Research Article

Maternal benzodiazepines and z-drugs use during pregnancy and adverse birth and neurodevelopmental outcomes in offspring: a population-based cohort study

Adrienne Y.L. Chan[a-d#]; Le Gao[a#]; Louise M. Howard[e]; Emily Simonoff[f]; Dave Coghill[g]; Patrick Ip[h,i]; Wallis C.Y. Lau[a,b,d]; Katja Taxis[c]; Ian C.K. Wong[a,b,d,j*]; Kenneth K.C. Man[a,b,d*]

#The two first authors have contributed equally to the manuscript.

*Co-corresponding authors

[a] Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacy, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

[b] Laboratory of Data Discovery for Health, Hong Kong Science Park, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

[c] Groningen Research Institute of Pharmacy, Unit of PharmacoTherapy, Epidemiology and Economics, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

[d] Research Department of Practice and Policy, UCL School of Pharmacy, London, United Kingdom

[e] Section of Women's Mental Health, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

[f] Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

[g] Departments of Paediatrics and Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC Australia

h Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

i Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Hong Kong Children's Hospital, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

j Aston Pharmacy School, Aston University, Birmingham, B4 7ET, United Kingdom

Short Title: Benzodiazepines/z-drugs use in pregnancy and children outcomes

Corresponding Author:

Professor Ian C.K. Wong

Centre for Safe Medication Practice and Research, Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacy, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong

L02-56, 2/F, Laboratory Block, 21 Sassoon Road, Pokfulam

Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

Tel: +852 39179160

Email: wongick@hku.hk

Dr Kenneth K.C. Man

Research Department of Practice and Policy, UCL School of Pharmacy

Mezzanine Floor, BMA House, Entrance A, Tavistock Square

London, United Kingdom WC1H 9JP

Tel: +44 (0)7426956973

Email: kenneth.man@ucl.ac.uk

Number of Tables: 2

Number of Figures: 3

Word count: abstract, 250; full text, 3782

Abstract

Introduction The use of benzodiazepines and/or z-drugs in women of childbearing age has increased.

Objective To evaluate whether gestational benzodiazepines and/or z-drugs exposure is associated with adverse birth and neurodevelopmental outcomes.

Methods A population-based cohort including mother-child pairs from 2001–2018 in Hong Kong was analysed to compared gestationally exposed and nonexposed children on the risk of preterm birth, small for gestational age, autism spectrum disorder (ASD), and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) through logistic/Cox proportional hazards regression. Sibling-matched analyses and negative control analyses were applied.

Results When comparing gestationally exposed with gestationally nonexposed children, the weighted odds ratio (wOR) was 1.10 (95%CI=0.97–1.25) for preterm birth and 1.03 (95%CI=0.76–1.39) for small for gestational age while the weighted hazard ratio (wHR) was 1.40 (95%CI=1.13–1.73) for ASD and 1.15 (95%CI=0.94–1.40) for ADHD. Sibling-matched analyses showed no association between gestationally exposed children and their gestationally nonexposed siblings for all outcomes (preterm birth: wOR=0.84, 95%CI=0.66–1.06; small for gestational age: wOR=1.02, 95%CI=0.50–2.09; ASD: wHR=1.10, 95%CI=0.70–1.72; ADHD: wHR=1.04, 95%CI=0.57–1.90). Similarly, no significant differences were observed when comparing children whose mothers took benzodiazepines and/or z-drugs during pregnancy to children whose mothers took benzodiazepines and/or z-drugs before but not during pregnancy for all outcomes.

Conclusions The findings do not support a causal relationship between gestational benzodiazepines and/or z-drugs exposure and preterm birth, small for gestational age, ASD, or ADHD. Clinicians and pregnant women should carefully balance the known risks of benzodiazepines and/or z-drugs use against that of untreated anxiety and sleep problems.

4

Introduction

Pregnancy is often a stressful experience as women undergo tremendous changes, which can lead to anxiety and sleep problems for some [1, 2]. Sleep problems during pregnancy are found to have a strong bidirectional relationship with anxiety disorders, and may arise from discomforts such as back pain and foetal movements, gastroesophageal reflux, and hormonal modifications [3]. In addition, up to one in five pregnant women experience some form of anxiety disorder while 75% report having sleep problems in the third trimester [2, 4]. When untreated, maternal anxiety and sleep problems during pregnancy pose risks to both the mothers' and infants' health. Reported negative consequences of maternal anxiety and sleep problems include preterm birth, small for gestational age, placental abruption, and other gestational conditions; most of which are also predictors of poor neurodevelopmental outcomes for the child [3, 5].

Benzodiazepines and benzodiazepine-related drugs (z-drugs), hereafter referred as sedatives, may be prescribed to pregnant women to treat anxiety and sleep disorders. Prevalence of sedative use during pregnancy range from 1% to 14%, depending on the geographical region studied, and is highest during the third trimester, mirroring the trends for anxiety and sleep problems [6]. In recent years, the use of benzodiazepines and z-drugs in women of childbearing age has increased, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic [7, 8]. Sedatives readily cross the placenta and the foetal blood-brain barrier when used during pregnancy, and may interfere with foetal brain development due to their effects on the γ-aminobutyric acid transmission [9, 10]. Besides studies suggesting the association between gestational sedative exposure and risk of adverse birth outcomes including preterm birth and low birth weight [11-14], recent reviews pinpointed there is a scarcity of data on neurodevelopmental outcomes such as autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and attention-deficit/hyperactivity

disorder (ADHD) [15, 16]. To address these gaps, we conducted a large-scale pharmacoepidemiological study nested in a territory-wide electronic healthcare database to evaluate the association between gestational exposure to sedatives and birth and neurodevelopmental complications (preterm birth, small for gestational age, ASD, and ADHD) in children.

Materials and Methods

Data Source and Study Design

This is a cohort study using the Clinical Data Analysis and Reporting System (CDARS). We specified target trials following protocol components listed in **online suppl. Table 1**. CDARS contains anonymised electronic health records of all residents (over 7.4 million) from public hospitals and health institutes of the Hospital Authority (HA) in Hong Kong (HK) [17]. The HA currently manages 43 hospitals, 49 specialist outpatient clinics, and 73 general outpatient clinics [18]. The clinical information is directly recorded by clinicians and other healthcare professionals before being routinely transferred to CDARS. Diagnosis records in CDARS were coded using ICD-9-CM [International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification] codes instead of ICD-10 codes. Nevertheless, data validation has demonstrated high coding accuracy in CDARS [19-21] which has been used for various pharmacoepidemiological studies [22-24], including studies on prenatal psychotropic use [25] and neurodevelopmental disorders [26-29]. Study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (approval number: UW20-051). Informed consent was waived as this study did not have patient contact and used anonymised data.

Study Population

Our study cohort included all pregnancy episodes of women who delivered a live birth between 1 January 2001 and 31 December 2018 with follow-up until 31 December 2020. We defined a valid mother-child linkage as the exact match of mother and child identification numbers and delivery date which is linked permanently after delivery [26]. We excluded children without valid mother-child linkage or complete birth information (such as sex, birth date, and gestational age). As some sedatives may be used for the management of epilepsies, we removed the pregnancy episodes where mothers had a history of epilepsy [30].

Pregnancy period

To identify the date of conception and trimesters of pregnancy, the last menstrual period (LMP) was estimated by the date of delivery minus gestational age at birth. The gestational age at birth was recorded by healthcare professionals based on ultrasound performed at the first obstetric visit and was directly retrieved from CDARS [26]. The pregnancy period was defined as the period between the LMP and delivery date. To examine the potential effects on the timing of sedative use, we further separated the pregnancy period into trimesters: first trimester (0–90 days after the LMP), second trimester (91–180 days after the LMP) and third trimester (181 days after the LMP to delivery to the date of delivery) [26, 31].

Exposure and comparator cohorts

Prescriptions of any sedatives listed in Chapter 4.1 of the British National Formulary (BNF) were extracted from CDARS (**online suppl. Table 2**). Z-drugs included zopiclone and zolpidem, the only z-drugs prescribed in the HA. Children were considered to have been exposed gestationally if their mothers were prescribed any sedatives during the pregnancy period (***gestationally-exposed***). In cases where the prescription start date was missing,

dispensing date was used; where the prescription end date was missing, imputation was applied to determine the prescription end date based on the median prescription duration of the same drug. Children whose mothers did not use sedatives during pregnancy were used to construct three comparator groups: 1) children whose mothers did not use sedatives during pregnancy, regardless of sedative use history (*gestationally non-exposed*); 2) children whose mothers used sedatives before but not during pregnancy (*past-exposed*); and 3) children whose mothers never used sedatives both before and during pregnancy (*never-exposed*), we further classified this group into those (a) *with anxiety disorders*, and (b) *without anxiety disorders* (coded using ICD-9-CM 293.84, 300) (**online suppl. Fig. 1**). There are concerns for psychotropic medication use during pregnancy regarding the risks of various adverse outcomes in offspring [32]. However, we did not exclude pregnant women who were on antidepressants or other psychotropic medication in view of the low exposure rates in the control groups (antidepressants: 0.67%, antipsychotics: 0.23%; antiepileptics: 0.07%; antiparkinson drugs: 0.07%; stimulants: 0.002%).

Outcome

Study outcomes were preterm birth (<37 gestational weeks) and small for gestational (birth weight <2 standard deviations [SD] below the mean for gestational age) [27], ASD (ICD-9-CM: 299), ADHD (ICD-9-CM: 314, or prescription for ADHD medication, namely methylphenidate or atomoxetine – the only available medications for ADHD in HK during the study period) [26, 33]. Participants were followed up until the outcome onset, the end of study period, or death, whichever occurred first. Preterm birth and small for gestational age were identified and recorded at the delivery date. For ASD and ADHD analyses, all children had at least two- and six-year of follow-ups respectively by the end of study period.

Covariates

We selected covariates *a priori* based on known confounders for the study association and risk factors for the study outcomes [27]. These include maternal age at delivery, calendar year at delivery, parity, birth hospital, multiple pregnancy, infant's sex, maternal psychiatric and neurological conditions, maternal physical conditions, maternal medication history, maternal behaviours including smoking, alcohol consumption, and illicit drug use, and maternal socioeconomic status. Definitions of covariates by ICD-9-CM codes, BNF chapters, or BMI categories are available in **online suppl. Table 3**.

Statistical analyses

Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used to estimate the associations for birth outcomes (preterm birth, small for gestational age) by logistic regression models. Hazard ratios (HRs) with a 95%CI were estimated to study the associations for neurodevelopmental outcomes (ASD, ADHD) using Cox proportional hazard regression models. Propensity score (PS) fine-stratification weighting was used to address the differences in baseline covariates. We used PS fine-stratification weighting for greater precision, less residual and equivalent bias control at low exposure prevalence when compared to traditional PS methods [34, 35]. PS was used to estimate the average treatment effect by creating 250 equally sized fine strata. Following stratification, weights for exposure and reference individuals in all strata were calculated based on the total number of individuals within each stratum, while strata with no participants were dropped out [35]. Robust standard error was applied to adjust for data clustering. All variables listed in the covariates section were included in the PS model. CIs not overlapping 1.0 were considered statistically significant. Statistical Analysis System (SAS) v9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC,

USA) and R Foundation for Statistical Computing version 3.6.0 (Vienna, Austria) were used for data analysis.

We conducted negative control analyses to evaluate the effect of confounding by indication: 1) we compared children born to *past-exposed* mothers with those who were *never-exposed*, an increased risk of outcomes in the children of mothers among the *past-exposed* indicates confounding by indication as the infant was not exposed to sedatives; 2) similarly, *gestationally-exposed* infants were compared with *past-exposed* infants to assess whether there is a difference in the risk of study outcomes; 3) to assess the role of maternal anxiety disorder, we restricted comparison cohorts to *never-exposed*, if maternal anxiety disorder is associated with risk of outcomes in the children, this introduces the possibility of confounding by indication. Sibling-matched analyses were conducted to control for shared genetic and social confounding factors at family level. Stratified Cox/logistic regression with a separate stratum for each family identified by the mother's unique identification number was used. Only inconsonant sibling pairs for gestational sedative exposure and study outcomes were included in sibling-matched analysis.

Subgroup analyses were conducted by drug class and sex. First, we conducted analyses in benzodiazepine only and Z-hypnotics only subgroups to identify the risk of study outcomes in different drug classes. Second, as neurodevelopmental outcomes are more common in boys than girls [36], we conducted analyses in boy and girl subgroups to explore sex differences. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to test the validity of the initial analyses. First, to assess the impact of potential exposure misclassification, we extended the prescription period by 14 days to test the effect of the length of prescription. Second, to investigate the potential clustering effect of children who were born to the same mother, we restricted analyses to the first pregnancy episode only. Third, as history of preterm birth is a strong predictor of future

10

preterm birth, we conducted analyses by removing the first pregnancy episode and included history of preterm birth as an additional covariate. Fourth, as there might be children who received ADHD diagnosis or prescription before six years old, or received ASD diagnosis before two years old, we conducted analyses without restricting the follow up time.

Results

We retrieved 583 058 birth records from 2001–2018 from CDARS. The final analytic cohorts included 533 445 mother-child pairs for preterm birth and small for gestational age analyses, 532 342 mother-child pairs for ASD analysis with a median follow-up time of 8.76 years (Interquartile range [IQR]=5.35–12.96 years), and 379 188 mother-child pairs for ADHD analysis with a median follow-up time of 10.91 years (IQR=8.28–14.20 years) (**Fig. 1.** and **online suppl. Fig. 2**). Characteristics of included babies and mothers were summarised in **online suppl. Table 4**.

Overall, 2281 children (0.43%) were gestationally exposed to sedatives between 2001 and 2018, where 280 (12.28%) and 43 (1.89%) had preterm birth and small for gestational age respectively. 2274 children (0.43%) were gestationally exposed to sedatives between 2001 and 2016, where 108 (4.75%) had a diagnosis of ASD. 1481 children (0.39%) were gestationally exposed to sedatives between 2001 and 2012, while 117 (7.90%) had a diagnosis of ADHD. Covariate balances were achieved after PS weighting, with all standardised differences less than 10% (**online suppl. Table 5**).

Primary analyses and Sibling Comparisons

When comparing with gestationally nonexposed children, gestational sedative exposure was associated with ASD (PS-weighted HR [wHR]=1.40, 95%CI=1.13–1.73), but not with

11

preterm birth (PS-weighted OR [wOR]=1.10, 95%CI=0.97–1.25), small for gestational age (wOR=1.03, 95%CI=0.76–1.39), nor ADHD (wHR=1.15, 95%CI=0.94–1.40). Results stratified by trimester showed that sedative exposure during the first trimester was associated with an increased risk of ASD (wHR=1.43, 95%CI=1.09–1.89) and ADHD (wHR=1.29, 95%CI=1.00–1.68) while sedative exposure during the third trimester was associated with an increased risk of preterm birth (wOR=1.57, 95%CI=1.15–2.15) (**Fig. 2.** and **online suppl. Table 6**). The sibling-matched analyses included 896 mothers with 2151 children in the preterm birth and small for gestational age cohort, 893 mothers with 2139 children in the ASD cohort, and 461 mothers with 1081 children in the ADHD cohort. When gestationally exposed children were compared with their siblings who were not exposed to sedatives gestationally, no significant differences in the risk of all outcomes were observed (preterm birth: wOR=0.84, 95%CI=0.66–1.06; small for gestational age: wOR=1.02, 95%CI=0.50–2.09; ASD: wHR=1.10, 95%CI=0.70–1.72; ADHD: wHR= 1.04, 95%CI=0.57–1.90; **Table 1**).

Other comparisons

Gestationally-exposed vs Past-exposed

No significant differences were observed when comparing children who were gestationally exposed to sedatives with children whose mothers were exposed to sedatives before but not during pregnancy for all outcomes – preterm birth (wOR=1.07, 95%CI=0.93–1.22), small for gestational age (wOR=1.08, 95%CI=0.78–1.49), ASD (wHR=1.21, 95%CI=0.96–1.51), and ADHD (wHR=1.02, 95%CI=0.83–1.26; **Fig. 3.** and **online suppl. Table 6**).

Past-exposed vs Never-exposed

An increased risk of ADHD (wHR=1.16, 95%CI=1.01–1.34) was observed when comparing children whose mothers used sedatives before but not during pregnancy with children whose mothers never used sedatives. No significant differences between the two comparison groups were observed for preterm birth (wOR=1.02, 95%CI=0.96–1.07), small for gestational age (wOR=0.94, 95%CI=0.83–1.07) and ASD (wHR=1.13, 95%CI=0.98–1.30; **Fig. 3.** and **online suppl. Table 6**).

Never-Exposed With Anxiety Disorders vs Never-Exposed Without Anxiety Disorders

When we restricted the analyses to mothers who never used sedatives, an increased risk of ADHD (wHR=1.40, 95%CI=1.16–1.69) was observed when comparing children born to mothers with anxiety disorders with mothers without anxiety disorders. No significant differences between the two comparison groups were observed for preterm birth (wOR=1.06, 95%CI=0.94–1.20), small for gestational age (wOR=1.12, 95%CI=0.85–1.47) and ASD (wHR=1.13, 95%CI=0.89–1.43; **Fig. 3.** and **online suppl. Table 6**).

Subgroup analyses

Children whose mothers used benzodiazepines exclusively during pregnancy had a higher risk of ASD (wHR=1.42, 95%CI=1.06–1.90) and preterm birth (wOR=1.19, 95%CI=1.00–1.42) when compared with children who were not exposed to any sedatives gestationally (**Table 2**). No significant differences were observed for small for gestational age (wHR=0.61, 95%CI=0.34–1.07) and ADHD (wHR=1.03, 95%CI=0.77–1.37). When comparing children who were exposed to z-hypnotics gestationally with nonexposed children, no significant differences were observed in all outcomes, except small for gestational age (wOR=1.60, 95%CI=1.08–2.36). Direct comparisons for newborns whose mothers were exposed to z-

13

hypnotics vs benzodiazepines during pregnancy found a higher risk of small for gestational age (wHR=2.31, 95%CI=1.16–4.59) but not for other outcomes.

When stratified by children's sex, a higher risk of ASD (wHR=1.45, 95%CI=1.16–1.83) was observed in boys who were gestationally exposed to sedatives when compared to gestationally nonexposed boys. No significant differences between gestationally exposed and nonexposed boys were observed for other outcomes. No significant differences between gestationally exposed and nonexposed girls were observed for all study outcomes except for preterm birth with a higher wOR of 1.24 (95%CI=1.03–1.48) in the exposed group.

Sensitivity analyses

The results of sensitivity analyses extending the prescription duration by 14 days as the exposure were similar to the main analyses, i.e., non-statistical significance for preterm birth, small for gestational age, and ADHD; and an increased risk of ASD. After additionally adjusting history of preterm birth, the association between gestational exposure to sedative and the risk of preterm birth was similar to the main analysis, i.e. remained statistically non-significant. The results of sensitivity analyses including only first pregnancies were similar to the main analyses for preterm birth, small for gestational age, and ADHD, i.e., non-statistical significance. When including only first pregnancies in the analyses, the effect estimates for ASD decreased from an HR of 1.40 (95%CI=1.13–1.73) in the main analysis to 1.12 (95%CI=0.80–1.56) in the sensitivity analysis. We did not observe any meaningful differences in the sensitivity analyses without restriction for follow-up duration from the main analyses (ASD: HR=1.40, 95%CI=1.14–1.72; ADHD: HR=1.23, 95%CI=1.02–1.48; **online suppl. Table 7**).

Discussion

Our primary analyses found no association between maternal sedative use during pregnancy and ADHD, preterm birth, or small for gestational age in offspring. Although an increased risk of ASD was identified in children with gestational exposure to sedatives, results from sibling-matched analyses and negative control analyses suggest that this association may be confounded by shared social and familial factors.

Previous findings on sedative exposure during pregnancy and the risk of adverse birth outcomes were mixed [11-14, 37]. Furthermore, they may be limited by small sample sizes, poor representativeness of data, recall bias, inadequate adjustment of confounders such as indication (i.e. anxiety and sleep disorders), and unmeasured variables such as illicit drug use. In particular, sedatives could be used for other psychiatric comorbidities or epilepsy which were not accounted for in some studies. In our current study, using a large-scale population-based design with adequate adjustment for potential confounders, there is a lack of evidence suggesting sedative use during pregnancy is associated with adverse birth outcomes. Evidence on the relationship between sedative use and neurodevelopmental outcomes in offspring was scarce at the conception of this study. Two studies evaluated the association between gestational sedative use and ADHD in offspring using parent reports and diagnosis records respectively [38, 39]. Similar to our findings, both concluded there is insufficient evidence to substantiate the claims that maternal sedative use during pregnancy is associated with ADHD in offspring. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the association between gestational sedative use and the risk of ASD. Although we detected an increased risk of ASD in children who were exposed to sedatives during pregnancy when compared with nonexposed children, no significant difference was observed when we compared gestational users with past users as negative exposure control. A null association

was also observed when comparing exposed children with their siblings who were nonexposed during gestation. These findings suggest that shared genetic and social factors may at least partly explain the association observed in the primary analysis. However, we cannot completely dismiss the possibility that gestational sedative exposure is causally associated with ASD development in offspring.

Strengths and limitations

This is the first cohort study based on a large-scale population database to examine the association between gestational sedative exposure and the risk of ADHD or ASD in children with adequate follow-up time for the detection of neurodevelopmental disorders (at least six and two years respectively). Electronic dispensing and prescribing records were used to identify the exposures, which are free from recall bias. Pregnancy information including the deterministic mother-child link where patient identification numbers are linked permanently after delivery and explicit gestational age records were directly retrieved from CDARS, which were highly accurate [40]. Moreover, complementary negative control analyses and sibling-matched analyses were applied to control for both measured and unmeasured confounding.

Our study had several limitations. Firstly, CDARS only captures public healthcare medical records; data from private hospitals and medical practitioners are not included. However, children with neurodevelopmental disorders require comprehensive long-term treatment; thus, they usually receive health services from the public sector in HK [41]. Furthermore, most children were delivered at public hospitals which provide approximately 90% of hospital medical services in HK [42]. Second, poor medication adherence among patients with psychiatric disorders is common, and we cannot confirm whether patients took their

medication as prescribed or if they had taken the medication at all, and this may affect the accuracy of the results [43]. We addressed the potential for misclassification bias by extending the prescription duration by 14 days in the exposed group in the sensitivity analyses, which had similar results to our primary analyses. Third, our analyses could not account for differences in the severity of indicated illnesses. Women with more severe illnesses may have stayed on the drug whereas women whose illnesses were less severe may stop taking sedatives during pregnancy given the risk-benefit assessment. However, we used complementary negative control and sibling-matched analyses which controlled for confounding by indication and shared familial factors to support the interpretation of our findings. Fourth, as analyses were conducted with HK population, it is unclear whether the results are generalizable to other populations. Fifth, like other studies using electronic health records, there could be missing records for behavioural factors. We thus compared the rates of these factors with the Hong Kong government statistics and found a lower rate in our data (smoking - our study: 1.12%, government statistics: 1.9% to 7.0% for female aged 20-29 between 2001-2018 [44]; drinking - our study: 0.9%, government statistics: 3.2% for females of all ages [45]; illicit drug use – our study: 0.93%, government statistics: 0.03% for female ever abusers aged 21 and above in 2019 [46, 47]). Nonetheless, our population comprised of pregnant women, women with childbearing or breastfeeding intention may engage in less unhealthy behaviours than the general population. Furthermore, as our study results suggested a null association between sedative exposure and our study outcomes, even if these behavioural factors could explain the association, it is unlikely to affect our conclusion. Sixth, we were only able to control for maternal but not paternal medical history in the analyses as paternal linkage is not established in the Hong Kong CDARS. However, we have accounted for the effects of familial confoundings including family history by the sibling-matched analysis where shared familial and environmental factors were controlled for by the study

17

design and yielded similar results to the main analysis. This supported the robustness of our results.

Clinical implications and future research directions

Sedative use during pregnancy was previously suggested to be associated with congenital malformations, this notion has been rejected recently, with meta-analyses concluding that there is no evidence for teratogenicity associated with gestational sedative use [48]. We now show here that there is also unlikely to be a causal association with preterm birth, small for gestational age, ADHD or ASD in offspring. While our results do not suggest withholding sedative treatment due to the fear of our study outcomes, risks of sedative use such as dependence and abuse have been well established. Therefore, the use of sedatives during pregnancy still requires careful and personalised consideration of the potential harms against the benefits. Furthermore, the general scarcity of studies on the long-term safety of gestational sedative use for mothers and offspring suggests that more attention should be devoted to this area, given the current rise in psychiatric illnesses during pregnancy [49]. Future studies should include head-to-head comparisons of different sedative drugs to address the growing concerns for the comparative safety of z-hypnotics [50].

Conclusion

The findings of this study do not support a causal relationship between gestational sedative exposure and the risk of preterm birth, small for gestational age, ASD, or ADHD in offspring. Given that untreated anxiety and sleep disorders bear undesirable effects on birth and neurodevelopmental outcomes in offspring, clinicians should pay close attention when treating pregnant women with these conditions.

Statements

Statement of Ethics

Study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (approval number: UW20-051). This was a pharmacoepidemiology study without patient contact and therefore informed consent was exempted by the institutional review board.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures

Prof Coghill reported personal fees from Takeda/Shire, Medice, Novartis, and Servier and royalties from Oxford University Press and Cambridge University Press outside the submitted work. Dr Ip reported research funding from the Hong Kong Research Grants Council, Health and Medical Research Fund and Hong Kong Jockey Club Charities Trust. Dr Lau reported grant from AIR@InnoHK administered by Innovation and Technology Commission, outside the submitted work. Prof Wong reported research funding from the Hong Kong Research Grants Council, European Commission, and the Laboratory of Data Discovery for Health by the Hong Kong Government InnoHK initiative. Dr Man reported grants from C W Maplethorpe during the conduct of the study as well as grants the National Institute of Health Research, European Commission Framework Horizon 2020, Hong Kong Research Grant Council, Amgen Ltd, and GSK and personal fees from IQVIA outside the submitted work. No other disclosures were reported.

Data Availability Statement

Data can not be shared as the data custodian – Hong Kong Hospital Authority did not give permission due to the concerns of patient confidentiality and privacy. Local academic institutions, government departments, or non-governmental organizations may apply for the access to data through the Hospital Authority's data sharing portal (https://www3.ha.org.hk/data). Further enquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

References

1   Rallis S, Skouteris H, McCabe M, Milgrom J. The transition to motherhood: towards a broader understanding of perinatal distress. Women Birth. 2014;27(1):68-71.

2   Sedov ID, Cameron EE, Madigan S, Tomfohr-Madsen LM. Sleep quality during pregnancy: A meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev. 2018;38:168-76.

3   Silvestri R, Arico I. Sleep disorders in pregnancy. Sleep Sci. 2019;12(3):232-9.

4   Fawcett EJ, Fairbrother N, Cox ML, White IR, Fawcett JM. The Prevalence of Anxiety Disorders During Pregnancy and the Postpartum Period: A Multivariate Bayesian Meta-Analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2019;80(4).

5   Gimbel LA, Blue NR, Allshouse AA, Silver RM, Gimbel B, Grobman WA, et al. Pregnancy outcomes and anxiety in nulliparous women. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2021:1-10.

6   Bais B, Molenaar NM, Bijma HH, Hoogendijk WJG, Mulder CL, Luik AI, et al. Prevalence of benzodiazepines and benzodiazepine-related drugs exposure before, during and after pregnancy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord. 2020;269:18-27.

7   Archer C, MacNeill SJ, Mars B, Turner K, Kessler D, Wiles N. Rise in prescribing for anxiety in UK primary care between 2003 and 2018: a population-based cohort study using Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Br J Gen Pract. 2022;72(720):e511-e8.

8   Milani SA, Raji MA, Chen L, Kuo YF. Trends in the Use of Benzodiazepines, Z-Hypnotics, and Serotonergic Drugs Among US Women and Men Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4(10):e2131012.

9   Lauder JM, Liu J, Devaud L, Morrow AL. GABA as a trophic factor for developing monoamine neurons. Perspect Dev Neurobiol. 1998;5(2-3):247-59.

10   Hoyer D, Allen A, Jacobson LH. Hypnotics with novel modes of action. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2020;86(2):244-9.

11   Huitfeldt A, Sundbakk LM, Skurtveit S, Handal M, Nordeng H. Associations of Maternal Use of Benzodiazepines or Benzodiazepine-like Hypnotics During Pregnancy With Immediate Pregnancy Outcomes in Norway. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(6):e205860.

12   Wang LH, Lin HC, Lin CC, Chen YH, Lin HC. Increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in women receiving zolpidem during pregnancy. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2010;88(3):369-74.

13   Wikner BN, Stiller CO, Bergman U, Asker C, Kallen B. Use of benzodiazepines and benzodiazepine receptor agonists during pregnancy: neonatal outcome and congenital malformations. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2007;16(11):1203-10.

14   Bjorkstedt SM, Kautiainen H, Tuomi U, Gissler M, Pennanen P, Eriksson JG, et al. Maternal use of sedative drugs and its effects on pregnancy outcomes: a Finnish birth cohort study. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):4467.

15   Wang X, Zhang T, Ekheden I, Chang Z, Hellner C, Jan H, et al. Prenatal exposure to benzodiazepines and Z-drugs in humans and risk of adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes in offspring: A systematic review. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2022;137:104647.

16   Dubovsky SL, Marshall D. Benzodiazepines Remain Important Therapeutic Options in Psychiatric Practice. Psychother Psychosom. 2022;91(5):307-34.

17   Hong Kong Hospital Authority. Introduction, caring for our community's health 2019 [cited 2019 05 November]. Available from: http://www.ha.org.hk/visitor/ha_visitor_index.asp?Parent_ID=10004&Content_ID=10008&Ver=HTML.

18   Hong Kong Hospital Authority. Hospital Authority : Clusters, Hospitals & Institutions Hong Kong2022 [Available from: https://www.ha.org.hk/visitor/ha_visitor_index.asp?Content_ID=10036&Lang=ENG&Ver=HTML.

19   Wong AY, Root A, Douglas IJ, Chui CS, Chan EW, Ghebremichael-Weldeselassie Y, et al. Cardiovascular outcomes associated with use of clarithromycin: population based study. BMJ. 2016;352:h6926.

20   Chan EW, Lau WC, Leung WK, Mok MT, He Y, Tong TS, et al. Prevention of Dabigatran-Related Gastrointestinal Bleeding With Gastroprotective Agents: A Population-Based Study. Gastroenterology. 2015;149(3):586-95 e3.

21   Chan EW, Lau WC, Siu CW, Lip GY, Leung WK, Anand S, et al. Effect of suboptimal anticoagulation treatment with antiplatelet therapy and warfarin on clinical outcomes in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: A population-wide cohort study. Heart Rhythm. 2016;13(8):1581-8.

22   Lau WC, Chan EW, Cheung C-L, Sing CW, Man KK, Lip GY, et al. Association between dabigatran vs warfarin and risk of osteoporotic fractures among patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. JAMA. 2017;317(11):1151-8.

23   Lau WC, Cheung C-L, Man KK, Chan EW, Sing CW, Lip GY, et al. Association Between Treatment With Apixaban, Dabigatran, Rivaroxaban, or Warfarin and Risk for Osteoporotic Fractures Among Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Population-Based Cohort Study. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173(1):1-9.

24   Man KKC, Lau WCY, Coghill D, Besag FMC, Cross JH, Ip P, et al. Association between methylphenidate treatment and risk of seizure: a population-based, self-controlled case-series study. Lancet Child Adolesc. 2020;4(6):435-43.

25   Reutfors J, Cesta CE, Cohen JM, Bateman BT, Brauer R, Einarsdóttir K, et al. Antipsychotic drug use in pregnancy: A multinational study from ten countries. Schizophr Res. 2020;220(2020):106-15.

26   Man KKC, Chan EW, Ip P, Coghill D, Simonoff E, Chan PKL, et al. Prenatal antidepressant use and risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in offspring: population based cohort study. Bmj. 2017;357:j2350.

27   Wang Z, Chan AYL, Coghill D, Ip P, Lau WCY, Simonoff E, et al. Association Between Prenatal Exposure to Antipsychotics and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Autism Spectrum Disorder, Preterm Birth, and Small for Gestational Age. JAMA Intern Med. 2021;181(10):1332-40.

28   Wang Z, Chan AYL, Ho PWH, Wong K, Brauer R, Besag FMC, et al. Prenatal exposure to antidepressants or antipsychotics and the risk of seizure in children. World Psychiatry. 2022;21(2):322-3.

29   Man KKC, Coghill D, Chan EW, Lau WCY, Hollis C, Liddle E, et al. Association of Risk of Suicide Attempts With Methylphenidate Treatment. JAMA Psychiatry. 2017;74(10):1048-55.

30   Ochoa JG, Kilgo WA. The Role of Benzodiazepines in the Treatment of Epilepsy. Curr Treat Options Neurol. 2016;18(4):18.

31   Sujan AC, Rickert ME, Öberg AS, Quinn PD, Hernández-Díaz S, Almqvist C, et al. Associations of maternal antidepressant use during the first trimester of pregnancy with preterm birth, small for gestational age, autism spectrum disorder, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in offspring. JAMA. 2017;317(15):1553-62.

32   Wang J, Cosci F. Neonatal Withdrawal Syndrome following Late in utero Exposure to Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. Psychother Psychosom. 2021;90(5):299-307.

33   Raman SR, Man KKC, Bahmanyar S, Berard A, Bilder S, Boukhris T, et al. Trends in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder medication use: a retrospective observational study using population-based databases. Lancet Psychiatry. 2018;5(10):824-35.

34   Desai RJ, Rothman KJ, Bateman BT, Hernandez-Diaz S, Huybrechts KF. A Propensity score based fine stratification approach for confounding adjustment when exposure is infrequent. Epidemiology 2017;28(2):249.

35   Desai RJ, Franklin JM. Alternative approaches for confounding adjustment in observational studies using weighting based on the propensity score: a primer for practitioners. bmj. 2019;367:l5657.

36   American Psychiatric Association. DSM-5 Task Force. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders : DSM-5. 5th ed. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.

37   Grigoriadis S, Graves L, Peer M, Mamisashvili L, Ruthirakuhan M, Chan P, et al. Pregnancy and Delivery Outcomes Following Benzodiazepine Exposure: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Can J Psychiatry. 2020;65(12):821-34.

38   Lupattelli A, Chambers CD, Bandoli G, Handal M, Skurtveit S, Nordeng H. Association of Maternal Use of Benzodiazepines and Z-Hypnotics During Pregnancy With Motor and Communication Skills and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms in Preschoolers. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(4):e191435.

39   Figueroa R. Use of antidepressants during pregnancy and risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the offspring. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2010;31(8):641-8.

40   Wang Z, Ho PWH, Choy MTH, Wong ICK, Brauer R, Man KKC. Advances in Epidemiological Methods and Utilisation of Large Databases: A Methodological Review of Observational Studies on Central Nervous System Drug Use in Pregnancy and Central Nervous System Outcomes in Children. Drug Saf. 2019;42(4):499-513.

41   Leung GM, Tin KY, O'Donnell O. Redistribution or horizontal equity in Hong Kong's mixed public-private health system: a policy conundrum. Health Econ. 2009;18(1):37-54.

42   Kong X, Yang Y, Gao J, Guan J, Liu Y, Wang R, et al. Overview of the health care system in Hong Kong and its referential significance to mainland China. J Chin Med Assoc. 2015;78(10):569-73.

43   Valenstein M, Blow FC, Copeland LA, McCarthy JF, Zeber JE, Gillon L, et al. Poor antipsychotic adherence among patients with schizophrenia: medication and patient factors. Schizophr Bull. 2004;30(2):255-64.

44   Info-Station - Tobacco and Alcohol Control Office, Department of Health -- The Government of the Hong Kong [cited 2022 December 15]. Available from: https://www.taco.gov.hk/t/english/infostation/infostation_sta.html.

45   Alcohol Consumption - Centre for Health Protection -- The Government of the Hong Kong. [cited 2022 December 15]. Available from: https://www.chp.gov.hk/en/healthtopics/content/25/8798.html.

46   Central Registry of Drug Abuse (CRDA) - Narcotics Division, Security Bureau -- The Government of the Hong Kong [cited 2022 December 15]. Available from: https://www.nd.gov.hk/en/crda_main_charts_and_tables.html.

47   Statistics - Census and Statistics Department -- The Government of the Hong Kong Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, [cited 2022 December 15]. Available from: https://www.censtatd.gov.hk/en/.

48   Grigoriadis S, Graves L, Peer M, Mamisashvili L, Dennis CL, Vigod SN, et al. Benzodiazepine Use During Pregnancy Alone or in Combination With an Antidepressant and Congenital Malformations: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2019;80(4).

49   Howard LM, Khalifeh H. Perinatal mental health: a review of progress and challenges. World Psychiatry. 2020;19(3):313-27.

50   Schifano F, Chiappini S, Corkery JM, Guirguis A. An Insight into Z-Drug Abuse and Dependence: An Examination of Reports to the European Medicines Agency Database of Suspected Adverse Drug Reactions. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2019;22(4):270-7.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Flow chart of the mother-child pairs selection (Abbreviations: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder.)

Fig. 2. Results from the main analysis comparing gestational users and non-gestational users (Abbreviations: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; CIs: confidence intervals; HRs, hazard ratios; ORs, odds ratios; PS, propensity score.)

Fig. 3. Results from the main analysis of other comparisons (Abbreviations: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; CIs: confidence intervals; HRs, hazard ratios; ORs, odds ratios; PS, propensity score.)