



INSTITUT DE FRANCE
Académie des sciences

Comptes Rendus

Mathématique

Yan Xu, Shirong Chen and Peiyan Niu

Picard-Hayman behavior of derivatives of meromorphic functions

Volume 358, issue 6 (2020), p. 753-756

Published online: 2 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.96>



This article is licensed under the
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Les Comptes Rendus. Mathématique sont membres du
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte
www.centre-mersenne.org
e-ISSN : 1778-3569



Complex Analysis / *Analyse complexe*

Picard-Hayman behavior of derivatives of meromorphic functions

Yan Xu^{*, a}, Shirong Chen^a and Peiyan Niu^b

^a School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, P.R.China

^b School of Mathematics and Statistics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu 215500, P.R.China

E-mails: xuyan@njnu.edu.cn, somxiaorong@163.com, niupeiyuan1983@126.com

Abstract. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} , and $P(z), Q(z)$ be two polynomials with $\deg P(z) > \deg Q(z)$. In this paper, we prove that: if $f(z) = 0 \Rightarrow f'(z) = a$ (a nonzero constant), except possibly finitely many, then $f'(z) - P(z)/Q(z)$ has infinitely many zeros. Our result extends or improves some previous related results due to Bergweiler–Pang, Pang–Nevo–Zalcman, Wang–Fang, and the author, et. al.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30D35, 30D45.

Funding. This work was supported by NSFC(Grant No.11471163).

Manuscript received 7th January 2020, accepted 4th July 2020.

1. Introduction and Main Results

In 1959, Hayman [2, 3] proved the following result, which has come to be known as Hayman's alternative.

Theorem 1. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then either $f - a$ or $f^{(k)} - b$ has infinitely many zeros.*

Considering $g = f - a$, it suffices to take $a = 0$ in Theorem 1.

In the past years, a number of improvements and extensions of Theorem 1 have been obtained. Wang and Fang [8] proved the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If*

- (i) *all zeros of f have multiplicity at least $k + 1$ and all poles of f are multiple, or*
- (ii) *all zeros of f have multiplicity at least 3,*

then, for each $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $f^{(k)} - b$ has infinitely many zeros.

* Corresponding author.

For $k = 1$, Nevo, Pang and Zalcman [5] proved the following result, in which they use an argument involving quasinormal families.

Theorem 3. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . If all zeros of f are multiple, then, for each $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $f' - b$ has infinitely many zeros.*

It is a natural to ask: *Does the above results still hold if we replace the nonzero constant b by a small function $R(z) (\neq 0)$ of f ?*

By using the theory of normal families, Bergweiler and Pang [1] (for $k = 1$), and the author [9] (for $k \geq 2$) obtained

Theorem 4. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and $R (\neq 0)$ be a rational function. If*

- (i) *all zeros of f have multiplicity at least $k + 1$ and all poles of f are multiple, or*
- (ii) *all zeros of f have multiplicity at least $k + 2$, except possibly finitely many,*

then $f^{(k)} - R$ has infinitely many zeros.

For the case $k = 1$, using the theory of quasinormal families, Pang, Nevo and Zalcman [6] proved the following stronger result.

Theorem 5. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . If all zeros of f are multiple except possibly finitely many, then, for each rational function $R \neq 0$, $f' - R$ has infinitely many zeros.*

Clearly, the condition “all zeros of f are multiple” in Theorem 5 is equivalent to the condition “ $f = 0 \Rightarrow f'(z) = 0$ ”. We note that the function $f(z) = e^z - a$ ($a \in \mathbb{C}$) satisfying “ $f = 0 \Rightarrow f'(z) = a$ ”, and $f'(z) - R(z)$ has infinitely many zeros for rational function $R(z) \neq a$.

Inspired by this observation, we prove the following result by using the theory of normal family.

Theorem 6. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} , and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f(z) = 0 \Rightarrow f'(z) = a$, except possibly finitely many, then $f'(z) - R(z)$ has infinitely many zeros, where $R(z) = P(z)/Q(z) (\neq 0)$, and $P(z), Q(z)$ are two polynomials with $\deg P(z) > \deg Q(z)$.*

Remark. At present, we are not clear whether the condition $\deg P(z) > \deg Q(z)$ in Theorem 6 can be omitted.

Corollary 7. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} , and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f(z) = 0 \Rightarrow f'(z) = a$, except possibly finitely many, then for nonconstant polynomial $P(z)$, $f'(z) - P(z)$ has infinitely many zeros.*

2. Some Lemmas

First we recall some definitions. If there exists a curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ tending to ∞ such that $f(z) \rightarrow a$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \in \Gamma$, we call that a is an asymptotic value of f .

A meromorphic function f is called a Julia exceptional function if $f^\#(z) = O(1/|z|)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Here, as usual, $f^\#(z) = |f'(z)|/(1 + |f(z)|^2)$ is the spherical derivative of f . It follows easily from the Ahlfors-Shimizu characteristic function that if f is a Julia exceptional function, then $T(r, f) = O((\log r)^2)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

The following result is due to Lehto and Virtanen [4].

Lemma 8. *A transcendental Julia exceptional function does not have an asymptotic value.*

Lemma 9 (see [1]). *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function, and let R be a rational function satisfying $R(z) \sim cz^d$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, with $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $f' - R$ has only finitely many zeros and $T(r, f) = O((\log r)^2)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Set $g := f(z)/z^{d+1}$. Then g has an asymptotic value.*

Lemma 10 (see [2, 3]). *Let f be a meromorphic function in the complex plane, and k a positive integer. If $f \neq 0$ and $f^{(k)}(z) \neq 1$, then $f(z)$ is a constant.*

The next is a local version of Zalcaman’s lemma due to Pang and Zalcman [7].

Lemma 11. *Let k be a positive integer and let \mathcal{F} be a family of functions meromorphic in a domain D , such that each function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has only zeros of multiplicity at least k , and suppose that there exists $A \geq 1$ such that $|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq A$ whenever $f(z) = 0$. If \mathcal{F} is not normal at $z_0 \in D$, then, for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq k$, there exist a sequence of points $z_n \in D$, $z_n \rightarrow z_0$, a sequence of positive numbers $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$, and a sequence of functions $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ such that*

$$g_n(\zeta) = \frac{f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta)}{\rho_n^\alpha} \rightarrow g(\zeta)$$

locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where g is a nonconstant meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least k , such that $g^\#(\zeta) \leq g^\#(0) = kA + 1$. Moreover, g has order at most 2.

3. Proof of Theorem 6

By Theorem 5, we only need to consider the case $a \neq 0$.

Since $R(z) = P(z)/Q(z) (\neq 0)$, where $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are two polynomials with $\deg P(z) > \deg Q(z)$. We may assume that $R(z) \sim cz^d$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, where $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and d is a positive integer. Define

$$g(z) := \frac{f(z)}{z^{d+1}}.$$

Suppose that $f' - R$ has only finitely many zeros. If g is a Julia exceptional function, then $T(r, g) = O((\log r)^2)$ and hence $T(r, f) = O((\log r)^2)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Lemma 9 implies that g has an asymptotic value. But, by Lemma 8, g has no asymptotic value, a contradiction.

Thus g is not a Julia exceptional function. Hence, by the definition of Julia exceptional function, there exists $\{a_n\}$ such that $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $a_n g^\#(a_n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - 1| < 1/2\}$, and set

$$\mathcal{G} = \{g_n(z) := g(a_n z)z^{d+1} = \frac{f(a_n z)}{a_n^{d+1}}, z \in D\}.$$

The family \mathcal{G} is not normal at $z = 1$. Indeed, by computation, we have

$$g_n^\#(1) = \frac{|a_n g'(a_n) + (d + 1)g(a_n)|}{1 + |g(a_n)|^2} \geq |a_n|g^\#(a_n) - \frac{|d + 1|}{2} \rightarrow \infty$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So, by Marty’s criterion, \mathcal{F} is not normal at $z = 1$.

If $g_n(z) = 0$, that is, $f(a_n z) = 0$, then from the hypotheses of theorem, we have $f'(a_n z) = a$. Thus there exists $M \geq 1$ such that (for large n)

$$|g'_n(z)| = \left| \frac{f'(a_n z)}{a_n^d} \right| = \left| \frac{a}{a_n^d} \right| \leq M$$

whenever $g_n(z) = 0$. Then, applying Lemma 11, we can find $z_n \in D$, $z_n \rightarrow 1$, $\rho_n \rightarrow 0^+$, and $g_n \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$G_n(\zeta) = \frac{g_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta)}{\rho_n} = \frac{f(a_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta))}{\rho_n a_n^{d+1}} \rightarrow G(\zeta) \tag{1}$$

locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where $G(\zeta)$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} .

Claim. $G(\zeta) \neq 0$ on \mathbb{C} .

Suppose that there exists a point ζ_0 such that $G(\zeta_0) = 0$. Then, there exist $\zeta_n, \zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta_0$, such that $G_n(\zeta_n) = 0$ (for n sufficiently large) since G is not constant, and thus $f(a_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_n)) = 0$. By the assumption of theorem, we have $f'(a_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_n)) = a$.

From (1), we have

$$G'_n(\zeta) = g'_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta) = \frac{f'(a_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta))}{a_n^d} \rightarrow G'(\zeta), \quad (2)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} disjoint from the poles of G . It follows that $G'(\zeta_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G'_n(\zeta_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a/a_n^d = 0$. Thus, all zeros of G are multiple.

Let ζ_0 be a zero of G with multiplicity $m(\geq 2)$, then $G^{(m)}(\zeta_0) \neq 0$. By (1) and Rouché's theorem, there exist $\delta > 0$, and m sequences $\{\zeta_n^{(i)}\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) on $D_\delta(\zeta_0) = \{\zeta : |\zeta - \zeta_0| < \delta\}$, tending to ζ_0 , such that

$$G_n(\zeta_n^{(i)}) = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

From (1), we have $f(a_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_n^{(i)})) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$). It follows that $f'(a_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_n^{(i)})) = a$, and thus $G'_n(\zeta_n^{(i)}) = a/a_n^d \neq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$). This means that each $\zeta_n^{(i)}$ is a simple zero of G_n , which rules out the possibility that each two of $\{\zeta_n^{(i)}\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) might coincide. So, $\zeta_n^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) are m distinct zeros of $G'_n(\zeta) - a/a_n^d$ on $D_\delta(\zeta_0)$. Noting that $\zeta_n^{(i)} \rightarrow \zeta_0$ and

$$G'_n(\zeta) - \frac{a}{a_n^d} \rightarrow G'(\zeta),$$

Rouché's theorem implies that ζ_0 is the zero of $G'(\zeta)$ with multiplicity at least m . We get $G^{(m)}(\zeta_0) = 0$, a contradiction. We thus proved our claim.

Since $R(z) \sim cz^d$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, by (2), we have

$$G'_n(\zeta) - \frac{R(a_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta))}{a_n^d} \rightarrow G'(\zeta) - c \quad (3)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} disjoint from the poles of G . On the other hand, for n sufficiently large

$$G'_n(\zeta) - \frac{R(a_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta))}{a_n^d} = \frac{f'(a_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta)) - R(a_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta))}{a_n^d} \neq 0.$$

By (3) and Hurwitz's theorem, either $G'(\zeta) \neq c$ or $G'(\zeta) \equiv c$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus G^{-1}(\infty)$. Clearly, these also hold on \mathbb{C} . If $G' \equiv c$, then G is a polynomial with degree 1. This is impossible since $G \neq 0$. Hence $G' \neq c$.

So, by Lemma 10, $G(\zeta)$ must be a constant, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 6. \square

References

- [1] W. Bergweiler, X. Pang, "On the derivatives of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **278** (2003), no. 2, p. 285-292.
- [2] W. K. Hayman, "Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives", *Ann. Math.* **70** (1959), p. 9-42.
- [3] ———, *Meromorphic Functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, 1964.
- [4] O. Lehto, K. I. Virtanen, "On the behaviour of meromorphic functions in the neighbourhood of an isolated singularity", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I* **240** (1957), p. 1-9.
- [5] S. Nevo, X. Pang, L. Zalcman, "Picard-Hayman behavior of derivatives of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros", *Electron. Res. Announc. Am. Math. Soc.* **12** (2006), p. 37-43.
- [6] X. Pang, S. Nevo, L. Zalcman, "Derivatives of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros and rational functions", *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **8** (2008), no. 2, p. 483-491.
- [7] X. Pang, L. Zalcman, "Normal families and shared values", *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **32** (2000), no. 3, p. 325-331.
- [8] Y. Wang, M. Fang, "Picard values and normal families of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros", *Acta Math. Sin., New Ser.* **14** (1998), no. 1, p. 17-26.
- [9] Y. Xu, "Picard values and derivatives of meromorphic functions", *Kodai Math. J.* **28** (2005), no. 1, p. 99-105.