



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Effect of agronomic programmes with different susceptibility to deoxynivalenol risk on emerging contamination in winter wheat

This is the author's manuscript
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1634423 since 2017-05-16T10:34:48Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1016/j.eja.2017.01.001
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)





This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF AGRONOMY, 85, 2017, 10.1016/j.eja.2017.01.001.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

(1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.

(2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.

(3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en), 10.1016/j.eja.2017.01.001

The publisher's version is available at: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1161030117300072

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text: http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1634423

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

1 EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF AGRONOMY

Title: Effect of agronomic programmes with different 2 deoxynivalenol risk susceptibility to emerging on 3 contamination in winter wheat. 4

- 5
- 6 <u>Authors:</u>
- 7 Massimo Blandino^{a*}, Valentina Scarpino^a, Michael Sulyok^b, Rudolf Krska^b, Amedeo
 8 Reyneri^a
- 9
- 10 Affiliation:
- ¹¹ ^a University of Torino, Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari, Largo P.
- 12 Braccini 2, I-10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy.
- ¹³ ^b Center for Analytical Chemistry, Department for Agrobiotechnology (IFA-Tulln), University
- of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna (BOKU), Konrad-Lorenz-Str. 20, Tulln
 3430, Austria.
- 16
- 17
- 18 *Corresponding author: Massimo Blandino
- 19 Phone +39 011 6708895, massimo.blandino@unito.it

21 Abstract

Deoxynivalenol (DON) is the most prevalent mycotoxin in small cereal crops throughout the world, and its occurrence is closely linked to the presence of Fusarium Head Blight (FHB) disease.

In order to minimize the sanitary risk, wheat cropping systems are commonly designed to control DON contamination, as this represents the main target contaminant. However, several other mycotoxins and secondary metabolites produced by *Fusarium* and other fungal species have been detected in wheat. The objective of this study was to evaluate whether the application of agronomic programmes with different susceptibility to DON contamination could also affect the occurrence of emerging mycotoxins in wheat kernels.

Field experiments have been conducted in North Italy, under naturally-infected conditions, over a period of 3 growing seasons, by comparing 4 field programmes, which were constituted by the combination of wheat cultivars (a durum wheat variety that is susceptible to DON contamination and a common moderately resistant one) and 2 fungicide applications at heading (untreated control compared to an azole application at heading).

Grain samples have been analyzed by means of a dilute-and-shoot multi-mycotoxin LC-MS/MS method, and 43 fungal metabolites were detected. In addition to DON, the most abundant compounds were aurofusarin, culmorin and deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside, which were detected in all the growing seasons and agronomic strategies. Other trichothecenes and zearalenone derivatives were also found, but in clearly lower concentrations.

Contamination by enniatins and moniliformin, produced by other *Fusarium* species e.g. *Fusarium avenaceum*, alternariol, alternariol methyl ether and tentoxin, produced by *Alternaria* species, has been observed for all the compared growing seasons. The presence of other mycotoxins and secondary metabolites was clearly affected by the

climatic conditions: fumonisin, beauvaricin, bikaverin, fusaric acid and butenolid were
detected in the warmer growing seasons, while chrysogine, infectopyrone, secalonic acid
and ergot alkaloids (sum of 13 toxins) were only found in the more rainy and cool seasons.
Equisetin, decalonectrin, toxin T-2 and HT-2 were only found in traces.

The application of the field programmes clearly affected DON contamination in each growing season: a significant increase in this toxin has been observed moving from the lowest risk agronomic strategy to the highest one. The application of the most favourable DON control field programme (a moderately resistant variety combined with fungicide application at heading) reduced the content of this mycotoxin by 89%, compared to the worst programme (untreated susceptible variety).

The application of the less risky agronomic strategy for DON contamination led to a 56 significant reduction (>84%) of all the other mycotoxins produced by the DON producing 57 fungal species. Moreover, although the considered agronomic factors (variety susceptibility 58 and fungicide application) resulted in a control efficacy that varied in function of the 59 environmental conditions and the type of mycotoxin, the results show a clear reduction 60 trend, after the application of agronomic strategies that are able to minimize the DON 61 content, for almost all the other Fusarium, Alternaria, Claviceps and Penicillium 62 metabolites. 63

The results summarized in this work, which have been obtained under different environmental and agronomical conditions, allow a first assessment to be made of the agronomic strategies that could be applied to control emerging mycotoxins in wheat.

67

KEYWORDS: common wheat, durum wheat, fungicide, fusarium head blight, emergingmycotoxins.

70

71 **ABBREVIATIONS**

3-ADON, 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol; 15-ADON, 15-acetyldeoxynivalenol; AME, alternariol 72 methyl ether; AOH, alternariol; ANOVA, Analysis of variance; AUR, aurofusarin; BEA, 73 beauvericin; BIK, bikaverin; BUT, butenolide; CHRY, chrysogine; CULM, culmorin; DEC, 74 75 decalonectrin; DON, deoxynivalenol; DON-3-G, deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside; EC, European Commission; EFSA, European Food Safety Authority; ENNs, Enniatins A, A₁, B, B₁, B₂; 76 EQU, equisetin; EAs, ergot alkaloids; FA, fusaric acid; FB, fumonisins; FUS, fusaproliferin; 77 GDD, Accumulated growing degree days; GS, Growth stage; INF, infectopyrone; LC-78 MS/MS, Liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry detection; LOD, 79 limit of detection; LOQ, limit of quantification; MON, moniliformin; MR, moderately 80 resistant; MS, mass spectrometry detection; NIV, nivalenol; S, susceptible; TENT, 81 tentoxin; TW, test weight; SAD, secalonic acid; ZEA, zearalenone; ZEA-4-S, zearalenone-82 4-sulphate. 83

1. INTRODUCTION

Mycotoxins are secondary metabolites that are produced by several fungal species, which could have a range of toxic properties, including carcinogenicity and neurotoxicity, as well as developmental and reproductive toxicity for humans and reared animals and could result in illnesses and economic losses (Pestka and Smolinski, 2005).

Among the various agricultural commodities, cereals are most likely to be contaminated, 90 and deoxynivalenol (DON), a type-B trichothecene produced by *Fusarium* spp., is the most 91 prevalent toxin in small cereal crops throughout the world (Larsen et al., 2004). Regulatory 92 93 limits have been set by the European Commission (EC) to protect humans from this mycotoxin exposure through cereal consumption (EC No. 1881/2006 and EC No. 94 1126/2007, with a limit of 1250 and 1750 µg kg⁻¹ in unprocessed common and durum 95 wheat, respectively). The occurrence of DON in wheat and other small cereals is closely 96 linked to the presence of Fusarium Head Blight (FHB) disease, which causes total or 97 partial premature ear senescence and consequent negative impacts on both crop yields 98 and grain guality. Different Fusarium species are involved in promoting this disease, 99 although F. graminearum sensu stricto and F. culmorum, are the most important FHB 100 agents and the main causes of DON accumulation in grains in temperate areas (Yli-101 Mattila, 2010; Somma et al., 2014). 102

Although DON contamination in wheat grains depends on the meteorological conditions, particularly at flowering (van der Fels-Klerx *et al.*, 2013), an important role is played by agronomic factors, such as crop rotation, debris management, variety susceptibility and fungicide applications (Pirgozliev et al., 2003; Koch et al., 2006). At present, the most effective approaches adopted to minimize DON occurrence in wheat are the use of preventive agronomic practices to reduce the pathogen inocula in the field, the cultivation

of varieties that are less susceptible to FHB and the application of fungicides that are 109 effective in controlling *Fusarium* spp, according to an integrated approach that addresses 110 all of the possible risk factors (Blandino et al., 2012). Thus, in order to ensure low sanitary 111 risks, wheat cropping systems in temperate areas are generally designed to control DON 112 contamination, as this is the main target contaminant. However, to date, about 400 113 different mycotoxins have been identified in different commodities, and several of these 114 have been found in cereals (Berthiller et al., 2013). These other fungal metabolites, some 115 of which have been referred to as "emerging" (Streit et al., 2013), have not yet received 116 detailed scientific attention. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) is currently 117 118 working on establishing a scientific opinion on the risks to public health related to the presence of emerging mycotoxins in feeds and food (EFSA, 2010; 2014). Nowadays, it is 119 necessary to collect occurrence data on these mycotoxins in the most important cereal 120 areas in the EU, in order to correctly consider the risk of exposure and to make risk 121 assessments. In addition, there is also a greater interest in verifying the effect of the Good 122 Agricultural Practices (GAP) that are normally applied to control FHB and DON, which is 123 the reference mycotoxin for wheat in temperate areas, on the content of emerging 124 mycotoxins in this crop. Since these compounds could be produced by other Fusarium 125 species that are not directly involved in FHB disease, as well as by other fungal species 126 belonging to the Alternaria, Penicillium and Claviceps families, more detailed knowledge 127 on the environmental and agronomic conditions that promote their occurrence is essential 128 in order to set up field programmes that will be able to minimize the overall sanitary risk for 129 grain. 130

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of agronomic strategies, with different susceptibility to DON, on the occurrence of emerging mycotoxins and fungal metabolites in wheat in different production situations.

134 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

room temperature before use.

135 2.1. Chemicals

Methanol and acetonitrile (both LC gradient grade) were purchased from J.T. Baker (Deventer, The Netherlands); ammonium acetate (MS grade) and glacial acetic acid (p.a.) were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich (Vienna, Austria). Water was purified successively by means of reverse osmosis and a Milli-Q plus system from Millipore (Molsheim, France). All the fungal metabolite standard solutions were stored at -20°C and were brought to

141

142

143 2.2. Field Experimental Design and Samples

The effect of agronomic strategies with different susceptibility to deoxynivalenol contamination on emerging mycotoxin occurrence in wheat was studied in North-West Italy in the 2010-11 growing season at Cigliano (45° 18' N, 8° 01' E; altitude 237 m), in a sandyloam soil (Typic Hapludalfs) and in the 2011-12 and 2012-13 growing seasons at Carmagnola (44° 50' N, 7° 40' E; altitude 245 m) in a loam soil (Typic Udifluvents).

In each growing season, 4 field programmes, resulting from a factorial combination of 2
 wheat cultivars (cv), with different susceptibility to DON contamination, and 2 fungicide
 applications, were compared in naturally-infected field conditions:

a common wheat cv., classified as moderately resistant (MR) to FHB infection and
 DON contamination, combined with an azole fungicide application at heading
 [growth stage (GS) 59] (Zadoks et al., 1974),

an untreated common wheat MR cv.,

a durum wheat cv., classified as susceptible (S) to FHB infection and DON
 contamination, combined with an azole fungicide application at heading (GS59),

an untreated durum wheat S cv.

The common wheat MR cv. was Generale (Consorzio nazionale sementi, Conselice, RA, ltaly), while the durum wheat S cv. was Saragolla (Produttori Sementi Bologna S.p.A., Argelato, BO, Italy). In temperate areas, the durum wheat is characterized by a generally higher susceptibility to FHB than common one, limiting their potential cultivation in these areas.

The applied azole fungicide was prothioconazole [Proline®, Bayer, Italy, emulsifiable concentrate formulation (EC), applied at 0.250 kg of active ingredient (AI) ha⁻¹] and it was sprayed at heading (GS59). The fungicides were applied at the manufacturer's recommended field rates using a 4 nozzle precision sprayer (T-Jeet 110/04) with a fine mist at a slow walk to ensure effective coverage. The delivery pressure at the nozzle head was 324 KPa. No other fungicide was applied to any other GS to control foliar diseases.

The commonly adopted agronomic growing area technique was applied. Briefly, the 170 171 previous crop was maize, the field was ploughed each year, incorporating the debris in the soil, and this was followed by disk harrowing to prepare a proper seedbed. Planting was 172 conducted in 12 cm wide rows at a seeding rate of 450 seeds m⁻² in the last decade of 173 October. The weed control was conducted with isoproturon and diflufenican at wheat 174 tillering (GS 23). A total of 170 kg N ha⁻¹ was applied as a granular ammonium nitrate 175 fertilizer, split into 50 kg N ha⁻¹ at wheat tillering (GS 23), 80 kg N ha⁻¹ at stem elongation 176 (GS 32) and 40 kg N ha⁻¹ at booting (GS 46). The sowing and harvest dates, and the date 177 of fungicide application at heading are reported in Table 1 for each growing season. 178

Each field condition treatment was assigned to an experimental unit using a completely
randomised block design with three replicates. The plot size was 7 x 2 m.

The grain yields were obtained by harvesting the whole plot using a Walter Wintersteiger cereal plot combine-harvester. A subsample was taken from each plot to determine the grain moisture and test weight (TW). The TW was determined using a Dickey-John

GAC2000 grain analysis meter, according to the supplied programme. The grain yield results were adjusted to a 13 % moisture content.

The harvested grains were mixed thoroughly and 2 kg grain samples were taken from each plot to analyze the mycotoxin content.

188

189 2.3. FHB symptoms

FHB incidence and severity were recorded for each plot by carrying out visual evaluations of the disease at the soft dough stage (GS 85). FHB head blight incidence was calculated as the percentage of 200 ears per plot with symptoms.

FHB severity was calculated as the percentage of kernels per ear with symptoms. A scale of 1 to 7 was used in which each numerical value corresponded to a percentage interval of surfaces exhibiting visible symptoms of the disease, according to the following schedule: 1 = 0-5%, 2 = 5-15 %, 3 = 15-30%; 4 = 30-50 %, 5 = 50-75%, 6 = 75-90%, 7 = 90-100% (Parry et al., 1995). The FHB severity scores were converted into percentages of ears exhibiting symptoms, and each score was replaced with the mid-point of the interval.

199

200 2.4. Multi-mycotoxin LC-MS/MS analysis

A 2 kg representative sample of grain from each plot was ground using a ZM 200 Ultra Centrifugal Mill (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany) fitted with a 1 mm aperture sieve, and the resulting whole meal was used directly for the extraction. Five g representative subsamples of the milled material were extracted using 20 mL of a mixture of acetonitrile/water/acetic acid 79:20:1 (v/v/v). After extraction, the samples were centrifuged, diluted 1:1 and injected, as described in detail by Sulyok et al. (2006).

Detection and quantification were performed using a QTrap 5500 LC–MS/MS System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), equipped with a TurbolonSpray electrospray

ionization (ESI) source and a 1290 Series HPLC System (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany).
Chromatographic separation was performed at 25 °C in a Gemini® C18-column, 150×4.6
mm i.d., 5 µm particle size, equipped with a C18 security guard cartridge, 4×3 mm i.d. (all
from Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, US). The chromatographic and mass spectrometric
parameters of the investigated analytes were described by Malachova et al. in 2014. The
results of the mycotoxin concentrations were corrected on the basis of the recovery rate.

215

216 2.5. Statistical analysis

The normal distribution and homogeneity of variances were verified by performing the Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test and the Levene test, respectively.

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted separately for each experiment, to verify 219 the assumption of homogeneity, in order to evaluate the effect of the application of the 220 agronomic strategy on grain yield, test weight, FHB incidence and severity, DON 221 contamination and emerging mycotoxin occurrence using a completely randomized block 222 223 design, in which the agronomic strategy was the independent variable. The incidence and the severity values of fungal ear rot incidence and severity were previously transformed 224 using y'=arcsin $\sqrt{x^*180/\pi}$ as percentage data derived from counting. The fungal metabolite 225 concentrations were transformed using the y'=ln(x+1) equation to normalize the residuals. 226

227 Simple correlation coefficients were obtained for all the detected fungal metabolites, 228 relative to each another, by joining the data sets that referred to the three growing 229 seasons.

```
230 SPSS for Windows, Version 22.0 statistical package (SPSS Inc., Chicago), was used for
231 the statistical analysis.
```

3. RESULTS

233 3.1. Meteorological data

The three growing seasons were subject to different meteorological trends, as far as both 234 rainfall and temperature (expressed as growing degree days, GDDs) from the end of 235 tillering to the harvest are concerned (Table 2). Frequent rainfall occurred in 2011, at the 236 tillering stages (March) and at the end of ripening (June). Furthermore, the rainfall in this 237 growing season at the Cigliano site was very low close to the anthesis stage (May) and the 238 GDDs during this period were higher than in the other years. Instead, in 2012 and 2013, 239 rainfall was frequent and regular from stem elongation (April) to the end of flowering. In 240 2013, the month of May was characterized by a higher incidence of rainy days and lower 241 GDDs than in the previous years. 242

243

244 **3.2.** *FHB* symptoms

The rapid canopy senescing process that occurred in the 2010-11 growing season prevented visual measurements of the disease at the dough stage.

In the 2011-12 and 2012-13 growing seasons, ANOVA showed a significant effect of the fungicide treatments on FHB symptoms (Table 3). The application of prothioconazole at heading led to an average reduction of 27% and 13% for FHB incidence and severity, respectively, compared to the untreated control. In the untreated conditions, significant differences were only observed between common and durum wheat for FHB incidence in the 2011-12 growing season.

253

254

256 3.3. Yield parameters

ANOVA only showed a significant effect of field programmes on grain yield in the 2011-12 257 growing season (Table 3): the fungicide application significantly increased the durum 258 wheat yield by 22%, compared to the untreated control, while no difference was observed 259 for common wheat. In all the growing seasons, common wheat resulted in a significantly 260 higher TW than durum wheat (+11%). Although the fungicide application did not lead to a 261 significantly increase in TW, the application of this disease control strategy on average 262 increased this parameter by 1.2 kg hl⁻¹. Thus, an increasing trend of TW can be observed 263 moving from the strategy with the highest risk of DON contamination (untreated durum 264 wheat) to the one with the least risk (common wheat combined with a fungicide 265 application). 266

267

268 3.4. Mycotoxin occurrence

269 DON was detected in all of the investigated production situations (environment X field 270 programme) and the content of this mycotoxin was clearly related to the meteorological 271 conditions, particularly close to anthesis, in each growing season. DON contamination was 272 low and fell between 42 and 997 μ g kg⁻¹ in the 2010-11 growing season, while it ranged 273 between 119 and 4271 μ g kg⁻¹ and 446 - 2774 μ g kg⁻¹ in the 2011-12 and 2012-13 274 growing seasons, respectively.

Moreover, considering the 3 growing seasons and the different agronomic strategies, 43 other mycotoxins and secondary metabolites were detected: deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside (DON-3-G), 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol (3-ADON), nivalenol (NIV), culmorin (CULM), zearalenone (ZEA), zearalenone-4-sulphate (ZEA-4-S), butenolide (BUT), aurofusarin (AUR), enniatins (ENNs, sum of ENN A, ENN A₁, ENN B, ENN B₁, ENN B₂), moniliformin (MON), chrysogine (CHRY), fumonisins (FB, sum of FB₁, FB₂, FB₃), beauvericin (BEA),

bikaverin (BIK), fusaric acid (FA), decalonectrin (DEC), T-2 toxin, HT-2 toxin, equisetin
(EQU), alternariol (AOH), alternariol methyl ether (AME), tentoxin (TENT), infectopyrone
(INF), secalonic acid D (SAD) and ergot alkaloids (EAs, sum of ergometrine,
ergometrinine, ergocristine, ergocristinine, ergocornine, ergocorninine, ergocryptine,
ergocryptinine, ergosine, ergotamine, ergovaline, agroclavine and chanoclavine).

In addition to DON (average contamination of 1067 μ g kg⁻¹), the most abundant metabolites were AUR, CULM and DON-3-G, which were detected in all of the samples, with an average contamination of 701 μ g kg⁻¹, 689 μ g kg⁻¹ and 250 μ g kg⁻¹, respectively. Other mycotoxins produced by *F. graminearum* and *F. culmorum*, such as 3A-DON, NIV, ZEA, ZEA-4S and BUT, were found in generally lower concentrations (< 28 μ g kg⁻¹) and not in all of the compared environmental and agronomical situations.

Table 4 reports the correlation coefficients and the significance between all of the recorded mycotoxins and metabolites. Among the mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium graminearum* and *culmorum*, all the previously reported ones, with the exception of NIV (r=0.30), result to be significantly correlated to DON: the closest relationship was found for CULM (r=0.94), and this was followed by DON-3G (r=0.74), 3A-DON (r=0.66), ZEA (r=0.63), BUT (r=0.48) and ZEA-4S (r=0.44).

As far as the modified mycotoxins are concerned, the ratio between 3-ADON, a phase I 298 plant metabolite, and DON was always lower than 1% in all of the compared production 299 situations. On the other hand, the DON-3G/DON ratio was 54% 31% and 8%, in the 2010-300 11, 2011-12 and 2012-13 growing seasons, respectively, while the ratio between the 301 different field programmes was more consistent within the growing seasons. The ZEA-302 4S/ZEA ratio also clearly varied within the growing seasons and was 59%, 22% and 136% 303 in 2010-11, 2011-12 and 2012-13, respectively. Furthermore, the occurrence of this phase 304 II plant metabolite derived from sulfatation seem to be influenced above all by the 305

306 genotype, since the ZEA-4S/ZEA ratio on average was 134% and 11% for the common
 307 and durum wheat cv., respectively.

Although AUR could be produced mainly by *F. graminearum* and *culmorum* species, it was 308 here found not to be significantly correlated to DON (r = 0.20), while it was significantly 309 related to ZEA-4S (0.70), ZEA (0.54), BUT (0.51), NIV (0.49) and CULM (0.45). 310 Conversely, this metabolite, which was found in all of the compared production situations 311 above the LOQ, showed a clear and more significant relationship with the other 312 mycotoxins produced by F. avenaceum (a probable AUR producer), such as ENNs 313 (r=0.85), MON (r=0.71) and BEA (r=0.68). The highest average content of all these 314 mycotoxins was found in the 2010-11 growing season, which was also characterized by 315 the lowest DON occurrence. 316

ENNs ranging from 7 to 698 µg kg⁻¹ were found for all of the growing seasons and field 317 programmes. The most abundant compounds were enniatin B (48% of the cases) and B₁ 318 (41% of the cases), while the other forms (A, B₂, B₃) were only found in traces. The ratio 319 320 between the different ENN forms was extremely stable within the different growing seasons and field programmes. Unlike the AUR and ENNs, MON was found to be 321 correlated to the DON content (r=0.42), although the average content of this toxin was 322 higher in the 2010-11 growing season (80 μ g kg⁻¹). CHRY, was only found in the 2012-13 323 growing season (average content in the positive samples of 16 μ g kg⁻¹). 324

Among the other *Fusarium* toxins and metabolites, FB, BEA, BIK and FA, which are mainly produced by the *Fusarium* species section *Liseola*, were found in traces in the 2010-11 and 2011-12 growing seasons, with an average concentration in positive samples of 12, 14, 5 and 44 μ g kg⁻¹, respectively.

A significant correlation was found between DON and DEC, a metabolite produced by both *F. graminearum* and *F. sporotrichioides*. This metabolite was found in all of the growing

seasons, but in half of the compared production situations, with an average concentration of 2 μ g kg⁻¹. Among the mycotoxins produced by other *Fusarium* species, T-2 and HT-2 toxins (*F. sprorotrichioides*, *F. langsaethiae*, *F. poae*) were infrequent and often at very low contamination levels in all of the compared production situations. Conversely, EQU, produced by *F. equiseti*, was found in traces in the 2011-12 and 2012-13 growing seasons, but reached up to a maximum of 74 μ g kg⁻¹ in the 2010-11 season.

Among the toxins produced by the *Alternaria* species, AOH, AME and TENT were found in all the growing seasons. The occurrence of all of these toxins was higher in the 2010-11 growing season, with average contamination levels of 9, 6 and 3 μ g kg⁻¹, respectively. AOH and AME did not result to be correlated to DON, while a significant relationship was observed for TENT, which, however, was not correlated to the other *Alternaria* toxins.

INF, produced by A. infectoria, was only found in samples collected in the 2012-13 342 growing season (average content in the positive samples of 70 µg kg⁻¹). SAD (8 µg kg⁻¹), 343 produced by Penicillium and Aspergillum spp. and Claviceps purpurea, and EAs (21 µg 344 kg¹), produced by *Claviceps purpurea*, were only found in this growing season. Although 345 produced by fungal species from different genera, the occurrence of INF, SAD and EAs 346 was here found to be significantly correlated to each other (r>0.70). The main ergot 347 alkaloids were ergometrinine (25%) and ergocristine (22%), followed by ergocornine 348 (16%), ergocryptine (13%) and ergometrine (11%), while the other metabolites were only 349 found in traces. Common wheat was characterized by a higher relative occurrence of 350 ergocristine and ergocornine, while durum wheat was characterized more by 351 erogometrinine and ergocryptine. 352

353

354

356 3.5. Effect of the agronomic strategies on mycotoxin contamination

In all the trials, the DON contamination was significantly affected by the application of the tested field programmes (Figure 1): the efficacy of the fungicides in reducing DON was on average 58% and 45% for common and durum wheat, respectively. Moreover, a higher susceptibility to the accumulation of this mycotoxin was confirmed for the durum wheat (+ 6 times), compared to the common wheat.

With the exception of the comparison of the untreated common wheat and the durum 362 wheat combined with a fungicide application in the 2011-12 and 2012-13 growing seasons, 363 which resulted to be similar, a clear and significant increase in DON content was reported 364 moving from the lowest risk strategy for this mycotoxin contamination to the highest one. 365 Therefore, the most favourable strategy for DON contamination control (MR variety and 366 fungicide application at heading) reduced this mycotoxin content by 94%, 95% and 78%, 367 compared to the worst one (untreated S variety), in the 2010-11, 2011-12 and 2012-13 368 growing seasons, respectively. 369

Moreover, ANOVA also showed a significant effect of the compared agronomic strategies in almost all of the growing seasons for DON-3G, 3A-DON, NIV, CULM, ZEA, ZEA-4S and BUT (Table 5). The application of the less risky agronomic strategy for DON contamination led to a clear reduction in all of these mycotoxins, compared to the worst case. The best DON contamination control strategy reduced the DON-3G, 3A-DON, NIV, CULM, ZEA, ZEA-4S and BUT contents by 89%, 95%, 89%, 98%, 84% and 98%, respectively, compared to the worst one.

A similar trend was also observed within the agronomic strategies for AUR and ENNs (Table 6), which were significantly reduced by the cultivation of the common MR wheat compared to the S durum one. The application of fungicide led to a reduction in the content of both these mycotoxins, which was significant in several cases, in particular for

AUR. The MON content was affected less clearly by the application of the field programmes, although the average content of this mycotoxin was also reduced, moving from the worst to the best strategy for DON control. The adoption of the most careful cropping system on average minimized the AUR, ENN and MON contents by 99%, 90% and 94%, respectively.

386 CHRY was not affected by variety susceptibility in the 2012-13 growing season, while it 387 was significantly reduced, by 74%, in both cvs. for the fungicide application at heading.

The FB, BEA, BIK and FA occurrences were significantly higher in the durum than in the 388 common wheat in the 2010-11 growing season, while no significant differences were 389 observed for the fungicide application (Table 7). A significant effect was only observed for 390 cv susceptibility for BEA in the 2011-12 period, while this mycotoxin was not found in the 391 next year. As far as the other Fusarium toxins are concerned, the highest occurrences of 392 393 DEC, HT-2 toxin and EQU were always found for the worst agronomic strategy for DON contamination (Table 8), which often resulted in a significantly higher content than for the 394 other field programmes. 395

Moreover, the adoption of the agronomic strategies in all the growing seasons also 396 affected the contamination by Alternaria toxins, in a similar way to that of DON: in all the 397 growing seasons, with the exception of AME in 2011-12, the AOH, AME, TENT and INF 398 contents were significantly higher in the durum S cv. than in the common MR one (Table 399 9). In addition, the application of a fungicide significantly reduced the AME content in the 400 durum wheat in the 2010-11 season and TENT in common wheat in the 2011-12 period. 401 The application of the more effective GAP for DON control led to average reductions of 402 96%, 96%, 86% and 42% of AOH, AME, TENT and INF, respectively, compared to the 403 riskiest strategy. 404

EAs and SAD were found only in the 2012-13 growing seasons. The EAs content in the 405 common wheat was < 1 μ g kg⁻¹ for the wheat treated with fungicide and 8 μ g kg⁻¹ in the 406 untreated control (data not shown). The durum wheat content was significantly higher 407 (P<0.01) and reached 43 and 33 μ g kg⁻¹ with and without the fungicide application, 408 respectively. No significant differences were observed for SAD, although its occurrence 409 was 2, 7, 13 and 10 μ g kg⁻¹ in the treated common wheat, the untreated common wheat, 410 the treated durum wheat and the untreated durum wheat, respectively. The most 411 favourable strategy for DON control reduced the EA and SAD contents by 98% and 84%, 412 respectively, compared to the worst case. 413

415 **4. DISCUSSION**

The data collected in 3 growing seasons with different climatic conditions give clear information on the presence of different fungal metabolites in wheat kernel at harvest, also considering the so called "emerging mycotoxins", which till now have not received detailed scientific attention, particularly in raw materials.

Data confirm that DON is the most prevalent mycotoxin in winter wheat in temperate growing areas (Larsen et al., 2004), while CULM was present in similar concentrations as DON and is closely associated with this toxin (Ghebremeskel and Langseth, 2000). Considering the 3 growing seasons, the sum of DON and CULM on average represents more than 60% of the total mycotoxins that were encountered.

In addition to DON, the most abundant metabolites were AUR, CULM and DON-3-G, while 425 other mycotoxins produced by F. graminearum and F. culmorum, such as 3A-DON, NIV, 426 ZEA, ZEA-4S and BUT, were found in generally lower concentrations. These results are in 427 agreement with the results of a another survey conducted on common and durum wheat in 428 North Italy (Bertuzzi et al., 2014). In literature, the co-presence in wheat of DON, other 429 type B trichothecens and ZEA has been variable, since it depends to a great extent on the 430 431 predominant species or chemotype strains. The low rate of NIV and DON occurrence observed in the present work (average NIV/DON=0.5%), confirms that the *F. graminearum* 432 DON chemotypes in Italy are predominate over the chemotype strains that are able to 433 produce NIV (Somma et al., 2014). Conversely, in South America (Del Ponte et al., 2012) 434 and Asia (Tanaka et al., 2010), the co-contamination of DON and NIV has been reported 435 at a similar level. The correlation between DON and ZEA in wheat has been found to be 436 very low in Poland (Chelkowsky et al., 2012), compared to the present experiment. 437

Moreover, the data reported on the occurrence of modified mycotoxins (Rychlik et al., 2014) confirm the results of previous studies (Berthiller et al., 2009; De Boevre et al., 2012; Scarpino et al., 2015) in which the relationship between DON and its phase II plant metabolite changed in relation to the environmental conditions. The rate between DON-3-G/DON was lower in growing seasons with frequent rainfall and low temperature from stem elongation to the end of flowering, while this ration was higher in the drier and warmer season.

Overall, the levels of ENNS, MON and BEA are comparable with those reported in wheat in the North (Lindblad et al., 2013, Uhlig et al., 2013) and South of Europe (Jestoi et al., 2004 and 2008, Scarpino et al., 2015). As far as the occurrence of AUR is concerned, the higher correlation found between this metabolite and ENNS, MON and BEA suggest that, in the compared conditions, the occurrence of AUR is related more to *F. avenaceum* (Uhlig et al., 2006). CHRY, another metabolite produced by *F. avenaceum* (Uhlig et al., 2006), was found only in the cooler year.

T-2 and HT-2 toxins (*F. sprorotrichioides*, *F. langsaethiae*, *F. poae*) were infrequent and often at very low contamination levels in all of the compared production situations, thus highlighting a low incidence of these compounds in winter wheat compared to other small cereals, such as oats (van der Fels-Klerx and Stratakou, 2010). Otherwise, the occurrence of metabolite produced by other *Fusarium* species (*F. verticillioides*, *F. equiseti*) is more frequent, but only in traces.

The occurrence of *Alternaria* metabolites is lower than those reported in wheat cultivated in North Europe (Uhlig et al., 2013), but confirm previous findings for Southern European growing areas (Scarpino et al., 2015). The occurrence of AOH and AME was higher in 2010-11 growing season, with drier conditions before and during flowering, less favourable to *Fusarium* ear infection. Otherwise INF, produced by *A. infectoria* (Larsen et al., 2003), SAD produced by *Penicillium* and *Aspergillum spp.* and *Claviceps purpurea* (Wang and
Polya, 1996), and EAs, produced by *Claviceps purpurea* (Malysheva et al., 2014), seem to
be related to more rainy environmental conditions.

Overall, the results obtained in the current study point out the crucial role of the 466 environmental conditions on the diffusion of different mycotoxins, both the common and 467 emerging ones, in winter wheat (Doohan et al., 2003). Moreover, the collected data 468 highlight the important role that cultural practices can play in determining their level of 469 contamination in the grains. The hypothesis on the different susceptibilities of the 470 compared agronomic field programmes to DON occurrence was confirmed for all the 471 472 growing seasons. This finding is in agreement with previous findings pertaining to the same environments confirms previous data obtained in the same (Blandino et al., 2006; 473 2009a; Blandino et al., 2012) and in other environments (Beyer et al., 2006; Koch et al., 474 2006). Above all, conditions such as preceding host crops, especially maize and sorghum, 475 which leave high amount of infected residues in the field, and the cultivation of a 476 susceptible variety contribute to heavy DON contamination of wheat crops (Blandino et al., 477 2012). In addition, our results confirm that the efficacy of fungicide in reducing DON 478 content is related to the environmental and agronomic conditions (Blandino et al., 2011). 479 Thus, mycotoxin control in wheat should first focus on the agronomic factors that influence 480 the occurrence of inoculum (preceding crop and management of crop residues) and the 481 variety susceptibility to fungal infection, according to a integrate multiple strategy approach 482 (Beyer et al., 2006). 483

Moreover, the data collected allow a first holistic evaluation of the effect of the combination of crop practices in wheat on several fungal metabolites, produced by different species. Although the considered agronomic factors (variety susceptibility and fungicide application) resulted in a variable control efficacy, in function of the environmental conditions and type

of mycotoxin, the results show a clear and similar reduction trend after the application of agronomic strategies for DON control for almost all of the mycotoxins present at different contamination levels. This effect was observed for toxins produced by *Fusarium* species involved in FHB infection in temperate areas, but also for metabolites related to the development of other *Fusarium* species that are not involved directly in this disease, such as *F.* section *Liseola* or *F. equisetum*, or other species that can cause other diseases, such as *Claviceps* spp. or saprophytic fungi such as *Alternaria* spp.

Thus, in the considered production situations, no evidence has emerged of an increase in 495 any mycotoxin as a consequence of a modification in the fungal community, related to the 496 497 different control capacities of the applied strategies on fungal species characterized by different ecologies. In other words, Thus, in the considered production situations the 498 application of field programmes that are able to reduce the predominant FHB pathogens, 499 500 which are responsible for most of the contamination of winter wheat (DON, CULM), did not lead to an increase in any other metabolite, as a consequence of the development of other 501 species that occupy their ecological niche, and they even resulted to be reduced. 502

The change in the relative competition capacity among fungal species with the application 503 of a control factor, which results in a reduction of certain mycotoxins and the simultaneous 504 increase of others, has been named the "flora inversion" phenomenon and it has been 505 observed for Fusarium toxins in maize by Folcher et al. (2010). A change in the relative 506 competition capacity between FB and trichothecens producers was observed for this crop, 507 in the same growing areas as those of the present study, as a consequence of the control 508 of the insects that are responsible for Fusarium infection (Blandino et al., 2009b; Blandino 509 et al., 2015). The co-occurrence of fungal species in maize seems to be more complex to 510 manage in temperate areas than that of small cereals. The ecology of the maize infecting 511 fungal species (Fusarium section Liseola and Discolor, Aspergillus flavus and Penicillium 512

spp.) is slightly different and their relative occurrence is clearly influenced by the climatic conditions during the crop cycle (Doohan et al., 2003). Moreover, the importance of pathway infection (seed, silk and through kernel damage caused by insects) changes according to the fungal species (Munkvold et al., 1997) and this could lead to a different control with the adoption of agronomic control factors, which would make it more complicated to minimize the overall mycotoxin contamination of this crop.

519 Conversely, floral infection seems to be the main pathway for all of the different fungal 520 species in winter wheat (Xu and Nicholson, 2009), but there is a clear predominance of 521 *Fusarium* species, which are responsible for FHB, compared to the other *Fusarium* or 522 genus species. Thus, the negative interaction between different fungal species and 523 mycotoxins in wheat, as a consequence of the application of control strategies, could be 524 more infrequent than in maize, and could thus allow an easier setup of GAP for the overall 525 control of mycotoxins.

However, the presence of fungal competitive interaction phenomenon on small cereals 526 has also been documented in literature. In fact, it is well known that the application of 527 fungicides (such as strobilurins) at heading is less effective against F. graminearum and 528 culmorum, but is able to significantly reduce the non-toxigenic Microdochium nivale, and it 529 could therefore increase DON contamination in wheat (Pirgozliev et al., 2003, Blandino et 530 al., 2006). Both the active ingredients and the timing of fungicide application could impact 531 on the composition of the Fusarium Head Blight disease complex (Audenaert et al., 2011). 532 Moreover, from a comparison of Fusarium and Alternaria species, it has emerged that the 533 different fungicide classes show a different growth reduction capacity (Müllenborn et al., 534 2007). A field survey conducted in France on barley reported that DON contamination is 535 negatively correlated to that of T-2 and HT-2 toxin (Orlando et al., 2010), thus suggesting 536 the need for a different risk management for these mycotoxins in the considered 537

agronomic conditions. The main agronomic factors identified to increase the risk of T-2
and HT-2 toxins on barley were late sowing times, small grain cereals as previous crop
and minimum or no tillage (Orlando et al., 2010).

Thus, although, in the present study, the single and combined application of a genetic and a chemical control of DON never led to an increase in the contents of any other mycotoxin or fungal metabolite, it remains necessary to verify, whether the agronomic factors that have been identified to reduce the risk of DON in winter wheat are different from those that are able to control the other emerging mycotoxins in different production situations.

546 **5. CONCLUSION**

The results of these experiments, obtained under naturally-infected field conditions and 547 conducted over three different growing seasons, underline that the agronomic strategies 548 generally applied in temperate areas to control DON contamination also contribute to 549 minimizing the risk of contamination of the other mycotoxins that could occur in winter 550 wheat grains. Thus, wheat protection programmes, based on the combination of MR 551 varieties and a fungicide application at heading, in order to control FHB, could clearly 552 contribute to improving the global sanity of this crop, by reducing the mycotoxins produced 553 by different Fusarium section species, but also other fungal genera, such as Alternaria, 554 Claviceps and Penicillium. 555

These results, which need to be confirmed in other environments and considering other important risk factors, such as crop rotation and soil tillage or the application of other fungicide active substances with different mechanisms of action, suggest that the "flora inversion" phenomenon rarely occurs in winter wheat, thus making it easier to find and apply integrated management strategies for the overall control of mycotoxins in this crop.

562 Acknowledgements

563 The authors would like to thank Federico Marinaccio and Francesca Vanara for their 564 precious help and cooperation in the laboratory and field work.

The research has been conducted thanks to the financial support of the Italian Ministry of Agricultural, Food and Forestry Policies (MIPAAF), as a part of the MICOPRINCEM project (Coordinator Dr. Maria Grazia D'Egidio, CRA – QCE). The LC-MS/MS system at IFA-Tulln was funded by the Federal Country Lower Austria and co-financed by the European regional development fund of the European Union.

571 **6. REFERENCES**

Audenaert, K., Landschoot, S., Vanheule, A., Waegemam, W., De Baets, B., Haesaert, G.,
2011. Impact of fungicide timing on the composition of the Fusarium Head blight
disease complex and the presence of deoxynivalenol (DON) in wheat. Fungicide –
beneficial and harmful aspects, Dr. Nooruddin Thajuddin (Ed.). ISBN: 978-953-307-

- 451-1, InTech, Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/fungicidesbeneficial-and-harmful-aspects/impact-of-fungicide-timing-onthe-composition-of-thefusarium-head-blight-disease-complex-and-the-pr
- Berthiller, F., Crews, C., Dall'Asta, C., De Saeger, S., Haesaert, G., Karlovsky, P., Oswald,
 I.P., Walburga, S., Gerrit, S., Stroka, J., 2013. Masked mycotoxins: a review. Mol.
 Nutr. Food Res. 57(1), 165-186. DOI: 10.1002/mnfr.201100764.
- Berthiller, F., Dall'Asta, C., Corradini, R., Marchelli, R., Sulyok, M., Krska, R., Adam, G.,
 Schuhmacher, R., 2009. Occurrence of deoxynivalenol and its 3-b-D-glucoside in
 wheat and maize. Food Addit. Contamin. Part A 26, 507-511. DOI:
 10.1080/02652030802555668.
- 586 Bertuzzi, T., Leggieri, M.C., Battilani, P., Pietri, A., 2014. Co-occurrence of type A and B 587 trichothecens and zearalenone in wheat grown in northern Italy over the years 2009-
- 588
 2011.
 Food
 Addit.
 Contamin.
 Part
 B
 7(4),
 273-281.
 DOI:

 589
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/19393210.2014.926397.
 10.1080/1939321
- Beyer, M., Klix, M.B., Klink, H., Verreet J.-A., 2006. Quantifying the effect of previous crop,
 tillage, cultivar and triazole fungicides on the deoxynivalenol content of wheat grain –
 a review. J. Plant Dis. Prot. 113, 241-246.
- Blandino, M., Haidukowski, M., Pascale, M., Plizzari, L., Scudellari, D., Reyneri, A., 2012.
 Integrated strategies for the control of Fusarium head blight and deoxynivalenol

contamination in winter wheat. Field Crop. Res. 133, 139-149. DOI:
 10.1016/j.fcr.2012.04.004.

- Blandino, M., Minelli, L., Reyneri, A., 2006. Strategies for the chemical control of Fusarium
 head blight: effect on yield, alveographic parameters and deoxynivalenol
 contamination in winter wheat grain. Eur. J. Agron. 25, 193-201. DOI:
 10.1016/j.eja.2006.05.001.
- Blandino M., Pilati A., Reynari A., 2009a. Effect of foliar treatments to durum wheat on flag
 leaf senescence, grain yield, quality and DON contamination in North Italy. Field
 Crop. Res. 114, 214-222. DOI: 10.1016/j.fcr.2009.08.008.
- Blandino, M., Scarpino, V., Vanara, F., Sulyok, M., Krska, R., Reyneri, A., 2015. The Role
 of the European Corn Borer (*Ostrinia Nubilalis*) on contamination of maize with
 thirteen Fusarium mycotoxins. Food Addit. Contamin. Part A 32(4), 533-43, DOI:
 10.1080/19440049.2014.966158.
- Blandino M, Reyneri A, Vanara F, Tamietti G, Pietri A. 2009b. Influence of agricultural
 practices on *Fusarium* infection, fumonisin and deoxynivalenol contamination of
 maize kernels. World Mycotoxin J. 2, 409–418. DOI: 10.3920/WMJ2008.1098
- Chełkowski, J., Gromadzka, K., Stępień, Ł., Lenc, L., Kostecki, M., Berthiller F., 2012. 611 Fusarium species, zearalenone and deoxynivalenol content in preharvest scabby 612 heads from World Mycotoxin 133-141. wheat Poland. J. 5(2), DOI: 613 http://dx.doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2011.1304. 614
- De Boevre, M., Diana Di Mavungu, J., Landschoot, S., Audenaert, K., Eeckhout, M.,
 Maene, P., Haesaert, G., De Saeger, S., 2012. Natural occurrence of mycotoxins and
 their masked forms in food and feed products. World Mycotoxin J. 5, 207-219. DOI:
 0.3920/WMJ2012.1410

Del Ponte, E., Garda-Buffon, J., Badiale-Furlong, E., 2012. Deoxynivalenol and nivalenol
 in commercial wheat grain related to Fusarium head blight epidemics in southern
 Brazil. Food Chem. 132, 1087-1091. DOI :10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.10.108

Doohan, F. M., Brennan, J., Cooke, B. M., 2003. Influence of climatic factors on *Fusarium* species pathogenic to cereals. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 109, 755-768. DOI:
 10.1023/A:1026090626994.

EFSA, 2010. Request for a scientific opinion on the risks for public health related to the presence of moniliformin in feed and food, Mandate M-2010-0312, Reception Date 21-07-2010, Acception Date 13-04-2016.

EFSA, 2014. Scientific Opinion on the risks to human and animal health related to the presence of beauvericin and enniatins in food and feed. EFSA Journal 12(8), 3802.

European Commission, 2006. Commission regulation No. 1881/2006, of 10 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in food stuff. Official Journal of the European Union L 364, pp. 5-24.

European Commission, 2007. Commission regulation No. 1126/2007, of 28 September
 2007 amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 setting maximum levels for certain
 contaminants in foodstuffs as regards Fusarium toxins in maize and maize products
 L 255, pp. 14-17.

⁶³⁷ Folcher L, Delos M, Marengue E, Jarry M, Weissenberger A, Eychenne N, Regnault-Roger

C. 2010. Lower mycotoxin levels in Bt maize grain. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 30, 711–
 719. DOI: 10.1051/agro/2010005

640 Ghebremeskel, M., Langseth, W., 2000. The occurrence of culmorin and hydroxy-641 culmorins in cereals. Mycopathologia 152(2), 103-108.

Jestoi, M. 2008. Emerging Fusarium-mycotoxins fusaproliferin, beauvericin, enniatins, and moniliformin—A review. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 48, 21–49. DOI: 10.1080/10408390601062021.

- Jestoi, M., Somma, M.C., Kouva, M., Veijalainen, P., Rizzo, A., Ritieni, A., Peltonen,
 K.,2004. Levels of mycotoxins and sample cytotoxicity of selected organic and
 conventional grain-based products purchased from Finnish and Italian markets. Mol.
 Nutr. Food Res. 48(4), 299-307. DOI: 10.1002/mnfr.200400026.
- Koch, H.-J., Pringas, C., Maerlaender, B., 2006. Evaluation of environmental and
 management effects on Fusarium head blight infection and deoxynivalenol
 concentration in the grain of winter wheat. Eur. J. Agron. 24, 357-366. DOI:
 10.1016/j.eja.2006.01.006.
- Larsen, J.C., Hunt, J., Perrin, I., Ruckenbauer P., 2004. Workshop on trichothecenes with 653 focus DON: summary report. Toxicol. 153 (1), 1–22. а on Lett. 654 doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2004.04.020. 655
- Larsen T.O., Perry, N.B., Andersen, B., 2003. Infectopyrone, a potential mycotoxin from *Alternaria infectoria*. Tetrahedron Lett. 44(24), 4511-4513. doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(03)01018-9.
- Lindblad, M., Gidlund, A., Sulyok, M., Börjesson, T., Krska, R., Olsen, M., Fredlund, E.,
 2013. Deoxynivalenol and other selected Fusarium toxins in Swedish wheat occurrence and correlation to specific *Fusarium* species. Int. J. Food Microbiol.
 167(2), 284-291. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.07.002.
- Malachova, A., Sulyok, M., Beltran, E.; Berthiller, F., Krska, R., 2014. Optimization and
 validation of a quantitative liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometric
 method covering 295 bacterial and fungal metabolites including all relevant

666 mycotoxins in four model food matrices. J. Chromatogr. A 1362, 145-156. DOI: 667 10.1016/j.chroma.2014.08.037.

Malysheva, S.V., Laríonova, D.A., Di Mavungu, J.D., De Saeger, S., 2014. Pattern and
distribution of ergot alkaloids in cereals and cereal products from European
countries. World Mycotoxin J. 7, 217–230. DOI:
http://dx.doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2013.1642.

- Müllenborn, C., Steiner, U., Ludwig, M., Oerke, E.-C., 2007. Effect of fungicides on the
 complex of Fusarium species and saprophytic fungi colonizing wheat kernels. Eur.
 J. Plant Pathol. 120(2), 157-166. DOI: 10.1007/s10658-007-9204-y.
- Munkvold, G.P., McGee, D.C., Carlton, W.M., 1997. Importance of different pathways for
 maize kernel infection by *Fusarium moniliforme*. Phytopathology 87, 209-217. DOI:
 10.1094/PHYTO.1997.87.2.209.
- Orlando, B., Barrier-Guillot, B., Gourdain, E., Mourmené, C. 2010. Identification of
 agronomic factors that influence the levels of T-2 and HT-2 toxins in barley grown in
 France. World Mycotoxin J. 3,169-174. DOI.org/10.3920/WMJ2009.1191.
- Parry, D.W., Jenkinson, P., McLeod, L., 1995. Fusarium ear blight (scab) in small grain
 cereal a review. Plant Pathol. 44, 207-238. DOI: 10.1111/j.13653059.1995.tb02773.x.
- Pestka, J.J., Smolinski, A.T., 2005. Deoxynivalenol: toxicity and potential effects on
 humans. Critical Reviews. J. Toxicol. Env. Heal. B 8, 39-69. DOI: 10.2478/v10102010-0019-x.
- Pirgozliev, S.R., Edwards, S.G., Hare, M.C., Jenkinson, P., 2003. Strategies for the control
 of Fusarium head blight in cereals. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 109, 731-742. DOI:
 10.1023/A:1026034509247.

Rychlik, M., Humpf, H.U., Marko, D., Dänicke, S., Mally, A., Berthiller, F., Klaffke H.,
Lorenz N., 2014. Proposal of a comprehensive definition of modified and other forms
of mycotoxins including "masked" mycotoxins. Mycotoxin Res. 30(4), 197-205. doi:
10.1007/s12550-014-0203-5.

- Scarpino, V., Sulyok, M., Krska, R., Reyneri, A., Blandino, M., 2015. Effect of fungicide
 application to control Fusarium head blight and 20 Fusarium and Alternaria
 mycotoxins in winter wheat (Triticum aestivum). World Mycotoxin J. 8(4), 499-510.
 DOI: 10.392/WMJ2014.1814.
- Somma, S., Petruzzella, A.L., Logrieco, A.F., Meca, G., Cacciola, O.S., Moretti A., 2014.
 Phylogenetic analyses of *Fusarium graminearum* strains from cereals in Italy, and
 characterisation of their molecular and chemical chemotypes. Crop Pasture Sci. 65,
 52-60. DOI:10.1080/19440049.2014.984244.
- Streit, E., Schwab, C., Sulyok, M., Naehrer, K., Krska, R., Schatzmayr, G., 2013. Multi mycotoxin screening reveals the occurrence of 139 different secondary metabolites
 in feed and feed ingredients. Toxins 5(3), 504-523. DOI: 10.3390/toxins5030504
- Sulyok, M., Berthiller, F., Krska, R., Schuhmacher, R., 2006. Development and validation
 of a liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometric method for the determination
 of 39 mycotoxins in wheat and maize. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 20, 2649–
 2659. DOI: 10.1002/rcm.2640.
- Tanaka, H., Sugita-Konishi, Y., Takino, M., Tanaka, T., Toriba, A., Hayakawa, K., 2010. A
 survey of the occurrence of *Fusarium* mycotoxins in biscuits in Japan by using
 LC/MS. Journal of Health Science 56, 188-194. DOI: 10.1248/jhs.56.188.
- Uhlig, S., Eriksen, G.S., Hofgaard, I. S., Krska, R., Beltrán, E., Sulyok, M., 2013. Faces of
 a changing climate: semi-quantitative multi-mycotoxin analysis of grain grown in

exceptional climatic conditions in Norway. Toxins 5(10), 1682-97. DOI:
10.3390/toxins5101682

- Uhlig, S., Jestoi, M., Knutsen, A.K., Heier, B.T., 2006. Multiple regression analysis as a
 tool for the identification of relations between semi-quantitative LC-MS data and
 cytotoxicity of extracts of the fungus *Fusarium avenaceum* (syn. *F. arthrosporioides*).
 Toxicon. 48, 567–579. DOI: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2006.07.007.
- van der Fels-Klerx, H.J., Stratakou, I., 2010. T-2 toxin and HT-2 toxin in grain and grain based commodities in Europe: occurrence, factors affecting occurrence, co occurrence and toxicological effects. World Mycotoxin J. 3, 349-367. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2010.1237.
- van der Fels-Klerx, H.J., van Asselt, E.D., Madsen, M.S., Olesen, J.E., 2013. Impact of
 climate change effects on contamination of cereal grains with deoxynivalenol. PloS
 One 8(9), 1-10. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0073602
- Wang, B.H., Polya, G.M., 1996. The fungal teratogen secalonic acid D is an inhibitor of
 protein kinase C and of cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase. Planta Medica 62(2),
 111-114. DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-957829.
- Xu, X., Nicholson, P., 2009. Community ecology of fungal pathogens causing wheat head
 blight. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 47, 83-103. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-080508 081737
- Yli-Mattila, T., 2010. Ecology and evolution of toxigenic Fusarium species in cereal in
 northern Europe and Asia. Journal of Plant Pathology 92, 7-18. DOI:
 10.4454/jpp.v92i1.10.
- Zadoks, J.C., Chang, T.T., Konzak, C.F., 1974. A decimal code for the growth stages of
 cereals. Weed Res. 14, 415-421. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3180.1974.tb01084.x.

738

Main trial information on the field experiments conducted on winter wheat in North West Italy in the 2010 - 2013 period.

Growing season	Site	Sowing date	Fungicide application	Harvest date
2010 - 2011	Cigliano	30 October 2010	13 May 2011	01 July 2011
2011 - 2012	Carmagnola	21 October 2011	23 May 2012	11 July 2012
2012 - 2013	Carmagnola	24 October 2012	21 May 2013	12 July 2013

Total rainfall, rainy days, relative humidity and growing degree days (GDDs) from March to June 2010-2013 in the research sites.

Year	Site	Month	Rainfall (mm)	Rainy days	GDDs ^a (°C d ⁻¹)
2011	Cigliano	March	169	9	268
		April	59	5	474
		Мау	30	5	578
		June	198	14	627
		July	54	8	670
2012	Carmagnola	March	20	1	347
		April	148	13	365
		Мау	147	6	539
		June	19	2	674
		July	37	5	725
2013	Carmagnola	March	96	10	241
		April	144	11	406
		Мау	147	11	499
		June	35	3	623
		July	137	7	740

^a Accumulated growing degree days for each month using a 0°C base.

748 Effect of agronomic strategies with different susceptibility to deoxynivalenol contamination on Fusarium head blight (FHB) incidence

749	and severity, grain yield and tes	t weight of winter	wheat; field experiments	conducted in North We	est Italy in the 2010 -	2013 period.
-----	-----------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	--------------

Growing	Agronomic	FHB	incide	ence ^b	FHB sev	erity ^c	Grain yield	Test weight	
season	strategies ^a	т		N (%)	т	N (%)	t ha⁻¹	kg hl⁻¹	
2010 - 2011	common wheat - fungicide	nd ^d			nd		5.4 a	79.1 a	
	common wheat - untreated	nd			nd		5.2 a	78.4 a	
	durum wheat - fungicide	nd			nd		4.7 a	66.4 b	
	durum wheat - untreated	nd			nd		4.1 a	66.9 b	
	P(F) ^e						0.109	< 0.001	
	sem ^f						1.0	1.9	
2011- 2012	common wheat - fungicide	37	С	37	8 c	2	6.2 b	83.6 a	
	common wheat - untreated	62	Ab	78	28 a	11	6.0 b	82.9 a	
	durum wheat - fungicide	52	В	62	13 bc	12	6.7 a	78.0 b	
	durum wheat - untreated	65 /	Ą	81	19 b	23	5.5 c	74.3 b	
	<i>P</i> (F)	0.00)1		0.001		< 0.001	0.001	
	Sem	8.1	L		6.3		0.3	3.0	
2012- 2013	common wheat - fungicide	38	С	50	10 b	10	6.6 a	83.8 a	
	common wheat - untreated	62	В	77	30 a	26	6.4 a	82.7 a	
	durum wheat - fungicide	45	С	75	18 b	19	7.5 a	79.9 b	
	durum wheat - untreated	78	А	95	35 a	33	6.6 a	78.7 b	
	<i>P</i> (F)	< 0.0	01		< 0.001		0.413	0.001	
	Sem	10.	5		7.7		1.3	1.6	

^a The used cultivars were Generale (common wheat) and Saragolla (durum wheat), which are characterized by a medium and a high susceptibility to FHB, respectively. The

751 fungicide treatment at heading was carried out using the prothioconazole active ingredient (formulation: EC, 0.250 kg active ingredient ha⁻¹).

^b FHB incidence was calculated as the percentage of ears with FHB damage, considering 100 ears per sample.

^c FHB severity was calculated as the percentage of kernels per ear with FHB damage, considering 100 ears per sample.

- The reported FHB incidence and severity means are transformed (T; y'=arcsin $\sqrt{x^*180/\pi}$) and not transformed (N) values.
- ^d nd: not detected, the rapid canopy senescing process that occurred in the 20120-11 growing season did not allow visual measurements to be carried out.
- ^e Means followed by different letters within each growing season are significantly different (the level of significance is shown in the table). The reported values are based on 3
- 757 replications.
- ^f sem: standard error of the means.
- 759

761 Correlation matrix for mycotoxin contamination in winter wheat kernel.

	DON	DON3G	3ADON	NIV	CULM	ZEA	ZEA-4S	BUT	AUR	ENNs	MON	CHRY	FB	BEA	BIK	FA	DEC	T-2	HT-2	EQU	AOH	AME	TENT	INF	SAD
DON3G	0.74**																								
3ADON	0.66**	0.22																							
NIV	0.30	0.44**	0.10																						
CULM	0.94**	0.86**	0.51**	0.43**																					
ZEA	0.63**	0.33*	0.32	0.34*	0.60**																				
ZEA-4S	0.44**	0.09	0.27	0.27	0.50**	0.766**																			
BUT	0.48**	0.89**	-0.03	0.57**	0.69**	0.26	0.09																		
AUR	0.20	0.32	-0.02	0.49**	0.45**	0.54**	0.70**	0.51**																	
ENNs	0.14	0.54**	-0.20	0.45**	0.45**	0.22	0.34*	0.76**	0.85**																
MON	0.42*	0.78**	-0.05	0.50**	0.68**	0.35*	0.31	0.86**	0.71**	0.89**															
CHRY	0.34*	-0.26	0.50**	0.00	0.17	0.49**	0.64**	-0.36*	0.10	-0.28	-0.27														
FBs	-0.02	0.29	-0.05	0.44**	0.10	-0.04	-0.15	0.50**	0.20	0.32	0.28	-0.35*													
BEA	-0.14	0.20	-0.26	0.34*	0.11	0.06	0.19	0.48**	0.68**	0.72**	0.61**	-0.20	0.25												
ВІК	-0.16	0.21	-0.30	0.64**	0.13	0.09	0.29	0.51**	0.78**	0.81**	0.63**	-0.22	0.32	0.70**											
FA	-0.12	0.25	-0.31	0.27	0.16	0.07	0.30	0.46**	0.78**	0.82**	0.70**	-0.24	0.24	0.79**	0.83**										
DEC	0.76**	0.51**	0.41*	0.44**	0.71**	0.60**	0.49**	0.31	0.26	0.08	0.32	0.36*	-0.14	-0.07	0.03	-0.06									
T-2	0.45**	0.67**	0.30	0.18	0.47**	-0.04	-0.19	0.51**	0.06	0.25	0.40*	-0.32	0.16	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.33*								
HT-2	0.24	0.44**	-0.16	-0.04	0.36*	0.14	0.21	0.35*	0.33	0.49**	0.58**	-0.20	0.10	0.14	0.18	0.51**	0.06	0.22							
EQU	-0.15	0.19	-0.25	0.81**	0.09	0.11	0.21	0.47**	0.64**	0.67**	0.56**	-0.18	0.36*	0.645**	0.89**	0.59**	0.08	0.03	0.06						
АОН	0.15	0.21	-0.03	0.34*	0.37*	0.48**	0.72**	0.33*	0.90**	0.74**	0.61**	0.07	0.13	0.48**	0.68**	0.75**	0.15	-0.02	0.55**	0.51**					
AME	0.04	0.32	-0.28	0.18	0.30	0.16	0.42*	0.44**	0.71**	0.79**	0.71**	-0.22	0.20	0.49**	0.63**	0.83**	-0.01	0.06	0.82**	0.44**	0.84**				
TENT	0.82**	0.86**	0.29	0.25	0.81**	0.46**	0.14	0.64**	0.13	0.25	0.56**	-0.08	0.21	-0.08	-0.10	0.03	0.64**	0.49**	0.50**	-0.11	0.13	0.22			
INF	0.24	-0.29	0.44**	-0.05	0.12	0.21	0.370*	-0.41*	-0.05	-0.34*	-0.33*	0.48**	-0.40*	-0.23	-0.25	-0.28	0.25	-0.36*	-0.23	-0.21	0.05	-0.20	-0.09		
SAD	0.23	-0.21	0.36*	0.26	0.16	0.34*	0.44**	-0.25	0.13	-0.17	-0.17	0.44**	-0.23	-0.13	0.00	-0.18	0.34*	-0.30	-0.22	0.13	0.20	-0.12	-0.08	0.71**	
EAs	0.29	-0.20	0.46**	0.01	0.19	0.35*	0.42*	-0.32	0.06	-0.25	-0.21	0.40*	-0.31	-0.17	-0.19	-0.21	0.27	-0.28	-0.18	-0.16	0.20	-0.14	-0.01	0.85**	0.86**

762

(*) = correlation significant at $P \le 0.05$; (**) correlation significant at $P \le 0.01$. The data reported in the table are Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients.

763 DON = deoxynivalenol, DON-3-G = deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside, 3-ADON = 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol, NIV = nivalenol, CULM = culmorin, ZEA = zearalenone, ZEA-4-S =

764 zearalenone-4-sulphate, BUT = butenolide, AUR = aurofusarin, ENNs = Enniatins A, A₁, B, B₁, B₂, MON = moniliformin, CHRY = chrysogine, FB = fumonisins, BEA = beauvericin,

- BIK = bikaverin, FA = fusaric acid, DEC = decalonectrin, T-2 toxin, HT-2 toxin, EQU = equisetin, AOH = alternariol, AME = alternariol methyl ether, TENT = tentoxin, INF = infectopyrone, SAD = secalonic acid, EAs = ergot alkaloids, sum of ergometrine, ergometrinine, ergocristine, ergocristine, ergocornine, ergocornine, ergocryptine,
- rgocryptinine, ergosine, ergotamine, ergovaline, agroclavine and chanoclavine.

⁷⁷⁰ Effect of agronomic strategies with different susceptibility to deoxynivalenol contamination on the occurrence^a of other fungal

metabolites mainly produced by *F. graminearum* and *culmorum*; field experiments conducted in North West Italy in the 2010 - 2013

772 period.

Growin a	Agronomic	DOM	1-3G	3A-D	ON	NI	v	CU	LM	ZE	A	ZEA	-4S	В	JT
season	strategies ^b	т	Ν	т	Ν	т	Ν	т	Ν	т	Ν	т	Ν	т	Ν
			µg kg⁻¹		µg kg⁻¹		µg kg⁻¹		µg kg⁻¹		µg kg⁻¹		µg kg⁻¹		µg kg⁻¹
2010 -															
11	common wheat - fungicide common wheat -	3.0 c	19		< LOQ	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.0 d</td><td>54</td><td><loq c<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.0 b</td><td>2</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>4.0 d</td><td>54</td><td><loq c<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.0 b</td><td>2</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	4.0 d	54	<loq c<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.0 b</td><td>2</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.0 b</td><td>2</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.0 b</td><td>2</td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>1.0 b</td><td>2</td></loq<>	1.0 b	2
	untreated	3.8 b	46		< LOQ	0.4 b	1	4.4 c	84	0.8 b	1	0.2 b	0	1.2 b	3
	durum wheat - fungicide	6.0 a	386		< LOQ	1.1 b	3	6.6 b	749	2.2 a	8	0.7 a	1	4.1 a	62
	durum wheat - untreated	6.0 a	395		< LOQ	2.9 a	21	6.9 a	1038	2.2 a	8	1.0 a	2	3.9 a	50
	P(F) ^c	< 0.001				0.003		< 0.001		< 0.001		< 0.001		< 0.001	
	sem ^d	0.13				1.0		0.23		0.43		0.19		0.94	
2011-															
12	common wheat - fungicide common wheat -	4.0 d	52	<loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.6 c</td><td>99</td><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.4 b</td><td>1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.6 c</td><td>99</td><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.4 b</td><td>1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.6 c</td><td>99</td><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.4 b</td><td>1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>4.6 c</td><td>99</td><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.4 b</td><td>1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	4.6 c	99	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.4 b</td><td>1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.4 b</td><td>1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	<loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.4 b</td><td>1</td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>0.4 b</td><td>1</td></loq<>	0.4 b	1
	untreated	5.5 c	264	1.6 a	7	0.5 ab	1	6.3 b	579	0.8 b	2	<loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.2 b</td><td>5</td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>1.2 b</td><td>5</td></loq<>	1.2 b	5
	durum wheat - fungicide	6.1 b	440	1.4 a	5	0.9 ab	4	6.7 b	791	1.8 ab	8	<loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>3.8 a</td><td>45</td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>3.8 a</td><td>45</td></loq<>	3.8 a	45
	durum wheat - untreated	6.9 a	1003	1.0 a	6	2.4 a	11	7.6 a	1988	2.6 a	14	0.5 a	1	4.4 a	84
	<i>P</i> (F)	< 0.001		0.468		0.020		< 0.001		0.014		0.058		< 0.001	
	Sem	0.31		2.0		1.2		0.42		1.22		0.31		1.15	
2012-															-
13	common wheat - fungicide common wheat -	3.6 c	38	0.2 b	0	0.6 b	1	5.6 c	275	0.2 c	0	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""></loq<>
	untreated	4.3 b	75	2.1 a	8	1.2 ab	2	6.3 b	587	0.5 c	1	0.6 b	1		<loq< td=""></loq<>
	durum wheat - fungicide	4.8 a	121	2.3 a	9	1.5 a	4	6.7 b	818	1.7 b	5	0.6 b	1		<loq< td=""></loq<>
	durum wheat - untreated	5.1 a	158	2.3 a	10	2.0 a	6	7.1 a	1203	3.3 a	28	1.3 a	3		<loq< td=""></loq<>
	<i>P</i> (F)	< 0.001		< 0.001		0.009		< 0.001		< 0.001		< 0.001			
	Sem	0.31		0.60		0.6		0.27		0.40		0.20			

- ^a The reported mycotoxin contamination means are transformed [T; $y' = \ln (x + 1)$] and not transformed (N) values.
- 774 DON-3-G = deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside (LOQ = limit of quantification = $0.2 \mu g kg^{-1}$), 3-ADON = 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol (LOQ = $0.2 \mu g kg^{-1}$), NIV = nivalenol (LOQ = $0.2 \mu g kg^{-1}$),
- 775 ZEA = zearalenone (LOQ = $0.2 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$), ZEA-4-S = zearalenone-4-sulphate (LOQ = $0.1 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$), BUT = butenolide (LOQ = $1.10 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$).
- 776 15-ADON = 15-acetyldeoxynivalenol was also detected, but always under the LOQ (0.4 μg kg⁻¹).
- ^b The used cultivars were Generale (common wheat) and Saragolla (durum wheat), which are characterized by a medium and a high susceptibility to FHB, respectively. The
- fungicide treatment at heading was carried out using the prothioconazole active ingredient (formulation: EC, 0.250 kg active ingredient ha⁻¹).
- ^c Means followed by different letters within each growing season are significantly different (the level of significance is shown in the table). The reported values are based on 3
- 780 replications.
- 781 ^d sem: standard error of the means.
- 782

Effect of agronomic strategies with different susceptibility to deoxynivalenol contamination on the occurrence^a of aurofusarin (AUR),

enniatins (ENNs), moniliformin (MON) and chrysogine (CHRY); field experiments conducted in North West Italy in the 2010 - 2013

786 period.

Growing	Agronomic	AUI	R	E	ENN	s	MO	N	CHRY		
Season	strategies ^b	т	N (ppb)	т		N (ppb)	т	N (ppb)	т	N (ppb)	
2010 – 2011	common wheat - fungicide	3.6 c	36	2.9	с	18	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""></loq<>	
	common wheat - untreated	4.4 b	86	4.3	b	72	1.7 b	6		<loq< td=""></loq<>	
	durum wheat - fungicide	7.8 a	2488	6.2	а	501	4.8 a	117		<loq< td=""></loq<>	
	durum wheat - untreated	7.9 a	2701	6.4	а	620	4.8 a	117		<loq< td=""></loq<>	
	$P(F)^{c}$	< 0.001		< 0.00	01		< 0.001				
	sem ^d	0.47		0.49)		0.8				
2011- 2012	common wheat - fungicide	1.8 d	5	3.6 b)	41	2.4 a	19		<loq< td=""></loq<>	
	common wheat - untreated	3.6 c	39	4.3 a	ab	97	3.1 a	31		<loq< td=""></loq<>	
	durum wheat - fungicide	5.6 b	270	4.9 a	ab	147	4.0 a	63		<loq< td=""></loq<>	
	durum wheat - untreated	6.4 a	616	5.6 a	a	265	4.7 a	112		<loq< td=""></loq<>	
	<i>P</i> (F)	< 0.001		0.01	9		0.093				
	Sem	0.52		1.0			1.6				
2012- 2013	common wheat - fungicide	2.5 c	11	2.4 c	;	10	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>2.2 b</td><td>9</td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>2.2 b</td><td>9</td></loq<>	2.2 b	9	
	common wheat - untreated	3.0 c	22	3.2 b	С	27	1.5 ab	9	3.0 a	22	
	durum wheat - fungicide	5.9 b	380	3.6 a	ab	38	2.9 a	18	1.5 b	4	
	durum wheat - untreated	7.4 a	1757	4.5 a	a	87	3.4 a	31	3.3 a	28	
	<i>P</i> (F)	< 0.001		< 0.00	01		0.007		0.001		
	Sem	0.51		0.65	5		1.3		0.55		

787

^a The mycotoxin contamination means reported are transformed [T; y'= ln (x + 1)] and not transformed (N) values.

AUR = aurofusarin (LOQ = 0.1 μ g kg⁻¹), ENNs = Enniatins A, A₁, B, B₁, B₂, (LOQ = 0.01 μ g kg⁻¹), MON = moniliformin (LOQ = 0.3 μ g kg⁻¹), CHRY = chrysogine (LOQ = 0.09 μ g kg⁻¹)

790 ¹).

- 791 ^b The used cultivars were Generale (common wheat) and Saragolla (durum wheat), which are characterized by a medium and a high susceptibility to FHB, respectively. The
- fungicide treatment at heading was carried out using the prothioconazole active ingredient (formulation: EC, 0.250 kg active ingredient ha⁻¹).
- 793 ^c Means followed by different letters within each growing season are significantly different (the level of significance is shown in the table). The reported values are based on 3
- replications.
- 795 ^d sem: standard error of the means.
- 796
- 797

⁷⁹⁹ Effect of agronomic strategies with different susceptibility to deoxynivalenol contamination on the occurrence of mycotoxins^a mainly

produced by *Fusarium* section Liseola; field experiments conducted in North West Italy in the 2010 - 2013 period.

Growing	Agronomic	FB	6	BEA	4	BIK	K	FA	1
Season	strategies ^b	т	N (ppb)	т	N (ppb)	т	N (ppb)	т	N (ppb)
2010 - 2011	common wheat - fungicide common wheat - untreated durum wheat – fungicide durum wheat – untreated	2.2 bc 1.9 c 2.9 a 2.6 ab	8 6 19 13	<loq b<br=""><loq b<br="">3.7 a 3.3 a</loq></loq>	<loq <loq 39.8 26.4</loq </loq 	0.37 b 0.48 b 3.21 a 2.48 a	0.48 0.63 27.58 11.00	<loq b<br=""><loq b<br="">4.5 a 4.6 a</loq></loq>	<loq <loq 100 97</loq </loq
	P (F) ^c sem ^d	0.002 0.4		< 0.001 0.6		< 0.001 0.4		< 0.001 0.5	
2011- 2012	common wheat - fungicide common wheat - untreated durum wheat – fungicide durum wheat – untreated	2.3 a 1.8 a 2.8 a 2.2 a	9 6 27 9	<loq c<br="">0.1 bc 0.5 a 0.5 ab</loq>	<loq 0.1 0.8 0.7</loq 	<loq a<br="">0.11 a 0.54 a 0.50 a</loq>	<loq 0.11 0.77 0.68</loq 	<loq a<br="">1.1 a 1.5 a 1.0 a</loq>	<loq 5 14 3</loq
	P(F) Sem	0.46 1.16		0.018 0.3		0.441 0.2		0.768 2.22	
2012- 2013	common wheat - fungicide common wheat - untreated durum wheat – fungicide durum wheat – untreated <i>P</i> (F)		<loq <loq <loq <loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq <loq <loq <loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq <loq <loq <loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq <loq <loq <loq< td=""></loq<></loq </loq </loq </td></loq<></loq </loq </loq </td></loq<></loq </loq </loq </td></loq<></loq </loq </loq 		<loq <loq <loq <loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq <loq <loq <loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq <loq <loq <loq< td=""></loq<></loq </loq </loq </td></loq<></loq </loq </loq </td></loq<></loq </loq </loq 		<loq <loq <loq <loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq <loq <loq <loq< td=""></loq<></loq </loq </loq </td></loq<></loq </loq </loq 		<loq <loq <loq <loq< td=""></loq<></loq </loq </loq

801 ^a The reported mycotoxin contamination means are transformed [T; y'= ln (x + 1)] and not transformed (N) values.

FB = fumonisins (LOQ = limit of quantification = 0.70 μ g kg⁻¹), BEA = beauvericin (LOQ = 0.006 μ g kg⁻¹), BIK = bikaverin (LOQ = 0.06 μ g kg⁻¹), FA = fusaric acid (LOQ = 0.70 μ g kg⁻¹)

803 ¹).

- 804 ^b The used cultivars were Generale (common wheat) and Saragolla (durum wheat), which are characterized by a medium and a high susceptibility to FHB, respectively. The
- 805 fungicide treatment at heading was carried out using the prothioconazole active ingredient (formulation: EC, 0.250 kg active ingredient ha⁻¹).
- 806 ^c Means followed by different letters within each growing season are significantly different (the level of significance is shown in the table). The reported values are based on 3
- 807 replications.
- 808 ^d sem: standard error of the means.
- 809

812 Effect of agronomic strategies with different susceptibility to deoxynivalenol contamination on the occurrence^a of decalonectrin (DEC),

T-2 and HT-2 toxins and equisetin (EQU); field experiments conducted in North West Italy in the 2010 - 2013 period.

Growing	Agronomic	DE	с	T-2 to	oxin	HT-2 t	oxin	EQ	U
season	strategies ^b	т	N (ppb)	т	N (ppb)	т	N (ppb)	т	N (ppb)
2010 - 2011	common wheat – fungicide	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
	common wheat - untreated	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.5 b</td><td>1.1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.5 b</td><td>1.1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.5 b</td><td>1.1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.5 b</td><td>1.1</td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>0.5 b</td><td>1.1</td></loq<>	0.5 b	1.1
	durum wheat – fungicide	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.1 a</td><td>68.3</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.1 a</td><td>68.3</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td><loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.1 a</td><td>68.3</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.1 a</td><td>68.3</td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>4.1 a</td><td>68.3</td></loq<>	4.1 a	68.3
	durum wheat – untreated	0.9 a	1.8		<loq< td=""><td>1.8 a</td><td>8.3</td><td>3.2 a</td><td>22.8</td></loq<>	1.8 a	8.3	3.2 a	22.8
	P(F) ^c	0.053				0.042		< 0.001	
	sem ^d	1.3				1.1		0.8	
2011- 2012	common wheat – fungicide	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.1 a</td><td>0.1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.1 a</td><td>0.1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	<loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.1 a</td><td>0.1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.1 a</td><td>0.1</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	<loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.1 a</td><td>0.1</td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>0.1 a</td><td>0.1</td></loq<>	0.1 a	0.1
	common wheat - untreated	<loq b<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.5 a</td><td>0.7</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.5 a</td><td>0.7</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	<loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.5 a</td><td>0.7</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td><loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.5 a</td><td>0.7</td></loq<></td></loq></td></loq<>	<loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.5 a</td><td>0.7</td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>0.5 a</td><td>0.7</td></loq<>	0.5 a	0.7
	durum wheat – fungicide	0.6 b	1.0	<loq a<="" td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.8 a</td><td>3.2</td><td>0.3 a</td><td>0.4</td></loq<></td></loq>	<loq< td=""><td>0.8 a</td><td>3.2</td><td>0.3 a</td><td>0.4</td></loq<>	0.8 a	3.2	0.3 a	0.4
	durum wheat - untreated	1.6 a	4.2	0.3 a	0.3	1.6 a	4.2	0.4 a	0.5
	<i>P</i> (F)	0.001		0.441		0.077		0.406	
	Sem	1.4		0.24		1.1		0.5	
2012- 2013	common wheat – fungicide	0.3 b	0.4		<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.1 b</td><td>0.1</td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td>0.1 b</td><td>0.1</td></loq<>	0.1 b	0.1
	common wheat - untreated	0.8 b	1.2		<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.2 b</td><td>0.2</td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td>0.2 b</td><td>0.2</td></loq<>	0.2 b	0.2
	durum wheat – fungicide	0.7 b	1.1		<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.2 b</td><td>0.2</td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td>0.2 b</td><td>0.2</td></loq<>	0.2 b	0.2
	durum wheat – untreated	1.7 a	4.6		<loq< td=""><td></td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.4 a</td><td>0.5</td></loq<></td></loq<>		<loq< td=""><td>0.4 a</td><td>0.5</td></loq<>	0.4 a	0.5
	<i>P</i> (F)	0.011						0.040	
	Sem	2.0						0.2	

^a The reported mycotoxin contamination means are transformed [T; y'= ln (x + 1)] and not transformed (N) values.

B15 DEC = decalonectrin (LOQ, limit of quantification = $0.06 \mu g/kg$), T-2 toxin (LOQ = $0.2 \mu g/kg$); HT-2 toxin (LOQ = $0.2 \mu g/kg$), EQU = equisetin (LOQ = $0.09 \mu g/kg$).

816 ^b The used cultivars were Generale (common wheat) and Saragolla (durum wheat), which are characterized by a medium and an high susceptibility to FHB, respectively. The

fungicide treatment at heading was carried out using the prothioconazole active ingredient (formulation: EC, 0.250 kg active ingredient ha⁻¹).

818 ^c Means followed by different letters within each growing season are significantly different (the level of significance is shown in the table). The reported values are based on 3

819 replications.

- 820 ^d sem: standard error of the means.

824 Effect of agronomic strategies with different susceptibility to deoxynivalenol contamination on the occurrence^a of mycotoxins produced

825	by Alterna	a <i>ria</i> species; fie	ld experiments	conducted in	North West	Italy in the	2010 - 2013	3 period
-----	------------	---------------------------	----------------	--------------	------------	--------------	-------------	----------

Growing	Agronomic	AOI	4	AMI	E	TEN	IT	INF	-
season	strategies ^b	т	N (ppb)	т	N (ppb)	т	N (ppb)	т	N (ppb)
2010 - 2011	common wheat - fungicide common wheat - untreated durum wheat - fungicide	0.9 b 1.3 b 2.5 a	1.5 2.7 11.8	0.1 c 0.4 c 2.1 b	0.1 0.5 7.2	0.7 c 1.1 bc 1.3 ab	0.9 2.1 2.7		<loq <loq <loq< td=""></loq<></loq </loq
	durum wheat - untreated <i>P</i> (F) ^c sem ^d	3.0 a < 0.001 0.4	19.9	2.9 a < 0.001 0.4	17.5	1.7 a 0.004 0.4	4.9		<loq< td=""></loq<>
2011- 2012	common wheat - fungicide common wheat - untreated durum wheat - fungicide durum wheat - untreated <i>P</i> (F) sem	<loq b<br="">0.2 b 0.9 ab 1.4 a 0.026 0.7</loq>	<loq 0.3 2.1 2.9</loq 	<loq a<br=""><loq a<br="">0.9 a 1.3 a 0.139 1.1</loq></loq>	<loq <loq 3.5 3.1</loq </loq 	0.9 c 1.5 b 2.4 a 2.8 a < 0.001 0.4	1.5 3.5 10.2 15.0		<loq <loq <loq <loq< td=""></loq<></loq </loq </loq
2012- 2013	common wheat - fungicide common wheat - untreated durum wheat - fungicide durum wheat - untreated <i>P</i> (F) sem	<loq b<br=""><loq b<br="">2.0 a 2.3 a < 0.001 0.4</loq></loq>	<loq <loq 6.3 10.4</loq </loq 	<loq b<br=""><loq b<br="">0.9 a 0.8 a < 0.001 0.2</loq></loq>	<loq <loq 1.6 1.2</loq </loq 	0.6 b 1.0 b 1.6 a 2.0 a < 0.001 0.4	1.0 1.8 3.8 6.6	3.6 b 3.7 b 4.9 a 4.3 ab 0.011 0.60	42 39 127 73

826 ^a The reported mycotoxin contamination means are transformed [T; y'= ln (x + 1)] and not transformed (N) values.

AOH = alternariol (LOQ = limit of quantification = $0.10 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$), AME = alternariol methyl ether (LOQ = $0.10 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$), TENT = tentoxin (LOQ = $0.10 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$), INF = infectopyrone

828 (LOQ = $0.10 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$).

- 829 ^b The used cultivars were Generale (common wheat) and Saragolla (durum wheat), which are characterized by a medium and an high susceptibility to FHB, respectively. The
- 830 fungicide treatment at heading was carried out using the prothioconazole active ingredient (formulation: EC, 0.250 kg active ingredient ha⁻¹).
- 831 ^c Means followed by different letters within each growing season are significantly different (the level of significance is shown in the table). The reported values are based on 3
- 832 replications.
- 833 ^d sem: standard error of the means.
- 834

Figure 1. Effect of agronomic strategies^a on deoxynivalenol (DON) contamination; field experiments conducted in North West Italy in
 the 2010 - 2013 period.



837

838 Means followed by different letters within each growing season are significantly different (P < 0.05). The reported values are based on 3 replications.

839 The bars report the standard error of the means for each growing season.

^a The used cultivars were Generale (common wheat) and Saragolla (durum wheat), which are characterized by a medium and a high susceptibility to FHB, respectively. The

fungicide treatment at heading was carried out using the prothioconazole active ingredient (formulation: EC, 0.250 kg active ingredient ha⁻¹).