



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

The importance of being CAFs (in cancer resistance to targeted therapies)

This is the author's manuscript
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1878966 since 2022-11-08T10:16:32Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1186/s13046-022-02524-w
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

ArticleTitle	The importance of being CAFs (in cancer resistance to targeted therapies)		
Article Sub-Title			
Article CopyRight	The Author(s) (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)		
Journal Name	Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research		
Corresponding Author	FamilyName Particle	Corso	
	Given Name Suffix Division	Simona	
	Organization Address	Candiolo Cancer Institute, FPO-IRCCS Candiolo, Italy	
	Division	Department of Oncology	
	Organization	University of Torino; Candiolo Cancer Institute, FPO-IRCCS	
	Address	Strada Provinciale 142, 10060, Candiolo, Torino, Italy	
	Fax		
	Email	simona.corso@unito.it	
	URL		
	ORCID	http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5069-1503	
Author	FamilyName Particle	Rizzolio	
	Given Name	Sabrina	
	Suffix		
	Division		
	Organization	Candiolo Cancer Institute, FPO-IRCCS	
	Address	Candiolo, Italy	
	Fax		
	Email		
	URL		
	ORCID		
Author	FamilyName	Giordano	
	Given Name Suffix	Silvia	
	Division		
	Organization	Candiolo Uancer Institute, FPO-IRCUS	
	Division	Department of Oncology	
	Organization	University of Torino; Candiolo Cancer Institute, FPO-IRCCS	
	Address	Strada Provinciale 142, 10060, Candiolo, Torino, Italy	
	Phone		
	Fax		
	Email		
	UKCID		

Schedule	Received	7 Sep 2022
	Accepted	23 Oct 2022
Abstract	In the last two decades, c However, the efficacy of relies not only on cell-aut associated fibroblasts (CA produce extracellular mat release growth factors, ch response to drug treatmer and reviewed in several w to molecular therapies, ha driving resistance to targe improve patient survival.	linical oncology has been revolutionized by the advent of targeted drugs. these therapies is significantly limited by primary and acquired resistance, that conomous mechanisms but also on tumor microenvironment cues. Cancer- AFs) are extremely plastic cells of the tumor microenvironment. They not only rix components that build up the structure of tumor stroma, but they also nemokines, exosomes, and metabolites that affect all tumor properties, including at. The contribution of CAFs to tumor progression has been deeply investigated works. However, their role in resistance to anticancer therapies, and in particular as been largely overlooked. This review specifically dissects the role of CAFs in teted therapies and discusses novel CAF targeted therapeutic strategies to
Keywords (separated by '-')	CAF - targeted therapy -	resistance - tumor microenvironment
Footnote Information		

-

REVIEW

Open Access

The importance of being CAFs (in cancer 2 resistance to targeted therapies) 3



33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

Sabrina Rizzolio¹, Silvia Giordano^{1,2} and Simona Corso^{1,2*} 4

5	A

1

bstract

In the last two decades, clinical oncology has been revolutionized by the advent of targeted drugs. However, the AQ1 efficacy of these therapies is significantly limited by primary and acquired resistance, that relies not only on cell-7 autonomous mechanisms but also on tumor microenvironment cues. Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are 8 extremely plastic cells of the tumor microenvironment. They not only produce extracellular matrix components that 9 build up the structure of tumor stroma, but they also release growth factors, chemokines, exosomes, and metabolites 10 that affect all tumor properties, including response to drug treatment. The contribution of CAFs to tumor progression 11 has been deeply investigated and reviewed in several works. However, their role in resistance to anticancer therapies, 12 and in particular to molecular therapies, has been largely overlooked. This review specifically dissects the role of CAFs 13 in driving resistance to targeted therapies and discusses novel CAF targeted therapeutic strategies to improve patient 14 survival. 15

Keywords: CAF, targeted therapy, resistance, tumor microenvironment 16

Background: being CAFs 17

AQ2 Fibroblasts and their activated counterpart resident inside the tumor mass, named cancer-associated fibro-19 blasts (CAFs), are very enigmatic cells. Fibroblasts are 20 extremely versatile: they are usually guiescent, but upon 21 tissue damage and wound healing response they can be 22 reversibly activated ('myofibrobasts') (reviewed in [1]). 23 24 In cancers (the 'wounds that never heal' [2]), this activated status becomes exacerbated and irreversible, as 25 consequence of epigenetic changes [3, 4]. Compared 26 to normal fibroblasts, CAFs show increased prolifera-27 tion and motility, as well as elevated secretion of growth 28 29 factors, chemokines, and extracellular matrix (ECM)degrading enzymes such as metalloproteases. Thus, in 30 many experimental contexts, CAFs appear as positive 31 regulators of tumorigenesis and metastasis [5, 6]. CAFs 32

*Correspondence: simona.corso@unito.it

² Department of Oncology, University of Torino; Candiolo Cancer Institute, FPO-IRCCS, Strada Provinciale 142, 10060 Candiolo, Torino, Italy Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

also contribute to the generation and maintenance of the cancer stem cell 'niche' through the active remodeling of ECM and secretion of morphogens [7, 8]. CAFs regulate ferroptosis in surrounding tumor cells [9] and they also develop metabolic symbiosis with cancer cells, mutually and dynamically reprogramming their basal metabolism- comprising lipid metabolism [10, 11] - in surrounding tumor cells to generate a pro-tumorigenic ecosystem [12]. CAFs do not only interact with tumor cells, but they are functionally connected also with other cells in the tumor microenvironment, including vascular endothelial cells and immune cells. Indeed, CAFs secrete factors that modulate vascular network formation/ remodeling [13-15] and they deeply influence the functions of several immune cell types, including macrophages, neutrophils and T cells [16]. In this context, several authors reported that CAFs can promote an immunosuppressive environment, both directly, through the secretion of several chemokines or other negative immune-regulators [17, 18], and indirectly, by regulating the stiffness of the ECM, which decreases immune cell infiltration or immune cell extravasation [19].



© The Author(s) 2022. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.



	Journal - BMCTmc 12046	Dispetale - 28 10 2022	Dagaa . 16	
ì	Journal : BMC 1wo 13040		rages : 10	
	MS Code :	L LE		
	Mis Code .	E CI	E DISK	

Interestingly, it is emerging that CAFs (as well as myofi-55 broblasts) are highly heterogeneous cells with distinct 56 gene expression patterns and different, sometimes oppo-57 site, biological functions inside the tumor microenviron-58 ment (TME) [20-23]. Even in the same tumor, different 59 CAF subpopulations can be present. In PDAC, Öhlund 60 et al. have identified two spatially separated, revers-61 ible, and mutually exclusive subtypes of CAFs: myCAFs 62 (myofibroblastic CAFs), closely bound to cancer cells 63 and characterized by high aSMA expression, and iCAFs 64 (inflammatory CAFs), located more distantly from neo-65 plastic cells, which are characterized by significantly 66 lower α SMA levels and elevate expression of cytokines 67 with known roles in cancer progression, such as IL-6 and 68 IL-1 [20]. Moreover, a third CAF subtype has been identi-69 fied, named apCAFs (antigen-presenting CAFs), express-70 ing MHC II genes [24], deriving from mesothelial cells 71 [25] and promoting or suppressing immune response, 72 depending on the tumor context ([25, 26]. Accordingly, 73 recent studies have shown that, in certain contexts, CAFs 74 may act as negative regulators of tumor progression, 75 restraining, rather than supporting, pancreatic ductal 76 adenocarcinoma growth [27, 28]. This has been clearly 77 78 shown in two different experimental models: (i) transgenic mice developing spontaneous pancreatic ductal 79 adenocarcinoma (PDAC) crossed with alpha smooth 80 muscle actin (α SMA)-tk transgenic mice to selectively 81 target aSMA+ myofibroblasts upon ganciclovir admin-82 istration [27] or ii) conditional deletion of Sonic Hedge-83 hog, the key factor driving formation of a fibroblast-rich 84 desmoplastic stroma in PDAC [28]. The derived pan-85 creatic tumors, bearing a reduced stromal content, were 86 more undifferentiated, vascularized, and aggressive. The 87 increased aggressiveness was either due to suppressed 88 immune surveillance [27] or to altered angiogeneisis 89 [28], suggesting that CAF can negatively control tumor 90 growth by negatively controlling the Treg repertoire, 91 and restraining tumor angiogenesis. Recently, through 92 single-cell mass cytometry, Hutton et al. [29] uncovered 93 two fibroblast lineages with opposite effects on PDAC 94 progression. The two cell subsets, identified both in nor-95 mal and in cancer tissues, were stably demarked by the 96 expression CD105, a co-receptor for the TGFb family 97 ligands: CD105 positive fibroblasts gave rise to tumor 98 permissive CAFs, while CD105 negative fibroblasts dif-99 ferentiated into CAFs with tumor suppressive proper-100 ties, by supporting anti-tumor immunity. Similarly, two 101 distinct CAF populations with opposing roles in the pro-102 gression and immune landscape were identified in PDAC, 103 as, in this context, depletion of fibroblast activation pro-104 tein (FAP)+ CAFs increased survival, while depletion of 105 α SMA+ CAFs decreased survival [30]. Also the TGF β -106 driven expression of the leucine-rich-repeat-containing 107

119

120

121

122

123

protein 15 (LRRC15) in CAFs, characterizes a pro-tumo-108 rigenic CAF subpopulation, as the depletion of LRRC15+ 109 CAFs in PDAC models slowed tumor growth and 110 restored CD8+ T cell functions, increasing response to 111 immunotherapy [31]. Why CAFs are so heterogeneous is 112 not clear. One possible explanation is the source of ori-113 gin: indeed, studies performed in genetically modified 114 animals suggest that CAF can derive not only from res-115 ident fibroblasts, but also from bone marrow cells [32], 116 adipocytes [33] or epithelial cells undergone mesenchy-117 mal transition [34]. 118

Finally, robust evidence has indicated that CAFs play a major role in drug resistance. In this review we will focus on CAF role in resistance to targeted agents, while stroma-mediated resistance to chemo-, radio-, or immunotherapies has been nicely reviewed elsewhere [16, 35].

Limitation of preclinical models to understand CAF biology 124 A general and important premise concerning studies of 125 CAF-mediated drug resistance is the limitation of reli-126 able preclinical models. In vitro models frequently used 127 to evaluate the CAF activity include direct co-culture 128 of tumor cells and CAFs, indirect co-culture systems 129 (i.e., co-culture separated by a filter), or treatment with 130 conditioned media. Notably, murine CAFs can be easily 131 obtained and propagated in culture from human xeno-132 grafts. Diphtheria toxin, that selectively kills human but 133 not mouse cells, can be used to isolate the mouse CAF 134 population [36, 37]. It is more difficult to obtain human 135 CAFs stably growing in vitro, especially from very small 136 samples. Hu et al. recently succeeded in establishing a 137 large collection of CAFs derived from non-small cell 138 lung cancer (NSCLC) biopsies by immortalizing early 139 derived CAF cultures with human telomerase reverse 140 transcriptase, thereby preventing senescence [38]. The 141 authors demonstrate that these immortalized CAFs 142 maintain the expression profile of their parental coun-143 terparts and can be efficiently used in preclinical stud-144 ies [38]. The use of established CAF cultures allows for 145 molecular perturbations, such as CRISPR gene editing 146 and reliable repetition of experiments. However, while 147 working with CAFs in vitro, particular attention should 148 be paid to the culture conditions, as both serum and 149 stiff substrates are able to modulate fibroblast activation, 150 possibly changing the original CAF features. 3D culture 151 models, that is organoids containing fibroblasts and 152 immune components ('organoids 2.0') have been recently 153 developed and recapitulate TME diversity, offering great 154 promise for in vitro modelling of personalized immuno-155 therapy [39, 40]. However, it should be considered that in 156 these 3D models, the basement membrane preparations 157 in which they are embedded often contain a standard 158

Jour
Arti
MS

•	Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16
	Article No : 2524	□ LE	□ TYPESET
	MS Code :	☑ CP	🗹 DISK

growth factor mix, in addition to matrix components, 159 that may alter CAF biology. 160

The models that best recapitulate the crosstalk between 161 CAFs and tumor cells are those in vivo, namely geneti-162 cally engineered mouse models (GEMM), tumor xeno-163 grafts and patient-derived xenografts (PDXs). In these 164 last two models, human CAF functions can be explored 165 in vivo through co-injection of CAFs and tumor cells. 166 However, in this case tumors contain human CAFs mixed 167 with mouse-derived fibroblasts, that usually outgrow the 168 injected CAFs, making it difficult to test long-term bio-169 logical properties such as responses to therapy. 170

All these issues should be carefully evaluated when 171 considering the real clinical relevance of studies on CAF-172 mediated resistance. 173

How do CAFs mediate resistance to anti-cancer therapy? 174

In addition to the well-studied cell-autonomous resist-175 ance escape routes (e.g., oncogene mutations, activation 176 of bypass signaling pathways, epigenetic modifications), 177 in the last decade also 'non-cell-autonomous' mecha-178 nisms of drug resistance have emerged, with CAFs often 179 being crucial mediators of resistance to targeted agents. 180 181 How do they mediate resistance to molecular therapies? It is clear that they can do it in several ways, through 182 the ECM components they produce, the soluble factors 183 and extracellular vesicles they release, and even their 184 metabolism. Besides the direct effects that CAFs directly 185 exert on tumor cells, we have to consider that CAFs can 186 also indirectly modulate drug response through a com-187 plex network of interactions with other cells of the TME, 188 for example through modulation of tumor angiogenesis 189 and immune response. Concerning the effect on vessels, 190 CAFs have been reported to induce chemoresistance 191 by promoting microvessel leakiness in ovarian cancer 192 [41], opening the possibility that this mechanism might 193 alter the delivery of molecular compounds as well. Con-194 cerning the effect on the immune compartment, CAFs 195 not only influence response to immunotherapy [18, 42] 196 but might indirectly influence the response to targeted 197 therapies, as many targeted compounds have additional 198 effects on the immune system that contribute to their 199 therapeutic efficacy [43]. 200

The role of the extracellular matrix 201

Stiffness is a biophysical property of the ECM that affects 202 several cellular functions, including proliferation, inva-203 sion, differentiation, and also therapeutic responses. The 204 increased production of ECM components characterizes 205 the transition from normal to activated fibroblasts, thus 206 representing a typical trait of CAFs. Indeed, the biophysi-207 cal properties of the tumor matrix progressively change 208 during tumor progression and can be further modulated 209

by cancer therapies. In particular, both chemotherapy and radiotherapy can drive strong matrix remodeling, 211 pushing local CAFs to revise their secretion of fibers, gly-212 coproteins, fibronectin or enzymes responsible for ECM 213 post-translational modifications, eventually leading to 214 tumor desmoplasia that blunts therapeutic efficacy [44]. 215 Changes in the biochemical and biomechanical matrix 216 properties can also contribute to resistance to targeted 217 agents (Fig. 1). For example, intra-vital imaging of BRAF-218 mutant melanoma cells containing an ERK/MAPK bio-219 sensor revealed how the extracellular matrix affected 220 the response to the BRAF inhibitor PLX4720 [45]. Even 221 though at first melanoma cells responded to PLX4720, 222 rapid MAPK signaling reactivation was observed in areas 223 of high stromal density. This was linked to fibroblast 224 "paradoxical" activation by PLX4720 and the subsequent 225 promotion of matrix production and remodeling, result-226 ing in elevated integrin β1/FAK/Src signaling in mela-227 noma cells. Indeed, fibronectin-rich matrices were able to 228 elicit PLX4720 tolerance and, conversely, addition of FAK 229 inhibitors to PLX4720 prevented the onset of resistance 230 to the BRAF inhibitor. Thus, activated fibroblasts and the 231 rigidity of the matrix provide a sanctuary for melanoma 232 cells to survive BRAF targeting [45]. 233

Increased matrix rigidity induced by YAP/TAZ activation also led to resistance to the HER2 tyrosine-kinase inhibitor (TKI) lapatinib in HER2-amplified breast cancer cells when cultured on substrates engineered to mimic different levels of matrix rigidity [46]. Using a three-dimensional co-culture model, Marusyk et al. demonstrated that the spatial proximity of breast ductal carcinoma cells to CAFs contributes to lapatinib resistance, which is partly mediated by hyaluronan [47]. Indeed, when tumor cells were embedded in Matrigel in the presence of CAFs and treated with lapatinib, drug accumulation was reduced compared to tumor cells cultured without CAFs; these results were validated in in vivo models as well. Consistent with the reduced intracellular accumulation of the drug, the effect of lapatinib on HER2, EGFR, and AKT phosphorylation was less pronounced, and apoptosis was attenuated, as shown by reduced cleaved caspase-3 levels. Notably, protection from lapatinib requires close physical proximity between fibroblasts and carcinoma cells, and hyaluronidase treatment completely abolished the protective effect of stromal fibroblasts both in vitro and in vivo, indicating that, in this context, hyaluronan is essential for sustaining resistance to lapatinib [47].

In addition to hyaluronan, other ECM components, such as laminin, may affect the sensitivity of breast ductal carcinoma to lapatinib. Indeed, tumor cells in niches with laminin-enriched ECM express more anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins and exhibit resistance to lapatinib



Journal . Dispatch . 20-10-2022 Fages . 10	
Article No : 2524	
MS Code : 🛛 CP 🖾 DISK	

210

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261



[48]. Similarly, elevated deposition of laminin-5 in breast
tumors conferred resistance to anti-HER2 compounds
(lapatinib and the HER2 monoclonal antibody trastuzumab), through the activation of an integrin-CD151FAK mediated pathway [49].

Collagen type I, one of the major tumor ECM com-268 ponents, was also involved in resistance to molecular 269 therapies. In triple-negative breast cancer, the efficacy 270 of the multi-kinase inhibitor sorafenib, was reduced in 271 collagen-rich microenvironments, due to JNK signal-272 ing activation [50]. In another model, collagen was also 273 responsible for resistance to EGFR inhibitors, even if 274 through a different mechanism [51]. Indeed, in this con-275 text, collagen I was internalized by tumor cells through 276 RAC1-mediated micropinocytosis, and catabolized. The 277 derived aminoacids, mainly prolin and hydroxyprolin, 278 affected cellular metabolism and induced mTOR acti-279 vation and drug resistance. Consistently, both macro-280 pinocytosis and RAC1 inhibitors prevented resistance 281 to the EGFR TKI gefitinib [52]. Since other major ECM 282 283 components, such as laminin and fibronectin, are usually uptaken by cancer cells [53, 54] this could represent a 284 more general mechanism of drug resistance. 285

Integrin β 1-overexpressing cells showed increased adhesion to collagen or fibronectin [55], and the reciprocal activation of integrin β 1 and EGFR was reported to mediate resistance to EGFR TKIs in several contexts [56, 57]. Even if, in the majority of the above-cited

works, the Authors did not formally demonstrate the 291 involvement of CAFs in the production of the ECM 292 components driving resistance, the role of the CAFs is 293 at least highly probable, since they are the main source 294 of these components in the TME. Finally, given the role 295 of ECM composition in drug response, it is expected 296 that matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) could play a 297 role in resistance as well, as they are the main enzymes 298 involved in ECM remodeling [58]. However, while 299 many authors reported a role of MMPs in resistance to 300 chemotherapy, few data are currently available for tar-301 geted therapy. In particular, in head and neck squamous 302 cancers, response to the EGFR monoclonal antibody 303 cetuximab was influenced by CAF-produced matrix 304 metalloproteinase1 (MMP1) [59]. When co-cultured, 305 both tumor cells and fibroblasts upregulated MMP1, 306 while MMP1 inhibitors/silencing restored the response 307 to cetuximab, further supporting the importance of 308 proper matrix stiffness for the optimal response to 309 molecular therapies. 310

Altogether, it appears that the composition of ECM can 311 alter the response to targeted therapies in several manners 312 (summarized in Fig. 1): i) through the physical impair-313 ment of optimal drug delivery due to increased matrix 314 rigidity; ii) by integrin-mediated activation of pro-mito-315 genic and/or anti-apoptotic pathways ('mechanotrans-316 duction') or iii) through metabolic changes in tumor 317 cells due to internalization of ECM components. These 318

Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16
Article No : 2524	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code :	☑ CP	DISK D

mechanisms have been reported in separate models, but 319 it is conceivable that they could act also simultaneously. 320

The role of soluble factors 321

CAFs release an abundant secretome, mainly consist-322 ing of growth factors and cytokines that either directly 323 or indirectly regulate tumor growth, survival, and drug 324 response (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Recently, through *in vitro* 325 and in vivo experiments, Hu et al. identified three func-326 tionally distinct subtypes of lung CAFs that are differ-327 entially able to affect the therapeutic efficacy of EGFR 328 or ALK inhibitors in NSCLCs [38]. These three sub-329 types are mainly defined by the expression levels of 330 two growth factors: hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), 331 the ligand of the MET receptor, and fibroblast growth 332 factor 7 (FGF7), whose major receptor is FGFR2. Sub-333 type I CAFs secrete high levels of HGF (with or without 334 FGF7 overexpression) and confer resistance to EGFR 335 and ALK inhibitors; subtype II CAFs release low lev-336 els of HGF but high levels of FGF7 and confer mod-337 est resistance to EGFR and ALK inhibitors; subtype 338 III CAFs, that produce low levels of these two growth 339 factors, lack any protective activity against EGFR/ 340 ALK inhibitors and are associated with immune cell 341 recruitment, suggesting a possible tumor response to 342

immunotherapy. Notably, FGF family members and 343 HGF were identified as the most abundant factors in 344 CAF supernatants, and were able to confer resistance 345 to lapatinib treatment to advanced esophageal squa-346 mous cell carcinoma (ESCC) cells [60], extending their 347 role beyond lung cancer. HGF is one of the growth fac-348 tors most implicated in resistance onset via stromal 349 regulation. In two pivotal studies published 10 years 350 ago, HGF was shown to mediate resistance to different 351 molecular therapies in tumor cells of different origins 352 [61, 62]. In particular, in BRAF-mutated melanomas, 353 CAF-produced HGF was able to activate the MAPK 354 and AKT pathways in tumor cells, thus compensat-355 ing for BRAF switch-off and sustaining resistance. 356 Immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis of BRAF V600E 357 melanoma patient-derived biopsies highlighted that 358 patients with abundant stromal HGF showed a poorer 359 response to BRAF inhibitors than those lacking stromal 360 HGF [61]. In agreement with this finding, an increase 361 in plasma HGF was associated with worse outcomes 362 in a cohort of patients with BRAF-mutant metastatic 363 melanoma [62]. However, in subsequent studies, IHC 364 detection of stromal or tumor HGF in pre-therapy mel-365 anoma specimens failed to predict patient response to 366 BRAF inhibitors [63]; therefore, the power of HGF as a 367



protein 2; EV: exosomal vesicles; CSC: cancer stem cell

Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16
Article No : 2524	□ LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code :	☑ CP	DISK D

Table 1 CAF secreted soluble factors involved in resistance to targeted therapies

		1	
ΑL	Е		

CAF-secreted soluble factors	Mechanism of resistance to targeted therapies	Clinical application of inhibitors: representative agents in phase2/3 clinical trials
Hepatocyte Growth Factor (HGF)	Activation of MET anti-apoptotic and pro-mitogenic down- stream pathways in tumor cells Induction of stabilization/upregulation of multiple EGFR bind- ing partners such as Axl, EphA2, CDCP1, JAK1 and integrin Beta-4	MET (HGFR) TKIs: Foretinib (GSK1363089) Crizotinib (PF-02341066) Cabozantinib (BMS-907351) Capmatinib (INC280) Tepotinib (EMD 1214063) HGF targeting mAbs: Rilotumumab (AMG 102) Ficlatuzumab (AV-299) L2G7 (TAK-701)
Fibroblast Growth Factors (FGFs)	Activation of FGF Receptors (mainly FGFR2) and their anti- apoptotic and pro-mitogenic downstream pathways in tumor cells	Pan-FGFR TKIs: Erdafitinib (JNJ-42756493) Derazantinib (ARQ087) Rogoratinib (BAY1163877) Dovitinib (TK1258) AZD4547 Futibatinib (TAS-120) Zoligratinib (Debio-1347) Infigratinib (BGJ398)
Transforming Growth Factorβ (TGFβ)	Upregulation of IncRNAs, including the IncRNA HOTAIR, able to activate estrogen receptor function in the absence of estrogens	TGFβ Receptor inhibitors: Galunisertib (LY2157299) TGFβ Receptor mAbs: Fresolimumab (GC1008) TGFβ antisense oligonucleotides: Trabedersen (AP 12009)
Neuregulin-1b (NRG-1b)	Increased expression of FOXA1 and HER3 in cancer cells; HER3 activation.	No inhibitors in phase 2/3 trials
Insulin Growth Factor 2 (IGF2)	Activation of IGF1R anti-apoptotic and pro-mitogenic down- stream pathways in tumor cells	IGF-1R TKIs: Linsitinib (OSI-906) Ceritinib (LDK378) Brigatinib (AP26113)
Platelet-Derived Growth Factor C (PDGF-C)	Activation of PDGFR and promotion of angiogenesis	PDGFR-a inhibitors: Imatinib (STI571) Ponatinib (AP24534) Nintedanib (BIBF 1120) Crenolanib (CP-868596) Masitinib (AB1010)
IL-6 family members	Expansion of the stem cell pool via JAK1/STAT3 signaling Activation of NF-kB and AKT pathways in cancer cells	IL-6 targeting mAb: Siltuximab (CNTO 328) JAK1/2 inhibitors: Ruxolitinib (INC424, INCB1842)
Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 13 (CXCL13)	Recruitment of B lymphocytes that produce pro-survival cytokines	No inhibitors in phase 2/3 trials
Secreted Frizzled Related Protein 2 (sFRP2)	Wnt Antagonist, Loss Of The Key Redox Effector APE1 And Attenuated Response To ROS-Induced DNA Damage	No inhibitors in phase 2/3 trials

negative predictor of response to BRAF-targeted thera-368 pies needs to be further investigated. 369

In a screening of tumor cell lines derived from breast, 370 kidney, liver, and tongue carcinomas, HGF conferred 371 resistance to EGFR inhibitors by inducing the stabiliza-372 tion/upregulation of multiple EGFR binding partners 373 such as Axl, EphA2, CUB domain-containing protein1 374 (CDCP1), JAK1 and integrin Beta-4 [64]. Importantly, 375 the combined use of gefitinib and an anti-HGF antibody 376 or antagonist successfully overcame fibroblast-induced 377

EGFR-TKI resistance both in vitro and in vivo. Similarly, HGF secreted by fibroblasts was implicated in lung cancer resistance to irreversible EGFR inhibitors [65] and protected tumor cells from EGFR inhibitors in breast cancer cells bearing EGFR overexpression [66].

A recent study by our group revealed a HGF-mediated metabolism-based mechanism of non-cell-autonomous secondary resistance to MET and EGFR inhibitors [37]. In *in vivo* models of adaptive resistance to MET 386 or EGFR TKIs, we found that resistant cells underwent 387

2	

Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16	
Article No : 2524	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
MS Code :	☑ CP	🗹 DISK	

metabolic reprogramming towards aerobic glyco-388 lysis, resulting in increased lactate production. This 389 instructed CAFs to over-secrete HGF, that activated the 390 MET pathway in tumor cells, thus favoring their escape 391 from MET or EGFR targeting. Consistently, either phar-392 macological or genetic targeting of lactate metabolism, 393 as well as concomitant MET-EGFR blocking, were able 394 to overcome resistance. Accordingly, increased produc-395 tion of stromal HGF was detected in the stroma of lung 396 cancer patients upon the emergence of resistance to 397 EGFR TKIs, thus corroborating the clinical relevance of 398 the reported findings [37]. 399

CAF-derived HGF is also causally involved in resist-400 ance to anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies. In colorectal 401 'xenospheres' treated with cetuximab, CAF-produced 402 HGF significantly protected colon cancer stem-like cells 403 from the effect of the drug, by preserving cell viability 404 and inhibiting apoptosis; in vivo, the concomitant inhibi-405 tion of EGFR and MET resulted in a more pronounced 406 tumor regression compared to cetuximab monotherapy 407 [67]. Consistently, in a public dataset of human, KRAS 408 wt, metastatic colorectal cancer patients, HGF expres-409 sion was significantly higher in cetuximab non-respond-410 ers than in responders [67]. Notably, in a prospective 411 trial evaluating genomic and transcriptomic determi-412 nants of resistance to cetuximab, Woolston et al. found 413 no genetic driver of acquired resistance in a large fraction 414 (9 out of 14, 64%) of metastases biopsied from relapsed 415 patients. However, the majority of these biopsies showed 416 a transcriptional switch towards a fibroblast- and growth 417 factor-rich subtype, further supporting the idea that 418 adaptive non-cell-autonomous mechanisms could play a 419 relevant role in the onset of mAb resistance. Notably, also 420 in this case, the growth factors upregulated in cetuximab-421 resistant biopsies were HGF and FGFs, as well as TGF-422 β 1 and $-\beta$ 2 [68]. TGF β is another cytokine abundantly 423 released by CAFs that regulates several cancer-related 424 pathways and plays an important role in tumor progres-425 sion [69]. TGF β also drives the upregulation of several 426 long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), including the lncRNA 427 HOTAIR, that is upregulated in tamoxifen-resistant 428 breast cancer, where it activates estrogen receptor func-429 tion in the absence of estrogen, leading to tamoxifen 430 resistance [70]. In breast cancer, CAF-produced FGF5 431 was causally involved in resistance to HER2 targeted 432 therapies (both TKIs and monoclonal antibodies) by 433 activating FGFR2 and c-Src downstream pathways. In 434 agreement with these preclinical data, combined elevated 435 expression of FGF5 and phospho-HER2 correlated with 436 a reduced pathologic response in patients treated with 437 trastuzumab-based neoadjuvant therapy [71]. 438

In addition to HGF and FGFs, other soluble fac-439 tors secreted by CAFs have been implicated in tumor 440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

resistance to molecular therapies. In agreement with what was previously shown by Wilson et al. [62], in HER2+ breast cancers, Neuregulin-1b suppressed the response to anti-HER2 compounds through increased expression of the transcription factor forkhead box protein A1 (FOXA1) and HER3 [72]. A role of CAFderived Neuregulin 1 (NRG1) in drug resistance was also reported by Zhang et al, who demonstrated that this soluble molecule conferred anti-androgen resistance in prostate cancer, again through HER3 activation, and that patients with increased tumor NRG1 activity showed a lower response to second-generation antiandrogen therapy [73].

In cholangiocarcinomas treated with EGFR inhibitors, a positive loop between CAF-produced IGF2 and IGF1R expressed by tumor cells was responsible for resistance to the EGFR TKI erlotinib; in line, a combined regimen of EGFR and IGF1R inhibitors overcame resistance in cholangiocarcinoma xenografts and reduced their stromal content [74]. Interestingly, IGF1 is also a key player in mediating crosstalk between KRAS G12D mutated pancreatic cancer cells and their surrounding stroma. Indeed, KRAS mutated tumor cells induced stromal cells to secrete IGF1 and GAS6 that in turn activated IGF1R and AXL signaling in tumor cells, leading to increased mitochondrial performance, proliferative capacity, and resistance to apoptotic stimuli [75]. Finally, CAFs mediated resistance to VEGF inhibitors in lymphoma xenografts models, by reactivating angiogenesis through platelet-derived growth factor C (PDGF-C) signaling, and PDGF-C targeting showed additive effects with anti-VEGFA antibodies [76].

CAFs are known to produce a number of cytokines 473 and chemokines [27, 77] whose causative relationship 474 with resistance to cancer therapies is well established. 475 For example, Shein K. and colleagues found that CAF-476 released IL-6 family members mediated NSCLC acquired 477 resistance to EGFR TKIs in a JAK1/STAT3-dependent 478 manner [78]. In breast cancer, CAF-produced IL-6 acts 479 in a paracrine manner on cancer cells, inducing expan-480 sion of the stem cell pool via JAK1/STAT3 signaling and 481 evasion from targeted therapy [79]. IL-6 sustains resist-482 ance also through the NF-kB and AKT pathways. Gene 483 set analysis in patients showed that high IL-6 and NF-kB 484 expression levels correlated with poor overall survival 485 [79]. CAF-produced cytokines could also indirectly 486 mediate resistance; for example, CAF derived CXCL13 487 promotes the recruitment of B lymphocytes into andro-488 gen-deprived prostate tumors; these prostate-cancer 489 infiltrating lymphocytes produce other cytokines, such 490 as lymphotoxin, promoting survival and proliferation of 491 castration-resistant prostate cancer initiating cells, ulti-492 mately resulting in hormone resistance [80]. The ability 493



)	Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16	
	Article No: 2524	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
	MS Code :	☑ CP	🗹 DISK	

of CAFs to confer drug resistance might be also related 494 to their age. Spheroids treated with medium derived 495 from 'young' fibroblasts (i.e derived from <35-year-old 496 donors) were more sensitive to BRAF inhibitors than 497 those exposed to 'aged' fibroblasts (i.e from >55-year-old 498 donors) medium. In vivo, tumors grown in 8-week-old 499 mice responded to PLX4720 more robustly than those 500 developed in 52-week-old mice. The molecular interpre-501 tation is that aged fibroblasts secrete a Wnt antagonist, 502 sFRP2, which activates a multistep signaling cascade 503 in melanoma cells, resulting in a decrease in β -catenin/ 504 MITF activity and in loss of the key redox effector APE1. 505 Loss of APE1 attenuates the response of melanoma cells 506 to ROS-induced DNA damage, rendering them more 507 resistant to targeted therapy [81]. 508

Finally, recent studies have shown that the CAF 509 'secretome' also includes exosomal vesicles that can con-510 vey paracrine signals to cancer cells, eventually regulating 511 drug response (Fig. 2). CAF exosomes can incorporate 512 miRNAs, functional DNA fragments, cytokines and 513 growth factors, that are responsible for tumor progres-514 sion and resistance to chemotherapy in several contexts 515 (reviewed in [82, 83]). Concerning their role in resist-516 ance to molecular therapies, Sansone and colleagues 517 demonstrated that CAFs can sustain hormonal therapy 518 resistance in luminal breast cancer through the release 519

of miR-221 containing exosomes; the horizontal transfer 520 of this microRNA to cancer cells pushed them towards 521 a cancer stem cell (CSC) phenotype, resistant to therapy. 522 In line, CAF depletion restored sensitivity to hormo-523 nal therapy, with a concurrent reduction in CSCs [84]. 524 In general, CAF paracrine signaling through exosomes 525 seems to promote the expansion of subpopulations with 526 stem cell features, resistance to therapy, and re-initiation 527 of tumor growth [85]. We can foresee that the role of 528 exosomes in resistance to targeted therapies will emerge 529 more and more in the near future. 530

The role of metabolic changes

As previously mentioned, most studies on the reciprocal interaction between CAFs and tumor cells focused on the structural support provided by the CAF matrix and the pro-mitogenic/anti-apoptotic properties conferred by CAF-released growth factors. However, several studies have also highlighted the functional role of CAF/cancer cell metabolic coupling in regulating different tumor properties, including drug resistance (Fig. 3).

During tumor progression, CAFs frequently undergo a metabolic switch towards aerobic glycolysis (the so-called Reverse Warburg Effect [86]), resulting in the secretion of energy-rich metabolites that are then captured by cancer cells to fuel their anabolic metabolism [87–89].



Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16
Article No: 2524	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code :	⊠ CP	🗹 DISK

531 532

533





540

541

542

543

As previously mentioned, we demonstrated that dur-545 ing treatment with MET or EGFR TKIs, cancer cells 546 underwent a metabolic switch and increased lactate pro-547 duction, thus instructing CAFs to produce resistance-548 promoting growth factors [37]. In the same resistant 549 tumors, we observed that the metabolic switch was not 550 restricted to cancer cells but also occurred in CAFs, that 551 showed features of enhanced glycolytic metabolism. This 552 'Reverse Warburg metabolism' allowed CAFs to indefi-553 nitely maintain HGF overexpression in culture, even in 554 the absence of cancer cells [37]. 555

CAF metabolism also affects the response to tamox-556 ifen in ER+ breast cancers. When ER+ breast cancer 557 cells were co-cultured with fibroblasts, reactive oxygen 558 species (ROS) produced by tumor cells in response to 559 tamoxifen treatment drove aerobic glycolysis in fibro-560 blasts; the excess of lactate produced by CAFs induced 561 mitochondrial biogenesis in the adjacent tumor cells, 562 forcing them to switch towards an oxidative state; this 563 metabolic state, with glycolytic CAFs fueling the oxida-564 tive tumor cells, sustained anabolic growth and tumor 565 survival in the presence of tamoxifen [90]. Interestingly, 566 Eckert et al. identified methyltransferase nicotinamide 567 N-methyltransferase (NNMT) as a master metabolic 568 regulator of CAFs in ovarian cancer, epigenetically con-569 trolling widespread gene expression changes in the TME 570 during tumor progression [91]. In prostate adenocarci-571 noma cells, increased CAF glutamine production due 572 to epigenetic silencing of the RAS inhibitor RASAL3 573 serves as a source of energy and as a mediator of neu-574 roendocrine differentiation, ultimately leading to resist-575 ance to androgen signaling deprivation therapy (ADT). In 576 agreement with these findings, prostate cancer patients 577 resistant to ADT showed elevated blood glutamine lev-578 els compared with those with therapeutically responsive 579 disease; antagonizing stromal glutamine uptake was suf-580 ficient to restore ADT sensitivity in castration-resistant 581 xenograft models [92]. 582

The 'Reverse Warburg' could be induced in CAFs by 583 breast cancer cells through the abnormal activation of an 584 estrogen/GPER/cAMP/PKA/CREB signaling axis; glyco-585 lytic CAFs, in turn, fed tumor cells with extra pyruvate 586 and lactate, increasing mitochondrial activity and con-587 ferring breast cancer cells with drug resistance to several 588 conventional clinical treatments, including endocrine 589 therapy, HER2 targeting and chemotherapy [93]. 590

Finally, CAF metabolism directly influences ECM composition: the production of massive amounts of collagens by activated fibroblasts requires increased proline synthesis from circulating glutamine, and this relies on increased expression of pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase 1 (PYCR1) in CAFs, which is in turn epigenetically regulated by histone acetyl-transferase EP300 and by 605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

acetyl-CoA levels [94]. This was demonstrated in detail in598breast cancer models, but PYCR1 and collagen upregu-599lation co-occurs in many tumor types [94], suggesting600that this mechanism might have a broader relevance. As601collagen abundance and ECM stiffness drive therapeutic602resistance, these findings might represent another way by603which metabolic cues influence drug response.604

Therapeutic opportunities

Given their relevant role in mediating or accelerating the onset of drug resistance, their abundance in the tumor microenvironment, and their genetic stability, CAFs are now considered appealing targets for anticancer therapeutic strategies. However, several challenges are currently present in our attempts to modulate CAFs for therapeutic benefit, in primis the shortage of CAF-specific markers. Even the most widely used CAF markers, such as fibroblast activating protein (FAP) and α -Smooth Muscle Actin (α SMA) are not exclusive of CAFs; indeed, FAP is expressed also in smooth muscle and epithelial cells while α SMA is present in smooth muscle cells, pericytes and myoepithelial cells. Another big challenge is represented by the heterogeneity of CAF functions, which, as described above, can be either tumor-promoting or tumor suppressive, depending on the context [20, 25-28]. Also in relation to drug resistance, different CAF types can drive tumor sensitivity or resistance to the same therapy. Brechbuhl et al. demonstrated that in ER+ breast cancers, CD146⁻ CAFs suppressed ER expression, thus decreasing tumor cell sensitivity to estrogen and increasing resistance to tamoxifen, whereas CD146⁺ CAFs promoted ER expression, sustaining estrogen-dependent tumor proliferation and tamoxifen sensitivity [95].

In this scenario, indiscriminate targeting of the whole CAF population could be ineffective or even harmful, thus making it necessary and urgent to identify reliable markers of the two subpopulations. In this context, two recent works offered great expectations [29, 31]. Hutton et al., showed that the expression of a single protein, CD105, can easily and stably identify pro-tumorigenic CAFs, at least in PDAC [29]. However, as CD105 expression varies between cancer types [29], further studies are needed to elucidate whether CD105-negative CAFs are also a marker of immune response in tumors other than PDAC. Krishnamurty and colleagues identified the leucine-rich-repeat-containing protein 15 (LRRC15) as a promising, highly restricted marker of a subpopulation of CAFs with pro-tumorigenic, immunity-suppressing properties [31].

Despite these obstacles, an increasing number of preclinical studies have focused on CAF targeting as a way to improve anti-cancer strategies, and some clinical

> Pages : 16 □ TYPESET ☑ DISK

•	Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022
	Article No : 2524	🗆 LE
	MS Code :	☑ CP

trials involving CAF targeting agents are already ongoing(reviewed in [96]).

652 CAF depletion

Some groups have developed strategies to deplete CAFs 653 (Fig. 4A). The genetic CAF depletion in transgenic mice 654 using fibroblast activating protein (FAP) promoter-driven 655 diphtheria toxin receptor [97] or αSMA-thymidine 656 kinase [27] led to contradictory results as in the first case 657 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma growth was slowed 658 down [97] while, in the second case, it became more 659 aggressive and invasive, leading to shorter animal sur-660 vival [27]. It has to be noted that, based on the results 661 obtained by Öhlund et al., αSMA targeting might prefer-662 entially eliminate myCAFs, while leaving other more pro-663 tumorigenic CAF populations unaffected [20]. However, 664 in both these studies [27, 97], CAF depletion allowed a 665 better immune control of tumor growth and synergized 666 with immunotherapy, opening the possibility for a clini-667 cally relevant window of opportunity with anti-CAF 668 compounds. Similarly, McAndrews et al. recently showed 669 that genetic depletion of FAP+ CAFs increased PDAC 670 survival, while depletion of α SMA+ CAFs decreased it 671 [30]. Always using transgenic mice models, Krishnamurty 672 and colleagues selectively depleted the LRRC15+ CAF 673 subpopulation in PDAC, and this was sufficient to signifi-674 cantly slow tumor growth and restore CD8+ T cell func-675 tions, increasing response to immunotherapy [31]. Since 676 LRRC15+ CAF formation depends on TGF_β receptor 2 677 signaling [21], this opens the attractive possibility to use 678 of TGFB inhibitors to overcome CAF-mediated resist-679 ance to cancer immunotherapy. 680

Different pharmacological CAF-targeting treatments 681 have been developed, such as anti-FAP monoclonal anti-682 bodies conjugated with a tubulin-binding maytansinoid 683 [98], anti-FAP antibodies labeled with β -emitting radio-684 nuclides [99] or FAP-targeting immunotoxins [100, 101]. 685 Despite promising results in the preclinical setting, where 686 anti-FAP antibodies reduced tumor growth [99] and 687 overcame resistance to chemotherapy in animal mod-688 els [101], these strategies failed in early phase II studies 689 due to limited ability of the sole anti-FAP antibody of 690 reducing metastatic colorectal cancer burden in patients 691 [102]. DNA vaccines against FAP [103] and FAP-specific 692 CAR-T cells are under development [104, 105] even if, so 693 far, only in the preclinical setting and with contradictory 694 results [106, 107]. In a different perspective, monoclonal 695 antibody targeting FAP have also been developed as anti-696 cancer drugs for the delivery of bioactive compounds, 697 such as pro-inflammatory cytokines, not aimed at deplet-698 ing CAFs but to exploit CAFs as 'TME specific antigen' to 699 locally boost the immune response. An example of these 700 antibody-cytokine fusion molecules is represented by the 701

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

anti-human FAP monoclonal antibody 7NP2 linked to 702 interleukin (IL)-12, which showed encouraging preclini-703 cal results [108]. Concerning the recent identification of 704 CD105 as a marker of pro-tumorigenic CAFs in PDAC 705 [29], further research will be required to determine the 706 best way to target the CD105-positive CAFs, thereby spe-707 cifically depleting the pro-tumorigenic CAF subpopula-708 tion while still preserving the tumor-restraining one. 709

CAF normalization

Another strategy to target CAF pro-tumorigenic functions is to revert CAFs from the active to a quiescent state or even to switch their pro-tumorigenic phenotype to a tumor-suppressive one (Fig. 4B). Currently, CAF pharmacological reprogramming has been achieved in specific tumor contexts only, such as in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). In PDAC models, treatment with retinoic acid or with the vitamin D receptor ligand calcipotriol induced guiescence of pancreatic stellate cells and profound stromal remodeling, leading to decreased aggressiveness of the surrounding cancer cells and increased response to chemotherapy [109, 110]. CAF normalization would likely provide preferable and safer therapeutic opportunities than CAF depletion, but further preclinical evaluation is required to test its feasibility and clinical translatability.

Targeting the CAF secretome

Given the difficulties associated with CAF depletion or reprogramming, at present the most feasible strategy is the targeting of CAF-released factors functionally involved in tumorigenesis and drug resistance (Fig. 4C, D). The broadest approach in this sense is that reported by Duluc and colleagues, who pharmacologically inhibited global protein synthesis in CAFs using a somatostatin analog that, binding the sst1 somatostatin receptor selectively expressed by CAFs, targeted the mTOR-4E-BP1 pathway in these cells, overcoming in this way chemotherapy resistance in PDAC models [111].

Concerning the production of ECM proteins, some 739 attempts have been made to reduce the release of col-740 lagen or hyaluronan: the angiotensin receptor blocker 741 losartan, primarily used to treat high blood pressure, was 742 repurposed as a modulator of the tumor extracellular 743 matrix and reduced matrix stiffness in PDAC and breast 744 cancer models, thereby improving drug delivery [112]. 745 Increased chemotherapy efficacy has also been obtained 746 through enzymatic ablation of hyaluronan by recombi-747 nant hyaluronanidase [113, 114] or through iodine-131 748 labeled antibodies targeting tenascin-C [115]. As sonic 749 hedgehog signaling promotes CAF matrix production, 750 sonic hedgehog targeting decreased PDAC desmopla-751 sia and increased tumor response to chemotherapy, 752

Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16
Article No : 2524	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code :	☑ CP	🗹 DISK



fibroblasts; **C** targeting CAP-mediated resistance. Possible strategies for targeting CAPs comprise: **A** CAP depletion; **B** CAP depletion; **b** CAP differentiation towards fibroblasts; **C** targeting growth factors or chemokines released by CAFs; **D** targeting ECM components; **E** interrupting (dashed red line) the metabolic interplay between CAFs and tumor cells. FAP: fibroblast activating protein; ATRA: all-trans-retinoic acid; SST: somatostatin; GF: growth factors; RTKs: receptor tyrosine kinases; TKIs: tyrosine kinase inhibitors; mAbs: monoclonal antibodies; ECM: extracellular matrix; SHH: sonic hedgehog; SMO: smoothened; LDH: lactate dehydrogenase; MCTs: monocarboxylate transporters

Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16
Article No : 2524	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET
MS Code :	☑ CP	DISK D

anti-angiogenic therapies [116] and cetuximab [117]. As
concerns matrix-metalloproteinases targeting, despite
several promising results in preclinical models, all the
phase III clinical trials performed so far have failed to
reach their primary endpoints, even if novel compounds
are emerging [118].

Another possibility is to block CAF-produced 759 chemokines, such as CXCL12 [97], or to target growth 760 factors released by CAFs or their receptors on tumor 761 cells. Given the large amount of preclinical data convinc-762 ingly proving the causative role of HGF in drug resistance, 763 targeting stromal HGF (or its tyrosine-kinase receptor 764 MET expressed on tumor cells) is predicted to counter-765 act tumor resistance. MET inhibition has been evaluated 766 in several clinical trials because MET gene amplification 767 is a predictor of response to anti-MET compounds [119]. 768 However, none of these trials were designed to block 769 HGF/MET-driven resistance to other therapies. Despite 770 the encouraging results of a phase II trial [120], a large, 771 randomized phase III trial evaluating onartuzumab (a 772 MET monoclonal antibody affecting HGF-MET binding) 773 in combination with erlotinib in NSCLCs bearing MET 774 overexpression did not confirm the findings of an earlier 775 phase II study [121]. These negative results might be at 776 least partially explained by the fact that patients were not 777 selected for EGFR mutational status, which is required to 778 identify patients sensitive to erlotinib [121]. 779

780 Targeting CAF metabolism

In CAF-mediated breast cancer resistance to tamoxifen, 781 the altered metabolic cross-talk sustaining drug resist-782 ance was overcome by targeting CAFs with dasatinib, a 783 multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitor blocking, among the oth-784 ers, PDGFR signaling (from which CAFs are strongly 785 dependent). The combination of tamoxifen plus dasatinib 786 normalized both tumor glucose uptake and mitochon-787 drial activity, reducing ROS formation, and thus inter-788 rupting the vicious metabolic cycle in which resistant 789 tumor cells exploit oxidative stress to extract nutrients 790 and high-energy metabolites from adjacent CAFs [90] 791 (Fig. 4E). 792

As previously mentioned, also lactate mediates adap-793 tive resistance to certain targeted agents, by inducing 794 HGF overproduction in CAFs [37]; accordingly, genetic 795 or pharmacological targeting of molecules involved in the 796 lactate axis, such as lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) or the 797 lactate importer MCT1, overcame resistance in animal 798 models [37]. These preclinical data may have important 799 therapeutic implications, as compounds targeting lactate 800 metabolism have been investigated in several preclinical 801 trials and are currently in clinical development (reviewed 802 in [122]), as well as MCT1 inhibitors (NCT01791595). In 803 the near future, new possible applications for LDH and 804

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

MCTs inhibitors, in combination with targeted agents, 805 might be investigated to bypass the onset of resistance 806 (Fig. 4E). Finally, as reported above, Kay et al. recently 807 demonstrated that proline synthesis via PYCR1 is a cru-808 cial regulator of enhanced collagen production by CAFs. 809 Targeting PYCR1 in CAFs reduced tumour collagen dep-810 osition in vitro and in vivo and was sufficient to reduce 811 tumour growth and metastasis [94]. PYCR1 is a particu-812 larly promising metabolic vulnerability, as it is among the 813 most overexpressed genes across tumor types [123]. Even 814 if not directly evaluated by the authors, we can foresee 815 that PYCR1 targeting could be a useful strategy to bypass 816 collagen-mediated resistance (Fig. 4D, E). 817

Conclusions

Based on the numerous pro-tumorigenic functions of CAFs, many preclinical and clinical studies have focused on targeting these stromal cells to directly impact on tumor growth and disease progression. However, the vast majority of these studies failed. Which are the possible reasons of this failure? On one side, we still lack specific biomarkers of CAFs to exclusively target them. Another explanation could rely in the high heterogeneity of CAF functions, that sometimes are even anti-tumorigenic. If both pro- and anti-tumorigenic CAFs are present in the same tumor and we indiscriminately target them, the treatment could be inefficient, if not deleterious. Finally, hitting CAFs alone might be insufficient to obtain a significant clinical benefit, as pro-tumorigenic CAFs can favor tumor progression but, likely, they are not strictly required for tumor growth and survival, i.e tumor cells are not 'addicted' to CAF presence. On the contrary, a possible window of opportunity might rely on the role played by CAFs in drug resistance. Indeed, the best results obtained so far by CAF targeting were those in combination with other drugs (that, until now, have mostly been chemo- and immune-therapies). In this context, investigating the combined effect of molecular therapies directed against cancer cells and CAF-targeting drugs might help overcome the big issue of primary and acquired drug resistance, eventually improving patient survival. To this aim, ad hoc clinical studies should be designed, including endpoints that specifically and objectively evaluate CAF status during therapy.

Abbreviations

CAF: Cancer-associated fibroblast; ECM: Extracellular matrix; TME: Tumor microenvironment; PDAC: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; αSMA: Alpha smooth muscle actin; NSCLC: Non-small cell lung cancer; GEMM: Genetically engineered mouse models; MMP: Matrix metalloproteinase; HGF: Hepatocyte growth factor; FGF: Fibroblast growth factor; ESCC: Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; TKI: Tyrosine-kinase inhibitors; IncRNA: Long non-coding RNA; IGF: Insulin-like growth factor; PDGF: Plateletderived growth factor; NRG-1b: Neuregulin-1b; NNMT: Methyltransferase nicotinamide N-methyltransferase; ADT: Androgen signaling deprivation



	Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16	
	Article No : 2524	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET	
\sim	MS Code :	☑ CP	🗹 DISK	

10.	Ippolito L, Comito G, Parri M, Iozzo M, Duatti A, Virgilio F, et al. Lactate Rewires Lipid Metabolism and Sustains a Metabolic-Epigenetic Axis in	914
11.	Prostate Cancer. Cancer Res. 2022;82(7):1267–82. Gong J, Lin Y, Zhang H, Liu C, Cheng Z, Yang X, et al. Reprogramming of	915 916 917
	lipid metabolism in cancer-associated fibroblasts potentiates migration of colorectal cancer cells. Cell Death Dis. 2020;11(4):267.	918 919
12.	Martinez-Outschoorn UE, Lisanti MP, Sotgia F. Catabolic cancer-associ- ated fibroblasts transfer energy and biomass to anabolic cancer cells,	920 921
13.	Tueing tumor growth. Semin Cancer Biol. 2014;25:47–60. Orimo A, Gupta PB, Sgroi DC, Arenzana-Seisdedos F, Delaunay T, Naeem	922 923
	nomas promote tumor growth and angiogenesis through elevated	924 925
14.	O'Connell JT, Sugimoto H, Cooke VG, MacDonald BA, Mehta AI, LeBleu	926 927
	are important for metastatic colonization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.	928 929
15.	De Palma M, Biziato D, Petrova TV. Microenvironmental regulation of	930 931
16.	Barrett RL, Puré E. Cancer-associated fibroblasts and their influence on tumor immunity and immunotherapy. Elife 2020.9	932 933
17.	Nazareth MR, Broderick L, Simpson-Abelson MR, Kelleher RJ, Yokota SJ,	934 935
	bankert RB. Characterization of numanitung tumor-associated horo- blasts and their ability to modulate the activation of tumor-associated T	936 937
18.	Tauriello DVF, Palomo-Ponce S, Stork D, Berenguer-Llergo A, Badia-	938 939
	Ramentol J, Iglesias M, et al. TGFβ drives immune evasion in genetically reconstituted colon cancer metastasis. Nature. 2018;554(7693):538–43.	940 941
19.	Salmon H, Franciszkiewicz K, Damotte D, Dieu-Nosjean MC, Validire P,	942
	tion and migration of T cells into the stroma of human lung tumors. J	943 944
20	Clin Invest. 2012;122(3):899–910.	945
20.	M, et al. Distinct populations of inflammatory fibroblasts and myofibro-	946
	blasts in pancreatic cancer. J Exp Med. 2017;214(3):579–96.	947 948
21.	Costa A, Kieffer Y, Scholer-Dahirel A, Pelon F, Bourachot B, Cardon M,	949
	Human Breast Cancer. Cancer Cell. 2018;33(3):463–79.e10.	950 951
22.	Lambrechts D, Wauters E, Boeckx B, Aibar S, Nittner D, Burton O, et al.	952
	Phenotype molding of stromal cells in the lung tumor microenviron- ment. Nat Med. 2018;24(8):1277–89	953
23.	Costea DE, Hills A, Osman AH, Thurlow J, Kalna G, Huang X, et al. Identi-	954 955
	fication of two distinct carcinoma-associated fibroblast subtypes with	956
	Cancer Res. 2013;73(13):3888–901.	957
24.	Elyada E, Bolisetty M, Laise P, Flynn WF, Courtois ET, Burkhart RA, et al.	959
	Cross-Species Single-Cell Analysis of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocar- cinoma Reveals Antigen-Presenting Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts	960
	Cancer Discov. 2019;9(8):1102–23.	961 962
25.	Huang H, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Pradhan RN, Ganguly D, Chandra R,	963
	fibroblasts induce expansion of regulatory T cells in pancreatic cancer.	964
	Cancer Cell. 2022;40(6):656–73.e7.	966
26.	Kerdidani D, Aerakis E, Verrou KM, Angelidis I, Douka K, Maniou MA,	967
	tion by fibroblasts. J Exp Med. 2022;219(2).	968 969
27.	Özdemir BC, Pentcheva-Hoang T, Carstens JL, Zheng X, Wu CC, Simpson	970
	induces immunosuppression and accelerates pancreas cancer with	971
	reduced survival. Cancer Cell. 2014;25(6):719–34.	972 973
28.	Rhim AD, Oberstein PE, Thomas DH, Mirek ET, Palermo CF, Sastra SA,	974
	ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Cell. 2014;25(6):735–47.	975 976
29.	Hutton C, Heider F, Blanco-Gomez A, Banyard A, Kononov A, Zhang X,	977
	et al. Single-cell analysis defines a pancreatic fibroblast lineage that	978
30.	McAndrews KM, Chen Y, Darpolor JK, Zheng X, Yang S, Carstens JL, et al.	979 980
	Identification of Functional Heterogeneity of Carcinoma-Associated	981
	Fibroplasts with Distinct IL6-Mediated Therapy Resistance in Pancreatic Cancer Cancer Discov, 2022:12(6):1580–97	982
	Current, Current Discov, 2022, (2(0), (300-7)).	983

therapy; FAP: Fibroblast activating protein; ER: Estrogen receptor; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; myCAFs: Myofibroblastic CAFs; iCAFs: Inflammatory CAFs; apCAFs: Antigen-presenting CAFs.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

- SR: study conception and design; data collection; draft manuscript prepara-tion; SG: study conception and design, manuscript editing, funding acquisi-tion; SC: study conception and design, data collection, manuscript writing,
- visualization, funding acquisition. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was funded by the Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC), IG 20210 to SG and IG 21770 to S. Corso.

Availability of data and materials

Data are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Declarations

- Ethics approval and consent to participate
- Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author details

¹Candiolo Cancer Institute, FPO-IRCCS, Candiolo, Italy. ²Department of Oncol-ogy, University of Torino; Candiolo Cancer Institute, FPO-IRCCS, Strada Provin-ciale 142, 10060 Candiolo, Torino, Italy.

Received: 7 September 2022 Accepted: 23 October 2022

References

- 1. Chen Y, McAndrews KM, Kalluri R. Clinical and therapeutic relevance of cancer-associated fibroblasts. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2021;18(12):792-804.
- Dvorak HF. Tumors: wounds that do not heal. Similarities between tumor stroma generation and wound healing. N Engl J Med. 1986;315(26):1650-9.
- Hu M, Yao J, Cai L, Bachman KE, van den Brûle F, Velculescu V, et al. Distinct epigenetic changes in the stromal cells of breast cancers. Nat Genet. 2005;37(8):899-905.
- Bechtel W, McGoohan S, Zeisberg EM, Müller GA, Kalbacher H, Salant 4. DJ, et al. Methylation determines fibroblast activation and fibrogenesis in the kidney. Nat Med. 2010;16(5):544-50.
- Bhowmick NA, Neilson EG, Moses HL. Stromal fibroblasts in cancer 5. initiation and progression. Nature. 2004;432(7015):332-7
- Sahai E, Astsaturov I, Cukierman E, DeNardo DG, Egeblad M, Evans RM, 6. et al. A framework for advancing our understanding of cancer-associated fibroblasts. Nat Rev Cancer. 2020;20(3):174-86.
- Vermeulen L, De Sousa E, Melo F, van der Heijden M, Cameron K, de Jong JH, et al. Wnt activity defines colon cancer stem cells and is regulated by the microenvironment. Nat Cell Biol. 2010;12(5):468-76.
- 8. Malanchi I, Santamaria-Martínez A, Susanto E, Peng H, Lehr HA, Delaloye JF, et al. Interactions between cancer stem cells and their niche govern metastatic colonization. Nature. 2011;481(7379):85-9.
- 9. Zhang H, Deng T, Liu R, Ning T, Yang H, Liu D, et al. CAF secreted miR-522 suppresses ferroptosis and promotes acquired chemo-resistance in gastric cancer. Mol Cancer. 2020;19(1):43.

S	

	Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16	
	Article No : 2524	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
<u>~</u>	MS Code :	☑ CP	DISK D	

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

31.	Krishnamurty AT, Shyer JA, Thai M, Gandham V, Buechler MB, Yang YA,
	et al. LRRC15+ myofibroblasts dictate the stromal setpoint to suppress
	tumour immunity. Nature. 2022; Online ahead of print.

- 32. Quante M, Tu SP, Tomita H, Gonda T, Wang SS, Takashi S, et al. Bone marrow-derived myofibroblasts contribute to the mesenchymal stem cell niche and promote tumor growth. Cancer Cell. 2011;19(2):257-72.
- 33. Bochet L, Lehuédé C, Dauvillier S, Wang YY, Dirat B, Laurent V, et al. Adipocyte-derived fibroblasts promote tumor progression and contribute to the desmoplastic reaction in breast cancer. Cancer Res. 2013.73(18).5657-68
- 34. Zeisberg EM, Potenta S, Xie L, Zeisberg M, Kalluri R. Discovery of endothelial to mesenchymal transition as a source for carcinomaassociated fibroblasts. Cancer Res. 2007;67(21):10123-8.
- Fiori ME, Di Franco S, Villanova L, Bianca P, Stassi G, De Maria R. Cancer-associated fibroblasts as abettors of tumor progression at the crossroads of EMT and therapy resistance. Mol Cancer. 2019;18(1):70.
- 36. Arbiser JL, Raab G, Rohan RM, Paul S, Hirschi K, Flynn E, et al. Isolation of mouse stromal cells associated with a human tumor using differential diphtheria toxin sensitivity. Am J Pathol. 1999;155(3):723-9.
- 37. Apicella M, Giannoni E, Fiore S, Ferrari KJ, Fernández-Pérez D, Isella C, et al. Increased Lactate Secretion by Cancer Cells Sustains Non-cellautonomous Adaptive Resistance to MET and EGFR Targeted Therapies. Cell Metab. 2018;28(6):848-65.e6.
- 38. Hu H, Piotrowska Z, Hare PJ, Chen H, Mulvey HE, Mayfield A, et al. Three subtypes of lung cancer fibroblasts define distinct therapeutic paradigms. Cancer Cell. 2021;39(11):1531-47.e10.
- 39 Neal JT, Li X, Zhu J, Giangarra V, Grzeskowiak CL, Ju J, et al. Organoid Modeling of the Tumor Immune Microenvironment. Cell. 2018;175(7):1972-88.e16.
- 40. Dijkstra KK, Cattaneo CM, Weeber F, Chalabi M, van de Haar J, Fanchi LF, et al. Generation of Tumor-Reactive T Cells by Co-culture of Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes and Tumor Organoids. Cell. 2018;174(6):1586-98. e12.
- 1016 41. Leung CS, Yeung TL, Yip KP, Wong KK, Ho SY, Mangala LS, et al. 1017 Cancer-associated fibroblasts regulate endothelial adhesion protein 1018 LPP to promote ovarian cancer chemoresistance. J Clin Invest. 1019 2018;128(2):589-606 1020
- 42. Mariathasan S, Turley SJ, Nickles D, Castiglioni A, Yuen K, Wang Y, et al. 1021 TGFB attenuates tumour response to PD-L1 blockade by contributing 1022 to exclusion of T cells. Nature. 2018;554(7693):544-8. 1023
 - Zitvogel L, Apetoh L, Ghiringhelli F, André F, Tesniere A, Kroemer G. The 43. anticancer immune response: indispensable for therapeutic success? J Clin Invest. 2008;118(6):1991-2001
 - 44. Cox TR. The matrix in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2021;21(4):217-38.
 - 45. Hirata E, Girotti MR, Viros A, Hooper S, Spencer-Dene B, Matsuda M, et al. Intravital imaging reveals how BRAF inhibition generates drug-tolerant microenvironments with high integrin B1/FAK signaling. Cancer Cell. 2015;27(4):574-88.
- 1031 Lin CH, Pelissier FA, Zhang H, Lakins J, Weaver VM, Park C, et al. Microen-46 1032 vironment rigidity modulates responses to the HER2 receptor tyrosine 1033 kinase inhibitor lapatinib via YAP and TAZ transcription factors. Mol Biol 1034 Cell. 2015;26(22):3946-53. 1035
- Marusyk A, Tabassum DP, Janiszewska M, Place AE, Trinh A, Rozhok 47. 1036 Al, et al. Spatial Proximity to Fibroblasts Impacts Molecular Features 1037 and Therapeutic Sensitivity of Breast Cancer Cells Influencing Clinical 1038 Outcomes. Cancer Res. 2016;76(22):6495-506. 1039
- Zoeller JJ, Bronson RT, Selfors LM, Mills GB, Brugge JS. Niche-localized 48. 1040 tumor cells are protected from HER2-targeted therapy via upregulation 1041 of an anti-apoptotic program in vivo. NPJ Breast Cancer. 2017;3:18.
- 1042 49. Yang XH, Flores LM, Li Q, Zhou P, Xu F, Krop IE, et al. Disruption of 1043 laminin-integrin-CD151-focal adhesion kinase axis sensitizes breast 1044 cancer cells to ErbB2 antagonists. Cancer Res. 2010;70(6):2256-63. 1045
- 50. Nguyen TV, Sleiman M, Moriarty T, Herrick WG, Peyton SR. Sorafenib 1046 resistance and JNK signaling in carcinoma during extracellular matrix 1047 stiffening. Biomaterials. 2014;35(22):5749-59. 1048
- 51. Yamazaki S, Higuchi Y, Ishibashi M, Hashimoto H, Yasunaga M, 1049 Matsumura Y, et al. Collagen type I induces EGFR-TKI resistance in EGFR-1050 mutated cancer cells by mTOR activation through Akt-independent 1051 pathway. Cancer Sci. 2018;109(6):2063-73. 1052
- 52. Yamazaki S, Su Y, Maruyama A, Makinoshima H, Suzuki J, Tsuboi M, 1053 et al. Uptake of collagen type I via macropinocytosis cause mTOR 1054

activation and anti-cancer drug resistance. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2020;526(1):191-8.

- 53. Muranen T, Iwanicki MP, Curry NL, Hwang J, DuBois CD, Coloff JL, et al. Starved epithelial cells uptake extracellular matrix for survival. Nat Commun. 2017:8:13989.
- 54. Davidson SM, Jonas O, Keibler MA, Hou HW, Luengo A, Mayers JR, et al. Direct evidence for cancer-cell-autonomous extracellular protein catabolism in pancreatic tumors. Nat Med. 2017;23(2):235-41.
- 55. Kanda R, Kawahara A, Watari K, Murakami Y, Sonoda K, Maeda M, et al. Erlotinib resistance in lung cancer cells mediated by integrin β1/Src/ Akt-driven bypass signaling. Cancer Res. 2013;73(20):6243-53.
- 56 Eke I, Schneider L, Förster C, Zips D, Kunz-Schughart LA, Cordes N. EGFR/JIP-4/JNK2 signaling attenuates cetuximab-mediated radiosensitization of squamous cell carcinoma cells. Cancer Res. 2013:73(1):297-306
- 57. Eke I, Zscheppang K, Dickreuter E, Hickmann L, Mazzeo E, Unger K, et al. Simultaneous β1 integrin-EGFR targeting and radiosensitization of human head and neck cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2015;107(2).
- 58. Bonnans C, Chou J, Werb Z. Remodelling the extracellular matrix in development and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2014:15(12):786-801
- Johansson AC, Ansell A, Jerhammar F, Lindh MB, Grénman R, 59. Munck-Wikland E, et al. Cancer-associated fibroblasts induce matrix metalloproteinase-mediated cetuximab resistance in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells. Mol Cancer Res. 2012;10(9):1158-68.
- 60. Saito S, Morishima K, Ui T, Hoshino H, Matsubara D, Ishikawa S, et al. The role of HGE/MET and EGE/EGER in fibroblast-derived growth stimulation and lapatinib-resistance of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2015;15:82.
- 61. Straussman R, Morikawa T, Shee K, Barzily-Rokni M, Qian ZR, Du J, et al. Tumour micro-environment elicits innate resistance to RAF inhibitors through HGF secretion. Nature. 2012;487(7408):500-4.
- 62. Wilson TR, Fridlyand J, Yan Y, Penuel E, Burton L, Chan E, et al. Widespread potential for growth-factor-driven resistance to anticancer kinase inhibitors. Nature. 2012;487(7408):505-9.
- Lezcano C, Lee CW, Larson AR, Menzies AM, Kefford RF, Thomp-63. son JF, et al. Evaluation of stromal HGF immunoreactivity as a biomarker for melanoma response to RAF inhibitors. Mod Pathol. 2014:27(9):1193-202
- Gusenbauer S, Vlaicu P, Ullrich A. HGF induces novel EGFR functions 64. involved in resistance formation to tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Oncogene. 2013;32(33):3846-56.
- Yamada T, Matsumoto K, Wang W, Li Q, Nishioka Y, Sekido Y, et al. 65. Hepatocyte growth factor reduces susceptibility to an irreversible epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor in EGFR-T790M mutant lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2010;16(1):174-83.
- 66. Mueller KL, Madden JM, Zoratti GL, Kuperwasser C, List K, Boerner JL. Fibroblast-secreted hepatocyte growth factor mediates epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistance in triplenegative breast cancers through paracrine activation of Met. Breast Cancer Res. 2012;14(4):R104.
- 67. Luraghi P, Reato G, Cipriano E, Sassi F, Orzan F, Bigatto V, et al. MET signaling in colon cancer stem-like cells blunts the therapeutic response to EGFR inhibitors. Cancer Res. 2014;74(6):1857-69.
- 68. Woolston A, Khan K, Spain G, Barber LJ, Griffiths B, Gonzalez-Exposito R, et al. Genomic and Transcriptomic Determinants of Therapy Resistance and Immune Landscape Evolution during Anti-EGFR Treatment in Colorectal Cancer. Cancer Cell. 2019;36(1):35-50.e9.
- 69. Ikushima H, Miyazono K. TGFbeta signalling: a complex web in cancer progression. Nat Rev Cancer. 2010;10(6):415-24.
- Xue X, Yang YA, Zhang A, Fong KW, Kim J, Song B, et al. LncRNA 70. HOTAIR enhances ER signaling and confers tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. Oncogene. 2016;35(21):2746-55.
- 71. Fernández-Nogueira P, Mancino M, Fuster G, López-Plana A, Jauregui P, Almendro V, et al. Tumor-Associated Fibroblasts Promote HER2-Targeted Therapy Resistance through FGFR2 Activation. Clin Cancer Res. 2020:26(6):1432-48.
- Watson SS, Dane M, Chin K, Tatarova Z, Liu M, Liby T, et al. Microenvi-72. ronment-Mediated Mechanisms of Resistance to HER2 Inhibitors Differ between HER2+ Breast Cancer Subtypes. Cell Syst. 2018;6(3):329-42.e6.



Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16	
Article No : 2524	🗆 LE	□ TYPESET	
MS Code :	☑ CP	🗹 DISK	

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

YS, Gao VR, Wu C, Russo JW, et al. Tumor I NRG1 Promotes Antiandrogen Resistance Cell. 2020;38(2):279–96.e9. S, Clapéron A, Mergey M, Merabtene F, et al. r in Tumor Cells and Myofibroblasts Mediates on in Cholangiocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. <i>A</i> , McMahon KM, Worboys JD, Leong HS,	93. 94.	Yu T, Yang G, Hou Y, Tang X, Wu C, Wu XA, et al. Cytoplasmic GPER translocation in cancer-associated fibroblasts mediates cAMP/PKA/ CREB/glycolytic axis to confer tumor cells with multidrug resistance. Oncogene. 2017;36(15):2131–45. Kay EJ, Paterson K, Riera-Domingo C, Sumpton D, Däbritz JHM, Tardito
I NRG1 Promotes Antiandrogen Resistance Cell. 2020;38(2):279–96.e9. S, Clapéron A, Mergey M, Merabtene F, et al. in Tumor Cells and Myofibroblasts Mediates on in Cholangiocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. A, McMahon KM, Worboys JD, Leong HS,	94.	translocation in cancer-associated fibroblasts mediates cAMP/PKA/ CREB/glycolytic axis to confer tumor cells with multidrug resistance. Oncogene. 2017;36(15):2131–45. Kay EJ, Paterson K, Riera-Domingo C, Sumpton D, Däbritz JHM, Tardito
 S, Clapéron A, Mergey M, Merabtene F, et al. in Tumor Cells and Myofibroblasts Mediates on in Cholangiocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. M, McMahon KM, Worboys JD, Leong HS, 	94.	Oncogene. 2017;36(15):2131–45. Kay EJ, Paterson K, Riera-Domingo C, Sumpton D, Däbritz JHM, Tardito
in Tumor Cells and Myofibroblasts Mediates on in Cholangiocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. A, McMahon KM, Worboys JD, Leong HS,	94.	Kay EJ, Paterson K, Riera-Domingo C, Sumpton D, Däbritz JHM, Tardito
on in Cholangiocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. /I, McMahon KM, Worboys JD, Leong HS,		= + + + +
Л, McMahon KM, Worboys JD, Leong HS,		S, et al. Cancer-associated fibroblasts require proline synthesis by PYCR1
, memanon (m, monooy) 52, 200 g mo,		tor the deposition of pro-tumorigenic extracellular matrix. Nat Metab. 2022:4(6):693–710
ulates Tumor Cell Signaling via Stromal	95.	Brechbuhl HM, Finlay-Schultz J, Yamamoto TM, Gillen AE, Cittelly DM,
55(7):1818.		Tan AC, et al. Fibroblast Subtypes Regulate Responsiveness of Luminal
Zhong C, Wu X, Modrusan Z, et al. PDGF-C	96	Breast Cancer to Estrogen. Clin Cancer Res. 2017;23(7):1710–21.
actory to anti-VEGF treatment. Cancer Cell.	90.	composition of malignancy. Genes Dev. 2016;30(9):1002–19.
,	97.	Feig C, Jones JO, Kraman M, Wells RJ, Deonarine A, Chan DS, et al. Tar-
radeh AA, Sood R, West RB, Montgomery K,		geting CXCL12 from FAP-expressing carcinoma-associated fibroblasts
: similarities between tumors and wounds.		Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013:110(50):20212–7.
	98.	Ostermann E, Garin-Chesa P, Heider KH, Kalat M, Lamche H, Puri C, et al.
lou VA, Ruder D, Behrens C, Shen L, Kalhor N,		Effective immunoconjugate therapy in cancer models targeting a ser-
N through a Prointiammatory Cytokine Path- Molecularly Targeted Therapy in Non-Small	99	ine protease of tumor fibroblasts. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14(14):4584–92. Fischer F. Chaitanya K. Wüest T. Wadle A. Scott AM. van den Broek
er Ther. 2017;16(10):2234–45.	<i>.</i>	M, et al. Radioimmunotherapy of fibroblast activation protein
Malik F, Henry NL, Ithimakin S, et al. Activa-		positive tumors by rapidly internalizing antibodies. Clin Cancer Res.
loop mediates trastuzumab resistance in	100	2012;18(22):6208–18.
panding the cancer stem cell population.	100.	targeting fibroblast activation protein for treatment of breast cancer in
Kang Y, Jamieson CA, Karin M. Tissue injury		mice. Int J Cancer. 2016;138(4):1013–23.
gnant progression of prostate cancer by	101.	Katsube R, Noma K, Ohara T, Nishiwaki N, Kobayashi T, Komoto S, et al.
n in tumor myondrodiasts. Proc Nati Acad 76–81		Any (NIR PIT) overcomes therapeutic resistance in human esophageal
bank K, Behera R, Ndoye A, Kugel CH, et al.		cancer. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):1693.
vironment drives melanoma metastasis and	102.	Hofheinz RD, Al-Batran SE, Hartmann F, Hartung G, Jäger D, Renner C,
2016;532(7598):250–4. o S. Wu K. The roles of exosomes in cancer		et al. Stromal antigen targeting by a humanised monoclonal antibody:
apeutic application. Clin Transl Med.		rectal cancer. Onkologie. 2003;26(1):44–8.
	103.	Duperret EK, Trautz A, Ammons D, Perales-Puchalt A, Wise MC, Yan J,
es in tumor microenvironment influence		et al. Alteration of the Tumor Stroma Using a Consensus DNA Vaccine
sekhar VK. Ceccarelli C. Chang O. Strillacci A.		Vaccine Therapy in Mice. Clin Cancer Res. 2018:24(5):1190–201.
em-like Cells in Endocrine-Resistant Meta-	104.	Kakarla S, Chow KK, Mata M, Shaffer DR, Song XT, Wu MF, et al. Antitu-
liated by Stromal Microvesicles. Cancer Res.		mor effects of chimeric receptor engineered human T cells directed to
3Y. Xu B. Oiu Y. Yoon T. et al. Exosome transfer	105.	Wang I.C. Lo A. Scholler J. Sun J. Majumdar RS. Kapoor V. et al. Targeting.
cer cells regulates therapy resistance path-	105.	fibroblast activation protein in tumor stroma with chimeric antigen
-513.		receptor T cells can inhibit tumor growth and augment host immunity
es D, Castello-Cros R, Flomenberg N, Witkie-	106	without severe toxicity. Cancer Immunol Res. 2014;2(2):154–66.
lasts and the tumor stroma. Cell Cycle.	100.	Promoting Desmoplasia Is Disrupted by Depleting FAP-Expressing
		Stromal Cells. Cancer Res. 2015;75(14):2800–10.
g X, Halbrook CJ, Sherman MH, Zhang L,	107.	Roberts EW, Deonarine A, Jones JO, Denton AE, Feig C, Lyons SK, et al.
on. Nature. 2016;536(7617):479–83.		from skeletal muscle and bone marrow results in cachexia and anemia.
itaker-Menezes D, Pavlides S, Pestell RG,		J Exp Med. 2013;210(6):1137–51.
and lactate "fuel" tumor growth and metas-	108.	Nadal L, Peissert F, Elsayed A, Weiss T, Look T, Weller M, et al. Generation
al cancer cells use oxidative mitochondrial 0.9(17):3506–14	109	and. J Immunotner. Cancer. 2022;10:9. Froeling FE, Feig C, Chelala C, Dobson R, Mein CF, Tuyeson DA, et al.
i E, Taddei ML, Gandellini P, De Donatis A,	105.	Retinoic acid-induced pancreatic stellate cell quiescence reduces par-
reprogramming through lactate shut-		acrine Wnt- $\!\beta$ -catenin signaling to slow tumor progression. Gastroenter-
tumor-stroma interplay. Cancer Res.	110	ology. 2011;141(4):1486–97, 97.e1-14.
in Z. Ko YH. Goldberg AF. Flomenberg N.	110.	D receptor-mediated stromal reprogramming suppresses pancreatitis
ng the metabolic basis of drug resistance:		and enhances pancreatic cancer therapy. Cell. 2014;159(1):80–93.
e Warburg effect kills cancer cells. Cell Cycle.	111.	Duluc C, Moatassim-Billah S, Chalabi-Dchar M, Perraud A, Samain R,
ewicz A. Chang. IW. Hernandez KM. Pan		mTOR/4F-BP1 pathway in cancer-associated fibroblasts abrogates
NNMT as a master metabolic regulator of		pancreatic tumour chemoresistance. EMBO Mol Med. 2015;7(6):735–53.
ts. Nature. 2019;569(7758):723–8.	112.	Diop-Frimpong B, Chauhan VP, Krane S, Boucher Y, Jain RK. Losar-
o V, Madhav A, Kohena-Rivera K, Agar-		tan inhibits collagen I synthesis and improves the distribution and
ancer reprogramming. J Clin Invest.		2011;108(7):2909–14.

73.	Zhang Z, Karthaus WR, Lee YS, Gao VR, Wu C, Russo JW, et al. Tumor
	Microenvironment-Derived NRG1 Promotes Antiandrogen Resistance
	in Prostate Cancer. Cancer Cell. 2020;38(2):279–96.e9.
74	Vaquero I. Lobe C. Tabraoui S. Clapéron A. Mergev M. Merabtene F. et

1126

1127

1128

1130

1131

1162

1171

1172

- 1129 The IGF2/IR/IGF1R Pathway Resistance to EGFR Inhibitio 2018;24(17):4282-96. 1132
- 75. Tape CJ, Ling S, Dimitriadi M 1133 et al. Oncogenic KRAS Reg 1134 Reciprocation. Cell. 2016;16 1135
- 76. Crawford Y, Kasman I, Yu L, 1136 mediates the angiogenic a 1137 associated with tumors refr 1138 2009;15(1):21-34. 1139
- 77. Chang HY, Sneddon JB, Aliz 1140 et al. Gene expression sign 1141 human cancer progression: 1142 PLoS Biol. 2004;2(2):E7.
- 1143 78. Shien K, Papadimitrakopou 1144 et al. JAK1/STAT3 Activation 1145 way Leads to Resistance to 1146 Cell Lung Cancer. Mol Canc 1147
- 79. Korkaya H, Kim GI, Davis A, 1148 tion of an IL6 inflammatory 1149 HER2+ breast cancer by ex 1150 Mol Cell. 2012;47(4):570-84 1151
- 80 Ammirante M, Shalapour S, 1152 and hypoxia promote malig 1153 inducing CXCL13 expressio 1154 Sci U S A. 2014;111(41):147 1155
- 81. Kaur A, Webster MR, Marchl 1156 sFRP2 in the aged microenv 1157 therapy resistance. Nature. 1158
- Li S, Yi M, Dong B, Jiao Y, Luo 82. 1159 drug resistance and its ther 1160 2020;10(8):e257. 1161
 - Kahlert C, Kalluri R. Exosom 83. cancer progression and me
- 1163 84. Sansone P, Berishaj M, Rajas 1164 et al. Evolution of Cancer S 1165 static Breast Cancers Is Mec 1166 2017;77(8):1927-41. 1167
- Boelens MC, Wu TJ, Nabet B 85. 1168 from stromal to breast can 1169 ways. Cell. 2014;159(3):499-1170
 - Pavlides S, Whitaker-Menez 86. wicz AK, Frank PG, et al. The in cancer associated fibrob 2009;8(23):3984-4001.
- 1174 87. Sousa CM, Biancur DE, War 1175 et al. Pancreatic stellate cell 1176 autophagic alanine secretic
- 1177 88. Bonuccelli G, Tsirigos A, Wh 1178 Chiavarina B, et al. Ketones 1179 tasis: Evidence that epitheli 1180 metabolism. Cell Cycle. 201 1181
- 89. Fiaschi T, Marini A, Giannor 1182 et al. Reciprocal metabolic 1183 tle coordinately influences 1184 2012;72(19):5130-40. 1185
- 90. Martinez-Outschoorn UE, L 1186 Wang C, et al. Understandir 1187 therapeutic induction of th 1188 2011;10(15):2521-8. 1189
- 91. Eckert MA, Coscia F, Chryple 1190 S, et al. Proteomics reveals 1191 cancer-associated fibroblas 1192
- 92. Mishra R, Haldar S, Placenci 1193 wal P, et al. Stromal epigen 1194 neuroendocrine prostate ca 1195 2018;128(10):4472-84. 1196

ß	
S	

	Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16
	Article No : 2524	□ LE	□ TYPESET
•	MS Code :	☑ CP	🗹 DISK

- 113. Provenzano PP, Cuevas C, Chang AE, Goel VK, Von Hoff DD, Hingo-1267 rani SR. Enzymatic targeting of the stroma ablates physical barriers 1268 to treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Cell. 1269 2012;21(3):418-29. 1270
- 114. Hingorani SR, Harris WP, Beck JT, Berdov BA, Wagner SA, Pshevlotsky EM, 1271 et al. Phase Ib Study of PEGylated Recombinant Human Hyaluronidase 1272 and Gemcitabine in Patients with Advanced Pancreatic Cancer. Clin 1273 Cancer Res. 2016;22(12):2848-54. 1274
- 115. Reardon DA, Akabani G, Coleman RE, Friedman AH, Friedman HS, 1275 Herndon JE, et al. Salvage radioimmunotherapy with murine iodine-1276 131-labeled antitenascin monoclonal antibody 81C6 for patients with 1277 recurrent primary and metastatic malignant brain tumors: phase II 1278 study results. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(1):115-22. 1279
- 116. Olive KP, Jacobetz MA, Davidson CJ, Gopinathan A, McIntyre D, 1280 Honess D, et al. Inhibition of Hedgehog signaling enhances delivery 1281 of chemotherapy in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer. Science. 1282 2009;324(5933):1457-61. 1283
 - 117. Wang J, Chan DKW, Sen A, Ma WW, Straubinger RM. Tumor Priming by SMO Inhibition Enhances Antibody Delivery and Efficacy in a Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Model. Mol Cancer Ther. 2019;18(11):2074-84.
 - 118. Vandenbroucke RE, Libert C. Is there new hope for therapeutic matrix metalloproteinase inhibition? Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2014;13(12):904-27.
- 119. Comoglio PM, Trusolino L, Boccaccio C. Known and novel roles of the 1289 MET oncogene in cancer: a coherent approach to targeted therapy. Nat 1290 Rev Cancer. 2018;18(6):341-58.
- Spigel DR, Ervin TJ, Ramlau RA, Daniel DB, Goldschmidt JH, Blumen-120. schein GR, et al. Randomized phase II trial of Onartuzumab in combination with erlotinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung 1294 cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(32):4105-14.
- 1295 121. Spigel DR, Edelman MJ, O'Byrne K, Paz-Ares L, Mocci S, Phan S, et al. 1296 Results From the Phase III Randomized Trial of Onartuzumab Plus Erlo-1297 tinib Versus Erlotinib in Previously Treated Stage IIIB or IV Non-Small-Cell 1298 Lung Cancer: METLung. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(4):412-20. 1299
- 122. Martinez-Outschoorn UE, Peiris-Pagés M, Pestell RG, Sotgia F, Lisanti 1300 MP. Cancer metabolism: a therapeutic perspective. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 1301 2017;14(1):11-31. 1302
- 123. D'Aniello C, Patriarca EJ, Phang JM, Minchiotti G. Proline Metabolism in 1303 Tumor Growth and Metastatic Progression. Front Oncol. 2020;10:776. 1304

Publisher's Note 1305

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1291

1292

1293

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub-1306 lished maps and institutional affiliations. 1307

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

200

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions





Journal : BMCTwo 13046	Dispatch : 28-10-2022	Pages : 16	
Article No: 2524	□ LE	□ TYPESET	
MS Code :	☑ CP	🗹 DISK	

A (* 1 0504	
Article: 2524	

Author Query Form

Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your article, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

Query	Details Required	Author's Response
AQ1	Please check if the affiliation is presented correctly.	
AQ2	Please check if the section headings are assigned to appropriate levels.	
AQ3	Please check if the Figures 1-4 are captured correctly.	
AQ4	Please check if the Table 1 data is presented correctly.	