

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Postmortem redistribution of triazolam, alprazolam, delorazepam (chlordesmethyldiazepam) and zolpidem in a suicide case

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1567357> since 2016-06-20T10:05:25Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.toxac.2015.10.001

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Manuscript Number: TOXAC-D-15-00008R1

Title: Postmortem redistribution of triazolam, alprazolam, delorazepam (chlordesmethyldiazepam) and zolpidem in a suicidal death

Article Type: Article original / Original Article

Section/Category: Toxicologie analytique / Analytical Toxicology

Keywords: Delorazepam; Chlordesmethyldiazepam; Postmortem redistribution; Benzodiazepines; Intoxication

Corresponding Author: Dr. Alberto Salomone,

Corresponding Author's Institution: Centro Regionale Antidoping

First Author: Enrico Gerace

Order of Authors: Enrico Gerace; Alberto Salomone; Daniele Di Corcia; Patrizia Mazzucco; Marco Vincenti

Abstract: Postmortem drug redistribution (PMR) is a well-recognized phenomenon which affect the concentration of drugs inside the various body tissues and organs, possibly determining misled interpretation of postmortem drug concentrations. In contrast, drug levels in peripheral blood are commonly considered to be comparable with ante-mortem concentrations. The difference between central and peripheral blood concentrations is known as the "central to peripheral ratio" (C/P). Drugs with high C/P ratios are associated with potential for PMR. In several forensic cases, PMR should be taken into account for the correct estimation of drug blood levels at the moment of death, especially when multiple psychotropic drugs have been co-ingested. In the case reported hereby, the PMR of several benzodiazepines including triazolam, alprazolam, and delorazepam (chlordesmethyldiazepam) plus zolpidem was evaluated in a fatal multidrug suicide case. A 38-year-old man was found dead in his apartment. On the scene, many packaging of pharmaceutical drugs were found. During the autopsy, no evidence of natural disease nor trauma was found to account for his death. Heart (central) and femoral (peripheral) blood, urine and vitreous humor were collected and submitted to toxicological analysis. Delorazepam, triazolam and zolpidem were detected at therapeutic levels in peripheral blood while alprazolam and ethanol were found at toxic levels. The potential synergistic sedative effect of multiple benzodiazepines intake, combined to high ethanol concentration, produced a condition compatible with fatal intoxication. C/P ratio for the tested compounds ranged from 1.22 (alprazolam) to 1.47 (delorazepam) demonstrating moderate PMR for these drugs. This is the first report in which the PMR of delorazepam is discussed.

Torino, October 2nd, 2015

Dr. Pascal Kintz
Editor
Toxicologie Analytique et Clinique

Dear Editor

I am pleased to submit the reviewed version of the manuscript n. TOXAC-S-15-00010 entitled "Postmortem redistribution of triazolam, alprazolam, delorazepam (chlordesmethyldiazepam) and zolpidem in a suicide case" for publication on Toxicologie Analytique et Clinique.

The manuscript was reviewed following all the Referees' comments and recommendations, consequently the references were updated.

All the revisions in the text and Tables were marked using a yellow highlighting. The answers to the Referees' comments are listed below:

Reviewer #1 comments:

- 1) *[...], the state of decomposition of the decedent has not been described; the position of the decedent has not been described; when the decedent was last seen has not been described; the ambient temperature has not been described; etc. All these parameters can and often do affect postmortem drug concentrations and without these a paper cannot accurately describe PMR in the year 2015.*

Authors' response: More information and parameters useful to evaluate PMR were added to the text.

- 2) *[...], the authors only seem to describe the literature that makes their point - for example, only a poor literature review would allow one to think that ethanol does not suffer PMR.*

Authors' response: Other literature data regarding the PMR of ethanol were added and discussed in the text. Consequently, the references were updated.

- 3) *[...], the total volume of gastric contents were not collected nor they were properly analyzed for drug concentrations.*

Authors' response: The total volume of gastric content was added in the text. Moreover, gastric content was analyzed for drug concentrations, but tested negative.

- 4) *Even for the specimens that were collected, the authors fail to describe how they were collected (e.g. scooping up blood, squeezing blood out of the heart, ligating the peripheral vessel), how they were preserved and stored and how long were they in storage before analysis.*

Authors' response: All these additional data were added in the text.

- 5) *On the blood determinations described in this manuscript, the authors appear to have utilized the same technique for screening and for confirmation/quantitation. This practice is forensically unacceptable.*

Authors' response: In the text was clarified how screening and confirmation analyses on blood samples were performed.

- 6) *The authors are also able to determine a large amount of ethanol consumed by the decedent based on his measured concentrations in central blood and urine but ignore the discrepancy of ethanol results between peripheral blood, central blood, urine and vitreous humour and instead only list their findings in Table 2 without comments. This discrepancy has the potential of severely undermining their theory of concomitant use of ethanol and drugs by this decedent and should have been further explored.*

Authors' response: Ethanol was additionally quantified in peripheric blood at 3.17 g/L. This finding demonstrated no discrepancy in ethanol concentration between central and peripheral blood. Consequently, new data were added in the text and Table 2 was updated.

- 7) *On line 116, the authors state that drug quantitation in urine were performed but do not explain what the usefulness in a postmortem case report or scientific purpose of quantifying drugs in a waste product such as urine that can accumulate substances over days can possibly be.*

Authors' response: Drugs in urine are normally quantified in postmortem cases. Usefulness is poor, as remarked by the reviewer. However, the panel of detected substances can assist the identification of the compounds consumed by the deceased before the death.

Reviewer #3 comments:

- a. *General: considerations about the in vitro instability (or not ?) of the substances of interest should be added together with references.*

Authors' response: Additional literature data about the in vitro stability of the benzodiazepines were added and discussed in the text. Consequently, the references were updated.

- b. *General: "$\mu\text{g/L}$" instead "ng/mL" as Liter is the international unit for volume*

Authors' response: the unit was modified thorough the manuscript

- c. *Line 113: mass spectra library used for the GC-MS screening method should be added.*

Authors' response: MS libraries used for the qualitative identification of the compounds were added in the text.

- d. *Line 157: The agreement between the authoptic (???) examination and toxicological findings is consistent with a suicidal hypothesis: please, explain!*

Authors' response: the sentence was modified. As a matter of fact, the suicidal hypothesis is not proved by the authoptic examination.

- e. *Line 102 : "in" instead of "on urine"*

Authors' response: the text was modified

- f. *Could you give the nature of the conservative used for blood specimen sampling?*

Authors' response: the information was provided in the paragraph 2.1

1 **Abstract**

2 Postmortem drug redistribution (PMR) is a well-recognized phenomenon which affect the
3 concentration of drugs inside the various body tissues and organs, possibly determining misled
4 interpretation of postmortem drug concentrations. In contrast, drug levels in peripheral blood are
5 commonly considered to be comparable with ante-mortem concentrations. The difference between
6 central and peripheral blood concentrations is known as the “central to peripheral ratio” (C/P).
7 Drugs with high C/P ratios are associated with potential for PMR. In several forensic cases, PMR
8 should be taken into account for the correct estimation of drug blood levels at the moment of death,
9 especially when multiple psychotropic drugs have been co-ingested.

10 In the case reported hereby, the PMR of several benzodiazepines including triazolam, alprazolam,
11 and delorazepam (chlordesmethyldiazepam) plus zolpidem was evaluated in a fatal multidrug
12 suicide case. A 38-year-old man was found dead in his apartment. On the scene, many packaging of
13 pharmaceutical drugs were found. During the autopsy, no evidence of natural disease nor trauma
14 was found to account for his death. Heart (central) and femoral (peripheral) blood, urine and
15 vitreous humor were collected and submitted to toxicological analysis.

16 Delorazepam, triazolam and zolpidem were detected at therapeutic levels in peripheral blood while
17 alprazolam and ethanol were found at toxic levels. The potential synergistic sedative effect of
18 multiple benzodiazepines intake, combined to high ethanol concentration, produced a condition
19 compatible with fatal intoxication. C/P ratio for the tested compounds ranged from 1.22
20 (alprazolam) to 1.47 (delorazepam) demonstrating moderate PMR for these drugs. This is the first
21 report in which the PMR of delorazepam is discussed.

22

23

24

25 1. Introduction

26 Postmortem redistribution (PMR) is a complex biological process by which the concentration of
27 drugs in the body is modified by their diffusion along a concentration gradient, generally from
28 various organs toward the circulatory central system, resulting in a biased increase of blood drug
29 levels. Mainly, this diffusion from sites of higher to lower drug concentration involves tissues,
30 organs and body fluids (1,2), and occurs in the interval elapsed between death and autopsy. This
31 important issue raises the question of whether or not the drug levels determined in post-mortem
32 biological samples properly reflect their concentrations at the time of death (3). Generally, the rate
33 and extent of PMR varies according to several factors, including the nature of the drug and the time
34 interval between death and postmortem specimen collection (2). More specifically, this process is
35 related to the volume of distribution for each drug, lipophilic drugs having higher tendency to be
36 redistributed than hydrophilic drugs (4-6) due to their previous accumulation in lipophilic organs.

37 Postmortem drug concentration in peripheral blood is generally supposed to reflect ante-mortem
38 drug concentration. Therefore, femoral venous blood represents the specimen of choice for
39 postmortem toxicological analyses (1-3, 7). On the other hand, several PMR cases have been
40 documented for various drugs, in which their concentrations proved to be several fold higher in the
41 central vessels and heart than in peripheral blood (4,8). Differently, ethanol usually does not show
42 significant PMR phenomena because of its even distribution into the total body water (9) and
43 previous studies have demonstrated no significant differences between its concentration in
44 peripheral and central blood (10, 11). In some cases, ethanol postmortem distribution from the
45 stomach to the left cardiac chambers can occur, especially when high levels of ethanol were
46 detected in the gastric content (12) or by diffusion from suctioned vomit containing high quantities
47 of ethanol (13). To understand whether PMR phenomena had occurred, postmortem blood
48 specimens should be collected from at least two different sites of the corpse, namely a peripheral
49 and a central area (often the heart), so that a comparison can be made (14). The difference between

50 the central and the peripheral blood concentrations is known as the central to peripheral ratio (C/P)
51 (2). Generally, drugs with high C/P ratios are associated with potential for redistribution (8), while
52 C/P ratio values close to 1 indicate limited PMR of the drug.

53 Aim of this study was to evaluate to what extent PMR for alprazolam, triazolam, delorazepam (also
54 known as chlordesmethyldiazepam or with the acronym CDDZ) and zolpidem occurred in a real
55 case of fatal multidrug intoxication, and to compare the results obtained in the present case with
56 those available in the scientific literature. Notably, the PMR for delorazepam has never been
57 described before, to the best of our knowledge.

58 **2. Case history**

59 A 38-year-old man (weight 55 kg, height 167 cm) with previous history of chronic depression was
60 found dead in his bed, laying in fetal position, in a condition of slight rigor mortis. The room
61 temperature was 18°C while the body temperature was 29°C (rectal) and no signs of body
62 decomposition were present. On the scene, many packaging of psychoactive drugs were found,
63 suggesting the occurrence of drug-related suicidal death. Specifically, the police seized: 4 empty
64 bottles of alprazolam, 1 empty bottle of delorazepam, and 12 empty blister packs of zolpidem.
65 Moreover, 3 empty bottles of beer and 2 empty bottles of wine were found in the kitchen. The
66 seized material did not allow a direct estimate of the amount of drugs possibly ingested by the
67 victim the day of his death. No letters to account for a suicide were found in the apartment. The
68 death was reported to the Public Prosecutor's office which took jurisdiction of the case.

69 *2.1 Autopsy findings*

70 At the autopsy, the decedent did not show any specific pathology and appeared well-nourished.
71 Internal examination presented a general pulmonary edema and multi-visceral congestion; no
72 evidence of any trauma was found to account for his death, supporting the hypothesis that acute
73 drug intoxication had occurred. Therefore, our laboratory received the responsibility to determine

74 whether massive drug assumption could be accounted for the death. The specimen sampled during
75 the autopsy included heart (central) and femoral (peripheral) blood, urine, gastric content (3 mL of
76 brown liquid), bile, hair, and vitreous humor from right and left eye. Central blood was collected
77 from the heart with a scoop, while peripheral blood was obtained from the femoral vein. Blood
78 samples were collected in tubes containing sodium fluoride/EDTA as preservatives. All the
79 specimens were stored at -20°C before analysis.

80 3. Equipment and methods

81 3.1 Chemical and Reagents

82 Alprazolam, delorazepam, triazolam, zolpidem and nitrazepam- d_5 were purchased from
83 Cerilliant/Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy). Sodium hydrogen carbonate (NaHCO_3), sodium carbonate
84 (Na_2CO_3), sodium phosphate dibasic dihydrate ($\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$), potassium phosphate
85 monobasic (KH_2PO_4), methanol, formic acid, t-butyl methyl ether (TBME), and β -glucuronidase
86 (from *Escherichia coli*) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy). Phosphate buffer was
87 prepared by dissolving 4.63 g of KH_2PO_4 and 11.75 g of $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 1 L of deionized water.
88 The carbonate buffer was prepared by dissolving 2.12 g of Na_2CO_3 and 6.72 g of NaHCO_3 in 1 L of
89 deionized water. Deionized water was obtained from a Milli-Q system (Millipore Corporate
90 Headquarters, Billerica, USA).

91

92 3.2 Sample preparation

93 Authoptic samples were thawed at $+4^{\circ}\text{C}$ one day before analyses. Toxicological analyses were
94 performed six days after the autopsy. General screening analysis was executed on 2 mL of urine,
95 buffered at pH 7.4 with 2 mL of 0.1 M phosphate buffer and deconjugated with the addition of 30
96 μL of β -glucuronidase from *E. coli* prior of incubating the mixture at 55°C for 1 h. The sample was
97 subsequently extracted under alkaline conditions (pH 9.6) by adding 2 mL of a 0.1 M carbonate

98 buffer and then 10 mL of TBME. After shaking the mixture in a multimixer for 10 min, the organic
99 layer was separated and dried under a gentle flow of nitrogen. The resulting residue was
100 reconstituted with 50 μL of methanol. Lastly, a 1 μL aliquot was injected (splitless mode) into the
101 gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) system. In addition, the heart blood sample was
102 screened with a method for the detection of about ninety pharmaceutical drugs and metabolites
103 routinely employed in our laboratory (15). For the quantitative determination of benzodiazepines
104 and zolpidem in blood, 250 μL of sample was added with 250 μL of water:methanol 5:1 (v:v)
105 solution and transferred into a 1.5 mL vial. After the addition of nitrazepam- d_5 as the internal
106 standard (60 $\mu\text{g/L}$ final concentration) and vortex mixing for 10 seconds, 100 μL were transferred
107 in a new 1.5 mL vial and diluted with 200 μL of acetonitrile. Afterwards, the sample was incubated
108 at -20°C for 15 min and then centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 15 min. A 50 μL aliquot of the organic
109 phase was transferred into a new vial from which 1 μL was directly injected into the UHPLC-
110 MS/MS system.

111 *3.3 Apparatus and Methods*

112 Preliminary screening analyses in urine for amphetamines, barbiturates, benzodiazepines,
113 cannabinoids, methadone, cocaine and opiates were performed by the Enzyme Multiplied
114 Immunoassay Technique (EMIT, Abbott Laboratories, IL, USA). The ethanol concentration in
115 blood, urine and gastric contents was determined by headspace-GC-MS. Screening analysis for
116 unknown substances was performed using a 6890N GC apparatus (Agilent Technologies, Milan,
117 Italy) equipped with a 17 m fused-silica capillary column (J&W Scientific HP-5) with a 0.2-mm
118 inner diameter and 0.33- μm film thickness. Helium was employed as the carrier gas at a constant
119 pressure of 23.24 psi. The GC oven temperature was set at 90°C for 1 min and then raised to 180°C
120 with a $30^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ heating rate. The oven temperature was maintained at 180°C for 7 min and then
121 raised to 315°C with a $15^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ heating rate. The GC injector and transfer line were maintained at
122 280°C . Full scan spectra in the interval 40-650 amu were acquired using a 5975 inert mass-selective

123 detector (Agilent Technologies, Milan, Italy) operating in the EI mode at 70 eV. The qualitative
124 identification of the compounds was performed by comparing the full scan spectra obtained with
125 those recorded in the updated spectra libraries (PMWTox2, SWGDRUG version 1.7, AAFS2012,
126 CaymanSpectraLib). An additional screening for the determination of pharmaceutical drugs and
127 metabolites in blood was conducted by means of an updated UHPLC-MS/MS method, previously
128 described (15). Quantitative analysis for zolpidem and benzodiazepines in urine was performed by
129 an analytical method described in another study (16).

130 The quantitation of benzodiazepines and zolpidem in central and peripheral blood, vitreous humor
131 and gastric content was executed by means a UHPLC-MS/MS procedure developed and validated
132 on purpose. The chromatographic separation was performed using a Shimadzu LC-30A series
133 system (Shimadzu, Duisburg, Germany) equipped with a Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (1.7 μm
134 \times 2.1 mm \times 100 mm) protected by a guard column. The elution solvents were water/formic acid
135 5mM (solvent A) and acetonitrile/formic acid 5 mM (solvent B). After an initial isocratic condition
136 at 90% A for 0.5 min, the mobile phase composition was varied by a linear gradient (A:B; v/v) from
137 90:10 to 24:76 in 4.0 min; followed by isocratic elution at 95% B for 1.0 min. The flow rate was 0.6
138 mL/min and the total run time was 7.0 min including re-equilibration at the initial conditions before
139 each injection. Detection was carried out by an API 5500 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer
140 (ABSCIEX, Foster City, CA, USA) equipped with turbo ion spray source, operating in the positive
141 ionization mode. Best results were obtained using a source block temperature of 550°C. Data were
142 recorded in the selected reaction monitoring (SRM) mode. In order to establish appropriate SRM
143 conditions, the analytes and internal standard were individually infused into the electrospray
144 ionization (ESI) capillary, and the cone voltage (CV) was adjusted to maximize the intensity of the
145 deprotonated molecular species. The collision energy voltage (CE) was selected to preserve
146 approximately 10% of precursor ion. Nitrogen was employed as the collision gas (5×10^{-3} Pa).

147 Optimized chromatographic and mass-spectrometric conditions for targeted molecules and IS are
148 presented in Table 1.

149 **4. Results and discussion**

150 The presence of alprazolam, delorazepam, triazolam and zolpidem was ascertained in central and
151 peripheral blood. All of these drugs were also found in vitreous humor at concentrations generally
152 lower than in blood, while no presence of benzodiazepines and zolpidem was found in the gastric
153 content. Furthermore, the detection of these drugs in urine indicates the occurrence of extensive
154 excretion before death. High levels of ethanol were found in central and peripheral blood and urine
155 samples, at concentrations of 3.28 g/L, 3.17 g/L and 3.65 g/L respectively. All the toxicological
156 results, including C/P ratio, are reported in Table 2. With respect to in vitro stability of the detected
157 compounds, several studies demonstrated that benzodiazepines are relatively stable up to six
158 months, provided that the biological samples are stored at -20°C (17,18). Consequently, the storage
159 conditions adopted for the preservation of the autoptotic samples in the present case, and the interval
160 time elapsed between autopsy and the toxicological analyses, allow us to assume that degradation
161 phenomena were avoided and drugs concentrations in the collected specimens were accurately
162 estimated.

163 Although intoxication by benzodiazepines is rarely cited as the cause of death, the correct
164 estimation of their blood levels is of utmost relevance in several forensic cases, especially when the
165 concurrent intake of other psychotropic drugs is involved. As a matter of fact, the chance of
166 provoking adverse effects from benzodiazepines gets substantially increased when more than one
167 substance is administered. Despite the fact that benzodiazepines are relatively safe drugs, as is
168 attested by their wide therapeutic index, several cases of intoxication have been reported,
169 particularly when alcohol was co-ingested (19). In the present case, the peripheral blood
170 concentrations of delorazepam (68 µg/L), triazolam (5 µg/L) and zolpidem (190 µg/L) appear to be
171 comprised within their respective therapeutic ranges (20-22), while the high alprazolam
172 concentration (67 µg/L) is consistent with a possible state of intoxication (20-22). Therefore, the
173 concomitant presence of delorazepam, triazolam and zolpidem at therapeutic levels, together with

174 toxic levels of alprazolam and ethanol may have collectively contributed to the fatal event. The
175 potential synergic sedative effect of multiple benzodiazepines intake combined to the observed high
176 level of blood ethanol appears to be fully compatible with the occurrence of an acute intoxication
177 leading to death. In conclusion, the scene examination and the toxicological findings are coherent
178 with a suicidal hypothesis.

179 Despite the widespread therapeutic use of benzodiazepines and zolpidem and their frequent
180 detection in postmortem cases, few studies report information about the PMR for these compounds.
181 Furthermore, the available data are often controversial. Benzodiazepine concentrations are supposed
182 to show variable changes in the immediate postmortem period, although the reported magnitude of
183 these changes is generally modest, due to their relatively low volume of distribution (5). Triazolam
184 and alprazolam are expected to exhibit a measurable PMR, as their C/P averaged, respectively, 2.8
185 (range, 1.0–7.5) in 4 deaths and 1.5 (range, 1.0–2.8) in 4 fatal cases (8,22). In other studies,
186 alprazolam and triazolam were reported to have minimal PMR, that correspond to C/P values
187 ranging from 1.00 to 1.61 for triazolam, and 0.9 for alprazolam (3,23,24). Shiota et al. (25)
188 indicated that diazepam and triazolam diffuse from the gastrointestinal tract into the surrounding
189 tissues after death.

190 To the best of our knowledge, delorazepam is approved for marketing only in Italy, making
191 postmortem cases that involve this molecule extremely rare, while its possible PMR has never been
192 investigated before. Delorazepam is rapidly metabolized to lorazepam, which in turn does not
193 apparently exhibit any PMR (3,8). On the other hand, delorazepam can also be present in biological
194 fluids as an active metabolite of the designer benzodiazepines diclazepam and cloxazolam (26,27).

195 The PMR for the benzodiazepine-like drugs, mainly used in the treatment of insomnia and
196 commonly referred to as “z-drugs” (zolpidem, zopiclone and zaleplon), is also controversial. In the
197 first investigation concerning three deaths, C/P for zolpidem ranged in the interval 0.8–0.9 (14,28),
198 but more recent studies (3) reported scattered C/P, including high values, which ranged from 0.67

199 up to 13.25. Zaleplon exhibit significant PMR (29,30), unlike zopiclone for which low or negligible
200 PMR was reported (31,32).

201 In the case presented hereby, all tested compounds yielded a C/P ratio within the range 1.2÷1.5,
202 thus showing limited or moderate PMR. The C/P ratios for triazolam and alprazolam were 1.40 and
203 1.22 respectively, in agreement with previous studies (3,15,16). Similarly, C/P for zolpidem was
204 1.33. For the first time, we estimated the PMR for delorazepam. This compound showed a modest
205 redistribution, corresponding to a C/P ratio of 1.47. This evidence is supported from the C/P ratio
206 for its metabolite lorazepam, whose value was found to be 1.22. This finding is consistent with
207 previous studies demonstrating that lorazepam does not exhibit significant PMR (3,8).

208 **5. Conclusions**

209 The investigation of postmortem cases is frequently problematic, especially when the death may be
210 attributed to an hypothetical fatal intoxication arising from the synergetic effect of several drugs
211 otherwise considered to be rather safe. In such cases, the clear evidence of all drugs simultaneously
212 producing their pharmacological effect and the correct determination of drugs levels represent
213 crucial elements to make up a reliable interpretation of toxicological findings. In the fatal case
214 reported here, the demonstrated concomitant intake of benzodiazepines (alprazolam, delorazepam,
215 triazolam), zolpidem and ethanol, combined with their concentration detected in peripheral blood,
216 provide a comprehensive framework enabling us to suggest that the synergetic sedative effects of
217 drugs and alcohol were likely to account for the death. In particular, the high blood levels of alcohol
218 and alprazolam may have played the major role in the overall intoxication.

219 In order not to deduce biased evaluation of toxicological data, we carefully evaluated the chance
220 that PMR of the molecules involved may have modified the actual drug concentrations in the
221 biological specimen under study. In particular, we estimated for the first time the C/P ratio for
222 delorazepam, showing modest redistribution of the drug in the postmortem interval. This

223 preliminary interpretation should be further supported by an adequate number of case reports and
224 databases regarding toxic and lethal blood levels detected in the various sampling sites. In this
225 respect, toxicologists should be encouraged to always evaluate the PMR of the tested compounds
226 and report the observed results.

227

Table 1. Mass Chromatographic conditions for the tested benzodiazepines and zolpidem

Compound	RT (min)	SRM transitions (m/z)	CV* (V)	CE* (V)	CXP* (V)
Zolpidem	2.2	308.1 → 235.1	50	43	8
		308.1 → 236.0	50	38	17
		308.1 → 263.0	50	38	6
Alprazolam	3.1	309.0 → 281.1	60	47	6
		309.0 → 274.0	60	35	14
		309.0 → 205.0	60	47	13
Triazolam	3.2	343.0 → 239.0	73	54	5
		343.0 → 308.0	73	37	15
		343.0 → 314.9	73	39	7
Delorazepam	3.4	305.0 → 140.0	55	39	9
		305.0 → 206.1	55	46	8
		305.0 → 242.1	55	37	7
Nitrazepam-d5	3.0	287.1 → 185.0	70	50	6

*CV = cone voltage, CE = collision energy and CXP = Collision Cell Exit Potential.

Table 2. Victim's drugs concentrations ($\mu\text{g/L}$) detected in urine, humor vitreous, central and peripheral blood with respective C/P ratio.

Drug	Specimens					
	Blood			Urine	Humor vitreous	
	Central	Peripheral	C/P		left eye	right eye
Alprazolam	82	67	1.22	587 (146) [#]	29	29
Delorazepam	100	68	1.47	24	11	11
Lorazepam	11	9	1.22	502	2	2
Triazolam	7	5	1.40	25	1	1
Zolpidem	253	190	1.33	1800	135	143
Ethanol	3.28 g/L	3.17 g/L	1.03	3.65 g/L	n/d	n/d

[#] α -hydroxy-alprazolam