

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Green job bio-aerosol exposure during anaerobic digestion for biomass energetic valorisation

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/155204> since

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.envres.2015.02.035

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH, 138, 2015, 10.1016/j.envres.2015.02.035.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

- (1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.
- (2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.
- (3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en>), 10.1016/j.envres.2015.02.035

The publisher's version is available at:

<http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0013935115000705>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2318/155204>

1 **TITLE:**

2 Green job bio-aerosol exposure during anaerobic digestion for biomass energetic valorisation

3

4 **AUTHORS:**

5 Traversi Deborah¹, Ilaria Gorrasi¹, Sara Bonetta¹, Riccardo Leinardi ¹, Biancamaria Pietrangeli²,
6 Elisabetta Carraro ¹, Giorgio Gilli¹

7

8 **AFFILIATIONS:**

9 ¹ Department of Public Health and Pediatrics, University of Torino, piazza Polonia 94, 10126
10 Torino, Italy

11 ² Department Productive Plants & Environment Interaction (DIPIA), National Institute of
12 Occupational Safety & Prevention INAIL, I-00184 Rome, Italy

13

14 ***CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

15 Deborah Traversi

16 Tel: +390116705822 Fax: +390116705874

17 Department of Public Health and Pediatrics, University of Torino, piazza Polonia 94, 10126 Torino,
18 Italy

19 e-mail: deborah.traversi@unito.it

20

21 **SHORT RUNNING TITLE:**

22 Occupational anaerobic digestion exposure

23

24 **KEYWORDS:** anaerobic digestion, PM10, fine PM, endotoxin, bioaerosol, occupational exposure,
25 green jobs

26

27

28 **ABBREVIATIONS:**

29 AD: Anaerobic Digestion

30 CAFOs: Confined Animal Feeding Operations

31 GIMC: Global Index of Microbial Contamination

32 MBC: Mesophilic Bacteria Contamination

33 PM: particulate matter

34 PM10: PM in which 50% of particles have an aerodynamic diameter of less than 10 μm

35 PM3: PM in which particles have an aerodynamic diameter of less than 3 μm

36 PM0.49: PM in which particles have an aerodynamic diameter of less than 0.49 μm

37 PM_{10.0-7.2}: PM in which particles have an aerodynamic diameter from 10 to 7.2 μm

38 PM_{7.2-3.0}: PM in which particles have an aerodynamic diameter from 7.2 to 3.0 μm

39 PM_{3.0-1.5}: PM in which particles have an aerodynamic diameter from 3.0 to 1.5 μm

40 PM_{1.5-0.95}: PM in which particles have an aerodynamic diameter from 1.5 to 0.95 μm

41 PM_{0.95-0.49}: PM in which particles have an aerodynamic diameter ranged 0.95 to 0.49 μm

42 EU: endotoxin unit

43

44

45 **ABSTRACT**

46 Green economy expansion implies that the risk profile for green occupational jobs also increases.
47 One of the broadest green sectors in terms of growth is the anaerobic digestion of biomasses, and
48 in recent years, this development has also interested Italian regions. The management of biomass
49 includes biological risk and the risk of particulate and endotoxin exposure. In the present work, we
50 evaluated airborne exposure for anaerobic digestion workers in two real-scale plants. Digested
51 biomass has different origins, ranging from cattle sludge and manure to poultry manure to
52 agricultural harvesting or processing residues, especially from maize and fruits. Two sampling
53 points were chosen: at the first, the input biomasses were stored and the hopper was loaded, and
54 at the second, the digested sludge exited the digester. The microbiological parameters, assessed
55 using an active sampler and cultural method, were the total bacteria counts at 22, 37, and 55°C,
56 including yeasts, fungi, Pseudomonaceae, *Clostridia spp.*, Enterobacteriaceae and Actinomycetes.
57 Moreover, at the same sampling points, we evaluated six PM10 fraction levels (10.0-7.2, 7.2-3.0,
58 3.0-1.5, 1.5-0.95, 0.95-0.49, and <0.49 μm) and the endotoxin content of each fraction. In this
59 investigation, the microbe contamination of the air varied from low to high levels, while the PM10
60 and endotoxin levels were limited, reaching rural environmental levels (61.40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and 18.88
61 EU/ m^3 , respectively). However, contamination and occupational risk must be evaluated
62 individually for each plant because numerous variables influence risk magnitude, with particular
63 regard to digested sludge treatments, such as input biomass nature, storage, movement
64 conditions, building configuration and technological processes.

65

66 **1. INTRODUCTION**

67 Currently, there is a worldwide incentive to make different aspects of the economy and job market
68 “green” (WHO, 2014); energy and raw material prices are increasing, producing an increasing
69 pressure to adopt more ecological production methods in order to limit global warming and avoid
70 irreversible climate change (Neira, 2010). A “green revolution” of the economy represents a huge
71 opportunity to start new businesses, develop new lower energy consumption markets, incentivise
72 the activities and investments of companies in local communities, and decrease disparities caused
73 by poor access to energy sources (WHO, 2011). In Canada and North America, these
74 considerations have led to an enormous development in “green” industry, which has generated
75 significant economic growth(UNEP, 2008). Europe arrived later but is now undergoing full
76 development; estimates say that in 2009, approximately 3.4 million persons worked in the “green-
77 jobs” branch, and, when the combination of related activities is considered, that number expands
78 to 8.5 million (ILO, 2012).

79 In Italy in 2010, there were approximately 100,000 workers in green industries, and it is thought
80 that the number will reach 250,000 in 2020, with the majority involved in bioenergy (more than
81 100,000 workers), followed by aeolian (80,000) and solar (50,000) energy branches (R.E.R., 2012).
82 Green jobs are, to some extent, activities that predict previously evaluated risks, but with a
83 different scope and exposition in connection to newly applied technology (ILO, 2012). However, it
84 is important to complete an evaluation process regarding new or re-emergent risks with regard to
85 newly applied technologies (Omar et al., 2013).

86 The renewable energy sources that have been developed recently in Italy include biogas, obtained
87 from the anaerobic digestion of agricultural and livestock biomasses. According to a recent
88 investigation, in the last few years, the number of such plants in Italy has grown by more than 75%
89 and now numbers over 520 plants, with most of them in northern Italy (Fabbri 2011).In the biogas
90 production supply chain, various work-linked risks can be identified, such as explosive, chemical
91 and biological risks. In general, these are not novel risks, but they are minimised in magnitude with
92 respect to similar activities such as concentrated animal feeding, composting or waste water
93 treatment operations (Szadkowska-Stanczyk et al., 2010). In connection with used materials,
94 including vegetable, food production residuals and animal biomasses, and with the properties of
95 fermentation, biological risk deserves particular attention (Pankhurst et al., 2011). Fermentation
96 biomass is rich in microorganisms, including pathogens and opportunistic pathogens, and

97 anaerobic processes could lead to the selection of microbial flora to promote the presence of
98 anaerobic microorganisms, e.g., clostridia, that are less represented initially (Li et al., 2014).
99 Italian law on occupational safety (D.Lgs n. 81/2008) identifies a biological agent as “any
100 microorganism, even a genetically modified, cellular culture or human endo-parasitic organism,
101 that could cause infection, allergy or toxicity”, while the bioaerosol definition in American
102 Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists states explicitly that a microorganism’s
103 fragments and microorganism-derived particles are included (ACGIH, 2006). Thus, evaluation of
104 risk for workers linked to bioaerosol exposition includes an evaluation of airborne microorganisms
105 and also of all biological components conveyed as particulates. Breathable particulates (PM₁₀) can
106 settle in different regions of the respiratory tree, depending on particle size; in particular, larger
107 particles settle within the first tract of the tree (10-6 µm), while smaller particles (< 6 µm) can
108 reach the deepest regions, and particles with aerodynamic diameters < 3 µm are able to arrive in
109 alveolar cells (WHO, 2006).
110 Endotoxins are among the natural components of breathable particulates; they are
111 lipopolysaccharide components of the bacterial cell wall external membranes of gram-negative
112 bacteria. Considering their dimensional features, they can also settle within the respiratory tree,
113 resulting in the development of systemic effects (asthma, ODS syndrome, etc.) (Liebers et al.,
114 2006). The presence of endotoxins in bioaerosols is not negligible; in fact, it is verifiable that an
115 increase of their concentration is caused by intensive feeding or breeding. Endotoxins are found
116 mostly in the coarse and fine fractions of PM₁₀, contributing strongly to the pathogenicity of these
117 particles (Liebers et al., 2008).
118 The aim of this work is to evaluate the exposure risk to bioaerosols and particulate matter in
119 biogas production plants. To this end, we have analysed two real-scale plants located in Piedmont,
120 monitoring the activity of airborne microorganisms and fractionated PM₁₀. For each PM_{x-y}, we
121 evaluated the presence of bacterial endotoxins.

122

123 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

124 **2.1 Anaerobic digestion plants**

125 AD is a natural process where biomasses are broken down by micro-organisms in the absence of
126 air, the operations begin when biomass reaches the AD plant and it is loaded into the hopper or
127 directly into the digester. Then the naturally selected microbiota inside the digester is able to

128 produce the biogas, mainly composed by methane and carbon dioxide. The remaining material,
129 called digested sludge, can be used as a fertilizer frequently after a de-watering phase.

130 The biogas plants treating agricultural and livestock biomasses are the most diffused in Italy and
131 more than 70% of these are located in Piedmont (ENAMA, 2011). The evaluation of the general
132 process into this kind of plants showed that most of the process is conducted into closed pipelines
133 and digester but few critical phases for occupation exposure can be pointed, for example, as
134 chosen in the present study: the digester load phase (first sample site) and the sludge exiting
135 phase (second sample site).

136 The first plant (Coop. Speranza A.r.l.), hereafter referred to as the S-plant, was situated in Candiolo
137 (\approx 5600 inhabitants) in the vicinity of Turin (<20 km); the second plant (Marco Polo S.p.A.),
138 hereafter referred to as the M-plant, was situated in Vignolo (\approx 2500 inhabitants), located
139 approximately 100 km from Turin near Cuneo city (<10 km). Both plants are on level land and
140 away from population centres. However, the M-plant is located near a provincial town area.

141 The two plants use different feedstocks: silage, corn cobs, fruit and vegetable waste and cattle
142 manure for the S-plant, and cattle and poultry manure and vegetal biomass from dedicated crops,
143 especially corn, for the M-plant.

144 In the S-plant, the two sampling points in the same service area so partially overlapping are
145 outdoors, and the digestate is taken directly and spread in a surrounding field. Solid biomasses are
146 stored outdoor in a platform near the loading hopper. The operator loads biomass on the tractor
147 and once arrives near the hopper overturns in it the content, while the sewage reaches directly the
148 digester through pipes which come from the storage tank. The output operations concern the
149 charge in a cistern, linked to a tractor, of the semi-solid digested material, stored in a underground
150 cistern: this operation is repeated several times in a day, depending on the need of fertilizing
151 fields. The operator is in a close cabin with air conditioned and filters. Only sporadically he goes
152 out from the cabin for tractor servicing or for looking at the hopper load level.

153 In the M-plant, the first sampling site is located in a biomass storage shed, both for solid
154 biomasses and liquid cattle manure tank, where the hopper is loaded, and the second site is in a
155 half-closed shed where the solid digestate product exits separately from the liquid component.
156 The difference between the indoor and outdoor environments for operational activities is partly
157 dictated by the plants' differing distances from highly populated areas moreover the input
158 biomasses has generally more odour release than output sludge, especially if already separated

159 from the liquid fraction. In M-plant the liquid is stored in a tank and reused into the digester while
160 the solid is stored outside a canopy, where it is enlivened by an operator daily, with an excavator.
161 The samplings were performed in the spring of 2013 (May and June) the normal working activities
162 of employees. Typically the bio aerosol and the endotoxin exposure are higher in spring and
163 summer when the temperature is favouring for the microbial growth (Traversi et al., 2010).
164 For both the plants, our microbiological sampling was done during input and output operations
165 while PM sampling lasted 4 hours and was made in correspondence of the sites where input and
166 output operations were conducted and included moments in which operations were effectively
167 done. The duration of the operator exposure in input and output operations is about 2 hours/day.
168 However our sampling can be indeed as areal and not personal samples.

169

170 **2.2 Bioaerosol sampling and analysis**

171 Bioaerosol sampling was performed by a DUO SAS Super 180 sampler (PBI International), which
172 allows microbial monitoring through the use of air contact on apposite Petri plates. For each
173 parameter, various volumes were initially tested to obtain legible plates. Eight microbiologic
174 parameters were chosen as described in Table 1: total bacteria as environmental contamination
175 indicator (sampled volume: 200 air litres outdoor and 50 litres indoor), total bacteria as
176 animal/human contamination indicator (sampled volume: 500 air litres outdoor and 100 litres
177 indoor), total thermophilic bacteria (sampled volume: 500 litres outdoor and 200 litres indoor),
178 fungi and yeasts (sampled volume: 500 litres outdoor and 50 litres indoor), Pseudomonaceae as
179 biofilm formation indicator, *Clostridia spp.* to evaluate the possible anaerobic digestion selection,
180 Enterobacteriaceae as gut contamination indicator (we sampled 1000 air litres both outdoor and
181 indoor), finally, Actinomycetes as another environmental microbiologic component probably
182 linked to such biomasses. All microbiologic indicators were sampled at the selected volume with at
183 least three different plates for total counts; more plates were sampled as previously suggested for
184 this type of sampling (Sanchez-Munoz et al., 2012).

185 At the end of sampling, plates were removed, quickly transported to the lab, and placed in a
186 thermostat controlled environment set at the opportune temperature. Growth conditions are
187 listed in Table 1. To evaluate microbiological contamination levels, concise indicators proposed for
188 work environments have also been used; these allow assessments for indoor (INAIL, 2010) and
189 outdoor (Grisoli et al., 2009; Grover et al., 2006) environments. The results are also expressed as

190 GIMC (Global Index of Microbial Contamination) and MBC (Mesophilic Bacteria Contaminations)
191 (Dacarro et al., 2000; Dacarro et al., 2005; Grisoli et al., 2012).

192

193 **2.3 PM_x-y sampling**

194 PM₁₀ samples were collected using a Sierra-Andersen high volume cascade impactor (AirFlow
195 PM₁₀-HVS sampler which a multi-stage cascade impactor, with pre-selector complies with EN-
196 12341 norm by Analitica Strumenti) at a flow electronically controlled at 1.27 m³ min⁻¹. Sampling
197 durations of PM_x was 4 hours and was repeated 12 times (6 times per plant) in 6 different size
198 ranges. Firstly, the PM₁₀ was selected by a pre-selector, then the multistage impactor determined
199 the division of different particle sizes of the sampled particles by differentiation of the
200 aerodynamic diameter, which is able to identify the type of trajectory that particles take inside the
201 suction flow related to the three main aerodynamic factors of the particles themselves:
202 dimension, shape and density (Analitica Strumenti). Particles having sufficient inertia will impact
203 on that particular stage collection plate, whilst smaller particles will remain entrained in the air
204 stream and pass to the next stage where the process is repeated. The stages are assembled in a
205 stack or row in order of decreasing particle size.

206 Particle size fractions are: 10.0-7.2, 7.2-3.0, 3.0-1.5, 1.5-0.95, 0.95-0.49, and <0.49 μm. Glass
207 microfiber filters with ten splits (Type A/E, 8"x10", Gelman Sciences, Michigan, USA) were used to
208 collect particles on each impactor plate; at the end, glass microfiber filters (20.32 x 25.40 cm, Pall
209 Corporation, NY, USA) were present as back-up filters to collect the finest particles (<0.49 μm). All
210 filters (approximately 80) were pre- and post-conditioned by placing them in a dry and dark
211 environment for 48 h, then weighed in a room with controlled temperature and humidity. Each
212 sampling session was carried out for a total of approximately 4 h each day. In each session, when
213 possible, we collected samples at the two different sites. The PM_x concentration in the air volume
214 sampled was calculated as previously described (Traversi et al., 2011; Traversi et al., 2010).

215

216 **2.4 Gravimetric and endotoxin analysis**

217 Each filter was treated individually. Different portions of the filters were used for extraction: one
218 half (51.75 cm²) of the impactor plate filters and one-eighth (70 cm²) following a radial portioning,
219 of the back-up filters. Each portion was cut in single strips and placed in a 50 ml sterile
220 polypropylene pyrogen-free tube with 15 ml of RPMI-1640 medium and supplemented with
221 0.025% Tween-20. The tubes with the filter's stripes were placed in an ultrasonic water bath for 10

222 minutes and then vortexed for 30 seconds. This procedure was repeated three times. The samples
223 were then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes to remove the glass fibre, and the supernatant
224 was collected in clean tubes. The suggested standard procedure for storage and determination
225 was followed (Duquenne et al., 2013; Paba et al., 2013). The resulting clear supernatant was
226 assayed for endotoxin evaluation. If not otherwise specified, all chemicals were purchased from
227 Sigma, USA.

228

229 **2.5 Statistics**

230 Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Package, version 21.0. We applied (1) a log
231 transformation of non-normally distributed data, (2) the Spearman rank-order correlation
232 coefficient to assess relationships between variables, (3) a T-test to compare means, and (4) an
233 ANOVA for multivariate analysis, in which we assumed an equal variance, followed by a Tukey
234 post-hoc test for multiple comparisons. The mean differences and correlations were considered
235 significant if $p < 0.05$ and highly significant if $p < 0.01$.

236

237 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

238

239 **3.1 Microbiologic determination**

240 Table 2 showed the microbiological evaluation on the sampled air for the two sites at the M and S
241 plants. As expected, the higher the microbe indicator is when the environmental total bacteria is
242 significantly higher than the others (ANOVA $p = 0.023$, $F = 2.482$). In decreasing order, we find the
243 total bacteria at 37°C to be fungus and yeast, thermophilic bacteria, Actinomycetes and Clostridia,
244 and finally Pseudomonaceae and Enterobacteriaceae.

245 Our environmental total bacteria results are in the range of the mesophilic bacteria observed in
246 composting facilities and are equal to 10^2 - 10^8 UFC/m³. The same evidence is observable for
247 thermophilic Actinomycetes and moulds (Le Goff et al., 2010; Le Goff et al., 2012; Le Goff et al.,
248 2011; Wery, 2014).

249 Adherence was observable for other microbiologic indicators such as Enterobacteriaceae. Even if
250 the microbiologic parameter is often split into more specific ones such as enterococci and faecal
251 coliforms (Heinonen-Tanski et al., 2009), Pseudomonaceae were less present than in other types
252 of biomass facilities (Liang et al., 2013), especially in semi outdoor or outdoor sampling sites.
253 Clostridia were generally associated with a soil contaminated environment, near municipally

254 landfill sites, in a range comparable to our data (Kalwasinska and Burkowska, 2013); moreover, a
255 marked selection of the anaerobic digestion process was not observed on Clostridia growth (Li et
256 al., 2014).

257 Comparing the two plants, we observed a greater contamination in the M-plant for the bacteria
258 count (T-test $p < 0.01$); moreover, this evidence is confirmed also for moulds and Actinomycetes
259 and Pseudomonaceae (T-test $p < 0.05$). The comparison between the first steps of the operation in
260 the plant during the hopper loading and the last steps during the digested sludge exiting showed a
261 large amount of contamination in the first steps; however, this is because of the characteristics of
262 the sampling site, an indoor site in the M-plant. Considering separately the two plants, we
263 observed a generally comparable contamination of the input and output operation in the S-plant;
264 only the total bacteria at 37°C is greater in the output operation. Considering only the M-plant, all
265 the parameters were significantly greater in the hopper loading indoor sampling site (T-test,
266 $p < 0.05$, bacteria and fungal T-test $p > 0.01$).

267 Moreover, the microbiologic indicators with a higher level of UFC/m³ are significantly correlated
268 each other (Spearman's rho > 0.650 $p < 0.01$) and the thermophilic bacteria, fungal and yeast
269 amounts are significantly correlated with the fraction 7.2-3 µm (Spearman's rho > 0.650 $p < 0.05$).

270

271 **3.2 PM_{x-y}**

272 In figure 1, in the PM_{x-y} levels, the particles with an aerodynamic diameter comprised between 10
273 and 7.2 µm and the particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than 0.49 µm are shown to have
274 the highest mass with respect to the other (ANOVA $p < 0.01$, $F = 6.972$). The PM₁₀ was higher at the
275 M-plant in both the sampling sites and at the digested sludge exiting of the S-plant. In this last
276 operation, there was frequent transit of the sludge spreading vehicle, which could influence the
277 mass of the 10-7.2 µm fraction. The semi-indoor and indoor characteristic of the M-plant sampling
278 sites justified the higher level of the PM₁₀, with PM₁₀ levels often reaching above 50 µg/m³. The
279 mean values are not high (28.9 µg/m³) for such sampling sites; however, the mean values are
280 higher than the range of the mean levels at a rural site, approximately 15 µg/m³ (Heal and
281 Hammonds, 2014; Provincia Torino, 2014; Querol et al., 2014). Also the PM₃ (as a result of the
282 finest fraction sum) is around 60% higher than the PM_{2.5} rural background level (8 µg/m³) (Heal
283 and Hammonds, 2014; Querol et al., 2014). A statistically significant difference between the plants
284 is observable only for the intermediate PM_{x-y} (T-test $p < 0.05$).

285

286 **3.3 Endotoxin determination**

287 In this study, the endotoxin expressed in terms of EU/mg ranged from 5 to 3220, with a mean of
288 428. Figure 2 shows that the endotoxin evaluation amount is very limited especially considering
289 the intermediate PM10 fractions. The endotoxin pollution, for the two plants, is quite low and
290 comparable to levels observed in other studies for waste collection and treatment plants
291 (Duquenne et al., 2013). Moreover the recorded values are comparable to those showed in other
292 studies on inhalable particles sampled in life environment (Fromme et al., 2013) and a rural site in
293 summer (Ferguson et al., 2013). While, very contaminated sites, such as poultry house, showed
294 levels of at least two order of magnitude above (Lawniczek-Walczyk et al., 2013)

295 On the other hand, the ratio between endotoxin in PM₃ (as a sum of the finest fractions) with
296 respect to the endotoxin in the PM₃₋₁₀ (as a sum of the less fine fractions) is equal to 4 because of
297 the higher endotoxin presence in the finest particles (>0.49 µm). This evidence is not comparable
298 to the general literature that observes endotoxins, especially in the coarse fraction (Chang et al.,
299 2014). This incongruity could be justified considering two evidences. Firstly the finest fraction is
300 the most relevant both to the mass and, of course, particle numbers during our sampling activities
301 and the ratio between PM₃ and PM₃₋₁₀ is at least 4 with an average, for all sampling, equal to 8.
302 Secondly, other previous studies showed the possible association of the endotoxin with the finest
303 fraction with respect to the coarse, with particular regard to indoor and semi-indoor sampling
304 sites (Paba et al., 2013).

305

306 **3.4 Occupational risk evaluation**

307 Table 3 shows the levels for each evaluated risk factor as a maximum and mean observed values;
308 moreover, the last column reported is a reference guide line for human health suggested in the
309 literature both for occupational and life environments. Of course such reference are not perfectly
310 comparable in terms of averaging time and sampling methods especially for PM guide line value
311 (Krzyzanowski and Cohen, 2008) however the comparison could be useful to place such pollution
312 into the occupational and environmental exposure characterization contest.

313 It is important to highlight that the operators exposed are normally limited (three for each plants
314 during our samplings) and the duration of their exposure is globally limited in routinely conditions,
315 at least a couple of hours day. Following the risk evaluation for the two plants are discussed.

316 Considering the microbiologic health risk assessment as GIMC and MBC ratio for the S-plant
317 workers, we have to note that the sampling site could be considered to have generally low

318 contamination. It is possible that the contamination can reach an intermediate level but without a
319 worsening due to the MBC ratio. Moreover, no particular relevance can be observed for
320 microbiologic parameters both linked to biofilm formation (as risk factor for respiratory diseases
321 and contact dermatitis) (Sethi, 2013; Williams et al., 2010) and to gastroenteritis (Latasa et al.,
322 2005). No occupational hazard can be individuated for PM_x or endotoxin exposure. The particulate
323 is near environmental levels recorded into the north Italy (Pianura Padana)(Traversi et al., 2008)
324 and no hazard evidence is clear for finest fraction near the background levels (WHO-Europe,
325 2013). The endotoxins associated with the inhalable particles are widely below the occupational
326 safe guide lines (DECOS, 2010; Duquenne et al., 2013). Thus, the risk control in such outdoor sites
327 can be obtained by applying a good work practice and for the biologic risk using protective
328 measures provided by the equipment such as filtering the cabin and using individual protective
329 devices.

330 For the M-plant the microbiologic risk is significant and great attention to risk management is
331 necessary, especially in the hopper loading indoor operations (table 1). Moreover, the GIMC
332 showed a very high contamination level (table 3). However, this risk can be managed using
333 occupational safety measures, including limited and protected access to the hangar, the use of the
334 appropriated control measures and avoiding access during hopper mixing. This last operation is
335 also characterised by ammonia and hydrogen sulphide liberation from the mixed biomasses that
336 represents an additional chemical hazard for the workers (Malhautier et al., 2003). In this study
337 such chemicals were not objected of samplings but their presence were smelling recognised.
338 However, the MBC level is not an aggravating factor. The PM levels, even if far from reaching the
339 occupational limit of exposure (Forstater, 2004; Lacey et al., 2006), are quite above the
340 environmental guide line values, especially in the hangar for biomass storage and hopper loading,
341 where the levels are double the outdoor ones. However this can't be considered a not respect of
342 an occupational limit exposure but only a caution call in the occupational risk manage. Finally the
343 endotoxin content is largely below occupational limits (Duquenne et al., 2013).

344 These are two biomasses plants operating into our territory. They can be considered examples of
345 the current practices. The transformation of such kind of plant, from only agricultural and livestock
346 to also energy production, introduced a different risk profile for the operators. This aspect is
347 generally underestimated for the occupational health and safety manage and the large number of
348 plants are maintaining for the operators the same individual risk profile evaluation than before the
349 plant transformation.

350

351 **4. CONCLUSION**

352 Our findings highlighted the necessity of an occupational risk re-evaluation for anaerobic digestion
353 workers. This evaluation has to be focussed on the biological and chemical risks linked to the
354 biomass movement; of course other risks such as explosive, electric, motor vehicle transit, and so
355 on can be identified and evaluated as well. Moreover, the comparison of the two plants showed
356 different contamination levels in relation to the involved biomasses and to the technological and
357 building characteristics, so a single plant approach has to be adopted. In general, we can assume,
358 after this work, that PM10 and its associated endotoxin exposure are not a relevant risk for
359 anaerobic digestion workers, while, the biologic risk has to be carefully quantified and managed
360 especially in indoor environment. Moreover additional specific assessment could be necessary for
361 emerging pathogens such as virus.

362

363 **5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

364 The authors wish to thank the Italian "Ministero della Salute" and INAIL organisation (coordinator
365 of the project) for funding, CCM 2011 call. Special acknowledgments are due to dott. Carlo
366 Vanzetti and sig. Angelo of the Cooperativa Speranza (TO) and to the dott. Lorenzo Bertolotto of
367 the Marco Polo S.p.A. for the authorisation and support of the sampling activities. Finally, the
368 authors thank all of the collaborators employed in the anaerobic digestion plant.

369

370 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

371 **Figure 1** - PM10 and its fraction concentrations observed during the sampling in the two different
372 anaerobic digestion plants (S and M) divided by sample point: one for biomass storage and loading
373 into the hopper and one for digestate output.

374 **Figure 2** - Endotoxin concentrations assessed in PMx observed during the sampling in the two
375 different anaerobic digestion plants (S and M) divided by sample point: one for biomass storage
376 and loading into the hopper and one for digestate output.

377

378 **TABLE LEGENDS**

379 **Table 1** - Selected parameters for bioaerosol sampling and the cultural method adopted for each
380 one.

381 **Table 2** - Microbiologic contamination level assessed during sampling in the two different
382 anaerobic digestion plants (S and M) divided by sample point, one for biomass storage and loading
383 into the hopper and one for digestate output.

384 **Table 3** - Risk evaluation comparing the data from the sampling as maximum and mean value
385 observed for each plant to a reference guide value for human health protection for the assessed
386 parameters from bioaerosol, PM_{x-y} and its endotoxin content. The GIMC and the MBC was
387 assessed as previously proposed (Dacarro et al., 2000).

388

6. REFERENCES

- 391 ACGIH, *TLVs® and BEIs®*. Based on the documentation of the threshold limit values for chemical substances and physical agents and
392 biological exposure indices. . In: A. C. o. G. I. Hygienists, (Ed.), Cincinnati, OH, 2006.
- 393 Chang, M. W., et al., 2014. Bioaerosols from a Food Waste Composting Plant Affect Human Airway
394 Epithelial Cell Remodeling Genes. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public
395 Health*. 11, 337-354.
- 396 Dacarro, C., et al., 2000. [Proposed microbiological indexes for the assessment of air quality in buildings]. *G
397 Ital Med Lav Ergon*. 22, 229-35.
- 398 Dacarro, C., et al., 2005. Micro-organisms and dust exposure in an Italian grain mill. *J Appl Microbiol*. 98,
399 163-71.
- 400 DECOS, Endotoxins. helath-based recommended occupational exposure limit. . In: N.2010/040SH, (Ed.), The
401 Hague, 2010.
- 402 Duquenne, P., et al., 2013. Measurement of Endotoxins in Bioaerosols at Workplace: A Critical Review of
403 Literature and a Standardization Issue. *Annals of Occupational Hygiene*. 57, 137-172.
- 404 ENAMA, Biomassa ed energia - Censimento di impianti biocarburanti di seconda generazione e casi studio.
405 Ministero delle Politiche Agricole e Forestali, Italy, 2011.
- 406 Fabbri, C. P., S., 2011. Il biogas accelera la corsa verso gli obiettivi 2020. Supplemento L'Informatore Agrario 26.
- 407 Ferguson, M. D., et al., 2013. Comparison of how ambient PMc and PM2.5 influence the inflammatory
408 potential. *Inhal Toxicol*. 25, 766-73.
- 409 Forstater, M., 2004. **Green jobs: addressing the critical issues surrounding the environment,
410 workplace and employment.** *Int. J. Environment, Workplace and Employment*. Vol. 1.
- 411 Fromme, H., et al., 2013. Airborne allergens, endotoxins, and particulate matter in elementary schools,
412 results from Germany (LUPE 2). *J Occup Environ Hyg*. 10, 573-82.
- 413 Grisoli, P., et al., 2012. Evaluation of microbiological air quality and of microclimate in university
414 classrooms. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 184, 4171-4180.
- 415 Grisoli, P., et al., 2009. Assessment of airborne microorganism contamination in an industrial area
416 characterized by an open composting facility and a wastewater treatment plant. *Environmental
417 Research*. 109, 135-142.
- 418 Grover, V. P. B., et al., 2006. Current and future applications of magnetic resonance imaging and
419 spectroscopy of the brain in hepatic encephalopathy. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*. 12, 2969-
420 2978.
- 421 Heal, M. R., Hammonds, M. D., 2014. Insights into the composition and sources of rural, urban and roadside
422 carbonaceous PM(1)(0). *Environ Sci Technol*. 48, 8995-9003.
- 423 Heinonen-Tanski, H., et al., 2009. Airborne enteric coliphages and bacteria in sewage treatment plants.
424 *Water Res*. 43, 2558-66.
- 425 ILO, **Working towards sustainable development - Opportunities for decent work and
426 social inclusion in a green economy.** Vol. ISBN: 978-92-2-126378-4 (print). International Labour
427 Organization Labour Office. - Geneva: ILO, 2012, 2012.
- 428 INAIL, Il monitoraggio microbiologico negli ambienti di lavoro. Campionamento e analisi. . Vol. Linee Guida CONTARP, 2010.
- 429 Kalwasinska, A., Burkowska, A., 2013. Municipal landfill sites as sources of microorganisms potentially
430 pathogenic to humans. *Environmental Science-Processes & Impacts*. 15, 1078-1086.
- 431 Krzyzanowski, M., Cohen, A., 2008. Update of WHO air quality guidelines. *Air Quality Atmosphere and
432 Health*. 1, 7-13.
- 433 Lacey, S. E., et al., 2006. Personal dust exposures at a food processing facility. *J Agromedicine*. 11, 49-58.
- 434 Latasa, C., et al., 2005. BapA, a large secreted protein required for biofilm formation and host colonization
435 of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis. *Molecular Microbiology*. 58, 1322-1339.
- 436 Lawniczek-Walczyk, A., et al., 2013. Occupational exposure to airborne microorganisms, endotoxins and
437 beta-glucans in poultry houses at different stages of the production cycle. *Annals of Agricultural
438 and Environmental Medicine*. 20, 259-268.

439 Le Goff, O., et al., 2010. The microbial signature of aerosols produced during the thermophilic phase of
440 composting. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 108, 325-340.

441 Le Goff, O., et al., 2012. A new combination of microbial indicators for monitoring composting bioaerosols.
442 *Atmospheric Environment*. 61, 428-433.

443 Le Goff, O., et al., 2011. New specific indicators for qPCR monitoring of airborne microorganisms emitted by
444 composting plants. *Atmospheric Environment*. 45, 5342-5350.

445 Li, X. X., et al., 2014. Microbial diversity and community distribution in different functional zones of
446 continuous aerobic-anaerobic coupled process for sludge in situ reduction. *Chemical Engineering*
447 *Journal*. 257, 74-81.

448 Liang, R., et al., 2013. Culturable airborne bacteria in outdoor poultry-slaughtering facility. *Microbes*
449 *Environ*. 28, 251-6.

450 Liebers, V., et al., 2006. Occupational endotoxin-exposure and possible health effects on humans. *American*
451 *Journal of Industrial Medicine*. 49, 474-491.

452 Liebers, V., et al., 2008. Health effects due to endotoxin inhalation (review). *Archives of Toxicology*. 82, 203-
453 210.

454 Malhautier, L., et al., 2003. Biological treatment process of air loaded with an ammonia and hydrogen
455 sulfide mixture. *Chemosphere*. 50, 145-153.

456 Neira, M., COP 16- Climate change, The new economy. In: C. TNE, (Ed.), United nations climate change
457 conference Cancun Mexico 2010.

458 Omar, M. S., et al., 2013. Are green building features safe for preventive maintenance workers? Examining
459 the evidence. *Am J Ind Med*. 56, 410-23.

460 Paba, E., et al., 2013. Indoor Exposure to Airborne Endotoxin: A Review of the Literature on Sampling and
461 Analysis Methods. *Industrial Health*. 51, 237-255.

462 Pankhurst, L. J., et al., 2011. Temporal and spatial changes in the microbial bioaerosol communities in
463 green-waste composting. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 79, 229-39.

464 ProvinciaTorino, Ariaweb. Torino, 2014.

465 Querol, X., et al., 2014. 2001-2012 trends on air quality in Spain. *Sci Total Environ*. 490, 957-69.

466 R.E.R., 2012. Guida ai green job. *ErmesAmbiente*.

467 Sanchez-Munoz, M., et al., 2012. Comparison of three high-flow single-stage impaction-based air samplers
468 for bacteria quantification: DUO SAS SUPER 360, SAMPL'AIR and SPIN AIR. *Analytical Methods*. 4,
469 399-405.

470 Sethi, S., 2013. Chronic bronchial infection/colonisation: aetiology and mechanisms. *Spectrum of Bronchial*
471 *Infection*. 34-45.

472 Szadkowska-Stanczyk, I., et al., 2010. [Exposure to bioaerosols among CAFO workers (swine feeding)]. *Med*
473 *Pr*. 61, 257-69.

474 Traversi, D., et al., 2011. Size-fractionated PM10 monitoring in relation to the contribution of endotoxins in
475 different polluted areas. *Atmospheric Environment*. 45, 3515-3521.

476 Traversi, D., et al., 2010. Meteo-climatic conditions influence the contribution of endotoxins to PM10 in an
477 urban polluted environment. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*. 12, 484-490.

478 Traversi, D., et al., 2008. Mutagenic properties of PM2.5 air pollution in the Padana Plain (Italy) before and
479 in the course of XX Winter Olympic Games of "Torino 2006". *Environment International*. 34, 966-
480 970.

481 UNEP, Green Jobs: Towards decent work in a sustainable, low-carbon world. 2008, pp. 2012. 352 p.

482 Wery, N., 2014. Bioaerosols from composting facilities-a review. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection*
483 *Microbiology*. 4.

484 WHO-Europe, Review of evidence on health aspects of air pollution - Technical Report - REVIHAAP Project
485 2013.

486 WHO, 2006. Air quality guidelines.

487 WHO, Health in the green economy: health co-benefits of climate change mitigation – housing sector., 2011.

488 WHO, Health In Green Economy - executive summary. In: P. H. E. D. (PHE), (Ed.).

489 Avenue Appia 20, CH-1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland, 2014.

490 Williams, B. J., et al., 2010. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Host defence in lung diseases. *Respirology*. 15, 1037-
491 1056.
492
493