

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon.



**ISSN:** 0015-5659

**e-ISSN:** 1644-3284

## **A three-headed piriformis muscle: an anatomical case study and narrative review of literature**

**Authors:** Tomasz Koziół, Weronika Chaba, Patryk Janda, Kajetan Ochwat, Przemysław Pękala, Krzysztof Balawender, Jerzy A. Walocha, Michał P. Zarzecki

**DOI:** 10.5603/FM.a2022.0108

**Article type:** Case report

**Submitted:** 2022-10-04

**Accepted:** 2022-11-29

**Published online:** 2022-12-22

This article has been peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance. It is an open access article, which means that it can be downloaded, printed, and distributed freely, provided the work is properly cited.

Articles in "Folia Morphologica" are listed in PubMed.

# **A three-headed piriformis muscle: an anatomical case study and narrative review of literature**

Tomasz Koziół et al., Three-headed piriformis

Tomasz Koziół<sup>1\*</sup>, Weronika Chaba<sup>1\*</sup>, Patryk Janda<sup>1</sup>, Kajetan Ochwat<sup>1</sup>, Przemysław Pękala<sup>1</sup>, Krzysztof Balawender<sup>2</sup>, Jerzy A. Walocha<sup>1</sup>, Michał P. Zarzecki<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anatomy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

<sup>2</sup>Department of Normal and Clinical Anatomy, Institute of Medical Sciences, Medical College of Rzeszow University, Poland

Address for correspondence: Dr. M.P. Zarzecki, MD, Department of Anatomy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, 12 Kopernika St., 31-034 Krakow, Poland, tel/fax.: (+48) 12 422 95 11, e-mail: [michal.zarzecki96@gmail.com](mailto:michal.zarzecki96@gmail.com)

*\*Equal contribution*

## **ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** The piriformis muscle (PM) is found in the gluteal region, exiting the pelvis through the greater sciatic foramen and dividing it into the suprapiriform and infrapiriform foramina. The piriformis works as part of the hip external rotator muscle group, and is responsible for rotation of the femur upon hip extension and abduction of the femur during flexion of the hip joint. The aim of the present report is to describe a very rare case of the primary three-headed piriformis muscle. To the best knowledge of the authors, the said variant has not yet been described in the existing literature.

**Case report:** The 71-year-old male formalin-fixed cadaver was subjected to routine dissection. After careful removal of the connecting tissue, three separate, primary heads of the PM were identified. The lower head of the PM arose from the middle part of the sacral bone; 87.56 mm long and 9.73 mm wide. The medial head was attached to the internal part of the posterior inferior iliac spine; 121.6 mm long and 20.97 mm wide. The upper head

was attached to the external part of the posterior inferior iliac spine; 78.89 mm long and 23.94 mm wide. All heads converged into a common tendon which inserted onto the greater trochanter.

**Conclusions:** The clinical importance of this work comes down to the fact that the aberrant PM may be the reason behind the piriformis syndrome and its associated symptoms. Moreover, knowledge regarding the variant anatomy of the PM is of immense importance to, e.g., anesthesiologists performing CT- or ultrasound-guided SN injection for local anesthesia, radiologists interpreting imaging studies, and surgeons, especially during posterior approaches to the hip and pelvis.

**Key words:** piriformis muscle, piriformis syndrome, sciatic nerve, anatomy

## INTRODUCTION

The piriformis muscle (PM) is located in the gluteal region of the pelvis, posteriorly from the hip joint, passes through the greater sciatic foramen thus dividing it into two topographic areas: the suprapiriform and infrapiriform foramina [5, 7]. The muscle is a part of the hip external rotator muscle group, consisting namely of: the piriformis, quadratus femoris, obturator internus, obturator externus, gemellus inferior and superior. The piriformis is responsible for rotation of the femur upon hip extension and abduction of the femur during flexion of the hip joint.

The possible proximal attachment points for the PM are: the anterior surface of the lateral process of the sacral bone, the spinal region of the gluteal muscles, the gluteal surface of the iliac bone, the capsule of the sacroiliac joint or sacrotuberous ligament [5]. The distal attachment of the piriformis is the superomedial surface of the greater trochanter of the femur [23]. The piriformis muscle is innervated by the nerve to piriformis which can originate from the L5-S2 ventral rami, S1-S2 ventral rami or S1-S3 ventral rami [9].

The term “piriformis syndrome” was first introduced by Robinson in 1947 [18]. The condition was defined as a type of sciatica caused by an abnormal state of PM and probably resulted from a trauma to this region. According to Hopayian et al. [8], the leading symptoms of the said condition involve: pain radiating to the ipsilateral leg (70%), external tenderness found close to the greater sciatic notch (61%), pain located in the

gluteal region (56%), and aggravation of pain while sitting (40%). Noteworthy, the piriformis syndrome may be confused with sciatica, what in turn may lead to inappropriate treatment [6]. Moreover, the female-to-male ratio regarding the piriformis syndrome was 75:61 and the mean age of patients was 43 years [8].

The piriformis syndrome may exist in correlation with the abnormal structure of the PM or its spatial relation with the sciatic nerve (SN), whereby the SN is compressed by the PM [12]. The variants of this muscle need to be taken into account while performing procedures such as CT- or ultrasound-guided SN injection for local anesthesia, interpreting medical imaging studies by radiologists, and in surgical posterior approaches to the hip and pelvis.

The aim of the present report is to describe a very rare case of the previously unreported primary (i.e., undivided by a nerve or a vessel) three-headed piriformis muscle. Measurements of the width and length of each head were taken and included in the following article.

## **CASE REPORT**

The 71-year-old male formalin-fixed cadaver was subjected to routine dissection at the Department of Anatomy, Jagiellonian University Medical College. The donor's height was 180 cm, and weight 107 kg. The process was performed by three authors (TK, WC, PJ), under close supervision of more senior prosectors (MPZ, JAW). The skin, adipose tissue and fascia were removed from the gluteal region on the right lower extremity. As the next step, the gluteus maximus was gently sectioned and after careful removal of the connecting tissue, three separate, primary heads of the PM were identified. Every measurement of the described muscle was made 3 times, using an electronic caliper and then the average was calculated. (Figure 1)

The lower head of the PM arised from the middle part of the sacral bone. It was 87.56 mm long and 9.73 mm wide. The medial head, which was the longest, was attached to the internal part of the posterior inferior iliac spine. It was 121.6 mm long and 20.97 mm wide. The upper head, which was the widest head, was attached to the external part of the posterior inferior iliac spine. It was 78.89 mm long and 23.94 mm wide. All

heads converged into a common tendon which inserted onto the greater trochanter as classically depicted in anatomical textbooks. Unfortunately, the authors were not able to identify neither the nerve branches nor blood vessels supplying the heads. To the best of our understanding, they arose from the inferior gluteal vessels and nerves, though they were inadvertently not preserved with the transposition of the gluteus maximus to uncover the subgluteal space.

Undivided SN, posterior femoral cutaneous nerve, inferior gluteal nerve with inferior gluteal artery and vein were visible leaving the lesser pelvis underneath the lower head of the PM. The superior gluteal nerve, artery and vein exited through the suprapiriform foramen over the upper head of the PM. The authors have observed neither signs of potential nerve entrapment nor nerve or vascular compression in the dissected area. The contralateral subgluteal space did not exhibit any anatomical variants. No visible pathology was observed on either lower extremity. Regrettably, the donor's medical records indicating any possible clinical involvement were not available to the authors.

## **DISCUSSION**

Anatomical aberrances in the gluteal region are a frequent finding. The present study aimed to introduce the primary three-headed PM and discuss its embryological basis, as well as, emphasize the clinical significance of this finding. To the best knowledge of the authors, the said variant has not yet been described in the literature. Overall, the PM and the SN tend to be aberrant in 16.9% of cases [20].

### **Embryological considerations**

When it comes to the prenatal development, the PM is situated close to the coccygeus muscle, gluteus maximus and pudendal nerve at approximately 9 weeks of gestation. The SN runs between the PM and the obturator internus. Moreover, an aponeurosis is visible between the coccygeus muscle and the PM or gluteus maximus at the 20<sup>th</sup> gestational week [16]. The PM tendon resembles a condensation of fibers between the 6<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> week of gestation. About the 15<sup>th</sup> week, the PM tendon merges with the gluteus medius tendon. Additionally, the PM tendon forms its final insertion in the later development (after the 15<sup>th</sup> week) [14].

Sulak et al. [21] characterized the relationship between the PM and the SN in fetuses. 200 SNs of 200 human fetuses (between 9<sup>th</sup> and 40<sup>th</sup> gestational weeks) were included in the study. Based on gestational ages, the fetuses were categorized into 4 groups: 9-12 weeks, 13-25 weeks, 26-37 weeks and 38-40 weeks. Then, some measurements were made, three of them regarded the distance between the SN and intergluteal sulcus, greater trochanter and ischial tuberosity. All of the distances rose with gestational age. There was a statistically significant difference between the age groups ( $p < 0.05$ ) [21].

Another measurement involved the connection between the bifurcation levels of the SN and the popliteal fossa (PF) [21]. The SN was separated: into the tibial nerve (TN) and common peroneal nerve (CPN) in the PF, into the terminal branch of SN above the PF, or at the level of PM in 88.5%, 9.5% and 2%, respectively. Furthermore, the SN exited the lesser pelvis underneath the PM in 98% of the cases, while the remaining variants included the CPN piercing the PM and the CPN exiting above the PM [21]. The data suggests that the bifurcation levels of SN in fetuses are lower than in adults. In the latter group, that division happens most often when the SN is located in the posterior compartment of the thigh [1].

Similarly to the aforementioned case, Kurtoglu et al. [11] reported that the level of the SN splitting in fetuses is found in 97.5% of cases in the PF. The bifurcation was located above the upper border of the PF only in one leg from 40 dissected. The fetuses incorporated in the said study were between 20<sup>th</sup> and 36<sup>th</sup> gestational weeks [11].

### **PM variations described in the literature**

Żytkowski et al. [26] highlighted that the concept of norm regarding the human body has evolved throughout history. The anatomical norm and abnormality do not have strict boundaries and thus determining whether a certain feature is a variant or not can pose a challenge. With almost every established anatomical structure comes its variation, which does not necessarily impair body functions [26]. The most frequent variants of the PM and its surrounding structures are described hereafter.

The PM is inherently associated with the SN. Tomaszewski et al. [23] presented a modified system of classification of the PM and SN, which regarded the relationship

between the SN and PM and the way the SN exited the pelvis. According to that system, the PM described in the present study appears to be type A, which is also the most common type, with a pooled prevalence estimate of 85.2% [23].

Nevertheless, PM comprising primarily three heads has never been reported in the literature before. Natsis et al. [15] described a case with three muscle bellies of the PM that were formed due to the passage of the CPN and the TN through between the bellies. However, the case described herein points towards a three-headed PM that was not divided by the neurovascular entities passing right through it. Arifoglu et al. [2] reported a case of double PM existing alongside double superior gemellus muscle. The SN divided within the pelvis and the common peroneal nerve was present between the lower and upper PM, while the tibial nerve was located under the lower PM.

What is more, a fusion between the PM and gluteus maximus muscle (GMM) is also a possibility. Arora et al. [3] encountered a muscle merging proximally with the GMM and distally with the PM. That particular anomaly may impinge on the SN and present clinically in the form of pain in the gluteal region.

Bifid PM is another variation, classified as type B, D, or E, depending on the SN bifurcation [23]. Thompson et al. [22] described a case where a 12-year-old girl had a bifid PM identified 4 months after surgery. The surgical procedure was performed due to osteoid osteoma located in the acetabular roof of the right leg. In this instance, two heads of PM were pierced by the CPN and the TN passed below the PM, which corresponds to type B of PM [22]. Such an anomaly resulted in the iatrogenic injury of the CPN, therefore, taking those variations into account while operating on a patient is undoubtedly of utmost importance.

Similar to the above-mentioned case, Wun-Schen [25] depicted a bipartite PM. Notwithstanding, it was the SN that came between the two heads of the PM. Moreover, the entrapment of the SN gave symptoms such as sciatica, and numbness in the area innervated by the CPN. Having corrected the position of the PM, the pain was relieved [25].

Last but not least, the PM may also be absent altogether in the gluteal region. Brenner et al. [4] reported such a case of unilateral absence of PM, the inferior gluteal

artery was also missing ipsilaterally. Possibilities of the disappearance included fusion with another muscle, involution due to medical intervention, and true aplasia, with the latter being indicated by the authors as the most probable cause.

### **Clinical significance of the PM**

In addition to the aforementioned clinical information regarding the piriformis syndrome, the said condition may be treated by a novel method, introduced by Tubbs et al. [24], which proved to be attainable in a cadaveric study thus far. The technique was performed on five adult cadavers and included the exposure of the PM in the proximity of the greater sciatic foramen. What is more, in order to achieve that an incision was performed near the pubic tubercle and then underlying adductor muscles, as well as deeper obturator muscles, were split. Subsequently, a laparoscope was inserted into the emergent canal and the SN was identified. As a result, the PM was cut which relieved the compression of the SN. Neither the SN nor neuromuscular bundles were damaged during the procedure [24].

The PM is also involved in certain invasive procedures. Ozisik et al. [17] described a CT-guided injection into the PM. The group which received that form of treatment consisted of 10 patients. What is more, one of the patients had right PM hypertrophy found on the MRI. When it comes to the technique, an 18G needle was placed in the PM and the insertion was confirmed with the CT. The methylprednisolone (Depo-Medrol 40 mg/1 vial) and bupivacaine (Marcaine 1 amp) were applied to the muscular tissue [17]. Concerning the PM features, such a precise diagnostic process resulted in the good recovery of 9 patients.

Thorough knowledge regarding the PM's anatomical variations is also essential in ultrasound-guided injection. Santamato et al. [19] illustrated the usage of botulinum toxin in the treatment of the piriformis syndrome. The injection process comprises three stages: placing the linear transducer on the line connecting the projections of the greater trochanter and the ischial tuberosity, then identifying the PM by using the probe and consequently, inserting the needle underneath the close ultrasound surveillance. As a result, the patient's symptoms were alleviated [19].



Furthermore, McLawhorn et al. [13] reported anatomical outcomes of direct anterior approach (DAA) total hip arthroplasty, for instance, the violation of the PM. During the DAA an incision on the front of the hip is made, and then muscles are moved instead of detaching the tendons so as to replace the joint. Promptly after the procedure, 75% (24 out of 32) of the PM tendons were found to be intact, whereas a one-year follow-up showed that only one patient out of 32 had PM tendon damaged. In addition, 22% of hips manifested moderate atrophy of the PM [13].

### **Limitations**

The principal limitation of the present study is lack of patient's medical records. Without those, it is impossible to determine whether the patient felt any discomfort or pain due to the existence of the three-headed PM. Furthermore, the anatomical variant of the PM should be taken into consideration in a differential diagnosis concerning pain localized in the gluteal region of unknown origin.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

This study presented a case of the primary three-headed PM with discussion of its possible embryological basis. To the best knowledge of the authors, the said variant has not yet been described in the literature. The clinical importance of this work comes down to the fact that the aberrant PM may be the reason behind the piriformis syndrome and its associated symptoms. Moreover, knowledge regarding the variant anatomy of the PM is of immense importance to, e.g., anesthesiologists, performing CT- or ultrasound-guided SN injection for local anesthesia.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors are indebted to Mr Jacenty Urbaniak for the technical support. "The authors sincerely thank those who donated their bodies to science so that anatomical research could be performed. Results from such research can potentially increase mankind's overall knowledge that can then improve patient care. Therefore, these donors and their families deserve our highest gratitude" [10].

**Ethical concern:** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The material used for this research was obtained from a body donation program.

**Formal consent:** For this type of study formal consent is not required.

**Conflict of interests:** The authors declare no conflicting interests associated with the present work.

**Funding:** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## REFERENCES

1. Apaydin N, Tunstall R. Pelvic girdle and lower limb: overview and surface anatomy. In: Standring S (ed.). *Gray's Anatomy*. 41<sup>st</sup> ed. Elsevier Ltd. London 2016: 1323.
2. Arifoglu Y, Sürücü HS, Sargon MF, et al. Double superior gemellus together with double piriformis and high division of the sciatic nerve. *Surg Radiol Anat*. 1997; 19(6):407-408, doi: 10.1007/BF01628510.
3. Arora J, Mehta V, Kumar H, et al. A rare bimuscular conglomeration gluteopiriformis case report. *Morphologie*. 2010; 94(305):40-43, doi: 10.1016/j.morpho.2009.12.001.
4. Brenner E, Tripoli M, Scavo E, Cordova A. Case report: absence of the right piriformis muscle in a woman. *Surg Radiol Anat*. 2019; 41(7):845-848, doi: 10.1007/s00276-018-02176-6.
5. Chang C, Jen SH, Varacallo M. Anatomy, bony pelvis and lower limb, piriformis muscle. [Updated 2021 Oct 11]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022 Jan-. Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK519497/?fbclid=IwAR3hEMtHgQqHD\\_sB\\_SdNciw42dkO1ZJuObub9d8d8Me9eGMcXkjhq3hMMso](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK519497/?fbclid=IwAR3hEMtHgQqHD_sB_SdNciw42dkO1ZJuObub9d8d8Me9eGMcXkjhq3hMMso)
6. Fishman LM, Schaefer MP. The piriformis syndrome is underdiagnosed. *Muscle Nerve*. 2003; 28(5):646-649, doi: 10.1002/mus10482.

7. Haładaj R, Pingot M, Polguy M, et al. Anthropometric study of the piriformis muscle and sciatic nerve: a morphological analysis in a Polish population. *Med Sci Monit.* 2015; 21:3760-3768, doi: 10.12659/msm.894353.
8. Hopayian K, Danielyan A. Four symptoms define the piriformis syndrome: an updated systematic review of its clinical features. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol.* 2018; 28(2):155-164, doi: 10.1007/s00590-017-2031-8.
9. Iwanaga J, Eid S, Simonds E, et al. The majority of piriformis muscles are innervated by the superior gluteal nerve. *Clin Anat.* 2019; 32(2):282-286, doi: 10.1002/ca.23311.
10. Iwanaga J, Singh V, Ohtsuka A, et al. Acknowledging the use of human cadaveric tissues in research papers: Recommendations from anatomical journal editors. *Clin Anat.* 2021; 34:2–4, doi: 10.1002/CA.23671.
11. Kurtoglu Z, Aktekin M, Uluutku MH. Branching patterns of the common and superficial fibular nerves in fetus. *Clin Anat.* 2006; 19(7):621-626, doi: 10.1002/ca.20235.
12. Lewis S, Jurak J, Lee C, et al. Anatomical variations of the sciatic nerve, in relation to the piriformis muscle. *Transl Res Anat.* 2016; 5:15-19, doi: 10.1016/j.tria.2016.11.001.
13. McLawhorn AS, Christ AB, Morgenstern R, et al. Prospective evaluation of the posterior tissue envelope and anterior capsule after anterior total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2020; 35(3):767-773, doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2019.09.045.
14. Naito M, Suzuki R, Abe H, et al. Fetal development of the human obturator internus muscle with special reference to the tendon and pulley. *Anat Rec (Hoboken).* 2015; 298(7):1282-1293, doi: 10.1002/ar.23121.
15. Natsis K, Totlis T, Konstantinidis GA, et al. Anatomical variations between the sciatic nerve and the piriformis muscle: a contribution to surgical anatomy in piriformis syndrome. *Surg Radiol Anat.* 2014; 36:273-280, doi: 10.1007/s00276-013-1180-7.
16. Niikura H, Jin ZW, Cho BH, et al. Human fetal anatomy of the coccygeal attachments of the levator ani muscle. *Clin Anat.* 2010; 23(5):566-574, doi: 10.1002/ca.20983.
17. Ozisik PA, Toru M, Denk CC, et al. CT-guided piriformis muscle injection for the treatment of piriformis syndrome. *Turk Neurosurg.* 2014; 24(4):471-477, doi: 10.5137/1019-5149.JTN.8038-13.1.
18. Robinson DR. Piriformis syndrome in relation to sciatic pain. *Am J Surg.* 1947; 73(3):355-358, doi: 10.1016/0002-9610(47)90345-0.

19. Santamato A, Micello MF, Valeno G, et al. Ultrasound-guided injection of botulinum toxin type A for piriformis muscle syndrome: a case report and review of the literature. *Toxins (Basel)*. 2015; 7(8):3045-3056, doi: 10.3390/toxins7083045.
20. Smoll NR. Variations of the piriformis and sciatic nerve with clinical consequence: a review. *Clin Anat*. 2010; 23(1):8-17, doi: 10.1002/ca.20893.
21. Sulak O, Sakalli B, Ozguner G, Kastamoni Y. Anatomical relation between sciatic nerve and piriformis muscle and its bifurcation level during fetal period in human. *Surg Radiol Anat*. 2014; 36(3):265-272, doi: 10.1007/s00276-013-1179-0.
22. Thompson G, Visagie R. Type II sciatic nerve variant: an unexpected interventional hazard. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2017; 46(10):1453-1458, doi: 10.1007/s00256-017-2712-8.
23. Tomaszewski KA, Graves MJ, Henry BM, et al. Surgical anatomy of the sciatic nerve: A meta-analysis. *J Orthop Res*. 2016; 34(10):1820-1827, doi: 10.1002/jor.23186.
24. Tubbs RI, Barton JC III, Watson CC, et al. A novel method for sciatic nerve decompression: cadaveric feasibility study with potential application to patients with piriformis syndrome. *Transl Res Anat*, 2015; 1:40-43, doi: 10.1016/j.tria.2015.10.006
25. Wun-Schen C. Bipartite piriformis muscle: an unusual cause of sciatic nerve entrapment. *Pain*. 1994; 58(2):269-272, doi: 10.1016/0304-3959(94)90208-9.
26. Żytkowski A, Tubbs RS, Iwanaga J, et al. Anatomical normality and variability: historical perspective and methodological considerations. *Transl Res Anat*. 2021; 23:100105, doi: 10.1016/j.tria.2020.100105.

**Figure 1.** Posterior view of the gluteal region, following removal of the gluteus maximus muscle. 1. Lower head of the piriformis muscle; 2. Medial head of the piriformis muscle; 3. Upper head of the piriformis muscle; 4. Gluteus maximus muscle; 5. Sciatic nerve

