



LEEDS
BECKETT
UNIVERSITY

Citation:

Asquith, SL and Wang, X and Quintana, DS and Abraham, A (2022) Correction to: The role of personality traits and leisure activities in predicting wellbeing in young people. BMC Psychology, 10. ISSN 2050-7283 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-022-01011-3>

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/9225/>

Document Version:

Article (Published Version)

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

© The Author(s) 2022.

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: The role of personality traits and leisure activities in predicting wellbeing in young people

Sarah L. Asquith^{1*}, Xu Wang¹, Daniel S. Quintana^{2,3,4} and Anna Abraham^{5,6}

Correction to: *BMC Psychology* (2022) 10:249

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-022-00954-x>

Following publication of the original article [1], some formatting and data entry errors were identified in Table 10, ‘Correlations between creativity and wellbeing variables’: The *p*-value for the correlation between overall originality and negative affect should not have been formatted in bold; The *p*-value for the correlation between OKC raw score and life satisfaction should not have been

in bold either; The correlation between OKC raw score and mental health should be -0.024 ; The correlation between negative affect and mental health should be -0.490 .

The table has been corrected in the original article, and a version of the table with the corrections highlighted can be seen in this erratum (Table 10).

The authors thank you for reading and apologise for any inconvenience caused.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-022-00954-x>.

*Correspondence: s.l.asquith@leedsbeckett.ac.uk

¹ School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Leeds Beckett University, City Campus, Leeds LS1 3HE, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Table 10 Correlations between creativity and wellbeing variables

Variable		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 Fluency	<i>p</i> value	1	.475 < .001	.874 < .001	.029	.565	.117	.043	.410
	BF ₁₀		> 100	> 100	0.08	0.21	0.09	7.68	0.02
2 Overall originality	<i>p</i> value	407	1	.654 < .001	-.099	.051	.450	.344	.641
	BF ₁₀			> 100	0.42	0.08	0.10	0.07	-.007
3 Peak originality	<i>p</i> value	407	407	1	-.026	.604	.194	.149	.004
	BF ₁₀				0.07	0.14	0.18	4.42	-.068
4 OKC raw score	<i>p</i> value	388	388	388	1	.021	.678	.975	.472
	BF ₁₀					0.07	0.07	0.09	-.024
5 Life satisfaction	<i>p</i> value	406	406	406	390	1	.615 < .001	< .001	< .001
	BF ₁₀						> 100	> 100	.633 > 100
6 Positive affect	<i>p</i> value	372	372	372	356	373	1	-.473 < .001	< .001
	BF ₁₀							> 100	.694 > 100
7 Negative affect	<i>p</i> value	372	372	372	356	373	374	1	-.490 < .001
	BF ₁₀								> 100
8 Mental health	<i>p</i> value	389	389	389	374	390	358	358	1
	BF ₁₀								

Notes: Correlation *r* values are shown above the diagonal, with the *p* values from the frequentist correlations, and the Bayes Factors from the Bayesian correlations. Correlations that have a *p* value < .05, and a BF₁₀ > 3 are indicated in bold. N is shown below the diagonal.

Author details

¹School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Leeds Beckett University, City Campus, Leeds LS1 3HE, UK. ²Norwegian Centre for Mental Disorders Research (NORMENT), Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital & Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. ³Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. ⁴NevSom, Department of Rare Disorders & Disabilities, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway. ⁵Department of Educational Psychology, Frances Early College of Education, University of Georgia, Athens, USA. ⁶Torrance Center of Creativity and Talent Development, Frances Early College of Education, University of Georgia, Athens, USA.

Published online: 23 December 2022

Reference

- Asquith SL, Wang X, Quintana DS, Abraham A. The role of personality traits and leisure activities in predicting wellbeing in young people. BMC Psychol. 2022;10:249. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-022-00954-x>.

Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.