

1 **TOPOLOGICAL OBSERVABILITY ANALYSIS IN WATER**

2 **DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS**

3 Sarai Díaz ¹,

 Roberto Mínguez ²,

 and Javier González ³

4 **The final published version is available at:**

5 <https://ascelibrary.org/doi/10.1061/%28ASCE%29WR.1943-5452.0000762>

6 **ABSTRACT**

7 The aim of *observability analysis* (OA) is to determine if a given measurement setting is suffi-
8 cient to compute the current status of a water distribution network. There are several approaches
9 in the technical literature to making such an analysis. With all of them there is an assumption that
10 the lie of the land of the network in terms of the statuses of its pumps and/or valves is known. In
11 this paper we omit this assumption and introduce the concept of *topological observability anal-*
12 *ysis* (TOA), which aims to determine not only if it would be possible to compute the hydraulic
13 state of a network from the available measurement set (ordinary OA), but also if the statuses of
14 pumps and valves would be observable as well. Additionally, we propose a method that modifies
15 the standard measurement Jacobian matrix by incorporating either equations and/or unknowns de-
16 pending on the available information for each specific pump or valve. The rest of the analysis can
17 be undertaken using any of the existing methods for OA in the literature. An illustrative example

¹Ph.D. Student, Dept. of Civil Eng., Univ. of Castilla-La Mancha, Av. Camilo José Cela s/n, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain). E-mail: Sarai.Diaz@uclm.es.

²Dr. Eng, HIDRALAB INGENIERÍA Y DESARROLLOS, S.L., Spin-Off UCLM, Hydraulics Laboratory Univ. of Castilla-La Mancha, Av. Pedriza, Camino Moledores s/n, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain). E-mail: roberto.minguez@hidralab.com.

³Dr. Eng, Dept. of Civil Eng., Univ. of Castilla-La Mancha, Av. Camilo José Cela s/n, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain). // HIDRALAB INGENIERÍA Y DESARROLLOS, S.L., Spin-Off UCLM, Hydraulics Laboratory Univ. of Castilla-La Mancha, Av. Pedriza, Camino Moledores s/n, 13071 Ciudad Real (Spain). E-mail: Javier.Gonzalez@uclm.es.

18 is presented by way of illustration to show the potential TOA has which would certainly enhance
19 on-line monitoring systems.

20 **Keywords:** state estimation, topological analysis, optimal meter placement, network monitoring

21 INTRODUCTION

22 Nowadays, large water distribution networks are being modernised with the installation of
23 supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) systems. This has focused attention on the
24 need for using automatic processing tools that enable the information provided by the available
25 real-time measurements distributed throughout the network to be interpreted. This is what occurs
26 with state estimation techniques, which have been applied on an academic and scientific level in
27 the water industry since the late 70s (Sterling and Bargiela, 1984; Bargiela, 1984; Powell et al.,
28 1988; Andersen et al., 2001; Kumar et al., 2008), but have hardly been implemented in real-life
29 networks on an operational level (Carpentier and Cohen, 1991; Powell, 1992; Preis et al., 2011;
30 Cheng et al., 2014). Essentially, a state estimator is an algorithm that enables flow conditions to
31 be inferred from the hydraulic network equations and the available measurement set (flow meters,
32 head level meters, pressure meters, among others) at any time and location.

33 In order to guarantee full applicability and effectiveness of state estimation techniques, *observ-*
34 *ability analysis* (OA) needs to be undertaken first. OA is a strategy that evaluates if the measure-
35 ment set available is sufficient to compute the current state of the network, enabling the observ-
36 able variables to be identified, i.e. variables that could be effectively computed based on existing
37 telemetry data (Carpentier and Cohen, 1991). In this respect, there have been several approaches
38 to implementing OA in water distribution systems (Bargiela, 1985; Nagar and Powell, 2004; Díaz
39 et al., 2016a; Díaz et al., 2016c), but in all of them there is an assumption that the lie of the land of
40 the network in terms of the statuses of its pumps and/or valves is known.

41 In this paper, we omit this assumption and introduce the concept of *topological observability*
42 *analysis* (TOA), which aims not only to identify if the current status of the network could be com-
43 puted from the available measurement set in a subsequent state estimation process (ordinary OA),
44 but also if the statuses of its pumps and valves could be inferred as well. The novelty of evaluat-

45 ing observability of pumps and valves answers a necessity in the real operation of water systems:
46 even if the network topology is likely to be known under normal operating conditions, unexpected
47 practical issues (such as changing demand patterns or the occurrence of sudden ruptures) may re-
48 quire the statuses of the pumps and/or valves to be changed in order to maintain serviceability.
49 Therefore, there is a risk of these quick response operations not being recorded in the water system
50 model, which should be conveniently updated once the changes have been identified. Note that
51 the importance of taking into account how changes in the statuses of the pumps and valves can
52 modify the network topology has been discussed before in the context of solving (Giustolisi et al.,
53 2008; Laucelli et al., 2015) and calibrating (Laucelli et al., 2011) flow networks, but this has yet
54 to be integrated into the OA problem. In this regard, TOA would enable assessment of possible
55 locations for additional metering devices for topological purposes, i.e., to ensure that the statuses
56 of some pumps and valves can be inferred from the existing measurements. Note that in TOA, like
57 in OA, only relationships among variables are considered, disregarding the uncertainty effect of
58 the associated measurements.

59 Therefore, the aim of this paper is twofold: firstly, to introduce the concept of TOA in water
60 distribution networks, and secondly, to present a method that allows the measurement Jacobian
61 matrix to be amended in order to assess observability of how the land lies. More specifically, in
62 this paper observability of pumps and valves is analysed by including equations and/or unknowns
63 in the Jacobian matrix, depending on the information available for each specific pump or valve.
64 The rest of this paper is organised as follows: in the first section, the construction of the standard
65 measurement Jacobian matrix is outlined. Secondly, the amendments required to undertake TOA
66 are presented. Then, an illustrative example is discussed to show the possibilities of using TOA
67 for water distribution networks, as well as its potential for optimal meter placement. Finally,
68 conclusions are duly drawn.

69 **OBSERVABILITY ANALYSIS IN WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS**

70 The state estimation problem is normally approached by means of the normal equations method
71 (Expósito and Abur, 1998), which enables iterative calculation of the optimal solution (\hat{x}) of the

72 original unconstrained weighted least squares approach as:

$$73 \quad \Delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{(\nu+1)} = [\mathbf{J}_{(\nu)}^T \mathbf{C}_z^{-1} \mathbf{J}_{(\nu)}]^{-1} [\mathbf{J}_{(\nu)}^T \mathbf{C}_z^{-1}] (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{g}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{(\nu)})), \quad (1)$$

74 with $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{(\nu+1)} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{(\nu)} + \Delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{(\nu+1)}$. Note that ν is an iteration counter and $\mathbf{J}_{(\nu)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is the Jacobian
 75 measurement matrix at point $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{(\nu)}$ (see Díaz et al. (2016a) for details). This matrix represents the
 76 sensitivity of the available measurements $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with respect to the state variables vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
 77 which is the minimum set of variables that enables the hydraulic state of the network (considered
 78 as nodal heads in this paper) to be calculated, according to the non-linear model $\mathbf{g} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
 79 \mathbf{C}_z is the measurement error vector ($\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$) variance-covariance matrix, typically assumed
 80 to be unbiased.

81 According to Equation 1, a theoretical and sufficient condition for there to be a unique so-
 82 lution to the state estimation problem is for the matrix \mathbf{J} to remain full rank, in which case the
 83 system would be observable. Therefore, it is first mandatory to construct the measurement Ja-
 84 cobian matrix adequately, which gathers the first-order partial derivatives of all the variables that
 85 could be measured within a water distribution system (in rows) with respect to the state variables
 86 (in columns), taken as head levels in this paper. Note that in standard OA, possible measurements
 87 within a water network are: 1) head levels, 2) pipe flows and 3) water demands. Therefore, the
 88 potential measurements vector is:

$$89 \quad \mathbf{z} = (h_i; \forall i \in \mathcal{V}, Q_{ij}; \forall ij \in \mathcal{L}; q_i; \forall i \in (\mathcal{V}^Q \cup \mathcal{V}^T))^T, \quad (2)$$

90 where \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{L} represent the set of nodes and pipes that exist in the system respectively, and \mathcal{V}^Q
 91 and \mathcal{V}^T specifically refer to demand and transit nodes, as tank nodes can be characterised only by
 92 their head level. Note that actual measurements are specifically represented with a tilde and their
 93 corresponding rows in the measurement Jacobian matrix are shadowed, as shown in the illustrative
 94 example.

95 The structure of the resulting Jacobian matrix $[\mathbf{J}]$ is shown in Fig. 1, where n_{pi} and n_q in

96 **J** represent the number of pipes where flow could be metered and the number of nodes where
97 demand can be measured, respectively, and **I** is the identity matrix. Note that the derivatives
98 associated could be calculated according to the expressions in Díaz et al. (2016a). Moreover, the
99 matrix should be normalised to improve its condition number for observability purposes, although
100 its original version must be used if state estimation uncertainty is to be evaluated as in Bargiela
101 and Hainsworth (1989) or Díaz et al. (2016b).

102 Then, any existing method for OA could be applied to evaluate observability of the system,
103 either by evaluating the rank of the available measurement Jacobian matrix, which can be built
104 by just selecting the rows of metered variables and all columns, or the full Jacobian matrix. Note
105 that in the first type of methods, like the null space method presented in Castillo et al. (2005),
106 only observability of the state variables is evaluated, whereas if the relationships contained in the
107 full matrix are considered (like the algebraic approach in (Díaz et al., 2016a)), the observability of
108 every single variable can be assessed.

109 **TOPOLOGICAL OBSERVABILITY ANALYSIS IN WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS**

110 The statuses of pumps and valves in water distribution systems change over time in order
111 to adapt the network performance to the varying patterns in demand. For this reason, OA must
112 move forward so as to assess observability of the network topology in terms of the statuses of its
113 pumps and/or valves. Thus, TOA would constitute a powerful tool to identify unnotified changes
114 in operation or abnormal operating conditions.

115 To account for the existence of pumps and valves in OA, the structure of the Jacobian matrix
116 presented in Fig. 1 must be amended. In this respect, three scenarios must be differentiated de-
117 pending on the information available for each specific pump or valve, which are here treated as
118 link elements:

- 119 • **The pump or valve characteristic curve or setting is known, and the device is known**
120 **to be working.** In this case, the standard measurement Jacobian matrix is not amended,
121 and observability of the whole system (including pump and/or valve elements) could be

122 evaluated using any existing OA technique.

123 However, if, as mentioned before, a more sophisticated technique is used to assess observ-
124 ability of each of the variables, an equation should be added to represent the existing rela-
125 tionship between the flow through the pump/valve and its head levels at both end nodes, i.e.
126 its characteristic curve. In this case, the derivative $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial h_i}$ could be computed easily, as all the
127 parameters that define the pump or valve operations have been characterised. For example,
128 if there is a generic pump with characteristic curve $h_j - h_i = \Delta h = A Q p_{ij}^2 + B |Q p_{ij}| + C$,
129 where $Q p_{ij}$ refers to the flow through the pump from node i to node j , the associated
130 derivatives could be written as:

$$131 \frac{\partial Q p_{ij}}{\partial h_i} = \frac{-1}{2A|Q p_{ij}| + B} \quad (3)$$

$$132 \frac{\partial Q p_{ij}}{\partial h_j} = \frac{1}{2A|Q p_{ij}| + B}, \quad (4)$$

134 where i and j represent the initial and final nodes, respectively. Note that these expressions
135 would become -1 and 1 when the Jacobian matrix is to be normalised. Similarly, additional
136 expressions can be derived for particular flow controlling devices, such as pressure reducing
137 or sustaining valves, general purpose valves or ordinary gate valves.

- 138 • **The pump or valve is known to be closed.** In this case, there is an additional state variable
139 that refers to the flow through the pump or valve ($Q p_{ij}$ or $Q v_{ij}$), but there is also direct
140 measurement of the water flow through the device: $\tilde{Q} p_{ij} = 0$ or $\tilde{Q} v_{ij} = 0$. Therefore, a
141 flow state variable column has to be added for each closed element, together with a flow
142 measurement row, which should be incorporated into the measurement set available. Note
143 that this row and column show zero values for all their positions except when they cross,
144 where a value of 1 must be placed (identity matrix).
- 145 • **The pump or valve status is unknown.** Also in this case, an additional state variable
146 column that refers to the flow through the pump or valve ($Q p_{ij}$ or $Q v_{ij}$) must be added.
147 However, in this scenario there is no information about how the pump or valve is operating,

148 thus no additional measurement is possible. Therefore, unknowns are added to the mea-
149 surement Jacobian matrix but equations are not, and more metering devices are necessary
150 to obtain observability for the system.

151 It must be emphasized that it is only when a pump or valve is known to be closed that informa-
152 tion is added to the available measurement set. In any other case, the measurement set available
153 corresponds exactly to the metering devices there are within the water distribution system, and
154 the number of additional state variables will depend on whether there is information available for
155 each device or not. This idea is summarised in Fig. 2, where n_{pu} pumps (Qp_{ij}) and n_v valves
156 (Qv_{ij}) have been incorporated into the standard measurement Jacobian matrix presented in Fig. 1,
157 and measurements available thanks to the network topology, i.e. closed pumps and/or valves, are
158 shaded in light grey. Note that in this amended matrix there are several empty sets (Ω) in those
159 positions where there is no relationship between the measurement and either head levels or flow
160 state variables, depending on the information available for each pump and/or valve. For this rea-
161 son, water demand measurements q can be related to either the h or Q state variables depending
162 on the information available.

163 ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

164 An example is presented below to illustrate the potential TOA has. For this purpose, the il-
165 lustrative network proposed by Díaz et al. (2016a) has been amended (see Fig. 3) to incorporate
166 the presence of a gate valve (link 2-7) and a pump (link 6-8) at strategic locations. For this to
167 happen, elevation at node 6 has been reduced to zero and two transit nodes (7 and 8) have been
168 added to include both devices as link elements (Appendix S1 contains detailed characteristics of
169 this example).

170 In this network, two scenarios are considered to explain the potential TOA has. All water
171 demands and tank levels are metered in both of them, so achieving full observability actually
172 depends on the information available for the pump and valve and if there are any extra meters.
173 The following cases are analysed: 1) the pump is known to be working with a characteristic curve

174 given by parameters $A = -2.2204 \cdot 10^{-16}$, $B = -0.3126$ and $C = 125.2806$, the gate valve is
175 known to be open and the energy loss condition at the valve is assumed to be known, and 2) there is
176 no information about the statuses of the pumps and valves. Subsequently, the associated modified
177 Jacobian matrix will be constructed for both scenarios, after which TOA for the system will be
178 undertaken according to the null space method (Castillo et al., 2005) and the algebraic approach
179 proposed by Díaz et al. (2016a), respectively.

180 **Case 1: Known pump and valve statuses**

181 For this first scenario the null space approach proposed by Castillo et al. (2005) is used. There-
182 fore, only the Jacobian matrix associated with the measurements available is built, as shown in Fig.
183 4. Note that all rows are shaded in light grey because the matrix is only made up of available mea-
184 surements (also marked with a tilde), which in this case correspond to water levels at tank nodes
185 and water demands for the remaining nodes. As can be seen in this figure, eight state variables
186 exist, as there are eight nodes in the illustrative network and the pump characteristic curve and gate
187 valve status are known. Note that the signs correspond to a sign criteria in which flow is considered
188 to be positive whenever the water moves from the low numbering node i , to the high numbering
189 node j .

190 In order to carry out TOA, the null space of the measurement Jacobian matrix available must be
191 computed. In this case, the associated null space is an empty set, i.e., the system is fully observable.

192 **Case 2: Unknown pump and valve statuses**

193 In this scenario, the algebraic approach proposed by Díaz et al. (2016a) is used, so the full
194 measurement Jacobian matrix is computed. The normalised Jacobian matrix for this scenario is
195 shown in Fig. 5, where measurement rows available are shaded in grey and there are two additional
196 flow state variable columns due to the lack of information about the pump and valve. In this case,
197 the resulting system is unobservable, as there are ten unknowns and only eight measurements
198 available. Note that all the measurements in the transformed Jacobian shown in Fig. 6 have been
199 transferred to columns by pivoting their corresponding rows, however, there are still two columns
200 associated with valve and pump flows ($Q_{v_{2-7}}$, $Q_{p_{6-8}}$) which could not be replaced by additional

201 measurements. Therefore, all row variables containing non-null elements in these two columns
202 are unobservable variables. The advantage of using the aforementioned algebraic procedure used
203 here is that the resulting transformed matrix can be useful to identify locations where additional
204 measurement devices could be added in order to attain observability for the whole system.

205 This matrix shows how all measurements available have been pivoted throughout the process
206 from rows to columns, but still more information is required to estimate the statuses of the pumps
207 and valves. Contrary to the null space approach, with the transformed matrix it can be seen that
208 in order to guarantee observability of the pump status, it would be necessary to incorporate a flow
209 meter at any location except pipe 3-7, where a null element exists in the corresponding position, or
210 an additional pressure meter at any node. However, if both pump and valve observability must be
211 achieved, two additional metering devices should be added. More specifically, these instruments
212 would need to have an associated invertible matrix in columns $Q_{p_{6-8}}$ and $Q_{v_{2-7}}$. For example,
213 the addition of flow meters Q_{1-2} and Q_{3-5} would lead to a fully observable system, and so would
214 the incorporation of Q_{2-5} and Q_{3-4} , but not Q_{1-2} and Q_{4-8} . Note that if, for example, new
215 information was received by the telemetry system about pump 6-8 being closed, row $Q_{p_{6-8}}$ would
216 become an available measurement, thus the transformed matrix could be amended by pivoting this
217 row additionally .

218 Therefore, TOA has the potential to, at any time, consider other assumptions apart from know-
219 ing the statuses of the pumps or valves, as is likely to be the case in large real on-line monitored
220 water distribution systems. Nevertheless, it must be stressed that TOA (as well as OA), is only
221 capable of evaluating if there are enough relationships to calculate the state variables of the system
222 from the measurements available, but as data obtained by telemetry data is prone to errors, this
223 could eventually lead to an incorrect status determination within any state estimation algorithm.
224 This is a subject for further research.

225 CONCLUSIONS

226 In this paper the concept of TOA in water distribution networks is presented, which enables
227 not just observability of the hydraulic state of the network to be analysed, but also assessment of

228 whether the network topology in terms of the statuses of its pump and/or valves would be observ-
229 able. Therefore, this approach constitutes a breakthrough with respect to existing OA techniques,
230 where the network topology is always assumed to be known. The method presented herein is based
231 on a slight amendment of the standard measurement Jacobian matrix to account for an analysis of
232 the statuses of the pumps and/or valves.

233 The illustrative example presented in this paper shows how simple it is to include topological
234 considerations in the measurement Jacobian matrix. Moreover, the strategy set out enables use of
235 any of the numerical methods there are for observability analysis based on the manipulation of
236 this matrix. The methodology shown here enables identification of in which locations unnotified
237 changes in operating conditions could be potentially detected.

238 It is worth stressing that although this method only enables observability to be checked with
239 the hypothesis of error-free measurements, this is a necessary step before attempting to make any
240 topological state estimation with noisy measurements because: (1) unobservable elements with
241 error-free measurements would remain unobservable with noisy measurements; and (2) observable
242 elements with error-free measurements would or would not remain observable depending on the
243 measurement distribution and uncertainty. This is a subject for further research.

244 **SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

245 Appendix S1 is available online in the ASCE Library (www.ascelibrary.org).

246 **REFERENCES**

247 Andersen, J. H., Powell, R. S., and Marsh, J. F. (2001). "Constrained state estimation with appli-
248 cations in water distribution network monitoring." *Int. J. of Syst. Sci.*, 32(6), 807–816.

249 Bargiela, A. (1985). "An algorithm for observability determination in water-system state estima-
250 tion." *IEE Proc.*, 132(6), 245–250.

251 Bargiela, A. and Hainsworth, G. (1989). "Pressure and flow uncertainty in water systems." *J. Water*
252 *Resour. Plann. Manage.*, 115(2), 212–229.

253 Bargiela, A. B. (1984). “On-line monitoring of water distribution networks.” Ph.D. thesis, Univer-
254 sity of Durham, United Kingdom.

255 Carpentier, P. and Cohen, G. (1991). “State estimation and leak detection in water distribution
256 networks.” *Civ Eng Syst*, 8(4), 247–257.

257 Castillo, E., Conejo, A. J., Pruneda, R. E., and Solares, C. (2005). “State estimation observability
258 based on the null space of the measurement jacobian matrix.” *IEEE Trans Power Syst*, 20(3),
259 1656–1658.

260 Cheng, W., Yu, T., and Xu, G. (2014). “Real-time model of a large-scale water distribution system.”
261 *Procedia Eng.*, 89, 457–466.

262 Díaz, S., González, J., and Mínguez, R. (2016a). Observability analysis in water trans-
263 port networks: algebraic approach. *J. Water Resour. Plann. Manage.*, 142(4), doi:
264 10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0000621.

265 Díaz, S., González, J., and Mínguez, R. (2016b). “Uncertainty evaluation for constrained
266 state estimation in water distribution systems.” *J. Water Resour. Plann. Manage.*, doi:
267 10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0000718.

268 Díaz, S., Mínguez, R., and González, J. (2016c). “Stochastic approach to observability analysis in
269 water networks.” *Ingeniería del Agua*, 20(3), doi: 10.4995/Ia.2016.4625.

270 Expósito, A. G. and Abur, A. (1998). “Generalized observability analysis and measurement clas-
271 sification.” *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, 13(3), 1090–1095.

272 Giustolisi, O., Kapelan, Z., and Savic, D. (2008). “Algorithm for automatic detection of topological
273 changes in water distribution networks.” *J. Water Resour. Plann. Manage.*, 134(4), 435–446.

274 Kumar, S., Narasimhan, S., and Bhallamudi, S. M. (2008). “State estimation in water distribution
275 networks using graph-theoretic reduction strategy.” *J. Water Resour. Plann. Manage.*, 134(5),
276 395–403.

277 Laucelli, D., Berardi, L., and Giustolisi, O. (2015). “WDNetXL: Hydraulic and topology analysis
278 integration and features.” *Procedia Eng.*, 119(1), 669–679.

279 Laucelli, D., Berardi, L., Giustolisi, O., Vamvakeridou-Lyroudia, L., Kapelan, Z., D., S., and
280 Barbaroand, G. (2011). “Calibration of water distribution systems using topological analysis.”
281 *Water Distribution Systems Analysis, Tucson, Arizona, United States*, 1664–1681.

282 Nagar, A. K. and Powell, R. S. (2004). “Observability analysis of water distribution systems under
283 parametric and measurement uncertainty.” *Proceedings of the ASCE 2000 Joint Conference on
284 Water Resources Engineering and Water Resources Planning and Management, Minneapolis,
285 United States*, 1–10.

286 Powell, R. (1992). “On-line monitoring for operational control of water distribution networks.”
287 Ph.D. thesis, University of Durham, United Kingdom.

288 Powell, R. S., Irving, M. R., and Sterling, M. J. H. (1988). “A comparison of three real-time
289 state-estimation methods for on-line monitoring of water distribution systems.” *Computer Ap-
290 plications in Water Supply*, Vol. 1, 333–348.

291 Preis, A., Whittle, A., Ostfeld, A., and Perelman, L. (2011). “Efficient hydraulic state estimation
292 technique using reduced models of urban water networks.” *J. Water Resour. Plann. Manage.*,
293 137(4), 343–351.

294 Sterling, M. and Bargiela, A. (1984). “Minimum norm state estimation for computer control of
295 water distribution systems.” *IEE Proc.*, 131(2), 57–63.