



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

## Role of the European corn borer (Ostrinia nubilalis) on contamination of maize with 13 Fusarium mycotoxins

This is the author's manuscript
Original Citation:
Availability:
his version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1526246 since 2015-10-21T12:55:38Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1080/19440049.2014.966158
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:
Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera:
[The Role of the European Corn Borer (Ostrinia Nubilalis) on contamination of maize
with thirteen Fusarium mycotoxins. Food Additives and Contaminants Part A,
32(4):533-543, DOI: 10.1080/19440049.2014.966158]
ovvero [Blandino M., SCARPINO V., VANARA F., SULYOK M., KRSKA R., REYNERI A., VoleuTaylor and
Francis, 2015, pagg. <mark>533-543</mark> ]
The definitive version is available at:
La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL:
[http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/19440049.2014.966158]

### 16 FOOD ADDITIVES & CONTAMINANTS: PART A

17

## 18 TITLE: THE ROLE OF EUROPEAN CORN BORER (*OSTRINIA* 19 *NUBILALIS*) ON THIRTEEN FUSARIUM MYCOTOXIN CONTAMINATION 20 IN MAIZE.

- 21 Running title: INSECT INJURIES AND EMERGIN MYCOTOXIN IN MAIZE
- 22
- 23 Authors:
- <sup>24</sup> Massimo Blandino<sup>1</sup>\*, Valentina Scarpino<sup>1</sup>, Francesca Vanara<sup>1</sup>, Michael Sulyok<sup>2</sup>, Rudolf
- 25 Krska<sup>2</sup>, Amedeo Reyneri<sup>1</sup>.
- 26
- 27 Affiliation:
- <sup>1</sup> University of Turin, Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, Largo Paolo
- 29 Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy.
- <sup>2</sup> Center for Analytical Chemistry, Department for Agrobiotechnology (IFA-Tulln),
- 31 University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, Austria.

- <sup>33</sup> \* Corresponding author: Tel: +39-011-6708895; fax +39-011-6708798.
- 34 E-mail address: massimo.blandino@unito.it
- 35
- 36

KEYWORDS: emerging mycotoxins, ear rot, beauvaricin, bikaverin, fusaproliferin,
 moniliformin.

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

AUR, aurofusarin; BEA, beauvaricin; BIK, bikaverin; BUT, butenolide; CULM, culmorin;
DON, deoxynivalenol; DON-3-G, deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside; ECB, European Corn Borer;
EFSA, European Food Safety Authority; EQU, equisetin; FA, fusaric acid; FUMs,
fumonisins; FUS, fusaproliferin; GDD, Accumulated growing degree days; LOD, limit of
detection; LOQ, limit of quantification; MON, moniliformin; MS, mass spectrometry
detection; ZEA, zearalenone.

#### 48 INTRODUCTION

49

50 Mycotoxins are secondary metabolites, which are toxic to humans and animals and could 51 result in illnesses and economic losses (Steyn 1995). They are produced by several fungal 52 species and could affect agricultural commodities. Among these, cereals are the most 53 contaminated (Placinta et al. 1999) and in particular maize, which, in temperate areas, 54 could be affected by fungal ear rot caused by several *Fusarium* species (Logrieco et al. 55 2002).

56 Five mycotoxin classes are considered to be largely economically and toxicologically 57 important in grain in several areas throughout the world: aflatoxins and ochratoxin, 58 produced by the genus fungi *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*, deoxynivalenol (DON), 59 zearalenone (ZEA) and fumonisins (FUMs), mainly produced by *Fusarium* spp. (Atkins & 60 Norman 1998).

Mycotoxin contamination in maize depends on the co-existence of host susceptibility and 61 environmental conditions favourable to fungal infection, growth and toxinogenesis 62 (Munkvold 2003). Moreover, the severity of fungal ear rot caused by *Fusarium* spp. can be 63 closely correlated to insect injury, in particularly to ear damage caused by Lepidoptera 64 borers (Avantaggiato et al. 2003; Marín et al. 2012). European corn borer (ECB), Ostrinia 65 nubilalis, is the main maize pest in Central and South Europe, and it has been shown to 66 promote Fusarium verticillioides and F. proliferatum infection in maize grains, well-known 67 fungal producers of FUMs (Sobek & Munkvold 1999). second generation ECB feeding 68 activity is crucial in maize grain FUM occurrence: damaged ears can suffer from 69 contamination of these mycotoxins at a 40 times higher rate than healthy ones (Alma et al. 70 2005); the injuries produced on kernels during ripening appear to be the most important 71 infection pathway in North Italy (Masoero et al. 1999). 72

Several studies have established that the control of ECB clearly affects FUM levels in maize kernel at harvesting; this has been demonstrated through the use of methods such as insecticide treatment (Folcher et al. 2009; Blandino et al. 2009a), biological control with parasitoids (Dowd 2003) and genetic control involving GMO Bt technology (Ostry et al. 2010).

Although FUMs are the most common mycotoxins found in maize grain in temperate 78 areas, they are only one group of the approximately 400 mycotoxins known to date 79 (Berthiller et al. 2013). These other mycotoxins, which have not yet received a detailed 80 scientific attention, are commonly indicated as "novel" or "emerging" (Streit et al. 2013). 81 The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) is currently working on establishing a 82 scientific opinion on the risks to public health related to the presence of emerging 83 mycotoxins in feeds and food (EFSA, 2010). Obviously, there is a need to obtain more 84 85 information on the occurrence of these mycotoxins in the most important cereal areas in the EU, especially in maize which is one of the cereals most prone to several fungal 86 infections and development during ripening. Moreover, there is also a greater interest in 87 individuating the field conditions that could lead to a higher contamination of these 88 mycotoxins. Better knowledge of the conditions that promote their occurrence is essential 89 in order to set up Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) to minimize their occurrence. 90

The aim of this study was to investigate the role of ECB injuries on maize ears on the contamination of emerging mycotoxins in maize. This information could help to individuate which of them could be reduced by applying strategies to minimize FUM occurrence through the control of this insect.

#### 95 MATERIALS AND METHODS

96

#### 97 Chemicals

Methanol and acetonitrile (both LC gradient grade) were purchased from J.T. Baker 98 (Deventer, The Netherlands); ammonium acetate (MS grade) and glacial acetic acid (p.a.) 99 were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich (Vienna, Austria). Water was purified successively by 100 reverse osmosis and a Milli-Q plus system from Millipore (Molsheim, France). Fungal 101 metabolite standards were obtained from the following commercial sources: Biopure 102 Referenzsubstanzen GmbH (Tulln, Austria), Sigma-Aldrich (Vienna, Austria), Iris Biotech 103 GmbH (Marktredwitz, Germany), Axxora Europe (Lausanne, Switzerland) and LGC 104 Promochem GmbH (Wesel, Germany). Stock solutions of each analyte were prepared by 105 dissolving the solid substance in acetonitrile (preferably), acetonitrile/water 1:1 (v/v), 106 methanol, methanol/water 1:1 (v/v) or water. Twenty-three combined working solutions 107 were freshly prepared prior to the spiking experiments by mixing the stock solutions of the 108 corresponding analytes, and then conducting a further dilution in a neat solvent. All the 109 solutions were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C and were brought to room temperature before use. 110

111

#### 112 **Experimental**

The effect of ECB larva feeding activity on emerging mycotoxin contamination in maize kernels was studied from 2008 to 2010 in North-West Italy at Carmagnola (44° 50' N, 7° 40' E; altitude 245 m), in a sandy-medium textured soil (Typic Udifluvents).

In each year, the natural maize ear infestation by the insect larvae was compared with the protection of the infestation, obtained by positioning an entomological net at the end of maize flowering [Growth stage (GS) 69, Lancashire et al. 1991] in order to avoid ECB ovideposition. The ECB natural infestation and artificial protection treatments, were assigned to experimental units using a completely randomized block design with 3 replicates. Each plot consisted of 4 rows 0.75 cm apart and 4 m long. The plot alleys, orthogonal to the maize rows, were one meter wide.

The entomological net was characterized by a mesh size of 1 mm, and it was placed on a steel structure with the following dimensions: 4.20 m long and wide, 3.80 m. high. The edge of the net was buried, to prevent the entrance of adult insect while the plants within the net were carefully checked for possible the first generation attack. If the plants presented the typical leaf injuries caused by first generation ECB larvae , they were cut at the bottom and removed from the plots.

No foliar insecticides were applied to the experimental field or to an approximately 20 ha
area around the field to control ECB or other insects during the entire growing period.

The ECB flight activity was monitored by means of a cone trap, which was placed outside 132 the experimental plots, and baited with sex pheromone (E:Z=97:3) to attract males and 133 with phenylacetaldehyde (PAA) for females. The sex pheromones and PAA dispenser 134 were replaced each 15 and 30 d, respectively. The adults were removed from the trap and 135 counted every 1-2 d. Studies were carried out each year on the commercial dent corn 136 hybrid Syngenta NX7444 (FAO rating 600; 130 days). The normal agronomic growing area 137 technique was adopted. Briefly, the previous crop was maize, and the field was ploughed 138 each year. The crop density was approximately 75.000 plants per hectare and the 139 experiment field received 250, 90 and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N, P and K, respectively each year. 140 Irrigation was applied at flowering and during ripening to maintain the water-holding 141 capacity between 33 and 200 kPa. Weeds were controlled with metolachlor and 142 terbutilazine in pre-emergence and sulcotrione and nicosulfuron in post-emergence. The 143 sowing and harvest dates, and the ECB flight peak are reported in table 1 for each year. 144

At the end of maturity, 30 randomly selected ears were collected by hand in each plot and shelled using an electric sheller. The ears were collected at a grain moisture content of between 23 -27%. The kernels from each plot were mixed thoroughly to obtain a random distribution; 4 kg samples were then taken to analyze the mycotoxin content and dried at 60°C for 3 days.

150

#### 151 Entomological and mycological measurements

The ECB damage incidence was calculated as the percentage of ears per plot with kernel injuries or apical and basal tunnels in the cob due to larva activity. The ECB damage severity was calculated as the percentage of kernels per ear with injuries due to larvae activity. A scale of 1 to 7 was used in which each numerical value corresponds to a percentage interval of surfaces exhibiting visible kernel damage due to larva activity according to the following schedule: 1 = no injuries, 2 = 1-5%, 3 = 6-10%; 4 = 11-20 %, 5 = 21-35%, 6 = 35-60%, 7 > 60% (Blandino et al. 2009a).

The fungal ear rot incidence was calculated as the percentage of ears per plot with 159 symptoms, while the fungal ear rot severity was calculated as the percentage of kernels 160 per ear with symptoms. A scale of 1 to 7 was used in which each numerical value 161 corresponds to a percentage interval of surfaces exhibiting visible symptoms of the 162 disease according to the following schedule: 1 = no symptoms, 2 = 1-3 %, 3 = 4-10%; 4 =163 11-25 %, 5 = 26-50%, 6 = 51-75%, 7 > 75% (Blandino et al. 2009a). The ECB damage 164 severity and ear rot severity scores were converted to percentages of ears exhibiting 165 symptoms and each score was replaced with the mid-point of the interval. 166

#### 168 Chemical Analyses

#### 169 Sample Preparation and Extraction

Maize samples were ground using a ZM 200 Ultra Centrifugal Mill (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany) fitted with a 1 mm screen and the flour was used directly for the extraction.

Five g representative sub-samples of the milled material were extracted using 20 mL of a 172 mixture of acetonitrile/water/acetic acid 79 + 20 + 1 (v + v + v). After extraction, the 173 samples were centrifuged, diluted 1 + 1 and injected as described in detail by Sulyok et al. 174 (2006). Five replicas of five g of ground maize samples at free or at very low levels of the 175 detected mycotoxins were spiked in order to evaluate the recovery rate of the analytical 176 method for the different mycotoxins. The average percentages of recovery for the 177 mycotoxins detected were: 69.4% for aurofusarin (AUR), 98.8% for beavaricin (BEA), 178 95.7% for bikaverin (BIK), 84.0% for butenolide (BUT), 106.7% for culmorin (CULM), 179 111.8% for deoxynivalenol (DON), 103.3% for deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside (DON-3-G), 180 181 200.1% for equisetin (EQU), 69.1% for fusaric acid (FA), 67.9% for fumonisins (FUMs), 101.8% for fusaproliferin (FUS), 98.7% for moniliformin (MON) and 106.9% for 182 zearalenone (ZEA). 183

184 The results of the mycotoxin concentrations were corrected for the recovery rate.

Detection and quantification were performed with a QTrap 5500 LC–MS/MS System
(Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) equipped with a TurbolonSpray electrospray
ionization (ESI) source and an 1290 Series UPLC System (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany).
Chromatographic separation was performed at 25 °C on a Gemini® C18-column, 150×4.6
mm i.d., 5 µm particle size, equipped with a C18 security guard cartridge, 4×3 mm i.d. (all
from Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, US).

191 The chromatographic and mass spectrometric parameters of the investigated analytes 192 were described by Sulyok et al. in 2007 and by Malachova et al. in 2014. The applied multi-mycotoxin method was previously subjected to a regular participation in a proficiencytest.

195

#### 196 Statistical analysis

197 The normal distribution and homogeneity of variances were verified by performing the 198 Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test and the Levene test, respectively.

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was utilized to compare the fungal ear rot incidence and severity and the mycotoxin contamination separately for each year, using a completely randomized block design, in which the natural presence of ECB larva feeding injuries was the independent variable. The incidence and the severity values of fungal ear rot incidence and severity were previously transformed using  $y'=\arcsin\sqrt{x^*180/\pi}$  as percentage data derived from counting. The concentration of all the researched mycotoxins was transformed using the  $y'=\ln(x+1)$  equation to normalize the residuals.

Simple correlation coefficients were obtained for all the detected mycotoxin, relative to each another and to ECB severity and fungal ear rot severity, by joining the data sets that referred to the three growing seasons.

The SPSS Version 21.0 for Windows statistical package, (SPSS Inc., 2008) was used for the statistical analysis.

#### 212 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

213

#### 214 Meteorological data

The three growing seasons were subject of different meteorological trends, as far as both rainfall and temperature (expressed as growing degree days, GDDs) from flowering to harvesting are concerned (Table 2). The 2008 and 2010 years had heavy rainfall in May and June and also close to flowering, while less rainfall occurred during the spring in 2009, although it was more concentrated in July, after maize flowering. The GDDs from June to September were higher in 2009 than those in 2008 and 20120, and this led to an anticipated harvest at the beginning of September (Table 1).

222

#### 223 ECB flight peak, damage incidence and severity

The flight activity of the first-generation moths started in the middle of July in 2008, and peaked later than in the other growing seasons (Table 1). Instead, the ECB flight activity peaked at the end of July in the 2009 growing season.

In each growing season, the ears collected in the plots protected with entomological nets 227 were free from ECB attack, while those collected in the plots subject to natural insect 228 attacks showed a variable damage severity that depended on the insect pressure in each 229 growing season. The percentage of ears infested by this insect ranged from 41% to 80% in 230 2009 and from 81% to 93 % in 2010. The ECB pressure in 2008 was higher, with all the 231 collected ears damaged by insect larvae. The average ECB severity observed on the ears 232 at harvest in the naturally infested plot was 26%, 6% and 21% for 2008, 2009 and 2010, 233 234 respectively.

235

#### 237 Fungal ear rot incidence and severity

The ECB larva presence significantly affected the fungal ear rot incidence and severity in each growing season (P<0.01). The artificial protection of the insect led to a reduction of 78%, 58% and 93% of fungal ear rot severity for the 2008, 2009 and 2010 growing seasons, respectively.

242

#### 243 Mycotoxin contamination

The FUM, FUS, MON and BEA contaminations were significantly affected by the ECB 244 larva feeding activity on the maize ears in all the considered growing seasons (Table 4). 245 The occurrence of BIK and FA was significantly increased by the ECB presence, 246 compared to the protected plots, but only in the 2008 and 2010 growing seasons. On 247 average, considering the data obtained in the three growing seasons, the presence of ECB 248 damage increased the content of FUMs from 995 to 4694 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>, MON from 22 to 673 µg 249  $kg^{-1}$ , FUS from 17 to 1089  $\mu$ g  $kg^{-1}$ , BIK from 58 to 377  $\mu$ g  $kg^{-1}$ , BEA from 6 to 177  $\mu$ g  $kg^{-1}$ 250 and FA from 21 to 379  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>. 251

These data underline how the ECB feeding activity on the maize ears clearly increased not only the occurrence of FUMs, but also that of all the other main mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium* spp. of *Liseola* section (Table 5).

These results confirm the important link between the infection and development of some fungal species and ECB activity in the damage of maize ears (Sobek & Munkvold 1999; Dowd 2003). ECB larvae are vectors of *Fusarium* spp.; they cause entry wounds and carry fungal inoculum from the plant surface to the ears, promote ear rot disease development and lead to a clear increase in total mycotoxin contamination. Munkvold et al. (1997) reported that ECB larvae consistently led to an important increase in maize ear rot from *F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum* and *F. subglutinans*, all species of *Liseola* section, while the effect on other *Fusarium* species was limited. Reviewing the effect of Bt maize, Ostry et al. (2010) reported that in 19 out of 23 studies the genetically modified crop resistant to the insect was less contaminated with *Fusarium* mycotoxins than the conventional control hybrid. This reduction can be mainly be related to the lower FUM content observed in the kernels.

However, the collected data clearly show that ECB injuries play an important role in promoting other *Fusarium*-toxins. As far as the different mycotoxins produced by *Liseola* section from FUMs is concerned, a relationship with ECB feeding on maize ears had only previously been reported for MON. Lew et al. (1991), Magg et al. (2002) and Papst et al. (2005) reported a mean reduction of this mycotoxin through the ECB control of between 49 and 71%. To the authors' knowledge, the present study is the first work to attest the close relationship between ECB damage on maize ear and FUS, BIK, BEA and FA.

Although all these mycotoxins resulted to be closely linked to the ECB activity, the risk 274 intensity of contamination in the considered growing season changed in a different ways. 275 The role played by the ECB larvae in increasing FUMs was higher in the 2010 year (+43 276 times), and this was followed by 2008 (+13 times) and 2009 (+5 times). Only BIK, 277 produced mainly from F. verticilliodies (Busman et al. 2012; Lazzaro et al. 2012), showed 278 similar behavior to FUMs, while FUS and FA, resulted in a higher growth in the naturally 279 infested plots in the 2008 experiments (+79 and 25 times, respectively). FUS and FA were 280 both mainly produced by *F. proliferatum* (Jestoi 2008; Shimshoni et al. 2013). The MON 281 occurrence in maize grain in the 2009 and 2010 growing season was increased 282 remarkably by ECB (48 and 93 times, respectively), as this mycotoxin was only found in 283 traces in the insect protected plot. On the other hand, in 2008, the insect protected plot 284 showed an average contamination of 57 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>, which was increased25 times in the ears 285 naturally infected by the insect. 286

BEA, produced by *F. verticillides, proliferatum* and *subglutinans* (Sanhueza & Degrossi 288 2004; Jestoi 2008) showed a more stable relationship with the ECB activity throughout the 289 3 growing seasons.

It has been reported that, in temperate areas, F. verticillioides is more favoured by ECB 290 larva feeding than other Fusarium species (Lew et al. 1991; Munkvold et al. 1999). In the 291 present field experiment, the content of both FUS and MON, on average increased more 292 after the ECB activity than FUMs. F. proliferatum, after F. verticillioides, is the most 293 predominant Fusarium species found in maize and a high fumonisin producer (Bacon & 294 Nelson, 1994), but it can also produce, as previous mentioned, a wide range of other 295 mycotoxins. This mycotoxin synthesis is clearly affected by the environmental conditions, 296 especially the temperature, which could influence both the growth rates of the fungi (Marín 297 et al., 2001) and mycotoxin production (Samapundo et al. 2005) These data suggest that 298 299 ECB and other insect activities could also affect the predominance of different Fusarium spp., thus leading to a changed mycotoxin accumulation in the maize kernel. 300

In 2008, EQU (*F. equiseti*, section *Gibbosum*) was also increased significantly by ECB activity on the maize ears, on average from 0.3 to 34  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>, while in 2009 and 2010, although the differences were not significant, a similar trend was observed. Analyzing single maize kernels, Mogensen et al. (2011) reported that, in South Africa, EQU was not clearly linked to FUM occurrence.

The DON, DON-3-G, ZEA, CULM, AUR and BUT contents, produced by *Fusarium* spp. of *Discolor* and *Roseum* sections, for each year were not affected significantly by the presence of ECB larva injuries on the maize ears (Table 6). These data confirm the other results obtained in similar environmental condition on DON (Masoero et al. 1999; Blandino et al. 2009b) and ZEA (Bakan et al. 2002; Saladini et al. 2008), where F. *verticillioides* was the predominant species. However, since in environments where maize is more prone to

DON contamination a significant effect of ECB infestation has also been observed for this 312 mycotoxin (Valenta et al. 2001; Papst et al. 2005), it is possible to suppose that the 313 Fusarium spp. of Discolor and Roseum sections also takes advantage of the entry holes 314 produced by ECB larval feeding in the areas and years in which this species finds more 315 favourable climatic conditions for its development and when there is no competition from 316 other Fusarium spp. of Liseola section. Moreover, as also reported by Folcher et al. 317 (2010), competition occurs among the Fusarium species that produce FUMs and 318 trichothecens, and the control of ECB could change the relative competition capacity 319 during maize ripening. Although the differences were not significant, in 2010 only the grain 320 321 from the protected plots resulted contaminated by DON, while the occurrence of this mycotoxin was under the LOQ in unprotected plots. 322

Table 7 reports the correlation coefficients and the significances between all the 323 mycotoxins recorded, and their relationships with ECB severity and fungal ear rot severity. 324 FUMs show the highest correlation to ECB and fungal ear rot severity. As far as the link 325 between ECB and mycotoxins in the kernel is concerned, a highly significant correlation 326 can be observed for BEA, BIK, MON, FUS FA and EQU: the coefficient of correlation for 327 this mycotoxin and ECB severity is reduced according to the reported order. All these 328 mycotoxins are result significantly correlated to FUMs: the highest relationship is found for 329 BIK (r = 0.904), and this is followed by BEA (r = 0.878), MON (r = 0.855), FUS (r = 0.845), 330 FA (r = 0.734) and EQU (r = 672). The correlation coefficient of the other mycotoxins with 331 the severity caused by ECB larvae is always lower than 0.40. The occurrence of DON-3-G, 332 ZEA, CULM and AUR is closely related to DON contamination, although the level of 333 correlation between the mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium* of the *Discolor* and *Roseum* 334 sections is lower than that observed for the toxins produced by the Liseola section. A 335 significant correlation between ZEA and AUR with FUS can be observed, which is 336

probably related to the lower FUS content recorded in the 2009 growing season, which
 corresponds to the very low content of both these other mycotoxins.

The occurrence of other mycotoxins, such as toxins T2 and HT2 or aflatoxins, was never detected in protected and unprotected plots. The climatic and agronomic conditions did not favour the infection and the development of producing fungi.

In conclusion, this research, which to the authors' knowledge is the first to analyze the 342 influence of ECB on the most diffused emerging mycotoxins in maize in temperate areas 343 at the same time, offers a further contribution towards determining the strategies that can 344 be adopted to minimize the overall toxin risk for this crop. The results collected clearly 345 346 suggest that, as for FUMs, the application of a strategy that is able to reduce ECB damage on maize is the most effective solution in temperate areas to control and reduce the other 347 mycotoxins produced by Fusarium spp. of Liseola section, while it does not affect those 348 produced by Fusarium spp. of Discolor and Roseum sections. These results may be valid 349 for temperate areas where *Fusarium* spp. of *Liseola* section are the predominant species, 350 while in Northern countries the ECB activity could significantly affect also the 351 contamination of mycotoxin produced by *Fusarium* spp. of *Discolor* and *Roseum* sections 352 In non Bt maize fields cultivated in areas with a high ECB pressure, the control of the 353 second generation larvae of this insect could be achieved mainly through preventive 354 control practices, such as an early planting time or through direct control by means of 355 insecticide applications (Blandino et al. 2008). However, since the ecology of the 356 producing Fusarium species is slightly different, it will be necessary to verify the real 357 efficacy of these practices on reducing these compounds in comparison to the reference 358 mycotoxins, which, in temperate areas, are FUMs, and to verify their interaction with other 359 crop techniques and pedo-climatic conditions. 360

361

### 362 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

- 363 The authors would like to thank Fabio Carnaroglio, Mattia Ciro Mancini, Alessandro Peila,
- Federico Marinaccio and Valentina Sovrani for their precious help and cooperation in the
   laboratory and field work.
- The research has been conducted thanks to the financial support of the Italian Ministry of
- 367 Agricultural, Food and Forestry Policies (MIPAAF), as a part of the MICOPRINCEM project
- 368 (Coordinator Dr. Maria Grazia D'Egidio, CRA QCE). The LC-MS/MS system at IFA-Tulln
- 369 was funded by the Federal Country Lower Austria and co-financed by the European
- regional development fund of the European Union

#### 371 **REFERENCES**

- Alma A, Lessio F, Reyneri A, Blandino M. 2005. Relationships between *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) feeding activity, crop technique and mycotoxin contamination of corn kernel in northwestern Italy. Int J Pest Manag. 51:165–173.
- Atkins D, Norman J. 1998. Mycotoxins and food safety. Nutr Food Sci. 98:260–266.
- Avantaggiato G, Quaranta F, Desiderio E, Visconti A. 2003. Fumonisin contamination of maize hybrids visibly damaged by Sesamia. J Sci Food Agric. 83:13–18.
- Bacon CW, Nelson PE 1994. Fumonisin Production in Corn by Toxigenic Strains of *Fusarium moniliforme* and *Fusarium proliferatum*. J. Food Prot. 57(6):514-521.
- Bacon CW, Porter JK, Norred WP. 1996. Production of fusaric acid by *Fusarium* species.
   Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62(11):4039-4043.
- Bakan B, Melcion D, Richard-Molard D, Cahagnier B. 2002. Fungal growth and fusarium
   mycotoxin content in isogenic traditional maize and genetically modified maize grown
   in France and Spain. J Agric Food Chem. 50:728–31.
- Battilani P, Costa LG, Dossena A, Gullino ML, Marchelli R, Galaverna G, Pietri A, DallAsta
   C, Giorni P, Spadaro D, Gualla A. 2009. Scientific information on mycotoxins and
   natural plant toxicants. Scientific/Technical report submitted to EFSA, Project
   developed on the proposal CFP/EFSA/ CONTAM/2008/01. Parma, Italy: EFSA. 467
   pp. Available from: http://www.efsa.europa.eu/it/supporting/doc/24e.pdf.
- Berthiller F, Crews C, Dall'Asta C, De Saeger S, Haesaert G, Karlovsky P, Oswald IP,
   Walburga S, Gerrit S, Stroka J. 2013. Masked mycotoxins: a review. Mol. Nutr. Food
   Res.57(1):165-186.
- Blandino M, Reyneri A, Vanara F, Pascale M, Haidukowski M, Campagna C. 2009a.
   Management of fumonisin contamination in maize kernels through the timing of

- insecticide application against the European corn borer Ostrinia nubilalis Hübner.
   Food Addit Contam Part A. 26:1501–14.
- Blandino M, Reyneri A, Vanara F, Pascale M, Haidukowski M, Saporiti M. 2008. Effect of
   sowing date and insecticide application against European corn borer (Lepidoptera:
   Crambidae) on fumonisin contamination in maize kernels. Crop Prot. 27:1432–1436.
- Blandino M, Reyneri A, Vanara F, Tamietti G, Pietri A. 2009b. Influence of agricultural practices on *Fusarium* infection, fumonisin and deoxynivalenol contamination of maize kernels. World Mycotoxin J. 2:409–418.
- Bottalico A. 1998. *Fusarium* diseases of cereals: species complex and related mycotoxin
   profiles.J. Plant Pathol. 80:85-103.
- Busman M, Butchko RAE, Proctor RH. 2012. LC-MS/MS method for the determination of
  the fungal pigment bikaverin in maize kernels as an indicator of ear rot. Food Addit
  Contam Part A. 29:1736–42.
- 408 Dowd P. 2003. Insect management to facilitate preharvest mycotoxin management. J
   409 Toxicol Toxin Rev. 22:327-350.
- 410 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) 2010. Request for a scientific opinion on the risks
- 411 for public health related to the presence of moniliformin in feed and food, Mandate M-
- 412 2010-0312, Reception Date 21-07-2010, Acceptation Date 09-09-2010. Parma Italy:

413 EFSA. Available from

- "http://registrofquestions.efsa.europa.eu/raw\_war/mandateLoader?mandate=M-20100312".
- Folcher L, Delos M, Marengue E, Jarry M, Weissenberger A, Eychenne N, Regnault-Roger
  C. 2010. Lower mycotoxin levels in Bt maize grain. Agron Sustain Dev. 30:711–719.
- Folcher L, Jarry M, Weissenberger A, Gérault F, Eychenne N, Delos M, Regnault-Roger C.
- 419 2009. Comparative activity of agrochemical treatments on mycotoxin levels with

- regard to corn borers and *Fusarium* mycoflora in maize (*Zea mays* L.) fields. Crop
  Prot. 28:302–308.
- 422 Garrido CE, Hernández Pezzani C, Pacin A. 2012. Mycotoxins occurrence in Argentina's 423 maize (*Zea mays* L.), from 1999 to 2010. Food Control. 25(2):660-665.
- Jestoi M. 2008. Emerging *fusarium*-mycotoxins fusaproliferin, beauvericin, enniatins, and moniliformin: a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 48:21–49.
- Lancashire PD, Bleiholder H, Van Den Boom T, Langeluddeke P, Stauss R, Weber E,
  Witzenberger A. 1991. A uniform decimal code for growth stages of crops and
  weeds. Ann Appl Biol. 119:561–601.
- Lazzaro I, Busman M, Battilani P, Butchko RAE. 2012. FUM and BIK gene expression
   contribute to describe fumonisin and bikaverin synthesis in *Fusarium verticillioides*.
   Int J Food Microbiol. 160:94–8.
- Lew H, Adler A, Edinger W. 1991. Moniliformin and the European Corn Borer (*Ostrinia nubilalis*). Mycotoxin Res. 7(1):71–6.
- Logrieco A, Moretti A, Fornelli F, Fogliano V, Ritieni A, Caiaffa MF, Randazzo G, Bottalico
  A, Macchia L. 1996. Fusaproliferin production by *Fusarium subglutinans* and its
  toxicity to Artemia salina, SF-9 insect cells, and IARC/LCL 171 human B
  lymphocytes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62(9):3378-3384.
- Logrieco A, Mulè G, Moretti A, Bottalico A. 2002. Toxigenic *Fusarium* Species and Mycotoxins Associated with Maize Ear Rot in Europe. Eur J Plant Pathol. 108:597– 609.
- Magg T, Melchinger AE, Klein D, Bohn M. 2002. Relationship between European corn
   borer resistance and concentration of mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium* spp. in
   grains of transgenic Bt maize hybrids, their isogenic counterparts, and commercial
   varieties. Plant Breed. 121(2):146–154.

Malachova A, Sulyok M, Beltran E; Berthiller F, Krska R. 2014. Optimization and validation
 of a quantitative liquid chromatography - tandem mass spectrometric method
 covering 295 bacterial and fungal metabolites including all relevant mycotoxins in
 four model food matrices. J. Chromatogr. A., DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2014.08.037.

Marín S, Albareda X, Ramos AJ, Sanchis V. 2001. Impact of environment and interactions
 of *Fusarium verticillioides* and *Fusarium proliferatum* with *Aspergillus parasiticus* on
 fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> and aflatoxins on maize grain. J. Sci. Food Agric. 81(11):1060-1068.

Marín S, Ramos AJ, Cano-sancho G, Sanchis V. 2012. Reduction of mycotoxins and
 toxigenic fungi in the Mediterranean basin maize chain. Phytopathol Mediterr. 51:93–
 118.

- Masoero F, Moschini M, Rossi F, Prandini A, Pietri A. 1999. Nutritive value, mycotoxin
   contamination and in vitro rumen fermentation of normal and genetically modified
   corn (cry1A(b)) grown in northern Italy. Maydica. 44:205–209.
- Mogensen JM, Sørensen SM, Sulyok M, van der Westhuizen L, Shephard GS, Frisvad JC,
  Thrane U, Krska R, Nielsen KF. 2011. Single-kernel analysis of fumonisins and other
  fungal metabolites in maize from South African subsistence farmers. Food Addit
  Contam Part A. 28:1724–34.
- Munkvold GP, Hellmich RL, Rice LG. 1999. Comparison of Fumonisin Concentrations in
   Kernels of Transgenic Bt Maize Hybrids and Nontransgenic Hybrids. Plant Dis.
   83:130–138.
- Munkvold GP, Hellmich RL, Showers WB. 1997. Reduced *fusarium* ear rot and
   symptomless infection in kernels of maize genetically engineered for European corn
   borer resistance. Phytopathology. 87:1071–7.
- Munkvold GP. 2003. Epidemiology of diseases and their mycotoxins in maize ears. Eur J
   Plant Pathol. 109:705–713.

Ostry V, Ovesna J, Skarkova J, Pouchova V, Ruprich J. 2010. A review on comparative
 data concerning Fusarium mycotoxins in Bt maize and non-Bt isogenic maize.
 Mycotoxin Res. 26:141–5.

Papst C, Utz H, Melchinger A. 2005. Mycotoxins Produced by spp. in Isogenic Bt vs. nonBt Maize Hybrids under European Corn Borer Pressure. Agron J. 97:219-224.

Placinta C, D'Mello JP, Macdonald AM. 1999. A review of worldwide contamination of
cereal grains and animal feed with *Fusarium* mycotoxins. Anim Feed Sci Technol.
78:21–37.

Pedersen PB, Miller JD. 1999. The fungal metabolite culmorin and related compounds.
Nat. Toxins. 7(6):305-309.

Rasmussen PH, Nielsen KF, Ghorbani F, Spliid NH, Nielsen GC, Jørgensen LN. 2012.
 Occurrence of different trichothecenes and deoxynivalenol-3-β-D-glucoside in
 naturally and artificially contaminated Danish cereal grains and whole maize plants.
 Mycotoxin Res. 28(3):181-90.

Saladini MA, Blandino M, Reyneri A, Alma A. 2008. Impact of insecticide treatments on
 Ostrinia nubilalis (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) and their influence on the
 mycotoxin contamination of maize kernels. Pest Manag Sci. 64:1170–1178.

Samapundo S, Devliehgere F, De Meulenaer B, Debevere J. 2005. Effect of water activity
 and temperature on growth and the relationship between fumonisin production and
 the radial growth of *Fusarium verticillioides* and *Fusarium proliferatum* on corn. J.
 Food Prot. 68(5): 1054-1059.

491 Sanhueza CEP, Degrossi MC. 2004. Moniliformin, a *Fusarium* mycotoxin. Rev Mex Micol.
492 19:103–112.

Shimshoni JA, Cuneah O, Sulyok M, Krska R, Galon N, Sharir B, Shlosberg A. 2013.
Mycotoxins in corn and wheat silage in Israel. Food Addit Contam Part A. 30:1614–
25.

- Sobek EA, Munkvold GP. 1999. European Corn Borer (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) Larvae as
   Vectors of *Fusarium moniliforme*, Causing Kernel Rot and Symptomless Infection of
   Maize Kernels. J Econ Entomol. 92:503-509.
- Sulyok M, Berthiller F, Krska R, Schuhmacher R. 2006. Development and validation of a
   liquid chromatography / tandem mass spectrometric method for the determination of
   39 mycotoxins in wheat and maize. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 20:2649–2659.
- Sulyok M, Krska R, Schuhmacher R. 2007. A liquid chromatography/tandem mass
   spectrometric multi-mycotoxin method for the quantification of 87 analytes and its
   application to semi-quantitative screening of moldy food samples. Anal. Bioanal.
   Chem. 389:1505-1523.
- 506 Steyn PS. 1995. Mycotoxins, general view, chemistry and structure. Toxicol Lett. 82-507 83:843–851.
- Streit E, Schwab C, Sulyok M, Naehrer K, Krska R, Schatzmayr G. 2013. Multi-mycotoxin
   screening reveals the occurrence of 139 different secondary metabolites in feed and
   feed ingredients. Toxins. 5:504–23.
- Uhlig S, Jestoi M, Knutsen AK, Heier BT. 2006. Multiple regression analysis as a tool for
  the identification of relations between semi-quantitative LC-MS data and cytotoxicity
  of extracts of the fungus *Fusarium avenaceum* (syn. *F. arthrosporioides*). Toxicon.
  48:567–579.
- Valenta H, Dänicke S, Flachowsky G, Böhme T. 2001. Comparative study on
   concentrations of deoxynivalenol and zearalenone in kernels of transgenic Bt maize
   hybrids and nontransgenic maize hybrids. Mycotoxin Res. 17(1):15–8.

- 518 Wang Y-M, Liu J-B, Peng S-Q. 2009. Effects of Fusarium mycotoxin butenolide on 519 myocardial mitochondria in vitro. Toxicol. Mech. Methods. 19(2):79–85.
- 520 Wheeler MH, Stipanovic RD, Puckhaber LS. 1999. Phytotoxicity of equisetin and epi -521 equisetin isolated from Fusarium equiseti and F . pallidoroseum, Mycol. Res. 522 103(8):967–973.
- 523
- 525

## 526 **TABLES**

527

- 528 **Table. 1**
- 529 Main trial information and natural ECB infestation recorded for each year; field
- experiments conducted at Carmagnola (TO) in the 2008 2010 period.
- 531

Year	Sowing date	Harvest date	ECB flight peak date	ECB incidence <sup>a</sup> (%)	ECB severity <sup>b</sup> (%)
2008	April 16	October 6	August 7	100.0	25.7
2009	April 10	September 14	July 27	60.0	5.6
2010	April 2	October 10	August 1	88.9	20.8

532

<sup>a</sup> ECB incidence was calculated as the percentage of ears with symptoms, based on 3 replications of 30 ears each.

<sup>b</sup> ECB severity was calculated as the mean percentage of kernels with symptoms per ear, based on 3 replications of 30

535 ears each.

538 Total rainfall, rainy days, relative humidity and growing degree days (GDD 10s) from June

to October 2008-2010 at the research site

540

Growing	Month	Rainfall	Rainy days	GDD 10s <sup>a</sup>
season		(mm)	(n°)	(°C d <sup>-1</sup> )
2008	May	121	16	204
	June	95	17	304
	July	63	8	382
	August	52	6	372
	September	57	8	228
	October	30	5	151
	May-October	418	60	1641
2009	May	30	10	292
	June	26	7	341
	July	121	8	391
	August	56	11	404
	September	62	8	273
	October	54	6	163
	May-October	349	50	1864
2010	May	117	12	214
	June	192	11	332
	July	37	8	420
	August	116	11	354
	September	51	12	240
	October	105	9	120
	May-October	618	63	1680

541

<sup>a</sup> Accumulated growing degree days for each month using a 10°C base.

544 Effect of ECB infestation on fungal ear rot incidence and severity; field experiments 545 conducted at Carmagnola (TO) in the 2008 - 2010 period.

546

Year	ECB	Fungal ear i	rot incidence <sup>a</sup>	Fungal ear rot	severity <sup>b</sup>
rear	infestation	Т	N (%)	Т	N (%)
2008	Natural	84.9	97.7	25.1	18.0
	Artificial control	32.2	28.7	5.6	1.0
	P (F) <sup>c</sup> sem <sup>d</sup>	<b>0.001</b> 8.3		<b>&lt; 0.001</b> 1.7	
2009	Natural Artificial control	49.6 19.3	57.8 11.1	9.6 4.0	2.8 0.6
	P (F) sem	<b>0.004</b> 7.4		<b>0.010</b> 1.7	
2010	Natural Artificial control	70.7 10.0	88.9 4.4	23.4 1.6	15.9 0.1
	P(F) sem	<b>&lt; 0.001</b> 7.7		<b>&lt; 0.001</b> 1.6	

547

<sup>a</sup> Fungal ear rot incidence was calculated as the percentage of ears with symptoms, based on 3 replications of 30 ears
each.

<sup>b</sup> Fungal ear rot severity was calculated as the mean percentage of kernels with symptoms per ear, based on 3 replications of 30 ears each.

552 The reported fungal ear rot incidence and severity means are transformed (T; y'=arcsin $\sqrt{x^*180/\pi}$ ) and not transformed 553 (N) values.

<sup>c</sup> The level of significance of ANOVA is reported in the table.

555 <sup>d</sup> sem: standard error of mean

- 557
- 558

- 560 Effect of ECB infestation on the contamination of mycotoxin produced by *Fusarium* spp. of
- 561 Liseola and Gibbosum sections; field experiments conducted at Carmagnola (TO) in the
- 562 2008 2010 period.

		Year									
3	ECB		2008	2	2009		2010				
Mycotoxinª	infestation	т	N (µg kg⁻¹)	т	N (µg kg⁻¹)	т	N (µg kg⁻¹)				
FUMs	Natural Artificial control	9.8 7.3	21038 1598	7.0 5.3	1306 249	9.9 6.2	22502 528				
	P(F) <sup>b</sup> sem <sup>c</sup>	<b>0.007</b> 0.7		<b>0.042</b> 0.8		<b>0.001</b> 0.6					
FUS	Natural Artificial control	7.8 3.3	2537 32	5.2 0.0	227 < LOQ	5.6 2.2	503 20				
	P (F) sem	<b>0.001</b> 0.7		<b>0.010</b> 1.0		0.069 2.0					
MON	Natural Artificial control	7.2 3.3	1413 57	4.8 1.1	122 3	5.7 1.4	485 5				
	P(F) sem	<b>0.016</b> 1.4		<b>0.001</b> 0.6		<b>0.012</b> 1.4					
BIK	Natural Artificial control	6.5 4.6	665 117	3.8 3.2	56 27	6.0 3.2	411 28				
	P (F) sem	<b>0.014</b> 0.6		0.382 1.0		<b>0.002</b> 0.5					
BEA	Natural Artificial control	5.8 2.6	438.9 15.9	3.2 0.4	25.9 0.5	4.5 1.0	66.1 2.4				
	P(F) sem	<b>0.014</b> 1.1		<b>&lt; 0.001</b> 0.3		<b>0.018</b> 0.8					
FA	Natural Artificial control	6.7 1.7	847 33	3.4 1.4	35 10	5.5 2.4	254 21				
	P(F) sem	<b>0.027</b> 2.0		0.138 1.6		<b>0.046</b> 1.5					
EQU	Natural Artificial control	3.3 0.2	33.7 0.3	1.2 0.9	3.6 2.4	2.1 0.6	53.8 1.7				
	P(F) sem	<b>0.009</b> 0.9		0.749 1.2		0.419 2.3					

- <sup>a</sup> fumonisins (FUMs), fusaproliferin (FUS), moniliformin (MON), bikaverin (BIK), beauvericin (BEA), fusaric acid (FA) and
- equisetin (EQU). The reported contamination means are transformed [T; y'= ln (x + 1)] and not transformed (N) values.
- 566 <sup>b</sup> The level of significance of ANOVA is reported in the table.
- 567 <sup>c</sup> sem: standard error of mean

569 Main producing mycotoxin *Fusarium* species detected in the maize samples.

Mycotoxin	Produced by	Section	References
Fumonisins	F. verticillioides	Liseola	Logrieco et al. 2002
(FUMs)	F. proliferatum		Sanhueza et al. 2004
Moniliformin	F. subglutinans	Liseola	Sanhueza & Degrossi 2004
(MON)	F. proliferatum		Battilani et al. 2009
Fusaproliferin	F. proliferatum	Liseola	Logrieco et al. 1996
(FUS)	F. subglutinans		Jestoi 2008
Bikaverin (BIK)	F. verticillioides	Liseola	Busman et al. 2012 Lazzaro et al. 2012
Beauvericin (BEA)	F. subglutinans F. proliferatum F. verticillioides	Liseola	Sanhueza & Degrossi 2004 Jestoi 2008
Fusaric Acid	F. proliferatum	Liseola	Bacon et al. 1996
(FA)	F. verticillioides		Shimshoni et al. 2013
Equisetin (EQU)	F. equiseti	Gibbosum	Wheeler et al. 1999 Streit et al. 2013
Deoxynivalenol	F. graminearum	Discolor	Bottalico 1998
(DON)	F. culmorum		Rasmussen et al. 2012
Deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside (DON-3-G)	Phase II plant metabolite of DON ("Masked mycotoxin")		Rasmussen et al. 2012 Berthiller et al. 2013
Zearalenone	F. graminearum	Discolor	Logrieco et al. 2002
(ZEA)	F. culmorum		Garrido et al. 2012
Culmorin	F. graminearum	Discolor	Pedersen & Miller 1999
(CULM)	F. culmorum		Streit et al. 2013
Aurofusarin (AUR)	F. avenaceum F. graminearum F. culmorum	Discolor / Roseum	Uhlig et al. 2006 Streit et al. 2013
Butenolide	F. graminearum	Discolor	Wang et al. 2009
(BUT)	F. culmorum		Streit et al. 2013

570

571

## 572 **Table. 6**

- 573 Effect of ECB infestation on the contamination of mycotoxin produced by *Fusarium* spp. of
- 574 Discolor and Roseum sections; field experiments conducted at Carmagnola (TO) in the
- 575 2008 2010 period.

					Year		
	FCB	ECB <u>20</u>		2	2009		2010
Mycotoxin <sup>ª</sup>	infestation	т	N (µg kg⁻¹)	т	N (µg kg⁻¹)	т	N (µg kg⁻¹)
DON	Natural Artificial control	3.9 1.5	305.0 24.9	3.3 0.1	93.2 0.1	0.1 2.1	0.1 130.2
	P(F) <sup>b</sup> sem <sup>c</sup>	0.375 3.4		0.116 2.3		0.374 87.6	
DON-3-G	Natural Artificial control	3.3 4.6	138.7 104.5	2.0 0.2	12.6 0.3	1.3 3.9	14.2 74.8
	P (F) sem	0.482 2.5		0.165 1.4		0.155 2.1	
ZEA	Natural Artificial control	3.4 1.3	94.1 3.1	-	< LOQ < LOQ	0.8 2.5	3.0 28.6
	P (F) sem	0.135 1.6				0.303 2.0	
CULM	Natural Artificial control	4.7 4.1	188.0 66.4	2.3 0.0	29.9 < LOQ	2.5 5.4	68.8 251.5
	P (F) sem	0.544 1.1		0.147 1.8		0.133 2.2	
AUR	Natural Artificial control	5.9 3.8	2046.1 50.1	1.7 0.6	21.7 1.0	1.9 3.9	10.3 89.8
	P (F) sem	0.227 2.2		0.427 1.8		0.153 1.6	
BUT	Natural Artificial control	3.1 1.5	32.5 11.8	1.3 0.4	6.7 0.6	2.5 4.9	37.7 173.3
	P(F) sem	0.241 1.7		0.374 1.2		0.150 1.9	

<sup>a</sup> deoxynivalenol (DON), deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside (DON-3-G), zearalenone (ZEA), culmorin (CULM), aurofusarin

577 (AUR) and butenolide (BUT). The reported contamination means are transformed [T; y'= ln (x + 1)] and not transformed
578 (N) values.

579 <sup>b</sup> The level of significance of ANOVA is reported in the table.

580 <sup>c</sup> sem: standard error of mean

582 Correlation matrix between ECB and fungal ear rot severity and mycotoxin contamination in maize kernels.

Correlation	ECB severity	Fungal ear rot severity	FUMs	FUS	MON	BIK	BEA	FA	EQU	DON	DON-3-G	ZEA	CULM	AUR
Fungal ear rot severity	0.975**													
FUMs	0.893**	0.911**												
FUS	0.786**	0.782**	0.845**											
MON	0.830**	0.814**	0.855**	0.859**										
BIK	0.831**	0.870**	0.904**	0.866**	0.839**									
BEA	0.876**	0.849**	0.878**	0.917**	0.945**	0.861**								
FA	0.821**	0.808**	0.734**	0.767**	0.827**	0.793**	0.812**							
EQU	0.704**	0.672**	0.612**	0.451	0.557*	0.576*	0.623**	0.576*						
DON	0.203	0.104	0.141	0.413	0.180	0.107	0.362	0.062	0.323					
DON-3-G	-0.029	-0.100	0.067	0.318	0.031	0.119	0.175	0.009	-0.149	0.581*				
ZEA	0.375	0.305	0.346	0.511*	0.246	0.392	0.363	0.299	0.224	0.472*	0.700**			
CULM	0.187	0.133	0.285	0.454	0.121	0.265	0.274	0.213	0.092	0.481*	0.842**	0.740**		
AUR	0.397	0.304	0.361	0.519*	0.308	0.355	0.469	0.253	0.219	0.654**	0.783**	0.864**	0.769**	
BUT	0.181	0.174	0.221	0.367	0.112	0.220	0.140	0.361	0.043	0.182	0.542*	0.619**	0.767**	0.453

583

584 fumonisins (FUMs), fusaproliferin (FUS), moniliformin (MON), bikaverin (BIK), beauvericin (BEA), fusaric acid (FA), equisetin (EQU), deoxynivalenol (DON), deoxynivalenol-3-

585 glucoside (DON-3-G), zearalenone (ZEA), culmorin (CULM), aurofusarin (AUR) and butenolide (BUT).

586 (\*) = correlation significant at  $P \le 0.05$ ; (\*\*) correlation significant at  $P \le 0.01$ . The aata reported in the table are Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients.

587