Binghamton University

The Open Repository @ Binghamton (The ORB)

Research Days Posters 2022

Division of Research

2022

Exercise and Diet Patterns as Factors in Forearm Trabecular and Cortical Bone Development in Pubertal Girls

Kailee Loiodice Binghamton University--SUNY

Ye Lin Binghamton University--SUNY

Alexander Ruhren Binghamton University--SUNY

Follow this and additional works at: https://orb.binghamton.edu/research_days_posters_2022

Recommended Citation

Loiodice, Kailee; Lin, Ye; and Ruhren, Alexander, "Exercise and Diet Patterns as Factors in Forearm Trabecular and Cortical Bone Development in Pubertal Girls" (2022). *Research Days Posters 2022*. 75. https://orb.binghamton.edu/research_days_posters_2022/75

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Division of Research at The Open Repository @ Binghamton (The ORB). It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Days Posters 2022 by an authorized administrator of The Open Repository @ Binghamton (The ORB). For more information, please contact ORB@binghamton.edu.

BINGHAMTON Exercise and Diet Patterns as Factors in Forearm Trabecular and Cortical Bone Development in Pubertal Girls UNIVERSITY

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

INTRODUCTION

- Osteoporosis is a bone disease that occurs when the body loses too much bone, makes too little bone, or both; bones become weak and may break from a fall or, in serious cases, from sneezing or minor bumps.¹
- Osteoporosis alone causes over 8.9 million fractures every year.²
- In 2002, in the US, fractures or breaks caused \$16 billion in medical expenses.³
- Peak bone mass is the highest bone mass (BMC, g), after growth stops.⁴
- In U.S. white girls, peak bone mass is achieved 7 years after first menstruation, or menarche, gynecological age = 0 [Fig 1, unpublished data].
- Adjustable factors like diet and physical activities circum-menarche influence peak bone mass variance [Fig 1, unpublished data].
- Prior work from our parent study has shown that a bone loading index representing arm exercise for 3 years circum-menarche (3yrBLI) predicts bone mass and 2D areal bone density from arm bone scans by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). ⁵
- The current study will fill a knowledge gap by evaluating: 1) 3yrBLI as a predictor of 3D bone compression (IBS) and torsion (SSIpol) strength indices, and 2) diet as an additional predictor of 2D DXA bone properties [Fig 1, unpublished data].



Years Pre/Post Menarche = 0 **Figure 1:** Years Pre/Post Menarche vs. Whole Body BMC (g). Focal data periods and whole body bone mass gains in 123 U.S. girls relative to menarche (Time = 0) [unpublished data].

HYPOTHESES

- H1: Greater forearm loading (3 year arm BLI) is associated with higher bone compression strength (IBS) [Fig 2]
- H2: Greater forearm loading (3 year arm BLI) is associated with higher bone torsional strength (polar SSI) [Fig 3].
- H3: Certain diet consumption will predict DXA 2D forearm bone properties positively, including the area, BMC, and BMD at the ¹/₃ and ultra-distal radius on the non-dominant forearm for girls. Diet variables include protein, total fat, calcium, magnesium, potassium, zinc, and lactose.

METHODS

• Our team developed a circum-menarcheal bone loading index: 3yrBLI= Σ [(Magnitude + Velocity) x Frequency x Training Exposure x Non-dominance]

Magnitude reflects the load put on the forearm, velocity is the amount of impact put on a bone, and the frequency is how many times a load is put on a bone.⁵

• 48 participants were selected from the BLI study who had diet data and acceptable 3D peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) scans that match up with 3yrArmBLI and DXA scan timing [Fig 1, Fig 2].

Figure 2: The 3D pQCT scan images are shown on the left, and the 2D DXA scan image is shown on the right. The ultradistal region is yellow and the ¹/₃ region is orange. The 4% pQCT slice is purple and the 33% pQCT slice is turquoise.



Ye Lin, Kailee Loiodice, Alexander Ruhren, Jodi Dowthwaite PhD First-year Research Immersion: Community & Global Public Health

Greater arm bone loading exercise predicts greater bone strength indices. Accounting for arm loading, calcium, fat, & protein intake predict area or areal density, depending on arm site.





REFERENCES

- 1. Learn What Osteoporosis Is and What It's Caused by [Internet]. National Osteoporosis Foundation. 2020 [cited 2020Nov2]. Available from: https://www.nof.org/patients/what-is-osteoporosis/
- 2. Blume SW, Curtis JR. Medical costs of osteoporosis in the elderly Medicare population [Internet]. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. U.S. National Library of Medicine; 2011 3. Facts and Statistics [Internet]. IOF Bone Health. International Osteoporosis Foundation ; [cited 2015]. Available from: https://www.iofbonehealth.org/facts-
- statistics#category-13 4. Ren J, Brann LS, Bruening KS, Scerpella TA, Dowthwaite JN. Relationships among diet, physical activity, and dual plane dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry bone outcomes in pre-pubertal girls. Arch Osteoporos. 2017;12(1). doi:10.1007/s11657-017-0312-9 5. Dowthwaite JN, Dunsmore KA, Gero NM, Burzynski AO, Sames CA, Rosenbaum PF, et al. Arm bone loading index predicts DXA musculoskeletal outcomes in two
- samples of post-menarcheal girls. Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact . 2015Sep11;:358–71.

- - Height (cm)
 - Whole body non-bone lean mass (kg)
 - 3 year Arm Bone Loading Index (3yrBLI, 3 years prior to scan)⁵
- Dependent variables (post-menarcheal bone properties) - pQCT 3D bone strength: compression (IBS, g²/cm⁴) & torsion (SSIpol, mm³) - DXA 2D Bone Area (cm²) Areal Bone Mineral Density (BMD) (g/cm²)
- Analysis through Excel: • Diet assessments frequency table [Table 1]
- Analysis through SPSS v27 including:
- Descriptive statistics (frequencies; mean, standard deviation, min., max.) • Multiple linear regression to predict pQCT IBS & SSI entering predictors: gynecological age, height, whole body fat-free mass, 3yrBLI
- Multiple linear backward stepwise regression to predict DXA outcomes: gynecological age, height, whole body fat-free mass, 3yrBLI, nutrients [Table3]

RESULTS

-	Table	e 1:	: Di	et /	As
Timing:	Participant#	pant e -3 -3 -2			
Pre-Scan	1			3	
Scan	2				
Scall	3				
Post-Scan	4				
Winter	5			2	
	7		2		
Spring	8	2	4		
Summer	9				
	10				
Fall	11		3	1	
lo Data	12	2	4	2	
	13			2	
	14		1	3	
	15	1	3	1	
	16	4	2	1	
	1/	1	3	1	
	10	_		2	
	20		3		
	21		3	1	
	22	4	2		
	23		1	3	
	24	1	3	1	
	25	3	2	3	
	26	1	4	3	
	27	1		1	
	28	4	2	4	
	29	4	1	3	
	30	1	4	2	
	31	<u>ა</u>		3	
	33	J 1	2	2	
	34		4	2	
	35	4	2	4	
	36	1		3	
	37	3	1	3	
	38	4	2	4	
	39	1	3	1	
	40	4	3	4	
	41	2	4	2	
	42	1	3	1	
	43	1	3	1	
	44	1	2	1	
	40	1	3	1	
	47	1	3	1	
	48	1	3	2	

Table 3: Backward Stepwise Model Statistics for Non-dominant Arm DXA (n=48)

Radius					β					
DXA	Adj. Model R ²	[95% CI]								
Output	IX.	Gyn	Whole Body	3 Year Arm	Protein Mean	Total Fat Mean	Calcium Mean	Lactose Mean		
		Age	Lean Mass	totBLI	(gm)	(gm)	(mg)	(gm)		
		(yrs)	(kg)	(hrs)						
Ultra-Distal	0.583°		+0.035	+0.011	-0.004					
Area (cm ²)			[+0.021,+0.050]	[+0.002,+0.019]	[-0.008,-0.001]					
			+0.616	+0.860*	+0.948					
Ultra-Distal	0.691 ^c	+0.088	+0.029	+0.023	-0.003	+0.003				
BMC (g)		[-0.025,+0.201]	[+0.020,+0.039]	[+0.017,+0.029]	[-0.007,+0.001]	[+0.000,+0.007]				
DIVIC (B)		+0.889.123	+0.899 ^c	+0.946 ^c	+0.431.106	+0.446 ^{.052}				
Ultra-Distal	0.661 ^c	+0.027	+0.005	+0.005		+0.001				
$DMD (a/cm^2)$		[+0.003,+0.051]	[+0.002,+0.007]	[+0.004,+0.006]		[+0.000,+0.001]				
BIVID (g/ciii)		+0.913ª	+0.585 ^c	+0.859 ^c		+0.931ª				
⅓ Radius	0.548 ^c		+0.034	+0.007		+0.003	+0.000			
Area (cm ²)			[+0.023,+0.045]	[+0.000,+0.013]		[+0.000,+0.006]	[+0.000,+0.000]			
Area (chi)			+0.610 ^c	+0.834ª		+0.590 ^{.053}	+0.563 [⊾]			
¹ ∕₃ Radius	0.440 ^c		+0.024	+0.015		+0.003	+0.000			
$DMC(\sigma)$			[+0.013,+0.035]	[+0.008,+0.023]		[-0.001,+0.006]	[+0.000,+0.000]			
BIVIC (g)			+0.969 ^c	+0.913 ^c		+0.590 ^{.137}	+0.564 ^{.147}			
⅓ Radius	0.211 [⊾]	+0.030		+0.004				+0.001		
$DMD (a/cm^2)$		[-0.003,+0.064]		[+0.002,+0.006]				[+0.000,+0.003]		
BIVID (g/chir)		+0.990 ^{.077}		+0.919 ^c				+0.928.147		
Number in bold represents that specific predictor show statistics significant.										
All models included height as independent variables (not shown).										

For Adjusted Model R² and t significance of β : p<0.05; $p\leq0.01$; $cp\leq0.00$ Semi-partial correlation coefficient (SPCC). **0.05**, p is noted as parenthetic superscript, which meant it contributes to the significance of the other predictors for that specific region of interest. Magnesium Mean (mg), Potassium Mean (mg), and Zinc Mean (mg) were removed from the model (p>0.15).





National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases

METHODS (continued)

• We analyzed resultant data from the parent study at Upstate Medical University: • Predictors: maturity & body size at scan time; *diet & loading* to build the bone - Gynecological Age (years post-menarche)⁵

- **Diet: Mean Intakes** from 6 monthly data for 3-6 years
- Harvard Youth Adolescent Questionnaire⁴
- protein, total fat, calcium, magnesium, potassium, zinc, and lactose



ssessments Based on Seasons

Chronological 14.6 1.2 11.9 17.2 vears) Age at 12.7 1.2 9.5 15.3 vears) Gynecological 1.7 0.4 0.9 161.3 144.2 174.5 6.7 58.0 9.3 144.2 174.5 Body Mass 22.2 2.8 17.6 30.5 (kg/m²) Whole Body 41.0 Non-Bone 5.3 30.9 55.2 Lean Mass (kg) 17.9 25.3 4.9 35.9 3 Year Bone 0.6 9.1 7.7 32.5 ndex Mean Physical 9.2 4.7 1.3 22.9 Activity (hours/week)

 Table 2: Descriptive Statistics