1 Antibody levels following vaccination against SARS-

2 CoV-2: associations with post-vaccination infection

3 and risk factors in two UK longitudinal studies

4 Nathan J. Cheetham* [1], Milla Kibble [1,2,3], Andrew Wong [4], Richard J. Silverwood [5],

5 Anika Knuppel [4], Dylan M. Williams [4,6], Olivia K. L. Hamilton [7], Paul H. Lee [8], Charis

- 6 Bridger Staatz [5], Giorgio Di Gessa [9], Jingmin Zhu [9], Srinivasa Vittal Katikireddi [7], George
- 7 B. Ploubidis [5], Ellen J. Thompson [1,4], Ruth C. E. Bowyer [1,10], Xinyuan Zhang [1], Golboo
- 8 Abbasian [1], Maria Paz Garcia [1], Deborah Hart [1], Jeffrey Seow [11], Carl Graham [11],
- 9 Neophytos Kouphou [11], Sam Acors [11], Michael H. Malim [11], Ruth E. Mitchell [2], Kate

10 Northstone [2], Daniel Major-Smith [2], Sarah Matthews [2], Thomas Breeze [2], Michael

- 11 Crawford [2], Lynn Molloy [2], Alex S. F. Kwong [2,12], Katie J. Doores [11], Nishi Chaturvedi
- 12 [4], Emma L. Duncan [1,13], Nicholas J. Timpson* [2], Claire J. Steves* [1,13]
- 13 * Correspondence to: Claire J. Steves (claire.j.steves@kcl.ac.uk), Nicholas J. Timpson
- 14 (<u>n.j.timpson@bristol.ac.uk</u>), Nathan J. Cheetham (<u>nathan.cheetham@kcl.ac.uk</u>).
- 15 Affiliations:
- 16 1 Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, London, UK
- 17 2 Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- 18 3 Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge,
- 19 Cambridge, UK
- 20 4 MRC Unit for Lifelong Health and Ageing at UCL, University College London, London, UK
- 21 5 Centre for Longitudinal Studies, UCL Social Research Institute, University College London,
- 22 London, UK
- 23 6 Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm,
- 24 Sweden
- 25 7 MRC/CSO Social & Public Health Sciences Unit, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
- 26 8 Department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK
- 27 9 Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, UK
- 28 10 AI for Science and Government, The Alan Turing Institute, London, UK
- 29 11 Department of Infectious Diseases, King's College London, UK
- 30 12 Division of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

31 13 Guy's & St Thomas's NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

33 Abstract

34 Background:

35 SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels can be used to assess humoral immune responses following SARS-

36 CoV-2 infection or vaccination, and may predict risk of future infection. Higher levels of SARS-

37 CoV-2 anti-Spike antibodies are known to be associated with increased protection against future

38 SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, variation in antibody levels and risk factors for lower antibody

39 levels following each round of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination have not been explored across a wide

40 range of socio-demographic, SARS-CoV-2 infection and vaccination, and health factors within

41 population-based cohorts.

42 Methods:

43 Samples were collected from 9,361 individuals from TwinsUK and ALSPAC UK population-based

44 longitudinal studies and tested for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. Cross-sectional sampling was

45 undertaken jointly in April-May 2021 (TwinsUK, N = 4,256; ALSPAC, N = 4,622), and in

46 TwinsUK only in November 2021-January 2022 (N = 3,575). Variation in antibody levels after

47 first, second, and third SARS-CoV-2 vaccination with health, socio-demographic, SARS-CoV-2

48 infection and SARS-CoV-2 vaccination variables were analysed. Using multivariable logistic

49 regression models, we tested associations between antibody levels following vaccination and: (1)

50 SARS-CoV-2 infection following vaccination(s); (2) health, socio-demographic, SARS-CoV-2

51 infection and SARS-CoV-2 vaccination variables.

52 Results:

53 Within TwinsUK, single-vaccinated individuals with the lowest 20% of anti-Spike antibody levels

54 at initial testing had 3-fold greater odds of SARS-CoV-2 infection over the next six to nine months

OR = 2.9,95% CI: 1.4, 6.0), compared to the top 20%. In TwinsUK and ALSPAC, individuals

56 identified as at increased risk of COVID-19 complication through the UK "Shielded Patient List"

57 had consistently greater odds (2- to 4-fold) of having antibody levels in the lowest 10%. Third

58 vaccination increased absolute antibody levels for almost all individuals, and reduced relative

59 disparities compared with earlier vaccinations.

60 Conclusions:

61 These findings quantify the association between antibody level and risk of subsequent infection,

62 and support a policy of triple vaccination for the generation of protective antibodies.

63 Funding:

64 Antibody testing was funded by UK Health Security Agency. The National Core Studies program

65 is funded by COVID-19 Longitudinal Health and Wellbeing – National Core Study (LHW-NCS)

- 66 HMT/UKRI/MRC (MC_PC_20030 & MC_PC_20059). Related funding was also provided by the
- 67 NIHR 606 (CONVALESCENCE grant COV-LT-0009). TwinsUK is funded by the Wellcome
- 68 Trust, Medical Research Council, Versus Arthritis, European Union Horizon 2020, Chronic
- 69 Disease Research Foundation (CDRF), Zoe Ltd and the National Institute for Health Research
- 70 (NIHR) Clinical Research Network (CRN) and Biomedical Research Centre based at Guy's and St
- 71 Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with King's College London. The UK Medical
- 72 Research Council and Wellcome (Grant ref: 217065/Z/19/Z) and the University of Bristol provide
- 73 core support for ALSPAC.
- 74
- 75 Key terms: ALSPAC, TwinsUK, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, antibodies, serology, vaccination,
- 76 breakthrough infection
- 77

79 Lay summary:

80 In this study, we analysed blood samples from 9,361 participants from two studies in the UK: an

- 81 adult twin registry, TwinsUK (4,739 individuals); and the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and
- 82 Children, ALSPAC (4,622 individuals). We did this work as part of the UK Government National
- 83 Core Studies initiative researching COVID-19. We measured blood antibodies which are specific to
- 84 SARS-CoV-2 (which causes COVID-19). Having a third COVID-19 vaccination boosted antibody
- 85 levels. More than 90% of people from TwinsUK had levels after third vaccination that were greater
- than the average level after second vaccination. Importantly, this was the case even in individuals
- 87 on the UK "Shielded Patient List". We found that people with lower antibody levels after first
- 88 vaccination were more likely to report having COVID-19 later on, compared to people with higher
- 89 antibody levels. People on the UK "Shielded Patient List", and individuals who reported that they
- 90 had poorer general health, were more likely to have lower antibody levels after vaccination. In
- 91 contrast, people who had had a previous COVID-19 infection were more likely to have higher
- 92 antibody levels following vaccination compared to people without infection. People receiving the
- 93 Oxford/AstraZeneca rather than the Pfizer BioNTech vaccine had lower antibody levels after one
- 94 or two vaccinations. However, after a third vaccination, there was no difference in antibody levels
- 95 between those who had Oxford/AstraZeneca and Pfizer BioNTech vaccines for their first two
- 96 doses. These findings support having a third COVID-19 vaccination to boost antibodies.
- 97
- 98

99

101 Introduction

102 Immunological responses to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)

103 infection and SARS-CoV-2 vaccination vary between individuals and over time [1–3]. Within two

104 to four weeks of infection, most individuals generate detectable levels of several antibody subtypes

105 (Immunoglobulin A, M, G) directed against different domains of the virus (Nucleocapsid protein,

106 Spike protein, receptor-binding domain of Spike), which gradually decline over time [4–8]. Anti-

107 Nucleocapsid antibodies are generated following infection but not by any current SARS-CoV-2

108 vaccines, while anti-Spike antibodies are generated following infection or vaccination. Levels of

109 anti-Spike antibodies correlate with SARS-CoV-2-neutralising anti-receptor-binding domain

antibody titre [9]. A similar profile of antibody induction with subsequent waning is observed after

111 vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 [1,2,10,11]. Waning of antibody levels are likely correlated with

112 observed reductions in vaccine effectiveness over time [12–14]. Reduced antibody neutralising

activity and vaccine effectiveness have been observed for variants of concern in comparison to

114 ancestral SARS-CoV-2 [13,15–18].

115 Anti-Spike antibody levels have been found to be inversely proportional to risk of future infection,

and so identified as a correlate of protection [19–26]. Goldblatt et al. estimated protective

117 thresholds of 154 (95% CI: 42, 559) and 171 (95% CI: 57, 519) BAU/mL for wild-type and alpha

118 variant SARS-CoV-2 respectively and an initial estimate range of 36-490 BAU/ml for delta variant

119 [22], while Feng et al. estimated 80% vaccine effectiveness against alpha variant for levels above

120 264 (95% CI: 108, 806) BAU/mL [23]. Dimeglio et al. estimated much higher levels of more than

121 6000 BAU/mL were needed for protection against omicron variant BA.1, while no relationship was

122 found between infection and antibody level for BA.2 [25].

123

124 Several clinical variables contribute to variation in antibody response following vaccination. Lower 125 antibody levels following both first and second vaccinations have been observed in individuals with 126 particular comorbidities (including cancer, renal disease, and hepatic disease [27-29]), individuals 127 using immunosuppressant medications [1,2,27,28], and individuals identified from electronic health 128 records data as of moderate or high risk of COVID-19 complications (according to UK government 129 prior "Shielded Patient List" criteria of conditions, ongoing treatments and medications) [1,2,30]. 130 Studies testing for associations between antibody response and non-clinical factors such as socio-131 demographics have been more limited. Here, the use of longitudinal studies, with broader

132 catalogues of bio-social data, are well-suited to such investigations.

133 Here, we aimed to examine variables associated with variation in post-vaccination antibody levels,

134 and the subsequent likelihood of post-vaccination infection. We measured the antibody levels of

- 135 participants from two population-based longitudinal cohorts during the time of the UK vaccination
- roll-out: TwinsUK (in April-May 2021 and November 2021-January 2022) [31] and Avon
- 137 Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) [32,33] (April-May 2021 only). We aimed
- 138 firstly to assess the relationship between anti-Spike antibody levels (identified as a correlate of
- 139 protection against infection), measured after first or second vaccination in April-May 2021, and an
- 140 outcome of subsequent post-vaccination infection over the following six to nine months (identified
- 141 through further serological evidence and/or self-reported COVID-19 from data collected in
- 142 TwinsUK between November 2021 and January 2022). Secondly, we used peri-pandemic and
- 143 historical data to investigate associations with an outcome of having relatively low antibody levels
- 144 following first, second (ALSPAC and TwinsUK) or third (TwinsUK only) vaccination, for multiple
- socio-demographic, physical and mental health characteristics, prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, and
- 146 vaccination history. Finally, we used twin-pair analysis within TwinsUK to probe genetic and
- 147 environmental contributions to antibody level variation.
- 148

150 Methods

151 Study participants

TwinsUK is a UK-based national registry of monozygotic and dizygotic twins, with over 15,000
twins registered since 1992 [31].

154 ALSPAC is a prospective population-based cohort of pregnant women with expected delivery dates

between April 1991 and December 1992 who lived in Bristol, UK and the nearby surrounding area;

156 with follow-up of these women and their partners (collectively known as Generation 0, G0), and

their children (Generation 1, G1), ever since [32,33]. The initial cohort consisted of 14,541

158 pregnancies, with 13,988 children alive at one year, and was later expanded when children were

approximately age 7, to give a total of 15,454 pregnancies, with 14,901 children alive at one year.

160 Analyses herein were carried out solely with G0 participants due to low rates of vaccination among

161 the G1 children generation at the time of initial serology.

162 During the COVID-19 pandemic, participants from both cohorts were invited to complete cohort-

163 specific questionnaires and to submit blood samples via post for SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing. In

164 the first round of coordinated testing in TwinsUK and ALSPAC, participants submitted samples in

165 April and May 2021. This first testing round is referred to throughout as Q2 testing (from calendar

166 year quarter 2 start date). Participants of TwinsUK were later invited for a second round of

167 antibody testing with the same assay, with samples collected from November 2021 to January

168 2022. This round of antibody testing is referred to throughout as Q4 testing (from quarter 4 start

169 date). Further details of COVID-19 questionnaires and antibody testing are given in following

170 sections.

171 Inclusion and exclusion criteria were as follows. Individuals with unknown vaccination status at

time of antibody testing were excluded from all analyses. For descriptive analysis of antibody

173 levels versus time since vaccination, all individuals with known vaccination status were included.

174 For analysis of variables associated with low antibody levels, individuals sampled fewer than 28

175 days since first vaccination, or fewer than 14 days since second or third vaccination, were excluded

176 (these thresholds were chosen to allow sufficient time for an immunological response after each

vaccine dose, based on previous studies [1,2]), while individuals with 77 days or more since first

178 vaccination were excluded in case of misclassification due to unreported further vaccination (based

179 on 11-12 week spacing between doses for majority of adults in the UK). In addition to the above

180 criteria, for analysis of variables associated with post-vaccination infection within TwinsUK,

181 individuals must have participated in Q2 antibody testing followed by either Q4 antibody testing

182 and/or concurrent COVID-19 questionnaire.

183 Questionnaires administered during the COVID-19 pandemic

184 TwinsUK COVID-19 questionnaires were administered in April-May 2020 [45], July-August 2020,

185 October-December 2020, April-July 2021 (approximating first round of antibody testing, Q2), and

186 November 2021-February 2022 (approximating second round of antibody testing, Q4). ALSPAC

187 COVID-19 questionnaires were administered in April-May 2020 [46], May-July 2020 [47],

188 October 2020 [48], November 2020-March 2021 (approximating first round of antibody testing,

189 Q2) [49], and July-December 2021.

190 Details of variables collected through cohort-specific pandemic questionnaires are provided in

191 Supplementary file 1. Questions included self-reported SARS-CoV-2 infection and symptoms,

192 results of SARS-CoV-2 testing, and vaccination status (date, dose number, manufacturer/brand)

193 once the UK SARS-CoV-2 vaccination programme had commenced (8 December 2020). Questions

194 made no distinction between pre-planned third vaccination for high-risk individuals and third

195 vaccination given as part of the wider community 'booster' campaign – as such we refer to third

196 vaccination or triple-vaccinated individuals throughout. By virtue of the national vaccination roll-

197 out policy (tiered by age and at-risk status), at Q2 participants may have received nought, one, or

198 two vaccination doses; by Q4 some individuals had received a third dose.

199 As questionnaires were cohort-specific, assessed variables were not completely uniform (both

200 question wording and collected data). Details for comparison are shown inSupplementary file 1.

201

202 SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing

203 Q2 testing in TwinsUK and ALSPAC occurred along with an additional nine UK-based

204 longitudinal studies who collected samples in unison as part of the UK National Core Studies

205 Longitudinal Health & Wellbeing (NCS-LH&W) programme [50]. Additional cohort-specific

206 details and results for ALSPAC and Extended Cohort for E-health, Environment and DNA

207 (EXCEED) are provided elsewhere [51,52]. Data availability in cohorts other than TwinsUK and

208 ALSPAC limited analysis to presentation of overall seropositivity and variation with cohort mean

209 age.

210 For TwinsUK antibody testing in Q2 and Q4, invitation criteria were based on availability of email

211 addresses and/or completion of previous COVID-19 questionnaires. ALSPAC invitation criteria are

212 given in detail elsewhere [52]. For both cohorts, participants received fingerprick blood sample

213 collection kits via post. Blood sample collection was self-administered. Samples were sent via post

214 to either Pura Diagnostics or Eurofins County Pathology (partner laboratories of Thriva Ltd), who

215 assayed samples and shared results with TwinsUK and ALSPAC. Quantitative IgG anti-Spike

216 SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels and qualitative IgG anti-Nucleocapsid antibody status were assayed

- 217 using CE-marked capillary blood Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoassays [53].
- 218 Quantitative anti-Spike results were given in units per millilitre (U/mL), with a quantitative range
- 219 of 0.4-250 U/mL for Q2 testing. For Q4 testing, samples were diluted to give an expanded
- 220 quantitative range of 0.4-25,000 U/mL, allowing quantitative discernment for higher levels at this
- timepoint. Tests had a positive threshold of 0.8 U/mL. 1 U/ml is equivalent to 1 unit of WHO
- standardised unit, binding antibody units per millilitre (BAU/mL) (WHO international standard:
- 223 20/136 [54]). Thus, we have quoted results in BAU/mL to aid comparison across studies. Anti-
- Nucleocapsid results were qualitative, with a positive result for a value greater than a cut-off unit =
- 225 1.
- 226 Additional antibody testing was also undertaken in-house for TwinsUK samples between April
- 227 2020 and April 2021. Quantitative enzyme-linked immunosorbent (ELISA) assays testing anti-
- 228 Nucleocapsid and anti-Spike antibody levels were performed using previously published methods
- [4]. These data were used to determine serology-based infection status prior to Q2 antibody testing.
- 230

231 Identification of SARS-CoV-2 infection

232 Assessment of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, at time of antibody testing:

- Prior SARS-CoV-2 infection was classified with three distinct variables derived from self-reported
 questionnaire data or serological testing.
- "SARS-CoV-2 infection status (self-reported)": derived solely from self-reported COVID infection and testing questionnaire data. The classification was primarily derived from
 responses to "Do you think that you have or have had COVID-19?" in prior questionnaires.
 Classification options are given below:
- a. Confirmed case: "Yes, confirmed by a positive test".
- b. Suspected case: "Yes, suspected by a doctor but not tested".
- c. Suspected case: "Yes, my own suspicions".
- d. Unsure (TwinsUK only): "Unsure".
- 243 e. No: "No".
- In TwinsUK questionnaires only, individuals were also asked to self-report any positive
 COVID-19 tests. Infection status of individuals who self-reported a positive test was
- 246 classified as a confirmed case, irrespective of their answer to the question above.
- 247 2) "SARS-CoV-2 infection status (serology-based)": derived from laboratory serological
 248 testing (Q2 [TwinsUK and ALSPAC], Q4 [TwinsUK only] and/or other within-cohort
 249 testing [TwinsUK only]), informed by self-reported vaccination status. We followed

250	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidance on interpretation of anti-Nucleocapsid
251	and anti-Spike results while accounting for vaccination status [55] as follows:
252	a. Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection: A positive anti-Nucleocapsid result at any time
253	or a positive anti-Spike result prior to vaccination.
254	b. No evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection: Negative anti-Nucleocapsid and anti-Spike
255	result prior to vaccination, or negative anti-Nucleocapsid and positive anti-Spike
256	result following vaccination (anti-Spike antibody assumed to be generated by
257	vaccination).
258	3) "Anti-Nucleocapsid antibody status": derived solely from laboratory serological testing
259	(from Q2 or Q4 testing only). The classification was as follows:
260	a. Positive: Positive anti-Nucleocapsid test result at Q2 or Q4 testing.
261	b. Negative: Negative anti-Nucleocapsid test result at Q2 or Q4 testing.
262	
263	From these variables, distinct measures of the proportion of individuals with evidence of prior
264	SARS-CoV-2 infection, or "natural infection", at time of Q2 and Q4 testing were quantified within
265	both cohorts.
266	Thus, "SARS-CoV-2 infection status (self-reported)" and "SARS-CoV-2 infection status (serology-
267	based)" variables identify individuals with any history of SARS-CoV-2 infection (who are not
268	necessarily seropositive for anti-Nucleocapsid antibodies at time of testing), while "Anti-
269	Nucleocapsid antibody status" assesses the contemporaneous level of infection-induced antibody
270	response.
271	Assessment of post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infection:
272	For analysis of variables associated with post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infection (performed
273	within TwinsUK only), individuals with post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infections were identified
274	using the following criteria:
275	1) A 'suspected case' or 'confirmed case' from "SARS-CoV-2 infection status (self-reported)"
276	variable at Q4 testing, with symptoms commencing after first vaccination. Infection and
277	vaccination dates obtained from COVID-19 questionnaires.
278	2) A 'confirmed case' from "SARS-CoV-2 infection status (self-reported)" variable at Q4
279	testing, with a self-reported positive antigen test dated after first vaccination. Infection and
280	vaccination dates obtained from COVID-19 questionnaires.
281	3) A positive SARS-CoV-2 anti-Nucleocapsid result at Q4 testing after previous negative anti-
282	Nucleocapsid results up to and including Q2, for individuals vaccinated at least once at Q2.
283	The approximate date of infection is unknown for individuals who meet this criterion only.

- 284 Individuals meeting one or more of these criteria were considered as having post-vaccination
- 285 infection. Individuals who did not meet any of these criteria were considered as controls (i.e., no
- 286 post-vaccination infection). Individuals must have participated in TwinsUK Q4 antibody testing
- and/or concurrent COVID-19 questionnaire for post-vaccination infection to be determinable and
- 288 for inclusion as controls or cases.
- 289

290 **Phenotypic data list**

- 291 Variables from antibody testing and pandemic questionnaire data were supplemented with pre-
- 292 pandemic socio-demographic and health variables for TwinsUK and ALSPAC analyses (details in
- 293 Supplementary file 1). A full list of variables considered in analyses is given in Table 1.
- 294 Table 1. Phenotypic variables used in analyses. Variables marked with an asterisk were outcome variables in
- 295 logistic regression analyses; all other variables were adjustment or exposure variables. Variables only
- available in TwinsUK are notated as [TUK], and those only in ALSPAC as [ALSPAC].

Variable group	Variable
Antibody levels	Anti-Spike level*
Socio-demographic	Age
	Sex
	Ethnicity
	Local area deprivation (index of multiple deprivation, IMD [using
	national IMD rank decile/quintile]) [56–59]
	Rural-urban classification [TUK] [60]
	Highest educational attainment
	Employment status
COVID-19 infection	SARS-CoV-2 infection status (self-reported)
	SARS-CoV-2 infection status (serology-based)
	Anti-Nucleocapsid antibody status
	Post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infection [TUK]*
COVID-19 vaccination	Brand/manufacturer of first/second/third vaccination
	Number of weeks between first/second/third vaccination and antibody
	sampling
Health indicators	Body mass index
	Frailty index [TUK] (derived following [61])
	Frailty (PRISMA-7 assessment [62]) [ALSPAC]
	Self-reported advised as on "Shielded Patient List"
	Self-rated health (5-point scale from 'poor' to 'excellent')
	Prescribed immunosuppressant medication [TUK]
	Self-reported immunocompromised [ALSPAC]
	Anxiety (hospital anxiety and depression assessment scale (HADS)
	[TUK] [63], or 7-item generalised anxiety disorder scale (GAD-7)
	[ALSPAC] [64] assessment)
	Depression (HADS [TUK] or short mood and feelings questionnaire
	(SMFQ) [ALSPAC] [65] assessment)
	Number of comorbidities from: anxiety/depression, diabetes, cancer,
· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hypertension, heart disease.
Individual comorbidities	Anxiety
	Arthritis (any) [TUK]

	Asthma						
	Atrial fibrillation [TUK]						
	Cancer (any)						
	Depression						
	Diabetes (any)						
	Heart disease						
	High cholesterol [TUK]						
	Hypertension						
	Lung disease						
	Osteoporosis [TUK]						
	Rheumatoid arthritis [TUK]						
	Stroke [TUK]						
Comorbidity domains	Cardiac disease [TUK]						
	Cardiac risk factors [TUK]						
	Neurological disease						
	Subjective memory impairment [TUK]						

298 Statistical analyses

299 Descriptive analysis of antibody levels after first, second, and third vaccination:

- 300 Median, interquartile range, 10th and 5th percentile antibody levels were produced for univariate
- 301 splits of adjustment and exposure variables listed in Table 1. Differences in median antibody levels
- 302 (per Results) were tested using a two-sided Mann-Whitney U-test [66]. Trend in median antibody
- 303 level versus number of weeks post-vaccination was tested using the Mann-Kendall trend test
- 304 [67,68].

305 Association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and socio-demographic variables:

306 Associations between SARS-CoV-2 infection, quantified from SARS-CoV-2 infection status (self-

307 reported), SARS-CoV-2 infection status (serology-based), and Anti-Nucleocapsid antibody status,

and age, sex, ethnicity, local area deprivation and rural-urban classification were tested using the

309 chi-square test of independence.

310 Logistic regression analyses:

- 311 Within TwinsUK only, univariable and multivariable logistic regression were used to test
- 312 associations between an outcome of post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infection and exposure
- 313 variables related to: Q2 anti-Spike antibody levels; socio-demographics; COVID-19 infection;
- 314 COVID-19 vaccination. In TwinsUK and ALSPAC, multivariable logistic regression was also
- 315 performed to test associations between the outcome of low anti-Spike antibody levels (as defined
- 316 below) after each round of vaccination (after first and second vaccinations for both TwinsUK and
- 317 ALSPAC, and after third vaccination for TwinsUK only) and all exposure variables previously
- 318 listed.

Each model included the outcome variable, a single exposure variable of interest, and a set of

320 adjustment variables. Individual exposure variables of interest were tested in sequence, fitting a

321 separate logistic regression model for each combination of outcome, adjustment, and exposure

322 variables. Only individuals with complete data for the given model were included. For each

323 categorical variable within logistic regression models, reference categories were chosen based on

324 the normative, modal, maximum or minimum value/category, as appropriate (reference categories

325 given in Supplementary file 1). Within TwinsUK models only, the HC3 estimator of logistic

326 regression coefficient standard errors was used to account for heteroskedasticity (which biases

327 conventional standard errors in analysis of related twin pairs [69–71]). (Two-sided) p-values were

328 corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini/Hochberg p-value adjustment [72].

329 An outcome of post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infection was identified using the criteria previously

described. An a priori outcome of 'low anti-Spike antibody levels' was defined relatively within

331 each group stratified by vaccination status (single-, double-, triple-vaccinated within TwinsUK, and

332 single-, double-vaccinated within ALSPAC) and assigned to individuals in the lowest 10% (with a

333 separate model for each threshold) of anti-Spike antibody levels. As such the anti-Spike threshold

value used to define low levels varied between models. Most double-vaccinated individuals at Q2

testing had antibody levels above the upper assay limit of 250 BAU/mL (TwinsUK: 92%,

ALSPAC: 92%). Thus, a threshold of < 250 BAU/mL was used instead of 10% to identify low

antibody levels after second vaccination at Q2 testing, corresponding to the lowest 8% in both

338 TwinsUK and ALSPAC. In total, for each exposure variable, there were four TwinsUK models and

two ALSPAC models.

340 Multivariable models testing association between post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infection and

341 anti-Spike antibody levels used the following sets of adjustment variables: 1) number of weeks

342 since most recent vaccination; 2) age, sex, number of weeks since most recent vaccination.

343 Multivariable models testing association between post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infection and

344 socio-demographic variables used the following sets of adjustment variables: 1) age; 2) age, SARS-

345 CoV-2 infection status (serology-based); 3) age, sex, SARS-CoV-2 infection status (serology-

based). Multivariable models testing associations with low anti-Spike antibody levels used the

347 following set of adjustment variables: age, sex, most recent vaccine received and number of weeks

348 since most recent vaccination. Adjustment variables were chosen based on relatively large effects

349 observed in preliminary descriptive analysis.

350 **Twin-pair analyses:**

- 351 To assess the relationship between zygosity and relatedness on variation in antibody levels between
- 352 pairs of individuals after third vaccination within TwinsUK, antibody level differences were
- 353 calculated for all pairs of monozygotic and dizygotic twins, and within all combinations of non-

- 354 related pairs. Difference between the resulting median pair-differences within monozygotic,
- 355 dizygotic, and non-related pairs were tested using the two-sided Mann-Whitney U-test.
- 356 For variables associated with low antibody levels (from logistic regression analyses), within-twin-
- 357 pair associations with unadjusted anti-Spike antibody levels after third vaccination were tested
- 358 using "within-between" generalised linear mixed effects models. Such models implicitly control for
- 359 pair-specific shared genetic and environmental factors by design and are commonly used in twin-
- 360 pair studies as described elsewhere [73]. The pseudonymised family identifier variable was fitted as
- a random effect, allowing intercept to vary for each twin-pair. For the exposure variable of interest,
- twin-pair mean values and difference-to-twin-pair-mean values were calculated and both included
- as "between-pair" and "within-pair" variables in models, respectively. Age, sex, number of weeks
- 364 since third vaccination, brand of vaccine received for third vaccination, and SARS-CoV-2 infection
- 365 status (serology-based) were also included in models as adjustment variables. For each exposure
- variable, separate models were fitted for monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs. Differences
- 367 between "between-pair" and "within-pair" coefficients were tested using a Wald test. Unpaired
- 368 single twins and individuals without data for all variables were excluded from the given model.

370 Software

- 371 TwinsUK analyses were performed using python v3.8.8 [74] and packages: numpy v1.20.1, pandas
- v1.2.4, statsmodels v0.12.2, scipy v1.6.2, scikit-learn v0.24.1, matplotlib v3.3.4, pymannkendall
- 373 v1.4.2, seaborn v0.11.1. ALSPAC analyses were performed using python v3.9.7 and packages:
- numpy v1.20.3, pandas v1.3.4, matplotlib v3.4.3, and seaborn v0.11.2, and R v4.1.2 [75] and
- packages: plyr v1.8.6, dplyr v1.0.7 and broom v0.7.11.

376

378 **Results**

379 Cohort characteristics

380 Antibody levels were measured in 9.361 individuals at two time points -4.256 individuals from 381 TwinsUK and 4,622 individuals from ALSPAC during April and May 2021 (referred to throughout 382 as O2 [calendar year quarter 2] testing), and 3,575 individuals from TwinsUK in follow-up testing 383 from November 2021 to January 2022 (referred to throughout as Q4 [quarter 4] testing). Response 384 rates, as the percentage who returned sample after consenting and being sent a sample collection 385 kit, were as follows: TwinsUK Q2: 87%, TwinsUK Q4: 80%, ALSPAC Q2: 79%. Flow charts 386 showing identification of analysis samples are given inFigure 1-figure supplements 1, 2 and 3. Results of antibody testing and selected characteristics are summarised in Table 2 (with extended 387 388 characteristics given in Supplementary file 2). Consistent with the tiered UK vaccination campaign. 389 individuals who had received more vaccinations at either timepoint were older, more likely to be on 390 the UK "Shielded Patient List" [30], and had lower self-rated health, compared with those with 391 fewer vaccinations. Participants were predominantly female and the vast majority were of white 392 ethnicity in both cohorts, consistent with the broader composition of both cohorts. Prevalence of 393 SARS-CoV-2 infection differed according to the measure of infection, either from self-report or 394 from serological testing, and varied by vaccination status, socio-demographic variables and 395 between the two time-points examined (Table 2, Supplementary file 3, Figure 1-figure supplement 396 4).

570 -1).

397 Antibody levels after first, second, and third vaccination

398 Considering firstly data from O4 testing undertaken within TwinsUK only, cross-sectional antibody 399 levels following third vaccination were much greater and more sustained, with less inter-individual 400 variability, compared to levels for those with fewer vaccinations. The median anti-Spike antibody 401 levels in individuals who had received a third vaccination (unadjusted for time since vaccination) 402 were over 10-fold higher than for individuals after second vaccination: 13,700 BAU/mL after third 403 vaccination, 1,300 BAU/mL after second vaccination; 50 BAU/mL after first vaccination (Figure 1, 404 detailed univariable splits of anti-Spike levels given in Supplementary file 4). There were also large 405 increases in absolute levels for individuals at the bottom of the antibody level distribution after 406 third vaccination, with 90% having level greater than 5,000 BAU/mL, close to the estimated 6,000 407 BAU/mL threshold estimated to confer partial protection against the omicron variant [25]. The 408 antibody level distribution after third vaccination was relatively narrower compared with earlier vaccination (median: IQR ratios of 0.54, 0.27, and 0.89 among Q2 single-vaccinated, Q4 double-409 vaccinated, and Q4 triple-vaccinated sub-samples respectively), with smaller scale-factor 410 411 differences between those with median and lowest levels (median:10th percentile ratios of 5.6, 11.8,

- and 2.7 among Q2 single-vaccinated, Q4 double-vaccinated, and Q4 triple-vaccinated sub-samplesrespectively).
- 414 Considering antibody levels versus time since vaccination: within TwinsUK Q4 results, median
- 415 antibody levels up to 16 weeks since third vaccination were highest in individuals sampled two to
- 416 three weeks after vaccination (median: 24,600 BAU/mL, n = 203) (Figure 2). Although median
- 417 antibody levels decreased between two and eight weeks after third vaccination, there was no
- 418 evidence of further decline between eight and 16 weeks (Mann-Kendall trend test in median levels
- 419 at 8+ weeks, p = 0.60), and high absolute levels of antibodies were sustained (8+ weeks median =
- 420 9,200 BAU/mL [IQR: 5,800-16,000 BAU/mL], n = 519). These cross-sectional trends in median
- 421 antibody levels versus time since third vaccination persisted when stratifying by age and other
- 422 variables. Similarly, for individuals sampled 13 to 33 weeks after second vaccination, longer time
- 423 since vaccination was also associated with lower antibody levels.
- 424 From Q2 results, antibody levels peaked at nine weeks after first vaccination in both TwinsUK and
- ALSPAC. After second vaccination, median levels breached the 250 BAU/mL assay limit from
 two weeks onwards, precluding further time assessment.
- 427
- 428

429 Factors associated with recorded post-vaccination infection in TwinsUK

430 Given the large variability in antibody response after first vaccination (Figure 1), we investigated 431 whether a lower antibody response after first vaccination associated with post-vaccination 432 ('breakthrough') infection, as evidenced by self-report (suspected or confirmed case) and/or 433 serological testing (positive anti-Nucleocapsid test after vaccination). Within TwinsUK, post-434 vaccination SARS CoV-2 infection (between first vaccination and Q4 testing) was recorded in 276 435 of 2,993 (9.2%) individuals (further details related to post-vaccination infection given in 436 Supplementary file 5). Among those tested at Q2 while single-vaccinated, individuals with lower 437 antibody levels had increased risk of subsequent infection over the next 6-9 months (Error! 438 Reference source not found. Table 3). After controlling for age, sex, and number of weeks since 439 vaccination, those with anti-Spike levels in the lowest 80% within the sample, < 164 BAU/mL, had 440 two- to three-fold odds of post-vaccination infection than those in the highest quintile, ≥ 164 441 BAU/mL, with those in the lowest quintile, < 18 BAU/mL, having the largest effect size (OR = 2.9 442 [95% CI: 1.4-6.0], p = 0.02). Odds of post-vaccination infection was also found to be lower in older 443 age groups (e.g., 80+ versus 18-49, OR = 0.18 [95% CI: 0.07-0.44], p = 0.002), those with 444 serological evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection prior to Q2 testing versus those without (OR = 0.46445 [95% CI: 0.32-0.67], p = 0.0009), and for those who were retired versus employed (OR = 0.49)

[95% CI: 0.33-0.74], p = 0.01) (full multivariable results in Supplementary file 6). Error!

Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.Table 3

449	Factors associated with lower antibody levels within TwinsUK and ALSPAC
450	We tested for associations with having lower antibody levels after each round of vaccination.
451	Lower antibody levels were defined as the lowest 10% within each sub-sample of cohort, testing
452	round and vaccination status (< 250 BAU/mL threshold corresponding to lowest 8% in both
453	TwinsUK and ALSPAC used for Q2 double-vaccinated sub-samples where assay limit did not
454	allow lowest 10% to be identified). Relative thresholds were used rather than absolute values due to
455	the variation in reported thresholds between studies and for different SARS-CoV-2 variants, while
456	the more general principal that antibody levels are inversely correlated with risk of infection has
457	remained consistent throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. Increased odds of lower antibody levels
458	were consistently observed across multiple vaccination rounds in TwinsUK and/or ALSPAC
459	(Figure 3) for the following health-related variables:
460	a) those advised as being on the UK "Shielded Patient List" [34,35]. For example, for lowest
461	10% after first vaccination, TwinsUK: (OR = 4.0, [95% CI: 2.2-7.4], p = 0.0001),
462	ALSPAC: (OR = 4.1, [95% CI: 1.8-9.5], p = 0.02);
463	b) those with poorer self-rated health. For example, for lowest 10% after first vaccination in
464	TwinsUK: (OR = 1.4, [95% CI: 1.1-1.6], p = 0.02), for a -1 step on an ordinal 1-5 (poor-
465	excellent) scale;
466	c) those with indicators of immunosuppression. For example, for lowest 10% after second
467	vaccination in TwinsUK: (OR = 4.2, [95% CI: 1.9-9.5], p = 0.006), for lowest 10% after
468	first vaccination in ALSPAC: (OR = 6.2, [95% CI: 2.7-14.5], p = 0.001).
469	Results for all exposure variables are presented Supplementary file 7.
470	Individuals in both cohorts who received the AZD1222 (Oxford/AstraZeneca) vaccine versus
471	BNT162b2 (Pfizer BioNTech) were more likely to have lower antibody levels after first
472	vaccination (for lowest 10% in TwinsUK: (OR = 3.1, [95% CI: 1.5-6.4], p = 0.02), and ALSPAC:
473	(OR = 3.2, [95% CI: 1.4-7.7], p = 0.09)), and second vaccination (for lowest 8% in TwinsUK Q2:
474	(OR = 3.0, [95% CI: 1.4-6.2], p = 0.03), TwinsUK Q4: (OR = 45.7, [95% CI: 5.6-372], p = 0.001),
475	and ALSPAC: (OR = 20.3, [95% CI: 6.4-64.7], p = 0.0001)). However, receiving AZD1222 at
476	second vaccination was not associated with lower antibody levels after third vaccination in
477	TwinsUK (for lowest 10%, (OR = 1.1 , [95% CI: $0.8-1.6$], p = 0.8)). Those with longer time since
478	vaccination at time of sampling had increased odds of lower antibody levels after second and third
479	vaccination, while individuals sampled later after first vaccination had decreased odds of lower

- 480 antibody levels. Lower likelihood of lower antibody levels was seen across multiple rounds of
- 481 vaccination within TwinsUK for those with evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection prior to antibody
- 482 testing, either through serological testing (e.g., outcome: lowest 10% after third vaccination (OR =
- 483 0.45, [95% CI: 0.28-0.71], p = 0.004]) or self-reported confirmed cases (e.g., outcome: lowest 10%)
- 484 after third vaccination (OR = 0.25, [95% CI: 0.13-0.45], p = 0.0001)), but not for self-reported
- 485 suspected cases.
- 486 Less consistent associations (i.e., not observed across more than one round of vaccination) with
- 487 increased likelihood of lower antibody levels were seen in TwinsUK for several other variables:
- 488 very frail, high multimorbidity (3 or more of 5 selected comorbidities), rheumatoid arthritis,
- 489 employment status of permanently or long-term sick or disabled, and lower educational attainment
- 490 (Supplementary file 7). No clear associations with lower antibody levels were seen with age, sex, or
- 491 BMI in either TwinsUK or ALSPAC.
- 492

493 Twin-pair analysis in TwinsUK after third vaccination

- 494 Within TwinsUK, pairs of identical monozygotic (MZ) twins showed smaller average intra-pair
- 495 anti-Spike antibody level differences after third vaccination versus non-identical dizygotic (DZ)
- 496 twin-pairs (median twin-pair difference = 5,000 BAU/mL versus 6,800 BAU/mL, p = 0.0002 for
- 497 MZ versus DZ), while differences between pairs of non-related individuals were largest (median
- 498 difference = 7,900 BAU/mL, p < 0.0001 for MZ versus non-related) (Figure 3-figure supplement 1,
- 499 Supplementary file 8).
- 500 Generalised linear mixed effects regression models of MZ and DZ twin pairs were performed with
- 501 anti-Spike antibody levels after third vaccination as the dependent variable, to further test the
- 502 persistence of associations between shielding status and antibody levels when shared genetics and
- 503 early life factors were taken into account. Within MZ twin-pairs discordant for "Shielded Patient
- 504 List" status -, twins on the "Shielded Patient List" (within-pair regression coefficient: -3,700
- 505 BAU/mL, [95% CI: -6,500, -880 BAU/mL], p = 0.01)-had lower antibody levels after third
- 506 vaccination than their co-twin (Supplementary file 9). Between-pair associations with antibody
- 507 levels were also observed for self-rated health, frailty index, and highest educational attainment, but
- 508 within-pair coefficients were not significant (Supplementary file 9).
- 509
- 510

511 **Discussion**

512 In this study we used SARS-CoV-2 anti-Nucleocapsid and anti-Spike antibody testing, and

513 questionnaire data collected at multiple time points during and before the COVID-19 pandemic, to

514 investigate associations with antibody response to vaccination in TwinsUK and ALSPAC

515 longitudinal population-based cohorts.

516 Firstly, we observed large non-linear increases in antibody levels between first, second and third vaccination, both at the median and 10th percentile levels where risk of infection is heightened, with 517 518 a relatively narrowed antibody level distribution after third vaccination producing a more even 519 response across the sampled population. Secondly, individuals with lower levels of anti-Spike 520 antibodies following first vaccination were at higher risk of future SARS-CoV-2 infection at any 521 subsequent time, including after further vaccinations, providing further indication of anti-Spike 522 antibody levels as a correlate of protection. Thirdly, the following groups all had higher odds of 523 having lower antibody levels following vaccination : those on the UK "Shielded Patient List"; those 524 with lower self-rated health; those who received AZD1222 (Oxford/AstraZeneca) vaccine for first 525 and second vaccination; those sampled at longer time since second vaccination and third 526 vaccination; those prescribed immunosuppressant medication (in TwinsUK) or with self-reported 527 immunosuppression (in ALSPAC). These findings were consistent across multiple rounds of 528 vaccination and/or in both cohorts. Individuals with evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection prior to 529 sampling were less likely to have lower antibody levels, consistent with previous studies that 530 postulating that the quantity and quality of antibody response were linked to the total number of 531 exposures to SARS-CoV-2 [36,37]. Finally, in analyses exploiting the twin-pair design of the 532 TwinsUK cohort, we found that genetic factors influenced antibody level variation (considered 533 only after third vaccination), with smaller differences in antibody levels within genetically identical 534 MZ pairs compared with DZ pairs. Twin-pair regression models showed that association between 535 antibody levels and "Shielded Patient List" status was independent of genetic and other shared 536 factors, after explicit adjustment for key vaccination and infection variables.

537 Longitudinal antibody testing within TwinsUK at Q4 highlighted the effectiveness of third

538 vaccination at both increasing absolute levels of antibodies and reducing variability in post-

539 vaccination antibody levels evident after earlier doses. Even among sub-groups associated with

540 having the lowest antibody levels and/or higher risk of severe COVID-19, such as shielding, frail,

541 and/or immunosuppressed individuals, over 75% of individuals had levels above 6,000 BAU/mL

542 (Supplementary file 4), the minimum level estimated to give partial protection against omicron

543 BA.1 variant [25]. Moreover, although individuals receiving AZD1222 vaccine (versus BNT162b2

544 [Pfizer BioNTech]) were more likely to have lower antibody levels after first and second

545 vaccination, this disparity was no longer evident after third vaccination, consistent with lower

- 546 vaccine effectiveness and increased post-vaccination infection after first or second vaccination
- 547 following AZD1222 versus BNT162b2 [13,38,39], but only minor differences after third

548 vaccination [13,40].

549 Notably, health-related variables associated with lower antibody levels were more general (self-550 rated poor health, immunosuppression indicators) and/or collective measures with wide-ranging 551 criteria (e.g., "Shielded Patient List", very frail, multimorbidity), rather than specific factors such as 552 individual comorbidities (e.g., rheumatoid arthritis). These more general and collective measures 553 may contain more specific risk factors for which we did not have data or sufficient sample size to 554 detect, or could suggest that variation in post-vaccination antibody levels between individuals may 555 originate from a wide range of variables in combination. Of the several variables associated with 556 antibody levels, only serology-based evidence of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection was directly 557 associated (here, negatively associated) with subsequent post-vaccination infection between April-558 May 2021 and November 2021-January 2022 (majority sampled before the January 2022 UK 559 omicron variant peak). We found no consistent associations of lower antibody levels with age or 560 employment status, but a very strong age gradient (lower incidence with older age) and lower 561 likelihood among retired (vs. employed) individuals of post-vaccination infection. These results are 562 consistent with risk of infection being a complex combination of SARS-CoV-2 case prevalence, 563 individual immune response to vaccination, and individual level of exposure. Given the relaxation 564 of measures across many countries, groups previously less exposed, for example due to shielding 565 guidance, may become more at risk.

566 We also acknowledge limitations of this work. Both TwinsUK and ALSPAC (Generation 0) 567 participants are disproportionately older, female, and more likely of white ethnicity, in comparison 568 to the UK population. Geographically, TwinsUK (based in London) is skewed towards lower deprivation areas in south east England and ALSPAC (based in Bristol) towards south west 569 570 England. Consequently, the generalisability of our findings to non-white UK and international 571 populations, in addition to our ability to detect associations with smaller effect sizes, is limited. Our 572 analyses are subject to selection biases due to use of multiple and varying data collections that rely 573 on voluntary participation. This may cause collider bias and affect findings as outlined elsewhere 574 [41,42]. For example, indicators of poorer health have been associated with lower response to 575 COVID-19 questionnaires in ALSPAC [43], which may bias the observed results. Acknowledging 576 the potential effects of biases, the replication of multiple associations with lower antibody levels 577 across compositionally-varied TwinsUK and ALSPAC cohorts and across multiple rounds of 578 vaccination support the robustness of our findings. It is these replicated findings that we chose to 579 discuss primarily.

- 580 In conclusion, our results highlight the large boost across the antibody level distribution produced
- 581 by third vaccination, and suggest that measurement of anti-Spike antibodies after first SARS-CoV-
- 582 2 vaccination may have potential use as an early indicator to identify individuals at higher risk of a
- 583 future SARS-CoV-2 infection, particularly in the many countries where vaccination roll-out is at an
- 584 earlier stage. Individuals who previously met UK "Shielded Patient List" criteria had consistently
- 585 lower antibody responses to vaccination than other participants, highlighting the importance of
- 586 continuing to inform such individuals of their personal risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection, despite the
- 587 UK government decision to end shielding guidance in April 2021 [44]. This result should inform
- 588 prioritisation of vaccination towards these individuals in any future immunisation campaigns.
- 589

591 Data availability

- 592 Data from all analyses presented in figures and tables herein are tabulated and available as a
- 593 supplementary spreadsheet file. Original antibody test data are available within the UK
- 594 Longitudinal Linkage Collaboration upon application (see <u>https://ukllc.ac.uk/apply/</u>). UK LLC
- 595 houses COVID-19 related datasets from over 20 UK longitudinal population studies (see
- 596 <u>https://ukllc.ac.uk/datasets/</u>). Original TwinsUK data are available to researchers on application.
- 597 Access to original TwinsUK data is managed by the TwinsUK Resource Executive Committee (see
- 598 <u>https://twinsuk.ac.uk/resources-for-researchers/access-our-data/</u>) and access to original ALSPAC
- 599 data via an online proposal system (see http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-
- 600 <u>library/sites/alspac/documents/researchers/data-access/ALSPAC_Access_Policy.pdf</u>). This is to
- 601 ensure privacy and protect against misuse. ALSPAC study data were collected and managed using
- 602 REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at the University of Bristol. REDCap (Research
- 603 Electronic Data Capture) is a secure, web-based software platform designed to support data capture
- for research studies [76]. The study website contains details of all the data that is available through
- a fully searchable data dictionary and variable search tool on the study website [77]. Analysis code
- 606 is in process of being cleaned to make publicly available, and will be made openly available via
- 607 GitHub at: <u>https://github.com/nathan-cheetham</u>.
- 608
- 609

610 **References**

- 611 1 Shrotri M, Fragaszy E, Geismar C, *et al.* Spike-antibody responses following first and
 612 second doses of ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 vaccines by age, gender, and clinical factors a
 613 prospective community cohort study (Virus Watch). *medRxiv* 2021;:2021.05.12.21257102.
 614 doi:10.1101/2021.05.12.21257102
- 6152Shrotri M, Navaratnam AMD, Nguyen V, et al. Spike-antibody waning after second dose of616BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1. The Lancet 2021;398:385-7. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01642-1
- Wei J, Stoesser N, Matthews PC, *et al.* Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in
 45,965 adults from the general population of the United Kingdom. *Nature Microbiology*2021;6:1140–9. doi:10.1038/s41564-021-00947-3
- Seow J, Graham C, Merrick B, *et al.* Longitudinal observation and decline of neutralizing
 antibody responses in the three months following SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans. *Nature Microbiology* 2020;5:1598–607. doi:10.1038/s41564-020-00813-8
- 5 Brochot E, Demey B, Touzé A, *et al.* Anti-spike, Anti-nucleocapsid and Neutralizing
 Antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 Inpatients and Asymptomatic Individuals. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2020;11:2468. doi:10.3389/FMICB.2020.584251/BIBTEX
- 626 6 Wei J, Matthews PC, Stoesser N, *et al.* Anti-spike antibody response to natural SARS-CoV627 2 infection in the general population. *Nature Communications* 2021;**12**:1–12.
 628 doi:10.1038/s41467-021-26479-2

629 630	7	Long Q-X, Liu B-Z, Deng H-J, <i>et al.</i> Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19. <i>Nature Medicine</i> 2020; 26 :845–8. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0897-1
631 632	8	Gaebler C, Wang Z, Lorenzi JCC, <i>et al.</i> Evolution of antibody immunity to SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature</i> 2021; 591 :639–44. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03207-w
633 634 635	9	Perkmann T, Koller T, Perkmann-Nagele N, <i>et al.</i> Spike Protein Antibodies Mediate the Apparent Correlation between SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid Antibodies and Neutralization Test Results. <i>Microbiology Spectrum</i> 2021; 9 . doi:10.1128/SPECTRUM.00218-21
636 637 638	10	Maggi F, Matsunaga H, Takeuchi H, <i>et al.</i> Waning of Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike Antibody Levels 100 to 200 Days after the Second Dose of the BNT162b2 Vaccine. <i>Vaccines</i> 2022; 10 :177. doi:10.3390/VACCINES10020177
639 640 641	11	Pegu A, O'Connell SE, Schmidt SD, <i>et al.</i> Durability of mRNA-1273 vaccine–induced antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 variants. <i>Science</i> 2021; 373 :1372–7. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.ABJ4176
642 643 644	12	Pouwels KB, Pritchard E, Matthews PC, <i>et al.</i> Effect of Delta variant on viral burden and vaccine effectiveness against new SARS-CoV-2 infections in the UK. <i>Nature Medicine</i> 2021;:1–9. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01548-7
645 646 647 648 649 650	13	UKHSA. SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England. Technical briefing: Update on hospitalisation and vaccine effectiveness for Omicron VOC- 21NOV-01 (B.1.1.529). 2021. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_dat a/file/1044481/Technical-Briefing-31-Dec-2021-Omicron_severity_update.pdf (accessed 5 Jan 2022).
651 652 653 654	14	Bernal JL, Andrews N, Gower C, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of the Pfizer-BioNTech and Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccines on covid-19 related symptoms, hospital admissions, and mortality in older adults in England: test negative case-control study. <i>BMJ</i> 2021; 373 . doi:10.1136/BMJ.N1088
655 656 657	15	Collie S, Champion J, Moultrie H, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of BNT162b2 Vaccine against Omicron Variant in South Africa. <i>The New England Journal of Medicine</i> 2021; 386 :494–6. doi:10.1056/NEJMC2119270
658 659 660	16	Yu X, Wei D, Xu W, <i>et al.</i> Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant to antibody neutralization elicited by booster vaccination. <i>Cell Discovery</i> 2022; 8 :1–4. doi:10.1038/s41421-022-00375-5
661 662 663	17	Nemet I, Kliker L, Lustig Y, <i>et al.</i> Third BNT162b2 Vaccination Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Infection. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> 2022; 386 :492–4. doi:10.1056/NEJMC2119358
664 665 666	18	Cheng SMS, Mok CKP, Leung YWY, <i>et al.</i> Neutralizing antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant BA.1 following homologous and heterologous CoronaVac or BNT162b2 vaccination. <i>Nature Medicine</i> 2022; 28 :486–9. doi:10.1038/s41591-022-01704-7
667 668	19	Goldblatt D, Alter G, Crotty S, <i>et al.</i> Correlates of protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease. <i>Immunological Reviews</i> 2022; 310 :6–26. doi:10.1111/IMR.13091
669 670 671	20	Perry J, Osman S, Wright J, <i>et al.</i> Does a humoral correlate of protection exist for SARS-CoV-2? A systematic review. <i>PLOS ONE</i> 2022; 17 :e0266852. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0266852
672 673 674	21	Gilbert PB, Montefiori DC, McDermott AB, <i>et al.</i> Immune correlates analysis of the mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine efficacy clinical trial. <i>Science</i> 2022; 375 :43–50. doi:10.1126/science.abm3425
675 676	22	Goldblatt D, Fiore-Gartland A, Johnson M, <i>et al.</i> Towards a population-based threshold of protection for COVID-19 vaccines. <i>Vaccine</i> 2022; 40 :306–15.

677		doi:10.1016/J.VACCINE.2021.12.006
678 679 680	23	Feng S, Phillips DJ, White T, <i>et al.</i> Correlates of protection against symptomatic and asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. <i>Nature Medicine</i> 2021; 27 :2032–40. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01540-1
681 682 683	24	Dimeglio C, Herin F, Martin-Blondel G, <i>et al.</i> Antibody titers and protection against a SARS-CoV-2 infection. <i>Journal of Infection</i> 2022; 84 :248–88. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2021.09.013
684 685 686	25	Dimeglio C, Migueres M, Bouzid N, <i>et al.</i> Antibody Titers and Protection against Omicron (BA.1 and BA.2) SARS-CoV-2 Infection. <i>Vaccines</i> 2022; 10 :1548. doi:10.3390/vaccines10091548
687 688 689	26	Khoury DS, Cromer D, Reynaldi A, <i>et al.</i> Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. <i>Nature Medicine</i> 2021; 27 :1205–11. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01377-8
690 691 692	27	Parry H, McIlroy G, Bruton R, <i>et al.</i> Antibody responses after first and second Covid-19 vaccination in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. <i>Blood Cancer Journal</i> 2021; 11 :1–8. doi:10.1038/s41408-021-00528-x
693 694 695 696	28	Monin L, Laing AG, Muñoz-Ruiz M, <i>et al.</i> Safety and immunogenicity of one versus two doses of the COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b2 for patients with cancer: interim analysis of a prospective observational study. <i>The Lancet Oncology</i> 2021; 22 :765–78. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00213-8
697 698 699 700	29	Kearns P, Siebert S, Willicombe M, <i>et al.</i> Examining the Immunological Effects of COVID- 19 Vaccination in Patients with Conditions Potentially Leading to Diminished Immune Response Capacity – The OCTAVE Trial. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> Published Online First: 25 August 2021. doi:10.2139/SSRN.3910058
701 702 703	30	COVID-19: guidance on protecting people defined on medical grounds as extremely vulnerable - GOV.UK. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/guidance-on-shielding-and-protecting-extremely-vulnerable-persons-from-covid-19 (accessed 18 Mar 2022).
704 705	31	Verdi S, Abbasian G, Bowyer RCE, et al. TwinsUK: The UK Adult Twin Registry Update. Twin Research and Human Genetics 2019; 22 :523–9. doi:10.1017/thg.2019.65
706 707 708	32	Fraser A, Macdonald-wallis C, Tilling K, <i>et al.</i> Cohort Profile: The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> 2013; 42 :97–110. doi:10.1093/IJE/DYS066
709 710 711	33	Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, <i>et al.</i> Cohort Profile: The 'Children of the 90s'—the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> 2013; 42 :111–27. doi:10.1093/IJE/DYS064
712 713	34	Shielded Patient List - NHS Digital. https://digital.nhs.uk/coronavirus/shielded-patient-list (accessed 29 Nov 2021).
714 715	35	COVID-19 – high risk shielded patient list identification methodology - NHS Digital. https://digital.nhs.uk/coronavirus/shielded-patient-list/methodology (accessed 7 Feb 2022).
716 717 718 719	36	Walls AC, Sprouse KR, Bowen JE, <i>et al.</i> SARS-CoV-2 breakthrough infections elicit potent, broad, and durable neutralizing antibody responses. <i>Cell</i> 2022; 0 . doi:10.1016/J.CELL.2022.01.011/ATTACHMENT/890DA535-C202-4F23-9134-9774B8AE7BA1/MMC3.PDF
720 721 722 723	37	Bates TA, McBride SK, Leier HC, <i>et al.</i> Vaccination before or after SARS-CoV-2 infection leads to robust humoral response and antibodies that effectively neutralize variants. <i>Science Immunology</i> Published Online First: 25 January 2022. doi:10.1126/SCIIMMUNOL.ABN8014

724 38 Katikireddi SV, Cerqueira-Silva T, Vasileiou E, et al. Two-dose ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 725 vaccine protection against COVID-19 hospital admissions and deaths over time: a 726 retrospective, population-based cohort study in Scotland and Brazil. The Lancet 727 2022;399:25-35. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02754-9/ATTACHMENT/A1877E4C-DF65-4948-8443-598AB4AE789A/MMC2.PDF 728 729 39 Stouten V, Hubin P, Haarhuis F, et al. Incidence and Risk Factors of COVID-19 Vaccine 730 Breakthrough Infections: A Prospective Cohort Study in Belgium. Viruses 2022;14:802. 731 doi:10.3390/V14040802 732 40 Menni C, May A, Polidori L, et al. COVID-19 vaccine waning and effectiveness and side-733 effects of boosters: a prospective community study from the ZOE COVID Study. The Lancet 734 Infectious Diseases 2022;0. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00146-3 735 41 Griffith GJ, Morris TT, Tudball MJ, et al. Collider bias undermines our understanding of 736 COVID-19 disease risk and severity. *Nature Communications* 2020;11:1–12. 737 doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19478-2 738 42 Munafò MR, Tilling K, Taylor AE, et al. Collider scope: when selection bias can 739 substantially influence observed associations. International Journal of Epidemiology 740 2018;47:226-35. doi:10.1093/IJE/DYX206 741 43 Fernández-Sanlés A, Smith D, Clayton GL, et al. Bias from questionnaire invitation and 742 response in COVID-19 research: an example using ALSPAC. Wellcome Open Research 743 2021;6:184. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17041.1 744 44 Topriceanu CC, Wong A, Moon JC, et al. Evaluating access to health and care services 745 during lockdown by the COVID-19 survey in five UK national longitudinal studies. BMJ 746 Open 2021;11:e045813. doi:10.1136/BMJOPEN-2020-045813 747 45 Suthahar A, Sharma P, Hart D, et al. TwinsUK COVID-19 personal experience 748 questionnaire (CoPE): wave 1 data capture April-May 2020. Wellcome Open Research 749 2021;6:123. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16671.1 750 46 Northstone K, Howarth S, Smith D, et al. The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and 751 Children - A resource for COVID-19 research: Questionnaire data capture April-May 2020. 752 Wellcome Open Research 2020;5:127. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16020.2 753 47 Northstone K, Smith D, Bowring C, et al. The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and 754 Children - A resource for COVID-19 research: Questionnaire data capture May-July 2020. 755 Wellcome Open Research 2020;5:210. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16225.2 756 48 Northstone K, Mummé M, Mitchell R, et al. The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and 757 Children - a resource for COVID-19 research: approaches to the identification of cases 758 November 2020. Wellcome Open Research 2021;6:122. 759 doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16808.1 760 49 Smith D, Bowring C, Wells N, et al. The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children -761 A resource for COVID-19 research: Questionnaire data capture November 2020 – March 762 2021. Wellcome Open Research 2021;6:155. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16950.2 763 50 COVID-19 Longitudinal Health and Wellbeing National Core Study - UCL – University 764 College London. https://www.ucl.ac.uk/covid-19-longitudinal-health-wellbeing/ (accessed 21 Mar 2022). 765 766 51 Lee PH, Guyatt AL, John C, et al. Extended Cohort for E-health, Environment and DNA 767 (EXCEED) COVID-19 focus. Wellcome Open Research 2021 6:349 2021;6:349. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17437.1 768 769 Major-Smith D, Matthews S, Breeze T, et al. The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and 52 770 Children - A resource for COVID-19 research: Antibody testing results, April – June 2021. 771 Wellcome Open Research 2022:6:283. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17294.2

772 773	53	Elecsys® Anti-SARS-CoV-2. https://diagnostics.roche.com/gb/en/products/params/elecsys- anti-sars-cov-2.html (accessed 29 Nov 2021).
774 775 776 777	54	NIBSC. WHO International Standard: First WHO International Standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin (human) NIBSC code: 20/136 Instructions for use (Version 2.0, Dated 17/12/2020). 2020. http://www.nibsc.org/standardisation/international_standards.aspx (accessed 29 Nov 2021).
778 779 780	55	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim Guidelines for COVID-19 Antibody Testing CDC. 2021.https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/resources/antibody- tests-guidelines.html (accessed 6 Jul 2021).
781 782 783	56	English indices of deprivation 2019 - GOV.UK. https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2019 (accessed 29 Nov 2021).
784 785 786	57	Northern Ireland Multiple Deprivation Measure 2017 (NIMDM2017) Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency. https://www.nisra.gov.uk/statistics/deprivation/northern-ireland-multiple-deprivation-measure-2017-nimdm2017 (accessed 29 Nov 2021).
787 788 789	58	Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation 2020 - gov.scot. https://www.gov.scot/collections/scottish-index-of-multiple-deprivation-2020/ (accessed 29 Nov 2021).
790 791 792	59	Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation (full Index update with ranks): 2019 GOV.WALES. https://gov.wales/welsh-index-multiple-deprivation-full-index-update-ranks-2019 (accessed 29 Nov 2021).
793 794	60	Rural Urban Classification - GOV.UK. https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/rural- urban-classification (accessed 15 Mar 2022).
795 796	61	Searle SD, Mitnitski A, Gahbauer EA, <i>et al.</i> A standard procedure for creating a frailty index. <i>BMC Geriatrics</i> 2008; 8 :1–10. doi:10.1186/1471-2318-8-24
797 798 799	62	Raîche M, Hébert R, Dubois MF. PRISMA-7: A case-finding tool to identify older adults with moderate to severe disabilities. <i>Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics</i> 2008;47:9–18. doi:10.1016/J.ARCHGER.2007.06.004
800 801	63	Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. <i>Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica</i> 1983; 67 :361–70. doi:10.1111/J.1600-0447.1983.TB09716.X
802 803 804	64	Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, <i>et al.</i> A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. <i>Archives of internal medicine</i> 2006; 166 :1092–7. doi:10.1001/ARCHINTE.166.10.1092
805 806	65	Turner N, Joinson C, Peters TJ, <i>et al.</i> Validity of the Short Mood and feelings questionnaire in late adolescence. <i>Psychological Assessment</i> 2014; 26 :752–62. doi:10.1037/A0036572
807 808 809	66	Mann HB, Whitney DR. On a Test of Whether one of Two Random Variables is Stochastically Larger than the Other. <i>The Annals of Mathematical Statistics</i> 1947; 18 :50–60. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177730491
810 811	67	Mann HB. Nonparametric Tests Against Trend. <i>Econometrica</i> 1945; 13 :245. doi:10.2307/1907187
812	68	Kendall M. Rank correlation measures. Charles Griffin 1975.
813 814 815	69	Hayes AF, Cai L. Using heteroskedasticity-consistent standard error estimators in OLS regression: An introduction and software implementation. <i>Behavior Research Methods</i> 2007; 39 :709–22. doi:10.3758/BF03192961
816 817 818	70	statsmodels.regression.linear_model.OLSResults.get_robustcov_results — statsmodels. https://www.statsmodels.org/stable/generated/statsmodels.regression.linear_model.OLSResu lts.get_robustcov_results.html (accessed 29 Nov 2021). 27

819 820	71	Farbmacher H, Kögel H. Testing under a special form of heteroscedasticity. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> 2016; 24 :264–8. doi:10.1080/13504851.2016.1181827
821 822 823	72	Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. <i>Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B</i> (<i>Methodological</i>) 1995; 57 :289–300. doi:10.1111/J.2517-6161.1995.TB02031.X
824 825	73	Carlin JB, Gurrin LC, Sterne JA, <i>et al.</i> Regression models for twin studies: a critical review. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> 2005; 34 :1089–99. doi:10.1093/IJE/DYI153
826	74	Van Rossum G, Drake FL. Python 3 Reference Manual. 2009.
827 828	75	R.Core.Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2021.https://www.r-project.org/
829 830 831 832	76	Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, <i>et al.</i> Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. <i>Journal of Biomedical Informatics</i> 2009; 42 :377–81. doi:10.1016/J.JBI.2008.08.010
833 834	77	Explore data and samples Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children University of Bristol. http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/ (accessed 29 Mar 2022).
835		

837 Acknowledgements

838 We are grateful to participants from all studies for participating in testing and completing

questionnaires during the COVID-19 pandemic and thank colleagues (TwinsUK: Gulsah Akdag,

- 840 Andy Anastasiou, Julia Brown, Rachel Horsfall, Genevieve Lachance, Ayrun Nessa, Timothy
- 841 Spector, Dovile Vaitkute, Sam Wadge, Sivasubramaniam Rajan Wignarajah, Darioush Yarand.

842 ALSPAC: Amy-Louise Gordon, Alix Groom, Nicholas Wells, Hannah Woodward) for

coordinating and undertaking data collections and visits during the COVID-19 pandemic.

844 We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help

- in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and
- laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists andnurses.
- NJT is a Wellcome Trust Investigator (202802/Z/16/Z), is the PI of the Avon Longitudinal Study of

Parents and Children (MRC & WT 217065/Z/19/Z), is supported by the University of Bristol

- 850 NIHR Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-2001), the MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit
- 851 (MC UU 00011/1) and works within the CRUK Integrative Cancer Epidemiology Programme
- 852 (C18281/A29019). MK is supported by the Medical Research Council (MR/W021315/1). AK is
- supported by Characterisation, determinants, mechanisms and consequences of the long-term
- effects of COVID-19: providing the evidence base for health care services (CONVALESCENCE)
- funded by NIHR (COV-LT-0009). SVK acknowledges funding from an NRS Senior Clinical
- Fellowship (SCAF/15/02), the Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00022/2) and the Scottish
- 857 Government Chief Scientist Office (SPHSU17). OKLH is supported by the Medical Research
- 858 Council (MC_UU_12017/11 and MC_UU_00022/3) and the Scottish Government Chief Scientist
- 859 Office (SPHSU17). This publication is the work of the authors and NJC, NJT and CJS serve as
- guarantors for the contents of this paper.
- 861
- 862
- 863

864 Conflict of interest statement

- All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at
- 866 <u>www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf</u>.
- 867 Claire Steves received payment for consultancy work for Zoe Ltd. The author has no other
- 868 competing interests to declare.

- 869 Milla Kibble received payment for attending the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) symposium.
- 870 The author has no other competing interests to declare.
- 871 Charis Bridger Staatz received an ESRC and NIHR funded Grant, and MRC Funded Studentship.
- 872 The author has no other competing interests to declare.
- 873 Srinivasa Vittal Katikireddi participates on the Scottish Government Expert Reference Group on
- 874 Ethnicity and COVID-19, and UK Scientific Advisory Group on Emergencies (SAGE) subgroup
- on Ethnicity. The author has no other competing interests to declare.
- 876 Maria Paz Garcia is a member of the King's College London Health Faculties Research Ethics
- 877 Subcommittee (Purple), and a Chair of the TwinsUK Volunteer Advisory Panel. The author has no
- 878 other competing interests to declare.
- 879 Nishi Chaturvedi received payment for clinical trials of a diabetes drug from AstraZeneca. Nishi
- 880 Chaturvedi is Chair of British Heart Foundation Fellowships Committee, a member of Diabetes UK

research committee and a member of NWO Gravitational Awards Committee. The author has no

- 882 other competing interests to declare.
- Financial support for authors from organisations is also detailed in the funding section of the
- abstract. All remaining authors declare: no financial relationships with any commercial entities that
- 885 might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or
- activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.
- 887

888 Ethics statement

- 889 The ethics statements for each of the longitudinal studies involved in this study are outlined below.
- 890 TwinsUK: All waves of TwinsUK have received ethical approval associated with TwinsUK
- 891 Biobank (19/NW/0187), TwinsUK (EC04/015) or Healthy Ageing Twin Study (H.A.T.S)
- 892 (07/H0802/84) studies from HRA/NHS Research Ethics Committees. The TwinsUK Resource
- 893 Executive Committee (TREC) oversees management, data sharing and collaborations involving the
- 894 TwinsUK registry (for further details see https://twinsuk.ac.uk/resources-for-researchers/access-
- 895 <u>our-data/</u>), in consultation with the TwinsUK Volunteer Advisory Panel (VAP) where needed.
- 896 ALSPAC: Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law
- 897 Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees. Informed consent for the use of data
- 898 collected via questionnaires and clinics was obtained from participants following the
- 899 recommendations of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at the time. Consent for biological
- samples has been collected in accordance with the Human Tissue Act (2004).

- 901 USoc: The University of Essex Ethics Committee has approved all data collection for the
- 902 Understanding Society main study and COVID-19 web and telephone surveys (ETH1920-1271).
- 903 The March 2021 web survey was reviewed and ethics approval granted by the NHS Health
- 904 Research Authority, London City & East Research Ethics Committee (reference 21/HRA/0644).
- 905 No additional ethical approval was necessary for this secondary data analysis.
- 906 1958 NCDS, 1970 BCS70, Next Steps, MCS: The most recent sweeps of 1958 NCDS, 1970 BCS,
- 907 Next Steps and MCS have all been granted ethical approval by the National Health Service (NHS)
- 908 Research Ethics Committee and all participants have given informed consent.
- 909 ELSA: Waves 1-9 of ELSA were approved by the London Multicentre Research Ethics Committee
- 910 (approval number MREC/01/2/91), and the COVID-19 sub-study was approved by the University
- 911 College London Research Ethics Committee (0017/003). All participants provided informed
- 912 consent.
- 913 1946 NSHD: Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the NHS Research Ethics
- 914 Committee (19/LO/1774). All participants provided informed consent.
- 915 SABRE: Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the NHS Research Ethics Committee
- 916 (19/LO/1774). All participants provided informed consent.
- 917 EXCEED: The original EXCEED study was approved by the Leicester Central Research Ethics
- 918 Committee (Ref: 13/EM/0226). Substantial amendments have been approved by the same Research
- 919 Ethics Committee for the collection of new data relating to the COVID-19 pandemic, including the
- 920 COVID-19 questionnaires and antibody testing.
- 921
- 922

923 Additional information

- 924 **Supplementary information** is provided as additional files.
- 925 **Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Nathan Cheetham, Claire
- 926 Steves and Nicholas Timpson.

Figures & Tables

Table 2. **Sample characteristics.** Antibody level values and characteristics for TwinsUK and ALSPAC individuals sampled in Q2 and Q4 antibody collections. Individuals are stratified by vaccination status at time of sampling. Data shown for individuals sampled at least 4 weeks after first vaccination, and at least 2 weeks after second or third

stratified by vaccination status at time of sampling. Data shown for individuals sampled at least 4 weeks after first vaccination, and at least 2 weeks after second or third vaccination to allow time for antibody generation. The anti-Spike antibody level assay range is 0.4 to 250 BAU/mL for Q2 results and 0.4 to 25,000 BAU/mL for Q4 results, with a positive threshold of 0.8 BAU/mL. Categories with fewer than 5 individuals are suppressed.

Cohort				TwinsUK			ALSPAC				
Testing period	Q2	Q4		Q2		Q4		Q2			
			Not	Single-	Double-	Double-	Triple-		Not	Single-	Double-
	All	All	vaccinate	vaccinate	vaccinate	vaccinate	vaccinate	All	vaccinate	vaccinate	vaccinate
Vaccination status	results	results	d	d	d	d	d	results	d	d	d
n	4256	3575	330	1375	748	691	1937	1779	36	1459	284
	63.0	63.0						60.0	57.5		
Age (years): Median	(49.0,	(51.0,	38.0 (31.0,	63.0 (56.0,	70.0 (56.0,	49.0 (38.0,	69.0 (60.0,	(57.0,	(52.75,	60.0 (57.0,	59.0 (56.0,
(IQR)	72.0)	72.0)	44.0)	69.0)	77.0)	59.0)	74.0)	62.0)	62.25)	63.0)	61.0)
	518/4255	447/3574	48/330	178/1375	88/748	103/691	225/1937	451/1779	8/36	397/1459	46/284
Sex: Male, n (%)	(12.2%)	(12.5%)	(14.5%)	(12.9%)	(11.8%)	(14.9%)	(11.6%)	(25.4%)	(22.2%)	(27.2%)	(16.2%)
Ethnicity: Other than	118/4219	96/3536	16/329	29/1368	19/739	26/686	39/1914	26/1779		20/1459	5/284
White, n (%)	(2.8%)	(2.7%)	(4.9%)	(2.1%)	(2.6%)	(3.8%)	(2.0%)	(1.5%)	< 5	(1.4%)	(1.8%)
	24.75	24.76	22.72	24.86	24.99	23.91	24.87	25.7	25.63	25.71	25.65
	(22.15,	(22.2,	(20.94,	(22.27,	(22.23,	(21.62,	(22.3,	(23.23,	(23.13,	(23.25,	(23.02,
BMI: Median (IQR)	27.99)	27.98)	25.42)	28.28)	28.07)	27.58)	27.87)	28.7)	28.04)	28.77)	28.6)
Advised on "Shielded	341/4109	279/3530	8/329	82/1374	86/748	23/691	190/1936	67/1754		45/1443	22/276
Patient List": Yes, n (%)	(8.3%)	(7.9%)	(2.4%)	(6.0%)	(11.5%)	(3.3%)	(9.8%)	(3.8%)	< 5	(3.1%)	(8.0%)
Self-rated health: Poor,	357/4082	290/3407	15/316	134/1364	60/737	42/656	168/1871	167/1778		137/1459	28/283
Fair, n (%)	(8.7%)	(8.5%)	(4.7%)	(9.8%)	(8.1%)	(6.4%)	(9.0%)	(9.4%)	< 5	(9.4%)	(9.9%)
Zygosity: Monozygotic, n	2722/425	2280/357	248/328	883/1375	459/748	490/689	1170/1937				
(%)	3 (64.0%)	3 (63.8%)	(75.6%)	(64.2%)	(61.4%)	(71.1%)	(60.4%)	-	-	-	-
Anti-Spike antibody level	80.78	10403.0		53.3	250.0	1317.0	13694.0	58.93		43.42	250.0
value (BAU/mL): Median	(18.55,	(3510.0,	0.4 (0.4,	(22.72,	(250.0,	(337.0,	(8153.0,	(21.25,	10.53 (0.4,	(17.98,	(250.0,
(IQR)	250.0)	20224.0)	0.4)	121.2)	250.0)	5202.5)	23543.0)	247.5)	48.69)	106.65)	250.0)
Anti-Spike antibody	3372/391	3423/344	79/330	1357/1375	745/748	690/691	1936/1937	1745/177	23/36	1440/1459	282/284
status: Positive, n (%)	2 (86.2%)	5 (99.4%)	(23.9%)	(98.7%)	(99.6%)	(99.9%)	(99.9%)	9 (98.1%)	(63.9%)	(98.7%)	(99.3%)

Anti-Nucleocapsid											
antibody status, Q2:	460/3893	333/2887	60/329	156/1368	87/743	85/565	160/1624	167/1757		133/1438	31/283
Positive, n (%)	(11.8%)	(11.5%)	(18.2%)	(11.4%)	(11.7%)	(15.0%)	(9.9%)	(9.5%)	< 5	(9.2%)	(11.0%)
Anti-Nucleocapsid											
antibody status, Q4:	524/2998	618/3447	80/290	197/1130	95/602	179/691	263/1937				
Positive, n (%)	(17.5%)	(17.9%)	(27.6%)	(17.4%)	(15.8%)	(25.9%)	(13.6%)	-	-	-	-
Weeks since first		42.0									
vaccination: Median	10.0 (6.0,	(38.0,	-5.0 (-8.0,	8.0 (6.0,						6.0 (5.0,	
(IQR)	12.0)	45.0)	-3.0)	9.0)	-	-	-	-	-	8.0)	-
First vaccination received:											
AZD1222 (Oxford/AZ), n	2124/359	1980/337	70/275	1103/1374						1235/1459	
(%)	1 (59.1%)	8 (58.6%)	(25.5%)	(80.3%)	-	-	-	-	-	(84.6%)	-
First vaccination received:										/	
BNT162b2 (Pfizer	1410/359	1336/337	170/275	266/1374						224/1459	
BioNTech), n (%)	1 (39.3%)	8 (39.6%)	(61.8%)	(19.4%)	-	_	-	-	-	(15.4%)	-
Weeks since second		32.0								/	
vaccination: Median	-1.0 (-4.0,	(28.0.			3.0 (2.0,	25.0 (20.0.	33.0 (31.0.				4.0 (2.0,
(IOR)	2.0)	34.0)	-	-	5.0)	28.0)	35.0)	-	-	-	6.0)
Second vaccination											
received: AZD1222	1858/326	1888/327			212/748	411/691	1065/1934				50/284
(Oxford/AZ), n(%)	6 (56.9%)	5 (57.6%)	-	-	(28.3%)	(59.5%)	(55.1%)	-	-	-	(17.6%)
Second vaccination											
received: BNT162b2	1357/326	1330/327			532/748	241/691	858/1934				234/284
(Pfizer BioNTech), n (%)	6 (41.5%)	5 (40.6%)	-	-	(71.1%)	(34.9%)	(44.4%)	-	-	-	(82.4%)
Weeks since third	-28.0 (-						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
vaccination: Median	30.0, -	5.0 (3.0,					5.0 (4.0,				
(IOR)	26.0)	7.0)	-	-	-	-	8.0)	-	-	-	-
Third vaccination							,				
received: mRNA-1273	293/2149	337/2400					203/1903				
(Moderna), n (%)	(13.6%)	(14.0%)	-	-	-	-	(10.7%)	-	-	-	-
Third vaccination							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
received: BNT162b2	1828/214	2026/240					1677/1903				
(Pfizer BioNTech), n (%)	9 (85.1%)	0 (84.4%)	-	-	-	-	(88.1%)	-	-	-	-
SARS-CoV-2 infection	()	x									
status (serology-based) at	891/4190	977/3561	98/330	304/1375	157/748	245/691	464/1937	187/1757	23/36	133/1438	31/283
time of antibody testing:	(21.3%)	(27.4%)	(29.7%)	(22.1%)	(21.0%)	(35.5%)	(24.0%)	(10.6%)	(63.9%)	(9.2%)	(11.0%)

Evidence of natural											
infection, n (%)											
SARS-CoV-2 infection											
status (self-reported), Q2:	477/4092	399/3428	35/320	183/1365	67/739	81/662	197/1882	302/1675	5/33	240/1374	57/268
Suspected case, n (%)	(11.7%)	(11.6%)	(10.9%)	(13.4%)	(9.1%)	(12.2%)	(10.5%)	(18.0%)	(15.2%)	(17.5%)	(21.3%)
SARS-CoV-2 infection											
status (self-reported), Q2:	597/4092	492/3428	57/320	218/1365	112/739	107/662	256/1882	40/1675		29/1374	11/268
Confirmed case, n (%)	(14.6%)	(14.4%)	(17.8%)	(16.0%)	(15.2%)	(16.2%)	(13.6%)	(2.4%)	< 5	(2.1%)	(4.1%)
SARS-CoV-2 infection											
status (self-reported), Q4:	478/4134	404/3543	34/330	183/1375	70/748	78/691	204/1936				
Suspected case, n (%)	(11.6%)	(11.4%)	(10.3%)	(13.3%)	(9.4%)	(11.3%)	(10.5%)	-	-	-	-
SARS-CoV-2 infection											
status (self-reported), Q4:	817/4134	751/3543	92/330	306/1375	145/748	202/691	357/1936				
Confirmed case, n (%)	(19.8%)	(21.2%)	(27.9%)	(22.3%)	(19.4%)	(29.2%)	(18.4%)	-	-	-	-



37	Figure 1. Anti-Spike antibody levels stratified by cohort and vaccination status at Q2 and Q4 antibody testing. Dot and box plots showing distribution of anti-Spike
38	antibody levels within ALSPAC and TwinsUK, for those not vaccinated or individuals single-, double- or triple-vaccinated at time of sampling. Data shown for individuals
39	sampled at least 4 weeks after first vaccination, and at least 2 weeks after second or third vaccination to allow time for antibody generation. Length of box plot whiskers are
40	limited to 1.5 times the interquartile range. Red lines show 10 th percentile levels. Assay upper limit is shown by black dotted lines, with 0.4 to 250 BAU/mL range for Q2
41	results and 0.4 to 25,000 BAU/mL for Q4 results, with a positive threshold of 0.8 BAU/mL. Percentage of values above assay upper limit is given on right side of plots.





945 Figure 2. Anti-Spike antibody levels versus time since most recent vaccination, stratified by cohort and 946 vaccination status at Q2 and Q4 antibody testing. Dot and box plots showing distribution of anti-Spike 947 (anti-S) antibody levels within unvaccinated, single-, double- and triple-vaccinated individuals within 948 ALSPAC (Q2 testing) and TwinsUK (Q2 and Q4 testing), plotted against the number of weeks since most 949 recent vaccination at time of sampling. Length of box plot whiskers are limited to 1.5 times the interquartile range. Red lines show 10th percentile levels. Assay upper limit is shown by black dotted lines, with 0.4 to 950 951 250 BAU/mL range for Q2 results and 0.4 to 25,000 BAU/mL for Q4 results, with a positive threshold of 0.8 952 BAU/mL. X-axes are limited to weeks with results for 5 or more individuals, noting TwinsUK Q4 second 953 vaccination sub-plot begins at 13 weeks since vaccination.

Table 3. Association between post-vaccination infection and anti-Spike antibody levels within

955 956 **TwinsUK.** Logistic regression model results, testing association between post-vaccination infection and Q2 anti-Spike antibody levels in single-vaccinated individuals within TwinsUK. Reference category was a Q2

antibody level in quintile 5 (highest 20%). Results present odds ratios, unadjusted 95% confidence intervals,

and p-values adjusted for multiple testing.

	Post- vaccination infection incidence	Unadjusted OR (95%-CI),	Adjusted for: Weeks since vaccination OR (95%-CI), p-	Adjusted for: Age, Sex, Weeks since vaccination OR (95%-CI), p-
Q2 Antibody level	rate (%)	p-value	value	value
Quintile 1 (lowest 20%):	32/233	3.23 (1.58-6.58),	2.85 (1.39-5.86), p =	2.93 (1.42-6.04), p
0.4-18 BAU/mL	(13.7%)	p = 0.009	0.03	= 0.02
Quintile 2: 18-40	20/226	1.97 (0.92-4.21),	2.04 (0.94-4.43), p =	2.15 (0.99-4.68), p
BAU/mL	(8.8%)	p = 0.11	0.08	= 0.06
Quintile 3: 40-73	21/239	1.95 (0.92-4.15),	2.26 (1.04-4.92), p =	2.41 (1.11-5.27), p
BAU/mL	(8.8%)	p = 0.11	0.06	= 0.04
Quintile 4: 73-164	21/230	2.04 (0.96-4.33),	2.39 (1.10-5.22), p =	2.55 (1.17-5.58), p
BAU/mL	(9.1%)	p = 0.11	0.06	= 0.04
Quintile 5 (highest				
20%): ≥ 164 BAU/mL	11/234			
(reference)	(4.7%)	1.00	1.00	1.00



967	Figure 3. Associations with low relative anti-Spike antibody levels within TwinsUK and ALSPAC.
968	Odds ratios with unadjusted 95% confidence intervals for selected exposure variables, testing associations
969	with low anti-Spike antibody levels, for sub-samples of TwinsUK (purple circles) and ALSPAC (red
970	diamonds) individuals tested in Q2 or Q4, while single-, double- or triple- vaccinated. Low antibody levels
971	were defined as the lowest 10% within the given sub-sample, except for ALSPAC and TwinsUK Q2 double-
972	vaccinated sub-samples where lowest 8% is used due to assay upper limit. Each point estimate originates
973	from a distinct multivariate logistic regression model, including the exposure variable of interest and
974	adjustment variables of age, sex, name of most recent vaccine received and weeks since most recent
975	vaccination. Note x-axis ranges on subplots vary, and vaccine received panel uses a logarithmic x-axis. Odds
976	ratio = 1 is indicated with a dashed black line

978 Supplementary

979 Legends for figure supplements and supplementary files (tables):

980 Figure 1-figure supplement 1. Flow chart showing identification of analysis samples from Q2 antibody

testing within TwinsUK. The use of groups of individuals in various analyses is highlighted with symbols.

982 Unknown vaccination status included a small number of individuals with contradictory vaccination dates

983 (e.g., first vaccination dated after second vaccination), in addition to those who did not complete vaccination 984 status questions.

status questions.

Figure 1-figure supplement 2. Flow chart showing identification of analysis samples from Q4 antibody

testing within TwinsUK. The use of groups of individuals in various analyses is highlighted with symbols. Unknown vaccination status included a small number of individuals with contradictory vaccination dates

987 Unknown vaccination status included a small number of individuals with contradictory vaccination dates 988 (e.g., first vaccination dated after second vaccination), in addition to those who did not complete vaccination

989 status questions.

Figure 1-figure supplement 3. Flow chart showing identification of analysis samples from Q2 antibody

testing within ALSPAC. The use of groups of individuals in various analyses is highlighted with symbols.

992 Unknown vaccination status included a small number of individuals with contradictory vaccination dates

993 (e.g., first vaccination dated after second vaccination), in addition to those who did not complete vaccination 994 status questions.

994 status questions.

Figure 1-figure supplement 4. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection for serology-based and self-reported
 measures of infection, for all individuals sampled in TwinsUK Q4 antibody testing, overall and split by
 socio-demographic variables: age, sex, ethnicity, local area deprivation (IMD), and rural-urban classification.

998 Anti-N: Anti-Nucleocapsid.

999 Figure 3-figure supplement 1. Empirical cumulative distribution functions describing the difference in anti-

1000 Spike antibody levels after third SARS-CoV-2 vaccination within TwinsUK, with pair-differences calculated

1001 between all complete pairs of related monozygotic (MZ) twins, dizygotic (DZ) twins, and all combinations

1002 of non-related pairs.

1003

1004 Supplementary file 1. Information on origin of variables used in TwinsUK and ALSPAC analysis.

1005 Supplementary file 2. Anti-Spike antibody level values and characteristics for individuals from TwinsUK

- sampled in Q2 and Q4 antibody collections. Individuals are stratified by vaccination status at time of
- 1007 sampling. Data shown for individuals sampled at least 4 (2) weeks after first (second or third) vaccination.
- 1008 The antibody level assay range is 0.4 to 250 BAU/mL for Q2 results and 0.4 to 25,000 BAU/mL for Q4
- 1009 results, with a positive threshold of 0.8 BAU/mL. Categories with fewer than 5 individuals are suppressed.
- 1010 Supplementary file 3. SARS-CoV-2 infection prevalence rates, split by selected socio-demographic
- 1011 variables, for TwinsUK Q4 antibody testing participants. P-values are generated from chi-square test of

1012 independence on cross tabulation of counts for the socio-demographic variable of interest and all categories

- 1013 (including those not presented) of the SARS-CoV-2 infection variable.
- 1014 Supplementary file 4. Anti-Spike antibody levels and weeks since most recent vaccination within TwinsUK
- 1015 and ALSPAC individuals, stratified by vaccination status at Q2 and Q4 antibody testing, split by various
- 1016 variables. The antibody level assay range is 0.4 to 250 BAU/mL for Q2 results and 0.4 to 25,000 BAU/mL
- 1017 for Q4 results, with a positive threshold of 0.8 BAU/mL.
- 1018 Supplementary file 5. Descriptive statistics relating to post-vaccination infections within TwinsUK, within 1019 groups of individuals with varying vaccination status at Q2 and Q4 testing.
- 1020 Supplementary file 6. Logistic regression model results, testing for association between post-vaccination
- 1021 infection and socio-demographic, SARS-CoV-2 vaccination, and SARS-CoV-2 infection variables for
- 1022 TwinsUK individuals who participated in antibody testing at Q2 and one or both of Q4 antibody testing and
- 1023 Q4 questionnaire, who reported one or more vaccination reported by Q4. Results present odds ratios,

- 1024 unadjusted 95% confidence intervals, and p-values adjusted for multiple testing. Results based on fewer than
- 1025 3 individuals having post-vaccination infection are suppressed. Variables with adjusted p-values < 0.05 are
- 1026 highlighted in bold.

1027 Supplementary file 7. Logistic regression model results, testing for association with low anti-Spike antibody 1028 levels after first, second and third SARS-CoV-2 vaccination within TwinsUK and ALSPAC at Q2 or Q4

testing. Results present odds ratios, unadjusted 95% confidence intervals, and p-values adjusted for multiple

1030 testing. Results based on fewer than 3 individuals being in the low antibody level group are suppressed. Sets

1031 of adjustment variables included in addition to the exposure variable in each model were age, sex, most

1032 recent vaccine received and weeks since most recent vaccination, aside from cases where the effect of

1033 adjustment variables were themselves tested. In these cases, all other adjustment variables within the given

- 1034 set were included in addition to the adjustment variable being tested. Variables with adjusted p-values < 0.05
- are highlighted in bold.
- 1036 Supplementary file 8. Descriptive statistics of differences in anti-Spike antibody levels between pairs after
- 1037 third SARS-CoV-2 vaccination within TwinsUK. Pair-differences are calculated between all complete pairs
- 1038 of monozygotic (MZ) twins and/or dizygotic (DZ) twins, and all combinations of non-related pairs.

1039 Supplementary file 9. Results of generalised linear mixed effects models testing association with anti-Spike

1040 antibody levels after third SARS-CoV-2 vaccination within and between twin-pairs within TwinsUK.

1041 Coefficients with unadjusted 95% confidence intervals and unadjusted p-values are presented. Family

1042 structure is included as a random effect, allowing intercepts to vary between twin-pairs. Models are adjusted

1043 for age, sex, weeks since third vaccination, third vaccine received and serology-based infection status.

1044 Variables with (two-sided) p-values < 0.05 are highlighted in bold.



TwinsUK



ALSPAC





