

REPLY TO TOSH ET AL.:

Quantitative analyses of cultural evolution require engagement with historical and archaeological research

Thomas E. Currie^{a,1}, Peter Turchin^{b,c}, Harvey Whitehouse^{d,e}, Pieter François^{d,f}, Kevin Feeney^g, Daniel Mullins^{d,h}, Daniel Hoyerⁱ, Christina Collins^a, Stephanie Grohmann^d, Patrick Savage^d, Gavin Mendel-Gleason^g, Edward Turnerⁱ, Agathe Dupeyronⁱ, Enrico Cioniⁱ, Jenny Reddishⁱ, Jill Levineⁱ, Greine Jordanⁱ, Eva Brandl^{i,j}, Alice Williams^c, Rudolf Cesaretti^k, Marta Krueger^l, Alessandro Ceccarelli^m, Joe Figliulo-Rosswurmⁿ, Po-Ju Tuanⁱ, Peter Peregrine^{o,p}, Arkadiusz Marciniak^l, Johannes Preiser-Kapeller^q, Nikolay Kradin^r, Andrey Korotayev^s, Alessio Palmisano^t, David Baker^u, Julye Bidmead^v, Peter Bol^w, David Christian^u, Connie Cook^{x,y}, Alan Covey^z, Gary Feinman^{aa}, Árni Daníel Júlíusson^{bb}, Axel Kristinsson^{cc}, John Miksic^{dd}, Ruth Mostern^{ee}, Cameron Petrie^{m,ff}, Peter Rudiak-Gould^{gg}, Barend ter Haar^{hh}, Vesna Wallace^v, Victor Mairⁱⁱ, Liye Xie^{ij}, John Baines^{kk}, Elizabeth Bridges^{II}, Joseph Manning^{mm}, Bruce Lockhartⁿⁿ, Amy Bogaard^{oo}, and Charles Spencer^{pp}

We thank Tosh et al. (1) for their interest in our research (2) but note that their analyses do not undermine the main findings of our article. Their suggestion that polity population divided by polity area should be one of the social complexity dimensions raises a number of issues. What does this ratio mean at large spatial scales, where populations are concentrated in large urban centers and much of the territory is not heavily populated? How are societies distributed across this variable and why? For example, a small-scale "simple" society could have a very high population density if it has access to a rich resource

base. Tosh et al. (1) do not provide sufficient information or context to meaningfully interpret their results.

The study by Chick (3), cited by Tosh et al. (1), was based on the Standard Cross-Cultural Sample, which is heavily weighted toward low-complexity, small-scale societies. The second component that Chick (3) found captures the differences in mobility and mode of production between agricultural and foraging societies, rather than complexity of organization per se. Tosh et al. (1) also argue without evidence that the proportion of variance explained by principal component 2

^aHuman Behaviour & Cultural Evolution Group, Department of Biosciences, University of Exeter, Comwall TR10 9FE, United Kingdom; ^bDepartment of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269; ^cComplexity Science Hub Vienna, 1080 Vienna, Austria; ^dInstitute of Cognitive and Evolutionary Anthropology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6PE, United Kingdom; ^mMagdalea College, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 4AU, United Kingdom; ^mSt. Benet's Hall, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3LN, United Kingdom; ^mSchool of Computer Science and Statistics, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland; ^hInstitute of English Studies, University of London, London WC1E 7HU, United Kingdom; ^sSeshat: Global History Databank, Evolution Institute, San Antonio, FL 33576; [†]Department of Anthropology, University College London, London WC1H OBW, United Kingdom; ^{*}School of Human Evolution and Social Change, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287; [†]Institute of Archaeology, Adam Mickiewicz University, 61-614 Poznań, Poland; ^mDepartment of Archaeology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3DZ, United Kingdom; ^mDepartment of History, University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106; [†]Oanthropology and Museum Studies, Lawrence University, Appleton, WI S4911; ^mSanta Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM 87501; [†]Division for Byzantine Research, Institute for Medieval Research, Austrian Academy of Sciences, 1020 Vienna, Austria; [†]Department of Anthropology, Institute of History, Archaeology and Ethnology, Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok 690001, Russia; ^{*}Laboratory of Monitoring of Destabilization Risks, National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow 125267, Russia; [†]Institute of Archaeology, University of Dendon, London WC1H DPY, United Kingdom; ^mBig History Institute, Macquarie University, Sydney NSW 2109, Australia; ^{*}Department of Religious Studies, Chapman University, Orange, CA 92866; ^{*}East Asian Languages and Civilizations, Ha

Author contributions: T.E.C., P.T., H.W., P.F., K.F., D.M., D.H., C. Collins, S.G., P.S., G.M.-G., E.T., A.D., E.C., J.R., J.L., G.J., E. Brandl, A.W., R.C., M.K., A. Cecceralli, J.F.-R., P.-J.T., P.P., A.M., J.P.-K., N.K., A. Korotayev, A.P., D.B., J. Bidmead, P.B., D.C., C. Cook, A. Covey, G.F., Á.D.J., A. Kristinsson, J. Miksic, R.M., C.P., P.R.-G., B.t.H., V.W., V.M., L.X., J. Baines, E. Bridges, J. Manning, B.L., A.B., and C.S. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Published under the PNAS license.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: t.currie@exeter.ac.uk. Published online June 14, 2018.

2702 72 viginal, no team by paper

"would likely have been higher had Turchin et al.'s data also allowed us to reconstruct agricultural intensity and urbanization." In fact, our variable "capital population" is a proxy for urbanization (i.e., more urbanized societies would have larger population centers). Agricultural intensity (if interpreted as agricultural productivity rather than just whether agriculture is practiced) is likely to be strongly correlated with the complexity variables that we included in our study (4).

Finally, Tosh et al. (1) question our use of multiple imputation to deal with missing data. The number of counterintuitive cases produced appears low, and we already demonstrated that our results were robust with respect to the multiple imputation procedure and the degree of missing data. These techniques can always be improved, but we strongly disagree with Tosh et al.'s suggestion that it would be "wiser for researchers to estimate intervals manually" (1). A major guiding principle of the Seshat project is to reflect not only what is known but also what is

unknown, by which we mean that experts do not have sufficient information to enter a value. Entering values manually based on guesses risks introducing unexplored assumptions and serious biases. Our paper is the product of a deep engagement between scientists and humanities scholars across a number of disciplines (5, 6). We aim to capture information across large expanses of space and time in a systematic but thoughtful way that is sensitive to the challenges of the historical and archaeological records.

We fully recognize that in a study of this magnitude, there will inevitably be inconsistencies, disagreements, and the need to modify or update the databank as new information becomes available. We have created a website (seshatdatabank.info/data/) to enable all to view our data and see the reasons behind coding decisions. We invite experts on past societies to use this web resource to make suggestions as to where data can be improved or added.

- 1 Tosh N, Ferguson J, Seoighe C (2018) History by the numbers? Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115:E5840.
- 2 Turchin P, et al. (2018) Quantitative historical analysis uncovers a single dimension of complexity that structures global variation in human social organization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 115:E144–E151.
- 3 Chick G (1997) Cultural complexity: The concept and its measurement. Cross-Cultural Res 31:275-307.
- **4** Currie TE, et al. (2015) Agricultural productivity in past societies: Toward an empirically informed model for testing cultural evolutionary hypotheses. *Cliodynamics* 6:24–56.
- 5 Francois P, et al. (2016) A macroscope for global history. Seshat Global History Databank, a methodological overview. DHQ Available at www.digitalhumanities. org/dhq/vol/10/4/000272/000272.html. Accessed June 6, 2018.
- 6 Turchin P, et al. (2015) Seshat: The Global History Databank. Cliodynamics 6:77–107.