



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Robot ensembles for grafting herbaceous crops

This is the author's manuscript	
Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1646010	since 2017-07-31T21:43:26Z
Published version:	
DOI:10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2016.02.012	
Terms of use:	
Open Access	
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.	

(Article begins on next page)





This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in BIOSYSTEMS ENGINEERING, 146, 2016, 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2016.02.012.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

- (1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.
- (2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.
- (3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en), 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2016.02.012

The publisher's version is available at: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1537511016000234

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text: http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1646010

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

1	
2	Robot ensembles for grafting herbaceous crops
3	
4	Lorenzo Comba ^a , Paolo Gay ^{a,b,*} , Davide Ricauda Aimonino ^a
5	
6	^a DI.S.A.F.A. – Università degli Studi di Torino, 2 Largo P.Braccini, 10095 Grugliasco (TO)
7	– Italy
8	^b CNR-IEIIT, 24 Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 10129 Torino – Italy
9	*e-mail of corresponding author: paolo.gay@unito.it
10	
11	
12	ABSTRACT
1.0	

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Legislation and regulation is increasingly limiting the use of pesticides or chemical fumigants to counteract soil-borne pathogens. Therefore the use of disease resistant grafted plants is increasing. However, the grafting of herbaceous crops is a labour-intensive technique, with consequent costs. These aspects have encouraged the development of automated machines able to increase productivity and rooting success rate while reducing costs. This paper presents an innovative solution for automatic grafting of vegetable crops, suitable for small to medium sized farms. The concept is to use a group of cooperative robots, adaptable in number, to meet workload specifications. The machine consists of one or more grafting units, able to cut and join scions and rootstocks, and a supplying and sorting system based on an ensemble of single-axis, identical and independent robotic modules, displacing on a unique rail, which coordinates the movement and the selection of scions, rootstocks and grafted plants. Overall productivity is given by the number of grafting units, the number of robotic modules implemented in the supply system, and the efficiency of the control and task allocation strategy. Together with the description of the innovative aspects of the machine mechanics, designed to face intrinsic variability in vegetable objects, the objective of this paper was to present the ensemble synthesis of the control policies, based on heuristic scheduling priorities, to allocate and coordinate the team of robots to a set of spatially distributed tasks.

33

Keywords: Robotics, Vegetable grafting, Automation

35 36

37 Nomenclature

WS	Working Station	
WS-A	Seedling loading area	
WS-B	Vision and classification system	
WS-C	Scions feeding zone to the grafting unit	
WS-D	Zone devoted to feeding the grafting unit with rootstocks and to releasing	
	the grafted shoots	
WS-E	Unloading area for grafted plants	
G	Sliders guide	
g_i	i - th equal length guide segment	
N_G	Number of equal length segments g_i , constituting the guide G	
l_S	One slot width	
p_i	i-th independent slider	
P	Set of independent sliders	
N_P	Number of independent sliders	
S_i	i - th slot housed on a slider	
S	Set of slots housed on sliders p_i , with $i = 1,, N_P$	
N_S	Number of slots housed on each slider p_i	
N_H	Number of slots housed on all the sliders $p_i \in P$	
t_k	k-th time instant	
⋅ ∞	ℓ_{∞} norm	
$\left\ \cdot \right\ _1$	ℓ_1 norm	
$M_{p_i}(t_k)$	Set of N_S adjacent guide segments occupied by the slider p_i at time t_k	
$V(t_k)$	Vector state of the system at time t_k	
$v_i(t_k)$	Discrete position of slot s_i on the rail at time t_k	
$\tilde{V}_{j}(t_{k+1})$	j-th new sliders configuration obtained with one-segment long	
, , , , , , ,	movements of the set of sliders S , at time t_{k+1}	
$\mathbb{V}(t_{k+1})$	Set of all possible movement combinations \tilde{V}_i , at time t_{k+1}	
$V_F(t_{k+1})$	Set of all feasible movement combinations	
V^*	Chosen new sliders configuration	
H(V(t),I(t))	Cost function of the heuristic search	
h_i	$i - th$ heuristic, with $i = \{1,2,3\}$, constituting H	
I(t)	Binary vector collecting the <i>immediate requests</i> of the system	
T_m	m-th task that the supply system can performs (<i>immediate request</i>)	
T	Set of all the <i>immediate requests</i>	
N_T	Cardinality of T	
$r_i(t_k)$	State of $i - th$ immediate request at time t_k	
q_{T_m} Position on the guide of the T_m task (one of the WSs)		
Q	Set of all the WSs positions	
$w(s_i, T_m)$	Weighing function of the heuristic h_1 and h_2	
S_{T_m}	Set of slots suitable for the task T_m	
W	Queue of vector state V^*	
n_r	Number of pixels rows of the image acquired by vision system	
n_c		
(x_0, y_0)	Coordinates of the stem bottom end	
(x_c, y_c)	Coordinates of the midpoints of the stem in correspondence to the	
	cotyledons node	

Average tilt angle of the stem

38

1. Introduction

 α

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

39

Grafting of vegetable seedlings is a horticultural practice that was developed in East Asia in the early 19th century, in order to counteract huge crop losses due to infection of soil borne diseases in intensive cultivation. The grafting process consists in attaching scions of the crop to be reared onto more vigorous rootstocks, which absorb soil nutrients making them available for scion's growth. Since the adoption of this technique in Europe, which began in the 1990s, the number of grafted seedlings used in commercial vegetable production is constantly increasing, thanks to the many derived benefits. Indeed, the adoption of robust rootstocks enhances seedlings tolerance to abiotic stress, like thermal, humidity and water stress in harsh environments (Schwarz et al. 2010), as well as the resistance to soil-borne diseases and nematodes (Louws et al. 2010). The improved adaptive capabilities to unfavourable soil and environmental conditions increase crop yield, from both quantitative and qualitative points of view, reducing at the same time the amount of needed chemical treatments during the growth cycle (Edelstein et.al. 1999). This last aspect complies with recent European Community directives, which are increasingly limiting the use of pesticides or chemical fumigants, such as methyl bromide, to counteract soil-borne pathogens. It also is in accordance with current policies for developing organic, more sustainable practices, and environmentally friendly agriculture. The adoption of disease resistant grafted plants (e.g. tomatoes or peppers) is a promising technique although it is labour consuming and involves tedious repetitive actions, such as the selection of compatible shoots, cutting and applying the best graft. These aspects have encouraged the development of automated machines, in order to increase the productivity and the rooting success rate while reducing costs, allowing grafting to be more economically sustainable. The first semi-autonomous prototypes for grafting were developed in the 1990s (Honami, Taira, Murase, Nishiura, & Yasukuri, 1992; Kubota, McClure, Kokalis-Burelle, Bausher, & Rosskopf, 2008; Lee et al., 2010; Oda, 1995) and were only able to perform a limited number of the operations required to obtain a complete grafted seedling and they usually required the supervision of at least three expert workers to feed the machine and to check the quality of the products produced (e.g. Helper Robotech, 2015; Iseki, 2015; Kang, Han, Noh, & Choi, 2005). The selection of scion and rootstock couples, which need to be compatible in terms of 71 their diameters, is typically performed by trained operators but, in this paper, an artificial

- vision-based sorting system is proposed, in order to automatise all the processing phases.
- Recently, a new generation of fully automated grafting robots has been developed in Europe.
- 74 These machines reach higher performances, producing even more than one thousand
- However, these machines are rather complex, are designed for large-scale production and
- 76 require heavy investment from farmers. These factors make them unsuitable for typical
- 77 Mediterranean nurseries. For these reasons, in this context, this operation is still carried out
- 78 manually in almost all cases.

79

- 80 To tackle this lack of technology, a national research project in Italy (PRIN, 2013) was
- 81 financed to conceive and develop an innovative machine specifically designed for small and
- 82 medium Mediterranean farms (Belforte et al., 2006). The design objectives of the machine
- 83 were simplicity, reliability, ease of maintenance and cleaning, and cost-effectiveness, relying
- on automation and control for the fulfilment of performance specifications.
- 85 This paper presents the innovative aspects of the mechanics and of the discrete-events
- 86 controls of the machine, designed to handle the intrinsic variability of vegetal objects,
- 87 maintaining the lowest possible level of complexity. The proposed solution is based on an
- 88 ensemble of cooperative robots, constituted by single-axis independent sliders moving on a
- 89 passive rail, which supply and sort plants to the grafting units, which are constituted by two
- 90 independent pneumatic manipulators, a couple of blade cutters and a clip feeder system. The
- 91 number of independent sliders can be varied and can be determined (by simulation) for
- 92 optimal operation under a given expected workload.
- 93 The challenge is to obtain high performance from the ensemble by synthesising the control
- 94 policies to allocate and coordinate the team of robots to a collection of spatially distributed
- 95 tasks.
- This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes the design solutions adopted for the
- 97 different robotic components of the machine (supplying, sorting and grafting subsystems) and
- 98 the overall layout. Optimisation and control algorithms are presented in section 3, while the
- 99 artificial vision system and algorithms for seedlings classification are reported in section 4.
- Simulated and experimental results are presented in section 5 and discussed in section 6.
- 101 Some animations reporting the results of the machine processes simulations are also available
- on the journal website as additional material. Finally, conclusions and future developments
- are discussed in section 7.

104

2 Design

2.1. General description

- The fragmentation of the Mediterranean area horticulture market prevents the diffusion of the already existing grafting machine, suitable for the medium-large nurseries of the northern Europe. The following design objectives and specifications were detected and necessary to develop an automatic grafting machine suitable for small and medium-size nurseries:
 - simplicity: the machine should operate in greenhouses, using standard trays and facilities;
 - reliability, robustness and ease of use, maintenance, and cleaning: insensitive to dirt, soil and water, the operators should have access to every part of the machine without requiring disassembly, with the possibility of quickly replacing damaged components;
 - productivity and costs: scalable and compatible with small and medium size nurseries, it should work without involving operators for supervision and general supplying;
 - modular structure: a machine layout able to adapt to the required workload of differentsized nurseries.
- Developed on the base of these specification, the prototype (Fig. 1) can be described in three main parts: (1) a supply system, (2) a vision-based sorting system and (3) one or more grafting units.
 - Unlike other machines (see e.g. Iseki, 2015; Kobayashi, Suzuki & Sasaya, 1999 and Chiu, Chen & Chang, 2010) which typically use two independent supply routes or sub-systems (one for scions and one for rootstocks) and another for the grafted seedling, this solution, is characterised by a single supply system which handles at the same time scions, rootstocks and the complete seedlings. The developed system is based on a number of independent single-axis robotic modules, hereafter also referred to as *sliders*, which move on a single rail. Each slider is equipped with a stand with several holding cavities (*slots*), allowing to simultaneously host several shoots during the slider deployment along the guide (Fig. 2). During the machine operations, each slot can indiscriminately host a scion, a rootstock or a grafted plant. Since the effectiveness of the grafting operation is enhanced by processing seedlings with isometric diameters, a vision system was developed in order to classify incoming shoots in two (or more) stem diameter classes. The supply systems must therefore be able to provide two fitting stems belonging to the same class to the grafting units. Each

grafting unit consisted of two independent pneumatic manipulators that pick the seedlings

from sliders, cut and join scions and rootstocks together, and release the grafted plants onto a

slider with one free slot (Belforte & Eula, 2012). The guide areas devoted to loading/unloading shoots to/from sliders have been denominate working station (WS).

- In detail, the following WS were designed (Fig. 1):
- seedling loading area (WS-A),
- vision and classification system (WS-B),
- scions feeding zone to the first grafting unit (WS-C₁),
- zone devoted to feeding the first grafting unit with rootstocks and to releasing the grafted shoots (WS-D₁),
- scions feeding zone to the *N*-th grafting unit (WS-C_N),
- zone devoted to feeding the *N*-th grafting unit with rootstocks and to releasing the grafted shoots (WS-D_N),
- the unloading area for grafted plants (WS-E).
- The prototype is fed by two seedlings trays, one for scion and one for rootstock. The loading procedure is performed by a handling system devoted to pick seedlings by needle-pliers from the trays and place them onto a slider at the receiving position on the rail (WS-A). The choice between requesting a scion or a rootstock, to be picked from the incoming trays, is performed with the objective of maintaining the ratio of rootstocks hosted on the sliders over the 20%
- compared to the total amount of hosted seedlings.
- 159 In the overall process, seedlings, once loaded on the sliders, are moved to WS-B to be
- classified by the vision system and then, if a couple of seedlings (one for scion and one for
- rootstock) are detected and if the grafting unit is ready, the supply system will deliver them to
- WS-C and WS-D respectively. Once a slider has been unloaded of a shoot, it is free to move
- again and, when both scion and rootstock are loaded, the grafting unit starts the processing
- 164 cycle. After the grafting procedure, the grafting unit obtains the grafted shoot available in
- WS-D and then a slider delivers it to the last station WS-E for the unloading procedure. In
- WS-E, the solution adopted for unloading grafted shoots is the same as the one adopted in
- WS-A, where pick & place operations can performed using the clips nowadays used in high-
- performance transplanting machines (e.g. Hu et al., 2014; Urbinati, 2015).
- In the case where it becomes impossible to find a class match between roots and scions
- already carried, the supply system also act as a buffer. This property is achieved by
- predisposing each slider to host several seedlings. In this way, by adequately coordinating the
- sliders, it is possible to reach size matching between scions and rootstock, even if the
- 173 respective incoming shoots present different diameters. In the (very) unlikely case that all the
- slots are hosting shoots classified as incompatible, a procedure is provided to bring back a

shoot and to free up a slot that can be used in the loading area, thereby avoiding a machine stall condition.

The single rail solution, designed to pursue the structure's simplicity, forces sliders not to change their relative order so that, in the undesired case that the first slider is required in the last station WS-E, all the other sliders must be moved passing it. The essential objective of the control policy is to assign tasks to the sliders avoiding (or at least strongly limiting) this unwanted, time-consuming eventuality.

2.2 The supply and sorting system

A structure of bars sustains a linear steel guideway on which several sliders shift horizontally. The driving force to the sliders is provided by an on-board stepper motor coupled with a single fixed belt, shared by all the sliders. Each driver is equipped with an encoder which controls slider position and an external proximity sensor which performs additional motion safety verifications. At the lower edge of a steel bracket, a polystyrene stand is positioned to hold seedlings. Determination of the optimal number of slots on each independent slider, and their total quantity was the object of the design optimisation process described in sections 4 and 6. Details of the design and implementation of the single slider can be seen in Fig. 2. Slider displacement along the guide is coordinated by a central unit, according to the request of the grafting unit and of the other machine subsystems, which manages the interaction with the handling system for incoming trays, the vision system one or more grafting units and the

of the grafting unit and of the other machine subsystems, which manages the interaction with the handling system for incoming trays, the vision system, one or more grafting units and the outflow handling system for grafted seedlings. Target positions of each slider are assigned to the stepper motor drivers by an RS-485 serial bus, also used to read out the instantaneous position provided by the on-board encoders. An ArduinoMega 2560 (Smart Project srl, Scarmagno, Italy) microcontroller was adopted to manage the digital inputs and outputs of the handshaking signals with the grafting units, as with the two handling systems. The vision system is directly linked to the control software by using the USB communication standard, as shown in Fig. 3.

3 Supply system: control and task assignment algorithms

The overall performance of the machine depends on how efficiently and timely the sliders carry the seedlings through the working stations A-E. The upper performance boundary is obtained when the grafting units operate continuously, without any delay in supplying. This is pursued if the supply system is able to manage seedlings diameter variability (finding proper scion-rootstock couplings) while managing logistics. In fact, at any instant a WS can signal an *immediate request* (Psaraftis, 1980) to be served by a slider for loading/unloading or

classification, which forces a timely rescheduling of the current slider movements. The online arrival of requests by the WS, with the need to redirect moving sliders to new destinations nearby and the consequent request for real-time knowledge of sliders position, transforms the original problem of static routing of the sliders to a dynamic problem, which is somewhat similar to the dynamic vehicle routing problem (Pillac et al., 2013) or the taxi-dispatcher problem (Zion et al., 2014). Dynamic routing problems require making decisions very quickly, imposing a balance between reactiveness and quality of the decision. This task can be performed periodically by solving a static problem corresponding to the current state of the machine, either at fixed time intervals or whenever a new WS immediate request arises. A FIFO queue has been used to process possible concurrent received requests. During rescheduling, a slider sent to a given destination may be re-routed to another destination on the base of the new priority set of incoming request. More generally, the problem of control and optimal coordination of multi-robot systems, composed by a group of identical robots, operating on shared or neighbouring workspaces with real-time requests, has been considered in different contexts (Durrant-Whyte, Roy & Abbeel, 2012). Comba, Belforte & Gay (2013) and Bozma & Kalalioglu (2012) presented novel approaches for pick-and-place tasks performed by a team of identical robots operating on a conveyor band. In these cases, the goal was to plan and assign tasks to each robot to pick (and place in a secondary package) as many of the products moving in the workspace, as soon as they are identified and classified by an artificial vision system (Bozma & Yalcin, 2002). Zion et al. (2014) proposed a method for planning the harvesting order and the task assignment for a multi-arm robotic melon harvester. Here, a number of Cartesian manipulators were mounted in parallel on a rectangular frame that traverses laterally across the crop bed, even if, as in this case, they do not share the same workspace. The objective was to develop a method for planning the assignment of melons to be harvested by each of a

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

3.1 Problem formulation and optimisation

The supply system can be modelled as a discrete-event system, where the state vector is constituted by the position of the slots on the rail. Firstly, considering the guide G virtually discretised in $N_G \in Z^+$ equal length segments $g_i \in G$, $i = 1, ..., N_G$, each one corresponding to one slot width l_S . A set of independent sliders $P = \{p_1, ..., p_{N_P}\}, N_P \in Z^+$, are mounted on the rail. Since the same quantity $N_S \in Z^+$ of slots is housed on each slider $p_i \in P$, the holding

number of arms, in a collaborative way, to maximise the amount of collected fruit.

capacity of the supply system turns out to be provided by the set of slots $S = \{s_1, ..., s_{N_H}\}$,

with $N_H = N_P \cdot N_S$. At any time instant t_k a slider $p_i \in P$ occupies a set of N_S adjacent

 $\text{246} \quad \text{segments} \quad M_{p_i}(t_k) = \left\{g_j, \dots, g_{j+N_S-1}\right\} \subset G, \quad \text{with} \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_G - N_S + 1\}. \quad \text{With} \quad \text{this}$

assumption, the vector state of the system can be formally expressed as:

$$V(t_k) = [v_1(t_k), \dots, v_{N_H}(t_k)]^T,$$
(1)

- where $v_i(t_k) \in G$ is the (discrete) position of the slot s_i on the rail.
- 249 The method proposed here to determine the best assignment of sliders displacement on the
- rail is based on a greedy-type optimisation algorithm, which pursues the optimal solution of
- 251 the problem through a sequence of steps. In this case, the planning of slider movement is
- divided in one-segment l_S long movements, making the choice that looks best at that
- 253 moment.
- More in detail, at each step (time t_{k+1}), all possible movement combinations $V(t_{k+1})$ are
- generated and then the most performing one is discerned among all these feasible solutions
- 256 $\mathbb{V}_F \subset \mathbb{V}$ of the problem. Starting from an initial configuration $V(t_k)$ of the sliders on the rail,
- 257 the adopted strategy consists in computing a set

$$\mathbb{V}(t_{k+1}) = \left\{ \tilde{V}_j : \left\| \tilde{V}_j(t_{k+1}) - V(t_k) \right\|_{\infty} \le 1, j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$
 (2)

258

of all possible candidate new configurations

$$\tilde{V}_{j}(t_{k+1}) = \left[v_{j,1}(t_{k+1}), \dots, v_{j,N_{H}}(t_{k+1})\right]^{T}$$
(3)

- obtained with one-segment long movements of the set of sliders S.
- This set of solutions is thinned out by considering only feasible configurations, verifying the
- 262 fulfilment of all physical constraints of the system, e.g. the impossibility of sliders to be
- located in the same place or to swap each other on the rail. The chosen sliders displacement
- 264 $V^* \in V_F$ is determined using a heuristic search by minimizing a cost function H(V(t), I(t)),
- 265 which evaluates the goodness of the configuration V(t) of each slider on the basis of the
- particular state of the *immediate requests* (e.g. move a slider with a free slot to WS-A to
- receive a new seedling from the incoming tray, etc.), collected in the binary vector

$$I(t) = [r_1(t), ..., r_{N_T}(t)]^T$$
(4)

- with $N_T = card(\mathbb{T})$ and \mathbb{T} the set of all the tasks that the supply system can performs.
- Henceforth, for simplicity, a supply system serving a sole grafting unit is considered, but the
- discussion can be extended to the case of multiple grafting units by adapting the set of tasks
- 271 \mathbb{T} that can be requested accordingly.

- 272 The computation of H(V(t), I(t)) mainly depends on the distances between the sliders and 273 their temporary target positions, the five working stations A-E (positioned along the guide in $Q = \{q_1, ..., q_5\}, q_i \in G$), but also on the priority assigned to the several tasks. In detail, the 274 275 cost function is composed by the linear combination of three chosen heuristics h_1 , h_2 , and h_3 , 276 which depend on the particular set of temporary requested tasks I(t). Weighing the terms of H(V(t), I(t)) it is possible to take into account the priorities assigned to the various tasks T 277 which, in the case of a single grafting unit, follows this heuristically determined priority list 278 279 (in descending order of priority):
- displacement of a slider with a free slot in WS-D to receive a grafted seedling if the grafting module has completed a cycle;
- provide a scion to WS-C or a rootstock to WS-D if the grafting module is idle and it is also waiting for seedlings;
- if the grafting module is working, get a slider close to WS-D in order to make it ready to receive a grafted seedling at the end of the grafting procedure;
- move the slider with a grafted shoot to WS-E for unloading procedure;
- if a couple of compatible scions and rootstocks are present on the sliders, start to 288 move them near to WS-C and WS-D respectively in order to prearrange the next 289 grafting cycle loading phase;
- move the sliders hosting unclassified scions and/or rootstocks to WS-B in order to assign it/them to a stem diameter class;
- in case of all the slots saturation with incompatible shoots (buffering capacity saturation), move a slider to WS-A to free up a slot with the unloading procedure;
- push a slider with a free slot to WS-A to receive a new seedling from the incoming tray (scion or rootstock).
- Each task $T_m \in \mathbb{T}$ is associated with a WS, positioned in $q_{T_m} \in Q$, but, obviously, several different tasks can take place in the same WS.
- A proper weighing function $w(s_i, T_m)$ has also been defined to privilege some sliders s_i for a specific task T_m . For example, this is the case of WS-A that should preferably be served by the first sliders (see on the left in Fig.2) while, with the same approach, the last WSs by sliders p_i with greater index i. Indeed, if the grafted shoot to be picked up in WS-D and delivered in WS-E was being performed by the slider p_i , the movements of all the subsequent sliders p_j , j > i, would be limited during this operation. Similarly, in WS-A the first sliders are favoured to receive a scion, while the last ones a rootstock, in order to obtain sliders that

- 305 host seedlings correctly prearranged for the following feeding phase of the grafting module in
- 306 WS-C and WS-D.
- These considerations are formalised in the following three heuristics:

$$h_1(\widetilde{V}_j(t_{k+1}), T_m) = \sum_{i \in S_{T_m}} |v_{j,i}(t_{k+1}) - q_{T_m}| \cdot w(s_i, T_m)$$
(5)

- 308 which accounts for the sum of the distances of all the slots S_{T_m} , suitable for the task T_m , from
- 309 the working station placed in $q_{T_m} \in Q$;

310

$$h_2(\widetilde{V}_j(t_{k+1}), T_m) = \min_{i \in S_T} \left(|v_{j,i}(t_{k+1}) - q_{T_m}| \cdot w(s_i, T_m) \right)$$
 (6)

311 which identify the closest (suitable) slot for the task T_m , and then

$$h_3\left(\widetilde{V}_j(t_{k+1}), V(t_k)\right) = \frac{\left\|\widetilde{V}_j(t_{k+1}) - V(t_k)\right\|_1}{N_H}.$$
 (7)

- 312 expressed to privilege the slider configuration $\tilde{V}_i \in \mathbb{V}_F$ which requires fewer sliders
- 313 movements.
- 314 Path planning of the sliders is periodically repeated whenever the sliders have almost
- completed a segment-long movement and/or (asynchronously) whenever the state I(t) of the
- 316 system is updated, as in the case of a WS new request. In order to assure the required fluency
- 317 to the sliders movements, the control unit must send the new target position to the slider
- drivers with sufficient time advance, by allowing the blending procedure between the old and
- 319 the new velocity trajectories before the current target has been reached. To speed up this task,
- 320 a short queue of vector state $W = \{V^*(t_{k+1}), V^*(t_{k+2})\}$ was introduced with the aim of
- 321 planning the slider's movements two steps (of length l_s) ahead compared with its current
- position $V(t_k)$. Each target state vector $V^*(t_i)$ is determined by the optimisation procedure
- on the base, as starting system status, of the previous configuration $V^*(t_{i-1})$. As described in
- 324 the flowchart in Fig. 4, the control unit, in the case of the 1-step movement almost completed
- at time t_k , sends the motion targets collected in $V^*(t_{k+1})$ to the motor drivers and then
- 326 updates the queue W by removing the employed vector state $V^*(t_{k+1})$ and inserting
- 327 $V^*(t_{k+3})$. In the eventuality of new requests I(t), the entire queue W should be rescheduled.

328

329

4 Vision-based sorting system

- For successful grafting, seedlings need to be classified in terms of their stem diameter.
- However, there are also other morphological parameters, in particular the position of
- cotyledons node and the stems inclination that should be assessed to ensure proper operation

of the machine as a whole. The grafting unit, in fact, takes seedlings in fixed positions in respect to the slider slots by using its auto centring grippers which have a maximum opening of 10 mm. Seedlings too tilted may not to be gripped and scions with cotyledons which are too low would not be correctly processed. Less critical is the forward or backward seedling inclination, since a small centring device leads the stems into a proper position during gripping.

4.1. Computer vision system

The implemented image acquisition system consisted of a HP webcam HD 2300 digital camera (Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P., Houston, Texas, U.S.), with 1280×720 pixels resolution, coupled with a 120 x 120 x 30 mm backlighting panel (equipped with a 12×6 matrix of cold white LEDs), positioned at a distance of 80 mm and 40 mm from the centre of the sliders slots, respectively (Fig.5). Backlighting produces a greater contrast between the seedling and the background than other lighting systems, with a consequent greater accuracy in stem diameter measurement (Ashraf et al., 2011). Furthermore, seedlings sorting is carried out only on the basis of their morphological features, hence no colour information, which would be lost with backlighting, is needed. The whole system was installed along the guide and covered, allowing sliders movement, with a plastic panel to shield external light. Acquired images were stored in TIFF format and directly processed as a task of the implemented control system.

4.2. Image processing

The developed algorithm consists of three main steps: (1) image pre-processing and segmentation, (2) cotyledons node detection, and (3) morphological parameters assessment (cotyledons node height, stem tilt angle and diameter).

In the first step, the acquired image was converted to grey scale format and cropped to eliminate the stems of the seedlings placed in the neighbouring slots, adopting a cropping window whose width corresponds to that of a single slot (about 35 mm). A fixed threshold was then applied to the segmentation; as steady lighting conditions allow excluding an automatic thresholding. The result is a binary image in which the value '0' is assigned to the background (white pixels) whereas the seedling elements (black pixels) have value '1' (Fig. 6.a). This image can be treated as a matrix, with n_r rows and n_c columns, with a direct correspondence between pixels and matrix elements.

367 The identification of the lowest part of the stem is the first phase of cotyledons node detection. Considering the binary image, most pixels close the bottom edge have value '1' 368 369 (black pixels), because they represent the slider border and/or a portion of the transplanting plug (Fig. 6.a). The initial part of the stem was found by counting the number of black pixels 370 371 along the rows from the bottom edge of the image. The stem began in correspondence with 372 row y_0 where the number of black pixels fell in a range of 30-50 pixels. This row was the starting point for stem identification, which was carried out by the iterative process described 373 374 in Fig. 7. At each iteration, the left and right edges of the stem, on the *i*-th row, are detected from the stem midpoint of the row i-1 obtained at the previous step. The column indexes (x 375 376 coordinates) of the stem edges and midpoint are then progressively stored in an array $(n_c \times$ 3). In Fig. 6.b, the result obtained at the end of the iterative process is shown. As can be 377 378 observed, the algorithm identifies the stem profile, whereas cotyledons and most leaves were not detected. Only a portion of the true leaves was still visible in the top-side of the figure, 379 but the region around the cotyledons node was well defined. The position of the cotyledons 380 381 node was determined by going along the midline of the stem from bottom up. The stem 382 midline is practically continuous up to the cotyledons node where, owing to stem 383 lengthening, an evident discontinuity can be observed (Fig 6.b). 384 After the localisation of the cotyledons node, the required morphological features were 385 assessed as follows. The average tilt angle α of the stem, with respect to the vertical axis, was

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1} \frac{x_c - x_0}{y_c - y_0}. (8)$$

where (x_c, y_c) and (x_0, y_0) are the coordinates of the midpoints of the stem in 387 correspondence to the cotyledons node and the stem bottom end, respectively (Fig. 6.c). 388 389 The height of the cotyledons node with respect to the plug is given by the difference of the vertical coordinates $(y_c - y_0)$ of the same points, while a procedure similar to that proposed 390 391 in Ashraf et al. (2011) was adopted to determine the diameter of the stem, considering five 392 equidistant points below the cotyledons node (Fig. 6.c). 393 The algorithm was tested both by preliminary trials and during the experimentation of the 394 whole system. All seedlings were correctly sorted in terms of stem diameter and tilt angle; 395 only in few cases (less than 4%) the position of the cotyledons node was erroneously 396 detected. In particular, this error was due to the partial overlapping of cotyledons of a 397 neighbouring seedling with the stem of the analysed one.

386

calculated as

5 Optimal system design

The supply system solution with a single passive rail requires to properly tune a set of supply system and control strategy parameters in order to maximise the overall machine performance, in terms of grafting and success rates, respecting movement constraints of the modules ensemble which are unable to swap each other along the rail. Moreover, the choose of the proper number N_P of sliders and the optimal quantity N_S of slots on each slider is crucial to enhance process productivity without compromising mechanical simplicity, limiting the overall rail length and the final machine cost.

The design of the supply and sorting system was achieved with the aid of a simulation framework, which allows for the performance evaluation of a broad spectrum of supply system configurations during long working sessions. Also, the effectiveness of different

system configurations during long working sessions. Also, the effectiveness of different control strategies was investigated with the simulation tool, helping with the development of an algorithm that properly manages sliders movements.

Each component of the grafting machine was modelled separately, timing the working cycles and operations. The mean time required by the grafting unit to join a scion and a rootstock was experimentally determined timing 100 grafting cycles, which took an average time of 8.1 s to complete. The scions and rootstocks loading procedure to the grafting module (in WS-C and WS-D), constituted by the grip arm elongation, the nipper closing to hold the seedling stem and the elevation to extract the plug from the slot, was performed in 0.8 s. The same time was required to release a complete grafted plant into an empty slot in WS-D, at the end of the grafting procedure. Concerning the loading and unloading systems, 3.7 s was the time taken for a pick & place cycle for picking up a seedling from the incoming trays and then placing it onto a supply system slider (in WS-A) and finally delivering a grafted plant to the outgoing tray (from WS-E).

A first prototype with $N_P = 3$ and $N_S = 1$ was developed to investigate the dynamic behaviour of the mechanical components adopted in building the supply system. According to the discrete-event approach, the average travelling time taken by a slider to cover one l_S length segment (referred also as step) is required to simulate the sliders translation along the guide. Since a segment long move could be part of a longer slider total movement to reach the target position, a step could be a complete movement or the first, middle or last part of the total movement. For this task, four different cases were considered:

1. slider starts the step with a null starting velocity and stops after it has covered the l_s length segment (acceleration and deceleration);

- 2. slider enters the guide segment with a starting velocity and stops at the end of the step (deceleration);
- 3. slider shifts with constant velocity, keeps moving after the step (constant velocity);
- 4. slider starts the step with a null starting velocity and keeps moving after the l_S length segment (acceleration);

To determine the travelling times in these four cases, a set of experimental trials has been conducted using the pilot prototype. Each trial consisted in randomly moving the sliders along the guide for a 20-min long session, during which more than 10000 timings where collected. This test was repeated with several maximum velocity settings, in order to also investigate the upper limit performance of the overall mechanical system, in terms of servo response and measured sliders velocity. The effect of the communication delay between the control unit and each motor driver was also decisive to this limit. In Fig. 8, it can be seen how the best velocity, during slider movement, was obtained with the maximum switching frequency of the stepper motor set to $1250\,Hz$, where sliders cover one l_S length segment in an average time of $0.061\,s$, which corresponds to a linear velocity of $0.41\,\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$. Dynamic performances are conditioned to total allowed cost of the system. In this case, as will be discussed in the next section, a reduced cost solution was prioritised, obtaining these reference features.

In this work, design optimisation was conducted for the case of a supply system serving a single grafting unit. Simulations have been performed with all the possible configurations generated by the combination of different numbers of sliders N_P (1 to 6) and slots N_S (1 to 7). The shoots on the incoming trays, both for scions and rootstock, were randomly generated using different stem diameters, and the same trays were processed by all the simulated machine configurations. All the other parameters (e.g. positions of WS A to E on the guide, control strategy, heuristic weight and parameters, etc.) were also fixed in all the simulations, ensuring that the results were comparable. Every supply system configuration has been tested with 10 repetitions of 60-min-long simulations, in order to obtain more reliable results, since the simulated process was affected by the distribution of the stem diameters of the incoming seedlings. In particular, the simulations were carried out classifying stem diameters, generated with a uniform distribution probability, in two size classes (A and B).

The developed modelling framework, including both the supply system model and the control algorithms, was implemented in Matlab[®] (The MathWorksTM, Natick, Massachusetts, U.S.) and simulations were carried out on a 3.2 GHz Quad-Core Intel Xeon server with 8 GB of RAM memory (1066 MHz DDR3 ECC).

6 Results

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

469

Machine performance was evaluated by defining the grafting rate, expressed as the number of grafted shoots processed per minute. While maximising the grafting rate, the machine design should also minimise the "unmatching" rate, which is the number of shoots that must be returned to the incoming trays (or discarded) in the eventuality that all the sliders slots are hosting scions and rootstocks with incompatible stem sizes. An illustrative example of this procedure can be seen in the animation M1.avi, available as additional material in the online version of the paper, at time 26':05" and 26':15" [min:s]. The notation adopted in the animation is explained in Fig. 9 where an annotated frame from the movie is shown. Values reported in Table 1 represent the average grafting rate of a set of 10 simulations performed with the same machine configuration and different incoming trays of seedlings. The best grafting rate was obtained with a supply system with an ensemble of $N_P = 5$ sliders, with $N_{\rm S}=4$ holding slots each. In this configuration, the grafting machine processes, on average, 5.08 shoots min⁻¹ and, considering a complete grafting time of 9.7 s (including loading and unloading times in WS-C and WS-D), the supply system assures a workload of 82.12% to the grafting unit. Important role has been played by the skill of the planning system to anticipate the request of WSs, making sliders available, in the proper position, in advance. Examples of this feature can be seen in the movie M2.avi at times 23':35", 23':45" and 23':55". The presence of a performance maximum, resulting from the grafting rate deterioration in the case of supply systems with a (too) high a number of sliders and slots, can be pinpointed to the negative interaction phenomenon occurring between sliders that, with their increasing quantity and dimensions, interferes with each other during movements. This aspect can also be confirmed by observing the influence of the buffer size during the working sessions: in Table 1, it can be seen how better performance was obtained with a total hosting capacity N_H of the supply system ranging from 16 to 20 slots. In these configurations, the buffer turns out to be properly dimensioned since the average quantity of seedlings simultaneously hosted on the sliders was near to 50% of all available slots N_H , as reported in Table 2. Considering a constructive slot width l_s equal to 25 mm, the amount of space taken up by all five sliders was 500 mm, which is about 19% of the overall guide length, a dimension comparable with the distance between two working stations. When this threshold is exceeded, the probability of undesired interaction between sliders serving two different tasks can negatively affect the overall performance of the machine. An example of this phenomenon can be observed in the animation M3.avi at times 26':07" or 26':18". A buffer with a reduced capacity can be used

503 more intensively, but usage greater than 60% can lead to the possible occurrence of undesired 504 lack of stem matching between all the seedlings carried on the supply system (Table 3), a 505 phenomenon that must be avoided. A final prototype of supply system was implemented according to the optimal configuration 506 507 found by serving a single grafting unit (Fig. 10). The geometrical dimensions of the parts of 508 the machine lead to a 2.6-m-long guideway, with the position of the working stations, from A 509 to E, positioned at $Q = \{0.5, 0.8, 1.3, 1.7, 2.1\}$ m from the beginning of the rectilinear guide. 510 The first rail portion of 0.5 m was dimensioned to allow hosting all the robotic sliders if 511 needed. Since with $N_s = 4$ each slider width was 100 mm, the last slot s_{20} could also be positioned in WS-A. Similarly, at the other end of the rail, a guide section of 0.5 m was been 512 513 provided after the last working station, allowing slot s_1 to reach WS-E and bringing the total 514 rail length to 2.6 m. 515 To validate the results obtained by the simulator, the final prototype processed the same set 516 of (virtual) seedlings trays used during the simulations. In particular, 10 working-sessions 517 (one hour-long each) were carried out. Performances, together their standard deviations, are 518 reported in Fig.11. As can be seen, the experimental results were very close to those obtained 519 by simulation, validating this tool for design purposes. 520 Concerning the vision-based sorting, the proposed system showed a high reliability in 521 seedling classification both during preliminary tests and after its integration with the grafting 522 machine. In spite of the complexity of the scenario, due to the presence of more seedlings on 523 the same slider at short distances (less than 20 mm), the algorithm was not very sensitive to 524 the presence of parts of the neighbouring plants within the camera field of view, as well as to 525 the irregular arrangement of leaves or cotyledons. Excluding the case in which leaves were completely overlapped to the stem, the proposed method for cotyledons node detection 526 527 appears to be more robust than the one adopted by Ashraf et al. (2011). The identification of 528 the stem considering its midline was, in fact, less affected by the presence of disturbance elements with respect to counting the number of pixel with value '1' within a horizontal axis. 529 530 The achieved performance in terms of image processing time, about one second for each 531 image (acquisition and processing), was compatible with the timing of the whole process. On 532 the whole the developed sorting system is cost-effective, simple and reliable.

533

7 Conclusions

535

537

534

A new and innovative concept of prototype for vegetable grafting is presented in this paper.

The goal was to simplify mechanics by using an ensemble of rather simple robotic modules,

ensuring performances by means of an efficient path planning control algorithm based on greedy-type optimisation. The adopted solution is modular and robust and can be adapted to different system productivity targets. Indeed, the number of grafting units have to be suitably chosen to meet the productivity level of the nursery, consequently adapting the length of the single guide supply system. In the case of multiple grafting units, the heuristic, and task, priorities could be redefined and tuned with an approach similar to the one proposed in the paper, hence the case of grafting single unit was discussed. This operation, however, does not imply expensive hardware intervention. The developed simulation framework can be profitably adopted designing the supply system also in the case of different machine configuration, e.g. in the case of multiple grafting unit, aiding the appropriate settlement of construction parameters.

The design of many parameters, as e.g. the number of robotic modules and the size of buffers, was obtained by optimisation, with the aid of a discrete-events simulator. These parameters, as others discussed in the paper concerning the structure and the complexity of the system, were determined by using extensive simulations to obtain target performances.

The machine handled natural variability of the shoots diameters classifying them by an artificial vision system and then coupling them in the supplying phase.

This paper demonstrates how, under some circumstances, mechanical complexity can be reduced without compromising performances by adopting advanced control algorithms. Future developments will concern the improvement of the speed of the sliders, adopting more performing driving motors, being aware that higher velocity leads to faster movements, but also reduces the duration of the time available to elaborate planning strategies. Shorter computing time can be achieved using a real-time embedded system and directly implementing heavy computational part of the control algorithm, such as the image processing code, in a field programmable gate array (FGPA) shield.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the grants of the project PRIN 2009 (prot.

2009FXN7HW_002), MIUR, Italy. The Authors would like to thank their Colleagues Prof.

G. Belforte, T. Raparelli, G. Eula and S. Sirolli, who collaborated to this project developing

the grafting unit (not presented in this paper).

571 References

572

- Ashraf, M.A., Kondo, N., & Shiigi, T. (2011). Use of Machine Vision to Sort Tomato
- 574 Seedlings for Grafting Robot. Engineering in Agriculture, Environment and Food, 4(4), 119-
- 575 125

576

- Belforte, G., Deboli, R., Gay, P., Piccarolo, P., Ricauda Aimonino, D. (2006). Robot Design
- and Testing for Greenhouse Applications, Biosystems Engineering, 95(3), 309-321. doi:
- 579 dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2006.07.004.

580

- Belforte, G., Eula, G. (2012). Macchina automatica per l'innesto erbaceo. Oleodinamica
- Pneumatica, 12, 43–47.(in italian)

583

- Bozma, I.H., Kalalioglu, M.E. (2012). Multirobot coordination in pick-and-place tasks on a
- 585 moving conveyor. Robotics and Computer Integrated Manufacturing, 28, 530-538,
- 586 doi:10.1016/j.rcim.2011.12.001

587

- Bozma, I.H., Yalcin, H. (2002). Visual processing and classification of items on a moving
- 589 conveyor: a selective perception approach. Robotics and Computer Integrated Manufacturing,
- 590 2, 125-133

591

- 592 Chiu, Y. C., Chen, S., Chang, Y. C. (2010). Development of a Circular Grafting Robotic
- 593 System for Watermelon Seedlings. Applied Engineering in Agriculture, 26(6), 1077-1084.
- 594 doi:10.13031/2013.35904

595

- 596 Comba, L., Belforte, G., Gay, P. (2013). Plant layout and pick-and-place strategies for
- 597 improving performances in secondary packaging plants of food products. Packaging
- 598 Technology and Science, 26(6), 339-354, 2013. doi:10.1002/pts.1984

599

- 600 Durrant-Whyte H., Roy N., Abbeel P. (2012). Distributed Robot Ensemble Control for
- Deployment to Multiple Sites. Robotics: Science and Systems VII, MIT Press, 201-208

602

- 603 Edelstein, M., Cohen, R., Burger, Y., Shriber, S., Pivonia, S., Shtienberg, D., (1999).
- Integrated management of sudden wilt of melons, caused by Monosporascus cannonballus,
- using grafting and reduced rate of methyl bromide. Plant Disease, 83, 1142–1145

606

Helper Robotech. (2015). Helper Robotech. http://helpersys.co.kr/home/index.html.

608

- Honami, N., Taira, T., Murase, H., Nishiura, Y., & Yasukuri, Y. (1992). Robotiziation in the
- 610 production of grafted seedlings. Acta Horticulture (ISHS), 319, 579–584.

611

- Hu, J., Yan, X., Ma, J., Qi, C., Francis, K., Mao, H. (2014). Dimensional synthesis and
- 613 kinematics simulation of a high-speed plug seedling transplanting robot. Computers and
- 614 Electronics in Agriculture, 107, 64-72. doi:dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2014.06.004.

615

616 Iseki. (2015). Iseki. http://iseki.co.jp/english/.

617

Iso Groep. (2015). Iso Groep. http://www.iso-group.nl.

- Kang, C.-H., Han, G.-S., Noh, T.-H., & Choi, H.-G. (2005). Splice Grafting Robot for Fruit
- and Vegetable Plants. Retrieved from http://patentscope.wipo.int

622

- 623 Kobayashi, K., Suzuki, M., Sasaya S., (1999). Grafting robot. Journal of Robotics and
- 624 Mechatronics, 11(3), 213-219.

625

- Kubota, C., McClure, M. A., Kokalis-Burelle, N., Bausher, M. G., & Rosskopf, E. N. (2008).
- 627 Vegetable grafting: History, use, and current technology status in North America.
- 628 HortScience, 43(6), 1664–1669.

629

- 630 Lee, J.-M., Kubota, C., Tsao, S. J., Bie, Z., Echevarria, P. H., Morra, L., & Oda, M. (2010).
- 631 Current status of vegetable grafting: Diffusion, grafting techniques, automation. Scientia
- 632 Horticulturae, 127(2), 93–105. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2010.08.003

633

- 634 Louws F.J., Rivard, C.L., Kubota, C. (2010). Grafting fruiting vegetables to manage
- soilborne pathogens, foliar pathogens, arthropods and weeds. Scientia Horticulturae, 127(2),
- 636 127–146. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2010.09.023

637

- Oda, M. (1995). New grafting methods for fruit bearing vegetables in Japan. Jap Agric.
- 639 Res. Quart., 29 (3), 187-194

640

- Pillanc, V., Gendreau, M., Guéret, C., Medaglia, A.L. (2013). A review of dynamic vehicle
- 642 routing problems. Europen Journal of Operational Research, 225, 1-11.
- 643 doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2012.08.015

644

- PRIN, 2013. Automation in horticulture, Italian National Research Program (project code:
- 646 2009FXN7HW)

647

- Psaraftis, H. (1980). A dynamic-programming solution to the single vehicle many-to-many
- immediate request dial-a-ride problem. Transportation Science, 14(2), 130-154

650

- 651 Schwarz, D., Rouphael, Y., Colla, G., Venema, J.H. (2010). Grafting as a tool to improve
- tolerance of vegetables to abiotic stresses: Thermal stress, water stress and organic pollutants.
- 653 Scientia Horticulturae, 127, 162-171. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2010.09.016

654655

Urbinati. (2015). Urbinati. http://en.urbinati.com/. Retrieved from http://en.urbinati.com/

657

- Zion, B., Mann, M., Levin, D., Shilo, A., Rubinstein, D., Shmulevich, I. (2014). Harvest-
- order planning for a multiarm robotic harvester. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture,
- 660 103, 75-81. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2014.02.008

661 662