

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Thromboelastometric evaluation of hemostasis in dogs infected with *Leishmania infantum*

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1524751> since 2022-04-19T10:49:55Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1111/vec.12325

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 Thromboelastometric evaluation of hemostasis in dogs infected with *Leishmania infantum*

2 **Abstract**

3 **Objective** – Evaluation of hemostasis via thromboelastometry in dogs with leishmaniasis before
4 and after treatment.

5 **Design** – Longitudinal observational study.

6 **Setting** – University Veterinary Teaching Hospital.

7 **Animals** – Eighty-four adult, client-owned dogs.

8 **Measurements and Main Results** – Whole blood samples for the coagulation profile were
9 collected from symptomatic dogs with leishmaniasis (group S), asymptomatic dogs with
10 leishmaniasis after treatment (group T), and a control group of healthy dogs (group H). Hemostasis
11 was evaluated by means of standard coagulation profile (PT, aPTT and fibrinogen) and by
12 thromboelastometry (ROTEM). PT and aPTT were within the upper reference range in all three
13 groups. Comparison of the ROTEM variables between the three groups showed statistically
14 significant differences between group S versus groups T and H, but remaining within the reference
15 ranges. Statistically significant differences in hematocrit and fibrinogen concentrations were noted
16 between groups (Group S vs. H: hematocrit $P=0.001$, fibrinogen $P=0.002$; Group S vs. T:
17 hematocrit $P=0.001$, fibrinogen $P=0.001$). These variations have interfered with some parameters of
18 the ROTEM profile.

19 **Conclusions** – This study showed normal standard coagulation profiles in all three groups. The
20 ROTEM results did not fall outside of the maximum values of the reference ranges.

21
22 Key words: small animal, hemostasis, leishmaniasis, thromboelastometry.

23
24 aPTT activated partial thromboplastin time
25 CFT clot formation time
26 CT clotting time

27 Group H control group
28 Group S symptomatic dogs before treatment
29 Group T asymptomatic dogs after treatment
30 MCF maximum clot firmness
31 PT prothrombin time
32 TEG thromboelastography
33 TEM thromboelastometry

34
35

36 **Introduction**

37 Leishmaniasis is an infective zoonosis caused by a protozoan of the genus *Leishmania*. It is
38 endemic to the Mediterranean basin and the dog is the primary reservoir of infection. A chronic
39 disease with many and different clinical signs, canine leishmaniasis can stimulate the
40 overproduction of antibody-forming immune complexes.^{1,2} Their subsequent deposition in different
41 tissues ensues in skin and ocular lesions, renal failure and vasculitis, and inflammatory cytokine
42 production.³⁻⁷ Other clinical conditions **known to be associated with leishmaniasis**, such as
43 epistaxis, hematuria, thromboembolism and disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), are
44 related to alterations in primary and secondary hemostasis.⁸⁻¹¹

45 Several studies have signaled thrombocytopenia and a decrease in platelet function in canine
46 leishmaniasis,¹²⁻¹⁷ whereas investigation of secondary hemostasis and fibrinolysis has given
47 discordant results. Indeed, some studies reported hypocoagulability due to an increase in activated
48 partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), while others described compensated disseminated intravascular
49 coagulation (DIC) due to an increase in fibrinogen degradation product (FDP) with normal
50 fibrinogen levels.^{13,14,18}

51 To the best of our knowledge, only three studies to date have evaluated the effects of treatment on
52 hemostasis in dogs with leishmaniasis. Valladares and others (1998) evaluated the effects of therapy

53 with meglumine antimoniate on platelet, prothrombin time (PT), aPTT, fibrinogen and FDP in
54 experimentally infected beagles. Alterations shown before treatment were a decrease in platelet
55 count and aggregation and an increase of FDP. After treatment, platelet function an number
56 normalized, while FDP decreased but then returned to within the normal range.¹³

57 Cortese and others (2008) studied the effects of prednisone on standard coagulation profile (PT,
58 APTT and fibrinogen) and platelet aggregation in dogs treated with meglumine antimoniate and
59 allopurinol. The dogs showed a decrease in platelet aggregation before treatment. A significant
60 improvement in platelet aggregation was detected after treatment but the values remained lower
61 than in the control group at the end of the study.¹⁹ A later study by Cortese (2009), assessed
62 hemostasis before and after treatment in dogs with *Leishmania infantum* or *Ehrlichia canis* or both.
63 The dogs with single infection (leishmaniosis or ehrlichiosis) showed a decrease in platelet number
64 and function that improved after treatment but did not return to normal. In the dogs with double
65 infection, platelet aggregation after treatment was still significantly lower than that in the healthy
66 dogs.²⁰

67 Previous studies have assessed hemostasis by means technologies that evaluate single steps of
68 coagulation; hemostasis, however, is a dynamic process that involves plasmatic factors and cells
69 (cell-based model of coagulation).²¹ Viscoelastic techniques are recent methods for evaluating
70 hemostasis. They rely on the use of whole blood to obtain a description of coagulation which more
71 likely reflects in vivo hemostatic processes. Recently, thromboelastometry/thromboelastography
72 have been used to identify hypocoagulability and hypercoagulability in dogs.²²⁻²⁶

73 Thromboelastometry measures clot formation kinetics, clot firmness and rate of dissolution
74 (fibrinolysis); the results of the analysis are presented graphically.^{27,28} For each sample, ROTEM
75 generates different profiles, including: the in-TEM profile for the intrinsic pathway; the ex-TEM
76 profile for the extrinsic pathway; and the fib-TEM profile correlated to functional fibrinogen levels.
77 Hemostasis is assessed using whole blood, taking into account both the plasma and cellular
78 components.

79 The first aim of this study was to evaluate hemostasis by means of a standard coagulation profile
80 and thromboelastometry (ROTEM) in dogs with **untreated** leishmaniasis. The second aim was to
81 determine whether the hemostatic alterations regressed in treated dogs. Our hypothesis was that in
82 symptomatic dogs the infection **affects** hemostasis and that the alterations regress after therapy.

83

84 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

85 **Animals**

86 The study protocol was approved by the Bioethical and Animal Welfare Committee of our
87 institution. **The dog owners were informed about the study protocol and gave their written consent**
88 **for participation in the study.**

89 All dogs were patients admitted to the Veterinary Teaching Hospital because of suspected infection
90 of *Leishmania infantum* based on clinical signs. Physical examination, complete blood count ^a, and
91 biochemical evaluation ^b, serum protein electrophoresis, urinalysis [reactive strips ^c and sediment
92 analysis], protein/creatinine ratio, serological tests for *Leishmania infantum* [immunofluorescence
93 antibody test (IFAT) performed at the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale of Piemonte, Liguria
94 and Valle d'Aosta laboratory], and serological tests for *Ehrlichia canis* ^d, *Borrelia burgdorferi* ^d,
95 *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* ^d and *Dirofilaria immitis* ^d were performed. To establish the
96 diagnosis of leishmaniasis, cytology and/or PCR of lymph node samples obtained by fine needle
97 aspiration (PCR performed at the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale of Piemonte, Liguria and
98 Valle d'Aosta laboratory) were carried out. **Dogs positive for *Leishmania infantum* on the basis of**
99 **cytology or PCR of lymph node aspirate were considered to be affected by leishmaniasis if they**
100 **presented clinical signs and laboratory tests suggestive of infection (Table 1). These dogs were**
101 **divided** in two groups: group S (symptomatic dogs before treatment) included sick dogs with
102 clinical signs of *Leishmania* (stage C according to the guidelines);²⁹ group T (asymptomatic dogs
103 after treatment) included dogs treated with meglumine antimoniate and allopurinol or miltefosine
104 and allopurinol [according to the guidelines (Table 2)]³⁰ and had completed therapy at least 2

105 months prior to enrolment in this study, did not present clinical signs compatible with
106 leishmaniasis, and had an albumin/globulin ratio (A/G) > 0.6.

107 The control group (group H) included dogs admitted to the Veterinary Teaching Hospital for routine
108 hematological control before spaying/castration or blood donation. The dogs were deemed healthy
109 on the basis of complete history and physical examination, CBC and biochemical analysis,
110 coagulation profile and not exposed or infected by *Leishmania* (serological tests^d, IFAT, cytology
111 and/or PCR analysis of lymph node).

112 Exclusion criteria were a positive result for *Ehrlichia canis*, *Borrelia burgdorferi*, *Dirofilaria*
113 *immitis* and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, and the administration of non-steroidal anti-
114 inflammatory drugs, corticosteroid or transfusion during the 2 months prior to enrolment in the
115 study. At enrolment, prophylactic treatment for sand fly was recommended.

116

117 Hemostasis

118 Samples of whole blood for the coagulation profile were collected by jugular venipuncture (20-
119 gauge needle) and placed into two tubes containing 3.2% trisodium citrate (1 part citrate: 9 parts
120 blood)^e. Samples that were difficult to obtain (e.g., repeated venipuncture attempts, needle
121 repositioning or interruption of blood flow into the tube) were discarded and blood draws were
122 made from the contralateral jugular vein.

123 Secondary hemostasis was evaluated by means of standard plasma based assays (PT, aPTT and
124 fibrinogen)^f. For thromboelastrometry with the ROTEM^g, whole blood samples were stored at 37
125 °C in 3.2% trisodium citrate tubes and analyzed 30 minutes after collection according to the
126 manufacturer's instructions; the analyses were run for 60 minutes. For each sample, in-TEM, ex-
127 TEM and fib-TEM profiles were performed to evaluate the intrinsic pathway (with activation by
128 ellagic acid)^h, the extrinsic pathway (with tissue factor activation)ⁱ, and fibrinogen function
129 (platelets inactivated with cytochalasin D)^l, respectively. The following parameters were assessed
130 for each profile: clotting time ([CT], s); clot formation time ([CFT], s); maximum clot firmness

131 ([MCF], mm); α angle (α , °); profiles are presented as reaction curves (Fig. 1). CT represents the
132 first phase of fibrin formation, from activation of the test to a clot amplitude of 2 mm; this
133 parameter is mainly affected by the concentration of plasma coagulation factors and coagulation
134 inhibitors (e.g., antithrombin or drugs).^{26,27} CFT expresses the velocity of clot formation and is
135 affected predominantly by platelet count and function and by fibrinogen activity. MCF, the
136 maximum firmness the clot reaches, is determined by both platelet count and function and fibrin
137 formation in the presence of factor XIII.^{26,27} The α angle corresponds to the slope of the tangent on
138 the elasticity curve; it describes the kinetics of clot formation and is affected predominantly by
139 platelet count and function and fibrinogen.^{26,27}

140 Abnormal ROTEM tracings were defined as ROTEM results outside of the maximum or minimum
141 values of our reference ranges (Table 3), hypercoagulable tracings when there was a decrease in CT
142 and CFT and an increase in MCF, α angle or a combination thereof, and hypocoagulable tracings
143 when there was an increase in CT and CFT and a decrease in MCF, α angle or a combination
144 thereof.

145

146 **Statistical analysis**

147 The data were entered into an ad hoc databaseⁿ. All coagulation variables are continuous values
148 and were checked for normality by means of a test for normality based on skewness and another test
149 based on kurtosis; the two tests were then combined into an overall test statistic.

150 To test the differences between the three groups (H, S, T), the continuous outcome variables were
151 analyzed with ANOVA if they resulted normally distributed and were compounded by the
152 Bonferroni correction to identify the ties of any differences, otherwise the Kruskal-Wallis test was
153 performed. The two sample Mann-Whitney test was used to identify where the differences lay, as
154 suggested by Altman (1991).³¹ Variables such as age, platelet, fibrinogen, hematocrit,
155 protein/creatinine ratio and creatinine were also compared.

156 Forward stepwise linear regression analysis was applied to the logarithmic transformation of the
157 variables to determine whether any single ROTEM parameter correlated with the blood variables
158 and resulted significantly different in the three groups. The significance level for addition to the
159 model was fixed; terms with $P < 0.2$ were eligible for addition. Significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

160

161 **Results**

162 **One hundred dogs were enrolled in the study: 45 in group S, 34 in group T and 31 in group H.**

163 **Sixteen dogs in group S were excluded** (infected but without clinical signs of leishmaniasis [n=5],
164 neoplasia [n=3], positive for *Dirofilaria immitis* [n=4], and positive for *Ehrlichia canis* [n=4]) and
165 10 in group T (neoplasia [n=2] and A/G ≤ 0.6 [n=8]). **Twenty-nine dogs** were included in group S
166 **(Figure 2):** 19 males (15 intact and 4 neutered) and 10 females (4 entire and 6 spayed), age range 1-
167 14 years (mean age 6.1 ± 3.5 ; body weight 20.4 ± 13.3 kg). **Twenty-four dogs were included** in
168 group T **(Figure 3):** 15 males (12 intact and 3 neutered) and 9 females (6 intact and 3 spayed), age
169 range 2-14 years (mean age 5.8 ± 3.5 ; body weight 18.3 ± 3.5 kg). **Finally 31 dogs were included** in
170 group H **(Figure 4):** 16 males (15 intact and 1 neutered) and 15 females (8 intact and 7 spayed), age
171 range 1-18 years (mean age 5.6 ± 3.7 ; body weight 23.5 ± 8 kg).

172 In group S 20/ 29 dogs (69%) were anemic [median 32.9%, min 20, max 42], 2/ 29 (7%) were
173 thrombocytopenic [median $261 \times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$ ($261 \times 10^9/\text{L}$), min 107, max 670], 2/29 (7%) had a
174 creatinine level outside of the upper reference range [median 0.82 mg/dL ($7.5 \mu\text{mol/L}$), min 0.47,
175 max 3.5], none had transaminase levels outside of the reference range, 20/ 29 (69%) had proteinuria
176 [median 0.88, min 0.034, max 9.1], none had PT or aPTT outside of the upper reference range, and
177 13/29 (45%) had fibrinogen levels outside of the upper reference range [median 424 mg/dL (12.5
178 $\mu\text{mol/L}$), min 180, max 1094]. In group T 4/ 24 (17%) dogs were anemic [median 43.3%, min 31.6,
179 max 56.4], none were thrombocytopenic, had creatinine levels outside of the upper reference range,
180 or transaminase levels outside of the reference range, 2/24 (8%) had proteinuria [median 0.17, min

181 0.02, max 4.54], none had PT or aPTT outside of the upper reference range, and 1/24 (4%) had
182 fibrinogen level outside of the upper reference range [median 273 mg/dL (8 μ mol/L), min 150, max
183 926]. None of the dogs in group H presented alterations in CBC, biochemical profile, urinalysis,
184 protein/creatinine ratio, serum protein electrophoresis or standard coagulation profile.

185 There was no significant difference in the mean age between the three groups.

186 The ROTEM results and their comparison are represented as box plots in Figure 5. Comparison of
187 the thromboelastometric variables between groups S and H showed a significant decrease in CT on
188 the ex-TEM profile ($P=0.0002$) and a significant decrease in CFT on the in-TEM ($P=0.0002$) and
189 ex-TEM ($P=0.00001$) profiles for the symptomatic (group S) dogs; furthermore, there was an
190 increase in MCF (in-TEM $P=0.0116$; ex-TEM $P=0.0146$; fib-TEM $P=0.00001$) and α angle (in-
191 TEM $P=0.0001$; ex-TEM $P=0.00001$; fib-TEM $P=0.001$) on all profiles. Comparison of the
192 ROTEM values between group S and group T revealed a significant decrease in CFT on the in-
193 TEM ($P=0.0064$) and ex-TEM ($P=0.00363$) profiles and a significant increase in MCF on the in-
194 TEM ($P=0.00352$) and fib-TEM ($P=0.0009$) profiles for group S; furthermore, there was a
195 significant increase in α angle on the in-TEM ($P=0.0179$) and ex-TEM ($P=0.0074$) profiles. Finally,
196 comparison between groups T and H showed no significant differences, except for a significant
197 decrease in CT on the ex-TEM profile ($P=0.0001$) and a significant increase in MCF ($P=0.00372$)
198 and α angle ($P=0.0259$) on the fib-TEM profile for group T.

199 Variables such as age, creatinine, protein/creatinine ratio, platelet, hematocrit and fibrinogen were
200 compared. Some statistically significant differences emerged for hematocrit and fibrinogen
201 concentration (Table 4).

202 Table 5 reports the results of the forward stepwise linear regression; the data were adjusted for
203 group of belonging. Plasma fibrinogen concentration was significantly associated with all
204 parameters of the ex-TEM profile, with CFT, MCF and α angle of the in-TEM profile, and with CT
205 and MCF on the fib-TEM profile. The variations in hematocrit interfered with α angle on the ex-

206 TEM profile. Platelet concentration, though not significantly different in the three groups, was
207 associated with MCF on both the ex-TEM and in-TEM profiles and with CFT on the in-TEM
208 profile.

209

210 **Discussion**

211 This study evaluated hemostasis in dogs with *Leishmania infantum* and assessed whether the
212 hemostatic differences regressed in the treated dogs.

213 Leishmaniasis is known to stimulate overproduction of antibodies, with nearly no cellular response,
214 forming abundant circulating immune complexes that can deposit in various different tissue and
215 cause inflammation and vasculitis.^{3,32,33} A recent study compared severe visceral leishmaniasis with
216 a systemic inflammatory response syndrome.³⁴ The cell-based model of coagulation allowed to
217 identify a two-way interaction between hemostasis and inflammation; indeed, some prothrombotic
218 activated factors, such as FXIIa, activate neutrophils and complement, stimulate monocytes and the
219 release of inflammatory cytokines, and thrombin has a chemotactic action on neutrophils and
220 macrophages.³⁵ The inflammation that attends the coagulation process alters the cell membrane (the
221 surfaces develop a procoagulant activity), leading to the production of inflammatory cytokines, the
222 expression of tissue factor and a decrease in the production of antithrombin and FXII in the acute
223 phase.³⁶

224 The standard coagulation profiles were normal in all three groups in our study. Previous studies
225 reported normal PT and aPTT in Beagle dogs with experimentally induced leishmaniasis and in
226 naturally infected dogs.^{13,19,20} In contrast, an increase in aPTT was described in dogs with
227 leishmaniasis that showed an increase in alanine aminotransferase, and prolongation of PT and
228 aPTT was noted in a dog with DIC and nephrotic syndrome caused by leishmaniasis.^{9,14,18} From
229 these studies it follows that altered standard coagulation profiles were described in dogs with
230 leishmaniasis and concomitant organ damage or dysfunction.

231 In contrast with previous studies reporting normal fibrinogen levels, we noted an increase in
232 fibrinogen concentration in some group S dogs.^{13,18-20} The body responds to injury and infectious
233 agents with a complex series of events that activate the inflammatory response.³⁷ The increase in
234 fibrinogen could reflect the inflammatory state related to this disease, and the discrepant results
235 obtained in these studies might be due to differences in the populations investigated.

236 The ROTEM results in the group S dogs showed some statistically significant differences as
237 compared to those of the groups T and H but none of the results fell outside of the maximum values
238 of the reference ranges.

239 The dogs in group S showed abnormalities on blood and urine testing typical of dogs with
240 leishmaniasis. Alterations of some hematological or biochemical parameters are known to influence
241 the results of ROTEM/thromboelastography (TEG) analysis.³⁸

242 A recent study found a correlation between haematocrit, platelet count, plasma coagulation factors
243 and ROTEM results in canine whole blood samples.³⁸ Smith et al. (2012) reported that hematocrit
244 was correlated with all parameters on the in-TEM and ex-TEM profiles, except for CT on the in-
245 TEM profile.^{38,39} Decreased hematocrit, despite the presence of red cell mass within the reference
246 ranges, can cause a relatively hypercoagulable trend of ROTEM variables. Furthermore, some
247 studies reported a correlation between platelet concentration and CFT, α angle and MCF on in-
248 TEM and ex-TEM profiles, as well as a significant association between the thromboelastographic
249 (TEG) values of global clot strength (G) and platelet count.^{38,40} Additionally, these studies showed
250 that a reduction in platelet count corresponds to a hypocoagulable tendency in ROTEM and TEG
251 variables.^{38,40} In contrast, a recent study reported a relative hypercoagulable trend in
252 thrombocytopenic dogs with uncomplicated babesiosis.⁴¹

253 Also, plasma fibrinogen concentration has been significantly correlated with CFT, α angle and
254 MCF, regardless of the activator used.³⁸ An increase in fibrinogen concentration causes a
255 hypercoagulable tendency, whereas a decrease leads to a hypocoagulable tendency in ROTEM
256 variables.⁴²

257 Other factors that influence ROTEM results are proteinuria and an increase in azotemia. A recent
258 study identified hypercoagulability by means of TEG in 7 dogs with renal failure and in 11 dogs
259 with severe proteinuria.⁴³

260 In view of these findings, we applied forward stepwise linear regression to determine the influence
261 these factors had on the ROTEM results. Factors such as protein/creatinine ratio, creatinine and
262 platelet count did not differ between the three groups and did not affect the ROTEM variables **on**
263 **comparison among the three** groups. However, platelet count did seem to influence ROTEM
264 variables on intragroup comparison, being directly correlated with MCF on the ex-TEM and in-
265 TEM profiles, and inversely correlated with CFT on the in-TEM profile. **The ROTEM variables**
266 **most affected by platelet count and function were CFT, MCF and α angle which, during a decrease**
267 **in platelet function, could show a hypocoagulable trend. Although thrombocytopenia is reported in**
268 **dogs with *Leishmania*, this condition was not found in this study.^{14,16} These conditions might not**
269 **have been detected for two reasons. First, ROTEM is not the most sensitive tool to assess platelet**
270 **function, and second, the inflammatory condition of these dogs could have activated the coagulation**
271 **process, by tissue factor-mediated thrombin generation, downregulation of physiological**
272 **anticoagulant mechanisms and inhibition of fibrinolysis.⁴⁴ This hemostatic activation might have**
273 **balanced the effect of a decrease in platelet function, precluding the detection of a hypocoagulable**
274 **trend.**

275 Hematocrit and fibrinogen concentrations differed across all three groups. However, **among** group
276 comparison, hematocrit was inversely correlated only with α angle on the ex-TEM profile, whereas
277 fibrinogen concentration was correlated with CFT, MCF and α angle on the in-TEM profile, with
278 all parameters on the ex-TEM profile, and with CT and MCF on the fib-TEM profile. **Then,**
279 **statistically significant differences between groups S versus H and T were due in part to the increase**
280 **in fibrinogen, minimally to the decrease in hematocrit,: thus, *Leishmania* infection and**
281 **inflammation may play a role in activating the coagulation system.^{36,44}**

282 Comparison between groups H and T showed few statistically significant differences, limited to a
283 decrease in CT on the ex-TEM profile and an increase in MCF and α angle on the fib-TEM profile.
284 Since there was no difference in fibrinogen concentration between groups H and T, the persistence
285 of infection and some degree of inflammation might explain the alterations of ROTEM variables.
286 The present study has several limitations. First, the small number of dogs enrolled limits
287 generalization of the results. Second, we did not investigate of inflammatory proteins and
288 mechanisms of inflammation-induced coagulation and fibrinolysis activation (e.g., protein C,
289 protein S, antithrombin, tissue factor pathway inhibitor and plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1).
290 Third, because the study was conducted in a reference hospital, it was not possible to follow up the
291 same symptomatic dogs over the course of their treatment. Such a study design (paired data) could
292 have allowed us to obtain stronger and more generalizable results. Finally, none of the symptomatic
293 dogs in our population presented important organ injury/failure related to leishmaniasis, which
294 might explain the few hemostasis alterations identified.
295 In conclusion, this study showed normal standard coagulation profiles in all three groups.
296 Comparison of the ROTEM variables showed statistically significant differences between group S
297 versus groups T and H, while remaining within the reference ranges. These differences could have
298 partially due to an increase in fibrinogen concentration and minimally to a decrease in hematocrit.
299 In group T most of the hematological, biochemical and urinalysis parameters returned to within the
300 normal ranges and only a few ROTEM variables differed from those of group H. The ROTEM
301 results did not fall outside of the maximum values of the reference ranges and the clinical relevance
302 of this finding is questionable.

303

304 *Footnotes*

305 ^a ADVIA 120 Hematology, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY, USA.

306 ^b ILAB 300 plus, Clinical Chemistry System, Instrumentation Laboratories, Milan, Italy.

307 ^c Multistix 10 SG Reagent Strips, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY, USA.

308 ^d Snap 4 Dx, IDEXX Laboratories, Westbrook, ME, USA.

309 ^e Venosafe 3.8% buffered sodium citrated, Terumo, Leuven, Belgium.

310 ^f Coagulometer StART, Diagnostica Stago, New York, USA.

311 ^g ROTEM, Tem International GmbH, Munich, Germany.

312 ^h Star-TEM 10 (0.2 mol/l CaCl₂ in HEPES buffer pH 7.4 and 0.1% sodium azide in glass vials),
313 Tem International GmbH, Munich, Germany.

314 ⁱ In-TEM (partial thromboplastin phospholipid made of rabbit brain (chloroform extract), ellagic
315 acid, buffer, preservatives in small glass vials), Tem International GmbH, Munich, Germany.

316 ^l Ex-TEM (recombinant tissue factor and phospholipids, heparin inhibitor, preservatives and buffer
317 in small glass vials), Tem International GmbH, Munich, Germany.

318 ^m Fib-TEM (Cytochalasin D / DMSO solution 0.2 mol/l CaCl₂ in HEPES buffer pH 7.4,
319 preservative in glass vials), Tem International GmbH, Munich, Germany.

320 ⁿ Stata Statistical Software: Release 11. StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA.

321

322 **References**

- 323 1. Ciaramella P, Oliva G, De Luna R et al. A retrospective clinical study of canine leishmaniasis in
324 150 dogs naturally infected by *Leishmania infantum*. *Vet Rec* 1997; 141(21): 539-543.
- 325 2. Koutinas AF, Polizopoulou ZS, Saridomichelakis MN et al. Clinical considerations on canine
326 visceral leishmaniasis in Greece: a retrospective study of 158 cases (1989-1996). *J Am Anim Hosp*
327 *Assoc* 1999; 35(5):376-383.
- 328 3. Pumarola M, Brevik L, Badiola J et al. Canine leishmaniasis associated with systemic vasculitis
329 in two dogs. *J Comp Pathol* 1991; 105(3):279-286.
- 330 4. Ridley DS, Ridley M. The evolution of the lesion in cutaneous leishmaniasis. *J Pathol* 1983;
331 141(1), 83-96.

- 332 5. Naranjo C, Fondevila D, Leiva M, Roura X, Peña T. Characterization of lacrimal gland lesions
333 and possible pathogenic mechanisms of keratoconjunctivitis sicca in dogs with leishmaniosis. *Vet*
334 *Parasitol* 2005; 133(1):37-47.
- 335 6. Koutinas AF, Kontos V, Kaldimidrou H, Lekkas S. Canine leishmaniasis-associated
336 nephropathy: a clinical clinicopathologic and pathologic study in 14 spontaneous cases with
337 proteinuria. *Europ J Comp Anim Prac* 1995; 5:31-38.
- 338 7. Zatelli A, Borgarelli M, Santilli R et al. Glomerular lesions in dogs infected with *Leishmania*
339 organisms. *Am J Vet Res* 2003; 64(5):558-561.
- 340 8. Font A, Closa JM, Molina A, Mascort J. Thrombosis and nephrotic syndrome in a dog with
341 visceral leishmaniasis. *J Small Anim Pract* 1993; 34(9), 466-470.
- 342 9. Font A, Gines C, Closa JM, Mascort J. Visceral leishmaniasis and disseminated intravascular
343 coagulation in a dog. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1994; 204(7):1043-1044.
- 344 10. Binhazim AA, Chapman WL Jr, Latimer KS, Styles M, Comer K. Canine leishmaniasis caused
345 by *Leishmania leishmania infantum* in two Labrador retrievers. *J Vet Diagn Invest* 1992; 4(3):299-
346 305.
- 347 11. Ciaramella P, Corona M. Canine Leishmaniasis: clinical and diagnostic aspects. *Comp Cont*
348 *Educ Pract Vet* 2003; 25, 358-369.
- 349 12. Moreno P, Lucena R, Ginel PJ et al. Evaluation of primary haemostasis in canine leishmaniasis.
350 *Vet Rec.* 1998 Jan 24; 142(4):81-83.
- 351 13. Valladares JE, Ruiz De Gopegui R, Riera C et al. Study of haemostatic disorders in
352 experimentally induced leishmaniasis in Beagle dogs. *Res Vet Sci* 1998; 64(3):195-198.
- 353 14. Ciaramella P, Pelagalli A, Cortese L et al. Altered platelet aggregation and coagulation
354 disorders related to clinical findings in 30 dogs naturally infected by *Leishmania infantum*. *Vet J*
355 2005; 169(3):465-467.

- 356 15. Terrazzano G, Cortese L, Piantedosi D et al. Presence of anti-platelet IgM and IgG antibodies in
357 dogs naturally infected by *Leishmania infantum*. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol* 2006; 110(3-4):331-
358 337.
- 359 16. Cortese L, Sica M, Piantedosi D, Ruggiero G. et al. Secondary immune-mediated
360 thrombocytopenia in dogs naturally infected by *Leishmania infantum*. *Vet Rec* 2009;164(25):778-
361 782.
- 362 17. Pelagalli A, Ciaramella P, Lombardi P et al. Evaluation of adenosine 5'-diphosphate (ADP)- and
363 collagen-induced platelet aggregation in canine leishmaniasis. *J Comp Pathol* 2004; 130(2-3):124-
364 129.
- 365 18. Moreno P. Evaluation of secondary haemostasis in canine leishmaniasis. *Vet Rec* 1999;
366 144(7):169-171.
- 367 19. Cortese L, Pelagalli A, Piantedosi D. et al. The effects of prednisone on haemostasis in
368 leishmaniotic dogs treated with meglumine antimoniate and allopurinol. *Vet J* 2008; 177(3):405-
369 410.
- 370 20. Cortese L, Pelagalli A, Piantedosi D et al. Effects of therapy on haemostasis in dogs infected
371 with *Leishmania infantum*, *Ehrlichia canis*, or both combined. *Vet Rec* 2009; 164(14):433-434.
- 372 21. Smith SA. The cell-based model of coagulation. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care (San Antonio)*
373 2009;19(1):3-10.
- 374 22. Otto CM, Rieser TM, Brooks MB, Russell MW. Evidence of hypercoagulability in dogs with
375 parvoviral enteritis. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2000; 217(10):1500-1504.
- 376 23. Donahue SM, Otto CM. Thromboelastography: a tool for measuring hypercoagulability,
377 hypocoagulability and fibrinolysis. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care* 2005; 15(1): 9-16.
- 378 24. Kristensen AT, Wiinberg B, Jessen LR, Andreasen E, Jensen AL. Evaluation of human
379 recombinant tissue factor-activated thromboelastography in 49 dogs with neoplasia. *J Vet Intern*
380 *Med* 2008; 22(1):140-147.

- 381 25. Wiinberg B, Jensen AL, Johansson PI et al. Thromboelastographic evaluation of hemostatic
382 function in dogs with disseminated intravascular coagulation. *J Vet Intern Med* 2008; 22(2):357-
383 365.
- 384 26. Wagg CR, Boysen SR, Bédard C et al. Thrombelastography in dogs admitted to an intensive
385 care unit. *Vet Clin Pathol* 2009; 38(4):453-461.
- 386 27. Kol A, Borjesson DL. Application of thrombelastography/thromboelastometry to veterinary
387 medicine. *Vet Clin Pathol* 2010; 39(4):405-416.
- 388 28. McMichael MA, Smith SA. Viscoelastic coagulation testing: technology, applications, and
389 limitations. *Vet Clin Pathol* 2011; 40(2):140-153.
- 390 29. Paltrinieri S, Solano-Gallego L, Fondati A et al.; Canine Leishmaniasis Working Group, Italian
391 Society of Veterinarians of Companion Animals. Guidelines for diagnosis and clinical classification
392 of leishmaniasis in dogs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2010; 236(11):1184-1191.
- 393 30. Oliva G, Roura X, Crotti A et al. Guidelines for treatment of leishmaniasis in dogs. *J Am Vet*
394 *Med Assoc* 2010; 236(11):1192-1198.
- 395 31. Altman DG. *Practical statistics for medical research*. Ed. Chapman & Hall Chapman & Hall;
396 1991, p. 214.
- 397 32. Liew FY, O'Donnell CA. Immunology of leishmaniasis. *Adv Parasitol* 1993; 32:161–259.
- 398 33. Pinelli E, Killick-Kendrick R, Wagenaar J. et al. Cellular and humoral immune responses in
399 dogs experimentally and naturally infected with *Leishmania infantum*. *Infect Immun* 1994;
400 62(1):229-235.
- 401 34. Costa CH, Werneck GL, Costa DL. et al. Is severe visceral leishmaniasis a systemic
402 inflammatory response syndrome? A case control study. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* 2010; 43(4):386-
403 392.
- 404 35. Schouten M, Wiersinga WJ, Levi M, van der Poll T. Inflammation, endothelium, and
405 coagulation in sepsis. *J Leukoc Biol*. 2008; 83(3):536-545.

- 406 36. Gabay C, Kushner I. Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. *N*
407 *Engl J Med* 1999; 340(6):448-54.
- 408 37. Martínez-Subiela S, Bernal LJ, Cerón JJ. Serum concentrations of acute-phase proteins in dogs
409 with leishmaniosis during short-term treatment. *Am J Vet Res* 2003; 64(8):1021-1026.
- 410 38. Smith SA, McMichael MA, Gilor S, Galligan AJ, Hoh CM. Correlation of hematocrit, platelet
411 concentration, and plasma coagulation factors with results of thromboelastometry in canine whole
412 blood samples. *Am J Vet Res* 2012;73(6):789-798.
- 413 39. Smith SA, McMichael MA, Galligan AJ, Swanson K, Morrissey JH. Effect of in vivo reduction
414 in red blood cells mass on results on canine whole blood thromboelastometry (abstract). *J Thromb*
415 *Hemost* 2009;7:PP-TH-255.
- 416 40. Wiinberg B, Jensen AL, Rozanski E. et al. Tissue factor activated thromboelastography
417 correlates to clinical signs of bleeding in dogs. *Vet J* 2009;179(1):121-129.
- 418 41. Liebenberg C, Goddard A, Wiinberg B. et al. Hemostatic abnormalities in uncomplicated
419 babesiosis (*Babesia rossi*) in dogs. *J Vet Intern Med*; 27(1):150-156.
- 420 42. Nielsen VG, Cohen BM, Cohen E. Effects of coagulation factor deficiency on plasma
421 coagulation kinetics determined via thrombelastography: critical roles of fibrinogen and factors II,
422 VII, X and XII. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2005;49(2):222-231.
- 423 43. Donahue SM, Brooks M, Otto CM. Examination of hemostatic parameters to detect
424 hypercoagulability in dogs with severe protein-losing nephropathy. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care (San*
425 *Antonio)* 2011;21(4):346-355.
- 426 44. Levi M, Keller TT, van Gorp E, ten Cate H. Infection and inflammation and the coagulation
427 system. *Cardiovasc Res* 2003; 60(1):26-39.
- 428 45. Falco S, Bruno B, Maurella C. et al. In vitro evaluation of canine hemostasis following dilution
429 with hydroxyethyl starch (130/0.4) via thromboelastometry. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care (San Antonio)*
430 2012;22(6):640-645.

432

Table 1: Guidelines for diagnosis and clinical classification of leishmaniasis in dogs.^{29,30}

Stage of leishmaniasis	Features
A: Exposed	Includes dogs with negative cytologic, histologic, parasitological and molecular findings and low antibody titers against <i>Leishmania</i> . Dogs are clinically normal or have signs associated with other diseases.
B: Infected	Includes dogs in which parasites have been detected through direct diagnostic methods (eg. microscopic evaluation, organism culture, or PCR assay) and with low antibody titers against <i>Leishmania</i> . Dogs are clinically normal or have signs associated with other diseases.
C: Sick (clinically evident disease)	Includes dogs with positive cytologic results regardless of serologic results, dogs with high antibody titers against <i>Leishmania</i> spp, and rarely infected dogs. One or more clinical signs common to leishmaniasis are present. Given the varied clinical manifestations of the disease, observed signs suggestive of disease can differ from the common clinical signs, as long as they can be clearly associated with ongoing infection. When physical examination does not reveal clinical signs, dogs in this category should still be defined as sick when hematologic, biochemical and urinary alterations common to leishmaniasis are detected. Laboratory changes other than those considered common can also be indicative of disease, provided that they are associated with the infection.

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443 **Table 2:** Current treatment protocols for canine leishmaniosis recommended by the Canine
444 Leishmaniasis Working Group.³⁰
445

Drugs	Dosages
Meglumine antimoniate Allopurinol	100 mg/Kg SC, once a day for 4 weeks or 50 mg/Kg SC, twice a day for 4-8 weeks 10 mg/Kg PO, twice a day for 6-12 months
Miltefosine Allopurinol	2 mg/Kg OS, once a day for 28 days 10 mg/Kg PO, twice a day for 6-12 months

446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461

462 **Table 3:** Institutional reference intervals for ROTEM tests obtained in a group of 45 healthy dogs. ⁴⁵

463

Test	CT s	CFT s	MCF mm	α °
in-TEM	126– 363	47-224	50-75	55-81
ex-TEM	29-92	54-275	36-73	47-79
fib-TEM	14-102	na*	6-26	40-78

464

465

466

467

468

469 * not applicable;

470 Values are expressed as 5th-95th percentile (95% confidence intervals).

471 CT, clotting time; CFT, clot formation time; MCF, maximum clot firmness; alpha, angle α

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488 **Table 4:** Hematocrit and fibrinogen concentrations in groups S, T and H.

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

Variable	Group S	Group T	Group H
Hematocrit L/L	0.33 (0.2-0.42) [32.9% (20-42)] * P=0.001 # P=0.001	0.43 (0.32-0.56) [43.3% (31.6-56.4)] £ P=0.16	0.45 (0.38-0.56) [44.8% (37.6-56)]
Fibrinogen µmol/L	12.45 (5.3-32.2) [424 mg/dL (180-1094)] * P=0.002 # P=0.001	8 (0.29-27.2) [273 mg/dL (150-926)] £ P=0.099	6.8 (3.2-14.2) [230 mg/dL (133-484)]

499

500 Data are expressed as median, minimum and maximum.

501 * statistically significant differences between group S and group H, # statistically significant
502 differences between group S and group T, £ statistically significant differences between group T
503 and group H (P <0.05).

504

505

506

507

508

509

510 **Table 5:** Results of forward stepwise linear regression (P<0.05). All variables that differed between
 511 the groups were analysed.

512

Dependent variables	Independent variables	Log estimate	95% Confidence Interval	Standard Error	P value
in-TEM CFT	Fibrinogen	-0.414	-0.624 - -0.205	0.105	0.01
	Platelet	-0.356	-0.584 - -0.128	0.114	0.003
	Hematocrit	0.021	-0.485 - -0.528	0.254	0.93
in-TEM MCF	Fibrinogen	0.103	0.020 - 0.186	0.041	0.015
	Platelet	0.107	0.014 - 0.2	0.046	0.025
in-TEM α	Fibrinogen	0.107	0.047 - 0.168	0.030	0.001
	Platelet	-0.051	-0.195 - -0.092	0.072	0.475
	Hematocrit	0.056	-0.008 - -0.121	0.032	0.09
ex-TEM CT	Fibrinogen	-0.166	-0.324 - -0.009	0.079	0.038
	Hematocrit	0.279	-0.113 - -0.672	0.197	0.161
ex-TEM CFT	Fibrinogen	-0.518	-0.713 - -0.323	0.097	0.001
	Hematocrit	0.191	-0.310 - -0.693	0.252	0.449
ex-TEM MCF	Fibrinogen	0.113	0.028 - 0.198	0.042	0.01
	Platelet	0.103	0.008 - 0.199	0.047	0.033
ex-TEM α	Fibrinogen	0.147	0.100 - 0.193	0.023	0.001
	Hematocrit	-0.168	-0.283 - -0.053	0.057	0.005
fib-TEM CT	Fibrinogen	-0.211	-0.391 - -0.031	0.903	0.022
	Hematocrit	-0.224	-0.661 - -0.212	0.219	0.31
fib-TEM MCF	Fibrinogen	0.642	0.489 - 0.795	0.076	0.001
	Platelet	0.168	-0.676 - 0.083	0.190	0.124
	Hematocrit	-0.296	-0.006 - -0.342	0.087	0.059
	UPC	0.03	-0.018 - 0.078	0.024	0.22
fib-TEM α	Fibrinogen	0.177	-0.031 - 0.385	0.104	0.09
	Platelet	0.191	-0.049 - 0.431	0.120	0.118

513

514 CT, clotting time (sec); CFT, clot formation time (sec); MCF, maximum clot firmness (mm);

515 ALPHA, angle α (degree); UPC, protein/creatinine ratio

516

517

518

519

520

521

522 Figure legends

523 **Figure 1:** Example of a normal thromboelastogram (ex-TEM profile).

524 CT clotting time, CFT clot formation time, MCF maximum clot firmness.

525 **Figure 2:** Pie chart of breed distribution in group S (symptomatic dogs before treatment).

526 Others breed: Great Dane, Pug, Dobermann, Galgo, Siberian husky, and English Mastiff.

527 **Figure 3:** Pie chart of breed distribution in group T (asymptomatic dogs after treatment).

528 Others breed: Great Dane, Galgo, Labrador retriever and English setter.

529 **Figure 4:** Pie chart of breed distribution in group H (control group).

530 **Figure 5:** Box plots representing the significant differences in the ROTEM parameters between the
531 three groups. Outliers have been excluded ($P < 0.05$).

532 Group S symptomatic dogs before treatment, Group T asymptomatic dogs after treatment, Group H
533 control group. CT clotting time (sec); CFT clot formation time (sec); MCF maximum clot firmness
534 (mm); ALPHA alpha angle (degree).

535 * statistically significant differences between group S and group H. In-TEM profile: CFT
536 ($P=0.0002$); MCF ($P=0.0116$); α angle ($P=0.0001$). Ex-TEM profile: CT ($P=0.0002$); CFT,
537 ($P=0.00001$); MCF ($P=0.0146$); α angle ($P=0.00001$). Fib-TEM profile: MCF ($P=0.00001$); α
538 angle ($P=0.001$).

539 # statistically significant differences between group S and group T. In-TEM profile: CFT
540 ($P=0.0064$); MCF ($P=0.00352$); α angle ($P=0.0179$). Ex-TEM profile: CFT ($P=0.00363$); MCF
541 ($P=0.0146$); α angle ($P=0.0074$). Fib-TEM profile: MCF ($P=0.0009$).

542 £ statistically significant differences between group T and group H. Ex-TEM profile: CT
543 ($P=0.0001$); Fib-TEM profile: MCF ($P=0.00372$); α angle ($P=0.0259$).

544

545

546