

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Genesis of MgCl₂-based Ziegler-Natta Catalysts as Probed with Operando Spectroscopy

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1677482> since 2018-10-02T17:10:03Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1002/cphc.201800592

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:

Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera:

[Piovano et al., ChemPhysChem, 2018, DOI: 10.1002/cphc.201800592]

The definitive version is available at:

La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL:

[<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/cphc.201800592>]

Genesis of MgCl₂-based Ziegler-Natta Catalysts as Probed with Operando Spectroscopy

Alessandro Piovano,^[a] Paul Pletcher,^[b] Marjolein E. Z. Velthoen,^[b] Silvia Zanoni,^[b] Sang-Ho Chung,^[b,c] Koen Bossers,^[b] Maarten K. Jongkind,^[b] Gianluca Fiore,^[a] Elena Groppo,^{*,[a]} Bert M. Weckhuysen^{*,[b]}

Abstract: Ziegler-Natta catalysts for olefin polymerization are intrinsically complex, multi-component systems. The genesis of the active sites involves several simultaneous and sequential steps, making the individual steps and interconnections difficult to be unraveled in an unambiguous manner. In this work, we combine X-ray diffraction and spectroscopy to probe each step of the birth and life of a MgCl₂-based Ziegler-Natta catalyst, namely the formation of high surface area MgCl₂ by dealcoholation of an alcoholate precursor, the TiCl₄ grafting, and the subsequent activation by triethylaluminum as co-catalyst. The so-prepared catalyst was tested towards ethylene polymerization, leading to the production of mainly crystalline high-density polyethylene. The use of *operando* characterization techniques allowed for probing the transient details that are difficult to be dissected in the aftermath, but can radically affect the overall catalytic process.

Introduction

Nowadays, *operando* spectroscopic techniques are becoming a mature technology to gain fundamental knowledge at the molecular level of catalytic reactions taking place under industrially relevant conditions, which include gas or liquid phase conditions, reactions in the presence of solvents, at high temperatures and pressures.^[1-8] Notable examples can be found in recent literature about some industrial catalytic systems that were implemented thanks to the know-how derived from the *operando* spectroscopic approach. For instance, *operando* spectroscopy helped in understanding and facing the deactivation of some hydrogenation catalysts by CO₂ as

byproduct,^[9] in defining the operating potentials necessary to replace platinum with iron into the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) catalysts,^[10] and in improving the recyclability of the selective catalytic reduction (SCR) catalysts by identifying the side reactions responsible for hydrothermal aging.^[11] In each of these cases and in many others, the use of *operando* spectroscopic techniques rationally resolved the important technical problems, that were compromising the effectiveness of the catalytic processes.

In contrast, olefin polymerization catalysts, in particular the Ziegler-Natta catalysts, have not been the topic of interest in *operando* spectroscopic studies yet, despite their tremendous impact in modern chemical industry.^[12-15] The high dilution and the intrinsic heterogeneity of the catalytic sites, the co-presence of spectator species and the high air sensitivity of the catalysts and their activators are among the main reasons for the lack of Ziegler-Natta *operando* spectroscopic studies.^[16] For these reasons, despite sixty years of industrial practice, the structural and electronic properties of the active sites and their functioning during the olefin polymerization reaction are still subject of debate,^[17-23] and most of the advances in this field are still based on a trial and error approach.^[24, 25] Recently, *operando* spectroscopies were successfully applied to disclose the genesis of the Cr active sites in the Cr^{VI}/SiO₂ Phillips catalyst^[26] and the molecular-level properties of Phillips-type catalysts modified by aluminum-alkyls,^[26-28] demonstrating that these methods have progressed enough to meet the technical challenges posed by olefin polymerization catalysts. This stimulated us in applying the same ensemble of characterization techniques to investigate the genesis of the active sites for the MgCl₂-supported Ziegler-Natta catalysts, the topic of this work.

Generally speaking, Ziegler-Natta catalysts are multi-component systems, whose synthesis involves several steps directly inside the reactor, through the sequential addition of different building blocks onto the support material.^[29, 30] As far as the MgCl₂ support is concerned, its introduction to the composition of Ziegler-Natta catalysts in the 1970s marked the beginning of the so-called third generation of catalysts and the consequent exponential increase in the polyolefins world production.^[29-31] Historically, the reason for the success of MgCl₂ as a dispersing phase for TiCl_x was explained on account of the similarity between its crystalline structure and that of the α and γ phases of TiCl₃, which were the main constituents in the previous generations of catalysts.^[32-34] However, this concept progressively evolved simultaneously with the discovery of the active role of MgCl₂ in the olefin polymerization process. Indeed,

[a] Dr. A. Piovano, Dr. G. Fiore, Prof. E. Groppo
Department of Chemistry, INSTM and NIS Centre
University of Torino
Via Quarello 15A, 10135 Torino (Italy)
E-mail: elena.groppo@unito.it

[b] Dr. P. Pletcher, M. E. Z. Velthoen, S. Zanoni, Dr. S.-H. Chung, K. Bossers, M. K. Jongkind, Prof. B. M. Weckhuysen
Inorganic Chemistry and Catalysis Group
Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science
Utrecht University
Universiteitsweg 99, 3584 CG Utrecht (The Netherlands)
E-mail: b.m.weckhuysen@uu.nl

[c] Dr. S.-H. Chung
Van 't Hoff Institute for Molecular Sciences (HIMS)
University of Amsterdam
PO Box 94157, 1090 GD Amsterdam (The Netherlands)

the exposed MgCl_2 surfaces affect the stereo- and electrochemical properties of the grafted TiCl_x species, thus influencing the stereo-regularity of the obtained polypropylene,^[35-37] the molecular weight distribution^[38, 39] and the particles morphology^[40, 41] of several polymers, as well as the copolymerization behavior of different monomers,^[42] approaching the same relevance as the ancillary ligands in homogeneous catalysis.^[43]

Within this context, the MgCl_2 pre-treatment plays a dominant role in determining the specific surface area of the material and the morphology of the nanocrystals (i.e. the main exposed surfaces). As a matter of fact, the distribution of the active phase has already been proved to depend on the relative extent of the surfaces exposing either 4-fold coordinated Mg^{2+} sites, as the (110) surface, or 5-fold coordinated Mg^{2+} sites, as the (104) one.^[44, 45] In the industrial practice, several procedures are adopted to produce MgCl_2 -based materials, including the mechanical ball-milling of MgCl_2 in the co-presence of TiCl_4 and suitable electron donors, the decomposition of previously synthesized adducts between MgCl_2 and Lewis bases (such as alcohols or ethers) in the presence of TiCl_4 , and the direct chlorination of Mg and Ti alkoxides with $\text{AlR}_n\text{Cl}_{3-n}$ compounds.^[32, 46-55] The latter method was recently proved to promote the formation of MgCl_2 nanocrystals whose morphologies depend on the starting alcoholic adduct.^[45, 56-60] In the past, Thune and coworkers monitored by FT-IR spectroscopy in ATR mode the direct transformation of the alcoholate precursor into the Ziegler-Natta pre-catalyst in the presence of TiCl_4 and an electron donor.^[61]

Complementary information about the chemically activated MgCl_2 material can be achieved through a detailed investigation of the complete thermal dealcoholation process. Such a procedure is quite unusual in the industrial practice, but it is fundamental to unravel the whole sequence of chemical reactions taking place during the formation of the MgCl_2 support material, affecting its final properties. So far, most of the research efforts in this sense have been mainly focused on the synthesis and the static analysis of a series of $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-}n\text{ROH}$ adducts (where ROH is usually ethanol) with different stoichiometry, mimicking the progressive dealcoholation by decreasing the n value from 6 to 0. Among the most relevant studies, we cite the pioneering work of Di Noto et al. reporting a detailed XRD and FT-IR characterization of several $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-}n\text{EtOH}$ adducts,^[62] followed by the Raman spectroscopic investigation of Tewell et al.,^[63] the particle size distribution analysis of Ye et al.,^[64] and the NMR structural characterization of Sozzani et al., who first proposed also an analytical methodology to recognize the pure $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-}n\text{EtOH}$ compounds within a mixture.^[65] All of these works agree in identifying $n \approx 2$ as the stoichiometric value that separates the compounds containing Mg atoms octahedrally coordinated to the oxygen atoms of ethanol ($n > 2$) from those in which a ionic structure is already recognizable ($n < 2$). For this reason, D'Anna et al. adopted exactly the $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-}2\text{EtOH}$ adduct as the model system to study the successive titration step and the structure of the Ziegler-Natta pre-catalysts.^[66] All these studies represent a fundamental benchmark for investigating the formation of MgCl_2

from alcoholate precursors, though the industrial situation is complicated by the co-presence of several intermediate states. In this sense, the works of Bart and Roovers and of Jalali Dil et al.^[67, 68] are much closer to the industrial scenario. The former reports an ex situ XRD study on the adducts obtained upon step-by-step dealcoholation of $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-}6\text{EtOH}$ performed in accordance with thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), pointing out the co-presence of several metastable intermediate phases at each step. Three main structures were described: structures with only discrete mononuclear species (either ionic or covalent), polymeric polynuclear structures resulting from the association of mixed $\text{Mg}(\text{EtOH})_n\text{Cl}_{(6-n)}$ octahedra (with the Cl ligands as bridges), and more complex polynuclear structures with an intermediate stoichiometry.^[67] Jalali Dil et al. reported a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) investigation of some differently dealcoholated MgCl_2 materials and of the polypropylene produced by the corresponding MgCl_2 -supported Ziegler-Natta catalysts. It was found that the porosity of the MgCl_2 progressively increases upon decreasing the alcohol content, and consequently the polymer morphology changes from eggshell to porous with an internal network.^[68]

As far as the active phase is concerned, it is generally constituted by TiCl_4 as a precursor, which is then activated by the co-catalyst, typically an Al-alkyl. The most used Ziegler-Natta co-catalyst is triethylaluminum (TEA), although several other organo-aluminum compounds can be used to vary the electronic properties and the catalytic activity of the metal centers. The activator is an integral part of the active phase, and significantly influences the activity and the stability of the catalyst, the polymerization kinetic profile, and the polymer molecular weight and stereoregularity.^[21, 69] The reaction between the Ti^{4+} chloride species on the pre-catalyst surface and the Al-alkyl compound is generally described as constituted by two steps: the formation of an organo-bimetallic complex and its dissociation, with the concurrent reduction of Ti^{4+} to Ti^{3+} and the exchange of a Cl ligand with an alkyl group in the coordination sphere of the titanium.^[70] Further reduction to Ti^{2+} is normally prevented because of the steric hindrance of the surrounding chlorinated Al-alkyl byproducts,^[70] although it is possible in the presence of a very large excess of AlR_3 . In such a case, the role of the Ti^{2+} species in olefin polymerization is still controversial and strongly depends on the catalytic process considered.^[71-75] Despite some theoretical studies about the energy barriers and the thermodynamic stability of the intermediate species within the redox circuit including the Ti^{4+} precursor, the active Ti^{3+} , and the deactivated over-reduced Ti^{2+} ,^[70, 76] the scientific community is still looking for an experimental evidence that could shed light on the activation mechanism at the molecular level.

The present work aims at sequentially characterizing the steps that lead to the genesis of the active sites in a Ziegler-Natta catalyst by employing complementary spectroscopic methods, in order to identify the transient intermediate species. This represents a fundamental step for the elucidation of the relationship between structure and performances.^[77] As a part of this investigation, we studied the thermal dealcoholation of $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-ROH}$ adducts into high surface area MgCl_2 . It is worth remarking that such a process do not necessarily pass through a

sequence of compounds with well-defined stoichiometry, conversely to those characterized in the above-mentioned works. To the best of our knowledge this is the first attempt to monitor with an *operando* multi-technique approach the evolution of the $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-nROH}$ adducts to MgCl_2 . Two different alcoholate adducts were considered as precursors: $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-nMeOH}$ and $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-nEtOH}$. While the former was recently demonstrated by Thushara et al. to generate the most suitable MgCl_2 material for a surface science investigation in terms of specific surface area, nanoparticles morphology and distribution of the catalytic sites,^[45, 59] the results obtained starting from the ethanol adduct serve as an indispensable connection with previous literature. Similarities and differences between the two cases are duly pointed out in the text. Afterwards, the investigation is focused on the Ti species, which are the catalytically relevant active sites. In particular, we report some direct observations on the TiCl_4 grafting on the MgCl_2 surfaces, the reaction with the TEA activator, and the activity towards ethylene polymerization.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of a high surface area MgCl_2 from $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-nROH}$ adducts

Figure 1 shows the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) conducted on the $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-nROH}$ adducts. In both cases, a systematic and gradual loss of alcohol molecules occurs throughout the whole temperature range from 25 °C to 250 °C, as evidenced by the presence of sharp and quite well-defined peaks in the derivative curve.




Figure 1. Thermogravimetric (black line) and differential thermogravimetric (grey line) analysis of $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-6MeOH}$ (part a) and $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-6EtOH}$ (part b) under

N_2 flux (50 mL/min), collected at a heating ramp of 2 °C/min. The colored dots delimit the temperature steps corresponding to the loss of one alcohol molecule. These steps have been taken as references for the successive measurements.

In the case of the adduct with methanol (part a), the total weight loss observed at the end of the dealcoholation process (67%) is in excellent agreement with the one calculated on the basis of a starting $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-6MeOH}$ stoichiometry, within an error of $\pm 2\%$.^[78] Besides, a more refined analysis of the TGA profile revealed that, although the weight loss begins already at room temperature, the first methanol molecule is completely lost only around 70 °C, and that almost five out of the six alcohol molecules are lost below 150 °C. Comparable results were obtained from the TGA of the adduct with ethanol (part b). Indeed, also in the latter case the complete dealcoholation is achieved at 250 °C and the observed total weight loss (73%) is compatible with an initial $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-6EtOH}$ stoichiometry. The main difference is that the $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-6EtOH}$ adduct loses only four out of the six ligands below 150 °C, in agreement with previously published data,^[65] possibly due to the lower volatility of ethanol with respect to methanol.




Figure 2. Evolution of the X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) patterns upon dealcoholation of $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-6MeOH}$ (part a) and $\text{MgCl}_2\text{-EtOH}$ (part b), under N_2 flux (20 mL/min) at increasing temperatures (2 °C/min), from 25 to 250 °C. The


color code is the same as in Figure 1 (25, 60, 90, 120, 150, 200 and 250 °C for MgCl₂-MeOH, and 25, 50, 80, 120, 170, 200 and 250 °C for MgCl₂-EtOH).

The MgCl₂-ROH dealcoholation process was successively followed by means of structural (XRPD) and spectroscopic (DR UV-Vis and DRIFT) methods under *operando* conditions. For each adduct we have defined six temperature steps, corresponding to the most relevant weight losses as determined by TGA (colored dots in Figure 1), at which we have been collecting XRPD patterns and DR UV-Vis spectra, while the DRIFT spectra were collected continuously during the temperature ramp.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the XRPD patterns during the dealcoholation of the MgCl₂-6ROH adducts under N₂ flow at increasing temperatures. In the case of MgCl₂-6MeOH (part a), pattern 1, collected at room temperature, is characteristic of a solid solution in which both the Mg²⁺ cations and the Cl⁻ anions are surrounded by six alcohol molecules in the first solvation shell,^[79, 80] thus confirming the stoichiometry resulting from the TGA. In this sense, the structure of the solid MgCl₂-6MeOH adduct can be considered as an arrangement of discrete octahedra with Mg²⁺ ions in the centers and MeOH molecules in the corners, and with the chloride ions excluded from the Mg²⁺ coordination sphere as counterions.^[81] Upon increasing the temperature, the appearance, transformation and disappearance of several (broad) diffraction peaks are clearly evident and testify the formation of different intermediate crystalline phases as a function of the alcohol content. In particular, the disappearance of the two peaks at 19° and 21° (triangles in Figure 2a) and the appearance of a very weak peak at 12.5° in pattern 4 (star in Figure 2a) are associated with the transition from predominantly solvated ionic structures to covalent MgCl₂ long chains, featuring chlorine bridges connecting the Mg atoms.^[62, 82] The final XRPD pattern (pattern 7) is that of a layered δ-MgCl₂ phase characterized by an extensive structural disorder, in agreement with the literature findings,^[83, 84] although the sporadic alternation of only partially converted MgCl(OMe) layers cannot be excluded.^[60] In particular, the diffraction peak around 2θ = 15° (d = 5.90 Å) corresponds to the (001) basal plane, and its broadness is related to the nano-sized dimensions of the crystalline domains. Moreover, very broad and weak peaks at 2θ values around 30-35° and 50° indicate the presence of the catalytically relevant (104) and (110) planes, respectively.^[85] For the MgCl₂-6EtOH sample, a slower evolution of the XRPD patterns is observed (Figure 2b). The diffraction peaks associated with the intermediate crystalline phases disappear at higher temperatures, in fair agreement with the thermogravimetric profile (Figure 1b). However, the final pattern is the same as that obtained from MgCl₂-6MeOH. This is a clear limit of the XRPD technique, which is unable to reveal subtle structural differences for nano-sized and disordered materials.^[59]

DR UV-Vis-NIR spectroscopy was applied to monitor the evolution of the electronic properties during the transition from the MgCl₂-6MeOH and MgCl₂-6EtOH precursors to the activated MgCl₂ (Figure 3). The spectra of the starting MgCl₂-6ROH adducts (spectra 1 in Figure 3a and b, respectively) show two main bands at ca. 31000 and 38500 cm⁻¹, which are assigned to

charge-transfer (CT) transitions involving the Mg²⁺ centers and the ROH ligands, while the bands observed below 10000 cm⁻¹ (very narrow) are the overtones and combinations of the vibrational modes of the ROH molecules. Upon dealcoholation, the bands below 10000 cm⁻¹ progressively disappear, while the CT bands are gradually modified both in intensity and in position. In particular, a new intense absorption band gradually appears at ca. 42000 cm⁻¹, which is tentatively ascribed to the charge transfer transition from a Cl⁻ ligand to a highly uncoordinated Mg²⁺ center, in analogy to what reported by Zecchina et al. for defects on MgO.^[86] A second envelope of bands is detectable in the final spectrum (spectrum 7) at 33000 and 23500 cm⁻¹, which are responsible for the goldenrod yellow color of the samples and are compatible with the presence of trapped electrons, i.e. color centers.^[87] This phenomenon is more pronounced for the MgCl₂-EtOH₂₅₀ sample (Figure 3b). These bands are not affected by the successive adsorption of molecules (including H₂O), suggesting that the color centers are buried in the bulk of



the MgCl₂ (and hence not relevant to the catalysis).

Figure 3. Evolution of the diffuse reflectance (DR) UV-Vis spectra upon dealcoholation of MgCl₂-6MeOH (part a) and MgCl₂-EtOH (part b), under N₂ flux (20 mL/min) at increasing temperatures (2 °C/min), from 25 to 250 °C. The color code is the same as in Figure 1 (25, 60, 90, 120, 150, 200 and 250 °C for MgCl₂-MeOH, and 25, 50, 80, 120, 170, 200 and 250 °C for MgCl₂-EtOH).

Finally, Figure 4 shows the evolution of the DRIFT spectra during the dealcoholation of $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{ROH}$ at increasing temperature. In the case of $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{MeOH}$ (Figure 4a), the initial spectrum is dominated by the absorption bands of the methanol molecules entangled within the material. The spectrum of liquid methanol (reported in Figure 4a for the sake of comparison) is characterized by the $\nu(\text{OH})$ band at 3330 cm^{-1} , the asymmetric and symmetric $\nu(\text{CH}_3)$ at 2943 and 2832 cm^{-1} , the $\delta(\text{CH}_3)$ at 1450 cm^{-1} , the $\delta(\text{OH})$ at 1420 cm^{-1} , $\delta_{\text{rocking}}(\text{OH})$ at 1115 cm^{-1} ,

the $\nu(\text{C-O})$ at 1022 cm^{-1} , and a very weak and broad band at $2600\text{-}2500\text{ cm}^{-1}$ including several combinations of the bending and rocking modes.^[88] In the $\nu(\text{OH})$ region, the spectrum of $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{MeOH}$ is very similar to that of liquid methanol. This is in agreement with the NMR data reported by Butler et al., indicating that the MeOH molecules in the solvation shell of Mg halides are perturbed in a similar way as the MeOH molecules in the liquid phase.^[89]




Figure 4. Evolution of the diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) spectra upon dealcoholation of $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{MeOH}$ (part a) and $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot \text{EtOH}$ (part b), under N_2 flux (20 mL/min) at increasing temperatures ($2\text{ }^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$), from 25 to $250\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The color code is the same as in Figure 1 ($25, 60, 90, 120, 150, 200$ and $250\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot \text{MeOH}$, and $25, 50, 80, 120, 170, 200$ and $250\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot \text{EtOH}$). Both sequences of DRIFT spectra are compared with the spectra of respective liquid alcohols collected in attenuated total reflectance (ATR) mode.

In the $\nu(\text{CH}_x)$ region, the bands due to the asymmetric and symmetric $\nu(\text{CH}_3)$ modes are more spaced to each other (at 2960 and 2795 cm^{-1}) because of the interaction with the Cl^- counterions, and three new bands are present at $2980, 2867$ and 2750 cm^{-1} . The three bands are respectively assigned to the asymmetric and symmetric $\nu(\text{CH}_3)$ and to the overtone of the $\delta(\text{CH}_3)$ for methoxy ligands coordinated to the Mg^{2+} .^[90, 91] During

the dealcoholation process, the $\nu(\text{OH})$ band reduces in intensity and progressively shifts up-ward to ca. 3620 cm^{-1} , because of the continuous loss of the H-bonding interaction among the alcohol molecules in the coordination sphere of the Mg^{2+} ions. In the $\nu(\text{CH}_x)$ region, the spectra gradually simplify, and the bands associated to the methoxy species are the only ones remaining in the final spectrum, although with a very low intensity. As a

matter of fact, spectrum 7 is characterized by a very low absorption in the whole spectral range (as expected, since neat MgCl_2 should be completely transparent in the Mid-IR region). The few residual bands indicate the persistence of a small number of alcohol-derived moieties, strongly bound to the MgCl_2 . The most intense band (out-of-scale in DRIFT) is centered around 750 cm^{-1} , and indicates the formation of Mg-O covalent bonds. These results are in good agreement with the recent findings, which pointed out the formation of methoxy groups during the synthesis of methanol-shaped Ziegler-Natta catalysts through the thermal deprotonation of the outgoing MeOH molecules.^[92] Finally, it should be also noted that a weak band at 1620 cm^{-1} starts growing during the first steps of the dealcoholation, but rapidly decreases at temperatures above $120\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. This band is assigned to the $\delta(\text{OH})$ vibrational mode of physisorbed water,^[91] which derives from very small impurities in the N_2 flux despite the optimization of the experimental setup. This adventitious water cannot adsorb until the MgCl_2 surface is covered by the alcohol and is easily removed at temperatures above the boiling point.

An analogous sequence of DRIFT spectra was also observed upon dealcoholation of the $\text{MgCl}_2\cdot 6\text{EtOH}$ adduct (Figure 4b). Also in this case the first spectrum is dominated by the spectroscopic manifestations of the pure alcohol, whereas

the last spectrum presents only a few bands due to residual ethoxy byproducts, whose formation has already been assessed in literature.^[66, 93]

The whole set of experimental data discussed in this section converges in showing that the stepwise dealcoholation of the $\text{MgCl}_2\cdot 6\text{ROH}$ adducts occurs through the formation of a large number of intermediate species (some of them crystalline) and following a series of side reactions often neglected in the specialized literature. The slightly different evolution of the two alcoholate precursors may explain the different properties of the produced MgCl_2 , in terms of specific surface area, particles size and morphology.^[58, 59, 94, 95]

Genesis of the active sites on MgCl_2

The reactions of the high surface area MgCl_2 with TiCl_4 and TEA, leading to the active Ziegler-Natta catalyst, were followed by *operando* DR UV-Vis and DRIFT spectroscopic techniques. Qualitatively, no significant differences are observed between the spectra collected on the sample derived from the $\text{MgCl}_2\cdot 6\text{MeOH}$ and the spectra collected on the sample derived from the $\text{MgCl}_2\cdot 6\text{EtOH}$, indicating that the different precursors affect only the content and the distribution of the Ti centers, but not their intrinsic properties.




Figure 5. Evolution of the *operando* diffuse reflectance (DR) UV-Vis-NIR (Part a) and diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) (Part b) spectra upon titration of $\text{MgCl}_2\cdot 250$ at $90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ with injections of hexane-diluted TiCl_4 in N_2 flux (from spectrum 1 to spectrum 2), and upon activation of $\text{MgCl}_2\cdot 250/\text{TiCl}_4\cdot 90$ at $25\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ with injections of hexane-diluted TEA in N_2 flux (from spectrum 2 to spectrum 3). Inset of part b shows a magnification of the DRIFT spectra in the $1500\text{-}1000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ region; the spectra are compared with the spectrum of liquid triethylaluminum (TEA) collected in attenuated total reflectance (ATR) mode.

Upon dosage of a stoichiometric amount of TiCl_4 at $90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, two intense absorption bands at 42000 and 35500 cm^{-1} (with a well-defined shoulder at 28000 cm^{-1}) appear in the DR UV-Vis spectrum (spectrum 2 in Figure 5a). These bands are assigned to charge transfer transitions from the Cl ligands to the Ti metal centers of the newly formed TiCl_x species. According to the Jorgensen rules on the optical electronegativities of transition metals and their ligands,^[96, 97] the band at 28000 cm^{-1} is univocally ascribed to 6-fold coordinated Ti^{4+} sites, while at higher wavenumbers also 4-fold coordinated Ti^{4+} sites give a

contribution.^[98] Interestingly, the band at 28000 cm^{-1} has a slightly slower dynamics than the other bands. This observation can be related to an initial step of physisorption of TiCl_4 , which is arranged in tetrahedrons both in the liquid and vapor phases, followed by chemisorption in a more stable configuration, where Ti centers assume an octahedral geometry, as well established in the literature.^[44, 99-102] This spectral sequence is in agreement with the observations of Brambilla et al. for ball-milled $\text{MgCl}_2\cdot \text{TiCl}_4$ complexes.^[103] On the contrary, a few changes are observed upon titration of $\text{MgCl}_2\cdot 250$ in the MIR region

(spectrum 2 in Figure 5b). A slight decrease of the $\nu(\text{OH})$ absorption band at around 3500 cm^{-1} indicates that TiCl_4 reacts with residual alcohol-derived species and/or with traces of adventitious H_2O on MgCl_2 surface. Such a reaction is also accompanied by the appearance of a very weak band at around 1100 cm^{-1} (clearly visible in the inset), which is attributed to the formation of Ti alkoxide byproducts.^[104]

During the activation with TEA at $25\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, an extensive absorption slowly appears in the DR UV-Vis spectra in the d-d region (from spectrum 2 to 3 in Figure 5a), showing the reduction of the Ti^{4+} centers to Ti^{3+} .^[98] In particular, two bands are distinguishable at 21000 and 14500 cm^{-1} . These bands are quite broad and relatively intense (ca. 1 K.M. unit), despite the low concentration of Ti (1 wt%), suggesting that most of the Ti^{3+} sites are not isolated but rather aggregated into small clusters, as it was first proposed by Brant and Specca on the base of quantitative EPR measurements.^[105, 106] As a matter of fact, such a spectrum resembles those of the violet α and γ - TiCl_3 ,^[16, 98, 107] which present two intense bands at 18300 and 13800 cm^{-1} . The latter band is ascribed to an intersite ${}^2\text{T}_{2g} \rightarrow {}^2\text{E}_g$ d-d transition involving two Ti^{3+} sites bridged by a Cl ligand, thus gaining intensity from the Cl \rightarrow Ti CT transition at higher energy.^[108] The slight blue-shift to higher wavenumbers of the $\text{MgCl}_{2-250}/\text{TiCl}_{4-90}/\text{TEA}$ spectrum with respect to that of bulk TiCl_3 can be explained in terms of the smaller sizes of the TiCl_x aggregates, but it is also compatible with the presence of alkyl ligands in the coordination sphere,^[109] in accordance with the spectrochemical series of the ligands.^[110] It is worth noticing that the DR UV-Vis spectra shown in Figure 5a neither exclude the presence of isolated Ti^{3+} sites (that should contribute at around 12000 cm^{-1} ,^[108] or higher if alkylated,^[111, 112] but with a much weaker intensity), nor the presence of over-reduced Ti^{2+} sites (that should contribute in an extended spectral range, but with a very weak intensity).^[113-115] We are tempted to exclude Ti over-reduction on account of the low amount of TEA used during the experiment (Al:Ti=2:1),^[116] but the co-presence of both isolated TiCl_x species and Ti clusters, each one expressing a specific activity,^[117] is plausible and cannot be discarded at all.


DRIFT spectra upon TEA dosage in Figure 5b are dominated by the absorption bands of the hexane solvent, but they are no more present in the final spectrum after flushing the cell with N_2 (spectrum 3). In that spectrum TEA dosage is testified by the appearance of some absorption bands in the

$\nu(\text{CH}_x)$ ($2950\text{--}2800\text{ cm}^{-1}$) and $\delta(\text{CH}_x)$ ($1500\text{--}1350\text{ cm}^{-1}$) regions, which are related to the vibrational modes of the alkyl chains deriving from TEA. These bands are very similar to the fingerprints of pure TEA (inset in Figure 3b),^[118] and account for the coexistence of alkylated TiCl_xR_y species, AlR_xCl_y byproducts, and unreacted AlR_3 , as already observed for other Ziegler-Natta catalysts.^[119, 120] Unfortunately, a clear distinction among all the different species could not be addressed here. Finally, a few changes are observed in the range between 1100 and 1000 cm^{-1} , likely associated to the reaction of TEA with the above mentioned Ti alkoxide species.

Ethylene polymerization

The activity of the $\text{MgCl}_{2-250}/\text{TiCl}_{4-90}/\text{TEA}$ catalyst towards ethylene polymerization was tested by contacting the catalyst with ethylene (20 mL/min, 25 % of C_2H_4 in N_2) at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Under these conditions, the catalyst is quickly submerged by a thick layer of polyethylene. This is well-evident in Figure 6, which shows the picture of the sample in the DRIFT cell before (part a) and after (part b) ethylene polymerization (10 minutes). The powder enormously increases in size, up to an overflow from the sample holder. As a consequence, no significant information can be achieved anymore by DR UV-Vis spectroscopy, because such a polymer coating changes the light scattering properties of the catalyst particles under study, shielding the catalytic sites from the incident light and negatively affecting the overall absorbance of the spectra, as clearly illustrated by Barzan et al. when studying ethylene polymerization on the Phillips catalyst.^[26] The occurrence of ethylene polymerization is also clear by evaluating the evolution of the DRIFT spectra in Figure 6c, analogously to what recently observed on industrial catalysts.^[112] After flushing the cell with pure N_2 to remove from the spectra the roto-vibrational contributions of gaseous ethylene (centered at 3105 , 2990 and 1445 cm^{-1}), spectrum 3 in Figure 6c is dominated by the signals of growing polyethylene, that are the off-scale asymmetric and symmetric $\nu(\text{CH}_2)$ and $\delta(\text{CH}_2)$ modes (at around 2920 , 2855 and 1470 cm^{-1} , respectively), and the very weak overtones and combinations (e.g., $\nu_{\text{asym}}(\text{CH}_2)+\delta(\text{CH}_2)$ and $\nu_{\text{sym}}(\text{CH}_2)+\delta(\text{CH}_2)$ at 4320 and 4250 cm^{-1} , respectively), further demonstrating the formation of polyethylene by the catalyst system under study.^[121, 122]

Figure 6. Parts a and b) Pictures of the $\text{MgCl}_{2-250}/\text{TiCl}_{4-90}/\text{TEA}$ catalyst within the Harrick Praying Mantis diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) cell before and after ethylene polymerization. Part c) Evolution of the *operando* FT-IR spectra upon ethylene polymerization ($\text{N}_2:\text{C}_2\text{H}_4 = 75:25$, 20 mL/min) on the



MgCl₂₋₂₅₀/TiCl₄₋₉₀/TEA catalyst (from spectrum 1 to spectrum 2) and after flushing the cell with pure N₂ flux (spectrum 3). The spectra upon ethylene polymerization were collected every minute for 10 minutes.

Conclusions

The individual steps for the synthesis of a Ziegler-Natta catalyst were successfully monitored, pointing out all the intermediate transitions that could not have been detected otherwise, but are crucial for the final composition of the catalyst. Although the adopted procedure is unusual for the industrial practice, where most of the reactions take place all at once within the reactor, some important remarks were achieved on the genesis of the Ziegler-Natta catalysts. The dealcoholation of MgCl_{2-n}MeOH and MgCl_{2-n}EtOH adducts to arrive at a high-surface area MgCl₂ evolves through a considerable number of intermediate phases (some of them which are found to be crystalline), resulting in the formation of alcohol-derived by-products strongly bound to the material. Such an observation substantiate the different properties reported in literature for MgCl₂ derived from different alcoholate precursors. Then, the titaniation of the so formed MgCl₂ support material appears to be divided into two sequential steps, in which TiCl₄ is first physisorbed onto the surface and then strongly chemisorbed on MgCl₂. Finally, the activation of the pre-catalyst by triethylaluminum clearly causes the formation of Ti clusters through the partial aggregation of the surface TiCl_x species, although the concurrent presence of isolated Ti centers cannot be discarded. The resulting Ziegler-Natta catalyst, synthesized through a surface science approach, presented a valuable activity towards ethylene polymerization, hence it can be considered as representative for industrial catalysts.

The reported data clearly demonstrate that *operando* spectroscopy allow appreciating transient details, that otherwise would not be revealed. Such information can be complementary with the fundamental studies under static conditions already present in literature, highlighting expediciencies and criticalities intrinsic to the catalytic process, but which are often neglected. In this way it is possible to acquire a more complete knowledge of Ziegler-Natta catalysis.

Experimental Section

Materials Synthesis

Anhydrous MgCl₂ powder (≥99%), methanol (99.8%) and ethanol (≥99.8%), toluene (99.8%), hexane (95%), TiCl₄ (99.9%), and triethylaluminum (TEA, 93%) were used as received from Sigma-Aldrich. All the compounds were handled in a glove-box and treated under N₂ flux (>99.998%, Rivoira), in order to avoid possible contaminations. Solvents were dried under activated molecular sieves.

All experimental steps are summarized in Scheme 1 and described in detail in the following paragraphs.

The MgCl_{2-n}ROH adducts were synthesized through an azeotropic distillation method (step a in Scheme 1), stirring for 3 h at 95 °C under inert atmosphere a solution of MgCl₂ in alcohol (either methanol or


ethanol, 12 mL per gram of MgCl₂) and toluene, according to a procedure well established in the literature,^[78, 123] and in the same way as reported in previous works.^[45, 59] At the end of the process, the precipitation of the MgCl_{2-n}CH₃OH or MgCl_{2-n}CH₃CH₂OH powders occurred, in agreement with the MgCl₂ solubility models proposed by Zeng et al.^[124]

The controlled dealcoholation of the MgCl_{2-n}ROH adducts (step b) was achieved in N₂ flux (20 mL/min), heating the samples with a temperature ramp of 2 °C/min up to 250 °C, followed by 30 min of isotherm at the same temperature. The final temperature of this thermal treatment was chosen on the basis of the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), to assure the complete removal of all the alcohol molecules. The activated high-surface area MgCl₂ will be referred to as MgCl₂₋₂₅₀.

For the titaniation of activated MgCl₂ (step c), a stoichiometric amount of TiCl₄, necessary for achieving a final Ti content of 1 wt%, was diluted in hexane (50 % v/v in hexane) and injected through a septum in the N₂ flux (20 mL/min). Then the TiCl₄ vapors reach with the activated MgCl₂ at 90 °C. The employed setup is similar to that described in previous work.^[27] The final amount of titanium that is grafted on MgCl₂ with this procedure is close to 1.0 wt%, as determined by ICP analysis.^[45] The so formed pre-catalysts will hereafter be referred to as MgCl₂₋₂₅₀/TiCl₄₋₉₀.

The activation of the MgCl₂₋₂₅₀/TiCl₄₋₉₀ pre-catalyst (step d) was accomplished by using a diluted solution of TEA (1.25 M in hexane), attaining an average Ti:Al stoichiometry of 1:2, with respect to the total TiCl₄ injected. TEA was dosed at room temperature, following the same procedure described for TiCl₄ dosage. The resulting active catalyst will be referred to as MgCl₂₋₂₅₀/TiCl₄₋₉₀/TEA.

Both TiCl₄ and TEA dosages are followed by a mild washing of the catalyst by injecting some pure solvent into the N₂ flux through the sample.



Scheme 1. Sequence of the experimental steps for the whole catalytic process under examination. All stages are labeled as in the main text, following the same color code in the next figures.

Ethylene polymerization (step e) was performed flowing through the measurement cell at 20 mL/min a 75:25 mixture of N₂ and C₂H₄ (>99.9%, Linde), at room temperature and atmospheric pressure.

Materials Characterization and Testing

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements during the MgCl_{2-n}ROH dealcoholation were performed with a TA Q600 instrument at a heating rate of 2 °C/min in a temperature range between 30 and 300 °C,

under N₂ flux (50 mL/min). *Operando* X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) measurements were performed using a PANalytical X'Pert diffractometer (Cu K_α radiation), inserting a flat sample (Bragg-Brentano geometry) into an Anton Paar XRK 900 reactor chamber equipped with a hot stage and gas fluxing system. A sequence of isothermal measurements was performed in the range 25–250 °C, following the temperature steps derived from the TGA analysis (the heating rate between each isotherm was 2 °C/min). *Operando* FT-IR spectra were collected in diffuse reflectance mode (DRIFT) with a spectral resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ with a Perkin-Elmer 2000 spectrometer equipped with a DTGS detector. The samples were positioned inside a Harrick Praying Mantis DRIFT accessory equipped with ZnS windows, connected with a gas flow system. The UV-Vis-NIR spectra were collected in diffuse reflectance (DR) mode using a Varian Cary5000 spectrophotometer, equipped with a perfectly white reflectance sphere. The samples were placed inside a quartz cell with an optical quartz window ("Suprasil"). The spectra were collected in reflectance and successively converted into Kubelka-Munk units.

Keywords: Ziegler-Natta catalyst • operando spectroscopy • ethylene polymerization • MgCl₂

- [1] H. Topsøe, *J. Catal.* **2003**, *216*, 155.
 [2] B. M. Weckhuysen, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *5*, 4351.
 [3] S. J. Tinnemans, J. G. Mesu, K. Kervinen, T. Visser, T. A. Nijhuis, A. M. Beale, D. E. Keller, A. M. J. van der Eerden, B. M. Weckhuysen, *Catal. Today* **2006**, *113*, 3.
 [4] M. A. Bañares, *Catal. Today* **2005**, *100*, 71.
 [5] M. A. Bañares, *Adv. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 5293.
 [6] C. Otero Areán, B. M. Weckhuysen, A. Zecchina, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *14*, 2125.
 [7] Y. Zhang, D. Fu, X. Xu, Y. Sheng, J. Xu, Y. Han, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng.* **2016**, *12*, 1.
 [8] A. Chakrabarti, M. E. Ford, D. Gregory, R. Hu, C. J. Keturakis, S. Lwin, Y. Tang, Z. Yang, M. Zhu, M. A. Bañares, I. E. Wachs, *Catal. Today* **2017**, *283*, 27.
 [9] J. Scalbert, C. Daniel, Y. Schuurman, C. Thomas, F. C. Meunier, *J. Catal.* **2014**, *318*, 61.
 [10] C. H. Choi, C. Baldizzone, J.-P. Grote, A. K. Schuppert, F. Jaouen, K. J. J. Mayrhofer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 12753.
 [11] J. Song, Y. Wang, E. D. Walter, N. M. Washton, D. Mei, L. Kovarik, M. H. Engelhard, S. Prodingler, Y. Wang, C. H. F. Peden, F. Gao, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 8214.
 [12] E. Sagel, in *Foro Pemex*, IHS Inc, Mexico City, **2012**.
 [13] H. A. Wittcoff, B. G. Reuben, J. S. Plotkin, *Industrial Organic Chemicals: Third Edition*, John Wiley and Sons, **2013**.
 [14] *Market Report: Global Catalyst Market*, 3rd ed., Acmite Market Intelligence, Ratingen, Germany, **2015**.
 [15] T. J. Hutley, M. Ouederni, in *Polyolefin Compounds and materials* (Eds.: M. A.-A. AlMa'adeed, I. Krupa), Springer, Switzerland, **2016**, pp. 13.
 [16] E. Groppo, K. Seenivasan, C. Barzan, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *3*, 858.
 [17] P. Corradini, G. Guerra, L. Cavallo, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2004**, *37*, 231.
 [18] A. Correa, R. Credendino, J. T. M. Pater, G. Morini, L. Cavallo, *Macromolecules* **2012**, *45*, 3695.
 [19] E. Groppo, E. Gallo, K. Seenivasan, A. Sommazzi, S. Bordiga, P. Glatzel, R. Van Silfhout, A. Kachatkov, W. Bras, C. Lamberti, *ChemCatChem* **2015**, *7*, 1432.
 [20] E. Morra, E. Giamello, S. Van Doorslaer, G. Antinucci, M. D'Amore, V. Busico, M. Chiesa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 4857.
 [21] A. Vittoria, A. Meppelder, N. Friederichs, V. Busico, R. Cipullo, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 4509.
 [22] C. Copéret, F. Allouche, K. W. Chang, M. Conley, M. F. Delley, A. Fedorov, I. Moroz, V. Mougel, M. Pucino, K. Searles, K. Yamamoto, P. Zhizhko, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**.
 [23] T. Taniike, M. Terano, *J. Catal.* **2012**, *293*, 39.
 [24] V. Busico, R. Cipullo, A. Mingione, L. Rongo, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *55*, 2686.
 [25] E. G. Derouane, F. Lemos, A. Corma, F. R. Ribeiro, *Combinatorial catalysis and high throughput catalyst design and testing*, Vol. 560, Springer Science & Business Media, **2012**.
 [26] C. Barzan, A. Piovano, L. Braglia, G. A. Martino, C. Lamberti, S. Bordiga, E. Groppo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 17064.
 [27] D. Cicmil, J. Meeuwissen, A. Vantomme, B. M. Weckhuysen, *ChemCatChem* **2016**, *8*, 1937.
 [28] D. Cicmil, I. K. Van Ravenhorst, J. Meeuwissen, A. Vantomme, B. M. Weckhuysen, *Catal. Sci. Tech.* **2016**, *6*, 731.
 [29] E. Albizzati, U. Giannini, G. Collina, L. Noristi, L. Resconi, in *Polypropylene Handbook* (Ed.: E. P. J. Moore), Hanser-Gardner Publications, Cincinnati (Ohio, USA), **1996**.
 [30] P. Galli, G. Vecellio, *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2001**, *26*, 1287.
 [31] E. P. Moore, *The Rebirth of Polypropylene: Supported Catalysts: how the People of the Montedison Laboratories Revolutionized the PP Industry*, Hanser Pub., New York, **1998**.
 [32] R. Zannetti, C. Marega, A. Marigo, A. Martorana, *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys.* **1988**, *26*, 2399.
 [33] N. Kashiwa, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2004**, *42*, 1.
 [34] U. Müller, *Inorganic structural chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester (UK), **2006**.
 [35] M. Kakugo, T. Miyatake, Y. Naito, K. Mizunuma, *Macromolecules* **1988**, *21*, 314.
 [36] M. Kioka, H. Makio, A. Mizuno, N. Kashiwa, *Polym.* **1994**, *35*, 580.
 [37] V. Busico, in *Polyolefins: 50 years after Ziegler and Natta I*, Vol. 257 (Ed.: W. Kaminsky), Springer, Berlin, **2013**, pp. 37.
 [38] J. C. Chadwick, F. van der Burgt, S. Rastogi, V. Busico, R. Cipullo, G. Talarico, J. J. R. Heere, *Macromolecules* **2004**, *37*, 9722.
 [39] Y. P. Chen, Z. Q. Fan, J. H. Liao, S. Q. Liao, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2006**, *102*, 1768.
 [40] L. Noristi, E. Marchetti, G. Baruzzi, P. Sgarzi, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **1994**, *32*, 3047.
 [41] T. F. McKenna, J. B. P. Soares, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **2001**, *56*, 3931.
 [42] K. Soga, T. Shiono, Y. Doi, *Polym. Bull.* **1983**, *10*, 168.
 [43] F. J. Gómez, R. M. Waymouth, *Science* **2002**, *295*, 635.
 [44] M. D'Amore, R. Credendino, P. H. M. Budzelaar, M. Causà, V. Busico, *J. Catal.* **2012**, *286*, 103–110.
 [45] M. D'Amore, K. S. Thushara, A. Piovano, M. Causà, S. Bordiga, E. Groppo, *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 5786.
 [46] D. N. Taveira Magalhães, O. Do Coutto Filho, F. M. B. Coutinho, *Eur. Polym. J.* **1991**, *27*, 1093.
 [47] F. J. Karol, *Catal. Rev.: Sci. Eng.* **1984**, *26*, 557.
 [48] F. J. Karol, K. J. Cann, B. E. Wagner, in *Transition Metals and Organometallics as Catalysts for Olefin Polymerization* (Eds.: W. Kaminsky, H. Sinn), Springer, Berlin, **1988**, pp. 149.
 [49] Y. V. Kissin, *Alkene Polymerization Reactions with Transition Metal Catalysts*, Vol. 173, Elsevier, Amsterdam, **2008**.
 [50] T. E. Nowlin, R. I. Mink, Y. V. Kissin, in *Transition Metal Polymerization Catalysts* (Eds.: R. Hoff, R. T. Mathers), John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken (New Jersey), **2009**, pp. 131.
 [51] T. E. Nowlin, *Business and Technology of the Global Polyethylene Industry*, Wiley-Scrivener, New York, **2014**.
 [52] V. H. Nissinen, I. O. Koshevoy, T. T. Pakkanen, *Dalton Trans.* **2017**, *46*, 4452.
 [53] S. Pirinen, K. Jayaratne, P. Deniff, T. T. Pakkanen, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2014**, *395*, 434.
 [54] S. Pirinen, T. T. Pakkanen, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2015**, *398*, 177.
 [55] N. Friederichs, R. Gerlofsma, WO2009112254, **2009**.
 [56] E. Puhakka, T. T. Pakkanen, T. A. Pakkanen, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1997**, *101*, 6063.
 [57] A. Andoni, J. C. Chadwick, H. J. W. Niemantsverdriet, P. C. Thune, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2007**, *28*, 1466.
 [58] A. Andoni, J. C. Chadwick, H. J. W. Niemantsverdriet, P. C. Thune, *J. Catal.* **2008**, *257*, 81.
 [59] K. S. Thushara, M. D'Amore, A. Piovano, S. Bordiga, E. Groppo, *ChemCatChem* **2017**, *9*, 1782.
 [60] V. H. Nissinen, M. Linnolahti, T. T. Pakkanen, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 21505.
 [61] A. Andoni, J. C. Chadwick, J. W. Niemantsverdriet, P. C. Thune, *Catal. Lett.* **2009**, *130*, 278.
 [62] V. Di Noto, R. Zannetti, M. Viviani, C. Marega, A. Marigo, S. Bresadola, *Makromol. Chem.* **1992**, *193*, 1653.
 [63] C. R. Tewell, F. Malizia, J. W. Ager III, G. A. Somorjai, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2002**, *106*, 2946.
 [64] Z. Y. Ye, L. Wang, L. F. Feng, X. P. Gu, H. H. Chen, P. Y. Zhang, J. Pan, S. Jiang, L. X. Feng, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2002**, *40*, 3112.
 [65] P. Sozzani, S. Bracco, A. Comotti, R. Simonutti, I. Camurati, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 12881.
 [66] V. D'Anna, S. Norsic, D. Gajan, K. Sanders, A. J. Pell, A. Lesage, V. Monteil, C. Copéret, G. Pintacuda, P. Sautet, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 18075.
 [67] J. C. J. Bart, W. Roovers, *J. Mater. Sci.* **1995**, *30*, 2809.
 [68] E. Jalali Dil, S. Pourmahdian, M. Vatankhah, F. A. Taromi, *Polym. Bull.* **2010**, *64*, 445.


- [69] E. Y. X. Chen, T. J. Marks, *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 1391.
- [70] D. V. Stukalov, V. A. Zakharov, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 21376.
- [71] H. Fuhrmann, W. Herrmann, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *195*, 3509.
- [72] K. Soga, S. I. Chen, R. Ohnishi, *Polym. Bull.* **1982**, *8*, 473.
- [73] J. C. W. Chien, S. Weber, Y. Hu, *J. Polym. Sci. A* **1989**, *27*, 1499.
- [74] S. H. Kim, G. A. Somorjai, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2006**, *103*, 15289.
- [75] Y. V. Kissin, *J. Catal.* **2012**, *292*, 188.
- [76] N. Bahri-Laleh, A. Correa, S. Mehdipour-Ataei, H. Arabi, M. N. Haghighi, G. Zohuri, L. Cavallo, *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44*, 778.
- [77] T. Taniike, T. Funako, M. Terano, *J. Catal.* **2014**, *311*, 33.
- [78] E. S. Gnanakumar, R. R. Gowda, S. Kunjir, T. G. Ajithkumar, P. R. Rajamohanan, D. Chakraborty, C. S. Gopinath, *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3*, 303.
- [79] T. Radnai, E. Kálmán, K. Pollmer, *Z. Naturforsch. A* **1984**, *39*, 464.
- [80] Y. Tamura, E. Spohr, K. Heinzinger, G. Pálinkás, I. Bakó, *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, *96*, 147.
- [81] S. Halut-Desportes, M. Philoche-Levisalles, *Acta Cryst. B* **1978**, *34*, 432.
- [82] H. S. Cho, W. Y. Lee, *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.* **2003**, *191*, 155.
- [83] F. Auriemma, C. De Rosa, *Chem. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 5803.
- [84] F. Auriemma, C. De Rosa, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2008**, *41*, 68.
- [85] M. Chang, X. Liu, P. J. Nelson, G. R. Munzing, T. A. Gegan, Y. V. Kissin, *J. Catal.* **2006**, *239*, 347.
- [86] A. Zecchina, M. G. Lofthouse, F. S. Stone, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1* **1975**, *71*, 1476.
- [87] S. Kinno, R. Onaka, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1983**, *52*, 267.
- [88] M. Falk, E. Whalley, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1961**, *34*, 1554.
- [89] R. N. Butler, J. Davies, M. C. R. Symons, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1970**, *66*, 2426.
- [90] H. S. Jung, J.-K. Lee, J.-Y. Kim, K. S. Hong, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2003**, *259*, 127.
- [91] A. A. Rywak, J. M. Burlitch, T. M. Loehr, *Chem. Mater.* **1995**, *7*, 2028.
- [92] H. S. Cho, W. Y. Lee, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **2002**, *19*, 557.
- [93] E. Grau, A. Lesage, S. Norsic, C. Copéret, V. Monteil, P. Sautet, *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3*, 52.
- [94] K. S. Thushara, E. S. Gnanakumar, R. Mathew, T. G. Ajithkumar, P. R. Rajamohanan, S. Bhaduri, C. S. Gopinath, *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 11311.
- [95] E. Redzic, T. Garoff, C. C. Mardare, M. List, G. Hesser, L. Mayrhofer, A. W. Hassel, C. Paulik, *Iran. Polym. J.* **2016**, *25*, 321.
- [96] C. K. Jorgensen, in *Orbitals in Atoms and Molecules*, Academic Press, London and New York, **1962**, pp. 80.
- [97] C. K. Jorgensen, *Progr. Inorg. Chem.* **1970**, *12*, 101.
- [98] K. Seenivasan, A. Sommazzi, F. Bonino, S. Bordiga, E. Groppo, *Chem. Eur. J* **2011**, *17*, 8648.
- [99] P. Corradini, V. Barone, R. Fusco, G. Guerra, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1983**, *113*, 601.
- [100] V. Busico, P. Corradini, L. De Martino, A. Proto, V. Savino, E. Albizzati, *Makromol. Chem.* **1985**, *186*, 1279.
- [101] T. Taniike, M. Terano, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2008**, *29*, 1472.
- [102] D. V. Stukalov, I. L. Zilberberg, V. A. Zakharov, *Macromolecules* **2009**, *42*, 8165.
- [103] L. Brambilla, G. Zerbi, F. Piemontesi, S. Nascetti, G. Morini, *J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem.* **2007**, *263*, 103.
- [104] R. Urlaub, U. Posset, R. Thull, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **2000**, *265*, 276.
- [105] P. Brant, A. N. Specca, *Macromolecules* **1987**, *20*, 2740.
- [106] P. Brant, A. N. Specca, D. C. Johnston, *J. Catal.* **1988**, *113*, 250.
- [107] I. Pollini, *Solid State Commun.* **1983**, *47*, 403.
- [108] R. J. H. Clark, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, *0*, 417.
- [109] W. W. Lukens, M. R. Smith, R. A. Andersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 1719.
- [110] P. Atkins, T. Overton, J. Rourke, M. Weller, F. Armstrong, *Shriver and Atkins' Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th ed., Oxford University Press, New York, **2010**.
- [111] A. Piovano, K. S. Thushara, E. Morra, M. Chiesa, E. Groppo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 11203.
- [112] P. Pletcher, A. Welle, A. Vantomme, B. M. Weckhuysen, *J. Catal.* **2018**, *363*, 128.
- [113] C. W. DeKock, D. M. Gruen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1966**, *44*, 4387.
- [114] M. A. Araya, F. A. Cotton, J. H. Matonic, C. A. Murillo, *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 5424.
- [115] U. Kölle, P. Kölle, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 4540.
- [116] J. C. W. Chien, J. Wu, C. Kuo, *J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed.* **1982**, *20*, 2019.
- [117] S. Takahashi, T. Wada, T. Taniike, M. Terano, *Catalysts* **2013**, *3*, 137.
- [118] S. Kvisle, E. Rytter, *Spectrochim. Acta A* **1984**, *40*, 939.
- [119] A. Piovano, G. A. Martino, C. Barzan, *Rend. Fis. Acc. Lincei* **2017**, *28*, 43.
- [120] A. Piovano, E. Morra, M. Chiesa, E. Groppo, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 4915.
- [121] S. Krimm, C. Y. Liang, G. B. B. M. Sutherland, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1956**, *25*, 549.
- [122] J. R. Nielsen, A. H. Woollett, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1957**, *26*, 1391.
- [123] K. S. Thushara, E. S. Gnanakumar, R. Mathew, R. K. Jha, T. G. Ajithkumar, P. R. Rajamohanan, K. Sarma, S. Padmanabhan, S. Bhaduri, C. S. Gopinath, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 1952.
- [124] L. Zeng, Z. Li, X. Wang, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2016**, *61*, 797.

Entry for the Table of Contents

Operando X-ray diffraction and DRIFT and DR UV-Vis spectroscopies were applied to get information about the structure, the electronic properties and the functional groups of some Ziegler-Natta catalysts. Each step of the synthesis was singly characterized: the activation of the MgCl_2 support material, the formation of the pre-catalyst by TiCl_4 chemisorption, and the activation with TEA. The so formed catalyst turned out to be very active in ethylene polymerization in the gas phase at room temperature.

Layout 2:

ARTICLE



A. Piovano, P. Pletcher, M. E. Z. Velthoen, S. Zaroni, S.-H. Chung, K. Bossers, M. K. Jongkind, G. Fiore, E. Groppo,* B. M. Weckhuysen*

Page No. – Page No.

Genesis of MgCl_2 -based Ziegler-Natta Catalysts as Probed with Operando Spectroscopy
