

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Microbiome and -omics application in food industry

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1864783> since 2022-06-15T13:46:16Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2022.109781

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Microbiome and -omics application in food industry**

2 **Ilario Ferrocino*, Kalliopi Rantsiou and Luca Cocolin**

3 DISAFA-Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy

4 *Corresponding author: Ilario Ferrocino (Ilario.ferrocino@unito.it)

5

6

7

8 **ABSTRACT**

9 The enormous potential of multi-omics approaches to unravel microbiome-related links between food
10 quality, sustainability and safety still requires experimental work and extensive data integration to
11 increase knowledge and understand the biological and ecological processes involved in the assembly
12 and dynamics of microbial communities along the production chains. Data spanning from DNA
13 sequences to transcripts and metabolites need to be integrated in order to be translated at industrial
14 level and literature showed several successful examples. The application of microbiome studies in
15 food systems has shown the potential to improve food quality. Nevertheless, classical microbiological
16 methods are still highly relevant even if isolation and characterization of strains in pure culture is
17 often laborious and time-consuming and requires the use of several specific growth media that take
18 into the account microbial growth characteristics as well as food characteristics. Studies on
19 microbiomes has become a popular topic in the food industry since it can be used as a tool to improve
20 quality and safety in the food chain.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 **1. Introduction**

28 As recently re-defined, the term microbiome refers to “*the community of microorganisms and their*
29 *“theatre of activity” (structural elements, metabolites/signal molecules, and the surrounding*
30 *environmental conditions) in a defined habitat”*(Berg et al., 2020).

31 Microbiome theme in food systems has been identified as a key priority research area due to its
32 potential to improve safety, sustainability, production yield or to discover new strains, probiotics or
33 mobile genetic elements. A better knowledge of the microbiome resource is helping in precision food
34 system management not only at research level but especially at industrial level. Several European
35 projects are currently active in microbiome research along the food chain: CIRCLES
36 (<https://circlesproject.eu/>), HoloFood (<https://www.holofood.eu/>), MASTER (<https://www.master->
37 [h2020.eu/](https://www.master-h2020.eu/)), SIMBA (<https://simbaproject.eu/>) as well as MicrobiomeSupport
38 (<https://www.microbiomesupport.eu/>). The latter, supports the set-up of an internationally agreed
39 microbiome definition (Berg et al., 2020), best practices and standards (Ryan et al., 2021) as well as
40 tutoring public and stakeholders about microbiomes and microbiome applications (Schelkle and
41 Galland, 2020). As currently reviewed, microbiome-based applications are expected to be important
42 contributors to the global economy in the coming years, however an effort is needed in food science
43 to transit from observational to mechanistic studies (Meisner et al., 2022). The rapid development of
44 high throughput techniques in the last 20 years has improved the ability to characterize microbiomes
45 from complex food matrices. It is now common to apply two or more omics techniques in parallel,
46 referred to as multi-omics analysis (Dugourd et al., 2021) to decipher in depth the biological features
47 of the microbiome systems. In the last decades several authors successfully applied multi-omics
48 analysis in food microbiology. The application of two or even more omics techniques is needed to
49 move from theoretical conclusions to reliable and valuable results (Zapalska-Sozoniuk et al., 2019).
50 For example, the application of genomics and transcriptomics alone cannot fully depict the events
51 taking place within a cell; even when the information from DNA is transcribed to mRNA, proteins
52 may not be biologically active. In this light an appropriate study design plays a central role. Most of

53 the times, monetary resources are one of the determining factors that have an incidence on a
54 successful experiment. As a consequence, study design suffers from low number of samples collected
55 or biological replicates in favor of depth of information pursued (in terms of number of
56 sequences/metabolites detected). However, it has been recently reported that collecting more samples
57 with less depth (number of information obtained from each sample) enriches the value of a study
58 (Tripathi et al., 2018). Sampling depth and collection procedure are critical points when an -omics
59 platform is chosen since specific standard requirements characterize the different platforms. In this
60 light comparing targeted and untargeted techniques generates different considerations. Targeted
61 analysis includes the detection or quantification pre-defined analytical target that can be chemicals or
62 biologicals while the application of the untargeted is referred to a detection of several unspecified
63 analytes (Ballin and Laursen, 2019).

64 It is obvious that an untargeted technique (like DNAseq, shotgun proteomics or GC-MS-based
65 metabonomics approach) requires a lower number of samples but higher sampling depth (Pinu et al.,
66 2019). The development of several platforms for data integration is helping researchers to move from
67 a single -omics approach to applying different tools, since the basic requirements essential for
68 genomics are fully compatible with metabolomics, transcriptomics and proteomics (Pinu et al., 2019).
69 Simultaneous analysis of all aspects of a microbiome dataset must be generally considered a hopeless
70 task. So far, multi-omics studies in food science have been primarily applied to study fermented dairy
71 products followed by meat/meat products and vegetable-based foods.

72 Most of the studies (targeted or untargeted) can be applied for different purpose in food, such as:

- 73 i) Map the microbiome along the food chain
- 74 ii) Discover low abundance taxa or new taxa and microbial adaptation strategies
- 75 iii) Connect specific microbiome assets with the final food quality and safety
- 76 iv) Extend microbiome applications to the industry for actionable results
- 77 v) Microbial Risk assessment

78

79 2. Microbiome mapping

80 From a biological point of view, food microbiome can be considered part of the extended hologenome
81 of a human individual (Dunn et al., 2021) and needs to be deeply studied and characterized.
82 Metagenomics studies already confirmed the transmission of microbes from food products to gut
83 environments (Pasolli et al., 2020) and also showed their persistence in the human gut (Milani et al.,
84 2019) (**Figure 1**). Since thousands of microbes, microbes' metabolites and mobile genetic elements
85 (MGEs) are daily ingested with foods it is important to deeply characterize them and discover how a
86 particular biogeography can modify the autochthonous microbiome (**Figure 1**). Mapping the complex
87 microbial communities *in situ* with high taxonomic and spatial resolution is a main challenge due to
88 the high density and rich diversity of taxa (also at strain level) (Shi et al., 2020). Origins,
89 diversification, biodiversity and biogeography of foods' microbiome are becoming very relevant in
90 recent years. With high throughput techniques (metataxonomic amplicon sequencing as the most
91 applied) researchers have discovered vast and previously unrecognized ecological niches.
92 Environmental factors (microclimatic conditions, pH, a_w , availability of nutrients) determine what
93 kind of microbes can succeed in a particular place. This detailed analysis can also reveal how those
94 microbes can interact and work together (Woo, 2018). Mapping exercises are still a new research area
95 widely explored in humans (Huttenhower et al., 2012; Nash et al., 2017), soils (Thompson et al.,
96 2017) and environment (Danko et al., 2021), however few studies reported an in-depth mapping or
97 meta-analysis of foods and foodstuff. Food microbiota can originate from raw materials,
98 environment, from the exposure to human manipulation and is influenced from the geographical area
99 of cultivation/production (**Figure 1**). The mapping exercise to deeply characterize the food
100 microbiome is one of the key fundamental actions that needs to be performed. Mapping can offer
101 different possibilities in food microbiology, helps in microbe characterization and is essential in study
102 design. Maps of molecules, MGEs and microbes across different food ecosystems will fundamentally
103 transform the types of questions that can be asked of microbiome and metabolomics data. In this light
104 the application of several biostatistics tools can help identify dynamic networks of species

105 interactions as well as relevant functions. Among them, ordination methods (principal coordinates
106 analysis (PCoA)), gradient analysis (non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS)), dimensionality
107 reduction, co-occurrence and network diagrams (Tripathi et al., 2018) are valuable tools to be used
108 to resolve the degree of complexity of the microbiota. In food microbiology examples of extensive
109 mapping and data integration methods are currently available.

110 The mapping exercise approach has been recently applied to dairy products, where 184 cheese
111 samples were analyzed in depth coupling DNA-seq and metabolome analysis (Walsh et al., 2020).
112 By this mapping exercise the authors discovered new putative genomes (belonging to genera
113 associated with the rind) that display highest correlation with unpleasant molecules. Findings of this
114 type may help in designing strategies to control the microbiome during cheese production and obtain
115 desired final products. However, it should be point out that culture independent high throughput
116 techniques must be used with culture dependent in order to provided complementary information.
117 Sequencing technique may lead to possible biases deriving from DNA extraction, RNA quality, PCR
118 amplification steps, as well as the failure in discriminating between live or death cell. The presence
119 of all this unmeasured confounding factors cannot be excluded but can be solved by culturomics.

120 Several available online repository platforms offer the opportunity to collect high throughput
121 information on microbiome in different research areas. The Earth Microbiome Project (Thompson et
122 al., 2017) (available via QIITA website) contains a collection of more than 20.000 samples where
123 microbial genomes as well as global metabolic models can be extracted and then re-analyzed and
124 used in a comparative study or for meta-analysis purposes. In food microbiology, FoodMicrobionet
125 (Parente et al., 2019, 2016) and its extension DairyFoodMicrobionet
126 (<https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/3cwf729p34/4>) is one of the main examples of public repository.
127 It includes 180 studies and 10,155 samples belonging to 8 major food groups and can be considered
128 the largest database on bacteria communities based on amplicon sequencing dataset. The database
129 contains also information including pH, a_w , presence and/or concentration of preservatives and redox
130 potential value (Eh). Collectively these databases have enormous potential and allow microbial

131 information from a particular food/condition to be extracted and used for comparative, statistical and
132 graphical analysis. An example of the potential of this information is the analysis of spoilage-
133 associated core microbiota in meats, seafoods and their production environment that highlights a
134 common core shared between different food types and their environment in relation to the degree of
135 spoilage (De Filippis et al., 2018). It should be highlighted that researchers need to understand that
136 sharing datasets as well as associated metadata is fundamental for the progress of food microbiology
137 in the era of big data. By the power size effect, all this information collectively can help in discovering
138 new potential ecological niches or uncommon microbial associations that are often disregarded when
139 using only few samples. Importantly, efforts are needed in updating information and that those
140 databases are constantly updated in terms of taxonomy and nomenclature (Zheng et al., 2020).
141 However, many of the largest microbiome mapping studies have been performed with the cost-
142 effective 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing that provides genus-level assignments as highest level
143 of taxonomic resolution.

144 The global sourdough project (<http://robddunlab.com/projects/sourdough/>) is a multi-omics,
145 intercontinental scale study with the aim to collect metadata, taxonomic and metabolomic information
146 over 500 bread bakers in North America, Europe and Australasia. By using such extensive sampling
147 procedure coupled with integration tools it was possible to demonstrate that geographic location does
148 not determine changes in structural sourdough microbial composition, even if previous studies on
149 few samples revealed the opposite and indicated that variations in acetic acid bacteria (AAB)
150 abundance are the key driver during fermentation and boost the development of volatile compounds
151 (Landis et al., 2021). This finding clearly implies that a large number of samples is needed for a better
152 overview of the structure-function linkages. Co-occurrence/co-exclusion network analysis reveals the
153 complementarity or competitiveness of inter-species interactions and for example allowed to observe
154 that *Levilactobacillus brevis* is able to persist in a community while *Fructilactobacillus*
155 *sanfranciscensis* displays the ability to persist only when grown with the yeast *Kazachstania humilis*
156 (Landis et al., 2021). The ability to predict from a set of known species what community will be

157 formed is crucial in designing, predicting and controlling new microbial communities for food
158 fermentations, probiotic therapeutic developments, bioremediation or biomanufacturing and offers
159 valuable insight into biotechnologically important processes (Friedman et al., 2017).

160

161 **3. Discovering low abundance taxa or new taxa and microbial adaptation strategies**

162 Currently, there is no real consensus regarding which next-generation sequencing platforms or
163 techniques are most suitable for low-complexity microbial communities, such as those in foods.
164 Metagenomic shotgun sequencing or amplicon-based ones are widely used by researchers in food
165 microbial ecology. 16S amplicon sequencing is still preferred due to the apparently lower cost per
166 sample but is biased by the number of 16S rRNA encoding genes per genome and by the lower power
167 in discriminating at species level. On the opposite, deep shotgun sequencing allows to reach the
168 species level since most of the tools are based on the alignments with species-specific marker gene
169 sequences like MetaPhlAn (Beghini et al., 2021) however suffers from the size of the reference
170 genome. Despite this bias, the advantages of DNaseq is the ability to detect also genes and mobile
171 genetic elements important in food microbiology (Walsh et al., 2018). Recently, it was proposed to
172 use shallow shotgun sequencing as an alternative to 16S amplicon based sequencing at the same
173 cost/sample of the 16S, with the advantages of retrieving also microbial functional profiles, more
174 precise taxonomic resolution than 16S (Hillmann et al., 2018) and obtain informations on novel
175 putative bacterial taxa (Lugli et al., 2022). Rare or low abundance taxa often play an important role
176 in the overall metabolic flux and the differential functions of the rare species remain poorly
177 understood (Ranjan et al., 2016). Individual samples may harbor thousands of rare taxa that are often
178 discarded from the analysis but can have a high transcription/abundance ratio. The functions of rare
179 microbes are still unknown; they may however be relevant in total microbial community stability if
180 rapidly respond to environmental changes (Shade et al., 2014). In foods several examples showed
181 that rare members of a microbiome, especially those that are not expected to be present in the food,
182 have a possible role in ripening and determining final product characteristics. Processing environment

183 is one of the main sources of rare microbes or uncommon ones that can easily affect the final structure
184 of the microbiota in foods and are responsible for microbial food spoilage. Dairy (Sun and D'Amico,
185 2021), raw meat processing environments (Stellato et al., 2016) or facilities for ready-to-eat meal
186 (Pothakos et al., 2015) including fish and fruit preparations (Bokulich et al., 2015; Einson et al., 2018)
187 are currently the main sources of uncommon food microbes. In the dairy production chain, brine tanks
188 and ripening rooms are the main microbial sources and their distribution is strictly connected with
189 cheese variety and layer (crust or core) (Calasso et al., 2016; Montel et al., 2014). Not only equipment
190 but also human, extrinsic factors [air flow, temperature and humidity] and antagonistic microbial
191 adaptations take part in the distribution of microbes in the environment (Doyle et al., 2017).
192 Microbiomes distribution in processing plants could increase food safety through improved hygiene
193 related SOPs. Novel disinfection interventions can be selected based on the occurrence in a particular
194 environmental niches from which they were disseminated (Botta et al., 2020; Zwirzitz et al., 2020).
195 Network analysis based on correlation methods is often used to identify significantly concomitant or
196 co-exclusion relationships. Spearman's or Pearson's correlation are the most straightforward
197 approaches for multi-omics data integration (Zhang et al., 2019) and for detecting interactions in
198 meta-communities. However, the relative frequency or abundance (from OTUs or ASVs) used in the
199 metataxonomic datasets instead of the absolute abundance can reduce the sensitivity of the methods.
200 As suggested, including as many samples as possible (Berry and Widder, 2014) needs to be taken in
201 consideration when assessing effectiveness and reliability. Studying and understanding structure,
202 interaction and function of environmental microbes is helping increase food safety since bacteria from
203 the environment may also harbor antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs). Monitoring resistomes in
204 the environment can provide essential information to better understand whether ARGs transfer
205 actually occurs (Lopez et al., 2020) (**Figure 1**).

206 DNA extracted from environmental samples can be directly sequenced without any prior PCR steps.
207 In this way the global microbial community are sequenced. Data processing then helps obtain the
208 structure of the microbial ecosystem, including detection of mobile genetic elements but also

209 information about all the microbial categories including fungi, yeast and viruses/phages. Obtaining
210 information about virus is crucially important especially in a dairy environment since the viral
211 communities especially phages can likely act as vectors for horizontal gene transfer (Somerville et
212 al., 2019) and are involved in the mobilization of antimicrobial resistance genes or CRISPR defense
213 mechanisms among bacterial populations (Colombo et al., 2018).

214 In order to characterize microbial transmission along the process chain, several integration tools are
215 adopted. This is crucial in order to obtain precise information about microbial structure. Among the
216 statistical tools, the source attribution analyses are able to qualitatively determine possible microbial
217 sources but also quantitatively estimate the proportion of source contributions to a sample
218 community. SourceTracker utilizes a Bayesian classification model to map not only microbial
219 populations but also gene flows in a variety of ecosystems (Bokulich et al., 2015; Zwirzitz et al.,
220 2020) and also for monitoring microbial transmission and gene dispersal (**Figure 1**). However, to
221 reduce the effect of false predictions, a high number of samples should be analyzed (Chen et al.,
222 2019).

223

224 **4. Connecting specific microbiome asset with the final food quality and safety**

225 Microbes present in viable but not cultivable (VBNC) state or microbes not expected to be present in
226 the food are then difficult to be detected by classical methods and this leads to losing several important
227 pieces of information about the whole microbiome. A meta-omics approach can offer the possibility
228 to deeply study the composition of the food microbiome by detecting also few cells in a sample
229 brought the food industry closer to the theme of microbiome. An 'omic-based analysis can include
230 metagenomics (all the genetic repertoire in a community), meta-transcriptomics (the expressed
231 genes), metabolomics, proteomics or lipidomics. In every biological system phenotype informations
232 are transferred from nucleic acids to proteins and metabolites (Santiago-Rodriguez and Hollister,
233 2021). From a quantitative point of view, proteins and metabolites abundances are the result of gene
234 abundance and transcriptional activity. However, the use of a single omics technology cannot

235 guarantee an overview of what really happens in food systems. Metagenomics (amplicons or shotgun
236 sequencing) reflects only the global view since DNA molecules, as the most stable, can be originated
237 from live as well as dead cells and the absolute abundance of a gene is not necessarily associated with
238 molecules/proteins synthesis. mRNA sequencing (a less stable molecule), if used to confirm
239 (meta)genomic-data, does not necessarily predict the translation of the genetic information into
240 functional/active protein/metabolites and does not provide taxonomic information. Metabolites or
241 proteins on the other hand can be of mixed nature since they can originate from host or food
242 ingredients, are highly labile and need specific collection, handling or preservation methods to
243 maintain integrity. All these considerations highlight that at least two -omics techniques are required
244 to have a more comprehensive overview of what happens in food system. Based on the initial
245 biological questions and taking into account sample issues (e.g. host molecules/sequences) an
246 appropriate study design based on combinations of two or more omics tools should be chosen in order
247 to overcome those limitations (Ferrocino et al., 2022). The microbiome asset shapes the final
248 characteristics of the product and by coupling RNA-seq with metabolomics it is possible to see that
249 perturbations during the food process chain modify the function of the microbiome. Examples of this
250 multi-omics approach showed that ripening temperature during cheese (De Filippis et al., 2016), fruit
251 (Li et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2019), plant based fermentation (An et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2020) and
252 vinegar production (Wu et al., 2021) modifies the gene expression of the microbiome with important
253 changes in volatilome profile of the final products.

254 Several examples of data integration between two or more omics in food-based systems are already
255 available. DNA is most frequently the primary target molecule since it is easier to manipulate if
256 compared to RNA and scientific literature in food-omics is mostly oriented to DNA based
257 approaches. The advantages of using DNA are the simultaneous detection of bacteria, fungi, virus
258 (Beghini et al., 2021; Manni et al., 2021), mobile genetic elements (ARGs, bacteriocins etc..) (Raymond et al., 2019) as well as the ability to reconstruct genome at strain level (De Filippis et al.,
260 2019; Franciosa et al., 2021; Walsh et al., 2018). To decipher the interaction among microbes in order

261 to shape the final characteristic of the product, DNA-seq with metabolomics can be considered the
262 optimal combination of omics techniques. The most common data integration step is based on
263 correlation-based network analysis in order to generate and easily visualize metabolic microbiome
264 networks/models. Microbiome-scale metabolic reconstruction is now the most straightforward
265 approach in order to discover how microbes shape the final characteristic of the products. In food
266 microbiology several examples showed how this tool can be applied to detail for examples how color
267 modification, variation of pH and flavor development are associated with shifts in microbiome
268 composition and function in cheese (Bertuzzi et al., 2018), soy sauce (Sulaiman et al., 2014),
269 fermented meat (Ferrocino et al., 2018; Franciosa et al., 2021), fermented cocoa (Mota-Gutierrez et
270 al., 2021), fermented fish (Zhao and Eun, 2020), *Daqu*, *Baijiu* and *Xiaoqu jiu* chinese liquor (Huang
271 et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2021) or kefir (Verge et al., 2019). Correlation analysis
272 seems to be the most common tool to decipher microbial putative functions or new co-abundance and
273 interaction strategies. In food microbiology this statistical tool was successfully applied to discover
274 interactions at sub-species level in *Lactobacillus* populations highlighting that *L. helveticus* and *L.*
275 *delbrueckii* specifically co-evolved and in the same way also *L. plantarum* and *L. paracasei* (Milani
276 et al., 2020).

277 The correlation among bacteria and fungi is also of great interest because several bacteria are inhibited
278 by the presence of certain fungi or are not able to grow without the synergic effect of fungi (Wolfe et
279 al., 2014).

280 By using correlation analysis it was observed that *Geotrichum candidum* if present at high relative
281 abundance can release growth factors that support bacterial growth, which in turn allows for the
282 biosynthesis of some volatile compounds (Bertuzzi et al., 2018).

283 Based on the correlation network analysis between microbes, metabolites and functional genes the
284 role of several *Lactobacillus* during food fermentations was elucidated in different systems. For
285 example the correlation between *Lactobacillus acetotolerans* and a high abundance of genes encoding
286 alcohol dehydrogenases could explain why it was predominant at the late stage during grain

287 fermentation (Huang et al., 2020) or how *Pediococcus pentosaceus* contributes to flavor
288 development in fermented meat by D-lactate dehydrogenase activity responsible for the formation of
289 ethanol and ethyl lactate (Franciosa et al., 2021). In dairy industry it was observed that co-abundance
290 and inter-species interactions are responsible for resilience toward colonization by spoilage or
291 pathogenic microbes with detrimental effects on the final products for example in terms of safety,
292 stability, organoleptic characteristics or colour (Milani et al., 2020). It was observed that the
293 concomitance of *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus* determines an
294 increase in the occurrence of *Clostridium tyrobutyricum* responsible for spoilage phenomena (Bassi
295 et al., 2015), while with natural whey starter strains (formed by *Lactobacillus delbrueckii*,
296 *Lactobacillus helveticus* and *Lacticaseibacillus casei*) the prevalence of spoilage microbial taxa is
297 reduced (Alessandria et al., 2016). The univariate correlations used in those examples are relatively
298 straightforward but lack context for interpretation in terms of biological plausibility and mechanistic
299 insight (Chong and Xia, 2017).

300 Studying interactions, functions and diversity of each of the microbial species harbored in this
301 complex system is a key factor towards effective monitoring and easy manipulation of a food system
302 with the aim to increase quality and safety. However, the numerical relationships identified by
303 Pearson or Spearman correlation may not reflect biological significance, nor do they specifically
304 account for complex interactions (Santiago-Rodriguez and Hollister, 2021).

305 In the authors' point of view, a more complete study of the microbiome of food products requires
306 sequencing coupled with an extensive culture-based approach, in order to confirm the presence of
307 particular microbes/consortia. In this light the use of synthetic microbial communities (SynComs) is
308 receiving great interest as a validation tool of the mapping exercise as well as to confirm the results
309 of the mathematical models. Its principle is to design a small groups or consortia of microbes in order
310 to mimic functions and structure of the natural microbiome. By using this scale reduction of the
311 microbiome the role and the interactions among each microbes can be detailed investigated (De Souza
312 et al., 2020; Karkaria et al., 2021). SynComs were successfully used in food fermentations in order

313 to modulate the production of organic acids and several microbial metabolites to increase yield and
314 final taste of Kombucha and Baijiu (Wang et al., 2020; Du et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022).

315 Applications of synthetic microbial communities can be expanded to food industry helping in design
316 new microbiome community to confer specific characteristic to the products in term of quality and
317 safety.

318

319 **5. Extending microbiome applications to the industry for actionable results**

320 Observation studies in food microbiology are currently widely used for artisanal niche products made
321 without the use of commercial starter cultures (Belleggia et al., 2020; Maoloni et al., 2020; Mota-
322 Gutierrez et al., 2021). All these studies highlight that natural and autochthonous microbes display a
323 large interaction network that confers particular characteristics to the products if compared with
324 samples obtained with selected starter cultures (Ferrocino et al., 2018). A single strain used as a starter
325 culture is often not able to confer to the product all the desired characteristics, which are obtained by
326 a mixture of different microbial genetic repertoires. For example, in the meat sector it is recognized
327 that *L. sakei* has strain-dependent properties, distinct ecotypes but also intra-species, strain-level
328 biodiversity and its large diversity represents a valuable and exploitable asset in the development of
329 a variety of industrial applications (Chaillou et al., 2013; Franciosa et al., 2021). In fact one of the
330 main challenges in improving and controlling industrial fermentation processes is the revealing
331 microbial adaptation strategies also at strain level (Janßen et al., 2020). Multi omics network analysis
332 clearly showed that autochthonous microbiome (AM) displays a higher number of genes involved in
333 fatty acid biosynthesis and amino acid metabolism, that in turn boosts the formation of medium- and
334 long-chain fatty esters enhancing the sensory profile of sausages. As a result, consumers preferred
335 the spontaneously fermented sausages because of the flavour and aroma characteristics (Ferrocino et
336 al., 2018). Selection of an autochthonous microbiome starter culture can be one of the new potential
337 exploration areas of food microbiology. The use of an AM can not only guarantee quality but can
338 also offer the possibility to cover safety issues. Selection of a correct AM can help control pathogens

339 and reduce the use of nitrites/nitrates and reduce the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance genes
340 (ARGs), mycotoxins or biogenic amines. The application of AM reveals also its importance in
341 relation to the accumulation of mycotoxins especially in fermented meat due to the presence of
342 indigenous fungi. For example Ochratoxin A (OTA) has negative effects including nephrotoxicity,
343 immunotoxicity and neurotoxicity (Álvarez et al., 2020) and AM can be selected in order to obtain
344 the same degree of protection as synthetic antifungal compounds. A mixture of autochthonous
345 *Debaryomyces hansenii* and *Penicillium chrysogenum* was successfully used in dry cured meat in
346 order to reduce the expression of genes involved in the production of OTA with a considerable
347 reduction of contamination (Cebrián et al., 2019). Other example showed that AM strains possess the
348 ability to reduce OTA accumulation by acting on the transcriptional level of the genes involved in
349 OTA production (Peromingo et al., 2018).

350 AM can also be selected with respect to the presence of enzymes like β -1,3 glucanases, lytic proteases,
351 and chitinases able to hydrolyze microorganisms cell wall components (Cence et al., 2019). A
352 reduction of nitrites and nitrates can be obtained by using an AM since several *Debaryomyces*
353 *hansenii* strains possess antioxidant and antimicrobial properties as well as positive effects on aroma
354 (Perea-Sanz et al., 2020). In addition risks often linked with indigenous *Staphylococcus* or
355 *Lactobacillus*, *Carnobacterium* and *Enterococcus* are due also to the production of decarboxylases
356 that can cause biogenic amine production like tyramine, putrescine, cadaverine and histamine (Van
357 der Veken et al., 2020). In this light an accurate and extensive use of the meta-omics approach is
358 helping in studying the AM and can be considered as the first step in the selection of a microbiome
359 starter culture able to maintain safety and the desired characteristics of products.

360

361 **6. Risk assessment**

362 Monitoring microbial hazards along the food process chain still requires extensive sampling
363 procedures based on classical microbial methodology, several types of growth media, sample pre-
364 treatments, specific incubation temperatures as well as several confirmation tests for pathogens or for

365 assessing the presence of genetic elements involved in virulence. Next generation sequencing can
366 help in this context to obtain a quite rapid overview of potential microbial hazards along the chain.
367 Literature reports several examples of recent applications of these technologies to identify pathogenic
368 strains especially in low abundance. Compared to classical methods, the sensitivity of these
369 approaches can help in identifying the persistence of low abundance pathogens or spore-forming
370 bacteria strains in processing facilities to mitigate the risks associated with the development of those
371 microbial groups along the chain (McHugh et al., 2018). Risk assessment could benefit from a more
372 precise characterization of the populations and their surroundings, as it can identify risk factors or
373 even mitigation strategies. The analysis of the microbiome along the food production and processing
374 environments can also play a role in pathogen persistence and survival since interspecies interactions
375 can increase pathogens surviving and colonization. It was shown that *Pseudomonas* can help *Listeria*
376 *monocytogenes* attach to stainless steel surfaces, while *Staphylococcus sciuri* reduces the ability of
377 *L. monocytogenes* to form biofilm due to competition phenomena mediated by metabolites production
378 (Tan et al., 2019). The synergistic interactions between foodborne pathogens with resident microbiota
379 associated with food processing environments have also been demonstrated by several authors.
380 AM showed the potential to influence the growth survival and/or inactivation of pathogens It appears
381 thus relevant to characterize the influence of the resident microbiome on both the pathogen survival
382 and growth (Den Besten et al., 2018). Data analysis identify that *Veillonella* can be a possible
383 indicator of the contamination of food processing surfaces by *Listeria monocytogenes* (Shedleur-
384 Bourguignon et al., 2021), while the initial adhesion of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis (*S.*
385 Enteritidis) was significantly enhanced in presence of *Bacillus paramycoides* (Xu et al., 2022).
386 Longitudinal analysis in a meat processing revealed the co-occurrence of *Listeria* spp. with biofilm
387 producing microbes like *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Janthinobacterium* (Zwirzitz et al., 2022).
388 The analysis of the huge amount of data obtained after sequencing requires significant time and
389 computational power to perform genome assembly, however several tools have been developed in
390 order to perform a comparison of single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) profiles without the need

391 of the assembly step, resulting in those methods being faster and less intensive computationally. Free
392 software like MetaMLST (Zolfo et al., 2017), PanPhlAn and StrainPhlAn (Beghini et al., 2021) have
393 the capability to perform SNP comparison of outbreak strain genomes versus non outbreak strains in
394 a faster way (**Figure 2**). These approaches are more useful in the food industry that requires rapid
395 testing (Martin et al., 2017). After this preliminary screening the application of more powerful
396 computational tools can be used to reconstruct genomes directly from shotgun data. In particular SNP
397 profiles have been used to obtain information about strains that can be transmitted from production
398 plant to food and then to human with possible implications on human health (Milani et al., 2019).
399 Several limitations of genome reconstruction should be highlighted since Metagenome-assembled
400 genomes (MAGs) can be contaminated with sequences from phylogenetically close microbes or can
401 share genes with prophages, plasmids or genomic islands. In this way, the determination of the
402 pangenome may result in false genomes and data can be confirmed only by an extensive culture-
403 based approach (Ferrocino et al., 2022) (**Figure 2**). Culturomics may take the advantage of the high
404 throughput rapid identification of the colonies by Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization/Time
405 Of Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) a promising tool that can open new horizons by
406 speeding up the procedure replicating microbiome reconstruction *in vitro*. MALDI-TOF-MS coupled
407 with metataxonomic analysis was used in order to provided complementary information by producing
408 a more comprehensive view of the microbial ecology in food fermentations. Since culture-dependent
409 method identify at species level and culture-independent identify non-lactic acid bacteria and yeasts
410 (Kim et al., 2021). In addition, MALDI-TOF-MS is a promising screening tool for the rapid
411 identification of foodborne pathogens like *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Listeria* spp. (Bowen et al.,
412 2020, Campos Araújo et al., 2020).

413 In this context, combining -omics techniques to obtained information on the microbiome with data
414 obtained by culture base approach on presence/absence of a pathogen can help to develop more
415 realistic models for risk assessment (Cocolin et al., 2018).

416 The advent of software and sequencing platforms for on-site analysis (like MinION) can move
417 forward the research in order to improve the industrial risk assessment and management procedure.

418

419 **7. Conclusion**

420 We are now able to collect Gbytes of data spanning from DNA sequences, transcripts and metabolites
421 from a single sample and the integration of this information is helping in deciphering the composition
422 and function of the microbiome. However, a lack in standardization of procedures and databases, or
423 the absence of explicit legal requirements in food law regarding the concept of microbiome analysis,
424 especially in the context of risk assessment (Merten et al., 2020), make it difficult to define standards
425 in the analysis along the food chain. Food industry and related stakeholders have now grown closer
426 to the microbiome theme and researchers need to push the use of multi-omics tools to improve product
427 quality and safety. However, it is important to remember that all these powerful tools require also
428 implementing culture-based approaches to help in data interpretation. Several researchers report the
429 discovery of new putative genomes from sequencing data, however a lack of confirmation due to the
430 absence of a cultivation step puts in doubt the newly discovered strains/function.

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442 **Figure Legends**

443

444 **FIGURE 1.** Graphical representations of the food microbiome mapping analysis workflow.

445 Processing environment, season, type of farm, temperature, operators and food chain parameters can
446 be transmitted from production plant to food and then to human with possible implications on human
447 health. Created with BioRender.com

448

449 **FIGURE 2.**

450 Graphical representations of the culture based and culture independent for strains characterization.

451 Created with BioRender.com

452

453 **Conflict of interest statement**

454 Nothing declared.

455

456 **CRedit authorship contribution statement**

457 Ilario Ferrocino: Conceptualization, Writing - original draft. Kalliopi Rantsiou: Writing - review &
458 editing. Luca Cocolin: Conceptualization, Supervision.

459

460 **Declaration of Competing Interest**

461 The authors report no declarations of interest.

462

463 **Acknowledgement**

464 None.

465

466

467 **REFERENCES**

- 468 Alessandria, V., Ferrocino, I., De Filippis, F., Fontana, M., Rantsiou, K., Ercolini, D., Cocolin, L.,
469 2016. Microbiota of an Italian Grana like cheese during manufacture and ripening unraveled
470 by 16S rRNA-based approaches. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 82, 3988–3995.
- 471 Álvarez, M., Rodríguez, A., Núñez, F., Silva, A., Andrade, M.J., 2020. In vitro antifungal effects of
472 spices on ochratoxin A production and related gene expression in *Penicillium nordicum* on a
473 dry-cured fermented sausage medium. *Food Control* 114, 107222.
- 474 An, F., Li, M., Zhao, Y., Zhang, Y., Mu, D., Hu, X., You, S., Wu, J., Wu, R., 2021.
475 Metatranscriptome-based investigation of flavor-producing core microbiota in different
476 fermentation stages of dajiang, a traditional fermented soybean paste of Northeast China. *Food*
477 *Chem.* 343.
- 478 Araújo, T.M.C., Pereira, R. de C.L., Freitag, I.G.R., Rusak, L.A., Botelho, L.A.B., Hofer, E., Hofer,
479 C.B., Vallim, D.C., 2020. Evaluation of MALDI-TOF MS as a tool for detection of *Listeria*
480 spp. directly from selective enrichment broth from food and stool samples. *J. Microbiol.*
481 *Methods* 173, 105936.
- 482 Ballin, N.Z., Laursen, K.H., 2019. To target or not to target? Definitions and nomenclature for
483 targeted versus non-targeted analytical food authentication. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 86,
484 537–543.
- 485 Bassi, D., Puglisi, E., Coconcelli, P.S., 2015. Understanding the bacterial communities of hard
486 cheese with blowing defect. *Food Microbiol.* 52, 106–118.
- 487 Beghini, F., McIver, L.J., Blanco-Míguez, A., Dubois, L., Asnicar, F., Maharjan, S., Mailyan, A.,
488 Manghi, P., Scholz, M., Thomas, A.M., Valles-Colomer, M., Weingart, G., Zhang, Y., Zolfo,
489 M., Huttenhower, C., Franzosa, E.A., Segata, N., 2021. Integrating taxonomic, functional, and
490 strain-level profiling of diverse microbial communities with biobakery 3. *Elife* 10, 1–42.
- 491 Belleggia, L., Milanović, V., Ferrocino, I., Cocolin, L., Haouet, M.N., Scuota, S., Maoloni, A.,
492 Garofalo, C., Cardinali, F., Aquilanti, L., Mozzon, M., Foligni, R., Pasquini, M., Federica, M.,

493 Clementi, F., Osimani, A., 2020. Is there any still undisclosed biodiversity in Ciauscolo
494 salami ? A new glance into the microbiota of an artisan production as revealed by high-
495 throughput sequencing. *Meat Sci.* 165, 108128.

496 Berg, G., Rybakova, D., Fischer, D., Cernava, T., Vergès, M.-C.C., Charles, T., Chen, X., Cocolin,
497 L., Eversole, K., Corral, G.H., Kazou, M., Kinkel, L., Lange, L., Lima, N., Loy, A., Macklin,
498 J.A., Maguin, E., Mauchline, T., McClure, R., Mitter, B., Ryan, M., Sarand, I., Smidt, H.,
499 Schelkle, B., Roume, H., Kiran, G.S., Selvin, J., Souza, R.S.C. de, van Overbeek, L., Singh,
500 B.K., Wagner, M., Walsh, A., Sessitsch, A., Schloter, M., 2020. Microbiome definition re-
501 visited: old concepts and new challenges. *Microbiome* 8, 103.

502 Berry, D., Widder, S., 2014. Deciphering microbial interactions and detecting keystone species with
503 co-occurrence networks. *Front. Microbiol.* 5, 1–14.

504 Bertuzzi, A.S., Walsh, A.M., Sheehan, J.J., Cotter, P.D., Crispie, F., McSweeney, P.L.H.,
505 Kilcawley, K.N., Rea, M.C., 2018. Omics-based insights into flavor development and
506 microbial succession within surface-ripened cheese. *mSystems* 3, 1–15.

507 Bokulich, N.A., Bergsveinson, J., Ziola, B., Mills, D.A., 2015. Mapping microbial ecosystems and
508 spoilage-gene flow in breweries highlights patterns of contamination and resistance. *Elife*
509 2015, e04634.

510 Bowen, T., Yingang, X., Xue, J., Qiang, D., Junhong, L., Hongbing, T., Xiaodong, H., 2020. Rapid
511 detection of microbial mass spectra VITEK-MS for *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Listeria*
512 *monocytogenes*. *Food Anal. Methods* 13, 412–419.

513 Botta, C., Ferrocino, I., Pessione, A., Cocolin, L., Rantsiou, K., 2020. Spatiotemporal distribution
514 of the environmental microbiota in food processing plants as impacted by cleaning and
515 sanitizing procedures: the case of slaughterhouses and gaseous ozone. *Appl. Environ.*
516 *Microbiol.* 86, 1–15.

517 Calasso, M., Ercolini, D., Mancini, L., Stellato, G., Minervini, F., Di Cagno, R., De Angelis, M.,
518 Gobbetti, M., 2016. Relationships among house, rind and core microbiotas during manufacture

519 of traditional Italian cheeses at the same dairy plant. *Food Microbiol.* 54, 115–126.

520 Cebrián, E., Rodríguez, M., Peromingo, B., Bermúdez, E., Núñez, F., 2019. Efficacy of the
521 combined protective cultures of *Penicillium chrysogenum* and *Debaryomyces hansenii* for the
522 control of ochratoxin a hazard in dry-cured ham. *Toxins* 2019, Vol. 11, Page 710 11, 710.

523 Cence, K., Santos, P. dos, Garcia, M.V., Copetti, M.V., Valduga, E., Cansian, R.L., Zeni, J.,
524 Backes, G.T., 2019. Enzymatic biocontrol of spoilage fungi from salami. *LWT* 115, 108457.

525 Chong, J., Xia, J., 2017. Computational approaches for integrative analysis of the metabolome and
526 microbiome. *Metabolites* 7, 62.

527 Chaillou, S., Lucquin, I., Najjari, A., Zagorec, M., Champomier-Vergès, M.C., 2013. Population
528 genetics of *Lactobacillus sakei* reveals three lineages with distinct evolutionary histories. *PLoS*
529 *One* 8 e73253..

530 Chen, H., Bai, X., Li, Y., Jing, L., Chen, R., Teng, Y., 2019. Source identification of antibiotic
531 resistance genes in a periurban river using novel crAssphage marker genes and metagenomic
532 signatures. *Water Res.* 167, 115098.

533 Cocolin, L., Mataragas, M., Bourdichon, F., Doulgeraki, A., Pilet, M.F., Jagadeesan, B., Rantsiou,
534 K., Phister, T., 2018. Next generation microbiological risk assessment meta-omics: The next
535 need for integration. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 287, 10–17.

536 Colombo, S., Arioli, S., Gargari, G., Neri, E., Della Scala, G., Mora, D., 2018. Characterization of
537 airborne viromes in cheese production plants. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 125, 1444–1454.

538 Danko, D., Bezdan, D., Afshin, E.E., Ahsanuddin, S., Bhattacharya, C., et al., 2021. A global
539 metagenomic map of urban microbiomes and antimicrobial resistance. *Cell* 184, 3376-
540 3393.e17.

541 Den Besten, H.M.W., Amézquita, A., Bover-Cid, S., Dagnas, S., Ellouze, M., Guillou, S., Nychas,
542 G., O’Mahony, C., Pérez-Rodríguez, F., Membré, J.M., 2018. Next generation of
543 microbiological risk assessment: Potential of omics data for exposure assessment. *Int. J. Food*
544 *Microbiol.* 287, 18–27

545 De Filippis, F., Genovese, A., Ferranti, P., Gilbert, J.A., Ercolini, D., 2016. Metatranscriptomics
546 reveals temperature-driven functional changes in microbiome impacting cheese maturation
547 rate. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 1–12.

548 De Filippis, F., La Stora, A., Villani, F., Ercolini, D., 2019. Strain-level diversity analysis of
549 *Pseudomonas fragi* after in situ pangenome reconstruction shows distinctive spoilage-
550 associated metabolic traits clearly selected by different storage conditions. *Appl. Environ.
551 Microbiol.* 85, 5–7.

552 De Filippis, F., Parente, E., Ercolini, D., 2018. Recent past, present, and future of the food
553 microbiome. *Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol.* 9, 25.1-25.20.

554 De Souza, R.S.C., Armanhi, J.S.L., Arruda, P., 2020. From Microbiome to Traits: Designing
555 Synthetic Microbial Communities for Improved Crop Resiliency. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11, 1–7.

556 Doyle, C.J., O’Toole, P.W., Cotter, P.D., 2017. Metagenome-based surveillance and diagnostic
557 approaches to studying the microbial ecology of food production and processing environments.
558 *Environ. Microbiol.* 19, 4382–4391.

559 Du, R., Liu, J., Jiang, J., Wang, Y., Ji, X., Yang, N., Wu, Q., Xu, Y., 2021. Construction of a
560 synthetic microbial community for the biosynthesis of volatile sulfur compound by multi-
561 module division of labor. *Food Chem.* 347, 129036.

562 Dugourd, A., Kuppe, C., Sciacovelli, M., Gjerga, E., Gabor, A., Emdal, K.B., Vieira, V., Bekker-
563 Jensen, D.B., Kranz, J., Bindels, E.M.J., Costa, A.S.H., Sousa, A., Beltrão, P., Rocha, M.,
564 Olsen, J. V., Frezza, C., Kramann, R., Saez-Rodriguez, J., 2021. Causal integration of multi-
565 omics data with prior knowledge to generate mechanistic hypotheses. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 17, 1–
566 17.

567 Dunn, R.R., Wilson, J., Nichols, L.M., Gavin, M.C., 2021. Toward a global ecology of fermented
568 foods. *Curr. Anthropol.* 62, S220–S232.

569 Einson, J.E., Rani, A., You, X., Rodriguez, A.A., Randell, C.L., Barnaba, T., Mammel, M.K.,
570 Kotewicz, M.L., Elkins, C.A., Sela, D.A., 2018. A vegetable fermentation facility hosts

571 distinct microbiomes reflecting the production environment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 84,
572 e01680-18.

573 Ferrocino, I., Bellio, A., Giordano, M., Macori, G., Romano, A., Rantsiou, K., Decastelli, L.,
574 Cocolin, L., 2018. Shotgun Metagenomics and Volatilome Profile of the Microbiota of
575 Fermented Sausages. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 84, 1–15.

576 Ferrocino, I., Rantsiou, K., Cocolin, L., 2022. Investigating dairy microbiome: an opportunity to
577 ensure quality, safety and typicality. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 73, 164–170.

578 Franciosa, I., Ferrocino, I., Giordano, M., Mounier, J., Rantsiou, K., Cocolin, L., 2021. Specific
579 metagenomic asset drives the spontaneous fermentation of Italian sausages. *Food Res. Int.* 144,
580 110379.

581 Friedman, J., Higgins, L.M., Gore, J., 2017. Community structure follows simple assembly rules in
582 microbial microcosms. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 1–7.

583 Hillmann, B., Al-ghalith, G.A., Shields-cutler, R.R., Zhu, Q., Gohl, D.M., Beckman, K.B., Knight,
584 R., Knights, D., 2018. Evaluating the information content of shallow shotgun metagenomics.
585 *mSystems* 3, 1–12.

586 Huang, X., Fan, Y., Lu, T., Kang, J., Pang, X., Han, B., Chen, J., 2020. Composition and metabolic
587 functions of the microbiome in fermented grain during light-flavor baijiu fermentation.
588 *Microorganisms* 8, 1–15.

589 Huttenhower, C., Fah Sathirapongsasuti, J., Segata, N., Gevers, D., Earl, A.M., et al., 2012.
590 Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature* 486, 207–214.

591 Janßen, D., Dworschak, L., Ludwig, C., Ehrmann, M.A., Vogel, R.F., 2020. Interspecies
592 assertiveness of *Lactobacillus curvatus* and *Lactobacillus sakei* in sausage fermentations. *Int.*
593 *J. Food Microbiol.* 331, 108689.

594 Karkaria, B.D., Fedorec, A.J.H., Barnes, C.P., 2021. Automated design of synthetic microbial
595 communities. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 1–12.

596 Kim, E., Cho, E.J., Yang, S.M., Kim, M.J., Kim, H.Y., 2021. Novel approaches for the

597 identification of microbial communities in kimchi: MALDI-TOF MS analysis and high-
598 throughput sequencing. *Food Microbiol.* 94, 103641

599 Kim, K.H., Chun, B.H., Baek, J.H., Roh, S.W., Lee, S.H., Jeon, C.O., 2020. Genomic and
600 metabolic features of *Lactobacillus sakei* as revealed by its pan-genome and the
601 metatranscriptome of kimchi fermentation. *Food Microbiol.* 86, 103341.

602 Landis, E.A., Oliverio, A.M., McKenney, E.A., Nichols, L.M., Kfoury, N., Biango-Daniels, M.,
603 Shell, L.K., Madden, A.A., Shapiro, L., Sakunala, S., Drake, K., Robbat, A., Booker, M.,
604 Dunn, R.R., Fierer, N., Wolfe, B.E., 2021. The diversity and function of sourdough starter
605 microbiomes. *Elife* 10, 1–24.

606 Li, R., Xu, Y., Chen, J., Wang, F., Zou, C., Yin, J., 2022. Enhancing the proportion of gluconic acid
607 with a microbial community reconstruction method to improve the taste quality of Kombucha.
608 *LWT* 155, 112937.

609 Li, C., Xin, M., Li, L., He, X., Yi, P., Tang, Y., Li, J., Zheng, F., Liu, G., Sheng, J., Li, Z., Sun, J.,
610 2021. Characterization of the aromatic profile of purple passion fruit (*Passiflora edulis* Sims)
611 during ripening by HS-SPME-GC/MS and RNA sequencing. *Food Chem.* 355, 129685.

612 Lopez, C.M., Callegari, M.L., Patrone, V., Rebecchi, A., 2020. Assessment of antibiotic resistance
613 in staphylococci involved in fermented meat product processing. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* 31, 17–
614 23.

615 Lugli, G.A., Longhi, G., Mancabelli, L., Alessandri, G., Tarracchini, C., Fontana, F., Turrone, F.,
616 Milani, C., Sinderen, D. Van, Ventura, M., 2022. Tap water as a natural vehicle for
617 microorganisms shaping the human gut microbiome. *Env. Microbiol.* doi:10.1111/1462-
618 2920.15988

619 Manni, M., Berkeley, M.R., Seppey, M., Simão, F.A., Zdobnov, E.M., 2021. BUSCO Update:
620 Novel and Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and Deeper Phylogenetic Coverage for
621 Scoring of Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 38, 4647–4654.

622 Maoloni, A., Blaiotta, G., Ferrocino, I., Mangia, N.P., Osimani, A., Milanovi, V., Cardinali, F.,

623 Cesaro, C., Garofalo, C., Clementi, F., Pasquini, M., Federica, M., Cocolin, L., Aquilanti, L.,
624 2020. Microbiological characterization of Gioddu, an Italian fermented milk. *Int. J. Food*
625 *Microbiol.* 323, 1–9.

626 Martin, J.C.J., Arthur, C.T.C., Claesson, M.M.J., Scott, K.K.P., Walsh, A., Crispie, F., Daari, K.,
627 O’Sullivan, O., Martin, J.C.J., Arthur, C.T.C., Claesson, M.M.J., Scott, K.K.P., Cotter, P.,
628 2017. Strain-level metagenomic analysis of the fermented dairy beverage nunu highlights
629 potential food safety risks. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 83, 1–13.

630 Meisner, A., Wepner, B., Kostic, T., van Overbeek, L.S., Bunthof, C.J., de Souza, R.S.C., Olivares,
631 M., Sanz, Y., Lange, L., Fischer, D., Sessitsch, A., Smidt, H., 2022. Calling for a systems
632 approach in microbiome research and innovation. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 73, 171–178.

633 Merten, C., Schoonjans, R., Di Gioia, D., Peláez, C., Sanz, Y., Maurici, D., Robinson, T., 2020.
634 Editorial: Exploring the need to include microbiomes into EFSA’s scientific assessments.
635 *EFSA J.* 18.

636 Milani, C., Duranti, S., Napoli, S., Alessandri, G., Mancabelli, L., Anzalone, R., Longhi, G.,
637 Viappiani, A., Mangifesta, M., Lugli, G.A., Bernasconi, S., Ossiprandi, M.C., Sinderen, D.
638 Van, Ventura, M., Turrone, F., 2019. Colonization of the human gut by bovine bacteria present
639 in Parmesan cheese. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1–12.

640 Milani, C., Fontana, F., Alessandri, G., Mancabelli, L., Lugli, G.A., Longhi, G., Anzalone, R.,
641 Viappiani, A., Duranti, S., Turrone, F., Ossiprandi, M.C., van Sinderen, D., Ventura, M., 2020.
642 Ecology of lactobacilli present in italian cheeses produced from raw milk. *Appl. Environ.*
643 *Microbiol.* 86, 1–15.

644 Montel, M.-C., Buchin, S., Mallet, A., Delbes-Paus, C., Vuitton, D. a, Desmasures, N., Berthier, F.,
645 2014. Traditional cheeses: Rich and diverse microbiota with associated benefits. *Int. J. Food*
646 *Microbiol.* 177, 136–154.

647 Mota-Gutierrez, J., Ferrocino, I., Giordano, M., Suarez-Quiroz, M.L., Gonzalez-Ríos, O., Cocolin,
648 L., 2021. Influence of taxonomic and functional content of microbial communities on the

649 quality of fermented cocoa pulp-bean mass. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 87, e0042521.

650 Nash, A.K., Auchtung, T.A., Wong, M.C., Smith, D.P., Gesell, J.R., Ross, M.C., Stewart, C.J.,
651 Metcalf, G.A., Muzny, D.M., Gibbs, R.A., Ajami, N.J., Petrosino, J.F., 2017. The gut
652 mycobiome of the Human Microbiome Project healthy cohort. *Microbiome* 5, 153.

653 Parente, E., Cocolin, L., De Filippis, F., Zotta, T., Ferrocino, I., O'Sullivan, O., Neviani, E., De
654 Angelis, M., Cotter, P.D.D.P.D., Ercolini, D., 2016. FoodMicrobionet: A database for the
655 visualisation and exploration of food bacterial communities based on network analysis. *Int. J.*
656 *Food Microbiol.* 219, 28–37.

657 Parente, E., De Filippis, F., Ercolini, D., Ricciardi, A., Zotta, T., 2019. Advancing integration of
658 data on food microbiome studies: FoodMicrobionet 3.1, a major upgrade of the
659 FoodMicrobionet database. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 305, 108249.

660 Pasolli, E., De Filippis, F., Mauriello, I.E., Cumbo, F., Walsh, A.M., Leech, J., Cotter, P.D., Segata,
661 N., Ercolini, D., 2020. Large-scale genome-wide analysis links lactic acid bacteria from food
662 with the gut microbiome. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 1–12.

663 Perea-Sanz, L., López-Díez, J.J., Belloch, C., Flores, M., 2020. Counteracting the effect of reducing
664 nitrate/nitrite levels on dry fermented sausage aroma by *Debaryomyces hansenii* inoculation.
665 *Meat Sci.* 164, 108103.

666 Peromingo, B., Núñez, F., Rodríguez, A., Alía, A., Andrade, M.J., 2018. Potential of yeasts isolated
667 from dry-cured ham to control Ochratoxin A production in meat models. *Int. J. Food*
668 *Microbiol.* 268, 73–80.

669 Pinu, F.R., Beale, D.J., Paten, A.M., Kouremenos, K., Swarup, S., Schirra, H.J., Wishart, D., 2019.
670 Systems biology and multi-omics integration: Viewpoints from the metabolomics research
671 community. *Metabolites* 9, 1–31.

672 Pothakos, V., Stellato, G., Ercolini, D., Devlieghere, F., 2015. Processing environment and
673 ingredients are both sources of *Leuconostoc gelidum*, which emerges as a major spoiler in
674 ready-to-eat meals. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 3529–3541.

675 Ranjan, R., Rani, A., Metwally, A., McGee, H.S., Perkins, D.L., 2016. Analysis of the microbiome:
676 Advantages of whole genome shotgun versus 16S amplicon sequencing. *Biochem. Biophys.*
677 *Res. Commun.* 469, 967–977.

678 Raymond, F., Boissinot, M., Ouameur, A.A., Déraspe, M., Plante, P.L., Kpanou, S.R., Bérubé, È.,
679 Huletsky, A., Roy, P.H., Ouellette, M., Bergeron, M.G., Corbeil, J., 2019. Culture-enriched
680 human gut microbiomes reveal core and accessory resistance genes. *Microbiome* 7, 1–13.

681 Ryan, M.J., Schloter, M., Berg, G., Kostic, T., Kinkel, L.L., Eversole, K., Macklin, J.A., Schelkle,
682 B., Kazou, M., Sarand, I., Singh, B.K., Fischer, D., Maguin, E., Ferrocino, I., Lima, N.,
683 McClure, R.S., Charles, T.C., de Souza, R.S.C., Kiran, G.S., Krug, H.L., Taffner, J., Roume,
684 H., Selvin, J., Smith, D., Rybakova, D., Sessitsch, A., 2021. Development of microbiome
685 biobanks – challenges and opportunities. *Trends Microbiol.* 29, 89–92.

686 Santiago-Rodriguez, T.M., Hollister, E.B., 2021. Multi ‘omic data integration: A review of
687 concepts, considerations, and approaches. *Semin. Perinatol.* 45, 151456.

688 Schelkle, B., Galland, Q., 2020. Microbiome research: Open communication today, microbiome
689 applications in the future. *Microorganisms* 8, 1–10.

690 Shade, A., Jones, S.E., Gregory Caporaso, J., Handelsman, J., Knight, R., Fierer, N., Gilbert, J.A.,
691 2014. Conditionally rare taxa disproportionately contribute to temporal changes in microbial
692 diversity. *MBio* 5:e01371-14.

693 Shedleur-Bourguignon, F., Thériault, W.P., Longpré, J., Thibodeau, A., Fravallo, P., 2021. Use of an
694 ecosystem-based approach to shed light on the heterogeneity of the contamination pattern of
695 *Listeria monocytogenes* on conveyor belt surfaces in a swine slaughterhouse in the province of
696 Quebec, Canada. *Pathogens* 10, 1368.

697 Shi, H., Shi, Q., Grodner, B., Lenz, J.S., Zipfel, W.R., Brito, I.L., De Vlaminck, I., 2020. Highly
698 multiplexed spatial mapping of microbial communities. *Nature* 588, 676–681.

699 Somerville, V., Lutz, S., Schmid, M., Frei, D., Moser, A., Irmeler, S., Frey, J.E., Ahrens, C.H., 2019.
700 Long-read based de novo assembly of low-complexity metagenome samples results in finished

701 genomes and reveals insights into strain diversity and an active phage system. BMC Microbiol.
702 19, 1–18.

703 Stellato, G., La Storia, A., De Filippis, F., Borriello, G., Villani, F., Ercolini, D., 2016. Overlap of
704 spoilage-associated microbiota between meat and the meat processing environment in small-
705 scale and large-scale retail. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82, 4045–4054.

706 Sulaiman, J., Gan, H.M., Yin, W.F., Chan, K.G., 2014. Microbial succession and the functional
707 potential during the fermentation of Chinese soy sauce brine. Front. Microbiol. 5, 1–9.

708 Sun, L., D’Amico, D.J., 2021. Composition, Succession, and Source Tracking of Microbial
709 Communities throughout the Traditional Production of a Farmstead Cheese. mSystems 6,
710 e00830-21.

711 Tan, X., Chung, T., Chen, Y., Macarisin, D., Laborde, L., Kovac, J., 2019. The occurrence of
712 *Listeria monocytogenes* is associated with built environment microbiota in three tree fruit
713 processing facilities. Microbiome 7, 1–18.

714 Thompson, L.R., Sanders, J.G., McDonald, D., Amir, A., Ladau, J., et al., 2017. A communal
715 catalogue reveals Earth’s multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551, 457–463.

716 Tripathi, A., Marotz, C., Gonzalez, A., Vázquez-Baeza, Y., Song, S.J., Bouslimani, A., McDonald,
717 D., Zhu, Q., Sanders, J.G., Smarr, L., Dorrestein, P.C., Knight, R., 2018. Are microbiome
718 studies ready for hypothesis-driven research? Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 44, 61–69.

719 Van der Veken, D., Benhachemi, R., Charmpi, C., Ockerman, L., Poortmans, M., Van Reckem, E.,
720 Michiels, C., Leroy, F., 2020. Exploring the ambiguous status of coagulase-negative
721 staphylococci in the biosafety of fermented meats: The case of antibacterial activity versus
722 biogenic amine formation. Microorganisms 8, 1–17.

723 Verce, M., De Vuyst, L., Weckx, S., 2019. Shotgun metagenomics of a water kefir fermentation
724 ecosystem reveals a novel *Oenococcus species*. Front. Microbiol. 10, 1–16.

725 Walsh, A.M., Crispie, F., O’Sullivan, O., Finnegan, L., Claesson, M.J., Cotter, P.D., 2018. Species
726 classifier choice is a key consideration when analysing low-complexity food microbiome data.

727 Microbiome 6, 2–15.

728 Walsh, A.M., Macori, G., Kilcawley, K.N., Cotter, P.D., 2020. Meta-analysis of cheese
729 microbiomes highlights contributions to multiple aspects of quality. *Nat. Food* 1, 500–510.

730 Wang, S., Zhang, L., Qi, L., Liang, H., Lin, X., Li, S., Yu, C., Ji, C., 2020. Effect of synthetic
731 microbial community on nutraceutical and sensory qualities of kombucha. *Int. J. Food Sci.*
732 *Technol.* 55, 3327–3333.

733 Wolfe, B.E., Button, J.E., Santarelli, M., Dutton, R.J., 2014. Cheese rind communities provide
734 tractable systems for In situ and In vitro studies of microbial diversity. *Cell* 158, 422–433.

735 Woo, M., 2018. Mapping the microbiome location helps elucidate its role. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*
736 *S. A.* 115, 12078–12080.

737 Wu, Y., Xia, M., Zhao, N., Tu, L., Xue, D., Zhang, X., Zhao, C., Cheng, Y., Zheng, Y., Wang, M.,
738 2021. Metabolic profile of main organic acids and its regulatory mechanism in solid-state
739 fermentation of Chinese cereal vinegar. *Food Res. Int.* 145, 110400.

740 Xu, J.G., Hu, H.X., Han, B.Z., Chen, J.Y., 2022. Interactions between *Salmonella enteritidis* and
741 food processing facility isolate *Bacillus paramycooides* B5 in dual-species biofilms. *LWT* 156,
742 113053.

743 Xu, Y., Tong, Z., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Fang, W., Li, L., Luo, Z., 2019. Unveiling the mechanisms
744 for the plant volatile organic compound linalool to control gray mold on strawberry fruits. *J.*
745 *Agric. Food Chem.* 67, 9265–9276.

746 Yang, Y., Wang, S.T., Lu, Z.M., Zhang, X.J., Chai, L.J., Shen, C.H., Shi, J.S., Xu, Z.H., 2021.
747 Metagenomics unveils microbial roles involved in metabolic network of flavor development in
748 medium-temperature daqu starter. *Food Res. Int.* 140, 110037.

749 Zapalska-Sozoniuk, M., Chrobak, L., Kowalczyk, K., Kankofer, M., 2019. Is it useful to use several
750 “omics” for obtaining valuable results? *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 46, 3597–3606.

751 Zhang, X., Li, L., Butcher, J., Stintzi, A., Figeys, D., 2019. Advancing functional and translational
752 microbiome research using meta-omics approaches. *Microbiome* 7, 1–12.

753 Zhao, C., Su, W., Mu, Yu, Mu, Yingchun, Jiang, L., 2021. Integrative Metagenomics–
754 Metabolomics for analyzing the relationship between microorganisms and non-volatile profiles
755 of traditional xiaoqu. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 1–17.

756 Zhao, C.C., Eun, J.B., 2020. Shotgun metagenomics approach reveals the bacterial community and
757 metabolic pathways in commercial hongo product, a traditional Korean fermented skate
758 product. *Food Res. Int.* 131, 109030.

759 Zheng, J., Wittouck, S., Salvetti, E., Franz, C.M.A.B., Harris, H.M.B., Mattarelli, P., O’Toole,
760 P.W., Pot, B., Vandamme, P., Walter, J., Watanabe, K., Wuyts, S., Felis, G.E., Gänzle, M.G.,
761 Lebeer, S., 2020. A taxonomic note on the genus *Lactobacillus*: Description of 23 novel
762 genera, emended description of the genus *Lactobacillus Beijerinck* 1901, and union of
763 *Lactobacillaceae* and *Leuconostocaceae*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 70, 2782–2858.

764 Zolfo, M., Tett, A., Jousson, O., Donati, C., Segata, N., 2017. MetaMLST: Multi-locus strain-level
765 bacterial typing from metagenomic samples. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 1–10.

766 Zwirzitz, B., Wetzels, S.U., Dixon, E.D., Stessl, B., Zaiser, A., Rabanser, I., Thalguter, S., Pinior,
767 B., Roch, F.F., Strachan, C., Zanghellini, J., Dzieciol, M., Wagner, M., Selberherr, E., 2020.
768 The sources and transmission routes of microbial populations throughout a meat processing
769 facility. *npj Biofilms Microbiomes* 6, 1–12.

770 Zwirzitz, B., Wetzels, S.U., Dixon, E.D., Fleischmann, S., Selberherr, E., Thalguter, S., Quijada,
771 N.M., Dzieciol, M., Wagner, M., Stessl, B., 2021. Co-Occurrence of *Listeria* spp. and spoilage
772 associated microbiota during meat processing due to cross-contamination events. *Front.*
773 *Microbiol.* 12 :632935.

774

775