle:=\left(1+4 \pi^{2}|\ell|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim|\ell|:=\sqrt{\ell_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\ell_{n}^{2}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the kernel condition (1.12) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}}=\sup _{R>0} \sup _{|y|<R} \int_{|x| \geq 2 R^{1-\theta}}|K(x-y)-K(x)| d x d y<\infty . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Theorem 1.1, a convolution operator $T$ associated to a convolution kernel $K$, satisfying the Fourier transform condition (4.2) and the smoothness condition (4.3) is of weak $(1,1)$ type, that is $T: L^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{n}\right)$ is bounded. As the referee of this manuscript pointed out, in the case of the torus $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ this continity result should also be just a special case of the known result due to Fefferman [24] on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for a distributions $K$ with its support small enough as a consequence of a periodisation argument (see e.g. [39, Chapters III and IV]).

### 4.2 Oscillating integrals on $\operatorname{SU}(2) \cong \mathbb{S}^{3}$

Let us consider the compact Lie group of complex unitary $2 \times 2$-matrices

$$
\mathrm{SU}(2)=\left\{X=\left[X_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}: X^{*}=X^{-1}\right\}, X^{*}:=\bar{X}^{t}=\left[\overline{X_{j i}}\right]_{i, j=1}^{2} .
$$

Let us consider the left-invariant first-order differential operators

$$
\partial_{+}, \partial_{-}, \partial_{0}: C^{\infty}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathrm{SU}(2)),
$$

called creation, annihilation, and neutral operators respectively, (see Definition 11.5.10 of [39]) and let us define

$$
X_{1}=-\frac{i}{2}\left(\partial_{-}+\partial_{+}\right), X_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{-}-\partial_{+}\right), X_{3}=-i \partial_{0}
$$

where $X_{3}=\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]$. The system $X=\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$ of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, and its positivie Laplacian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}=-X_{1}^{2}-X_{2}^{2}-X_{3}^{2}=-\partial_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{+} \partial_{-}+\partial_{-} \partial_{+}\right] . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We record that the unitary dual of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ (see [39]) can be identified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(2) \equiv\left\{\left[t_{l}\right]: 2 l \in \mathbb{N}, d_{l}:=\operatorname{dim} t_{l}=(2 l+1)\right\} \sim \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{N} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are explicit formulae for $t_{l}$ as functions of Euler angles in terms of the so-called Legendre-Jacobi polynomials, see [39]. Again, by following e.g. [39], the spectrum of the positive Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}$ can be indexed by the sequence

$$
\lambda_{\ell}:=\ell(\ell+1), \quad \ell \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{N} .
$$

Because $n=\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{SU}(2))=3$, the Fourier transform condition (1.11) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists C>0, \forall \ell \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{N}, \quad\|\widehat{K}(\ell)\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leq C(1+\ell(\ell+1))^{-\frac{3 \theta}{4}} \sim(1+\ell)^{-\frac{3 \theta}{2}}, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{K}(\ell)=\int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} K(Z) t_{\ell}(Z)^{*} d Z$, is the Fourier transform of the group of $K$. In view of Theorem 1.1, if $K$ satisfies (4.6) and the kernel condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}}:=\sup _{R>0} \sup _{|Y|<R} \int_{|X| \geq 2 R^{1-\theta}}\left|K\left(Y^{-1} X\right)-K(X)\right| d X d Y<\infty, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $T$ is of weak $(1,1)$ type, that is $T: L^{1}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$ extends to a bounded operator. In (4.7), $|X|$ denotes the norm of $X \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ with respect to the geodesic distance on $\operatorname{SU}(2)$.

### 4.3 Application to oscillating multipliers

Let us illustrate our main Theorem 1.1 in the context of oscillating Fourier multipliers. On $G$, the prototype of a oscillating singular integral is the spectral multiplier of $\mathcal{L}_{G}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\theta}\left(\mathcal{L}_{G}\right):=\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{-\frac{n \theta}{4}} e^{i\left(1+\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}}}, 0 \leq \theta<1 . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, $T_{\theta}\left(\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)$ is a convolution operator with right-convolution kernel $K=K_{\theta}$ whose Fourier transform is given by

$$
\widehat{K}(\xi)=\langle\xi\rangle^{-\frac{n \theta}{2}} e^{i\langle\xi\rangle^{\theta}},\langle\xi\rangle:=\left(1+\lambda_{[\xi]}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},[\xi] \in \widehat{G} .
$$

Let $d(x, y)$ be the geodesic distance on $G$ induced by the Riemannian metric $g$. It was proved by Chen and Fan in [15] that $K(x)$ behaves essentially as $c_{n} d(x, e)^{-n} e^{i c_{n}^{\prime} d(x, e)^{\theta^{\prime}}}$, where $\theta^{\prime}=\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}$, and consequently that

$$
|\nabla K(x)|=\left|\left(X_{1} K(x), \ldots, X_{n} K(x)\right)\right| \lesssim d(x, e)^{-n-1+\theta^{\prime}}
$$

from which it is well known that $K$ satisfies (1.12), that is,

$$
[K]_{H_{\infty, \theta}}:=\sup _{0<R \leq 1} \sup _{|y| \leq R} \int_{|x| \geq 2 R^{1-\theta}}\left|K\left(y^{-1} x\right)-K(x)\right| d x<\infty,
$$

justifying the term 'oscillating' for this family of multipliers. Using a smooth cut-off function $\psi$ with small compact support (for instance assume that diam $(\operatorname{supp}(\psi))<1$ ), one can write the operator $T_{\theta}\left(\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)=T_{1}+T_{2}$, with $T_{1}$ associated to the right-convolution kernel $K_{1}=\psi K$, and $K_{2}=K-K_{1}$, and then $T_{2}$ is bounded on $L^{1}(G)$. In view of Theorem 1.1, one can use
that $T_{1}$ is of weak $(1,1)$ type, and then one can deduce that $T_{\theta}\left(\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)$ extend to an operator of weak $(1,1)$ type.
Remark 4.1 By following the analysis of pseudo-differential operators under local coordinates systems, one can prove that for $0 \leq \theta<\frac{1}{2}$, the operator $T_{\theta}\left(\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)$ belongs to the Hörmander class $\operatorname{Op}\left(S_{1-\theta, \theta}^{m}\right)$ on $G$, with the order $m=-\frac{n \theta}{2}$, see e.g the book of Taylor [45] for details.

By microlocalising the Fefferman weak $(1,1)$ estimate in [24], we deduce that for any $0 \leq \theta<\frac{1}{2}, T_{\theta}\left(\mathcal{L}_{G}\right)$ extends to an operator of weak $(1,1)$ type. This is consequence of the fact that for all $0 \leq \theta<\frac{1}{2}$, the class $\operatorname{Op}\left(S_{1-\theta, \theta}^{m}\right)$ is invariant under changes of coordinates. However, the range $\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta<1$ can be covered by our Theorem 1.1.

We end this remark by observing that the boundedness of (4.8) from the Hardy space $H^{1}(G)$ into $L^{1}(G)$ has been proved by Chen and Fan in [15] in the complete range $0 \leq \theta<1$.

Remark 4.2 Other generalisations on compact Lie groups of estimates for oscillating integrals to pseudo-differential operators have been considered in the papers [8, 22] and [40]. We refer the reader to [10, Chapter V] for Fourier transform conditions of Fourier multipliers of weak $(1,1)$ type on compact Lie groups in subelliptic settings.
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