

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Who lives in a fungus? The diversity, origins and functions of fungal endobacteria living in Mucoromycota

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1633081> since 2017-05-11T11:24:58Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1038/ismej.2017.21

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Bonfante, Paola; Desirò, Alessandro. Who lives in a fungus? The diversity, origins and functions of fungal endobacteria living in Mucoromycota. *THE ISME JOURNAL*. None pp: 1-9.
DOI: 10.1038/ismej.2017.21

The publisher's version is available at:

<http://www.nature.com/doi/10.1038/ismej.2017.21>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/>

Who lives in a fungus? The diversity, origins and functions of fungal endobacteria living in the Mucoromycota

Paola Bonfante¹ and Alessandro Desirò²

5 ¹Department of Life Science and Systems Biology, University of Torino, Torino, Italy

²Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

10 **Summary**

Bacterial interactions with plants and animals have been examined for many years; differently, only with the new millennium the study of bacterial-fungal interactions blossomed, becoming a new field of microbiology with relevance to microbial ecology, human health, and biotechnology. Bacteria and fungi interact at different levels and bacterial endosymbionts, which dwell inside fungal cells, provide the most intimate example. Bacterial endosymbionts mostly occur in fungi of the phylum Mucoromycota and include Betaproteobacteria (*Burkholderia*-related) and Mollicutes (*Mycoplasma*-related). Based on phylogenomics and estimations of divergence time, we hypothesized two different scenarios for the origin of these interactions (*early* vs *late bacterial invasion*). Sequencing of the genomes of fungal endobacteria revealed a significant reduction in genome size, particularly in endosymbionts of the Glomeromycotina, as expected by their uncultivability and host-dependency. Like endobacteria of insects, the endobacteria of fungi show a range of behaviours from mutualisms to antagonisms. Emerging results suggest that some benefits given by the endobacteria to their plant-associated fungal host may propagate to the interacting plant, giving rise to a three-level inter-domain interaction.

15
20
25

Introduction

In their introduction to a special issue of *Science* entitled “Manipulating the microbiota”, Ash and Mueller (2016) wrote “*No man is an island*,” quoting the poet
30 John Donne. In recent years we have learned that animals and plants host thousands of microbes, many beneficial, some essential, and only a few deleterious. NGS approaches have enabled in-depth investigations of the microbial communities associated with animals and plants, asking “Who is there?”, and “What are they doing?”. Most surveys of animal- and plant-associated microbes so far have focused
35 on bacteria and have demonstrated that they mainly participate in immune regulation and barrier defense (Haney and Ausubel, 2015). Conversely, fungi have been mostly neglected, even though recent studies demonstrated the unique biological and ecological role of fungal communities (Shakya *et al.*, 2013; Coleman-Derr *et al.*, 2016).

40 Since the discovery of antibiotics, fungi and bacteria have been assumed to interact antagonistically, but new ideas have emerged in microbial studies: as elements of the same microbiota, fungi and bacteria may interact non-antagonistically (Olsson *et al.*, 2017). Indeed, emerging work has discovered an increasing number of cooperative bacterial-fungal associations, giving rise to a new field of microbiology
45 (Frey-Klett *et al.*, 2011). These inter-domain interactions occur in different ways: bacteria may loosely associate with the hyphal surface, or may show some partner specificity, indicating potential metabolic complementation (Schroeckh *et al.*, 2009; Olsson *et al.*, 2017). The most intimate interaction takes place when bacteria live inside the fungal cells as endobacteria. Irrespective of their genetic and functional
50 diversity, fungus-associated bacterial communities constitute a novel type of microbiota, the fungal microbiota (Desirò *et al.*, 2014).

This mini-review summarizes our current knowledge on the endobacteria of fungi, with particular attention to the Glomeromycotina (arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi,

AMF), and uses these AMF as a paradigm to better understand the diversity, origins,
55 and functions of fungal endobacteria.

The places they call home: Distribution and diversity of fungal endobacteria

In 1970 Barbara Mosse reported the presence of bacteria-like organisms in the
cytoplasm of Glomeromycotina spores. In the words of Neil Armstrong, who landed
60 in the moon the year before, we could say: “*One small step for a woman, one giant
leap for science*”. Indeed, Mosse’s finding offered the first glimpse of this intimate,
inter-domain interaction. In later decades, before the invention of PCR, many
pioneering studies provided additional morphological evidence of the presence of
these bacteria-like organisms in *Endogone flammicorona* (Bonfante and Scannerini,
65 1976; 1977) and several AMF species (references in Scannerini and Bonfante, 1991),
demonstrating that endobacteria can be found widely in fungi. With the new
millennium, the study of bacterial-fungal interactions (BFI) blossomed, enjoying a
boost from the suitable-for-all availability of molecular biology techniques.

Numerous recent studies have identified endobacteria in several fungal lineages
70 (Olsson *et al.*, 2017). Ascomycota and Basidiomycota were shown to harbour
Gammaproteobacteria (Arendt *et al.*, 2016) and Alphaproteobacteria (Sharma *et al.*,
2008; Glaeser *et al.*, 2016), respectively. However, most of the fungal endobacteria
hitherto described were identified in members of the phylum Mucoromycota
(Spatafora *et al.*, 2016). The endobacteria of the Mucoromycota mainly involve
75 Betaproteobacteria (*Burkhoderia*-related) and Mollicutes (*Mycoplasma*-related). One
exception is the cyanobacterium *Nostoc punctiforme* that resides within *Geosiphon
pyriformis* (Schüßler and Kluge, 2001).

One of the better-known example involving a betaproteobacterium is the rice
pathogenic fungus *Rhizopus microsporus* (Mucoromycotina), the causal agent of rice
80 seedling blight, whose pathogenicity is due to the presence of the endosymbiont

Burkholderia rhizoxinica (Partida-Martinez and Hertweck, 2005). Indeed, *B. rhizoxinica* produces the rhizoxin used as a virulence factor by the fungus (Partida-Martinez and Hertweck, 2007). Interestingly, this facultative endobacterium affects the vegetative reproduction of the fungal host, controlling the formation of sporangia and spores (Partida-Martinez *et al.*, 2007). Much recent attention has been devoted to the interaction between a *Burkholderia*-related endobacterium (BRE) and several strains of *Mortierella elongata* (Mortierellomycotina) (Sato *et al.*, 2010; Ohshima *et al.*, 2016; Uehling *et al.*, 2017). This obligate endosymbiont was recently named *Mycoavidus cysteinexigens*, since it requires cysteine to grow without the fungal host (Ohshima *et al.*, 2016). Interestingly, recent studies (Li *et al.*, 2017; Uehling *et al.*, 2017) demonstrated that the presence of these endobacteria can strongly affect the metabolism of *M. elongata*: the wild-type strain with endobacteria showed a lower growth rate, compared to the strain that was cured (*i.e.* devoid of endobacteria), suggesting that the fungus experiences a metabolic cost for accommodating *Mycoavidus*.

Also fungi of the Glomeromycotina interact with Betaproteobacteria. An obligate rod-shaped BRE named *Candidatus Glomeribacter gigasporarum* (*CaGg*) (Bianciotto *et al.*, 2003), was detected in several species of the family Gigasporaceae (Mondo *et al.*, 2012; Desirò *et al.*, 2014). Its phylogenetically closest relative turned out to be *Mycoavidus* (Ohshima *et al.*, 2016; Uehling *et al.*, 2017). Like *Mycoavidus* (Sato *et al.*, 2010), diverse genetic variants of *CaGg* were identified, thus casting doubt on the existence of a unique *CaGg* species (Desirò *et al.*, 2014). Notwithstanding the different *CaGg* phylotypes detected, each fungal host harbours a genetically uniform *CaGg* population (Mondo *et al.*, 2012; Desirò *et al.*, 2014). Interestingly, the same genetic uniformity was observed in the Betaproteobacteria populations found in *Rhizopus* (Lackner *et al.*, 2011) and *Mortierella* (Sato *et al.*, 2010).

A second type of endosymbiont resides within the spores and hyphae of the Glomeromycotina, and this coccoid endobacterium represents a taxon of Mollicutes/*Mycoplasma*-related endobacteria (MRE) (Naumann *et al.*, 2010; Desirò

110 *et al.*, 2013; Desirò *et al.*, 2014; Toomer *et al.*, 2015). This novel bacterial taxon,
whose biology is still little known, has been recently accommodated in the novel genus
Candidatus *Moeniiplasma* and named *Candidatus Moeniiplasma glomeromycotorum*
(*CaMg*) (Naito *et al.*, 2017). Like *CaGg*, *CaMg* does not appear to be able to grow
outside of their fungal hosts, a feature that places it among the obligate
115 endosymbionts. *CaMg* occurs widely across the Glomeromycotina and, unlike BRE,
multiple *CaMg* populations can inhabit a single fungal strain: up to three highly
dissimilar 16S rDNA *CaMg* phlotypes were identified in a single spore (Naumann
et al., 2010; Desirò *et al.*, 2014; Toomer *et al.*, 2015). Notwithstanding the
remarkable level of diversity, all the *CaMg* 16S rDNA sequences retrieved from
120 AMF cluster within a monophyletic clade, sister to the Mycoplasmatales and
Entomoplasmatales. Regardless of the internal phylogenetic structure, the more
accurate multigene phylogenetic reconstructions placed this motley lineage of
Mollicutes within the Mycoplasmataceae, close to the *Mycoplasma* species (Naito *et al.*,
2015; Torres-Cortés, *et al.*, 2015). The impressive diversity that characterizes
125 these enigmatic microbes seems to be determined by several factors such as
ultrarapid mutation rate, vertical transmission, activity of mobile genetic elements,
active recombination machinery and apparent retention of the ability to conduct
horizontal gene transfer (Naito *et al.*, 2015; Toomer *et al.*, 2015; Naito and
Pawłowska, 2016a; 2016b).

130 The Glomeromycotina are not the only fungi that harbour MRE, since *CaMg*-related
endobacteria were identified in fruiting bodies of several strains of *Endogone*
(Mucoromycotina) (Desirò *et al.*, 2015a) and recent results reported their presence in
Sphaerocreas pubescens (Mucoromycotina) (Desirò *et al.*, 2015b; Takashima *et al.*,
2015). Like the AMF-associated MRE, multiple and highly dissimilar populations
135 can co-exist in the same Mucoromycotina fungal strain. Despite their striking
diversity (up to 21.8% sequence divergence with AMF-associated MRE phlotypes),
the 16S rDNA phylogeny placed *Endogone*-associated MRE with those living in the
Glomeromycotina. Interestingly, MRE from *Endogone* cluster within a new

phylogenetic group, clearly distinguishable from the AMF-associated MRE groups
140 (Desirò *et al.*, 2015a). The finding of a novel group of MRE in another fungal lineage,
the Mucoromycotina, suggests that these endosymbionts are likely more widespread
than expected. It would therefore be extremely interesting to know whether the third
Mucoromycota subphylum, the Mortierellomycotina, host MRE.

145 **Conjectures about the origin of fungal endosymbiosis**

The presence of phylogenetically related endosymbionts in different fungal hosts
offers insights about the origin and evolution of these inter-domain interactions. The
CaGg symbiosis appears to have existed for at least 400 MY (Mondo *et al.*, 2012),
beginning before the diversification of the Gigasporaceae (VanKuren *et al.*, 2013),
150 and suggesting a scenario where a BRE was already associated with the common
ancestor of the Gigasporaceae. Similarly, the *Mycoavidus-Mortierella* association
seems to have originated in the mid-Paleozoic, most likely over 350 MYA (Uehling
et al., 2017). Further phylogenomic analyses and divergence time estimations placed
the separation of the *CaGg* and *Mycoavidus* lineage from the free-living
155 *Burkholderia* some 350 MYA, while their fungal hosts (Glomeromycotina and
Mortierellomycotina, respectively) diverged at 358-508 MYA (Uehling *et al.*, 2017).
On the one hand, *CaGg* and *Mycoavidus* seem to have diverged from a common
ancestor; on the other hand, *B. rhizoxinica* seems to have had an independent origin,
sharing with the free-living *Burkholderia* its most recent common ancestor. Therefore,
160 it could be hypothesized that a free-living *Burkholderia*-related bacterium (BRB)
diversified into two ancestral lineages, which evolved as i) mammalian and plant
pathogens, saprotrophic species, plant-associated microbes (see references in
Estrada-de los Santos *et al.*, 2013), and facultative endosymbionts of fungi (*B.*
rhizoxinica) or ii) obligate endobacteria that mostly lost their capacity to grow
165 outside of their fungal hosts (*CaGg* and *Mycoavidus*). Accordingly, it is fascinating
to hypothesize that the common ancestor of *CaGg* and *Mycoavidus* was already

dwelling within the mycelium of the ancestral fungal lineage that later produced the Glomeromycotina and Mortierellomycotina, thereby implying an *early bacterial invasion* of the fungal host (Figure 1). However, the rather limited distribution of these endosymbionts could undermine the *early bacterial invasion*. Indeed, we would expect the broad presence of BRE in most of the fungal species that originated following the *invasion*. The presence of *Mycoavidus* exclusively within *M. elongata* may be attributed to limited investigation of the Mortierellomycotina; however, the Gigasporaceae seem to represent the only “fungal environment” where *CaGg* can be retrieved. The absence of *CaGg* in most of the Glomeromycota lineages might be the result of *secondary losses* of the bacterial partner. Alternatively, it might suggest a different scenario entailing a *late bacterial invasion*, which may have occurred when the evolutionary lines leading to the Glomeromycotina and Mortierellomycotina had already separated (Figure 1).

The mechanisms of *invasion* also remain unknown. AMF hyphae can be damaged by other fungi (Lace *et al.*, 2015) or by grazing soil fauna (Hedlund *et al.*, 1991; Gange, 2000). This could have allowed an ancestral free-living BRB to invade the fungus through breaks in its wall. At the same time, we cannot exclude a more direct role of the bacterium in breaking the fungal wall and colonizing the fungus: indeed, there are several examples of Betaproteobacteria with chitinolytic capacities (Shimosaka *et al.*, 2001; Shu-Chang *et al.*, 2004). Further, a crucial role in the *invasion* process of *Rhizopus* was attributed to the *B. rhizoxinica* T2SS, which releases chitinolytic enzymes (Moebius *et al.*, 2014). Similarly, *CaGg* possesses T2SS and T3SS, which are differentially expressed through the fungal life cycle (Ghignone *et al.*, 2012). However, bacterial chitinases were not detected in the currently available *CaGg* genome, suggesting that nowadays *CaGg* could not be able to invade a fungus using a similar mechanism.

We can make similar conjectures about the origin and evolution of MRE. The widespread distribution of these endobacteria in the Glomeromycotina suggested that the MRE *invasion* occurred before the Glomeromycotina radiation, more than 400

MYA (Naumann *et al.*, 2010). The finding that *Endogone* harbors MRE pushed Desirò and colleagues (2015) to hypothesize that this inter-domain interaction was even older and originated before the split between the Glomeromycotina and Mucoromycotina. This scenario assumes the existence of an ancestral MRE in the
200 common ancestor of these two fungal lineages, thereby implying an *early bacterial invasion* of the fungal host. However, this scenario can be undermined by divergence time estimations. The divergence of the Mycoplasmataceae was estimated at 410 MYA (Maniloff, 2002), whereas the Mucoromycotina are believed to have split from the ancestral Glomeromycotina-Mortierellomycotina lineage some 500-600 MYA
205 (Chang *et al.*, 2015; Uehling *et al.*, 2017), before the appearance of MRE. These results support the alternative scenario of a *late bacterial invasion*, which may have occurred when the evolutionary lines leading to the Glomeromycotina, Mortierellomycotina and Mucoromycotina were already separated (Figure 1).

Naito and colleagues (2015) suggested that the origin of MRE resulted from a host-
210 switching event from animals to fungi by an ancestral MRE (Naito *et al.*, 2015). Other lineages of Mollicutes, such as *Entomoplasma*, *Mesoplasma*, and *Spiroplasma* can be associated with animals, such as arthropods (Tully *et al.*, 1993), which, in turn, are known to graze on fungal hyphae (Hedlund *et al.*, 1991; Gange, 2000). Thus, in support of the host-switching hypothesis (Naito *et al.*, 2015), it is intriguing to
215 suppose that ancestral soil invertebrates, already associated with the MRE ancestor, may have acted as vectors, allowing the *invasion* of fungi. This novel scenario provides an interesting parallel with *Phytoplasma*, another lineage of uncultivable Mollicutes, which are insect-transmitted pathogenic agents of numerous plant species (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006). However, assuming the existence of an ancestral
220 free-living Mollicutes/*Mycoplasma*-related bacterium (MRB), it also needs to take into consideration the possibility that the colonization of fungal hosts may have occurred directly from the soil, when the mycelium was damaged by other fungi or soil invertebrates.

Regardless of the nature of the symbiosis, and how and when bacteria settled within
225 fungi, these inter-domain associations may be included among the oldest interactions
on Earth. Furthermore, these interactions all involve plant-associated fungi, therefore
raising questions about the influence of endobacteria on the history and evolution of
plant-fungal symbiosis. It is intriguing to hypothesize that endobacteria may have had
a role, as their fungal hosts (Field *et al.*, 2015), during one of the major turning points
230 in evolution of the planet, the conquest of land by plants.

Keeping the home fires burning: Analysis of endobacterial genomes to understand endobacterial functions

One common feature of the endobacteria so far identified in AMF is their vertical
235 transmission, a modality used by these endosymbionts, which obligately depend on
their host, to move from one fungal generation to the next. By contrast, the
relationship is facultative for the fungus; for example, *Gigaspora margarita* can
proliferate in the absence of *CaGg* (Lumini *et al.*, 2007) and several other AMF
strains devoid of *CaMg* can be propagated under laboratory conditions (Naumann *et*
240 *al.*, 2010). Vertical transmission and obligate dependence on the host may imply that
endobacteria complement their metabolism using metabolites from their partner. The
genome sequencing of both *CaGg* (Ghignone *et al.*, 2012) and *CaMg* (Naito *et al.*,
2015; Torres-Cortés *et al.*, 2015) has largely confirmed the fungal-host dependency
hypothesis. The size of the *CaGg* genome (1.7- 1.9 Mb) and the more strongly
245 reduced genomes of *CaMg* (0.7 to 1.3 Mb) are consistent with their uncultivable
status.

Indeed, they have a smaller genome when compared to *B. rhizoxinica* (3.7 Mb)
(Lackner *et al.*, 2011) and *M. cysteinexigens* (~2.6 Mb) (Fujimura *et al.*, 2014;
Uehling *et al.*, 2017) that can grow independently, outside of their fungal hosts. The
250 possibility to maintain *B. rhizoxinica* in pure culture allowed Moebius and colleagues
(2014) to provide experimental evidences on the mechanisms underlying the

colonization process of *Rhizopus*.

AMF endobacteria do not possess metabolic pathways producing essential amino acids. For example, *CaGg* lacks the ability to biosynthesize arginine, isoleucine, leucine, methionine, phenylalanine, tryptophan, histidine, and valine, while *CaMg* has even more strongly reduced capacities. At the same time, *CaGg* contains the full operon for vitamin B12 synthesis, and is equipped with many amino acid permeases and transporters (Ghignone *et al.*, 2012). By contrast, only a few putative nutrient transporters were annotated in *CaMg* genomes, such as a putative arginine-ornithine antiporter (Naito *et al.*, 2015; Torres-Cortés *et al.*, 2015).

Interestingly, when a hierarchical clustering analysis of KEGG metabolic pathways was applied to *CaGg* and 28 other bacterial genomes, the genomic features grouped *CaGg* together with insect endosymbionts, including *Baumannia cicadellinica* and *Wolbachia* spp. (Ghignone *et al.*, 2012). The same analysis applied to *CaMg* placed it with obligate endosymbionts of insects with reduced metabolic capacities (Torres-Cortés *et al.*, 2015), including *Ca. Carsonella ruddii* and *Ca. Sulcia muelleri*, which possess some of the smallest characterized bacterial genomes (McCutcheon and Moran, 2012). These findings indicate that the genomes of phylogenetically distinct lineages of endobacteria have been similarly shaped by the selection pressure generated within diverse eukaryotic hosts, providing evidence of convergent evolutionary adaptation to an intracellular lifestyle (Ghignone *et al.*, 2012). Curiously, *B. cicadellinica* and *Ca. Sulcia muelleri* may co-reside in the same host, *Homalodisca coagulata*, where they reveal functional complementation (Cottret *et al.*, 2010). Similarly, *CaGg* and *CaMg* have been found to coexist within the same fungal strain (Desirò *et al.*, 2014). Thus, further work is required to address whether functional complementation also occurs between the two endosymbionts when they dwell together inside the same fungal niche. Moreover, the identification of mobile genetic elements in *CaGg* (Ghignone *et al.*, 2012) and *CaMg* (Naito and Pawlowska, 2016b) offered new insights into the possibility of gene exchange between the two endobacterial lineages.

While the genome analysis of AMF endobacteria has nicely revealed the mechanistic basis of their dependency on the host, the reasons why many fungal strains have maintained their bacterial guests for hundreds of million years have remained unknown. Using a stable endosymbiont-free strain of *Gigaspora margarita* (B-),
285 multiple morphological and "omics" approaches have been applied to directly compare the B- line with the wild-type strain hosting the endobacterium (B+). Interestingly, in spite of its success in colonizing the plant host, the B- line is impaired in the mycelial growth, has a different spore wall structure, and sometimes produces fewer spores than the B+ line (Lumini *et al.*, 2007). Consistent with these
290 features, transcriptome analysis showed that *CaGg* has a stronger effect on the pre-symbiotic than the symbiotic phase of the fungal host (Salvioli *et al.*, 2016). The coupling of transcriptomics and proteomics with physiological and cell biological approaches demonstrated that *CaGg* raises the bioenergetic capacity of the fungus, increasing its ATP production and respiration, and eliciting mechanisms to detoxify
295 ROS (Salvioli *et al.*, 2016; Vannini *et al.*, 2016). In this scenario, many proteins specifically involved in endogenous ROS detoxification were found to be upregulated in the B+ line, which indeed produced more H₂O₂, but also had higher antioxidant capacities (Vannini *et al.*, 2016). The fungal mitochondrion and its main metabolic pathways (ATP synthesis, respiration, ROS metabolism) appear therefore
300 to be particularly sensitive to the presence of the bacteria.

Much experimental evidence has offered insights into the complex molecular events that directed the evolution of endosymbionts into contemporary organelles (Dyall *et al.*, 2004). In line with these observations, and acknowledging that the distinction
305 between endosymbiont and organelle is not always clear-cut, all the data generated so far might suggest a scenario where *CaGg* seems to act as a "mitochondrion-like organelle". In addition, the antioxidant activities elicited in the fungus by *CaGg* were also observed in the plant when colonized by the B+ strain (Vannini *et al.*, 2016). Thus, even if the B- line is able to maintain its mycorrhizal capacities, it might be hypothesized that the ecological functionality of the AM symbiosis is positively

310 affected by the presence of *CaGg* (Figure 2). This hypothesis provides a further
element to the idea that, like their animal counterparts, plants are never alone!

Home Sweet Home: Conclusions

In the past few years, the scientific community has made giant strides in the study of
315 BFI. Today, this new field of microbiology has assumed substantial relevance not
only to microbial ecology, but also human health and biotechnology (Frey-Klett *et al.*,
2011; Netzker *et al.*, 2015). In this changing context, interest in the endobacteria of
fungi, which have long been considered an oddity, has blossomed. Indeed, the
combination of different "omics" approaches is revealing an unexpectedly
320 widespread distribution and is allowing us to gradually understand the biological
functions and evolution of these microbes.

Most of the described examples of endobacterial-fungal interactions involve fungi in
the Mucoromycota. These fungi possess a coenocytic mycelium (*i.e.* they lack or
have few transverse septa), a feature that endobacteria might prefer. Indeed, the
325 absence of physical barriers could facilitate bacterial movement along the hyphal
network (Desirò *et al.*, 2014; 2015a). Thus, we wonder whether the Mucoromycota,
with their coenocytic mycelium, represent the more suitable niche where
endobacteria can thrive, or if endobacteria could also inhabit other groups of fungi,
such as the Dikarya. From the bacterial side, and irrespective of the host range, the
330 diversity of fungal endobacteria discovered so far is mostly limited to two bacterial
lineages, *Burkholderia* and *Mycoplasma*. Are they the only ones? Or do fungal
endobacteria cover a broader taxonomic range, as for endobacteria-insect
associations (Moran, 2001; Wernegreen, 2002)?

The rather limited diversity and distribution of fungal endobacteria cannot yet be
335 compared to the one of insect endosymbionts; however, their biological roles offer
interesting parallels. Insect endosymbioses show a continuity of behaviours from true

symbionts, through weak pathogens, to sex manipulators (Moran *et al.*, 2008; McLean *et al.*, 2016). Fungal endosymbioses behave likewise: *B. rhizoxinica* seems to be a true endosymbiont, with a positive effect on *Rhizopus*, similar to *CaGg*, which
340 has a positive effect on *G. margarita*. By contrast, based on predictions of evolutionary theory, Toomer and colleagues (2015) suggested that *CaMg* may behave as antagonist of AMF. Lastly, even if it cannot be strictly defined as a sex manipulator, *B. rhizoxinica* affects the vegetative reproduction of its fungal partner (Partida-Martinez *et al.*, 2007).

345 Similar to some obligate insect endosymbionts, such as *Buchnera*, endobacteria of fungi sequenced so far (particularly the AMF endobacteria) have revealed relevant genome reductions that entail host-dependency and, as a consequence, a range of difficulties in growing these bacteria in pure culture. As for insects, the interaction is facultative for the fungus and, moreover, has only been maintained in some lineages,
350 suggesting that endobacteria are not essential for the evolutionary success of the hosts. Again this is true for endobacteria-insect interactions. For example, *Wolbachia* is one of the most widespread endobacteria, being present in around 40% of arthropod species. However, within a given species, usually *Wolbachia* infects most or only a few individuals (Zug and Hammerstein, 2012). For example, *Aedes aegypti*
355 may host or not host such bacteria, and exciting research has demonstrated that when *Wolbachia* is introduced into the mosquitoes that lacked bacteria, this can stop the transmission of dangerous viruses that grow inside the mosquito and are transmitted to people (Hoffmann *et al.*, 2011).

Compared to these mature fields, studies of endobacterial-fungal interactions are in
360 their infancy. However, it is worthwhile to note that insects and fungi share common metabolic pathways, such as chitin biosynthesis, suggesting that the shift from a free-living lifestyle to obligate mutualism inside eukaryotic cells overcame similar structural barriers. Thus, we can ask whether the endobacteria of insects, which made an evolutionary transition from a free-living lifestyle to obligate mutualism
365 (Hosokawa *et al.*, 2016), faced similar challenges.

Focusing on endobacterial-fungal interactions, we can draw a portrait of an ancient scenario where soil is the main character, operating as a microbial reservoir where myriad organisms thrive together. Thus, it may be hypothesized that soil, with its living components, has acted as a facilitator in transferring free-living bacteria inside
370 fungi. This has offered important opportunities for horizontal gene transfer in both directions, contributing to shaping the fungal genomes. The taking home-message is that fungal endobacteria are probably active participants, rather than silent occupants of their fungal homes.

375 **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their thanks to Alessandra Salvioli, Mara Novero and Stefano
380 Ghignone as well as to all the colleagues that, during the years, have contributed to the development of the project Endobacteria in AMF, and whose names have been quoted in the references. The authors also wish to thank Jennifer M. Mach for the critical reading and three anonymous referees for their helpful suggestions. Research illustrated in this review was funded by the Ateneo Project (ex 60%) and by the CSP-
385 Ateneo Mycoplant project to PB.

References

- Arendt KR, Hockett KL, Araldi-Brondolo SJ, Baltrus DA, Arnold AE. (2016). Isolation of endohyphal bacteria from foliar Ascomycota and *in vitro* establishment of their symbiotic associations. *Appl Environmental Microbiol* **10**: 2943-2949.
- 390 Ash C, Mueller K. (2016). Manipulating the microbiota. *Science* **352**: 530-531.

- Bianciotto V, Lumini E, Bonfante P, Vandamme P. (2003). ‘*Candidatus Glomeribacter gigasporarum*’ gen. nov., sp. nov., an endosymbiont of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Int Syst Evol Micr* **53**: 121–124.
- 395 Bonfante P, Scannerini S. (1977). Cytological observations on the mycorrhiza
Endogone flammicorona-Pinus strobus. *Allionia* **22**: 23–34.
- Bonfante P, Scannerini S. (1976). The ultrastructure of the zygosporangium in *Endogone flammicorona* Trappe & Gerdemann. *Mycopathologia* **59**: 117-23.
- 400 Chang Y, Wang S, Sekimoto S, Aerts AL, Choi C, Clum A *et al.* (2015).
Phylogenomic analyses indicate that early fungi evolved digesting cell walls of algal
ancestors of land plants. *Genome Biol Evol* **7**: 1590-1601.
- Coleman-Derr D, Desgarenes D, Fonseca-Garcia C, Gross S, Clingenpeel S, Woyke T *et al.* (2016). Plant compartment and biogeography affect microbiome composition in cultivated and native *Agave* species. *New Phytol* **209**: 798–811.
- 405 Cottret L, Milreu PV, Acuña V, Marchetti-Spaccamela A, Stougie L, Charles H *et al.*
(2010). Graph-based analysis of the metabolic exchanges between two co-resident
intracellular symbionts, *Baumannia cicadellinicola* and *Sulcia muelleri*, with their
insect host, *Homalodisca coagulata*. *PLoS Comput Biol* **6**: e1000904. doi:
10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000904
- 410 Desirò A, Faccio A, Kaech A, Bidartondo MI, Bonfante P. (2015a). *Endogone*, one
of the oldest plant-associated fungi, host unique Mollicutes-related endobacteria. *New
Phytol* **205**: 1464–1472.
- Desirò A, Bonfante P, Bonito G. (2015b). The fungal microbiome: a peek at plant-associated fungi and their endobacteria. 8th International Conference on Mycorrhiza: Flagstaff, AZ, USA.

- 415 Desirò A, Salvioli A, Ngonkeu EL, Mondo SJ, Epis S, Faccio A *et al.* (2014).
Detection of a novel intracellular microbiome hosted in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi.
ISME J **8**: 257–270.
- Desirò A, Naumann M, Epis S, Novero M, Bandi C, Genre A *et al.* (2013).
Mollicutes-related endobacteria thrive inside liverwort-associated arbuscular
420 mycorrhizal fungi. *Environ Microbiol* **15**: 822–836.
- Dyall SD, Brown MT, Johnson PJ. (2004). Ancient invasions: from endosymbionts to
organelles. *Science* **304**: 253-257.
- Estrada-de Los Santos P, Vinuesa P, Martínez-Aguilar L, Hirsch AM, Caballero-
Mellado J. (2013). Phylogenetic analysis of *Burkholderia* species by multilocus
425 sequence analysis. *Curr Microbiol* **67**: 51-60.
- Field KJ, Rimington WR, Bidartondo MI, Allinson KE, Beerling DJ, Cameron DD *et al.*
(2015). First evidence of mutualism between ancient plant lineages
(Haplomitriopsida liverworts) and Mucoromycotina fungi and its response to
simulated Palaeozoic changes in atmospheric CO₂. *New Phytol* **205**: 743-56.
- 430 Frey-Klett P, Burlinson P, Deveau A, Barret M, Tarkka M, Sarniguet A. (2011).
Bacterial-fungal interactions: hyphens between agricultural, clinical, environmental,
and food microbiologists. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **75**: 583-609.
- Fujimura R, Nishimura A, Ohshima S, Sato Y, Nishizawa T, Oshima K *et al.* (2014).
Draft genome sequence of the betaproteobacterial endosymbiont associated with the
435 fungus *Mortierella elongata* FMR23-6. *Genome Announc* **2**: e01272-14.
- Gange A. (2000). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, Collembola and plant growth.
Trends Ecol Evol **15**: 369-372.
- Ghignone S, Salvioli A, Anca I, Lumini E, Ortu G, Petiti L *et al.* (2012). The genome
of the obligate endobacterium of an AM fungus reveals an interphylum network of
440 nutritional interactions. *ISME J* **6**: 136-145.

- Glaeser S, Imani J, Alabid I, Guo H, Kumar N, Kämpfer P *et al.* (2016). Non-pathogenic *Rhizobium radiobacter* F4 deploys plant beneficial activity independent of its host *Piriformospora indica*. *ISME J* **10**: 871-884.
- Haney CH, Ausubel FM. (2015). Plant microbiome blueprints. *Science* 349: 788-789.
- 445 Hedlund K, Boddy L, Preston CM. (1991). Mycelial responses of the soil fungus, *Mortierella isabellina*, to grazing by *Onychiurus armatus* (Collembola). *Soil Biol Biochem* **23**: 361-366.
- Hoffmann AA, Montgomery BL, Popovici J, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Johnson PH, Muzzi F *et al.* (2011). Successful establishment of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes* populations to
450 suppress dengue transmission. *Nature* **476**: 454-457.
- Hosokawa T, Ishii Y, Nikoh N, Fujie M, Satoh N, Fukatsu T. (2016). Obligate bacterial mutualists evolving from environmental bacteria in natural insect populations. *Nature Microbiol* **11**: 15011.
- Lace B, Genre A, Woo S, Faccio A, Lorito M, Bonfante P. (2015). Gate crashing
455 arbuscular mycorrhizas: *in vivo* imaging shows the extensive colonization of both symbionts by *Trichoderma atroviride*. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **7**: 64-77.
- Lackner G, Moebius N, Partida-Martinez L, Hertweck C. (2011). Complete genome sequence of *Burkholderia rhizoxinica*, an endosymbiont of *Rhizopus microsporus*. *J Bacteriol* **193**: 783–784.
- 460 Li Z, Yao Q, Dearth SP, Entler MR, Castro Gonzales HF, Uehling JK *et al.* (2017). Integrated proteomics and metabolomics suggests symbiotic metabolism and multimodal regulation in a fungal-endobacterial system. *Environ Microbiol*. In press.
- Lumini E, Bianciotto V, Jargeat P, Novero M, Salvioli A, Faccio A *et al.* (2007). Presymbiotic growth and sporal morphology are affected in the arbuscular
465 mycorrhizal fungus *Gigaspora margarita* cured of its endobacteria. *Cell Microbiol* **9**: 1716–1729.

- Maniloff J. (2002). Phylogeny and evolution. In: Razin S, Herrmann R (eds). *Molecular Biology and Pathogenicity of Mycoplasmas*. Springer Heidelberg, pp. 31-44.
- 470 McCutcheon JP, Moran NA. (2012). Extreme genome reduction in symbiotic bacteria. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **10**: 13-26.
- McLean AH, Parker BJ, Hrček J, Henry LM, Godfray HC. (2016). Insect symbionts in food webs. *Phil Trans R Soc B* **371**: 20150325. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0325
- Moebius N, Üzüm Z, Dijksterhuis J, Lackner G, Hertweck C. (2014). Active invasion
475 of bacteria into living fungal cells. *Elife* **3**, e03007. doi: 10.7554/eLife.03007.
- Mondo SJ, Toomer KH, Morton JB, Lekberg Y, Pawlowska TE. (2012). Evolutionary stability in a 400-million-year-old heritable facultative mutualism. *Evolution* **66**: 2564–2576.
- Moran NA, McCutcheon JP, Nakabachi A. (2008). Genomics and evolution of
480 heritable bacterial symbionts. *Annu Rev Genet* **42**: 165-190.
- Moran NA. (2001). Bacterial menageries inside insects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **98**: 1338-1340.
- Mosse BA. (1970). Honey-coloured, sessile *Endogone* spores: II. Changes in fine structure during spore development. *Arch Microbiol* **74**: 129-145.
- 485 Naito M, Pawlowska TE. (2016a). Defying Muller’s ratchet: Heritable endobacteria escape extinction through recombination and genome plasticity. *mBio* **7**: e02057-02015. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02057-15
- Naito M, Pawlowska TE. (2016b). The role of mobile genetic elements in evolutionary longevity of heritable endobacteria. *Mob Genet Elements* **6**: e1136375.

490 Naito M, Morton JB, Pawlowska TE. (2015). Minimal genomes of mycoplasma-related endobacteria are plastic and contain host-derived genes for sustained life within Glomeromycota. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**: 7791–7796.

Naito M, Desirò A, Gonzales JB, Tao G, Morton JB, Bonfante P *et al.* (2017). *Candidatus* Moeniiplasma glomeromycotorum', an endobacterium of arbuscular
495 mycorrhizal fungi. *IJSEM*. In press

Naumann M, Schüßler A, Bonfante P. (2010). The obligate endobacteria of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are ancient heritable components related to the Mollicutes. *ISME J* **4**: 862–871.

Netzker T, Fischer J, Weber J, Mattern DJ, König CC, Valiante V *et al.* (2015).
500 Microbial communication leading to the activation of silent fungal secondary metabolite gene clusters. *Front Microbiol* **6**. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00299.

Ohshima S, Sato Y, Fujimura R, Takashima Y, Hamada M, Nishizawa T *et al.* (2016). *Mycoavidus cysteinexigens* gen. nov., sp. nov., an endohyphal bacterium isolated from a soil isolate of the fungus *Mortierella elongata*. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **66**:
505 2052-2057.

Olsson S, Bonfante P, Pawlowska TE. (2017). Ecology and Evolution of fungal-bacterial interactions. In: Dighton J, Oudemans P (eds). *The fungal community: its organization and role in the ecosystem*, 4th ed. In press.

Partida-Martinez LP, Hertweck C. (2007). A gene cluster encoding rhizoxin
510 biosynthesis in *Burkholderia rhizoxinica*, the bacterial endosymbiont of the fungus *Rhizopus microsporus*. *Chembiochem* **8**: 41–45.

Partida-Martinez LP, Monajembashi S, Greulich KO, Hertweck C. (2007). Endosymbiont-dependent host reproduction maintains bacterial-fungal mutualism. *Curr Biol* **17**: 773–777.

- 515 Partida-Martinez LP, Hertweck C. (2005). Pathogenic fungus harbours endosymbiotic bacteria for toxin production. *Nature* **437**: 884-888
- Salvioli A, Ghignone S, Novero M, Navazio L, Venice F, Bagnaresi P *et al.* (2016). Symbiosis with an endobacterium increases the fitness of a mycorrhizal fungus, raising its bioenergetic potential. *ISME J* **10**: 130–144.
- 520 Sato Y, Narisawa K, Tsuruta K, Umezu M, Nishizawa T, Tanaka K *et al.* (2010). Detection of betaproteobacteria inside the mycelium of the fungus *Mortierella elongata*. *Microbes Environ* **25**: 321–324.
- Scannerini S, Bonfante P. (1991). Bacteria and bacteria-like objects in endomycorrhizal fungi. In: Margulis L, Fester R (eds). *Symbiosis as a source of*
525 *evolutionary innovation: speciation and morphogenesis*. MIT Press Cambridge, pp. 273-287.
- Schroeckh V, Scherlach K, Nutzmans HW, Shelest E, Schmidt-Heck W, Schuemann J *et al.* (2009). Intimate bacterial–fungal interaction triggers biosynthesis of archetypal polyketides in *Aspergillus nidulans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **106**: 14558-
530 14563.
- Schüßler A, Kluge M. (2001). *Geosiphon pyriforme*, an endocytosymbiosis between fungus and cyanobacteria, and its meaning as a model system for arbuscular mycorrhizal research. In: Hock B (ed). *The Mycota vol. IX, Fungal associations*. Springer-Verlag Berlin, pp. 151-161.
- 535 Shakyia M, Gottel N, Castro H, Yang ZK, Gunter L, Labbé J *et al.* (2013). A multifactor analysis of fungal and bacterial community structure in the root microbiome of mature *Populus deltoides* trees. *PLOS One* 8: e76382. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076382
- Sharma M, Schmid M, Rothballer M, Hause G, Zuccaro A, Imani J *et al.* (2008).
540 Detection and identification of bacteria intimately associated with fungi of the order Sebaciales. *Cell Microbiol* **10**: 2235-2246.

- Shimosaka M, Fukumori Y, Narita T, Zhang XY, Kodaira R, Nogawa M *et al.* (2001). The bacterium *Burkholderia gladioli* strain CHB101 produces two different kinds of chitinases belonging to families 18 and 19 of the glycosyl hydrolases. *J Biosci Bioeng* **91**: 103-105.
- 545
- Shu-Chang C, Wang JT, Vandamme P, Hwang JH, Chang PS, Chen WM. (2004). *Chitinimonas taiwanensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel chitinolytic bacterium isolated from a freshwater pond for shrimp culture. *Syst Appl Microbiol* **27**: 43-49.
- Spatafora JW, Chang Y, Benny GL, Lazarus K, Smith ME, Berbee ML *et al.* (2016). A phylum-level phylogenetic classification of zygomycete fungi based on genome-scale data. *Mycologia* **108**: 1028-1046.
- 550
- Takashima Y, Yamamoto K, Seto K, Degawa Y, Narisawa K. (2015). Detection of Mollicutes-related endobacteria from putative saprotrophic *Endogone* spp. and *Sphaerocreas pubescens*. 8th International Conference on Mycorrhiza: Flagstaff, AZ, USA
- 555
- Toomer KH, Chen X, Naito M, Mondo SJ, den Bakker HC, VanKuren NW *et al.* (2015). Molecular evolution patterns reveal life history features of mycoplasma-related endobacteria associated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Mol Ecol* **24**: 3485-3500.
- 560
- Torres-Cortés G, Ghignone S, Bonfante P, Schüßler A. (2015). Mosaic genome of endobacteria in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: transkingdom gene transfer in an ancient mycoplasma-fungus association. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**: 7785–7790.
- Tully JG, Bové JM, Laigret F, Whitcomb RF. (1993). Revised taxonomy of the class Mollicutes: proposed elevation of a monophyletic cluster of arthropod-associated Mollicutes to ordinal rank (Entomoplasmatales ord. nov.), with provision for familial rank to separate species with nonhelical morphology (Entomoplasmataceae fam. nov.) from helical species (Spiroplasmataceae), and emended descriptions of the

order Mycoplasmatales, family Mycoplasmataceae. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **43**: 378-385.

570 Uehling J, Gryganskyi A, Hameed K, Tschaplinski T, Misztal PK, Wu S *et al.* (2017). Genome sequencing reveals origins of a unique bacterial endosymbiosis in the earliest lineages of terrestrial Fungi. *Environ Microbiol.* In press.

VanKuren NW, den Bakker HC, Morton JB, Pawlowska TE. (2013). Effective population size, rRNA gene diversity, and evolutionary longevity of asexual
575 Glomeromycota. *Evolution* **67**: 207-224.

Vannini C, Carpentieri A, Salvioli A, Novero M, Marsoni M, Testa L *et al.* (2016). An interdomain network: the endobacterium of a mycorrhizal fungus promotes antioxidative responses in both fungal and plant hosts. *New Phytol* **211**: 265-75.

Weintraub PG, Beanland L. (2006). Insect vectors of phytoplasmas. *Annu Rev*
580 *Entomol* **51**: 91-111.

Wernegreen JJ. (2002). Genome evolution in bacterial endosymbionts of insects. *Nat Rev Genet* **3**: 850-861.

Zug R, Hammerstein P. (2012). Still a host of hosts for *Wolbachia*: analysis of recent data suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected. *PloS one* **7**:
585 e38544.

Figure captions

Figure 1 Schematic representation of the two hypothetical scenarios of *bacterial invasion (early vs late)* at the basis of the origin of the association between BRE (in red) and MRE (in blue), and members of the Mucoromycota. **BRE**: The *early bacterial invasion* (left side) assumes the existence of an ancestral free-living BRB that invaded the common ancestor of the Glomeromycotina and Mortierellomycotina. During the separation of the fungal hosts, BRE have been diversified into *Candidatus Glomeribacter gigasporarum* and *Mycoavidus cysteinexigens*, and maintained only in

the Gigasporaceae (a family in the Diversisporales) and *Mortierella*, respectively. On
595 the contrary, the *late bacterial invasion* (right side) entails a subsequent *invasion* by
an ancestral free-living BRB, which may have occurred when the evolutionary lines
leading to the Glomeromycotina and Mortierellomycotina had already separated, but
before the diversification of the Gigasporaceae. Differently, *Burkholderia rhizoxinica*
has had an independent origin, sharing with the free-living *Burkholderia* its most
600 recent common ancestor. Irrespective of the *bacterial invasion* scenario, *CaGg* is
absent in some Gigasporaceae strains and most of the Glomeromycotina and
Mortierellomycotina lineages, and that might be the result of *secondary losses* of the
endobacterial partner. **MRE**: The *early bacterial invasion* (left side) assumes the
existence of an ancestral free-living MRB that invaded the ancestor of the
605 Mucoromycota, and began its evolutionary path toward obligate mutualism. During
the diversification of the Mucoromycota, MRE have been maintained in the
Glomeromycotina and Mucoromycotina, whereas it is still unknown whether the
Mortierellomycotina maintained these coccoid endosymbionts. By contrast, the *late*
bacterial invasion (right side) entails a subsequent *invasion* by an ancestral free-
610 living MRB, which may have occurred when the evolutionary lines leading to the
three Mucoromycota subphyla had already separated. However, regardless of the
bacterial invasion scenario, MRE are absent in several fungal lineages or strains and
that might be the result of *secondary losses* of the endobacterial partner. Legend:
Burkholderia rhizoxinica (*Br*); *Candidatus* Glomeribacter gigasporarum (*CaGg*);
615 *Mycoavidus cysteinexigens* (*Mc*); free-living BRB (FLB); free-living/animal-
associated MRB (FLM); bacterial invasion event (arrow); presence of
bacteria/endobacteria (thick line); absence of endobacteria in at least one fungal
lineage/strain (thin line); absence of endobacteria in at least one fungal lineage
(species, genus, family or order) (thin line with a cross); unknown/there are no data
620 available about the presence/absence of endobacteria (double thin line with a
question mark).

Figure 2

Schematic comparison of the colonization success of *Gigaspora margarita* with (B+) and without (B-) its endosymbiont (*CaGg*). When compare to the B+ strain, the
625 growth of the germinating mycelium from a B- spore is slower and, when the host plant root is relatively distant (~10 cm from the spore), stops after reaching a few centimeters (5 cm) (Lumini *et al.*, 2007). Further, the B- strain often produces a lower number of spores than the B+ strain (Salvioli *et al.*, 2016). Thus, in the words of Charles Darwin “*survival of the fittest*”, these differences make the B+ strain the
630 fittest one. AMF are obligate biotrophs, that is, they need a plant host to complete their life cycle. In natural conditions, the capacity to grow faster and for a longer distance/time may provide the B+ strain a greater chance of success in finding and reaching a host plant root, and then reproducing. On the contrary, the B- strain may have more difficulties in contacting plant host roots and, accordingly, completing its
635 life cycle (grey spores). As a consequence, over the generations (from left to right), it might occur a decrease of the B- lines and a predominance of B+ lines in the soil.

Abbreviation list

AMF: arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi

640 BFI: bacterial-fungal interactions

BRB: *Burkholderia*-related bacterium

BRE: *Burkholderia*-related endobacterium

CaGg: *Candidatus* Glomeribacter gigasporarum

CaMg: *Candidatus* Moenioplasma glomeromycotorum

645 MRB: Mollicutes/*Mycoplasma*-related bacterium

MRE: Mollicutes/*Mycoplasma*-related endobacteria

NGS: Next generation sequencing

ROS: Reactive oxygen species

T2SS: Type II secretion system