Zerynthia polyxena Locally Monophagous on Aristolochia pallida in the Susa Valley

(Article begins on next page)

15 October 2023

1   *Zerynthia polyxena* locally monophagous on *Aristolochia pallida* in the Susa Valley

2   IRENE PICCINI[a], VIVIANA DI PIETRO[ab], SIMONA BONELLI[a]

3

4   [a] Department of Life Sciences and System Biology, University of Turin, Via Accademia Albertina 13, Turin, Italy

5   [b] Department of Biology, KU Leuven, Naamsestraat 59, 3000 Leuven, Belgium.

6   Corresponding Author: Irene Piccini: irene.piccini@unito.it

7

Abstract

9   In insect-plant biology, oviposition choices and larval development on different host plants are crucial

10   factors to be investigated. To design conservation strategies for protected Lepidoptera, which are

11   overall oligophagous but locally monophagous, it is important to understand which host plant species

12   is locally preferred. We thus investigated oviposition choices and larval development of the protected

13   butterfly *Zerynthia polyxena* in controlled laboratory conditions, using three possible host plant

14   species which are present in the Piedmont region: *Aristolochia pallida*, *A. clematitis* and *A. rotunda*.

15   We found that laboratory conditions are not favorable for *Z. polyxena* oviposition, even if the fertility

16   of the females was in normal range for Papilionidae. However, we were able to understand the local

17   monophagy of the species on *A. pallida* in the Susa Valley through larval survival and development

18   stages. Egg hatching was similar among the three host plant species; however, even if larvae eat and

19   grow similarly on the different host plant species until the third larval stage, the only larvae that

20   reached the pupal stage were those fed with *A. pallida*.

21   In conclusion, whereas *Z. polyxena* is oligophagous in the rest of Europe for the genus *Aristolochia*,

22   the species is locally monophagous on *A. pallida* in the Susa Valley.

23

24   Keywords: oviposition, larval host plant, larval growth, butterflies, laboratory rearing.

25

Introduction

27   Oviposition preference and larval performance on different host plants are central topics in insect–

28   plant biology (Renwick and Chew 1994, Xue et al. 2007, García-Barros and Fartmann 2009). Indeed,

29   for most herbivorous insects, first larval instars do not switch the host plant on which they were

30   hatched. Thus oviposition, and in particular host plant selection, is a crucial factor for future

31   development of larvae (e.g. Gripenberg et al. 2010). The host plant choice might be related to genetic

32   adaptation to the local host plant species (e.g. Kuussaari et al. 2000) or to Hopkins' host selection

33   principle (Hopkins 1917), for which females lay eggs on the host plant species where they were

34   hatched (Ning et al. 2018). Moreover, for the *performance hypothesis*, host plant species selection is

35   linked to larval growing performance (e.g. Cini et al. 2019), which might also be linked to host plant

36   local abundance, phenology, nutritional quality and chemical defenses (e.g.Awmack and Leather

37   2002). Some oligophagous Lepidoptera species can be locally monophagous (e.g.Meister et al. 2015).

38   For these species, host plant distribution is a key factor in shaping butterfly spatial distribution (e.g.

39   Čelik 2012) and, therefore, oviposition choice and larval development on different host species are

40   crucial aspects that require investigations for conservation purposes.

41   The southern festoon, *Zerynthia polyxena*, is a Papilionidae butterfly protected in the EU (Habitats

42   Directive 92/43/EEC) and it is currently declining in Europe and Italy (evaluated together with *Z.*

43   *cassandra* before 2013: Van Swaay et al. 2010, Bonelli et al. 2011. *Z. polyxena,* evaluated separately

44   from *Z. cassandra* was estimated Least Concern in the Italian Red List Bonelli et al. 2018. It is a

45   univoltine butterfly that can be found from Central and Southern Europe to the Balkans and Asia

46   Minor. Like other *Zerynthia* species, it is considered oligophagous on *Aristolochia* spp. Middleton-

47   Welling et al. 2020, but it is recorded as locally monophagous (Table 1; *Z. polyxena*, Batáry et al.

48   2008, Čelik 2012; *Z. cerisy*, Slancarova et al. 2015; *Z. cassandra,* Vovlas et al. 2014, Camerini et al.

49   2018, Ghesini et al. 2018, Cini et al. 2019. Understanding if the species are locally monophagous is

50   crucial in order to detect vulnerable species and anticipate a possible mismatch between butterfly-

51   plant phenology (e.g. Cerrato et al. 2016). If this is the case, *ad hoc* conservation strategies need to

52   be planned accordingly.

53

54 Table 1: *Zerynthia* species, their host plant species and the locality. The definitions of the type of

55 phagism in the second column follow Middleton-Welling et al. 2020.

56

57   Because more than one *Aristolochia* spp. are present in Italy, the aim of this study is to

58 investigate if *Z. polyxena* is locally monophagous in the Susa Valley by examining:

59 ● *Z. polyxena* oviposition choices on different host plants;

60 ● the influence of different host plant species on survival, larval growth and feeding rates,

61   through controlled laboratory rearing.

62

Materials and methods

64 In the Piedmont region of Italy, there are three *Aristolochia* species (*A. pallida*, *A clematitis* and *A.*

65 *rotunda*) and two *Zerynthia* species (*Z. polyxena* and *Z. cassandra)* (Dapporto 2010, Zinetti et al.

66 2013, Fig. 1). In the study area (place 45°07'47.3"N 6°59'16.0"E) a large *Z. polyxena* metapopulation

67 occurs and both *A. pallida* and *A. clematitis* are present. All these *Aristolochia* species are known to

68 be host plants of *Zerynthia* species in Europe (Örvössy et al. 2005, Vovlas et al. 2014, Camerini et

69 al. 2018).

70
71 Fig. 1: Distribution map of *Aristolochia* species and *Zerynthia polyxena* and *Z. cassandra* in the

72 Piedmont region (research verified data from iNaturalist confirming *Aristolochia* distribution by

73 Nardi 1984).

74
75 **Oviposition experimental design**

76 The oviposition choices of *Z. polyxena* were investigated by conducting both no-choice (only one

77 host plant species at a time) and multi-choice (all three species together) tests.

78   *Aristolochia* plants were collected in the field and three plants of the same species were

79   planted in each pot. Pots were also prepared with flowers, specifically *Viola* and *Muscari* species

80   flowering since the middle of March. About 60 pots in total were prepared. To avoid the possibility

81   that secondary metabolites produced as a consequence of replantation might affect oviposition, each

82   pot was chilled for at least 48 hours before being used for experiments.

83   **No-choice preference tests** were performed by placing three pots of the same species in a

84   cage (1m × 1m × 1m) where 5 females and a variable number of males (Table A1 in Appendix), all

85   collected in the field during the same morning, were introduced. The adults were left in the cage for

86   24 hours, after which they were removed and the eggs that they deposited were counted. The same

87   preference test was performed for all the plant species, with different individuals and different pots,

88   and we replicated the test 3 times per species (for a total of 9 tests).

89   **Multi-choice preference tests** were performed by placing three potted plants, one *A.*

90   *clematitis*, one *A. rotunda* and one *A. pallida* in a cage (1m × 1m × 1m) where 5 females and a variable

91   number of males (Table A1), all collected in the field during the same morning, were introduced. All

92   the plants selected for the experiment showed (had) a similar amount of leaves. The test was

93   performed 6 times with different adults and different pots. The adults were left in the cage for 24

94   hours, after which they were removed and the eggs that they deposited were counted.

95   Males were added in the preference tests because in an experimental trial a mating was

96   recorded (conversely to what was observed by Cini et al. 2019. Cages were placed on the table at the

97   windows south-facing in the laboratory and half of the cages were exposed to direct sunlight during

98   the central hours of the day. At the end of the experiments, all the adults were released in the same

99   location where they were collected.

100   The butterflies that did not survive the oviposition tests were replaced with new ones. In order

101   to investigate the **fertility** of the dead butterflies, all the abdomens were dissected and the number of

102   mature eggs counted, which are easily identified thanks to their yellow color and bigger size

103   compared to the non-mature ones which appear whitish and small (Watanabe et al. 1986). The size

104   of the mature eggs and the presence of spermatheca were also recorded.

105   To understand oviposition preferences, other experimental trials were conducted but brought

106   no significant results and thus are not presented here (see details in the Appendix).

107

108   **Larval rearing experiments**

109   From April 15th, 2019 to May 15th, 2019 (the oviposition period of the species), 78 eggs

110   belonging to 13 clusters in the field were collected. In the laboratory, each egg was carefully removed

111   from the deposition leaf and then moved to new leaves, equally dividing the eggs among the three

112   *Aristolochia spp.* under investigation (26 eggs per species; Table A2 in Appendix). When possible,

113   eggs belonging to the same clusters were equally divided among all three possible host plant species.

114   Each egg with its leaf was placed singularly in a 5 cm diameter Petri dish (according to Jordano and

115   Gomariz 1994) and its development from hatching until pupation was recorded. After the egg

116   hatched, each leaf that housed the larvae was weighed and the petiole of the leaf was placed inside

117   moist cotton to maintain its turgor (Cini et al. 2019). Each Petri dish was placed in a climatic chamber

118   (Memmert HPP260) where both temperature and humidity were kept constant at 20°C and 60%

119   respectively, with a 12L:12D photo-period. The temperature and humidity levels selected correspond

120   to an average recorded during the 10 days prior to the start of the experiment.

121   Each Petri dish was checked every day to control the larvae growth, the possible change of

122   instar (evaluated by the presence of exuvia) and the leaf turgor. Unconsumed leaf materials and new

123   leaves were weighed and changed every 2 days. In addition, the larvae were measured (weight and

124   length) as they hatched and each time they changed larval instar. From the third instar onwards, they

125   were measured every two days.

126 Following Cini et al. (2019), when larvae were close to pupation, recognizable from their reduced

127 mobility and food intake, they were moved to a plastic box together with a stem of the host plant and

128 a wood stick in order to facilitate pupation. Once the pupation process was completed, the pupae were

129 measured and then left in the box in an unheated room until the next season. The next spring, the

130 butterflies that emerged from those pupae were released in the same area where the eggs were

131 collected.

132

133 **Statistical analysis**

134   To understand if hatching might depend on the host plant species where the egg was placed,

135 hatched (1) and not-hatched (0) eggs were modelled using a generalized linear model (GLM,

136 Binomial, maximum likelihood fit) where host plant species were used as additive categorical

137 explanatory variables. Egg clusters were added as random intercept term.

138   To understand if larval survival depends on host plants, non parametric Kaplan-Meier method

139 in R survival package was applied using life length (in days) as response variable.. Then we used Cox

140 proportional-hazard regression.

141   Larval lengths and weights were positively correlated (Pearson correlation = 0.93, p<0.001).

142 Given this correlation, larval lengths were modelled in a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM,

143 Gamma, maximum likelihood fit) where host plant species were added as an additive explanatory

144 variable. To adjust for multiple observations of the same larvae, it was decided to include larval code

145 as a random intercept and measurement day as a random slope term.

146   To understand if larval feeding depends on the host plant species, we modelled subtractions

147 of initial minus final leaf weights divided by days in which the leaf was left in the Petri in a

148 generalized linear mixed model (GLMM, Gamma, maximum likelihood fit) where the host plant

149 species was added as additive explanatory variable. To adjust for multiple observations of the same

150 larvae, and eating differences related to the larval stage, larval code and stage were included as a

151 random intercept.

152   This model was fitted using the 'stats' package in the R (v3.2.1) statistical and programming

153 environment (R Core Team 2005). For all the models *A. clematitis* was used as reference category.

154

155 **Results**

156 The results showed great difficulty in carrying out oviposition experiments in a laboratory

157 environment. Among 15 tests with a total of 75 *Z. polyxena* females, a cluster of 4 eggs was laid

158 during only one no-choice oviposition test. Of the 75 females, 26 died from unidentified causes

159 without laying eggs. From the dissection of their abdomens, a mean of 42±28 eggs (min=13;

160 max=100) of about 0.70/0.80 mm length (min=0.60/0.70mm; max=0.80/0.90mm; Fig. A1 in

161 Appendix) was found. Moreover, 31% of those abdomens included a full spermatheca, in 42% the

162 spermatheca was empty, and in 27% was uncertain.

163   Even if different amount of eggs hatched on different host plants (24, 19 and 22 eggs hatched

164 respectively on *A. pallida*, *A. clematitis* and *A. rotunda*.), the analysis found that hatching is not

165 dependent on the host plant species where eggs (N=78) were placed on (*A. pallida*: N=26, Z=1.89,

166 p=0.059; *A. rotunda*: N=26, Z=1.30, p=0.193). However, the data shows that larval lifespans do

167 depend on feeding plant species (Fig. 2a; mean lifespan on *A. clematitis* 2,5 days, on *A pallida* 13,0

168 days and *A. rotunda* 6,3 days; Fig. 2b; Kaplan–Meier test Chi square = 15.1, d.f. = 2, p < 0.001***).

169 From Cox regression analysis, the survival was significantly higher on *A. pallida* and *A. rotunda* than

170 *A. clematitis* (*A. pallida:* Z= -3.75, p<0.001***; *A. rotunda:* Z= -2.28, p=0.022*), but only larvae

171 reared on *A. pallida* reached pupal stage (N 2 larvae). The larval median life span differed when

172 reared on the three species: *A. clematitis* 2 days; *A pallida* 9,5 days and *A. rotunda* 3 days.

173

174 Fig. 2: Results on larval life length on different host plant species. a) Egg hatching and larval

175 development as a function over time and b) results from Kaplan–Meier method on larval life length

176 on three different host plant species. In a) only two larvae reached the pupal stage when reared on *A.*

177 *pallida*.

178

179

180   Larval growth was similar until the third larval stage (Fig. 3a), but starting from the fourth

181 stage most of the larvae survive only on *A. pallida*. There were not any significant differences among

182 lengths (N=120 measures) between larvae fed on different host plant species (Fig. 3b; *A. pallida*: t

183 value=-0.75, p=0.454; *A. rotunda*: t value=-0.77, p=0.441). Moreover, no differences were detected

184 on the feeding rate (N=169 leaves) among larvae reared on different host plant species (Fig. A2 in

185 Appendix; *A. pallida*: t value=0.96, p=0.338; *A. rotunda*: t value=1.16, p=0.245).

186

187 Fig. 3: Results on the sizes of larvae growing on different host plant species. a) Larval length along

188 as a function over time and b) Boxplots of larval length divided for each larval stage and host plant

189 species.

190

Discussion

192   This study investigated adult oviposition choice, larval growth, and survival of *Z. polyxena*

193 under controlled laboratory conditions. From the oviposition choice tests, it was not possible to assess

194 a preference of the adult towards one of the three plant species. Indeed, females laid eggs (1 cluster

195 with 4 eggs) in only one test out of a total of 15. To understand if avoidance of oviposition was linked

196 to intrinsic fertility of those *Z. polyxena* females, eggs within the abdomens of those females that died

197 during the oviposition tests (26 out of 75 - 34%) were counted. This analysis showed that the mean

198 number and size of mature eggs (42±28 mature eggs and 0.70/0.80 mm egg diameter length) was

199 consistent with other Papilionidae species (see Watanabe et al. 1986). Moreover, a sperm-full

200 spermatheca was recorded in 31% of those females (Fig. A1 in Appendix). A possible explanation

201 for the lack of oviposition could be the fact that laboratory conditions might be not ideal for *Z.*

202 *polyxena.* Even if the cages were larger than those typically used (e.g. Quacchia et al. 2008, Näsvall

203 et al. 2021), and light and temperature were maintained in accordance with field base conditions,

204 females did not lay eggs (with one exception). Cini et al. (2019) experienced similar egg-laying

205 avoidance for *Z. cassandra.* Even if these failures are rarely reported in scientific papers, some

206 research confirmed rearing difficulties and high mortality among adult butterflies (e.g. Herms et al.

207 2017, Näsvall et al. 2021).

208 Despite the challenges cited above, it is possible to infer the plant preference by looking at

209 the results of the larval development. Local monophagy might be driven by larval performance (in

210 accordance with Wiklund et al. 2018). Contrary to other parts of Europe where *Z. polyxena* is

211 oligophagous or monophagous on *A. clematitis* (Batáry et al. 2008)*,* laboratory rearing showed that

212 larvae have a higher rate of survival on *A. pallida*. For *Z. Cassandra* in central Italy*,* the association

213 with host plants is not so strong, even if *adults* that were reared on *A. rotunda* before pupation, showed

214 a longer life-span (Cini et al. 2019). In this study, six larvae (25% of larvae) reached the fifth instar

215 of which only two larvae reached the pupal stage and all of them were reared on *A. pallida*. This

216 might be related to the phenological status of the host plant that affects oviposition (Patricelli et al.

217 2011). It has already recorded for *Maculinea* (=*Phengaris*) *arion* that changes oviposition preferences

218 in relation the host plant species phenological status: females that fly during spring lay eggs

219 on *Thymus spp.,* while those that fly during summer prefer to lay eggs on *Origanum vulgare*

220 (Bereczki et al. 2014, Osváth-Ferencz et al. 2017). Even for *Euphydryas matura* larvae, host plant

221 phenology might be a key factor. This butterfly usually lays eggs on *Fraxinus excelsior* (except in

222 Finland), but larvae might change host plant after hibernation due to host plant availability – as

223 occurs in Austria – or to phenology – as occurs in Italy (Dolek et al. 2013). In this study area, *A.*

224 *pallida* has a different phenological status compared with *A. clematitis* which develops and is

9

abundant only at the end of the flight period of *Z. polyxena* adults (personal observation). This suggests that, due to a phenological mismatch between the adults' flight period and host plant phenology, the population in the north of Italy has undergone local adaptation in response to the local abundance of *A. pallida* (Janz et al. 2001). Indeed, it has already proven for *Zerynthia cassandra* that the host plant phenology has a strong effect on oviposition preferences (for example presence of flowers is positively correlated with the abundance of eggs; e.g. Cini et al. 2019).

Furthermore, since different species of *Aristolochia* differ in the amount of aristolochic acids they contain (see Cateni et al. 1993), *Z. polyxena* in the Susa Valley might have developed characteristics that lead the population to better tolerate the specific amount of aristolochic acids present in *A. pallida,* rather than in *A. clematitis* or *A. rotunda*. As for the latter, even if it has a similar phenology to *A. pallida*, it is not abundant in the North West of the Piedmont region. This might explain why larvae reared *A. clematitis* avoided to eat while those reared on *A. rotunda* do not reach the fourth instar (except for one that died after few days). Indeed, the accumulation of different amount of aristolochic acids might be tolerated until a certain level. Larvae have similar growth in terms of length and weight and have eaten a similar amount of leaves when reared on *A. pallida* and *A. rotunda* (Fig. A2 in Appendix) but most of them do not reach the fourth instar. Moreover, some species might be more tolerant than others. Indeed, *Battus philenor* does not experience different larval survival rates when fed on *Aristolochia californica* or on the same species with supplemented aristolochic acids (Fordyce 2001). As a caveat, different larval length and weight did not take into account that females might be larger than males (Cini et al. 2019).

In conclusion, *Z. polyxena* in Susa Valley is locally monophagous on *A. pallida*, contrary to what has been observed in the rest of the EU, where normally *A. clematitis* is preferred (Batáry et al. 2008). These two *Aristolochia* species, even if sympatric in most parts of Italy, show different microhabitat preference in terms of tree cover, light exposition, and elevation range (Pignatti et al. 2017). Given the strong association between insect and host plant, especially for locally monophagous species, this result is particularly important for conservation purposes. Indeed, *Z. polyxena* distribution and

251 abundance is strongly linked to the presence of host plants and micro-habitat conditions such as sun

252 exposure (e.g. Čelik 2012, Cini et al. 2021), that might be change in relation to host plant species.

253 Moreover, for a successful conservation plan that included a host plant transplantation (as already

254 carried out in the province of Bologna by Ghesini et al. 2018) it is crucial to know exactly which host

255 plant species is locally used by *Z. polyxena*.

256

257

267

268 SB and IP conceived the ideas and designed methodology; IP and VDP collected the data; IP analysed

269 the data; IP and VDP led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts

270 and gave final approval for publication.

271

272

References

273 **Awmack, C. S., and S. R. Leather. 2002.** Host plant quality and fecundity in herbivorous insects.
274   Annual review of entomology 47: 817-844.
275

276 **Batáry, P., N. Örvössy, Á. Kőrösi, and L. Peregovits. 2008.** Egg distribution of the southern festoon
277   (Zerynthia polyxena)(Lepidoptera, Papilionidae). Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum
278   Hungaricae 54: 401-410.

279 **Bereczki, J., J. P. Toth, G. Sramkó, and Z. Varga. 2014.** Multilevel studies on the two phenological
280   forms of Large Blue (Maculinea arion)(Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae). Journal of Zoological
281   Systematics and Evolutionary Research 52: 32-43.

282 **Bonelli, S., C. Cerrato, N. Loglisci, and E. Balletto. 2011.** Population extinctions in the Italian
283   diurnal Lepidoptera: an analysis of possible causes. Journal of Insect Conservation 15: 879-
284   890.

285 **Bonelli, S., L. P. Casacci, F. Barbero, C. Cerrato, L. Dapporto, V. Sbordoni, S. Scalercio, A.**
286   **Zilli, A. Battistoni, and C. Teofili. 2018.** The first red list of Italian butterflies. Insect
287   Conservation and Diversity 11: 506-521.

288 **Camerini, G., R. Groppali, and T. Minerbi. 2018.** Observations on the ecology of the endangered
289   butterfly Zerynthia cassandra in a protected area of Northern Italy. Journal of insect
290   conservation 22: 41-49.

291 **Cateni, F., L. Coassini Lokar, F. Martini, and E. Vrech. 1993.** Aristolochic acids variation and
292   distribution in some Aristolochia clematitis l. populations.

293 **Čelik, T. 2010.** Conservation assessment of the butterfly fauna along the River Sava between Krško
294   and the state border. Acta Biologica Slovenica 53: 71-97.

295 **Čelik, T. 2010b.** An inventory of butterfly fauna and habitats along the River Sava between Litij and
296   Zidani most., pp. 211-292. In M. Govedič, V. Grobelnik and A. Lešnik (eds.), An Inventory
297   of Animal and Plant Species and Habitat Types with Regard to the Species of Community
298   Interest, Ecologically Important Areas and Protected Areas along the River Sava between
299   Hydroelectric Power Station Medvode and Hydroelectric Power Station Vrhovo. Center za
300   kartografijo favne in flore, Miklavž na Dravskem polju.

301 **Čelik, T. 2012.** Adult demography, spatial distribution and movements of Zerynthia polyxena
302   (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae) in a dense network of permanent habitats. European Journal of
303   Entomology 109.

304 **Cerrato, C., V. Lai, E. Balletto, and S. Bonelli. 2016.** Direct and indirect effects of weather
305   variability in a specialist butterfly. Ecological Entomology 41: 263-275.

306   Cini, A., A. Bordoni, G. Ghisolfi, L. Lazzaro, L. Platania, L. Pasquali, R. Negroni, F. Benetello,
307   A. Coppi, and F. Zanichelli. 2019. Host plant selection and differential survival on two
308   Aristolochia L. species in an insular population of Zerynthia cassandra. Journal of Insect
309   Conservation 23: 239-246.

310   Cini, A., F. Benetello, L. Platania, A. Bordoni, S. Boschi, E. Franci, G. Ghisolfi, L. Pasquali, R.
311   Negroni, and L. Dapporto. 2021. A sunny spot: habitat management through vegetation cuts
312   increases oviposition in abandoned fields in an endemic Mediterranean butterfly. Insect
313   Conservation and Diversity.

314   Dapporto, L. 2010. Speciation in Mediterranean refugia and post-glacial expansion of Zerynthia
315   polyxena (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae). Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary
316   Research 48: 229-237.

317   Dolek, M., A. Freese-Hager, A. Geyer, E. Balletto, and S. Bonelli. 2013. Multiple oviposition and
318   larval feeding strategies in Euphydryas maturna (Linné, 1758)(Nymphalidae) at two disjoint
319   European sites. Journal of Insect Conservation 17: 357-366.

320   Fordyce, J. A. 2001. The lethal plant defense paradox remains: inducible host-plant aristolochic acids
321   and the growth and defense of the pipevine swallowtail. Entomologia experimentalis et
322   applicata 100: 339-346.

323   García-Barros, E., and T. Fartmann. 2009. Butterfly oviposition: sites, behaviour and modes.
324   Ecology of Butterflies in Europe. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: 29-42.

325   Ghesini, S., S. Magagnoli, and M. Marini. 2018. Biology and conservation of Zerynthia cassandra
326   (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae) in semi-natural environments and agricultural landscapes. Journal
327   of insect conservation 22: 151-161.

328   Ghesini, S., A. De Faveri, and M. Marini. 2019. A successful habitat patch creation for Zerynthia
329   cassandra. Bulletin of Insectology 72: 261-266.

330   Gripenberg, S., P. J. Mayhew, M. Parnell, and T. Roslin. 2010. A meta-analysis of preference–
331   performance relationships in phytophagous insects. Ecology letters 13: 383-393.

332   Herms, C. P., D. G. McCullough, D. L. Miller, L. S. Bauer, and R. A. Haack. 2017. Laboratory
333   rearing of Lycaeides melissa samuelis (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae), an endangered butterfly in
334   Michigan. The Great Lakes Entomologist 29: 2.

335   Hopkins, A. D. 1917. A discussion of CG Hewitt's paper on "Insect Behaviour". J. econ. Entomol
336   10: 92-93.

337   Janz, N., K. Nyblom, and S. Nylin. 2001. Evolutionary dynamics of host-plant specialization: a case
338   study of the tribe Nymphalini. Evolution 55: 783-796.

339   **Jordano, D., and G. Gomariz. 1994.** Variation in phenology and nutritional quality between host
340   plants and its effect on larval performance in a specialist butterfly, Zerynthia rumina.
341   Entomologia experimentalis et applicata 71: 271-277.

342   **Kuussaari, M., M. Singer, and I. Hanski. 2000.** Local specialization and landscape-level influence
343   on host use in an herbivorous insect. Ecology 81: 2177-2187.

344   **Meister, H., L. Lindman, and T. Tammaru. 2015.** Testing for local monophagy in the regionally
345   oligophagous Euphydryas aurinia (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae). Journal of insect conservation
346   19: 691-702.

347   **Middleton-Welling, J., L. Dapporto, E. García-Barros, M. Wiemers, P. Nowicki, E. Plazio, S.**
348   **Bonelli, M. Zaccagno, M. Šašić, and J. Liparova. 2020.** A new comprehensive trait
349   database of European and Maghreb butterflies, Papilionoidea. Scientific Data 7: 1-10.

350   **Nardi, E. 1984.** The genus «Aristolochia» L.(Aristolochiaceae) in Italy. Webbia 38: 221-300.

351   **Näsvall, K., C. Wiklund, V. Mrazek, A. Künstner, V. Talla, H. Busch, R. Vila, and N.**
352   **Backström. 2021.** Host plant diet affects growth and induces altered gene expression and
353   microbiome composition in the wood white (Leptidea sinapis) butterfly. Molecular ecology
354   30: 499-516.

355   **Ning, S. Y., H. Y. Yang, D. S. Fan, and J. N. Feng. 2018.** Influence of larval experience on
356   preference of a subterranean insect Delia antiqua on Allium hosts. Journal of Applied
357   Entomology 142: 263-271.

358   **Örvössy, N., Á. Kőrösi, Á. Vozár, P. Batáry, and L. Peregovits. 2005.** Microhabitat preference of
359   the Southern Festoon (Zerynthia polyxena). Studies on the ecology and conservation of
360   butterflies in Europe 1: 24.

361   **Osváth-Ferencz, M., S. Bonelli, P. Nowicki, L. Peregovits, L. Rákosy, M. Sielezniew, A. Kostro-**
362   **Ambroziak, I. Dziekańska, and Á. Kőrösi. 2017.** Population demography of the endangered
363   large blue butterfly Maculinea arion in Europe. Journal of Insect Conservation 21: 411-422.

364   **Patricelli, D., F. Barbero, V. La Morgia, L. P. Casacci, M. Witek, E. Balletto, and S. Bonelli.**
365   **2011.** To lay or not to lay: oviposition of Maculinea arion in relation to Myrmica ant presence
366   and host plant phenology. Animal Behaviour 82: 791-799.

367   **Pignatti, S., R. Guarino, and M. La Rosa. 2017.** Flora d'italia, vol. 1.

368   **Quacchia, A., C. Ferracini, S. Bonelli, E. Balletto, and A. Alma. 2008.** Can the Geranium Bronze,
369   Cacyreus marshalli, become a threat for European biodiversity? Biodiversity and
370   Conservation 17: 1429-1437.

371   **R Core Team 2005.** R: a language and environment interaction for statistical computing computer
372   program, version By R Core Team, Vienna, Austria.

14

373 **Renwick, J. A. A., and F. S. Chew. 1994.** Oviposition behavior in Lepidoptera. Annual review of
374   entomology 39: 377-400.

375 **Slancarova, J., P. Vrba, M. Platek, M. Zapletal, L. Spitzer, and M. Konvicka. 2015.** Co-
376   occurrence of three Aristolochia-feeding Papilionids (Archon apollinus, Zerynthia polyxena
377   and Zerynthia cerisy) in Greek Thrace. Journal of Natural History 49: 1825-1848.

378 **Špiljar, A. 2007.** Ekološke raziskave populacije ogrožene vrste metulja petelinčka [Zerynthia
379   polyxena (Denis & Schiffermüller, 1775), Lepidoptera] na območju Konjišča ob Muri.
380   [Ecological Researches of Threatened Butterfly Zerynthia polyxena (Denis & Schiffermüller,
381   1775) (Lepidoptera) at Konjišþe on River Mura., Maribor University Slovenia.

382 **Van Swaay, C. C., A. Cuttelod, S. Collins, D. Maes, M. L. Munguira, M. Šašić, J. Settele, R.**
383   **Verovnik, T. Verstrael, and M. Warren. 2010.** European red list of butterflies.

384 **Verovnik, R., T. Čelik, V. Grobelnik, A. Šalamun, T. Sečen, and M. Govedič. 2009.** Vzpostavitev
385   monitoringa izbranih ciljnih vrst metuljev (Lepidoptera). [Monitoring of Selected Lepidoptera
386   Species in Slovenia.] University of Ljubljana, Slovenia.

387 **Vovlas, A., E. Balletto, E. Altini, D. Clemente, and S. Bonelli. 2014.** Mobility and oviposition site-
388   selection in Zerynthia cassandra (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae): implications for its
389   conservation. Journal of insect conservation 18: 587-597.

390 **Watanabe, M., K. NozAto, and K. Kiritani. 1986.** Studies on ecology and behavior of Japanese
391   black swallowtail butterflies (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae): V. Fecundity in summer
392   generations. Applied entomology and zoology 21: 448-453.

393 **Wiklund, C., K. Norén, N. Ryman, and M. Friberg. 2018.** Local monophagy and between-site
394   diversity in host use in the European swallowtail butterfly, Papilio machaon. Biological
395   Journal of the Linnean Society 123: 179-190.

396 **Xue, H. J., M. Egas, and X. K. Yang. 2007.** Development of a positive preference–performance
397   relationship in an oligophagous beetle: adaptive learning? Entomologia Experimentalis et
398   Applicata 125: 119-124.

399 **Zinetti, F., L. Dapporto, A. Vovlas, G. Chelazzi, S. Bonelli, E. Balletto, and C. Ciofi. 2013.** When
400   the rule becomes the exception. No evidence of gene flow between two Zerynthia cryptic
401   butterflies suggests the emergence of a new model group. PLoS One 8: e65746.

402

403

404   Fig. 1: Distribution map of *Aristolochia* species and *Zerynthia polyxena* and *Z. cassandra* in the

405   Piedmont region (research verified data from iNaturalist confirming *Aristolochia* distribution by

406   Nardi 1984).

407

408   Fig. 2: Results on larval life length on different host plant species. a) Egg hatching and larval

409   development as a function over time and b) results from Kaplan–Meier method on larval life length

410   on three different host plant species. In a) only two larvae reached the pupal stage when reared on *A.*

411   *pallida*.

412

413   Fig. 3: Results on the sizes of larvae growing on different host plant species. a) Larval length along

414   as a function over time and b) Boxplots of larval length divided for each larval stage and host plant

415   species.

416

417   Table 1: *Zerynthia* species, their host plant species and the locality. Narrow oligophagous definition

418   follows Middleton-Welling et al. 2020.

419

420

| Butterfly species	Phagism	Host plant species	Region	Reference
Z. polyxena	Narrow oligophagous	*A. lutea, A. clematitis, A. pallida*	Slovenia	Špiljar 2007, Verovnik et al. 2009
	Locally monophagous	*A. clematitis*	SE Slovenia	Čelik 2010, 2010b
	Locally monophagous	*A. lutea*	SW Slovenia	Čelik 2012
	Locally monophagous	*A. clematitis*	Central Hungary	Batáry et al. 2008
	Narow oligophagous	*A. pallida, A. rotunda, A. clematitis*	Greek Thrace	Slancarova et al. 2015
	Locally monophagous	*A. pallida*	Piedmont (Italy)	This study
Z. cerisy	Narrow oligophagous	*A. clematitis, A. hirta, A. rotunda*	Greek Thrace	Slancarova et al. 2015
Z. cassandra	Narrow oligophagous	*A. lutea, A. rotunda*	Elba island (Italy)	Cini et al. 2019, Cini et al. 2021
	Locally monophagous	*A. rotunda*	Piedmont, Pulia, Emilia-Romagna and Lombardia (Italy)	Vovlas et al. 2014, Camerini et al. 2018, Ghesini et al. 2018, Ghesini et al. 2019;

421
422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

a

b

430

431