

## GOPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Mvalo T, Smith AG, Eckerle M, Hosseinipour MC, Kondowe D, Vaidya D, et al. (2022) Antibiotic treatment failure in children aged 1 to 59 months with World Health Organizationdefined severe pneumonia in Malawi: A CPAP IMPACT trial secondary analysis. PLoS ONE 17(12): e0278938. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0278938

Editor: Maria Elisabeth Johanna Zalm, Public Library of Science, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: April 7, 2022

Accepted: November 21, 2022

Published: December 14, 2022

**Peer Review History:** PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0278938

**Copyright:** © 2022 Mvalo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Antibiotic treatment failure in children aged 1 to 59 months with World Health Organizationdefined severe pneumonia in Malawi: A CPAP IMPACT trial secondary analysis

Tisungane Mvalo<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Andrew G. Smith<sup>3</sup>, Michelle Eckerle<sup>4,5</sup>, Mina C. Hosseinipour<sup>1,6</sup>, Davie Kondowe<sup>1</sup>, Dhananjay Vaidya<sup>7</sup>, Yisi Liu<sup>8</sup>, Kelly Corbett<sup>9</sup>, Dan Nansongole<sup>1</sup>, Takondwa A. Mtimaukanena<sup>1</sup>, Norman Lufesi<sup>10</sup>, Eric D. McCollum<sup>11,12</sup>

1 University of North Carolina Project Malawi, Lilongwe, Malawi, 2 Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, United States of America, 3 Division of Pediatric Critical Care, Department of Pediatrics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, United States of America, 4 Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, United States of America, 5 Division of Pediatric Emergency Medicine, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, OH, United States of America, 6 Division of Infectious Disease, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, United States of America, 7 Department of Medicine, Epidemiology and the BEAD Core, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States of America, 9 Department of Pediatrics, Section of Critical Care Medicine, Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, NH, United States of America, 10 Malawi Ministry of Heath, Lilongwe, Malawi, 11 Eudowood Division of Pediatric Respiratory Sciences, Department of Pediatrics, Global Program for Pediatric Respiratory Sciences, Johns Hopkins Children, MD, United States of America, 12 Department of International Health, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States of America, 12 Department of International Health, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States of America, 10 Malawi Ministry International Health, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States of America, 12 Department of International Health, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States of America

\* tmvalo@unclilongwe.org

## Abstract

## Background

Pneumonia is a leading cause of mortality in children <5 years globally. Early identification of hospitalized children with pneumonia who may fail antibiotics could improve outcomes. We conducted a secondary analysis from the Malawi CPAP IMPACT trial evaluating risk factors for antibiotic failure among children hospitalized with pneumonia.

## Methods

Participants were 1–59 months old with World Health Organization-defined severe pneumonia and hypoxemia, severe malnutrition, and/or HIV exposure/infection. All participants received intravenous antibiotics per standard care. First-line antibiotics were benzylpenicillin and gentamicin for five days. Study staff assessed patients for first-line antibiotic failure daily between days 3–6. When identified, patients failing antibiotics were switched to second-line ceftriaxone. Analyses excluded children receiving ceftriaxone and/or deceased by hospital day two. We compared characteristics between patients with and without treatment failure and fit multivariable logistic regression models to evaluate associations between treatment failure and admission characteristics. Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: EDM: The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1123419) (https://www. gatesfoundation.org/) and a CIPHER grant from the International AIDS Society (141022) (https://www. iasociety.org/HIV-Programmes/Programmes/ Paediatrics-CIPHER/CIPHER-Grant-Programme.) The sponsor had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### Results

From June 2015–March 2018, 644 children were enrolled and 538 analyzed. Antibiotic failure was identified in 251 (46.7%) participants, and 19/251 (7.6%) died. Treatment failure occurred more frequently with severe malnutrition (50.2% (126/251) vs 28.2% (81/287), p<0.001) and amongst those dwelling  $\geq$ 10km from a health facility (22.3% (56/251) vs 15.3% (44/287), p = 0.026). Severe malnutrition occurred more frequently among children living  $\geq$ 10km from a health facility than those living <10km (49.0% (49/100) vs 35.7% (275/428), p = 0.014). Children with severe malnutrition (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 2.2 (95% Cl 1.52, 3.24), p<0.001) and pre-hospital antibiotics ((aOR 1.47, 95% Cl 1.01, 2.14), p = 0.043) had an elevated aOR for antibiotic treatment failure.

#### Conclusion

Severe malnutrition and pre-hospital antibiotic use predicted antibiotic treatment failure in this high-risk severe pneumonia pediatric population in Malawi. Our findings suggest addressing complex sociomedical conditions like severe malnutrition and improving pneumonia etiology diagnostics will be key for better targeting interventions to improve childhood pneumonia outcomes.

#### Introduction

Pneumonia is the leading infectious cause of illness and mortality in children under 5 years old globally, with an estimated 900,000 deaths annually [1]. The highest pneumonia burden occurs in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs), with deaths in Africa representing half of global child pneumonia mortality [1, 2]. This trend continues despite recent reductions in childhood pneumonia cases following the introduction of conjugate vaccines against *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (PCV) and *Haemophilus influenzae* type B (Hib). In LMICs, community acquired pneumonia is clinically diagnosed according to World Health Organization (WHO) Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) guidelines [3, 4]. Children with fast breathing and/or chest indrawing but without danger signs (i.e., WHO-defined non-severe pneumonia) are treated with oral antibiotics whilst patients with WHO-defined severe pneumonia are hospitalized for intravenous antibiotics and supportive management [4].

To further reduce pneumonia morbidity and mortality, the early identification of children with pneumonia likely to fail antibiotics and have poor outcomes may be an important care strategy [5]. Early identification can aid health care workers to streamline triage and resource-intensive monitoring and/or treatments to those at greater risk of poor outcomes whilst safely shortening hospital stays for lower risk children. Altogether this could reduce healthcare costs and improve patient outcomes, including mortality [6]. Poor pediatric pneumonia outcomes have been previously associated with young age, hypoxemia, severe acute malnutrition (SAM), HIV infection or exposure, severe disease on hospitalization, low birth weight or prematurity, and chest radiograph consolidation [5-12]. Improved access and reduced travel time to health facilities is also key to successful childhood pneumonia management [13]. While child pneumonia treatment failure definitions vary in the literature [7], generally WHO guidelines recommend switching to a second-line antibiotic regimen in the absence of clinical improvement or with clinical deterioration after 48 hours of first-line antibiotics [3, 6].

Malawi has a high HIV prevalence rate with 1 in every 10 adults living with HIV [14], as well as high levels of poverty and low food security levels with just 8% of 6–23 month olds consuming an adequate diet [15, 16]. Over the past two decades important gains have been made towards addressing some of the previously identified risk factors for treatment failure. HIV incidence rates in Malawi have decreased by at least 60% and perinatal HIV transmission has neared elimination, reducing childhood HIV infection prevalence and exposure [17, 18]. For children infected with HIV, successful cotrimoxazole preventative therapy also reduced severe pneumonia incidence [19]. Furthermore, PCV and Hib vaccines are likely shifting lower respiratory infection etiology towards viruses and/or other non-pneumococcal and haemophilus bacterial causes. For example, in a 2016 cohort of Malawian children with pneumonia and high immunization rates, bacteria were isolated in only 2.6% while viruses in 90.7% of cases [20].

As a result of these notable gains and shifts in socio-demographic characteristics, previously described child pneumonia risk factors may also have evolved. To assess this, we conducted a secondary analysis of data from the Malawi CPAP IMPACT trial to re-evaluate risk factors for antibiotic treatment failure among children hospitalized with WHO-defined severe pneumonia.

#### Methods

#### Study site

Patients were recruited into this trial from Salima district hospital in Salima, a lakeshore district in the central region of Malawi. This secondary level hospital serves as a referral center for all primary health facilities in Salima district. It has a 250 total bed capacity with approximately 6,500 pediatric medical hospitalizations annually, has no intensive care unit facilities, and serves a population of about 480,000.

#### Study population and procedures

This secondary analysis was performed among children aged 1–59 months enrolled into the CPAP IMPACT trial between June 2015 to March 2018. CPAP IMPACT was an individually randomized controlled, open label trial whose primary aim was to investigate the efficacy of bubble continuous positive airway pressure (bCPAP) compared to standard of care low flow oxygen (subsequently referred to as oxygen) on the mortality of children with WHO-defined severe pneumonia. Children with WHO-defined severe pneumonia and one or more co-morbidities including HIV exposure (but HIV-uninfected), HIV infection, or SAM, or the complication of hypoxemia were eligible, as described previously [21, 22]. All trial participants received standardized treatment for severe pneumonia that included intravenous antibiotics. First-line intravenous antibiotics were a 5-day course of benzylpenicillin (50,000 units 6 hourly) and gentamicin (7.5mg/kg once daily), per WHO and national guidelines. Patients enrolled with clinical features suggesting meningoencephalitis (Blantyre coma score <5 and/ or convulsions) were commenced on ceftriaxone 100mg/kg once daily. Patients commenced on ceftriaxone at hospital admission were excluded from this analysis since ceftriaxone was also a second-line antibiotic for severe pneumonia. Additional exclusion criteria included death before day three, withdrawal from CPAP IMPACT trial, or loss-to-follow-up.

Nutritional assessment was performed at admission and involved measuring weight, length (if age < 24 months), height (if age  $\geq$  24 months), mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) and assessment for bilateral edema. A classification of SAM required either the presence of a weight for height/length Z-score of < -3, a MUAC of <11.5cm and/or bilateral edema [4]. Moderate acute malnutrition classification was defined by a weight for height/length Z-score

of >-3 to  $\leq$  -2, and/or a MUAC of >11.5cm to  $\leq$  12.5cm. Blood tests on hospitalization included a malaria rapid diagnostic test (mRDT, SD Bioline), hemoglobin (Hemocue 301+) and HIV rapid test (HIV Determine). Per Malawi guidelines children below 12 months old underwent HIV PCR testing (Abbott m2000). Patients with a hemoglobin < 5g/dL were classified as having severe anemia.

The study team was available 24 hours per day, including weekends, to screen, enroll and clinically review study patients. This team was comprised of non-physician clinicians called clinical officers, and nurses and vital signs assistants. A study pediatrician was available for daily telephonic consults. Study patients were scheduled for reviews by a study clinician twice daily, but were reviewed more often if clinically deteriorating. Patients were assessed for the primary outcome of antibiotic treatment failure during each review from day 3 to day 6 (see Table 1 for primary outcome definition). If treatment failure to the first-line intravenous antibiotic regimen of benzylpenicillin and gentamicin was identified, patients were switched to the second line intravenous antibiotic regimen of ceftriaxone for 5 days at a dose of 80mg/kg once daily. This approach was consistent with recommended WHO and national guidelines for children hospitalized with pneumonia. Antibiotic treatment failure identified on day 6 was defined as 'early treatment failure' whilst treatment failure identified on day 6 was defined as 'late treatment failure.'

In-person supervision visits at the study site were conducted by the study pediatrician every fortnight. The study Principal Investigator and two other key Co-Investigators also performed in person supervision visits at least twice a year. Annual protocol and study procedure refresher trainings were conducted annually and the REDCAP system contained clinical decision trees and data validation prompts promoting quality control. In addition, data entered was reviewed by the study coordinator for quality checks. During the conduct of the study, a clinical research associate performed a monitoring visit at the study site twice.

All infants exposed to HIV or living with HIV were also commenced on high dose cotrimoxazole (21-day course) and prednisolone (5-day course) on admission for presumed *pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia. Those aged 12–59 months were commenced on the same high dose cotrimoxazole regimen when identified as having treatment failure from day 3.

#### Table 1. Antibiotic treatment failure definitions.

(A) Early (Day 3-5) treatment failure
1- Axillary temperature $\geq$ 38° Celsius (fever) or
2- Fever and persistent respiratory danger signs (SpO <sub>2</sub> <90%, grunting, head nodding, nasal flaring, severe chest indrawing, very fast breathing ( $\geq$ 70 breaths/minute if 1–11 months; $\geq$ 60 breaths/minute if 12–59 months of age), stridor in a calm child, or apnea) or
3- Fever and persistent general danger signs (inability to eat or drink, lethargy or unconscious, convulsions, vomiting everything) or
4- New respiratory danger sign or
5- New general danger sign or
6- Death during hospitalization and prior to pneumonia cure (resolution of respiratory danger signs and fever), or continued need for oxygen or bCPAP by day 5
(B) Late (Day 6) treatment failure
1- Axillary temperature $\geq$ 38° Celsius (fever) or
2- Any respiratory danger sign (new or persistent) or
3- Any general danger sign (new or persistent) or
4- Oxygen or bCPAP or
5- Death on day 6
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0278938.t001

### Data collection

In CPAP IMPACT, study clinicians and nurses prospectively entered data in real time into an electronic REDCAP database on an encrypted tablet when clinically evaluating participants. Variables of interest for this analysis included age, randomization arm, distance from place of residence to nearest health facility, vaccination status, weight, MUAC, length or height, weight, respiratory rate, peripheral arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>), respiratory danger signs, general danger signs and laboratory results for HIV, malaria, and hemoglobin.

#### Ethics

CPAP IMPACT was reviewed and approved by the Malawi National Health Science Research Committee (Protocol 1325) and the Johns Hopkins Institutional review board (IRB00055734). The trial was registered with Clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02484183). Written informed consent was obtained from the parent or legally accepted guardian of each child prior to enrollment, randomization, and data collection.

#### Data analysis

We evaluated patient characteristics comparing those with and without the primary outcome of antibiotic treatment failure (Table 1) and also assessed antibiotic treatment failure by study arm. Categorical variables were tabulated as numbers and percentages, and differences in distributions were tested using chi-square tests. To explore whether distance from the treatment facility (<10 vs > 10 km) may reflect poor health, and thence be associated with antibiotic treatment failure, we examined other clinical characteristics by reported distance to the nearest treatment facility. We further evaluated the association of the binary outcome of antibiotic treatment failure versus study arm and other patient characteristics by fitting logistic regression models to produce adjusted odds ratios. We evaluated a base model that included the following covariates: age in months, sex, randomization group, hemoglobin (<5g/dL, 5-10g/dL or >10g/dL), presence of general danger signs, and distance to the healthcare facility (<10 km or  $\geq$ 10km). Additional variables were added one at a time to the base model in a forward stepwise manner and the adjusted odds ratios were inspected. If the added variable was conceptually similar to any in the base model (e.g., adding hemoglobin as a continuous variable to the model already with hemoglobin as a categorical variable), then the paired variable was dropped to avoid collinearity and allow interpretation. For hypothesis testing, p < 0.05 was considered significant, and p values between 0.05 and 0.10 were considered as weak evidence of an association.

#### Results

In the CPAP IMPACT trial, 1712 children were screened and 644 were enrolled (Fig 1). We excluded an additional 106 participants from this secondary analysis, most commonly for death prior to day three of hospitalization. Our primary outcome of antibiotic treatment failure was identified in 251/538 (46.7%) children; 213/538 (39.6%) had 'early' and 38/538 (7.1%) had 'late' treatment failure. Hospital mortality occurred in 7.6% (19/251) of children with antibiotic treatment failure.

Children with a weight <5kg, MUAC <11.5cm, weight for age z-score <-3, and a residential distance  $\geq10$ km to the nearest health facility had higher rates of antibiotic treatment failure, both early and late (Table 2), compared to children not meeting these criteria. We observed weak evidence of a higher frequency of antibiotic treatment failure in the bCPAP





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0278938.g001

arm than the oxygen arm, in HIV infected compared to HIV exposed or uninfected HIV participants, and among children with a hemoglobin <5g/dL compared to  $\ge 5g/dL$ .

Analysis of the proportion of children on cotrimoxazole preventative therapy according to HIV status revealed that 89% (17/19) of the HIV infected and 69% (69/100) of the HIV uninfected (but exposed) participants were prescribed pre-hospital cotrimoxazole preventative therapy.

Although patients residing  $\geq$ 10km from the nearest health facility had a higher frequency of treatment failure than children living <10km from a facility, we did not observe any meaningful associations between health facility distance and illness severity at hospital admission (Table 3). However, we did observe a higher proportion of SAM among children residing  $\geq$ 10km from the nearest facility, compared to <10km (49% (49/100) vs 35.7% (153/428), p = 0.014).

During subgroup analysis of participants having either an *early* or *late* antibiotic treatment failure outcome by study arm, *early* treatment failure cases showed weak evidence for a higher treatment failure frequency in the bCPAP arm than in the oxygen arm [43.9% (111/253) vs 35.8% (102/285), p = 0.056] (Table 4). When examining *early* treatment failure criteria we found that a higher proportion of children receiving bCPAP, compared to oxygen, had fever with persistent respiratory danger signs [35.2% (89/253) vs 27% (77/285), p = 0.041]. We did not find any meaningful associations between *late* treatment failure overall or *late* treatment failure criteria by participant study arm.

We evaluated predictors of antibiotic treatment failure in Table 5. Adjusted analysis revealed the odds of antibiotic treatment failure for children with SAM, compared to without SAM, were 120% higher (aOR 2.2, 95% CI 1.52, 3.24, p<0.001). Use of antibiotics prior to hospitalization, compared to no use, increased the odds of antibiotic treatment failure by 47% (aOR 1.47, 95% CI 1.01, 2.14, p = 0.043). We observed weak evidence of an association between antibiotic treatment failure and participants having at least one general danger sign, participant hemoglobin level at admission, and participant distance to the nearest facility. Notably, neither HIV infection nor HIV exposure without infection were associated with antibiotic treatment failure.

Characteristic	Variable	No Antibiotic Treatment Failure (N = 287)	Antibiotic Treatment Failure (N = 251)	p-value	
Study arm	bCPAP	125 (49.4%)	128 (50.6%)	0.084	
	oxygen	162 (56.8%)	123 (43.2%)		
Sex	Females	129 (52.2%)	118 (47.8%)	0.63	
SAM	Yes	81 (39.1%)	126 (60.9%)	< 0.001	
	No	206 (62.2%)	125 (37.8%)		
HIV status	HIV uninfected	221 (52.7%)	198 (47.3%)	0.065	
	HIV exposed uninfected	60 (60%)	40 (40%)		
	HIV infected	6 (31.6%)	13 (68.4%)		
Malaria*	Positive	219 (55.3%)	177 (44.7%)	0.15	
3 doses of Hib†	No	12 (54.5%)	10 (45.5%)	0.98	
	Yes	119 (56.7%)	91 (43.3%)		
	Unknown	54 (56.8%)	41 (43.2%)		
3 doses of PCV††	No	11 (61.1%)	7 (38.9%)	0.94	
	Yes	118 (56.7%)	90 (43.3%)		
	Unknown	54 (56.8%)	41 (43.2%)		
Age (months)	36–59	18 (62.1%)	11 (37.1%)	0.17	
	12–35	81 (58.7%)	57 (41.3%)		
	1–11	188 (50.7%)	183 (49.3%)		
Weight (kg)	≥15	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	0.004	
	5-<15	225 (57%)	170 (43%)		
	<5	56 (41.5%)	79 (58.5%)		
MUAC (cm)	≥12.5	190 (61.3%)	120 (38.7%)	< 0.001	
	11.5-<12.5	49 (52.7%)	44 (47.3%)		
	<11.5	48 (35.6%)	87 (64.4%)		
Weight for age z-score	≥-2.0	210 (60%)	140 (40%)	< 0.001	
	$\geq$ -3 to < -2	39 (50%)	39 (50%)		
	<-3.0	38 (34.5%)	72 (65.5%)		
Hemoglobin (g/dL)**	>10	156 (56.5%)	120 (43.5%)	0.069	
	5-10	117 (52.5%)	106 (47.5%)		
	<5	14 (36.8%)	24 (63.2%)		
Distance to health facility (km)	<10	241 (56.3%)	187 (43.7%)	0.026	
	≥10	44 (44%)	56 (56%)		
	Unknown	2 (20%)	8 (80%)		

#### Table 2. Baseline participant characteristics by antibiotic treatment failure outcome.

Abbreviations: bCPAP: bubble continuous positive airway pressure, SAM: severe acute malnutrition, Hib: *Haemophilus influenzae* type B conjugate vaccine, PCV:

Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine, MUAC: mid-upper arm circumference.

 $^{\ast}$  according to malaria rapid diagnostic test, which was missing for 1 child.

\*\* hemoglobin result missing for 1 child.

† Restricted to children aged  ${\geq}4$  months; 211 children excluded from these as aged  ${<}4$  months.

††Restricted to children aged  $\geq$ 4 months; 217 children excluded from these as aged <4 months.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0278938.t002

#### Discussion

This secondary analysis of the CPAP IMPACT trial revealed higher-risk Malawian children with WHO-defined severe pneumonia and antibiotic treatment failure had high mortality (7.6%), and cases with SAM or pre-hospital antibiotic use had an elevated aOR for antibiotic treatment failure. We also found weaker evidence supporting associations between a higher aOR for antibiotic treatment failure and WHO-defined general danger signs at hospitalization, admission hemoglobin levels, and participant distance from the nearest health facility.

Clinical sign	Variable	Distance <10km	Distance ≥10km	p-value
		N = 428	N = 100	
		N, (%)	N, (%)	
SpO <sub>2</sub> <90%	No	147 (34.3%)	41 (41.0%)	0.21
	Yes	281 (65.7%)	59 (59.0%)	
SpO <sub>2</sub> 90–95%	No	356 (83.2%)	85 (85.0%)	0.66
	Yes	72 (16.8%)	15 (15.0%)	
Very fast breathing*	No	385 (90.0%)	85 (85.0%)	0.15
	Yes	43 (10.0%)	15 (15.0%)	
Presence of at least one respiratory danger sign	No	204 (47.7%)	56 (56.0%)	0.13
	Yes	224 (52.3%)	44 (44.0%)	
≥3 respiratory danger signs	No	391 (91.4%)	87 (87.0%)	0.18
	Yes	37 (8.6%)	13 (13.0%)	
≥1 general danger sign	No	383 (89.5%)	89 (89.0%)	0.89
	Yes	45 (10.5%)	11 (11.0%)	
SAM	No	275 (64.3%)	51 (51.0%)	0.014
	Yes	153 (35.7%)	49 (49.0%)	

Table 3.	Clinical signs of severe	pneumonia and malnutrition	at the time of hosp	italization according to	o particip	oant distance from	the nearest health facility

Abbreviations: SAM, severe acute malnutrition; SpO2, peripheral arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation.

\* Respiratory rate of  $\geq$ 80/min for children aged less than 2 months,  $\geq$ 70/min for children aged 2 months to <12 months, and  $\geq$ 60 for children aged 12 months to <60 months.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0278938.t003

The primary analysis of CPAP IMPACT revealed bCPAP, compared to oxygen, did not reduce hospital mortality [22]. The main analysis of treatment failure differed in that it did not distinguish between early versus late antibiotic treatment failure, did not consider the persistence of respiratory or general danger signs alone at day 6, considered fewer variables, and included a wider sample of children including those initiated on ceftriaxone at admission or who died within two days of hospitalization. This focused secondary analysis specifically addresses whether we can identify patients at hospital admission who may benefit from earlier use of second line intravenous antibiotics. Identifying such patients may help reorganized prevention efforts and hospital triage, inpatient monitoring, and use of resource-intensive care.

When putting our results into context it is important to recognize that there is substantial historical variation in published pneumonia treatment failure definitions. Pneumonia treatment failure has been broadly defined as an inadequate response to antimicrobial therapy with some definitions using a 48 hour timepoint whilst others using 72 hours [3, 23]. The treatment failure definitions vary also in relation to either the onset or persistence of varying combinations of clinical criteria usually including clinical discretion for any regimen changes [7]. The WHO guidelines recommend ampicillin/penicillin with gentamicin as first-line intravenous antibiotics for severe pneumonia, and changing to second-line antibiotics without improvement 48 hours after hospitalization [3]. The CPAP IMPACT trial applied a similar approach to WHO guidelines. However, WHO does not specify the exact clinical criteria needed for antibiotic treatment failure. In the programmatic context this ambiguity likely creates variation in the timing of antibiotic changes as well as possible over-use of second-line drugs [24]. Overall, our antibiotic treatment failure rates were high as about half of CPAP IMPACT participants met our study definition, with a case fatality rate amongst those with treatment failure of 7.6%. While high, these rates are comparable to the 50.4% treatment failure and 4.8% case fatality rates observed in a pediatric pneumonia population with similar comorbidities in Tanzania [6].

N = 253         N = 285           Early (days 3-5) antibiotic treatment failure = triteria         0.056           Early nutbiotic treatment failure = triteria         0.056           Fever + persistent respiratory danger signs         0.078           Yes         29 (11.5%)         77 (27.0%)         0.041           persistent general danger signs         0.078         0.041           persistent general danger signs         0.078         0.041           fever + persistent general danger signs         0.078         0.041           res         20 (1.5%)         20 (1.0%)         0.97           new respiratory danger signs         20 (7.0%)         0.97           new respiratory danger signs         21 (8.3%)         21 (7.4%)         0.69           new general danger signs         7 (2.8%)         5 (1.8%)         0.43           death during days 3-5 of hospitzitziton         7 (2.5%)         0.91         21	Variable	bCPAP arm	Oxygen arm	p-value	
Barly (days 3-5) antibiotic treatment failure = triteria         Yes       101 (43.9%)       102 (35.8%)       0.056         Early antibiotic treatment failure = triteria       - </th <th></th> <th>N = 253</th> <th>N = 285</th> <th></th>		N = 253	N = 285		
Yes       111 (43.9%)       102 (35.8%)       0.056         Early antibiotic treatment failure criteria	Early (days 3–5) antibiotic trea	tment failure			
<i>Early</i> antibiotic treatment failure criteria         fever + requiring bCPAP/ oxyger       94 (33.0%)       0.078         Yes       102 (40.3%)       94 (33.0%)       0.078         fever + persistent respiratory darger signs       77 (27.0%)       0.041         persistent general danger signs       77 (27.0%)       0.041         yes       29 (11.5%)       31 (10.9%)       0.83         persistent respiratory danger signs       230 (90.9%)       261 (91.6%)       0.78         fever + persistent general danger signs       230 (90.9%)       261 (91.6%)       0.78         fever + persistent general danger signs       20 (7.0%)       0.97         rew respiratory danger signs       21 (8.3%)       21 (7.4%)       0.69         new respiratory danger signs       7 (2.8%)       0.43       20         Yes       21 (8.3%)       21 (7.4%)       0.69         new general danger signs       7 (2.8%)       5 (1.8%)       0.43         death during days 3–5 of hospitalization       9       9       9       9         Yes       8 (3.2%)       7 (2.5%)       0.91       9         Late (ady 6) antibiotic treatment failure       15 (2.5%)       0.12       16         Yes       59 (23.3%)	Yes	111 (43.9%)	102 (35.8%)	0.056	
fever + requiring bCPAP/ oxygen         Yes       102 (40.3%)       94 (33.0%)       0.078         fever + persistent respiratory danger signs       77 (27.0%)       0.041         persistent general danger signs       77 (27.0%)       0.041         persistent general danger signs       94 (33.0%)       0.078         Yes       29 (11.5%)       31 (10.9%)       0.83         persistent respiratory danger signs       94 (30.0%)       0.78         fever + persistent general danger signs       94 (30.0%)       0.78         Yes       230 (90.9%)       261 (91.6%)       0.78         fever + persistent general danger signs       94 (33.0%)       0.78         Yes       18 (7.1%)       20 (7.0%)       0.97         new respiratory danger signs       94 (33.0%)       0.97         respiratory danger signs       94 (30.0%)       0.97         Yes       21 (8.3%)       21 (7.4%)       0.69         new general danger signs       94 (33.0%)       0.43       94         Yes       7 (2.8%)       5 (1.8%)       0.43         death during days 3-5 of hospitalization       94 (33.0%)       12         Yes       5 (2.3%)       5 1 (17.9%)       0.12         Late (day 6) antibio	Early antibiotic treatment failur	e criteria			
Yes       102 (40.3%)       94 (33.0%)       0.078         fever + persistent respiratory darger signs       77 (27.0%)       0.041         persistent general danger signs       77 (27.0%)       0.83         Yes       29 (11.5%)       31 (10.9%)       0.83         persistent respiratory danger signs       230 (90.9%)       261 (91.6%)       0.78         Yes       230 (90.9%)       261 (91.6%)       0.78         fever + persistent general danger signs       7       7         Yes       18 (7.1%)       20 (7.0%)       0.97         new respiratory danger signs       7       7       9         Yes       18 (7.1%)       20 (7.0%)       0.69         new respiratory danger signs       7       2.8%)       0.69         new general danger signs       7       7       10.4%)       0.69         new general danger signs       7       7       10.4%)       0.69         Yes       7 (2.8%)       5 (1.8%)       0.43       0.43         death during days 3-5 of hospitalization       7       7       2.5%)       0.91         Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment failure       T       T       Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12	fever + requiring bCPAP/ oxy	/gen			
fever + persistent respiratory Jarger signs       77 (27.0%)       0.041         Persistent general danger signs       31 (10.9%)       0.83         Persistent respiratory danger signs       261 (91.6%)       0.78         Yes       230 (90.9%)       261 (91.6%)       0.78         fever + persistent general danger signs       20 (7.0%)       0.97         respiratory danger signs       20 (7.0%)       0.97         new respiratory danger signs       20 (7.0%)       0.97         respiratory danger signs       21 (7.4%)       0.69         new respiratory danger signs       21 (7.4%)       0.69         respiratory danger signs       5 (1.8%)       0.43         respiratory danger signs       7 (2.8%)       5 (1.8%)       0.91         respiratory danger signs       7 (2.8%)       0.91       10.12         respiratory danger signs       7 (2.8%)       0.12       10.12         respiratory danger signs       7 (2.5%)       0.91       10.12         Iate (day 6) antibiotic treatment failure       10.12       11.12       11.12         Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12       12.12         Iate antibiotic treatment failure       14 (5.5%)       15 (5.3%)       0.89	Yes	102 (40.3%)	94 (33.0%)	0.078	
Yes89 (35.2%)77 (27.0%)0.041persistent general danger signs31 (10.9%)0.83persistent respiratory danger signs261 (91.6%)0.78Yes230 (90.9%)261 (91.6%)0.78fever + persistent general dameer signs20 (7.0%)0.97respiratory danger signs20 (7.0%)0.97new respiratory danger signs21 (7.4%)0.69new general danger signs21 (7.4%)0.69respiratory danger signs5 (1.8%)0.43qeath during days 3-5 of hospitatization5 (1.8%)0.43Ves8 (3.2%)7 (2.5%)0.91Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment FailureYes59 (23.3%)51 (17.9%)0.12Late antibiotic treatment failureYes14 (5.5%)15 (5.3%)0.89Presence of any respiratory danger sign or general danger signyesyesYes26 (18.2%)40 (14.0%)0.19Continued need for oxygen $rrespirators expressioner supportyes55 (21.7%)48 (16.8%)0.15Yes20 (0.8%)2 (0.7%)0.9115$	fever + persistent respiratory	danger signs	· · · · ·	·	
Persistent general danger signs         Yes       29 (11.5%)       31 (10.9%)       0.83         persistent respiratory danger signs         Yes       230 (90.9%)       261 (91.6%)       0.78         fever + persistent general danger signs       0.78       0.78         Yes       18 (7.1%)       20 (7.0%)       0.97         new respiratory danger signs       21 (8.3%)       21 (7.4%)       0.69         new general danger signs       7 (2.8%)       5 (1.8%)       0.43         death during days 3–5 of hospitalization       7 (2.5%)       0.91 <i>Late</i> (day 6) antibiotic treatment failure       7 (2.5%)       0.91         Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12 <i>Late</i> antibiotic treatment failure         Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12 <i>Late</i> antibiotic treatment failure         Yes       59 (23.3%)         Yes       15 (5.3%)       0.12 <i>Late</i> antibiotic treatment failure       Yes         Yes       14 (5.5%)       15 (5.3%)       0.89         Yes <th colspa<="" td=""><td>Yes</td><td>89 (35.2%)</td><td>77 (27.0%)</td><td>0.041</td></th>	<td>Yes</td> <td>89 (35.2%)</td> <td>77 (27.0%)</td> <td>0.041</td>	Yes	89 (35.2%)	77 (27.0%)	0.041
Yes29 (11.5%)31 (10.9%)0.83persistent respiratory danger signs261 (91.6%)0.78fever + persistent general dar fever + persistent general dar Yes18 (7.1%)20 (7.0%)0.97new respiratory danger signs21 (8.3%)21 (7.4%)0.69new general danger signs21 (8.3%)5 (1.8%)0.69new general danger signs7 (2.8%)5 (1.8%)0.43death during days 3–5 of hos>t-itzeton7 (2.5%)0.91Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment failureYes8 (3.2%)7 (2.5%)0.91A (5.9%)51 (17.9%)0.12Late antibiotic treatment failureYes59 (23.3%)51 (17.9%)0.12Late antibiotic treatment failureYes14 (5.5%)15 (5.3%)0.89Presence of any respiratory darger sign or general danger signYes46 (18.2%)40 (14.0%)0.19Continued need for oxygen or beneral danger signYes55 (21.7%)48 (16.8%)0.15Death on day 62 (0.8%)2 (0.7%)0.91	persistent general danger sign	IS	· · · · ·	·	
Persistent respiratory danger signs         Yes       230 (90.9%)       261 (91.6%)       0.78         fever + persistent general danger       signs       0.97         Yes       18 (7.1%)       20 (7.0%)       0.97         new respiratory danger signs       21 (8.3%)       21 (7.4%)       0.69         new general danger signs       7 (2.8%)       5 (1.8%)       0.43         death during days 3–5 of hospitation       5 (1.8%)       0.43         Yes       8 (3.2%)       7 (2.5%)       0.91         Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment Failure         Yes       8 (3.2%)       5 (17.9%)       0.12         Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12         Late antibiotic treatment failure         Yes       Segrees Celsius)         Yes       15 (5.3%)       0.89         Yes       46 (18.2%)       40 (14.0%)       0.19         Yes       46 (18.2%)       48 (16.8%)       0.19         Yes       5 (21.7%)       48 (16.8%)          Yes	Yes	29 (11.5%)	31 (10.9%)	0.83	
Yes       230 (90.9%)       261 (91.6%)       0.78         fever + persistent general danger signs	persistent respiratory danger	signs			
fever + persistent general danger signs         Yes       18 (7.1%)       20 (7.0%)       0.97         new respiratory danger signs       21 (8.3%)       21 (7.4%)       0.69         new general danger signs       21 (7.4%)       0.69         Yes       7 (2.8%)       5 (1.8%)       0.43         death during days 3–5 of hospitalization       7 (2.5%)       0.91         Yes       8 (3.2%)       7 (2.5%)       0.91         Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment failure       Failure       Ves       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12         Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12       0.43         Late antibiotic treatment failure criteria       Fever (axillary temperature $\geq 38$ degrees Celsius)       9 (3.3%)       9 (3.3%)       9 (3.3%)       9 (3.3%)       0.12         Yes       14 (5.5%)       15 (5.3%)       0.89       9         Yes       46 (18.2%)       40 (14.0%)       0.19         Continued need for oxygen or UCPAP treatment       Yes       5 (21.7%)       48 (16.8%)       0.15         Death on day 6       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I         Yes       2 (0.8%)       2 (0.7%)       0.91	Yes	230 (90.9%)	261 (91.6%)	0.78	
Yes18 (7.1%)20 (7.0%)0.97new respiratory danger signs21 (7.4%)0.69Yes21 (8.3%)21 (7.4%)0.69new general danger signs51 (7.4%)0.43Yes7 (2.8%)5 (1.8%)0.43death during days 3-5 of hospitalization0.43Yes8 (3.2%)Yes8 (3.2%)7 (2.5%)Yes8 (3.2%)7 (2.5%)0.91Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment failureYes59 (23.3%)51 (17.9%)0.12Late antibiotic treatment failureYes14 (5.5%)15 (5.3%)0.89Presence of any respiratory darger sign or general danger signYes46 (18.2%)40 (14.0%)0.19Continued need for oxygen or YenP treatmentYes55 (21.7%)48 (16.8%)0.15Death on day 6[1][2] (0.7%)0.91	fever + persistent general dan	ger signs	· · · · ·	·	
new respiratory danger signsYes21 (8.3%)21 (7.4%)0.69new general danger signs $21$ (7.4%)0.69Yes7 (2.8%)5 (1.8%)0.43death during days 3–5 of hospitalization $7$ (2.5%)0.91Yes8 (3.2%)7 (2.5%)0.91Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment failureYes59 (23.3%)51 (17.9%)0.12Late antibiotic treatment failureYes59 (23.3%)51 (17.9%)0.12Late antibiotic treatment failure criteriaFever (axillary temperature $\geq$ 38 degrees Celsius)Yes14 (5.5%)15 (5.3%)0.89Presence of any respiratory darger sign or general danger signYes46 (18.2%)40 (14.0%)0.19Continued need for oxygen or bCPAP treatmentYes55 (21.7%)48 (16.8%)0.15Peath on day 6IIIIIYes2 (0.8%)2 (0.7%)0.91I	Yes	18 (7.1%)	20 (7.0%)	0.97	
Yes       21 (8.3%)       21 (7.4%)       0.69         new general danger signs       Yes       7 (2.8%)       5 (1.8%)       0.43         death during days 3-5 of hospitation $7 (2.8\%)$ 7 (2.5%)       0.91         death during days 3-5 of hospitation       7 (2.5%)       0.91         Yes       8 (3.2%)       7 (2.5%)       0.91         Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment Failure $7 (2.5\%)$ 0.12         Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12         Late antibiotic treatment failure criteria $7 (2.5\%)$ 0.91         Late antibiotic treatment failure signeres Celsius) $59 (23.3\%)$ 51 (17.9%)       0.12         Yes       14 (5.5%)       15 (5.3%)       0.89         Presence of any respiratory dargeres celsius) $7 (2.5\%)$ 0.19         Continued need for oxygen or CPAP treatment $7 (2.5\%)$ 0.19         Yes       46 (18.2%)       40 (14.0%)       0.19         Continued need for oxygen or CPAP treatment $7 (2.5\%)$ $7 (2.5\%)$ $7 (2.5\%)$ Yes       55 (21.7\%)       48 (16.8%)       0.15         Death on day 6 $2 (0.8\%)$ $2 (0.7\%)$ 0.91	new respiratory danger signs				
new general danger signs         Yes       7 (2.8%)       5 (1.8%)       0.43         death during days 3–5 of hospitzation       7       2.5%)       0.91         Yes       8 (3.2%)       7 (2.5%)       0.91         Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment Failure         Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12         Late antibiotic treatment failure riteria         Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12         Late antibiotic treatment failure riteria       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12         Present continued failure riteria       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12         Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12         Prever (axillary temperature $\geq$ 34 egrees Celsius)       51 (17.9%)       0.12         Yes       14 (5.5%)       15 (5.3%)       0.89         Presence of any respiratory darger sign or general danger sig	Yes	21 (8.3%)	21 (7.4%)	0.69	
Yes       7 (2.8%)       5 (1.8%)       0.43         death during days 3–5 of hospitzation       7       0.91         Yes       8 (3.2%)       7 (2.5%)       0.91         Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment Failure         Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12         Late antibiotic treatment failure criteria         Fever (axillary temperature $\geq$ 3 degrees Celsius)         Yes       14 (5.5%)       15 (5.3%)       0.89         Presence of any respiratory darger sign or general danger signor       90.19       90.19         Yes       46 (18.2%)       40 (14.0%)       0.19         Continued need for oxygen or CPAP treatment       95 (21.7%)       48 (16.8%)       0.15         Yes       55 (21.7%)       48 (16.8%)       0.15         Death on day 6       1       1       1         Yes       2 (0.8%)       2 (0.7%)       0.91	new general danger signs				
death during days 3–5 of hospitatizationYes8 (3.2%)7 (2.5%)0.91Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment FailureYes59 (23.3%)51 (17.9%)0.12Late antibiotic treatment failure criteriaFever (axillary temperature $\geq$ 3 degrees Celsius)Yes14 (5.5%)15 (5.3%)0.89Presence of any respiratory darger sign or general danger signYes0.19Yes46 (18.2%)40 (14.0%)0.19Continued need for oxygen or CPAP treatmentYes55 (21.7%)48 (16.8%)0.15Death on day 612 (0.8%)2 (0.7%)0.91	Yes	7 (2.8%)	5 (1.8%)	0.43	
Yes         8 (3.2%)         7 (2.5%)         0.91           Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment Failure           Yes         59 (23.3%)         51 (17.9%)         0.12           Late antibiotic treatment failure           Late antibiotic treatment failure           Fever (axillary temperature $\geq$ 3 degrees Celsius)           Yes         14 (5.5%)         15 (5.3%)         0.89           Presence of any respiratory darger sign or general danger sign         Vertice         Vertice         Vertice         0.19           Yes         46 (18.2%)         40 (14.0%)         0.19         Ontiped           Continued need for oxygen or CPAP treatment           Yes         55 (21.7%)         48 (16.8%)         0.15           Death on day 6         C         C         Continued         O.19           Yes         2 (0.8%)         2 (0.7%)         0.91	death during days 3-5 of hosp	oitalization			
Late (day 6) antibiotic treatment failure         Yes       59 (23.3%)       51 (17.9%)       0.12         Late antibiotic treatment failure $$	Yes	8 (3.2%)	7 (2.5%)	0.91	
Yes         59 (23.3%)         51 (17.9%)         0.12           Late antibiotic treatment failure viersia           Fever (axillary temperature $\geq$ 3 degrees Celsius)           Yes         14 (5.5%)         15 (5.3%)         0.89           Presence of any respiratory during right or general danger sign or general danger	Late (day 6) antibiotic treatme	nt failure			
Late antibiotic treatment failure criteriaFever (axillary temperature $\geq$ 3 degrees Celsius)Yes14 (5.5%)15 (5.3%)0.89Presence of any respiratory darsummer sign or general danger signsummer signYes46 (18.2%)40 (14.0%)0.19Continued need for oxygen or CPAP treatmentsummer signsummer signYes55 (21.7%)48 (16.8%)0.15Death on day 6colspan="2">colspan="2">colspan="2">colspan="2">colspan="2">colspan="2">colspan="2">colspan="2">colspan="2"Yes2 (0.8%)2 (0.7%)0.91	Yes	59 (23.3%)	51 (17.9%)	0.12	
Fever (axillary temperature $\geq$ 38 degrees Celsius)         Yes       14 (5.5%)       15 (5.3%)       0.89         Presence of any respiratory darger sign or general danger sign $=$ $=$ $=$ Yes       46 (18.2%)       40 (14.0%)       0.19         Continued need for oxygen or CPAP treatment $=$ $=$ Yes       55 (21.7%)       48 (16.8%)       0.15         Death on day 6 $=$ $=$ Yes       2 (0.8%)       2 (0.7%)       0.91	Late antibiotic treatment failur	e criteria			
Yes         14 (5.5%)         15 (5.3%)         0.89           Presence of any respiratory darger sign or general danger sign	Fever (axillary temperature $\geq$	38 degrees Celsius)			
Presence of any respiratory darger sign or general danger sign.           Yes         46 (18.2%)         40 (14.0%)         0.19           Continued need for oxygen or bCPAP treatment         55 (21.7%)         48 (16.8%)         0.15           Yes         55 (21.7%)         48 (16.8%)         0.19           Death on day 6              Yes         2 (0.8%)         2 (0.7%)         0.91	Yes	14 (5.5%)	15 (5.3%)	0.89	
Yes         46 (18.2%)         40 (14.0%)         0.19           Continued need for oxygen or bCPAP treatment           Yes         55 (21.7%)         48 (16.8%)         0.15           Death on day 6              Yes         2 (0.8%)         2 (0.7%)         0.91	Presence of any respiratory da	anger sign or general da	nger sign		
Continued need for oxygen or bCPAP treatment           Yes         55 (21.7%)         48 (16.8%)         0.15           Death on day 6         -         -         -           Yes         2 (0.8%)         2 (0.7%)         0.91	Yes	46 (18.2%)	40 (14.0%)	0.19	
Yes         55 (21.7%)         48 (16.8%)         0.15           Death on day 6              Yes         2 (0.8%)         2 (0.7%)         0.91	Continued need for oxygen o	r bCPAP treatment			
Death on day 6	Yes	55 (21.7%)	48 (16.8%)	0.15	
Yes 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.7%) 0.91	Death on day 6				
	Yes	2 (0.8%)	2 (0.7%)	0.91	

Table 4. Criteria for antibiotic treatment failure by study arm.

Abbreviations: bCPAP: bubble continuous positive airway pressure.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0278938.t004

Our findings also highlight both the importance and complexity of SAM in children with pneumonia. Children with SAM had 2.5-fold higher aOR for antibiotic treatment failure than those without SAM. Similar trends have been noted in other studies in Africa and Asia [12, 25–27]. Children with SAM are especially challenging to clinically manage in resource-constrained settings, as the condition can cause depressed immunological responses and bacterial gastrointestinal overgrowth, which can increase the risk of gram-negative sepsis. Endotoxins and oxidative stress from gram-negative bacterial sepsis may be a causal pathway leading to elevated antibiotic treatment failure risk in SAM patients [25, 28]. In SAM, the emergence of clinical features of severe pneumonia may also be delayed, resulting in later hospital presentation and worse outcomes [29]. Additionally, SAM also causes multi-organ dysfunction that can reduce the body's ability to cope with insults such as infections, leading to a higher risk of poor outcomes [30].

#### Table 5. Predictors of antibiotic treatment failure.

Patient variable		Unadjusted OR	p-value	Adjusted OR	p-value
(N = 538)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)	
Continuous variables					
Age (months)		0.99 (0.98-1.00)	0.137	0.99 (0.97-1.00)	0.150
Weight (kg)		0.88 (0.83-0.94)	< 0.001	0.93 (0.81–1.07)	0.302
Weight for height (z-score)		2.08 (1.29-3.36)	0.003	1.02 (0.56–1.83)	0.957
MUAC (cm)		0.77 (0.70–0.85)	< 0.001	0.82 (0.70-0.96)	0.012
Hemoglobin (g/dL)		0.90 (0.84-0.97)	0.004	0.93 (0.86–1.00)	0.057
Distance to nearest health facility (km)		1.06 (1.01–1.10)	0.008	1.04 (1.00-1.09)	0.055
Categorical values					
Social-demographic features					
Distance to nearest health facility (km)	<10 (N = 428)	1.0 (Reference)			
	≥10 (N = 100)	1.64 (1.06–2.54)	0.027	1.43 (0.90-2.26)	0.130
Age category (months)	36-59 (N = 29)	1.0 (Reference)			
	12–35 (N = 134)	1.10 (0.48–2.52)	0.813	1.13 (0.47-2.70)	0.779
	1–11 (N = 349)	1.55 (0.71-3.39)	0.267	1.65 (0.72-3.79)	0.236
Males (N = 291)		0.92 (0.66–1.29)	0.632	0.97 (0.68–1.39)	0.874
History prematurity (N = 24)		1.63 (0.71-3.74)	0.247	0.52 (0.46-2.79)	0.787
Indoor cooking at home with smoke exposure	(N = 103)	0.96 (0.62–1.47)	0.834	1.26 (0.80–1.97)	0.325
Cigarette smoke exposure at home (N = 108)		0.71 (0.46–1.09)	0.117	0.84 (0.53-1.31)	0.435
Medical history					
Antibiotics within 7 days prior to hospitalization	on (N = 193)	1.38 (0.97–1.97)	0.072	1.47 (1.01–2.14)	0.043
History of prior hospitalized pneumonia (N = 135)		0.93 (0.63–1.37)	0.712	1.07 (0.70–1.65)	0.744
Known TB exposure (N = 18)		0.56 (0.21-1.50)	0.247	0.61 (0.22–1.73)	0.353
3 doses Hib vaccine amongst children aged $\geq$ 4 months (N = 210)		0.92 (0.38-2.22)	0.849	1.32 (0.50-3.46)	0.575
3 doses PCV vaccine amongst children aged $\geq$ 4 months (N = 208)		1.20 (0.45-3.21)	0.719	1.70 (0.58-5.02)	0.334
Clinical signs and symptoms at the time of he	ospitalization				
Severe hypoxemia* (N = 343)		0.80 (0.56–1.13)	0.207	0.83 (0.55-1.24)	0.349
Mild hypoxemia† (N = 90)		1.31 (0.83-2.06)	0.247	0.96 (0.59–1.58)	0.873
Wheeze (N = 117)		0.85 (0.57-1.29)	0.453	1.20 (0.76–1.89)	0.442
Very fast breathing $\int (N = 184)$		0.77 (0.54-1.10)	0.153	0.84 (0.58-1.23)	0.369
$\geq 1$ respiratory danger sign (N = 274)		0.77 (0.55-1.08)	0.127	1.70 (0.44-6.52)	0.442
$\geq$ 3 respiratory danger signs (N = 52)		0.82 (0.46-1.47)	0.509	0.84 (0.44-1.61)	0.600
$\geq$ 1 general danger sign (N = 56)		2.05 (1.16-3.63)	0.013	1.83 (1.0-3.35)	0.051
Anthropometrics and nutritional assessment					
Weight category (kg)	≥15 (N = 8)	1.0 (Reference)			
	5 to <15 (N = 395)	2.27 (0.45-11.37)	0.320	1.49 (0.24-9.07)	0.667
	<5 (N = 135)	4.23 (0.82-21.74)	0.084	1.51 (0.21-10.68)	0.681
SAM	Absent (N = 331)	1.0 (Reference)			
	Present (N = 207)	2.56 (1.79-3.66)	< 0.001	2.22 (1.52-3.24)	< 0.001
MUAC (cm)	≥12.5 (N = 310)	1.0 (Reference)			
	11.5 to <13.5 (N = 93)	1.42 (0.89-2.27)	0.140	1.27 (0.74-2.16)	0.384
	<11.5 (N = 135)	2.87 (1.89-4.37)	< 0.001	1.83 (0.89-3.78)	0.103
Blood tests					
MRDT	Negative (N = 396)	1.0 (Reference)			
	Positive (N = 141)	1.33 (0.90–1.95)	0.149	1.21 (0.76-1.92)	0.422

(Continued)

#### Table 5. (Continued)

Patient variable (N = 538)		Unadjusted OR	p-value	Adjusted OR	p-value	
		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	>10 (N = 276)	1.0 (Reference)				
	5–10 (N = 223)	1.18 (0.83-1.68)	0.366	1.07 (0.74–1.56)	0.717	
	<5 (N = 38)	2.23 (1.11-4.49)	0.025	1.46 (0.67-3.18)	0.340	
HIV status						
HIV negative unexposed (N = 419)		1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)			
HIV exposed uninfected (N = 100)		0.74 (0.48-1.16)	0.192	0.81 (0.51-1.30)	0.382	
HIV infected (N = 19)		2.42 (0.92-6.50)	0.079	2.50 (0.83-7.52)	0.104	

Abbreviations: bCPAP, bubble continuous positive airway pressure; MUAC, mid-upper arm circumference; MRDT, malaria rapid diagnostic test; SAM, severe acute malnutrition; TB, tuberculosis.

\* Peripheral pulse oximetry oxygen saturation <90%.

Peripheral pulse oximetry oxygen saturation 90%-95%.

rempileral pulse oximetry oxygen saturation 90%-95%.

 $\int$  Respiratory rate of  $\geq$ 80/min for children aged less than 2 months,  $\geq$ 70/min for children aged 2 months but <12 months and  $\geq$ 60 for children aged 12 months but <60 months.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0278938.t005

In our analysis, increased rates of treatment failure were significantly observed in children residing  $\geq$ 10km from the nearest health facility, although adjusted models found weak evidence of this association. Families with poor socio-economic circumstances and long distances to a hospital may not present to care at all or may present late with advanced disease, as has been reported in studies from Kenya, Namibia and The Philippines [13, 31, 32]. Interestingly, in our analysis we did not find that children residing  $\geq$  10km from the nearest health facility had more severe pneumonia disease on presentation. In our cohort we instead found increased levels of SAM in children residing further from the nearest health facility. Altogether, this suggests improving the clinical course and outcome of childhood pneumonia in Malawi will require more directly addressing the poor socio-economic factors that place the patient at risk of poor pneumonia outcomes.

Prior use of antibiotics in our cohort was predictive of antibiotic treatment failure. A similar observation was also observed in India [33]. It may be that patients taking oral antibiotics but still requiring hospitalization have pneumonia due to a bacterial pathogen not normally responsive to the first-line drug or the pathogen as acquired new antimicrobial resistance. Poor adherence to oral antibiotic courses for pneumonia is also a challenge and up to 20% of nonadherent pneumonia cases have been reported in Malawi [34]. A poor antibiotic response may also be due to a non-bacterial illness altogether. Indeed, one study on childhood pneumonia etiology in the northern region of Malawi reported the predominance of viral pathogen isolates [20].

Advancing both our understanding and clinical management of treatment failure would be improved with more accurate pneumonia diagnostics. WHO-defined pneumonia is a syndromic condition and it is important to note that a high proportion of patients meeting criteria may not have bacterial pneumonia at all. Children with acute gastroenteritis or septicemia may present with respiratory compensation mimicking clinical pneumonia signs due to an underlying primary metabolic acidosis. A primary metabolic acidosis may present as breathing difficulties making it challenging to differentiate from pneumonia defined only by clinical signs [35]. In this study we found one or more WHO-defined general danger signs were weakly predictive of an increased aOR for antibiotic treatment failure. No other clinical signs were predictive. Studies in Kenya have similarly reported the presence of general danger signs as associated with an increased risk of pneumonia treatment failure [7]. Our findings overall stress the need for further research on childhood pneumonia etiology, antimicrobial resistance profiles, and improved childhood pneumonia diagnostics.

While increased rates of treatment failure were observed in children with HIV infection, HIV infection and HIV exposure without infection did not predict treatment failure in our cohort. This is likely due to advances in HIV prevention, antiretroviral access, and *Pneumocystis jiroveci* preventative treatment [19, 36]. Also, in contrast with other studies we did not find hypoxemia as associated with treatment failure. This may be due to trial participants having improved access and earlier correction of hypoxemia as compared to other previous studies.

Our analysis had limitations. First, outside of malaria testing, the trial did not investigate pneumonia etiology and it is established that drug resistant pathogens increase treatment failure risk [23]. In LMICs, microbiological investigations for pneumonia are not routinely performed and CPAP IMPACT mirrored this approach. Second, the trial did not record prehospital antibiotic regimens limiting more granular analyses. Third, chest radiographs were not performed on enrollment or amongst those with antibiotic treatment failure. At Salima District Hospital, mobile chest radiography was not present and only stable patients could be taken to the radiology department for imaging. This scenario is typical of LMICs. Fourth, CPAP IMPACT lacked cases with less severe disease and this higher disease severity likely contributes to the high treatment failure rate observed.

#### Conclusion

In sum, our analysis showed children with SAM had increased rates and elevated risk of antibiotic treatment failure. Causes of SAM are complex and multifactorial, encompassing contextual, societal and economic domains [37]. Policy for reducing childhood pneumonia will need to also address issues like SAM in order to improve pneumonia outcomes. Increased antibiotic treatment failure risk was also noted in children with severe pneumonia who had received prior antibiotics, indicating the need to further investigate childhood pneumonia etiology and susceptibility of pathogens to antibiotics. Lastly, improved diagnostics are needed to refine our understanding of and approaches to antibiotic treatment failure in children with pneumonia in LMICs.

#### Supporting information

S1 File. Data. (XLSX)S2 File. Data dictionary. (CSV)

**S3 File. Codebook.** (XLSX)

**S4 File. Analysis.** (DO)

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the children and their caregivers who participated in the CPAP IMPACT trial, Salima District Hospital staff, the Malawi Ministry of Health for their support and the CPAP IMPACT study team.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Eric D. McCollum.

Data curation: Davie Kondowe, Dan Nansongole, Takondwa A. Mtimaukanena.

Formal analysis: Dhananjay Vaidya, Yisi Liu.

Funding acquisition: Andrew G. Smith, Eric D. McCollum.

Project administration: Tisungane Mvalo, Eric D. McCollum.

Supervision: Tisungane Mvalo, Andrew G. Smith, Michelle Eckerle, Eric D. McCollum.

Writing - original draft: Tisungane Mvalo.

Writing – review & editing: Andrew G. Smith, Michelle Eckerle, Mina C. Hosseinipour, Kelly Corbett, Norman Lufesi, Eric D. McCollum.

#### References

- McAllister DA, Liu L, Shi T, Chu Y, Reed C, Burrows J, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of pneumonia morbidity and mortality in children younger than 5 years between 2000 and 2015: a systematic analysis. Lancet Glob Heal [Internet]. 2019; 7(1):e47–57. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2214-109X(18)30408-X PMID: 30497986
- Marangu D, Zar HJ. Childhood pneumonia in low-and-middle-income countries: An update. Paediatr Respir Rev. 2019; 32:3–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prrv.2019.06.001 PMID: 31422032
- 3. World Health Organization. Hospital care for children pocket book. 2013.
- 4. World Heath Organization. Integrated Management of Chilhood Illness. Chart Booklet 2014.
- Mamtani M, Patel A, Hibberd PL, Tuan TA, Jeena P, Chisaka N, et al. A clinical tool to predict failed response to therapy in children with severe pneumonia. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2009; 44(4):379–86. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ppul.21014 PMID: 19330771
- Muro RP, Masoza TS, Kasanga G, Kayange N, Kidenya BR. Predictors and outcome of first line treatment failure among under-five children with community acquired severe pneumonia at Bugando Medical Centre, Mwanza, Tanzania: A prospective cohort study. PLoS One [Internet]. 2020; 15(12 December):1–10. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243636 PMID: 33306722
- Agweyu A, Kibore M, Digolo L, Kosgei C, Maina V, Mugane S, et al. Prevalence and correlates of treatment failure among Kenyan children hospitalised with severe community-acquired pneumonia: A prospective study of the clinical effectiveness of WHO pneumonia case management guidelines. Trop Med Int Heal. 2014; 19(11):1310–20. https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.12368 PMID: 25130866
- Kelly MS, Zheng J, Boiditswe S, Steenhoff AP, Feemster KA, Arscott-Mills T, et al. Investigating mediators of the poor pneumonia outcomes of human immunodeficiency virus–exposed but uninfected children. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc. 2019; 8(1):13–20. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpids/pix092 PMID: 29165579
- 9. le Roux DM, Zar HJ. Community-acquired pneumonia in children—a changing spectrum of disease. Pediatr Radiol. 2017; 47(11):1392–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00247-017-3827-8 PMID: 29043417
- Fox MP, Thea DM, Sadruddin S, Bari A, Bonawitz R, Hazir T, et al. Low rates of treatment failure in children aged 2–59 months treated for severe pneumonia: A multisite pooled analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 56(7):978–87. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis1201 PMID: 23264361
- McCollum ED, King C, Hollowell R, Zhou J, Colbourn T, Nambiar B, et al. Predictors of treatment failure for non-severe childhood pneumonia in developing countries—systematic literature review and expert survey—the first step towards a community focused mHealth risk-assessment tool? BMC Pediatr [Internet]. 2015; 15(1):1–11. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12887-015-0392-x
- Jakhar SK, Pandey M, Shah D, Ramachandran VG, Saha R, Gupta N, et al. Etiology and Risk Factors Determining Poor Outcome of Severe Pneumonia in Under–Five Children. Indian J Pediatr. 2018; 85 (1):20–4. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-017-2514-y PMID: 29027126
- Kosai H, Tamaki R, Saito M, Tohma K, Alday PP, Tan AG, et al. Incidence and risk factors of childhood pneumonia-like episodes in Biliran Island, Philippines—A community-based study. PLoS One [Internet]. 2015; 10(5):1–19. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125009 PMID: 25938584
- 14. West S. MALAWI POPULATION-BASED HIV IMPACT ASSESSMENT. (December 2016):1-4.

- 15. World Bank Group. Malawi: Breaking the Cycle of Low Growth and Slow Poverty Reduction. Malawi Syst Ctry Diagnostic. 2018;(132785):119.
- 16. UNICEF Annual Report 2020. Lilongwe, Malawi: United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), 2020.
- Tippett Barr BA, van Lettow M, van Oosterhout JJ, Landes M, Shiraishi RW, Amene E, et al. National estimates and risk factors associated with early mother-to-child transmission of HIV after implementation of option B+: a cross-sectional analysis. Lancet HIV. 2018; 5(12):e688–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2352-3018(18)30316-3 PMID: 30467022
- 18. World Health Organization. Malawi HIV country profile. 2017;2017–8.
- Zar HJ, Workman L, Le Roux SM, Jennings T, Jele N, Schaaf HS, et al. A randomized controlled trial of intermittent compared with daily cotrimoxazole preventive therapy in HIV-infected children. Aids. 2010; 24(14):2225–32. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e32833d4533 PMID: 20706110
- Gallagher J, Chisale M, Das S, Drew RJ, Gleseva N, Wildes DM, et al. Aetiology and severity of childhood pneumonia in primary care in Malawi: A cohort study. BMJ Open. 2021; 11(7):1–7.
- Smith AG, Eckerle M, Mvalo T, Weir B, Martinson F, Chalira A, et al. CPAP IMPACT: A protocol for a randomised trial of bubble continuous positive airway pressure versus standard care for high-risk children with severe pneumonia using adaptive design methods. BMJ Open Respir Res. 2017; 4(1). <a href="https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjresp-2017-000195">https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjresp-2017-000195</a> PMID: <u>28883928</u>
- McCollum ED, Mvalo T, Eckerle M, Smith AG, Kondowe D, Makonokaya D, et al. Bubble continuous positive airway pressure for children with high-risk conditions and severe pneumonia in Malawi: an open label, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med [Internet]. 2019 Sep; Available from: https://doi. org/10.1016/S2213-2600(19)30243-7 PMID: 31562059
- 23. Menendez R, Torres A. Treatment failure in community-acquired pneumonia. Chest [Internet]. 2007; 132(4):1348–55. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.06-1995 PMID: 17934120
- 24. Webb C, Ngama M, Ngatia A, Shebbe M, Morpeth S, Mwarumba S, et al. Treatment failure among kenyan children with severe pneumonia-a cohort study. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2012; 31(9):152–7. https://doi. org/10.1097/INF.0b013e3182638012 PMID: 22692700
- Chisti MJ, Salam MA, Bardhan PK, Faruque ASG, Shahid ASMSB, Shahunja KM, et al. Treatment failure and mortality amongst children with severe acute malnutrition presenting with cough or respiratory difficulty and radiological pneumonia. PLoS One [Internet]. 2015; 10(10):1–12. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140327">https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140327</a> PMID: 26451603
- Preidis GA, McCollum ED, Mwansambo C, Kazembe PN, Schutze GE, Kline MW. Pneumonia and Malnutrition are Highly Predictive of Mortality among African Children Hospitalized with Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection or Exposure in the Era of Antiretroviral Therapy. J Pediatr [Internet]. 2011; 159 (3):484–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2011.02.033 PMID: 21489553
- Iroh Tam PY, Wiens MO, Kabakyenga J, Kiwanuka J, Kumbakumba E, Moschovis PP. Pneumonia in HIV-exposed and Infected Children and Association With Malnutrition. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2018; 37 (10):1011–3. https://doi.org/10.1097/INF.00000000001971 PMID: 29505479
- Golden MHN. Oedematous malnutrition. Br Med Bull. 1998; 54(2):433–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a011699</u> PMID: 9830208
- Chisti MJ, Tebruegge M, La Vincente S, Graham SM, Duke T. Pneumonia in severely malnourished children in developing countries—Mortality risk, aetiology and validity of WHO clinical signs: A systematic review. Trop Med Int Heal. 2009; 14(10):1173–89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2009</u>. 02364.x PMID: 19772545
- Bhutta ZA, Berkley JA, Bandsma RHJ, Kerac M. Europe PMC Funders Group Severe childhood malnutrition. Eur PMC Funders Group, Author Manuscr [Internet]. 2017; 44. Available from: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7004825/pdf/EMS85656.pdf">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7004825/pdf/EMS85656.pdf</a>
- Moïsi JC, Nokes DJ, Gatakaa H, Williams TN, Bauni E, Levine OS, et al. Sensitivity of hospital-based surveillance for severe disease: a geographic information system analysis of access to care in Kilifi district, Kenya. Bull World Health Organ. 2011 Feb 1; 89(2):102–11. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.10. 080796 Epub 2010 Oct 5. PMID: 21346921; PMCID: PMC3040379.
- Mdala JF, Mash R. Causes of mortality and associated modifiable health care factors for children (< 5years) admitted at onandjokwe hospital, Namibia. African J Prim Heal Care Fam Med. 2015; 7(1):1–8.
- Patel AB, Bang A, Singh M, Dhande L, Chelliah LR, Malik A, et al. A randomized controlled trial of hospital versus home based therapy with oral amoxicillin for severe pneumonia in children aged 3–59 months: The IndiaCLEN Severe Pneumonia Oral Therapy (ISPOT) Study. BMC Pediatr [Internet]. 2015; 15(1):1–12. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12887-015-0510-9
- 34. Nightingale R, Colbourn T, Mukanga D, Mankhambo L, Lufesi N, McCollum ED, et al. Non-adherence to community oral-antibiotic treatment in children with fast-breathing pneumonia in Malawi–secondary

analysis of a prospective cohort study. Pneumonia [Internet]. 2016; 8(1):1–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s41479-016-0024-8 PMID: 28702300

- Chisti MJ, Ahmed T, Ashraf H, Faruque ASG, Bardhan PK, Dey SK, et al. Clinical predictors and outcome of metabolic acidosis in under-five children admitted to an urban hospital in Bangladesh with Diarrhea and Pneumonia. PLoS One. 2012; 7(6):1–5.
- 36. Walker AS, Mulenga V, Ford D, Kabamba D, Sinyinza F, Kankasa C, et al. The impact of daily cotrimoxazole prophylaxis and antiretroviral therapy on mortality and hospital admissions in HIV-infected Zambian children. Clin Infect Dis. 2007; 44(10):1361–7. https://doi.org/10.1086/515396 PMID: 17443476
- Fagbamigbe AF, Kandala NB, Uthman OA. Severe acute malnutrition among under-5 children in lowand middle-income countries: A hierarchical analysis of associated risk factors. Nutrition [Internet]. 2020; 75–76:110768. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2020.110768 PMID: 32320941