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#### Abstract

Aquatic systems in Nigeria have suffered stress induced by human activities which alter the natural composition of the water resources. This study investigated the diversity, distribution and abundance of fish species in Lake Asejire. The lake was partitioned based on accessibility into upper, middle and lower sections for the study. Fish species were sampled using monofilament gill nets with stretched mesh sizes ranging from $44.45-169.33 \mathrm{~mm}$. Water and fish species were sampled fortnightly for a period of twelve months. Water quality parameters: temperature $\left(28.96 \pm 0.28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, dissolved oxygen ( $\left.5.6 \pm 0.13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}\right), \mathrm{pH}(6.73 \pm 0.19)$ and conductivity $(159.8 \pm 0.32 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm})$ measured were within suitable standard ranges for fish production in natural waters. A total of 16 species from 10 families were identified from the lake. Cichlids had the highest number of fish species (4) and relative abundance ( $66.82 \%$ ) and Coptodon zillii was the most abundant fish species across the months and sampling stations ( $27.53 \%$ ). The lower section of the lake had the highest relative abundance ( $52.90 \%$ ) while the upper section of the lake had the least $(16.14 \%)$ and the highest fish catch $(13.35 \%)$ was in November. The species richness of the lake decreased from lower $(S=16)$ to upper section $(S=14)$, the middle section was highly diversified $(H=0.73)$ with a combined value of $\mathrm{H}=0.67$ for the lake. The fish species were evenly distributed across the lake with the highest evenness in the upper section ( $\mathrm{E}=0.22$ ). Simpson's index was highest in the lower region ( $\mathrm{D}=0.30$ ) and there was a high probability of picking different fish species at random. Trophic grouping showed forage to carnivore ( $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{C}$ ) ratio of 2.06 indicating an unbalanced fish population based on food habit, which should be addressed. There is need for proper regulation such as enforcement of fishing seasons and fishing across the trophic levels to ensure sustainability of the fish resources in the lake.
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## Introduction

Fish is an excellent source of protein in human diets; it is mostly exploited by man in the environment where they are commonly found (Craig et al 2002). They form the basic link in the aquatic food chain (Atobatele and Ugwumba 2008), a source of food; it improves food security and nutritional status of the populace (St. Laurent et al 2002) and represents about $55 \%$ protein intake in Nigeria (Zabbey 2013). Tropical inland freshwater and brackish ecosystems are endowed with abundant fish species, which constitute a rich source of animal protein to the populace, especially those who are trapped within the land lock environment with little access to alternative sources of protein, which when available are not affordable (FAO 2002).

The population of fishes in tropical water bodies experience fluctuations due to factors such as food
composition and availability, spawning rate and changes in environmental factors (Ipinmoroti 2013; Rodrigues and Cunha 2017; Ipinmoroti et al 2018). Welcomme (2001) identified fishing, pollution and eutrophication among others as factors that could bring about series of changes in fish size, species composition and abundance in the aquatic environment. Bisht et al (2009) and Soyinka et al (2010) reported that changes in environmental factors such as water quality and depth, water current, availability of food and substratum have influence on the occurrence, abundance and distribution of fauna.

Aquatic ecosystems are mostly affected by stress imposed by human. Population growth, steep urbanization as well as industrial and agricultural land use have resulted in the discharge of diverse pollutants into water bodies causing a dwindling effect on the
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aquatic environment and the fisheries (Adeyemo 2003; Iyiola 2015). Lake Asejire was created by impoundment on Osun River at Asejire. The Lake is one of the prominent lakes that form the Ogun-Osun River Basin in South-western Nigeria. The lake serves as a major source of livelihood and plays significant role in the supply of fish to the people of South-western Nigeria living within a significant distance to it. As a result of its significance to the economy and food security of the people, the lake is exposed to various anthropogenic activities arising from its usage for agricultural, domestic and industrial purposes. The fisheries is affected by wastes and effluents discharged into the water, and increasing fishing intensity as human population increases. All these activities can have negative impact on the water quality and consequently the diversity and population of fish
species within the lake (Akinyemi et al 1987; Yem et al 2011 and Ipinmoroti 2013). Periodic investigation of this water body is therefore essential to understand the dynamics between the water quality and fish diversity, distribution and abundance in the lake for enforcement of necessary sustainable measures; which this study was aimed at.

## Materials and methods

Study area
Lake Asejire was constructed on River Osun in 1970. It is located in Egbeda Local Government Area of Oyo State, Southwestern Nigeria. It lies on $4.1333^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $7.3669^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (Figure 1) at an altitude of 137 m above sea level, covering a surface area of 525 ha . Some of the regulations on the lake include prohibition of disposal of chemical or toxic wastes into the water and ban on the use of motorized boats.


Figure 1. Map of Lake Asejire showing sampling sections

## Sampling design

The lake was partitioned into three; the upper section (upstream), the middle section (midstream) and the lower section (dam wall area). Sampling was done fortnightly for a period of twelve months (November 2017-October 2018).

Assessment of water quality
Water samples were taken within $0.5-1 \mathrm{~m}$ depth from each of the sampling stations and analysed for temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH and conductivity.

Temperature was measured using a mercury-in-glass thermometer (calibrated in degree Celsius), which was dipped into the water sample in the sampling bottles for two minutes; readings were taken when the mercury level was steady. Dissolved oxygen was measured using DO meter (model-AD 630 DO meter manufactured by Adwa ${ }^{\circledR}$ ). After calibration according to the manufacturer's directions, the probe was inserted into the water sample and reading was taken when the digital meter reading was steady. The pH was measured as described by APHA (2012) and conductivity was
measured using a conductivity metre (CDH-222 manufactured by Omega, United Kingdom) as described by the manufacturer.

## Sampling of fish

Sampling was done using gill nets of various mesh sizes ranging from 44.45 mm to 169.33 mm . The ranges of nets were set at various locations in each of the three sampling stations. The choice of gillnets was based on its efficiency in catching various sizes and species depending on the mesh sizes and also on its dominant use by fishers.

## Identification of fish

Fish caught were identified, sorted into species using guides by Holden and Reeds (1982), Adesulu and Sydenham (2007) and Olaosebikan and Raji (2013), and counted.

Fish ecological diversity
The ecological diversity of fish species in the lake was determined as illustrated by Pielou (1966):
Simpson's Index of Diversity $=1 / \sum \mathrm{pi}^{2}$
Shannon-Weiner index $(\mathrm{H})=\quad-\sum$ piInpi
Where: $\mathrm{pi}=\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}=$ the number of individuals within a species ( n ) divided by the total number of individuals ( N ) present in the entire sample, $\ln =$ natural $\log$
Evenness $(E)=\frac{\mathrm{eH}}{\mathrm{S}}$
Where: H=Shannon-Weiner's index; $S=$ Number of species in samples

Forage/carnivore ( $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{C}$ ) ratio
The sampled fish species were grouped based on their feeding habits into herbivores, carnivores and omnivores as described by Holden and Reeds (1982). The F/C ratio was determined by dividing the total number of herbivores by total number of carnivores (Ipinmoroti 2013).
${ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{F}}$ ratio $=$ herbivores $\div$ carnivores

## Statistical analysis

The data was summarized using simple descriptive statistics such as means and percentages. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the means. Microsoft Excel 2010 and SPSS 23.0 were used for graphing and statistical analysis, respectively.

## Results

Water quality parameters
The means of the water quality parameters of the lake are presented in Table 1. Mean dissolved oxygen $(5.8 \pm 0.11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$, temperature $\left(29.3 \pm 0.23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and conductivity ( $160.1 \pm 0.23 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ ), were highest in the lower section of the lake. Overall mean values of
$5.6 \pm 0.13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}, 28.96 \pm 0.28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $159.8 \pm 0.32 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ for these respective parameters were recorded for the lake. The highest $\mathrm{pH}(6.9 \pm 0.11)$ was recorded in the middle section of the lake.

Fish distribution by sampling stations
A total of 4344 individual fishes were identified from the study, comprising of 16 species namely Coptodon mariae (Gervais 1848), C. zillii (Gervais 1848), Sarotherodon galileaus (Linnaeus, 1758), Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus, 1758), Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus (Lacepede, 1803), Lates niloticus (Linnaeus 1758), Schilbe mystus (Linnaeus, 1758), Alestes macrolepidotus (Valenciennes, 1849), Hydrocynus forskahlii (Cuvier, 1819), A. dentex (Valenciennes, 1849), Hepsetus akawo (Bloch, 1794), Hemisynodontis membranaceous (Geoffroy SaintHilaire, 1809), Synodontis budgetti (Boulenger, 1911), Labeo senegalensis (Valenciennes, 1842), Mormyrus rume (Valenciennes, 1847), and Parachanna obscura (Gunther, 1861) from 10 families; Cichlidae, Claroteidae, Latidae, Schilbeidae, Alestidae, Hepsetidae, Mochokidae, Cyprinidae, Mormyridae, and Channidae. Cichlidae was the most abundant across the sections studied with $66.82 \%$ and four species with C. zillii as the most abundant (27.53\%) species. S. budgetti and Parachanna obscura were not encountered in the upper section while $L$. senegalensis was not encountered in the middle section during the study. The upper section had the least abundance ( $16.14 \%$ ) with 14 species identified, while the lower section had the highest abundance ( $52.90 \%$ ) of catch with 16 species identified (Table 2).

Fish abundance by months
The monthly relative numerical abundance of the species is presented in Table 3. Generally, the fish abundance across the months were observed to fluctuate with highest monthly abundance in November ( $13.35 \%$ ) and the least in July ( $5.29 \%$ ). C. zillii recorded the most abundant fish species during the study ( $27.53 \%$ ) and the least was $L$. senegalensis (0.16\%).

Forage/Carnivore ratio of fish species
The trophic grouping of fish species identified in the lake is presented in Table 5. A total of five (5) species belong to the primary consumer (herbivores) group namely C. zillii, S. galileaus, O. niloticus, C. mariae and $L$. senegalensis. C. zillii was the most abundant in this group with $27.53 \%$ and the overall abundance of this group was $66.99 \%$. The secondary consumer (carnivores) group had the highest fish species (8); namely; L. niloticus, H. forskahlii, A. macrolepidotus, A. dentex, H. akawo, P. obscura, C. nigrodigitatus and

Table 1: Mean water quality parameters recorded from Lake Asejire during the study period.

| Parameters | Sections of the lake |  |  | Overall mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Upper section | Middle section | Lower section |  |
| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $28.4 \pm 0.31^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $29.2 \pm 0.29^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $29.3 \pm 0.23^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $28.96 \pm 0.28$ |
| Dissolved oxygen $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | $5.6 \pm 0.11^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $5.4 \pm 0.16^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $5.8 \pm 0.11^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $5.6 \pm 0.13$ |
| pH | $6.6 \pm 0.28^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $6.9 \pm 0.11^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $6.7 \pm 0.18^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $6.73 \pm 0.19$ |
| Conductivity $(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$ | $159.6 \pm 0.21^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $159.7 \pm 0.52^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $160.1 \pm 0.23^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $159.8 \pm 0.32$ |

superscripts with different alphabets are significantly different
Table 2: Fish distribution in Lake Asejire during the study period.

| Family | Species | Lower <br> Section | Middle <br> section | Upper <br> Section | Total Catch | Percentage <br> Contribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cichlidae | Coptodon marie | 78 | 51 | 32 | 161 | 3.71 |
|  | Coptodon zillii | 631 | 422 | 143 | 1196 | 27.53 |
|  | Sarotherodon galilaeus | 509 | 358 | 141 | 1008 | 23.20 |
|  | Oreochromis niloticus | 298 | 148 | 92 | 538 | 12.38 |
| Claroteidae | Chrisichthys nigrodigitatus | 439 | 241 | 148 | 828 | 19.06 |
| Latidae | Lates niloticus | 21 | 9 | 11 | 41 | 0.94 |
| Schilbeidae | Schilbe mystus | 185 | 45 | 97 | 327 | 7.53 |
| Alestidae | Alestes macrolepidotus | 51 | 25 | 6 | 82 | 1.89 |
|  | Hydrocynus forskahlii | 19 | 10 | 13 | 42 | 0.97 |
|  | Alestes dentex | 33 | 21 | 4 | 58 | 1.34 |
| Hepsetidae | Hepsetus akawo | 9 | 5 | 4 | 18 | 0.41 |
| Mochokidae | Hemisynodontis | 5 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 0.25 |
|  | membranaceous |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Synodontis budgetti | 3 | 1 | NE | 4 | 0.09 |
| Cyprinidae | Labeo senegalensis | 5 | NE | 2 | 7 | 0.16 |
| Mormyridae | Mormyrus rume | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 0.23 |
| Channidae | Parachana obscura | 8 | 5 | NE | 13 | 0.30 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{2 2 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Relative percentage | $\mathbf{5 2 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |  |
| *NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*NE - not encountered during the study


Figure 2. Taxonomic abundance of fish species in Lake Asejire during the period of study
S. mystus. C. nigrodigitatus was the most abundant in this group ( $19.06 \%$ ) and the overall relative abundance of this group is $32.44 \%$. Omnivores group was the least with three (3) fish species namely $S$. budgetti, $S$.
membraneceous, and M. rume. S. membraneceous was the most abundant in this group $(0.25 \%)$ and the overall relative abundance was the least with $0.57 \%$.

Fish diversity indices
The diversity indices of fish species during the period of study is presented in Table 4. The highest richness was observed in the lower section (16) with a combined value of 16 . H -values were highest in the middle section ( 0.73 ) and a combined value of 0.67 . Evenness was highest in the upper section ( 0.24 ) and a combined value of 0.2208 . Simpsons' index of diversity was highest in the middle section (0.90) and a combined value of 0.58 .

## Discussion

It was observed from the results that the various physical and chemical parameters measured were within the required ranges for fish survival, growth and reproduction in culture and natural environment. Mean monthly and overall dissolved oxygen concentration was above the recommended limit of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ (Boyd

Table 3: Monthly relative numerical abundance of species

| Fish species/ Months | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct | Total | Total (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. zillii | 162 | 139 | 121 | 139 | 123 | 75 | 63 | 58 | 71 | 76 | 90 | 79 | 1196 | 27.53 |
| S. galilaeus | 112 | 148 | 91 | 98 | 66 | 71 | 56 | 77 | 74 | 98 | 75 | 42 | 1008 | 23.20 |
| O. niloticus | 78 | 102 | 71 | 68 | 71 | 55 | 21 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 21 | 538 | 12.38 |
| C. marie | 28 | 11 | 21 | 21 | 18 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 161 | 3.71 |
| C. nigrodigitatus | 121 | 91 | 88 | 102 | 80 | 75 | 81 | 39 | 28 | 52 | 28 | 41 | 826 | 19.01 |
| L. niloticus | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 2 | NE | NE | 3 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 41 | 0.94 |
| S. mystus | 35 | 39 | 30 | 22 | 28 | 21 | 21 | 29 | 25 | 28 | 20 | 29 | 327 | 7.53 |
| A. macrolepidotus | 8 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 82 | 1.89 |
| H. forskahlii | 5 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | NE | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 42 | 0.97 |
| A. dentex | 11 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 5 | NE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 58 | 1.34 |
| H. akawo | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | NE | 1 | NE | 2 | 1 | NE | 3 | 18 | 0.41 |
| H. membranaceous | 2 | 3 | 1 | NE | NE | 2 | NE | NE | NE | NE | 1 | 2 | 11 | 0.25 |
| S. budgetti | 1 | 2 | NE | NE | NE | NE | NE | NE | NE | 1 | NE | NE | 4 | 0.09 |
| L. senegalensis | 2 | 1 | 2 | NE | NE | NE | 1 | 1 | NE | NE | NE | NE | 7 | 0.16 |
| M. rume | 3 | 2 | 1 | NE | NE | NE | NE | NE | NE | 2 | NE | 2 | 10 | 0.23 |
| P. obscura | 1 | NE | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | NE | 1 | 3 | 1 | NE | 1 | 13 | 0.30 |
| Total fish/ month | 580 | 564 | 456 | 481 | 411 | 318 | 259 | 238 | 230 | 295 | 258 | 252 | 4344 | 100 |
| Total (\%) | 13.35 | 12.98 | 10.50 | 11.07 | 9.46 | 7.32 | 5.96 | 5.48 | 5.29 | 6.79 | 5.94 | 5.80 | 100 |  |

NE - not encountered
Table 4: Diversity indices of fish species during the period of study

| Diversity index | Lower <br> section | Middle section | Upper <br> section | Combined |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Richness | 16 | 15 | 14 | 16 |
| Number of Individuals | 2298 | 1345 | 701 | 4344 |
| Shannon-Weiner index (H) | 0.59 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 0.67 |
| Evenness (E) | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.22 |
| Simpson's index of diversity (1-d) | 0.70 | 0.90 | 0.97 | 0.58 |

Table 5: Trophic grouping of fish species Lake Asejire during the period of study

| Trophic group | Fish species | Abundance | Relative abundance (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primary Consumer | Coptodon zillii | 1196 | 27.53 |
| (Herbivores) | Sarotherodon galilaeus | 1008 | 23.20 |
|  | Oreochromis niloticus | 538 | 12.38 |
|  | Coptodon marie | 161 | 0.36 |
|  | Labeo senegalensis | 7 | 0.16 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 9 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 9 9}$ |
| Secondary consumers | Lates niloticus | 41 | 0.94 |
| (Carnivores) | Hydrocynus forskahlii | 42 | 0.97 |
|  | Alestes macrolepidotus | 1.89 |  |
|  | Alestes dentex | 1.33 |  |
|  | Hepsetus akawo | 58 | 0.41 |
|  | Parachanna obscura | 18 | 0.29 |
|  | Chrisichthys nigrodigitatus | 13 | 19.06 |
|  | Schilbe mystus | 828 | 7.53 |
|  | Total | 327 | $\mathbf{3 2 . 4 4}$ |
|  | Mormyrus rume | $\mathbf{1 4 0 9}$ | 0.23 |
|  | Synodontis membraneceous | 10 | 0.25 |
|  | Synodontis budgetti | 11 | 0.09 |
|  | Total | 4 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 7}$ |
|  | Total (\%) | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
|  | Forage Carnivore Ratio (F/C) | $\mathbf{4 3 4 4}$ |  |

1979; Ayoade 2009 and Obot et al 2016). The result observed is similar to reports on tropical Niger Delta (Zabbey 2013) and Ogunpa River in Ibadan (Iyiola 2015). Slight variations were observed in the mean pH values but results were similar to results by Yem et al (2011) and Ipinmoroti (2013) that the pH of 6.5-9.0 is suitable for the growth of phytoplankton. The mean monthly and overall values measured were observed to be slightly higher than the recommended level. The mean monthly and overall conductivity values for Lake Asijiere were within the limits of unpolluted water. Chapman and Kimstach (1996) reported that conductivity of most freshwater systems ranges from 10 to $1000 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ but if waters are polluted or receive intense run-offs, it may exceed $1000 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$. Conductivity can be used to determine the level of freshness or pollution in water (Ogbeibu and Egborge 1995). Based on this index and by this estimate and results from this study, Lake Asejire is not polluted. Though there were differences in the mean values from different sections they were not significant ( $p>0.05$ ). The similarity in the values of physico-chemical parameters obtained in the different sections of the lake during the study could be as a result of the similarity in activities that took place in the various sections of the water body.

The study of abundance and diversity of fish species is essential for monitoring, conservation and management of stock (Guerriero 2017). All fish species were of freshwater origin and the family Cichlidae was the most diverse and abundant group although it was the most targeted in the lake (Ita 1998; Ogutu-Ohwayo, 2005); while the least diverse families was Cyprinidae $(0.16 \%)$. The high catch in November could be attributed to fish susceptible to gear during the onset of the dry season in that region. Yem et al (2011) similarly observed higher abundance during the months of the dry season (November-March) than the rains (AprilOctober).

The reduction in fish abundance and distribution may be attributed to various factors such as overfishing, migration of fish species and change in water conditions (Lawson and Olusanya 2010), which are all consequences of climate change. A similar case in disappearance of some species was reported in Lake Victoria and it was attributed to the open access nature of fishing and the use of unregulated fishing gear and efforts (Ogutu-Ohwayo 2005).

The low abundance recorded in the months of the wet season as compared with the dry season could be attributed to migration. The wet season which is characterized by increased rainfall (NiMET 2019) stimulates fish species to undergo breeding activities (Negi and Mangain 2013). They migrate from the open waters to shallow areas thereby reducing their
abundance in the open waters. The highest abundance of fish species at the lower section was expected because the obstruction created by the dam wall resulted to the creation of a wide water surface area and depth for accumulation of fish species (Yem et al 2011).

The total number of species identified in this study was low compared with findings of Ipinmoroti (2013) who reported twenty seven (27) species. The total abundance (4344) from this study was similar to reports by Akinyemi et al (1987) and Ipinmoroti (2013) in Lake Asejire. The species composition in the sections and the lake generally was highly diversified and was close to 1 , which indicates high diversity (Shannon and Weiner 1949). Diversity varied with the partitioned sections of the lake, the higher the ShannonWeiner index (H) the more diversified the resources (Shannon and Weiner 1949). Generally, the lake can be said to have a good diversity of fish species using Simpson's diversity index. All the indices show that each of the sections was more diversify than the entire system put together. There was also a high probability of picking different fish species at random; these results are similar to reports of Ogutu-Ohwayo (2005) and Yem et al (2011) on the fisheries of Lake Tanganyika.

Herbivores dominated the catch and the Forage Carnivore Ratio (F/C) was 2.06. In a two-year study on the lake by Akinyemi et al (1987) and Ipinmoroti (2013), they recorded an F/C of 2.2 and 1.7, and 1.33 and 0.9 in the first year and second years, respectively. Swingle (1950) reported that a Forage Carnivore ratio of between 3-6 is ideal for best production. This study on the lake shows that the forage/carnivore ratio indicates an imbalanced fish population which could eventually lead to trophic cascade. This may occur from scenarios when the carnivores, which are the majority totally consume other fish species thereby leading to a trophic imbalance. The carnivores are mainly pelagic (Idodo-Umeh 2003) and their dominance in the lake implies they can feed across the water column as omnivores fed on the bottom and on herbivores (Ipinmoroti and Olasunkanmi 2004).

## Conclusion

The water quality parameters measured were within the recommended limits for fish survival, which is probably responsible for the high fish abundance and diversity. Fish abundance was higher during the dry than the rainy season and Cichlidae was the most abundant and diverse family across the months and stations. However, the trophic imbalance in the lake system is of utmost concern because the number of herbivores, carnivores and omnivores in the lake is not
balanced to promote ecologically sustainable coexistence.
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