

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Magma extrusion during the Ubinas 2013-2014 eruptive crisis based on satellite thermal imaging (MIROVA) and ground-based monitoring

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1566585> since 2016-06-15T11:59:50Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2015.07.005

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published as

Diego Coppola¹, Orlando Macedo², Domingo Ramos³, Anthony Finizola⁴, Dario Delle Donne⁵⁻⁶, José del Carpio², Randall White⁷, Wendy McCausland⁷, Riky Centeno², Marco Rivera³, Fredy Apaza³, Beto Ccallata³, Wilmer Chilo³, Corrado Cigolini^{1,8,9}, Marco Laiolo⁶, Ivonne Lazarte³, Roger Machaca³, Pablo Masias³, Mayra Ortega³, Nino Puma², Edú Taipe³ (2015)

Magma extrusion during the Ubinas 2013-2014 eruptive crisis based on satellite thermal imaging (MIROVA) and ground-based monitoring.

JOURNAL OF VOLCANOLOGY AND GEOTHERMAL RESEARCH, Volume: 302, Pages: 199-210

DOI: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2015.07.005

Published: SEP 1 2015

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

(1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.

(2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.

(3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en>), [+ *Digital Object Identifier link to the published journal article on Elsevier's ScienceDirect® platform*]

32

33 **Magma extrusion during the Ubinas 2013-2014 eruptive crisis based on**
34 **satellite thermal imaging (MIROVA) and ground-based monitoring**

35

36 **Diego Coppola¹, Orlando Macedo², Domingo Ramos³, Anthony Finizola⁴, Dario**
37 **Delle Donne⁵⁻⁶, José del Carpio², Randall White⁷, Wendy McCausland⁷, Riky**
38 **Centeno², Marco Rivera³, Fredy Apaza³, Beto Ccallata³, Wilmer Chilo³, Corrado**
39 **Cigolini^{1,8,9}, Marco Laiolo⁶, Ivonne Lazarte³, Roger Machaca³, Pablo Masias³,**
40 **Mayra Ortega³, Nino Puma², Edú Taipe³,**

41

42

43 ¹ *Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Torino, Italy.*

44 ² *Observatorio Vulcanológico del Sur, Instituto Geofísico del Perú (OVS-IGP), Perú.*

45 ³ *Observatorio Vulcanológico del Ingemmet (OVI), Perú..*

46 ⁴ *Université de La Réunion, IPGP, UMR 7154, Sorbonne Paris Cité, La Réunion,*
47 *France.*

48 ⁵ *Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra e del Mare, Università di Palermo, Italy.*

49 ⁶ *Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Firenze, Italy.*

50 ⁷ *Volcano Disaster Assistance Program, USGS, USA.*

51 ⁸ *NatRisk, Centro Interdipartimentale sui Rischi Naturali in Ambiente Montano e*
52 *Collinare, Università degli Studi di Torino, Italy*

53 ⁹ *Present address: Institute for Geothermal Sciences, University of Kyoto, Beppu, Japan*

54

55

56

57

58 **Email contacts:**

59 Diego Coppola: diego.coppola@unito.it

60 Orlando Macedo: orlando.macedo@igp.gob.pe

61 Domingo Ramos: dramos@ingemmet.gob.pe

62 Anthony Finizola: anthony.finizola@gmail.com

63 Dario Delle Donne: dario.d.donne@gmail.com

64 Randall White: rwhite@usgs.gov

65 Wendy McCausland: wmccausland@usgs.gov

66 José del Carpio: joseadelcarpio@hotmail.com

67 Riky Centeno: riky.centeno@igp.gob.pe

68 Marco Rivera: mrivera@ingemmet.gob.pe

69 Fredy Apaza: fapaza@ingemmet.gob.pe

70 Beto Callata: beto.ccallata@gmail.com

71 Wilmer Chilo: wchilom@gmail.com

72 Corrado Cigolini: corrado.cigolini@unito.it

73 Marco Laiolo: marco.laiolo@unito.it

74 Ivonne Lazarte: ilazarte@ingemmet.gob.pe

75 Roger Machaca: rmachacca@ingemmet.gob.pe

76 Pablo Masias: pmasias@ingemmet.gob.pe

77 Mayra Ortega: mortega@ingemmet.gob.pe

78 Nino Puma: npuma@igp.gob.pe

79 Edú Taipe: edtaipe@ingemmet.gob.pe

80

81

82

83 **ABSTRACT**

84

85 After three year of mild gases emissions, the Ubinas volcano entered in a new eruptive
86 phase on September 2nd, 2013. The MIROVA system (a space-based volcanic hot-spot
87 detection system), allowed us to detect in near real time the thermal emissions
88 associated with the eruption and provided early evidence of magma extrusion within the
89 deep summit crater. By combining IR data with plume height, sulfur emissions, hot
90 spring temperatures and seismic activity, we interpret the thermal output detected over
91 Ubinas in terms of extrusion rates associated eruption. We suggest that the 2013-2014
92 eruptive crisis can be subdivided into three main phases: (i) shallow magma intrusion
93 inside the edifice, (ii) extrusion and growing of a lava plug at the bottom of the summit
94 crater coupled with increasing explosive activity and finally (iii) disruption of the lava
95 plug and gradual decline of the explosive activity. The occurrence of the 8.2 Mw
96 Iquique (Chile) earthquake (365 km away from Ubinas) on April 1st, 2014, may have
97 perturbed most of the analyzed parameters, suggesting a prompt interaction with the
98 ongoing volcanic activity. In particular, the analysis of thermal and seismic datasets
99 shows that the earthquake may have promoted the most intense thermal and explosive
100 phase that culminated in a major explosion on April 19th, 2014.

101 These results reveal the efficiency of space-based thermal observations in detecting the
102 extrusion of hot magma within deep volcanic craters and in tracking its evolution. We
103 emphasize that, in combination with other geophysical and geochemical datasets,
104 MIROVA is an essential tool for monitoring remote volcanoes with rather difficult
105 accessibility, like those of the Andes that reach remarkably high altitudes.

106

107

108 **INTRODUCTION**

109 The Andes, one of Earth's highest subaerial mountain ranges, host more Holocene
110 active volcanoes than any other volcanic region in the world (Tilling, 2009) but less
111 than 25 of the ~200 potentially active volcanoes are continuously monitored (Stern,
112 2004). Within the last decades, population growth and economic development within
113 the Andean countries drastically increased volcanic risk within the areas surrounding
114 active volcanoes.

115 The highly elevated (>4000 m) region of southern Peru is a unique example because it
116 hosts seven active volcanoes located at less than 160 km from Arequipa, the 2nd most
117 important city of Peru (with nearly one million inhabitants). The same area was also the
118 site of largest explosive eruption in historical times within the Andes (Huaynaputina
119 volcano; AD 1600; Thouret et al., 1999) and, in terms of earthquakes and volcanic
120 eruptions, may be considered one of the most hazardous regions in South America
121 (Degg and Chester, 2005). Progress and refinement of volcano monitoring techniques is
122 therefore strategic to mitigate future volcanic crises.

123 Space-based thermal observations of volcanic activity (Harris, 2013) represent a useful,
124 safe and inexpensive tool that may strongly improve volcano surveillance, especially at
125 active volcanoes with difficult and dangerous access. A survey performed by Jay et al.
126 (2013) on central and southern Andes reveals that low-amplitude volcanic hotspots
127 detectable from space are effectively more common than expected, especially at
128 volcanoes characterized by low level thermal anomalies such as fumaroles and geysers.
129 For example, by using a high resolution thermal sensor (i.e. ASTER), the authors found
130 hot spots at 4 Peruvian volcanos, Sabancaya (5967 m), El Misti (5822 m), Ubinas (5672
131 m) and Huaynaputina (4850 m), with pixel-integrated thermal anomalies spanning from

132 6 to 13 °C above background. However, due to the low temporal coverage of the high
133 resolution imagers, a systematic monitoring of these volcanoes is still not sufficient to
134 track daily or weekly variations that may accompany thermal unrest or ongoing eruptive
135 activity.

136 A new volcanic hot spot detection system, named MIROVA (Middle InfraRed
137 Observations of Volcanic Activity), combines an high sensitivity for detecting small
138 thermal anomalies with the improved temporal coverage typical of moderate-resolution
139 sensors, both factors necessary for near real time monitoring applications (Coppola et
140 al., 2015). The system is based on the analysis of infrared data acquired by the Moderate
141 Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer sensor (MODIS), and uses the Middle InfraRed
142 Radiation (MIR) recorded at 1 km² resolution in order to detect, locate and measure the
143 heat radiated from volcanic activity (hereby called Volcanic Radiative Power; VRP in
144 MW). In particular, MIROVA provides thermal maps (50 x 50 km) and VRP time-
145 series within 1 to 4 hours of each satellite overpass, thus enabling thermal monitoring of
146 a volcanic target approximately 4 times per day (Coppola et al., 2015).

147 Since July 2013 MIROVA's observations became operational at 3 Peruvian volcanoes
148 (www.mirovaweb.it) including Ubinas (5672 m), among the most active volcanoes in
149 Peru (Thouret et al., 2005). Ubinas entered into a new eruptive crisis a few months later,
150 on September 2013, and the whole eruption was monitored both by IGP and
151 INGEMMET Peruvian institutions. This gives us the unique opportunity to relate the
152 thermal flux detected by MIROVA to a series of field observations and other
153 geophysical datasets. After presenting the data acquisition and the chronology of the
154 eruption we will describe the analyzed parameters in terms of eruptive dynamics, with
155 particular emphasis on the contribution of MIROVA in tracking the extrusion of magma
156 within the deep crater of Ubinas. Finally, we will discuss the interaction between the

157 Iquique earthquake (Mw 8.2), that struck the coasts of Chile and south Peru on April 1st
158 2014, and the volcanic activity observed at Ubinas.

159

160 Figure – 1

161

162 **2 - UBINAS VOLCANO**

163

164 Ubinas volcano (16.355°S -70.903°W; 5672 m a.s.l.) is considered the most active
165 volcano of Peru, with an average of 7 eruptions (VEI 2-3) per century (cf. Thouret et al.,
166 2005; Rivera et al., 2014). The volcano is located beyond the main arc of the Central
167 Andean Volcanic Zone (CVZ), approximately 70 km East of Arequipa city (Fig. 1).
168 More than 5000 people live within 12 km from the crater. Together with Huaynaputina
169 and Ticsani volcanoes, Ubinas forms the Ubinas–Huaynaputina–Ticsani Volcanic
170 Group (UHTVG; Lavallée et al., 2009). Volcanism of this area has been inferred to be
171 strongly ruled by the structural setting, being dominated by a N165 trending normal
172 fault and a sinistral, N130 strike-slip fault. (Thouret et al., 2005; Lavallée et al., 2009;
173 Fig. 1). The edifice lies along the western margin of the Rio Tambo graben (E–W
174 extensional regime) with a 2,000 m altitude gradient between the low-relief high
175 plateau, to West, and the Ubinas valley, to E and SE (cf. Byrdina et al., 2013; Gonzales
176 et al., 2014; Lavallée et al., 2009).

177 The summit area of the volcano is represented by a steep-wall 1.4 km wide caldera
178 whose floor is ash covered. An ash cone occupies the central portion of the caldera and
179 is itself truncated to the south by the most recent vent; a triangular funnel-shaped pit-
180 crater 400 m in diameter and ~300 m deep (Rivera, 1997; Thouret et al., 2005; see Fig.
181 1c). The recent eruptions occurred within the southern pit crater, where fumarolic areas,

182 emitting volcanic gas and steam have been commonly observed during inter-eruptive
183 periods (cf. Gonzales et al., 2014). Before the 2013-2014 episode, the last eruption of
184 Ubinas refers to the 2006-2009 crisis (Rivera et al., 2010; 2014). As previously
185 occurred, this event produced an increasing number of fumaroles, strong degassing,
186 phreatic to phreatomagmatic activity, magma extrusion and vulcanian explosions (2-4
187 km height columns). The eruptive crisis lasted 3 years, showing a general decline since
188 2007. The ash fallout affected the 5,000 inhabitants and involved the hydrology and the
189 cultivation in an area of 100 km² (Rivera et al., 2010). As a whole about 7 Mm³ of ash
190 has been emitted reaching a distance of 80 km from the summit (cf. Rivera et al., 2014).
191 According to Rivera et al. (2014) the 2006-2009 activity represents an “archetype of
192 Ubinas’s eruptions” in the last 500 years: repeated ascent of small-volume magma
193 batches (< 10 Mm³) from a shallow reservoir (4-7 km) that interact during their ascent
194 with sectors of the hydrothermal system in the shallowest portion of the conduit
195 (Gonzales et al., 2014).
196 Between 2010 and 2013 the activity was characterized by weak gas emissions (BGVN
197 38:08) accompanied by mild degassing from the summit crater. On September 2013 a
198 new eruptive episode started (BGVN 38:08).

199

200

201 **3 - DATA ACQUISITION**

202 We present more than one year of continuous monitoring data acquired between July 1st,
203 2013 and September 1st, 2014. Five datasets are considered and discussed in the
204 following sections. These consist of: (1) Volcanic Radiative Power; (2) SO₂ density; (3)
205 Plume Elevation; (4) Temperature of thermal water, (5) Cumulative daily energy of
206 hybrid earthquakes and (6) daily number of seismic events.

207

208 **3.1 – MIROVA - Volcanic Radiative Power (VRP)**

209 The MIROVA system is based on MODIS Level 1B data provided in near real time by
210 the LANCE-MODIS system (<http://lance-modis.eosdis.nasa.gov/>). MODIS images have
211 a nominal spatial resolution of 1 km (on IR bands) and allow a target volcano to be
212 imaged approximately four times per day. Level 1B granules are analysed automatically
213 according to five principal steps. These are: (i) data extraction, (ii) cropping and
214 resampling, (iii) definition of Region of Interest (ROIs), (iv) hot-spot detection and
215 finally (v) calculation of the “excess” of MIR radiance and Volcanic Radiative Power
216 (VRP) (see Coppola et al., 2015, for details of the processing scheme of MIROVA).

217 Volcanic Radiative Power (VRP) is calculated by using the MIR method (Wooster et
218 al., 2003) according to which for any individual “alerted” pixel, the VRP is calculated
219 as:

220

$$221 \quad VRP_{PIX} = 18.9 \times A_{PIX} \times (L_{4alert} - L_{4bk}) \quad (1)$$

222

223 where A_{PIX} is the pixel size (1 km² for the resampled MODIS pixels), and L_{4alert} and
224 L_{4bk} are the 4 μm (MIR) radiance of the alerted pixel/s and background, respectively.

225 When two or more pixels (a cluster of pixels) are alerted, the total radiative power is
226 calculated as being the sum of each single VRP_{PIX} .

227 As discussed by Coppola et al., 2015, a number of issues must be taken into account
228 when using the data automatically provided by MIROVA. In particular, the presence of
229 clouds and the viewing geometry angle may strongly affect the thermal signal detected
230 by MODIS. While the clouds may completely absorb the IR radiation from the ground,
231 the radiating source located at the bottom of a deep crater (as in the case of Ubinas) may

232 remain undetected when the satellite zenith angle is very high (i.e. $> 30^\circ$). For these
233 reasons the visual inspection of each image was performed routinely during the eruption
234 allowing to interpret the thermal signal case by case, and to discard one hotspot which
235 was related to a fire that occurred on September 19th, 2013 on the south flank of the
236 volcano.

237

238 Between July 2013 and September 2014 MIROVA detected hotspots in 62 images, with
239 VRP ranging from 1 to 37 MW (Fig. 3a). Based on the analyzed data we estimated that
240 the 2013-2014 eruption of Ubinas radiated approximately 5.5×10^{13} J into the
241 atmosphere. An example of selected images processed by MIROVA throughout the
242 eruption is given in Fig. 2

243

244 Figure 2

245

246 **3.2 - SO₂ density (OMI)**

247 SO₂ density in Dobson Units (DU) is calculated from Ozone Monitoring Instrument
248 (OMI) images and published daily through the Aura Validation Data Center website
249 (AVDC, <http://avdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/>). For this study we used the daily average of SO₂
250 density values (Fig. 3b) estimated for the Planetary Boundary Layer PBL-SO₂ column
251 with center of mass altitude of 0.9 km (<http://so2.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs.html>), just above
252 the crater area of Ubinas volcano and within 50 km radius. As noted on this website,
253 some of the values may be potentially biased by noise due to South Atlantic Anomaly
254 (SAA)

255 **3.3 - Plume elevation**

256 The estimation of the daily maximum plume elevation (Fig. 3c) have been performed
257 during the period July 1st, 2013 to March 9th, 2014 by a local assistant from Ubinas
258 village and after March 10th, 2014 by way of a video camera installed 28 km to the west
259 of the active vent.

260 The video camera (Campbell Scientific CC5MPX) records one picture of 5 Megapixels
261 every 30 seconds. These images are sent to Arequipa by telemetry.

262

263 **3.4 - Temperature of thermal waters**

264 Temperature in the thermal spring of “Ubinas Thermal”, located ~5.6 km SE from the
265 volcano’s summit (UB1 in Fig 1; Gonzales et al., 2014), has been measured every 5
266 minutes by a data logger (Tinytag Aquatic 2). In this survey, we plot the temperatures
267 measured every day at 4.00 AM (local time) in order to avoid any fluctuations due to
268 variations of solar radiation (Fig. 3d).

269

270 **3.5 - Cumulative daily energy of hybrid earthquakes**

271 The Ubinas volcano seismic network is a telemetric network maintained by the OVS-
272 IGP. This network consists of 4 permanent digital telemetric stations (UB1, UB2, UB3
273 and UB4) distributed over the entire volcanic cone, between 4850 and 5000 masl. Two
274 stations (UB1 and UB2) are equipped with Guralp CMG-40T, 3C broadband sensors,
275 and the other two (UB3 and UB4) with Lennartz LE-3Dlite short period sensors. All
276 digitizers are RefTek-130.

277 The analysis and classification of seismic signals recorded by the network, the
278 determination of the seismic energy, the location of events, etc., is performed daily
279 using mainly the UB1 seismic data, UB1 being the most reliable station, situated 2.5 km
280 NW of the active vent.

281 The energy has been calculated by using the following equation:

$$282 \quad E_{seismic} = 2\pi r^2 \rho c \frac{1}{A} \int S^2 U(t)^2 dt \quad (2)$$

283 (Johnson and Aster, 2005) where r is the source-station distance, ρ is the density, c is
284 the P wave velocity, A is the attenuation correction, S the seismic site response
285 correction and $U(t)$ is the particle velocity. The source is fixed below the active vent. By
286 setting $\rho = 2600 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, $c = 3000 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, A and S were fixed at 1.

287 During the current eruption all types of seismic events have been observed like
288 Volcano-tectonic (VT), Long period (LP), hybrid (events having high and low
289 frequencies), tremors, explosions and exhalations. During the seven months with
290 maximum activity, February to August 2014, these events were recorded with mean
291 rates of 44 VTs/month, 5223 LPs/month, 1104 hybrids/month, 164 explosions or
292 exhalations/month and 6 hours daily of tremor. All these events occurred near the
293 surface by the crater zone, except VTs which were located between 1 and 3 km deep
294 below the crater zone.

295 During the stage of magmatic eruption, characterized by intense eruptions of tephra, the
296 most important signals are earthquakes of the hybrid type, which have been detected
297 and registered from the second week of February onwards (Figure 3). Since these
298 features have been associated with magma ascent to the crater (White et al., 1998;
299 White, 2011) the OVS decided to conduct a surveillance of the eruption based primarily
300 on the cumulative daily energy (Fig. 3e) and on the daily rate (Fig. 3f) of such hybrid
301 type earthquakes.

302

303 **4 - RESULTS**

304 Based on the recorded parameters and the observed phenomena the 2013-2014 eruption
305 of Ubinas has been subdivided into three main phases (Fig. 3).

306 On September 02nd 2013 at 03:46 UTC, a phreatic explosion generated an ash plume
307 that rose 1.5 to 2 km above the crater. A few minutes after the explosion, at 04:00 UTC,
308 the MIROVA system detected the first thermal anomaly since the beginning of real time
309 observations (Fig. 3a). This explosion was the first of a short sequence of phreatic
310 events that occurred between September 2nd and 7th, and marks the beginning of the
311 Phase I. In the following months, occasional puffs of steam and gases rose typically 500
312 m above the crater without producing any thermal anomaly detectable by MIROVA.
313 However, between September and December 2013, the “Ubinas Thermal” (UT) hot
314 spring started to increase its temperature (Fig. 3d). On January 2014 plume height was
315 persistently below 500 m although anomalous SO₂ concentrations (above 10 DU) were
316 detected by OMI on a few occasions (Fig. 3b and 3c).

317 An increase in seismic activity started on February 03rd 2014 and marks the beginning
318 of the Phase II (Fig. 3e and 3f). Daily energy of hybrid earthquakes suddenly increased
319 on 9th February (rising for the first time above 5MJ) and was followed, on February 10th
320 , by the first thermal anomaly detected by MIROVA after September 2013 (Fig. 3a).
321 Note than the picture taken on February 11th, 2014, does not allow seeing the bottom of
322 the crater (Fig. 4a). However, the MODIS image of February 10th was acquired with a
323 very low satellite zenith angle (Fig. 2b) allowing the detection of magmatic anomalies
324 better than those collected by means of visual observations from the caldera summit.

325 After a peak on February 14th (114 MJ; Fig. 3e) the energy of hybrid earthquakes started
326 to decline, although thermal anomalies were regularly detected and increased over time,
327 reaching about 6-7 MW on March 1st. These thermal detections occurred only under
328 near-zenithal viewing geometry (satellite zenith < 35°) thus suggesting that the
329 “radiating source” was located at the bottom of the deep summit crater and likely
330 corresponded to a growing lava body. A field survey of March 1st confirmed, for the

331 first time, the presence of an elongated body of incandescent lava at the bottom of
332 Ubinas crater that, by that time, measured about 30-40 m in diameter (Fig. 4b). During
333 the following days the VRP continued to increase due to the growth of the lava body
334 within the deep crater, reaching about 12 MW on March 12th. At the same time a
335 moderate growth of sulfur emissions and plume height was recorded by OMI (Fig. 3b
336 and 3c). Throughout March 2014 the explosivity of the eruption slightly increased
337 (plume height reached more than 2000 m and sulfur emission between 10 and 35 DU)
338 but a clear reduction in thermal emissions was detected by MIROVA between 14 and 31
339 March (VRP of 2-7 MW, Fig. 3a, 3b, 3c). The visual inspection of the MODIS images
340 allowed us to discard the presence of clouds or volcanic plumes as the origin of this
341 decrease (Fig. 2). Hence, we infer that a noticeable reduction of magma flux entering
342 the crater characterized this period, although incandescence was still visible at the
343 surface (Fig. 4c). Interestingly, this sharp decrease of the thermal output in mid-March
344 2014 was almost coeval with a marked escalation of seismicity (Fig. 3f) with hybrid's
345 energy release reaching 580 MJ on March 31st, 2014 (Fig. 3e).

346

347 Figure 3

348

349 On April 1st, 2014 at (23.46 UTC) a 8.2 Mw earthquake struck off the coast of Chile,
350 365 km south of Ubinas volcano (Fig. 1). The earthquake triggered a tsunami of up to
351 2.1 m (that hit the town of Iquique, 95 km south east from the epicenter) and affected
352 regions of Tacna, Moquegua and Arequipa, in South Peru. The Iquique earthquake was
353 felt in Arequipa town (intensity of III-IV) and eventually affected the plumbing system
354 of Ubinas as suggested by the perturbation of the monitored parameters (Fig. 3).

355 The hot spring at “Ubinas Thermal” station (UT) recorded this event with a sharp drop
356 of the water temperature (Fig. 3d). Evaluation of precipitation data recorded at Ubinas
357 village (courtesy of Meteorological Service of Peru) allowed us to rule out that the
358 decrease of temperature resulted from the rainfall. More likely the temperature drop
359 may have resulted from a temporary mixing of fresh and thermal water, as well as from
360 a permeability change induced by transient stresses (Manga et al., 2012). Temperature
361 drop is typical of the response of many wells and springs in a variety of environments to
362 the seismic waves from distant earthquakes (Hills et al., 2002) as for example observed
363 in the past years at Ubinas and Misti volcano (IGP internal Reports for June, 23th 2001).
364 A major change in the eruptive behavior of Ubinas was also recorded by seismic and
365 MIROVA data. Indeed, after several days of increasing trend, seismicity inverted its
366 tendency just after the earthquake, starting to decline in both hybrid’s energy release
367 (Fig. 3e) and number of events (Fig. 3f). At the same time the thermal activity within
368 the crater had strongly intensified reaching 37 MW on April 4th, 2014. This was the
369 highest thermal anomaly recorded during the eruption and occurred only 3 days after the
370 8.2 Mw earthquake (Fig 3a). In the following days several thermal detections reached
371 more than 20 MW and were accompanied by the most explosive phase, characterized by
372 multiple explosions ejecting incandescent tephra and blocks around the crater. In this
373 period the ash plumes reached altitudes of more than 4000 m and were coupled with
374 sulfur emissions as high as 45 DU (Fig. 3b, 3c).

375 A major explosive event occurred on April 19th 2014, where blocks of fresh basaltic
376 andesite magma, 40-50 cm in size, were ejected up to 2.6 km from the active vent. The
377 volcanic plume rose more than 6 km above the crater, and a block of 5 x 4 x 2m has
378 been found inside the summit caldera, at 660 m from the active vent.

379 This explosion marks the end of the Phase II and the transition into the Phase III.
380 Thermal output at the summit crater dropped abruptly after the major explosion, and the
381 detection of weak thermal anomalies (about 1 MW) became sporadic between May and
382 September 2014 (Fig. 3a). At the same time, the explosive activity gradually declined
383 although it was punctuated by distinct explosive events of decreasing intensity. On May
384 9, at 03:50 (UTC), an isolated thermal anomaly of 30 MW was detected (Fig. 3a) and
385 resulted from the hot material ejected during one coeval explosion.

386 The abrupt drop of thermal emissions after the explosion of April 19th was likely
387 associated with the massive disruption of the magma plug, extruded within the crater
388 during the previous explosive phase. Actually, the explosion cut the head of the magma
389 column (strongly deepening the thermal source) which however continued to feed tens
390 of minor explosive events during Phase III. Field observations on July 31st confirmed
391 that the lava body at the bottom of the crater had disappeared (Fig. 4d), even though
392 moderate explosions and SO₂ emissions were still significant (Fig. 3b and 3c). On the
393 other hand, after the earthquake-induced drop of temperature, the hot spring UT
394 gradually returned to pre-earthquake temperatures (yet showing multiple minor
395 fluctuations, Fig. 3d). As a whole the Phase III consisted of a waning eruptive period
396 and by September 2014 the activity of Ubinas consisted only of weak plume emissions
397 and sporadic low altitude explosions (Fig. 3b and 3c).

398

399 Figure 4 -

400

401 **5 - DISCUSSION**

402 The phreatic explosions of September 2013 mark the beginning of the Phase I and
403 possibly reflect the first interaction between a new ascending magma batch and the
404 large hydrothermal system located under the Ubinas crater (Gonzales et al., 2014).

405 It is worth noting that the temperature of the “Ubinas thermal” hot spring increased
406 significantly during this phase (Fig 3d), probably reflecting a period of shallow magma
407 intrusion inside the edifice and its interaction with the thermal waters stored within the
408 hydrothermal system. On the other hand, the increase in the energy of hybrid events on
409 February 3rd, 2014 (Fig. 3e), just followed by summit thermal anomalies (on February
410 10th, 2014), clearly indicate that 166 days after the first phreatic explosion, the magma
411 had reached the bottom of the summit crater. By assuming that the base of the
412 hydrothermal system is located 1500-3000 m below the summit (Gonzales et al., 2014),
413 we may infer that during this intrusive stage the andesitic magma batch rose to very
414 shallow depth with an average velocity of $1-2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-1}$.

415 Overall, during the most intense “eruptive” period (Phase II), we observe a good
416 correlation of the peaks and trends between thermal output, SO₂ emissions, and plume
417 elevation (Fig. 2). Notably, our datasets reveal that since the first detection of magma at
418 the bottom of the crater (on February 10th), all the above parameters share a general
419 increasing trend throughout the Phase II (Fig. 3) that was apparently amplified by the
420 Iquique earthquake (discussed below).

421 Although thermal, SO₂, and plume elevation sample distinct eruptive processes (i.e.
422 heat, gas and ash release), it’s interesting to note that all these parameters are somehow
423 related to rate of magma ascent within the shallow volcanic conduit (Gonnermann and
424 Manga, 2012). For example, under certain assumptions, space-based thermal flux
425 released by active lava bodies may be converted into estimates of Time Averaged lava
426 Discharge Rates (TADR; Harris et al., 2009; Dragoni and Tallarico 2009, Coppola et

427 al., 2013) thus providing a way to calculate volumetric flux of effused-extruded lavas
 428 (see next section). On the other hand, the SO₂ emissions have been widely used to
 429 calculate magma degassing rates (i.e. Allard et al., 1994) and in some cases may provide
 430 constraints into the magma supply rate (by using the so called “Petrologic Method”;
 431 Shinoara, 2008). Nonetheless, during explosive eruptions, plume height has been
 432 commonly correlated to Mass Eruption Rates (MER) (Morton et al., 1956; Sparks et al.,
 433 1997; Wilson et al., 1978; Mastin et al., 2009) thus providing a further way to evaluate
 434 magma production rates occurring during explosive events. Accordingly, we may infer
 435 that the Phase II was characterized by a general acceleration of magma eruption rates
 436 that culminated into the paroxysmal phase of April 19th, 2014.

437

438 **5.1 - EXTRUSION RATES AND VOLUMES FROM THERMAL DATA**

439

440 Coppola et al. (2013) proposed a simple method to provide first-order estimates of time-
 441 averaged lava discharge rates (TADR) from MODIS-derived VRP. This is based on an
 442 empirical parameter called “radiant density” (c_{rad}):

$$443 \quad TADR = \frac{VRP}{c_{rad}} \quad (3)$$

444 where TADR is in m³s⁻¹, VRP is in W, and c_{rad} is the radiant density, expressed in J m⁻³

445 The radiant density approach relies on the fact that under a given discharge rate, basic,
 446 intermediate and acidic lava bodies radiate thermal energy differently because of their
 447 different bulk rheology. Based on the analysis of several distinct worldwide eruptions,
 448 the authors suggest that the radiant density of a lava body can be predicted ($\pm 50\%$) on
 449 the basis of the silica content of the erupted products:

$$450 \quad c_{rad} = 6.45 \times 10^{25} \times (X_{SiO_2})^{-10.4} \quad (4)$$

451 where X_{SiO_2} is the silica content (wt%) of the erupted magma. For Ubinas andesite, here
452 we used a silica content of 56 wt% (Rivera pers. comm.), and we calculated a radiant
453 density comprised between 2.1×10^7 and $8.5 \times 10^7 \text{ J m}^{-3}$. Accordingly, we estimated
454 that $1.5 \pm 0.75 \text{ Mm}^3$ of magma have been extruded between its first appearance at the
455 surface, on February 10th, and the major explosion of April 19th, 2014 (mean output rate
456 of $0.25 \pm 0.12 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$).

457 A more detailed analysis reveals that, although characterized by a general increasing
458 trend, the extrusion of magma was somehow cyclic, with at least three distinct stages
459 (Fig 5a). The first stage (Phase IIb) was recorded between February 10th and March
460 12nd, when the extrusion rate gradually increased from 0.1 ± 0.05 to $0.31 \pm 0.15 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$.
461 The second stage (Phase IIc) followed a drastic reduction of magma extrusion on March
462 14th, and was characterised by output rates that remained below $0.2 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ up to the end
463 of March (Fig. 5a). The third stage started after April 1st, and was characterised by the
464 sudden increase of extrusion rate (up to $1.4 \pm 0.02 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ on April 4th) that persisted
465 above $1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ up to the major explosion of April 19th (Phase IId). It is worth noting that
466 during this intense extrusive and explosive phase the magma plug was probably
467 continuously extruded and disrupted by the recurrent explosions so that by the end of
468 May 2014 no magma body was present at the bottom of the crater (Fig. 4d). Sporadic
469 thermal emissions were also recorded during the Phase III. However, due to the poor
470 continuity of the thermal signal we don't consider this phase as extruding significant
471 magma volume within the pit crater. Instead, we regard the above values as a minimum
472 estimate for the erupted magma volumes, essentially extruded during the Phase II.
473 Accordingly, we may estimate that a minimum of $1.5 \pm 0.75 \text{ Mm}^3$ of ash and tephra
474 should have been produced by the continuous disruption of the magma body at the
475 bottom of the pit crater, especially throughout the most intense Phase IId. By

476 comparison, about 7 Mm³ of ash tephra was erupted during the long-lasting 2006-2009
477 eruptive crisis throughout hundreds of vulcanian explosions (Rivera et al., 2014).

478

479 **5.2 - THERMAL AND HYBRID SEISMIC ACTIVITY COMPARISON**

480 The comparison between hybrid seismic and thermal activity recorded during the Phase
481 II reveals a more complex eruptive dynamic and outlines some mutual relationships
482 between these parameters (Fig. 5). In fact, the increase of hybrid's energy and the
483 heightening of daily seismicity seem to have systematically "preceded" the cycles of
484 major magma extrusion at the summit crater. For example, in early February 2014,
485 when the conduit was still "closed" (no signs of thermal activity), the occurrence of few
486 but energetic hybrids suggests that the magma had to overcome a high resistance before
487 eventually appearing on the surface (Phase IIa; Fig. 5). On the other hand, after the first
488 "opening" of the conduit (i.e. after February 10th), the magma rose almost easily
489 (increasing extrusion rate) and hybrid's energy decreased accordingly (Phase IIb; Fig.
490 5). Once again these trends inverted since March 12th, when the reduction of the
491 extrusion rate was coupled with a renewed and stronger increase in seismic activity.
492 This suggest that the ascent of magma in this period was somehow "reduced" (or
493 blocked), because of obstruction(s) within the conduit or, eventually, because the
494 magma was too viscous to flow out. In our view, the Phases IIa and IIc might reflect
495 stages of pressure build-up within the plumbing system where the slow ascending
496 magma had to push hard (increasing daily hybrid's energy) to open the conduit (Phases
497 IIa) or to extrude magma at low rates (Phases IIc). The earthquake of April 1st occurred
498 at this critical stage and may have contributed to unblocking the conduit and promoting
499 the most intense activity at the vent (Phase 2d; see also next section). It is worth noting
500 that, after the earthquake, both the energy of hybrids and the number of seismic events

501 decreased regularly, thus suggesting a general depressurization of the shallow magmatic
502 system during the highest extrusive phase as well as during the following waning phase
503 (Fig. 5b and 5c).

504 Ottemöller (2008) found similar correlations during the 2003 extrusive eruption at
505 Soufrière Hills Volcano (SHV), whereby higher energy releases of hybrid events
506 reflected increased pressurization during periods of low extrusion rates. Conversely,
507 lower energy releases have been inferred to be associated with rapid extrusion and
508 reduced pressurization. Thus, the cyclic magma extrusion observed at Ubinas volcano is
509 not uncommon, and may be explained by non-linear processes related to degassing,
510 crystallization and rheological stiffening of magma, as observed in many other dome-
511 building eruptions (Denlinger and Hoblitt 1996; Melnik and Sparks 2006; Costa et al.,
512 2007).

513

514 **5.3 – POSSIBLE INTERACTION BETWEEN THE IQUIQUE EARTHQUAKE** 515 **AND UBINAS VOLCANO**

516

517 Regional earthquakes have been proved to be able to interact with volcanoes by
518 triggering new volcanic unrest (Hill et al., 2002), by perturbing the medium in the
519 vicinity of a volcanic conduit (Manga et al., 2012; Battaglia et al., 2012; Lesage et al.,
520 2014), or, by causing several-fold increases in thermal output (Delle Donne et al.,
521 2010), eruption rates (Harris et al., 2007) and/or gas transfer (Cigolini et al. 2007), at
522 already erupting volcanoes.

523 Our datasets suggest that at least four parameters recorded during the Ubinas eruption
524 (water temperature at Ubinas thermal station, thermal flux at the summit crater, plume
525 height and hybrid seismicity) appear to have been perturbed by the M 8.2 Iquique

526 earthquake (Fig. 3). While the temperature drop at the Ubinas Thermal station (Fig. 3d)
527 may represents a local response of the shallow hydrothermal system to the seismic
528 waves, it is possible that the other three parameters reflect a response of the magmatic
529 system to the megathrust earthquake. In particular, the response of Ubinas volcano
530 consisted on a 2-3 fold increase of volumetric flux and plume height, coupled with a
531 decrease in the amplitude and rate of hybrid earthquakes (Fig. 5).

532 Seismic waves travel to great distances without losing much of their energy (Hill et al.,
533 2002; Delle Donne et al. 2010) so that dynamic stress induced by their passage may
534 have effectively influenced activity at Ubinas volcano. Transient stress perturbations
535 may in fact promote nucleation, growth and ascent of gas bubbles, acting as a
536 vesiculation pump (Manga and Brodsky, 2006; Harris and Ripepe, 2007) thus causing
537 the enhanced magma extrusion and explosive activity as effectively observed at Ubinas
538 just after the Iquique earthquake (Fig.5).

539 Delle Donne et al. (2010) statistically constrain the maximum distance for triggering an
540 eruption at a given volcano, which is strictly dependent on the magnitude of the
541 earthquake. These authors suggest that the orientation of the seismogenic faults, in
542 respect to the location of the volcano, can also play a role in facilitating the triggering
543 mechanism (i.e., by means of focusing the radiated energy in a strike-parallel direction).

544 The Iquique megathrust earthquake ($M_w = 8.2$; Distance=365 km; fault strike: 348.9° ;
545 Lai et al., 2014) fully meet the cited conditions, since the seismogenic fault that
546 generated the earthquake is characterized by a directivity perfectly compatible with the
547 location of Ubinas (Fig. 6). This support the hypothesis that the transient stress changes
548 may have accelerated the eruptive processes at Ubinas, already operative at the time of
549 the triggering earthquake.

550 On the other hand Bonali et al. (2013), among others, suggest that earthquake-induced
551 “unclamping” (normal stress reduction within the magma pathway) may also promote
552 an increase in magma flux at volcanoes that are already in a critical state. To test this
553 possibility, we estimated the normal stress change and volumetric dilatation induced by
554 Mw 8.2 Iquique earthquake, by using Coulomb 3.3 software [e.g., Toda et al., 2005]. As
555 input fault model we used the Finite Fault Results (Ji et al., 2002; Bassin et al., 2000)
556 computed by G. Hayes_(NEIC-USGS) available at:

557 http://comcat.cr.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eventpage/usc000nzvd#scientific_finite-fault.

558 To calculate the normal stress change we assumed a shallow (~2 km deep), nearly
559 vertically dipping and 165°N oriented dyke (consistent with the geology) and a deep
560 magma chamber located within 5 and 10 km below the surface (Lavallée et al., 2009;
561 Rivera et al., 2010). Results of the analysis suggest that the Iquique earthquake may
562 have produced a weak clamping, in the order of only 0.03 bar (Fig. 7a), at the shallow
563 feeder dyke, coupled with a minor volumetric compression of deep magma chamber
564 (Fig. 7b). Accordingly, we may conclude that the earthquake did not cause a normal
565 stress reduction (Bonali et al., 2013) but, conversely, it may have induced only a very
566 small compression of the Ubinas plumbing system. However, given its low amplitude, it
567 is unlikely that this weak “clamping” could have promoted the “squeezing” of the
568 magma filled pathway throughout a small but stable deformation (e.g. Nostro et al,
569 1998; Bautista et al., 1996).

570 On the other hand our results suggest that Ubinas volcano may have responded
571 promptly to the Iquique earthquake (< 3 days) by increasing the eruption rate at the vent
572 and by decreasing the shallow seismicity surrounding the conduit (Fig. 5).

573 At Soufrière Hills Volcano, faster extrusion rates were systematically observed during
574 deflation periods, the latter being characterized by decreasing hybrid earthquakes

575 (Green & Neuberg 2006). Accordingly, we suggest that the lowering in the hybrid
576 energy release was not directly related to the earthquake itself, but more likely to the
577 acceleration of the extrusive-explosive processes occurring at the vent. In turn the
578 increase in discharge rates induced a pressure drop within the magma plug and caused
579 the gradual waning of seismicity.

580 Besides, several other Peruvian volcanoes, in a critical or quiescent state, satisfy the
581 criteria described by Delle Donne et al., 2010 (distance and strike alignment) and might
582 have been also perturbed by stress changes induced by the Iquique earthquake (Fig. 6).
583 These include Sabancaya and El Misti, among the most active volcanoes of Peru in the
584 last century. Further investigations will better clarify if the seismic waves caused by the
585 Iquique earthquake had sufficient energy to trigger a response in fumarolic emission or
586 volcano seismicity at these volcanoes.

587

588 **CONCLUSIONS**

589

590 The combined use of satellite and field monitoring techniques allowed us to track the
591 evolution of the 2013-2014 eruptive crisis of Ubinas volcano. The eruption has been
592 subdivided into three main phases based on a set of 6 parameters and the observed
593 activity. While Phase I is related to a period of shallow magma intrusion, the other two
594 phases were ascribed to stages of waxing (Phase II) and waning (Phase III) eruptive
595 activity, respectively.

596 In particular, the space-based observations performed by the MIROVA system provided
597 the first evidences of magma extrusion within the bottom of the summit pit-crater and
598 allowed to constrain extrusion rates during the most intense eruptive phase (Phase II).
599 We estimated that between February and April 2014, at least 1.5 Mm^3 of magma were

600 extruded during week-long cycles and were abruptly disrupted during the major
601 explosion of April 19th, 2014. Nonetheless, during the Phase II we recognized a general
602 acceleration of all the eruptive processes (magma extrusion, plume height, SO₂
603 emission) which were apparently perturbed by the occurrence of the Iquique earthquake
604 (Mw 8.2) on April 1st 2014. A preliminary analysis suggests that the prompt 3-fold
605 increase of the extrusion rate was principally a response to the dynamic stress changes
606 induced by the earthquake, and may have favored a general depressurization of the
607 shallow magmatic system associated to the decreasing hybrids seismic activity.

608 The observations provided by MIROVA demonstrate the capability to track the
609 presence of magma within deep craters, and allow us to better understand the extrusive
610 processes by correlating MODIS data with other datasets, such as plume emissions and
611 seismic activity (i.e., the energy released by hybrid events). In conclusion, we hereby
612 demonstrate that MIROVA is an additional and efficient tool for monitoring safely, and
613 in near real time, extrusive-explosive volcanoes with hard and dangerous access.

614

615 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

616

617 MIROVA is a collaborative project between the Universities of Turin and Florence
618 (Italy), and is supported by the Italian Civil Protection Department. Additional funds
619 were provided by MIUR, Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Torino and Fondazione
620 Compagnia di San Paolo di Torino. The seismic study was financed by the APNOP
621 Meta 022 and the PP068 Meta 007 of the OVS- Instituto Geofísico del Perú. We
622 acknowledge L. Wilson for the editorial handling. We thank, S. Byrdina and J.F. Lénat
623 for their constructive comments. We are grateful to D. Hill for discussions and
624 suggestions on an early version of this manuscript. We particularly thank M. Alvarez

625 and J. Acosta for field observations. We acknowledge the LANCE-MODIS system
626 (<http://lance-modis.eosdis.nasa.gov/>) for providing Level 1B MODIS data. This is IPGP
627 contribution number: 3644. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive
628 purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

629

630

631 **REFERENCES**

632

633 Allard, P., Carbonelle, J., Mètrich, N., Loyer, H., Zettwoog, P., 1994. Sulphur output
634 and magma degassing budget of Stromboli volcano. *Nature* 368, 326-330,
635 doi:10.1038/368326a0.

636

637 Bassin, C., Laske, G., Masters, G., 2000. The Current Limits of Resolution for Surface
638 Wave Tomography in North America, *EOS Trans AGU*, 81, F897.

639

640 Battaglia, j., Métaxian, J-P., Garaebiti, E., 2012. Earthquake-volcano interaction imaged
641 by coda wave interferometry. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39 (L11309).

642

643 Bonali, F.L., Tibaldi, A., Corazzato, C., Tormey, D.R., Lara, L.E., 2013. Quantifying
644 the effect of large earthquakes in promoting eruptions due to stress changes on
645 magma pathway: The Chile case. *Tectonophysics* 583, 54–67. doi:
646 10.1016/j.tecto.2012.10.025.

647

648 Byrdina, S., Ramos, D., Vandemeulebrouck, J., Masias, P., Revil, A., Finizola, A.,
649 Gonzales Zuñiga, K., Cruz, V., Antayhua, Y., Macedo, O. 2013. Influence of the

650 regional topography on the remote emplacement of hydrothermal systems with
651 examples of Ticsani and Ubinas volcanoes, Southern Peru. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*
652 365, 152-164.

653

654 Cigolini, C., Laiolo, M., Coppola, D., 2007. Earthquake–volcano interactions detected
655 from radon degassing at Stromboli (Italy). *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 257 (3-4), 511–
656 525.

657

658 Coppola, D., Laiolo, M., Piscopo, D., Cigolini, C. 2013. Rheological control on the
659 radiant density of active lava flows and domes. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 249,
660 39–48, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.09.005.

661

662 Coppola D., Laiolo M., Cigolini C., Delle Donne D., Ripepe M., 2015. Enhanced
663 volcanic hot-spot detection using MODIS IR data: results from the MIROVA
664 system. In: Harris, AJL., De Groeve, T., Garel, F., & Carn, S.A. (eds) *Detecting,*
665 *Modelling and Responding to Effusive Eruptions.* Geological Society, London,
666 Special Publications, 426, first published on May 14, 2015, doi:10.1144/SP426.5

667 Degg, M.R., Chester, D.K., 2005. Seismic and volcanic hazards in Peru: Changing
668 attitudes to disaster mitigation: *The Geographical Journal*, 171(2), 125-145.

669

670 Denlinger, R.P., Hoblitt, R.P., 1996. Cyclic eruptive behavior of silicic volcanoes.
671 *Geology* 27(5), 459-462.

672

673 de Silva, S.L., Francis, P., 1990. Potentially active volcanoes of Perú - Observations
674 using the Landsat Thematic Mapper and space shuttle photography. *Bull. Volcanol.*,
675 52, 286-301.

676

677 Costa, A., Melnik, O., Sparks, R.S.J., Voight, B., 2007. Control of magma flow in dykes
678 on cyclic lava dome extrusion. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34 (L02303).

679

680 Delle Donne, D., Harris, A.J.L., Ripepe, M., Wright, R., 2010. Earthquake-induced
681 thermal anomalies at active volcanoes. *Geology* 38, 71-74, doi: 10.1130/G30984.1.

682

683 Dragoni, M., Tallarico, A., 2009. Assumptions in the evaluation of lava effusion rates
684 from heat radiation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36 (8), L08302, DOI:
685 10.1029/2009GL037411.

686

687 Gonnermann, H.M., Manga, M., 2013. Magma ascent in the volcanic conduit. In:
688 *Modeling volcanic processes: The physics and mathematics of volcanism*, Eds.
689 Fagents, S.A., Gregg, T.K.P., Lopez, R.C., Cambridge University Press, 55-84.

690

691 Gonzales, K., Finizola, A., Lénat, J.F., Macedo, O., Ramos, D., Thouret, J.C., Fournier,
692 N., Cruz, V., Pistre, K., 2014. Asymmetrical structure, hydrothermal system and
693 edifice stability: The case of Ubinas volcano, Peru, revealed by geophysical surveys.
694 *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 276, 132-144.

695

696 Harris, A.J.L., 2013 *Thermal remote sensing of active volcanoes: a user's manual*.
697 Cambridge University Press, pp. 736

698

699 Harris, A.J.L., Ripepe, M., 2007. Regional earthquake as a trigger for enhanced
700 volcanic activity: Evidence from MODIS thermal data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34,
701 L02304, doi: 10.1029/2006GL028251.

702

703 Harris, A.J.L., Baloga, S.M., 2009. Lava discharge rates from satellite-measured heat
704 flux. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36 (19), doi: 10.1029/2009GL039717.

705

706 Hill, D.P., Pollitz, F., Newhall, C., 2002. Earthquake-volcano interactions. *Physics*
707 *Today* 55, 41-47.

708

709 Jay, J.A., Welch, M., Pritchard, M.E., Mares, P.J., Mnich, M.E., Melkonian, K.,
710 Aguilera, F., Naranjo, J.A., Sunagua, M., Clavero, J., 2013. Volcanic hotspots of the
711 central and southern Andes as seen from space by ASTER and MODVOLC between
712 the years 2000 and 2010. *Geol. Soc. London Special Publication* 380, p.

713

714 Ji, C., D.J. Wald, Helmberger, D.V., 2002. Source description of the 1999 Hector Mine,
715 California earthquake; Part I: Wavelet domain inversion theory and resolution
716 analysis, , *Vol* 92, No. 4. pp. 1192-1207.

717

718 Johnson J.B., Aster R.C., 2005. Relative partitioning of acoustic and seismic energy
719 during strombolian eruptions. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 148, 334-354.

720

721 Lavallée, Y., de Silva, S.L., Salas, G., Byrnes, J.M., 2009. Structural control on
722 volcanism at the Ubinas, Huaynaputina, and Ticsani Volcanic Group (UHTVG),
723 southern Peru. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 186 (3–4), 253–264.
724

725 Lay, T., Yue, H., Brodsky, E. E. , An, C. , 2014. The April 1, 2014 Iquique, Chile Mw
726 8.1 earthquake rupture sequence. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41 (11), 3818–3825, doi:
727 10.1002/2014GL060238.
728

729 Lesage, P., G. Reyes-Dávila, and R. Arámbula-Mendoza (2014), Large tectonic
730 earthquakes induce sharp temporary decreases in seismic velocity in Volcán de
731 Colima, Mexico, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 119, 4360–4376,
732 doi:10.1002/2013JB010884.

733 Manga, M., Brodsky, E., 2006. Seismic triggering of eruption in the far field: Volcanoes
734 and Geysers. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 34, 263-291.
735

736 Manga, M., I. Beresnev, E. E. Brodsky, J. E. Elkhoury, D. Elsworth, S. E. Ingebritsen,
737 D. C. Mays, and C.-Y. Wang (2012), Changes in permeability caused by transient
738 stresses: Field observations, experiments, and mechanisms, *Rev. Geophys.*, 50,
739 RG2004, doi:10.1029/2011RG000382.
740

741 Mastin, L.G., Guffanti, M., Servranckx, R., Webleyd, P., Barsotti, S., Dean, K., Durant,
742 A., Ewert, J.W., Neri, A., Rose, W.I., Schneider, D., Siebert, L., Stunder, B.,
743 Swanson, G., Tupper, A., Volentik, A., Waythomas, C.F., 2009. A multidisciplinary
744 effort to assign realistic source parameters to models of volcanic ash-cloud transport
745 and dispersion during eruptions. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 186 (1–2), 10–21.

746

747 Melnik, O., Sparks, R.S.J., 1999. Nonlinear dynamics of lava dome extrusion, *Nature*,
748 402, 37 – 41.

749

750 Morton, B.R., Taylor, G.T., Turner, J.S., 1956. Turbulent gravitational convection from
751 maintained and instantaneous sources. *Transaction of the Royal Society London ser.*
752 *A* 234, 1-23.

753

754 Ottemöller, L., 2008. Seismic hybrid swarm precursory to a major lava dome collapse:
755 9-12 July 2003, Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*,
756 177 (4), 903-910.

757

758 Rivera, M., Thouret, J.C. , Mariño, J., Berolatti, R., Fuentes, J. 2010. Characteristics and
759 management of the 2006-2008 volcanic crisis at the Ubinas volcano (Peru). *J.*
760 *Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 198 (1-2), 19-34.

761

762 Rivera, M., Thouret, J.C., Samaniego, P., Le Pennec, J.L. 2014. The 2006-2009 activity
763 of the Ubinas volcano (Peru): Petrology of the 2006 eruptive products and insights
764 into genesis of andesite magmas, magma recharge and plumbing system. *J. Volcanol.*
765 *Geotherm. Res.*, 270, 122-141.

766

767 Shinohara, H., 2008. Excess degassing from volcanoes and its role on eruptive and
768 intrusive activity. *Review Geophys.* 46 (4), RG4005, doi:10.1029/2007RG000244.

769

770 Sparks, R.S.J., Bursik, M.I., Carey, S.N., Gilbert, J., Glaze, L.S., Sigurdsson, H.,
771 Woods, A., 1997. Volcanic Plumes. John Wiley and Sons, p. 574.
772

773 Stern, C.R., 2004. Active Andean volcanism: its geologic and tectonic setting. Revista
774 Geologica del Chile, Vol. 31(2), p. 161-206
775

776 Thouret, J.C., Rivera, M., Worner, G., Gerbe, M.C., Finizola, A., Fornari, M., Gonzales,
777 K., 2005. Ubinas : the evolution of the historically most active volcano in southern
778 Peru. Bull. Volcanol. 67(6), 557-589.
779

780 Thouret, J.-C.; Davilla, J.; Eissen, J.-P. (May 1999). Largest explosive eruption in
781 historical times in the Andes at Huaynaputina volcano, A.D. 1600, southern Peru.
782 Geology 27 (5): 435–438.
783

784 Tilling, R.I., 2009. Volcanism and associated hazards: the Andean perspective. Adv.
785 Geosci. 22, p. 125-137
786

787 White R., Miller A., Lynch L., and Power J. (1998). Observations of hybrid seismic
788 events at Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat: July 1995 to September 1996.
789 Geophys Res Lett, Vol 25, N°19, 3657-3660.
790

791 White R. (2011). Monitoring volcanoes and forecasting eruptions. Volcano Observatory
792 Best Practices Workshop: Eruption Forecasting, 11-15 September 2011, Erice, Italy.
793

794 Wilson, L., Sparks, R.S.J., Huang, T.C., Watkins, N.D., 1978. The control of volcanic
795 column heights by eruption energetics and dynamics. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 83, 1829-
796 1836.

797

798 Wooster, M.J., Zhukov, B., Oertel, D., 2003. Fire radiative energy for quantitative study
799 of biomass burning: Derivation from the BIRD experimental satellite and comparison to
800 MODIS fire products. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 86 (1), 83-107.

801 **FIGURES**

802

803 **Figure 1.** (a) Location of Ubinas Volcano and Iquique earthquake (b) Snapshot of
804 MIROVA-derived IR image (February 10th, 2014) overlapped on Google Earth. Red
805 pixels at the volcano's summit indicate the presence of sub-pixels thermal anomalies,
806 related to a new incandescent magma body. UB-1 refer to the location of the Broadband
807 seismic station and UT refer to the location of "Ubinas thermal" hot spring. (c) Details
808 of the Ubinas caldera with the deep southern pit-crater (image from Google Earth).

809

810

811 **Figure 2** – Selected thermal images elaborated by MIROVA system over Ubinas
812 volcano. The images (50 x 50 km) are centered on the summit of the volcano and
813 draped over a shaded relief map. For more information on thermal maps produced by
814 MIROVA, please refer to Coppola et al., 2015).

815

816

817 **Figure 3.** Correlation between (a) MIROVA, (b) SO₂ OMI, (c) plume elevation, (d)
818 temperature of "Ubinas thermal" hot spring, (e) seismic energy released by hybrid

819 events, (f) number of hybrid seismic events. “A”, “B”, “C” and “D” stands for pictures
820 shown in figure 4.

821

822

823

824

825

826

827 **Figure 4.** Pictures of the active vent taken from inside the summit caldera on A)
828 February 11th 2014, B) March 1st 2014, C) March 19th 2014, and D) July 31st 2014. Note
829 the fresh lava in the bottom on the crater in figure C.

830

831

832 **Figure 5.** Detail of datasets records between January and June 2014. (a) Plume height
833 (above crater rim); (b) Volcanic Radiative Power (left axis) has been converted into
834 extrusion rates (only mean values are represented) using the radiant density approach
835 (see the text for more details). (c) Cumulative daily energy released by hybrid’s events.
836 Note how the phases of increased energy (IIa and IIc) anticipate the phases of major
837 magma extrusion (IIb and IId). (d) Number of daily hybrid seismic events. The
838 occurrence of the Iquique earthquake (yellow star) is followed by an increase of the
839 extrusion rate coupled with the gradual reduction of seismic activity represented by the
840 hybrid’s energy and number of daily hybrid events. Dotted horizontal lines in (a) and
841 (b) represent pre- and post- earthquake mean values outlining a 2-3 fold increase in the
842 extrusive-explosive activity.

843

844

845 **Figure 6.** (a) Geographical relationship between the Iquique earthquake (black star) and
846 Ubinas volcano (red circle). Focal mechanism and parameters related to the April 1st,
847 2014 mainshock are from Lai et al. (2014). Note how the strike of Iquique faults is
848 aligned ($\pm 15^\circ$) with several Peruvian volcanoes including Ubinas, Sabancaya and El
849 Misti volcanoes. (b) Magnitude–Distance and (c) Magnitude–Azimuth relationship of
850 volcano-earthquake interactions with the Ubinas case represented by the red star
851 (modified after Delle Donne et al., 2010).

852

853

854 **Figure 7.** Normal stress change along a 165°N oriented vertical dyke (a) and volumetric
855 dilatation (b) produced by Iquique Mw 8.2 Earthquake, calculated at a depth of 2 and 10
856 km, respectively. Ubinas Volcano is located in the area characterized by a weak
857 volumetric compression and a weak increase of horizontal normal stress along a
858 hypothetical 165°N oriented feeding vertical dyke.