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## Thesis abstract

Colour variation in the animal kingdom has been important in science to determine the principles of biology, especially in genetics and evolution. In the past decades, much effort has been targeted at the evolutionary, ecological and genetic basis of colour variation. Although land snails have been relatively neglected, especially in latter years, a comprehension of genetics and the evolution is important to understand colour variation precisely because snails may be representative of many species. When studying colour polymorphism, one of the remaining challenges is to describe colour. Generally, colour is described manually, relying on the judgment of human perception, classifying them into a discrete types. The main issue, then, is that human perception is subjective and colour is continuous. Fortunately, technology has enabled new techniques to score colour, which may help to investigate colour polymorphism.

This thesis aims to contribute to the knowledge of the maintenance of colour polymorphism by firstly, understanding the genetics and genomics and secondly, developing new methods for the scoring of colour. To achieve this, the grove snail Cepaea nemoralis was selected as a model species. Cepaea nemoralis was chosen due to their highly polymorphic shell, its easy collection, is widely distributed in all variety of habitats and the colour and banding morphs showing Mendelian inheritance (Cain \& Sheppard, 1950, Cain \& Sheppard, 1952, Cain \& Sheppard, 1954, Lamotte, 1959, Jones et al., 1977).

In the first part, I aimed for a better understanding of the inheritance of colour. Hence, new crosses of $C$. nemoralis were used, with flanking restriction siteassociated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq) markers used to identify putative instances of recombination with the supergene that determines colour and banding. No evidence of the predicted recombinants was found. Instead, a better explanation could involve incomplete penetrance and epistasis (Gonzalez et al., 2019). The findings therefore challenge the previous assumption of the supergene architecture and provides a new resource for the future creation of a fine mapping of the supergene (Gonzalez et al., 2019).

In the second part, I aimed to understand the evolutionary history of $C$. nemoralis, by investigating the relationship of the genomic and supergene variation with the geographic distribution over Europe. High-throughput genome-wide genotyping was achieved via a double digest restriction-site associated DNA sequencing (ddRADseq) method. A broad phylogenomic relationship showed geographic structure. However, no relationship between the geographical distribution and colour variation was found. Furthermore, possible genomic regions under selection, which may be driving the genomic variation, were identified. In addition, the phylogeny described the evolution of $C$. nemoralis and indicated how the Pyrenean lineages colonised Europe after the Pleistocene. The results suggest new roads of research into the evolutionary and genomic mechanisms that have led the geographical genomic and supergene variation of $C$. nemoralis.

In the third part, colour manual scoring was tested using new quantitative methods to describe colour to better understand colour variation. Therefore, a comparative study with historical and present shell colour patterns of $C$. nemoralis in the Pyrenees was used. Prior studies manually scored shell ground colour into three discrete colours; yellow, pink or brown. However, colour is continuous and the description of discrete colours may incur potential error and biased results. Thus, a quantitative method to score shell colour and to test manual scoring, comparing patterns of $C$. nemoralis shell colour polymorphism was used. Similar altitudinal trends irrespective of the method were found, even though quantitative measures of shell colour reduced the possibility of error. Moreover, a remarkable stability in the local shell patterns over five decades were found. This study determined that both methods remains valuable illustrating several advantages and disadvantages. In the future, a combination of both methods may be a possible solution.

Finally, and as continuation of the third part, a new visual recognition and classification method for $C$. nemoralis based on spectrophotometry and deep learning was created. Firstly, colour of the shells were quantified by spectrometry, and secondly, pictures were taken of the measured shells, in different backgrounds. Those pictures were used to train and test a Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks (RFCN). Furthermore, public domain pictures were collected from iNaturalist database (https://www.inaturalist.org/), to validate the model. The results illustrate that this
method can achieve high accuracy of detection and classification of snails into the right morph. This work may facilitate the way of how colour polymorphism was investigated, illustrating new avenues for future research.

In conclusion, this thesis evaluates the limitations found in prior studies and generates new data for the genetic and genomic understanding of $C$. nemoralis colour polymorphism. It also produced viable solutions, using new technologies, to score the diverse colour morphs. I also contributed to the geographic evolutionary genomic diversity knowledge.
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## Chapter 1:

## General introduction

### 1.1. Evolutionary genetics and genetic polymorphism

Historically, ecological and evolutionary genetics studies have focussed on two patterns found in nature: adaptation, which refers to the relationship of the "fit" between environment and individuals, and genetic polymorphism (Dobzhansky et al., 1970). In the natural world, a genetic polymorphism occurs when phenotypic variation within and between populations of the same species is maintained and caused by two or more alleles each with considerable recurrence (Ford, 1975). Thus, ecological and evolutionary genetic studies focus on changes in the frequency of genotypes within populations, which ultimately may lead to speciation. Hence, the longstanding aim in evolutionary genetics has been to understand how the evolutionary factors affect the variation of biodiversity observed in nature.

There are four main evolutionary forces acting among and within populations of the same species: random genetic drift, gene flow, natural selection and mutation. Random genetic drift occurs in any population of finite size, due to random sampling of individuals (Masel, 2011; Wright, 1937), with smaller populations more affected. This effect is most pronounced in a bottleneck or founder event (Star et al., 2013; Wright, 1937). Gene flow is defined as the transmission of genetic material among populations. Normally, this event is caused by migration between populations (Star et al., 2013). In the case of populations illustrating high levels of genetic material transmission with similar allele frequencies, both populations can be considered as a single population. Natural selection pressures are described as the survival and the production of offspring to some individuals displaying specific morphs within populations due to their more adapted features for those environmental conditions (Darwin, 1859; Star et al., 2013). Finally, mutations are modifications in the DNA sequence of the genome of an individual (Beadle et al., 1941).

These evolutionary forces vary in their influence upon populations. While the majority of genetic mutations are neutral, which means that they do not have either positive or negative effects on the fitness of the individuals (Ford, 1975), when a positive or negative mutation occurs, other factors are known to act. For example, the pressure of directional selection often may cause that a new active genetic mutations becomes widespread over long periods due to 'survival of the fittest' (Wade, 2008), or random genetic drift may also contribute to the increase of the new active genetic mutations. However, also other forces may challenge the new effective genetic mutations. For instance, high levels of gene flow may contribute to the maintenance of the previous characteristics of the species. The higher the migratory frequency, the greater the probabilities of maintaining pre-established genetic conditions (Star et al., 2013). These evolutionary processes can interact and oppose each other as they may operate simultaneously causing alterations in the allele frequencies (Svensson, 2017). All these factors can be determined by mathematical theories of population genetics, which were generated by examining the evolutionary forces effects on genetic variation in species (Cook et al., 1996; Fisher, 1947; Ford, 1975; Wright, 1948).

In addition, there are other evolutionary process causing genetic polymorphism besides the genetic drift and directional selection. For example, frequency-dependent selection, which the fitness of the genotype depends on their abundance in the given population, may increase the frequency of rare morphs (Ayala et al., 1974; Jones et al., 1977). Either disruptive selection, which favours the extreme types of a normal distribution in a population as a consequence of a balance between gene flow among subpopulations and natural selection acting in diverse directions (Jones et al., 1977). Alternatively, also heterozygous advantage (stabilizing selection), which the heterozygote morph are fitter than the homozygote ones (Cain et al., 1963; Cain et al., 1954; Jones et al., 1977).

The emphasis of past research has been on attempting to determine whether polymorphism was genetic or not, the identification of each morph frequency and understanding its maintenance by examining natural selection and other evolutionary factors acting upon it (Fisher, 1930; Ford, 1975). During the beginning of the past century, the earliest ecological geneticists primary aim was to target natural selection as the main justification to explain the maintenance of a balanced polymorphism,
which is the coexistence and constancy of frequencies of several morphs in a given population (Fisher, 1930; Ford, 1975). Therefore, selective forces such as climate selection, predation or habitat were considered the main interpretation to explain morph frequency variation. For example, in the land snails Theba pisana, the absorption of heat by exposure to the sun by their shells depended on the colour, with the lighter colours absorbing the least heat (Cain, 1984; Cowie, 1990; Scheil et al., 2012a). Moreover, predation and habitat, together, can play a key role in the distribution of shell morphs, creating a mosaic of different frequencies among neighbouring populations (Cain, 1984; Cowie, 1990; Heller, 1981; Scheil et al., 2012a). The song thrush (Turdus philomelos) is known as one of the main predators of Theba pisana discriminating conspicuous shells depending on the background (Heller, 1981). For example, lighter shells in darker backgrounds are more exposed to predation affecting the fitness of the morphs (Johnson, 2011).

Then, from the 1960s and subsequent years, the introduction of molecular biology techniques changed the field of evolutionary genetics, raising new questions. As a result, researchers started focusing on understanding the genetic inheritance and genetic and genomic patterns driving the polymorphism. In recent decades, as DNA sequencing became more accurate, efficient and widely available to all researchers, novel methodologies were created, which opened up more precise conclusions. For instance in evolutionary biology, genome-wide sequencing is used to investigate complex evolutionary processes. For example in the House sparrows (Passer domesticus), this technology helped to comprehend the causes of new rapid genetic adaptations due to links with human development (Ravinet et al., 2018).

Among all kinds of polymorphism found in nature, one of the most studied is colour polymorphism. Traditionally, colour polymorphism was used to understand the functional meaning and ecological circumstances of all morphs due to the ease of recognising and describing their different phenotypes (Svensson, 2017). For example, some of the most common species used were butterflies and snails. These species exhibited clear visible phenotypic variation and can easily be raised in captivity, which made them model species in the earlier studies of natural selection (Fisher, 1930; Müller, 1879).

There are many examples of colour polymorphic model species. One of the classic colour polymorphic model species are the butterflies with mimicry rings (e.g. Heliconius numata and Consul fabius) (Müller, 1879). These species have been broadly studied to determine, for example, natural selection, aposematic selection, in which some morphs mimic dangerous or distasteful species for the predator (Joron et al., 1998), or to test gene flow and speciation (Martin et al., 2013). Another classic example used in evolutionary and ecological genetics is the Scarlet tiger moth (Panaxia dominula). P. dominula displays wing colour variation from a metallic-green sheen with white and orange or yellow signs, to red hindwings with variable black marks. This species was a target of one of the longest and continuous surveys in a single population (Fisher, 1947). Fisher (1947) examined possible annual fluctuations in morph frequency variation of $P$. dominula to explain whether natural selection of random genetic drift showed more influence in those changes. Fisher found that the observed fluctuations were driven by natural selection concluding that selection could be tested in wild populations (Fisher, 1947).

Other species, such as avian model species have also been at the centre of evolutionary research. For instance, hundreds of bird species including Strigiformes, Ciconiiformes, Cuculiformes and Galliformes, which show a range of colour polymorphic plumage (Galeotti et al., 2003) are used to understand the maintenance of the polymorphism due to its variety of possible selective scenarios where birds can be prey, predators and competitors at the same time. In this case, in evolutionary genetics, birds became model species, for example, to comprehend selection (Clarke, 1969; Paulson, 1973), to study disruptive selection (Baker et al., 1979; Rohwer, 1990), or to determine sexual selection among individuals (Endler, 1987).

### 1.2. The grove snail (Cepaea nemoralis) in evolutionary genetics

Terrestrial land snails can be considered as rather inconspicuous fauna in nature. However, some snail taxa exhibit an extensive shell variation, from patterning, shell shape or chirality to ground colour, making them useful to study genetic polymorphism. Studies focused on the genetic mechanisms and micro-evolutionary events caused by
selective factors in land snail species have been carried out over a century. With the main aim to understand the evolution and maintenance of shell polymorphism.

Land snails have, undoubtedly, played an important role in understanding genetic polymorphism resulting into the establishment of the modern ecological and evolutionary genetic discipline (Murray et al., 1978). Previous to the modern evolutionary synthesis, a great variety of studies were carried out based on the acquisition of the intrinsic knowledge of shell polymorphism. For example, land snail genera such as Theba, Trochulus, Partula or Cepaea (Clarke et al., 1971; Jones et al., 1977; Proćków et al., 2018; Scheil et al., 2012b), with a huge range of colour and banding shell patterns, were selected as models to understand its mechanisms. In French Polynesia the Partula genus was surveyed in the search of the understanding of the selective forces acting upon its shell colour variation (Clarke et al., 1971). Furthermore, recent research examples in land snails showed how colour and its thermic capacities of heat absorption are involved in Theba pisana shell variation, illustrating a correlation between shell type distribution and climatic selection (Scheil et al., 2012b); or habitat and shell morphology relations in the European Trochulus hispidus and Trochulus sericeus (Proćków et al., 2018).

In particular, the famous land snail Cepaea nemoralis was perceived as an excellent model species to study colour polymorphism due to its apparent nonadaptive and random diversity in wild populations (Lamotte, 1951). As mentioned above, the classic studies examined whether natural selection prevailed as the principal cause of shell banding and colour variation (Cain et al., 1950, 1952, 1954). Thereafter, other comparative surveys brought out other selective factors such as predation, variety of habitats and other evolutionary forces illustrating correlations with shell morph frequencies (Jones et al., 1977). Nowadays, C. nemoralis has became a traditional case study of adaptive evolution, attracting a growing interest from both, professionals and citizen scientists (Chapters 4 and 5; Cameron et al., 2012; Kerstes et al., 2019; Silvertown et al., 2011). Additionally, the development of new molecular protocols brings new avenues in the understanding of evolutionary forces and nonselective mechanisms related to the roots of natural variation in terrestrial land snails (Davison, 2002; Richards et al., 2013).

Overall, C. nemoralis is a good case to study in evolutionary genetics for a broad range of reasons (Figure 1.1). Firstly, it occupies a variety of different habitats, from woodland and grassland to sand dunes (Jones et al., 1977). Secondly, simple Mendelian inheritance is shown in the major loci that establishes shell polymorphism (Chapter 2 and 3; Cook, 1967; Jones et al., 1977). Thirdly, it has a highly polymorphic shell, which show three main inherited features. On one side, shell ground colour (C) can be dark brown, pink or yellow with deduced genotype dominance (brown > pink > yellow). On the other side, shells may have a wide range of dark bands (B) from zero to five, with its deduced genotype dominance (absence > presence). Moreover, bands may differ in their pigmentation (P), usually being fully pigmented bands, but sometimes unpigmented, interrupted (I), or spread (S). The genotypic dominance, in this case, being normal pigmentation dominant (Chapter 2, 3, 4 and 5; Jones et al., 1977). Fourthly, shape and coiling illustrate clear variation. All these traits together may be associated with environmental parameters and their intrinsic genetics can be a possible practical genetic marker themselves, which are genes with known location that can be used to recognise species (Figure 1.1, Davison, 2002; Murray et al., 1978). Finally, C. nemoralis can sometimes be crossed to obtain offspring and are easily maintained in laboratory. This is certainly helpful in the molecular studies (Davison, 2002; Richards et al., 2013).

In addition, at least nine loci involved in the expression of shell polymorphism have been identified, from which at least five are considered to be tightly linked together into a ‘supergene’ (Jones et al., 1977; Richards et al., 1975; Richards et al., 2013), a supergene is a group of neighbouring genes that inherited together (Ernst, 1936). Consequently, band presence, ground colour, pigmentation, interruption and fusion are thought to be linked in the supergene. Moreover, band suppression, which is supposed to have two loci, the intensity and colour banding seems to be unlinked loci (Richards et al., 2013). All these loci showed epistatic interactions among them and with the unlinked loci. Furthermore, the recombination frequencies within the $C$. nemoralis supergene have been estimated empirically (Cain et al., 1960; Cook, 1967; Richards et al., 2013). The conclusions hypothesised of a very tight linkage cluster composed by shell ground colour, band presence and band interruption showing recombination rates of $\sim 0-2 \%$. Other loci such as the spread banding and band pigmentation may be slightly loss than the C-B linkage cluster, with respective
recombination rate estimations of $\sim 3-4 \%$ and $\sim 4-15 \%$ (Cain et al., 1960; Cook, 1967; Richards et al., 2013). Finally, Richards 2013 were able to generate RAD markers linked to the $C$. nemoralis supergene creating the basis for forthcoming research in molecular ecology.

In addition, museums, universities and digitised projects recorded and stored an abundant historical data from the $20^{\text {th }}$ century on $C$. nemoralis, which continue to be available to the modern days (Silvertown et al., 2011).


Figure 1.1. Illustration of the variation of Cepaea nemoralis shell colour and banding in wild-collected individuals.

### 1.3. Synthesis of the genetics and genomics of $C$. nemoralis

As mentioned above, the historical evolutionary processes of colour polymorphic species have been a focus of research in evolutionary biology. One common approach to contribute to the study of colour polymorphism is the use of molecular biology methods due to their many attributes as mention in section 1.1 of this chapter (Cuthill et al., 2017; McKinnon et al., 2010; McLean et al., 2014; San-Jose et al., 2017). The objective of molecular biology in polymorphic evolutionary genetics, therefore, is to understand the molecular mechanisms and processes driving the evolution and maintenance of polymorphisms.

Pulmonate snails, like the genus Cepaea, have been presented as good model species candidates when researching environmental and evolutionary genetics due to the abundance of ecological data as also reviewed in section 1.2 of this chapter (Davison, 2002). Many efforts have been made in the understanding of the historical evolutionary processes of $C$. nemoralis. However, only a small number of studies used genetic data, even though molecular biology research in evolutionary biology and especially in evolutionary genetics started in the 1960's. Historically, the study of the maintenance of $C$. nemoralis colour polymorphism were based on the generation of crosses, and comparative surveys (morph frequencies) rather than molecular research, which were and are commonly used to create a broad picture of the understanding of the maintenance of the polymorphism. Originally, the shell morph diversity was thought to be non-adaptive and due to local events (Cameron, 1998; Goodhart, 1963). Nonetheless, the following 30 years of morph frequency research concluded that the shell polymorphism was, indeed, adaptive and that their morph frequencies could be influenced by natural selection (Jones et al., 1977). These studies provided important knowledge and insights on how evolutionary forces influence populations in local or larger areas. However, there are many unresolved questions, which need to be investigated from other perspectives.

In subsequent years, molecular population genetics was revolutionised by the development of genetic data collections and subsequently, academic developments. These new developments allowed the first measures of genetic variation in $C$.
nemoralis, to occur using alloenzymatic and enzymatic loci, opening a new era of research in population genetics. These studies enabled researchers to assess differences between adjacent populations contributing to their understanding of the evolutionary history, gene flow and selection in 'area effects' cases (Johnson, 1976; Ochman et al., 1983). The term 'area effects' is associated to the Cepaea genus and refers to the stability of morph frequencies over extensions bigger than a panmictic unit despite the visual selection, which these effects are caused by selective forces not related directly to the topography (Cain et al., 1963). For example, in the Pyrenees, where Caugant et al. (1982) and Ochman et al. (1983) sampled the region and generated local phylogenies using enzymatic variation, both authors identified a polymorphic morphological and molecular geographic structure in Pyrenean populations due to 'area effects'. Their findings suggested that the described 'area effects' were due to the temporary geographic isolation during the last glaciation. Subsequently, Valdez et al. (1988) and Guiller et al. (1993) sampled the same and other areas of the Pyrenees with similar hypothesis, results and conclusions reported. Other authors used enzymatic variation to evaluate 'area effects' in other geographical regions. For example in the Lambourn Downs, Johnson (1976) hypothesised of several causes of 'area effects' found due to lack of evidences not giving a clear explanation of the patterns found (Johnson, 1976). Another study in the lowlands of England used molecular enzymatic variation to test 'area effects' by visual selection in C. nemoralis populations finding instead, a correlation with altitude (Wilson, 1996).

Moreover, the employment of mtDNA and small numbers of genetic markers to assess phylogenetic relationships started to be used in evolutionary biology. In C. nemoralis, molecular biological studies aimed to understand either the genetic inheritance of C. nemoralis (Davison, 2000b; Terrett et al., 1996; Thomaz et al., 1996; Yamazaki et al., 1997), or whether to infer the genetic evolutionary history and the factors acting within and between populations (Davison, 1999, 2000a; Davison et al., 2000; Ellis, 2004; Grindon et al., 2013a; Neiber et al., 2015). For example, Davison, in a series of consecutive studies, performed the first few studies based on genetic data in C. nemoralis (Davison, 1999, 2000a, 2000b; Davison et al., 2000). Initially, the first microsatellite primers and mtDNA (16S rRNA locus) were created for C. nemoralis individuals striving to set the foundation for future research in the population relationships of the grove snails (Davison, 1999, 2000b). Subsequently, the
microsatellite and the mitochondrial DNA were used to assess 'mysterious' geographical patterns of shell polymorphism both locally in the famous Marlborough Downs in Wiltshire (Davison et al., 2000). As well as a more broadly study to evaluate the distribution of $C$. nemoralis from East to West in the islands of Britain and Ireland finding that the origin of the Irish populations may not come from the Britain island (Davison, 2000a). Moreover, mtDNA was used to infer the mitochondrial genomic inheritance discarding the possibility of a doubly uniparental inheritance, as it happens in other species like Mytilus, where maternal and paternal mitochondrial lineages coexist (Garrido-Ramos et al., 1998).

Furthermore, various authors used the molecular variation in C. nemoralis mitochondrial DNA to infer the genetic geographic divergence in European populations. In a notable example, Neiber et al. (2015) generated a molecular phylogeny combining mtDNA and nuclear sequences to study the ancestry of the genus Cepaea. The Cepaea genus was thought to be monophyletic and comprised of four pulmonate species; C. nemoralis, C. hortensis "Cepaea sylvatica" and "Cepaea vindobonensis". However, molecular phylogeny, using mitochondrial and nuclear sequences and comparing to another 20 genera of Helicidae, revealed that the supposed Cepaea genus is polyphyletic and that C. sylvatica is more closely related to Macularia genus and C. vindobonensis to the genus Caucasotachea (Neiber et al., 2015). Complementary, other research using mtDNA of just $C$. nemoralis populations, helped to reveal the expansion of snail populations after the Pleistocene. For instance, Grindon et al. (2013a) surveyed Europe to determinate the Irish ancestry using C. nemoralis. Interestingly, a mitochondrial lineage (C) was found only in the central and Eastern Pyrenees, Ireland, the western area of Great Britain and the Isle of Man. Grindon et al. (2013a) argued that vessels brought these populations through the Noguera Pallaresa - Garonne River to the Irish island, from the Pyrenees. In addition, Ellis (2004) evaluated the molecular pattern distribution of $C$. nemoralis populations in a local area, the Pyrenees. In this instance, mitochondrial DNA together with enzymatic variation were used to examine the relationship of shell patterns with their geographic distribution. Due to a lack of association, therefore, Ellis (2004) argued that the molecular and shell pattern variations were likely "relics of history" rather than variations caused by molecular area effects.

Whereas the use of few genetic markers and mtDNA have undoubtedly been informative when testing phylogenetic associations. The use of these methods has revealed several limitations. Firstly, mapping single genes may generate variations from the general species phylogeny (Nichols, 2001); biased results may occur due to many reasons such as population level relationships, bottlenecks, high mutation rates, introgression or to the low representation of the species when using individual or few genes. This is consistent with Davison et al. (2000) who showed how genetic markers could differ among them due to events like 'area effects'. Secondly, even though mtDNA can add information in the study of the genetic variation, it needs to be taken cautiously. As Funk et al. (2003) reported, mtDNA phylogenies can be strongly influenced by incomplete lineage sorting and introgression at species level. Thirdly, positive selection on mtDNA may affect the neutrality of known genetic markers as Parmakelis et al. (2013) indicated. Finally, and specifically in pulmonate snails, the rate of mtDNA evolution is remarkably elevated compared to other animal families (Thomaz et al., 1996), making outcomes uncertain. Previously, several authors thought that this mtDNA high rate was due to result of selection, high mutation rate or even population demography (Davison, 2002; Thomaz et al., 1996). However, these explanations may be insufficient to explain the high mitochondrial genetic diversity in pulmonate snail rising the ovotestis effect. The ovotestis effect occurs in hermaphrodite species where both reproductive cells (ova and sperm) accumulated similar mutation frequency increasing the mtDNA diversity (Davison, 2006). This hypothesis is founded in the theory of both reproductive cells undergo to approximately the same cell divisions showing similar accumulated mutation rates (Davison, 2006).

Currently, evolutionary genetics is entering an interesting prolific period. Technological progress in population-scale sequencing are developing rapidly. They are driving scientists to useful data sources, which are influencing and transforming the studies in genetic polymorphism. Consequentially, recent studies in C. nemoralis started using population genomics, proteomics, and transcriptomics and epigenomics techniques. All these molecular procedures enhance the knowledge of microevolution in individuals contributing to determine the demography of a population and its phylogenetic history. Thus, the use of one or another method depends on the hypothesis being tested. For instance, proteomics is frequently used to understand the expression of pigments. In molluscs, there are a lot marine snail shell-proteomes
characterised (Kocot et al., 2016). In land snails, next generation sequencing and high throughput proteomics has been used to characterise the first pulmonate of Cepaea nemoralis shell-proteome (Mann et al., 2014). The advantage of using proteomics instead of transcriptomics to describe a protein is that transcriptomics gives a rough estimation of the protein expression while proteomics confirms and quantifies the presence of a protein.

Moreover, the transcriptomics high-throughput method to study the genome are useful to unravel local adaptation processes in several time and temporal scales (Hendricks et al., 2018). Recent advances in RNA-seq library techniques provides accurate measurement of transcription, increases the use of transcriptomic analysis and enhances the accessibility of these methods to ecological and evolutionary studies (Stahlke et al., 2020). However, they are still in the development process due to technical limitations such as high-quality RNA isolation, pooling samples or the balance between biological replications and sequencing depth, demonstrating, nonetheless, good potential in the future for studies of population genomics (Le Luyer et al., 2017; Lim et al., 2020; Stahlke et al., 2020). These methods are widely used, for example, to identify changes in gene expression to enable adaptation to different environmental conditions in species such as Oncorhynchus mykiss gardieri known as redband rainbow trout (Chen et al., 2018; Garvin et al., 2015) or corals (Bay et al., 2017; Pratlong et al., 2015). In the case of C. nemoralis, transcriptomics has been used to identify and validate reference genes, and in particular, the candidate genes involved in shell colour polymorphism (Affenzeller et al., 2018; Kerkvliet et al., 2017).

Finally, whole genome sequencing and reduced representation methods such as restriction-site associated DNA-sequencing (RADseq) have grown as a common methodology in this field (Hohenlohe et al., 2018). Genomic variation in species is caused by many factors having an effect among and within populations of a particular species. These technologies, therefore, offer the possibility to investigate extrinsic and intrinsic forces equally (Campbell et al., 2018). Thus, detailed questions such as inter and intra population interactions or genome architecture can be approached to find out which forces drive these effects. Moreover, while past genetic techniques generated limitations when building phylogenies due to strong biases results found using limited number of genetic markers as stated above, the availability of generating
de novo genome assemblies and the access to a growing number of already sequenced reference genomes will help overcome biased results (Campbell et al., 2018). Finally, the genomic architecture generated using genome-scale data may bring solutions to unresolved questions when genetic-scale data and transcriptomics cannot answer the cause of polymorphic frequency stasis or divergence. To date, there have been only two studies using genomic data in C. nemoralis (Richards et al., 2013; Saenko et al., 2020). In one, Richards 2013 used RAD-seq sequencing to flank and create genetic markers to the shell colour and banding supergene aiming at helping future genome mapping (Richards et al., 2013). In the other one, Saenko et al. (2020) build the first draft of a reference genome of the target species.

The field of genetic and genomic studies is growing, becoming richer and more complex. Thanks to that, researchers are able to find, describe and understand the interplay among processes, patterns and features of the genome, together with ecological factors of the species. It is definitely becoming clear that the study of ecological evolutionary genetics is more complicated than analysing one single factor and that the field will push towards future multidisciplinary insights into natural, nonmodel populations stories throughout the Tree of Life.

### 1.4. Characterising colour polymorphism

The study of animal colouration has been a crucial part in the comprehension of the biological fundaments in ecology, evolution and biology (Cuthill et al., 2017; McKinnon et al., 2010; McLean et al., 2014; San-Jose et al., 2017). Colour in biology emerges from a combination of nanoparticles and pigments (Stuart-Fox et al., 2018), being in species a complex attribute to describe. Colour is, therefore, remarkably visible but at the same time complex to understand. Sometimes, it is easy to identify colour in nature and relate it with species evolution, production and function. Other times, colour can be a complicated feature to use in the recognition of species. In land snails, for example, colour is fundamental in the thermoregulation of species. Lighter shell colours may survive better in hotter areas due to lighter shell colours absorbing less radiation compared with darker shells (Cameron et al., 2013; Mazon et al., 1987; Ramos, 1984; Richardson, 1974). In birds, colour is also known to play a crucial rule in sexual selection. For instance, colourful plumage is used in signalling of courtship (Galeotti et al., 2003).

However, even though colour is easy to spot, it is difficult to describe and quantify. Colour is a quality, which can be described by its lightness, saturation and hue. Isaac Newton was the first scientist to demonstrate in his prism experiment, that the colour was a consequence of reflectance spectra. He also described the continuity of colour, rejecting the theory of the existence of discrete colours (de Andrade Martins et al., 2001). Besides, colour also depends on the visual perception of the receptor involving physics, psychology and physiology. In the animal kingdom, each species has different mechanisms to process colours, and within species, each individual perceives colours differently. For example, birds have four different photoreceptor cell types to perceive colour with different sensitivities; long (L), medium (M), short (S), and very short (VS), which all together generate a tetrachromatic colorimetric system (Davison et al., 2019a; Delhey et al., 2015). By contrast, humans possess three groups of photoreceptor cells in the retina: L (long wavelength, peaking at 560 nm ), M (medium wavelength, peaking at 530 nm ), and $S$ (short wavelength, peaking at 420 nm) (Chapter 5, Hunt, 2004). For humans, the International Commission on Illumination (CIE), created the foundations to define the human colorimetric system,
based on the stimulation of the different photoreceptor cells of the retina (Smith et al., 1931; Westland et al., 2012).

## CIE 1931 chromaticity diagram



Figure 1.2. The chromaticity diagram system of the CIE 1931 colour convention. Wavelengths measured in nanometres illustrated the spectral boundary curved (monochromatic) locus. Colours shown in the diagram are translated into RGB chromaticity coordinates. The diagram was made using Pavo 2.2.0 R package in $R$ version 3.4.1 (2017-06-30).

Since the 1931 convention (CIE), the definition of chromaticity is determined by the quantitative distributions of wavelengths in the visible spectrum (Figure 1.2). Colour-space mathematical equations are the basis to quantify the spectra and translate into the human chromatic visible spectrum to further test colour variation. As mentioned above, the human visual is captured by three different cone cells. Thus, the CIE commission agreed on three parameters representing each type of cone cells called the Tristimulus values (XYZ). This system corresponds to the primary colours, red, green and blue, and is based on an observer's point of view, which was standardised to the $2^{\circ}$ arc of the fovea to reduce the number of variables (Smith et al., 1931; Westland et al., 2012). These Tristimulus values provide a standard reference to other colour spaces such as the well-known RGB (red, green and blue), CIE-LAB (abbreviated as Lab) and, HSV (hue and saturation value).

Throughout the past century, biological studies involving colour features were scored manually, using the expert perception of a trained biologist. Traditionally, in the ecological and evolutionary genetics fields, colour description has been subjective. For instance, pure genetic studies, demonstrating the basic Mendelian genetic theories in species (Staples-Browne, 1908; Wheldale, 1907), or biogeographic surveys aiming to deduce natural and sexual selection operating in nature (Delhey et al., 2015; Delhey et al., 2017) used manual scoring instead of quantitative methods (Endler, 1990). This may lead to potential error and biased results when scoring colour. The next step was to move to quantitative colour descriptions by using quantitative procedures. Thus, spectrophotometry rose as one of the most accurate and sophisticated tools to approach this issue. Spectrophotometry exposes objects to a certain light and compares the amount of light emitted to that received. Consequently, it measures the light absorption from the irradiated object (Germer et al., 2014).

In genetics, ecology, and evolution, the employment of quantitative techniques to analyse colour, specifically spectrophotometry, grew to become one of the conventional colour extractors (Delhey et al., 2015; Endler, 1990; Maia et al., 2013; Maia et al., 2018). One of the reasons for the success is that spectrophotometers can provide a significant variation of measurements showing under different lighting conditions and observing at various angles. The effective use of spectrophotometry in evolutionary genetics has been properly exemplified by Surmacki et al. (2013), firstly, and secondly by Delhey et al. (2015). In the first case, Surmacki et al. (2013) used chromatic and achromatic physiological avian models to test the avian predators view of different prey colours in various matching backgrounds. The main goal was to contribute in the comprehension of avian predation as a selective factor maintaining shell polymorphism (Surmacki et al., 2013). In the second case, Delhey et al. (2015) generated a large-scale colour quantitative and descriptive study testing 555 Australian bird species to analyse colour variation in animals. He found striking disparity of the distribution throughout the colour variety. Nonetheless, these methods are time-consuming and expensive (Leighton et al., 2016).

Like in birds or other fauna, spectrophotometry has also been applied in the study of $C$. nemoralis colour polymorphism. A recent ambitious study in $C$. nemoralis assessed shell ground colour of samples collected across Europe (Davison et al., 2019a). They defined the European shell chromatic variation based on a psychophysical model of a closely related species (the blackbird, Turdus merula) of its main avian predator song thrush (Turdus philomelos). They found that colour, in C. nemoralis shells, fall into a cluster in a multivariable space and detected geographical patterns of shell colour distribution in Europe (Davison et al., 2019a). These finding highlight the necessity of quantitative measurement of colour in other systems such as in citizen science projects.

### 1.5. Citizen science

Citizen science is increasing due to its potential to obtain a broad temporal and spatial biological data. Citizen science is defined as the contribution from public participation of non-professional scientist in the collection of data that do not demand additional training or material (Riesch et al., 2014). Riesch et al. (2014) describes the phenomena "as a win-win" because the scientist obtains huge amount of data and/or the participants get involved in real science, usually related to their hobbies. The most common activities related to citizen science are birdwatching with global projects like Macaulay Library project (https://www.macaulaylibrary.org/) holding over 19 million bird pictures, and fauna and flora observations like iNaturalist (www.inaturalist.org; Horn et al., 2018). The iNaturalist project became one of the largest social networking service for ecological and evolutionary biology. This database detected and classified more than 60 million species collected by more than 3.5 million citizen-scientist from pictures around the world. This is a clear example of how citizen science efforts are expanding the range of geographic and temporal data records (Pocock et al., 2015).

It has become clear that scientists need large-scale and long-term studies to study colour variation in macro-ecology and macro-evolution. This rises an issue of investment in labour, funding and time to be able to gather enough data (Zeuss et al., 2014). Ergo, considering the fact of current urge in colour data availability and the growth of social media (i.e., photographs), the implementation of citizen science is
necessary. However, this innovative resource must be taken carefully as it presents some limitations. As non-experts of the field, citizen scientists, can sometimes, misclassify species or colour pigmentation. Moreover, the absence of standards, filters or controls in the citizen inputs, entails many potential risks. This is clearly exemplified in photography, where variation in the user's camera features such as lighting, noise or camera exposure levels may condition the colour and influence in its quantification (Byers, 2006).

This reflection leads to a question of whether to use the traditional method (Arnold, 1968; Cameron et al., 1973) of spectrophotometry (Davison et al., 2019a; Delhey et al., 2015) or citizen science data (Cameron et al., 2012; Silvertown et al., 2011; Worthington et al., 2012). Two approaches could be used to address this question: either taking into account the studied hypothesis or combining several mentioned methods, such as a mixture of citizen science and spectrophotometry.

In C. nemoralis, the most useful and famous citizen science database was Evolution Megalab (Cameron et al., 2012; Silvertown et al., 2011; Worthington et al., 2012). This database provided frequencies of C. nemoralis shell polymorphism in groups of samples collected from throughout a broad geographical range during the past century. However, the database inputs were manually scored and added to the website as it is reviewed in chapter 4. In the future, with the increasing use of digital cameras to capture and record species presence and the expansion of online citizen science projects, colour and banding data may be extracted from the images uploaded to public databases and apps such as iRecord, iNaturalist and SnailSnap (Harvey, 2018; Horn et al., 2018; Kerstes et al., 2019).

### 1.6. Thesis aims

The study of colour polymorphism embraces many fields, from the fundamental genetics and evolutionary studies, to simple concepts such as the characterization of phenotypes. The aim of this thesis, therefore, is multifaceted. It contributes to the understanding of $C$. nemoralis shell polymorphisms through the use of different approaches and new procedures.

Therefore, this thesis explores new perspectives towards a better procedure in the understanding of the pulmonate Cepaea nemoralis in its ecological and evolutionary genetics and genomics over the next few years. Hence, understanding the Cepaea nemoralis genome will probably provide the key to interpret its shell colour polymorphism. Consequently, in chapter 2 (Gonzalez et al., 2019), I reviewed the underlying genetics in prior studies related to the recombination events occurring in the referred supergene and aimed to understand recombination within the $C$. nemoralis supergene. Previously, it was assumed that certain shell phenotypes occurred in the offspring due to putative instances of recombination between loci within the supergene (Richards et al., 2013). The underlying genotype was only possible to verify by breeding further generations of snails from the 'recombinant' offspring. Thus, the main objective, therefore, was to provide a more reliable method to identify recombination events, which either flank the supergene or are between loci within the supergene.

In chapter 3, I endeavoured to understand genomic variation of $C$. nemoralis and its relationship with shell polymorphism. Therefore, I reviewed what is known about $C$. nemoralis phylogenies and I provided more evidences on $C$. nemoralis origin and its European expansion by using the reference genome provided by Saenko et al. (2020) and Rad-seq high-throughput genome-wide sequencing methodology. Thus, I attempted to investigate the expansion of snails from the Pyrenees across Europe after the Pleistocene by creating a genomic phylogeny, and comparing the genomic phylogeny to the mitochondrial phylogeny generated (Grindon et al., 2013a). Moreover, there is a visible shell colour variation, which is thought to be related to geographic variation due to better environmental fitness (Arnold, 1968; Cameron et al., 1973; Jones et al., 1977; Richardson, 1974). However, two local enzymatic studies found no correlation between shell patterns and genomic variation (Ellis, 2004; Ochman et al., 1983), rising a question on whether colour variation and geographical genomic variation are related.

The characterization of colour represents a challenge for ecological and evolutionary genetic studies involved in colour polymorphism. Colour is a continuous variable and its scoring is subjective to the individual. Prior research scored colour
manually incurring both potential error and biased results in comparative studies. Therefore, with the development of new technologies regarding colour, scoring techniques are in the need of an upgrade. To this end, in chapter 4, I aimed to evaluate manual scoring by using a colour quantitative method based on Davison et al. (2019a). Moreover, I reviewed whether using spectrophotometric scoring or traditional manual scoring generates better outputs in a local comparative study in the Pyrenees. In addition, I also reviewed how comparative studies of shell pattern frequencies, whether local and long-scale, plays a vital role in the study of ecological, evolutionary and genetic procedures underlying the preservation of polymorphism in wild populations of $C$. nemoralis, specifically in the Central Pyrenees.

In chapter 5, I aimed to examine the emerging role of deep learning in ecological and evolutionary biology. There is an increasing potential to use digital technology like cameras to capture and record species presence and consequentially extract colour and banding data from public databases and apps such as iRecord, and iNaturalist and SnailSnap (Harvey, 2018; Horn et al., 2018; Kerstes et al., 2019). Therefore, the objective of this research is to explore the use of deep learning algorithms to test whether the mentioned technologies are effective in the recognition of $C$. nemoralis snails from pictures, and in its shell type classification. Moreover, this study intended to remove the human subjectivity when scoring colour, by training the algorithm with quantified colour spectra of the shells colours based on the method mentioned in chapter 4 to standardised colours. Thus, the main objective is the creation of a nondisruptive method, which will reduce time-consumption of the manual task. The novel method should make an important contribution to the field on understanding the maintenance of the colour phenotype of $C$. nemoralis and the natural factors acting upon it.

## Chapter 2:

# Recombination within the Cepaea nemoralis supergene is confounded by incomplete penetrance 

This chapter was published in Heredity on 14/02/2019<br>DOI: 10.1038/s41437-019-0190-6


#### Abstract

Although the land snail Cepaea nemoralis is one of the most thoroughly investigated colour polymorphic species, there have been few recent studies on the inheritance of the shell traits. Previously, it has been shown that the shell polymorphism is controlled by a series of nine or more loci, of which five make a single 'supergene' containing tightly linked colour and banding loci and more loosely linked pigmentation, spread band and punctate loci. However, one limitation of earlier work was that putative instances of recombination between loci within the supergene were not easily verified. We therefore generated a new set of $C$. nemoralis crosses that segregate for colour, banding and pigmentation, and several other unlinked shell phenotype loci. The snails were genotyped using a set of RAD-seq-derived loci that flank the supergene, and instances of recombination tested by comparing inferred supergene genotype against RAD-marker genotype. We found no evidence that suspected 'recombinant' individuals are recombinant between loci within the supergene. As point estimates of recombination between both colour/banding, and colour/pigmentation loci are zero, incomplete penetrance and epistasis are a better explanation for the apparent 'recombinant' phenotype of some snail shells. Overall, this work, therefore, shows that the architecture of the supergene may not be as previously supposed. It also provides a resource for fine mapping of the supergene and other major shell phenotype loci.


### 2.1. Introduction

Historically, some of the most important animals in studying colour polymorphism have been the land snails Cepaea nemoralis and the sister taxon, $C$. hortensis, because it is straightforward to collect them and record the frequencies of the different morphs in different locations and habitats (Cain et al., 1950, 1952, 1954; Jones et al., 1977). There is also the benefit that the major loci that determine the polymorphism show simple Mendelian inheritance (Cook, 1967; Jones et al., 1977). However, while ongoing and long-term studies on these animals continue to provide compelling evidence for the fundamental role of natural selection in promoting and maintaining variation in natural populations, as well as the impact of modern-day habitat change (Cameron et al., 2012; Cook, 2017; Silvertown et al., 2011), the last research on the inheritance of the loci that determine the polymorphism dates to the late 1960s. This is a problem because now that there is finally some progress towards identifying the genes involved (Kerkvliet et al., 2017; Mann et al., 2014; Richards et al., 2013), it is important that laboratory crosses are available, to validate prior knowledge on the inheritance and for use in fine mapping recombination break-points.

Previous work has shown that the shell polymorphism is controlled by a series of nine or more loci, of which five or more make a single 'supergene', containing linked shell ground colour (C), banding (B), band/lip pigmentation (P/L), spread band (S) and punctate (or 'interrupted'; I) loci. In most studies, colour and banding have been found to be tightly linked, with recombination typically towards the lower end of 0-2\% (Cain et al., 1960; Cook, 1967). The exceptions are a study by Fisher et al. (1934), which reported recombination of $\sim 20 \%$ between C/B, and two crosses in Cain et al. (1960) which showed recombination of $\sim 16 \%$, also between C/B. Although there have been fewer studies, pigmentation, spread band and punctate are believed more loosely linked, showing rates of recombination between 3 and 15\% (Cain et al., 1968; Cain et al., 1960; Cook, 1967). The main other loci that make up the shell phenotype are various forms of band-suppressing loci, all unlinked to the supergene, including the mid-band locus, U (unifasciata), and another that suppresses the first two bands, T (trifasciata).

One unavoidable limitation of prior works was that putative instances of recombination between loci within the supergene could not be verified, except by breeding further generations of snails from the 'recombinant' offspring to confirm the underlying genotype. This was rarely possible, perhaps due to logistics combined with the fact that many pairs do not produce offspring. Nonetheless, it was recognised that incomplete penetrance might be an alternative explanation for the phenotype of recombinants. Chance arrangements of alleles at other loci might sometimes interact to prevent expression of a particular phenotype, causing individuals to appear as if they are 'recombinant' (Cook et al., 1966).

To further understand the frequency of recombination within the supergene, and to generate further material for fine mapping, we made a new set of $C$. nemoralis crosses that segregate for several shell phenotype loci. The offspring were then genotyped using a set of linked RAD-seq loci that flank either side of the supergene (Richards et al., 2013), and instances of recombination confirmed or refuted by comparing inferred supergene genotype against RAD-marker genotype. The underlying idea is that individuals that show recombination within the supergene should also be recombinant by RAD-marker. Overall, we found that the phenotype of 'recombinant' individuals is better explained by incomplete penetrance and epistasis.

This work, therefore, provides a method to identify recombination events that either flank the supergene or are between loci within the supergene. The results also show that recombination within the supergene may be considerably rarer than supposed.

### 2.2 Materials and methods

### 2.2.1. The culture of Cepaea and crosses

A mixture of oat, hydrated grass pellet and chalk, accompanied with lettuce were used to feed C. nemoralis snails as explained in prior research (Davison, 2000b). Firstly, large virgin juveniles from the UK, Ireland and Spain, were kept in isolation and raised to adulthood in individual tanks. Secondly, with the purpose of breeding adult snails were introduced to a partner. Thirdly, tanks with $\sim 4 \mathrm{~cm}$ soil were used to keep those snail couples until oviposition started. Progeny from both parents were kept due to $C$. nemoralis being a simultaneous hermaphrodite. Fourthly, egg batches were isolated, and the offspring reared to adulthood under the same feeding regime, with the time from egg to adult being $\sim 6$ months. Finally, all individuals, ones used to breed and raise, were kept in the $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer.

Adulthood was achieved successfully for most of the snails. Main shell features such as ground shell colour, banding, band pigmentation and lip colour phenotypes were marked and registered. Some individuals presented complications when describing their traits. In consequence, detailed indications explained by Cain (1988), were followed and those were represented in table 2.1. Italics symbols were used to differentiate phenotype to genotypes. 14 crosses were generated. Only original snails were used in crosses 1 to 6 and 8 . Crosses 7 and from 9 to 14 were set up using snails from the first group of crosses. Crosses from 1-8 were acquired from Richards et al. (2013), and further crosses were made by AD with the purpose of finding recombinants.

Table 2.1. Phenotypes and genotypes of $C$. nemoralis shell features used in this research.

| Phenotype |  |  |  | Genotype |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Character | Description | Notation |  | Locus | Allele | Notation |
| Ground colour | Brown |  | B | Ground colour | Brown | $\mathrm{C}^{\text {B }}$ |
|  | Pink Yellow |  | P |  | Pink | $C^{P}$ |
|  |  |  | Y |  | Yellow | $C^{Y}$ |
| Banding | Unbanded <br> First two bands missing <br> First band missing <br> Mid-banded <br> Banded | $\begin{aligned} & 00000 \\ & 00345 \\ & 02345 \\ & 00300 \\ & 12345 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | O | Banding | unbanded | $B^{\circ}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | normal banded | $\mathrm{B}^{\text {B }}$ |
|  |  |  | MB | Band pigmentation | normal | $\mathrm{P}^{N}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | hyalozonate | $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{H}}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Lip pigmentation | normal | $L^{\text {L }}$ |
|  | Spread-banding |  | S |  | white lip | L |
|  |  |  |  | Spread-banding | spread | $S^{\text {S }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Spread-banding | normal | $S^{-}$ |
| Band pigmentation | Normal pigmented bands Unpigmented bands (aka hyalozonate) |  | N | Mid-banding | mid-banded | $U^{3}$ |
|  |  |  | H | (aka unifasciata) | normal banded | $\mathrm{U}^{-}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Trifasciata | first two bands missing | $\mathrm{T}^{345}$ |
| Lip pigmentation | Normal pigmented lip White lip (aka albolabiate) |  | L |  | normal banded | T |
|  |  |  |  | Hypothesised | first band missing | $\mathrm{X}^{2345}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | normal banded | X |

The ground colour, banding, band pigmentation, spread band, and lip pigmentation loci are linked in a supergene. The other loci are unlinked. Alleles are shown in dominance order.

Previous and current studies have shown that quantitative methodologies to measure colour variation are necessary as this trait has multiple continuous options in C. nemoralis (Davison et al., 2019a). To create simple crosses a non-accurate description is sufficient as colour can be explained directly. Consequently, scoring of either yellow $(\mathrm{Y})$, pink $(\mathrm{P})$ or brown $(\mathrm{B})$ was used to report basic shell ground colour. Further, genotype dominance is deduced as follows $C^{B}>C^{P}>C^{Y}$ (Jones et al., 1977), and connections and repulsions with other traits were also noted (Table 2.1).

Additionally, shell banding was also scored. Three main groups were chosen to divide banding options such as unbanded (O; 00000), mid-banded (M; 00300) or having various options of banding (B; generally 12345, but all combinations as an exception of 00300). In this case, dominance was deduced by unbanded dominant; $B^{\circ}>B^{B}$ (Jones et al., 1977). In case of mid-band loci, several crosses segregated with its deduced dominance (mid-band dominant; $\mathrm{U}^{3}>\mathrm{U}^{-}$). Furthermore, another cross targeted two different options, one for band-suppressing locus, T (Lack of the first two bands: 00345; $\mathrm{T}^{345}>\mathrm{T}^{-}$) and another alternative loci, called X (Lacking first band or very faint: 02345 ; $\left.\mathrm{X}^{2345}>\mathrm{X}^{-}\right)$. Other traits like lip colour pigmentation were not clarified by the literature in terms of whether separate loci or an allelomorphic part of the band pigmentation loci. As a result and to avoid errors, both were treated as separate loci. Hence, normal (N) or hyalozonate (H) with its corresponding genotype dominance deduced as $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}>\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{H}}$ were the band pigmentation phenotype alleles. The morph hyalozonate known as the band and lip with no pigmentation, is identified by contrasting shell ground colour to the "discrete bands" with paler background colour. Two different morphs were found in several crosses for the trait lip colour. On one hand, dark lip colour recognised as normal $(\mathrm{L})$ and, on the other hand, white lip colour (A - albolabiate) as non-common. Genotypic dominance were deduced ( $L^{L}>L^{A}$ ). In other crosses, lip pigmentation showed quantitative variation and so was difficult to score. One cross also showed variation in spread-banding, another locus of the supergene, for which the spread band allele, SS, is dominant to normal banding, S-.

Some of the adults used were wild-collected from either the UK, Ireland or Spain; others were derived from prior laboratory crosses (Table 2.2). The adults used in crosses 10, 11, 12 and 13 were derived from offspring of cross 9 , so the shell genotype could be inferred with extra confidence. This was aided by full-sib inbreeding
in producing crosses 10, 11 and 12, and another round of inbreeding to produce cross 13.

Table 2.2. Summary of linked loci phenotypes from C. nemoralis crosses


Phenotypes that may be due to a recombination event in a parent are highlighted in bold. Inferred genotypes of offspring are detailed in Supplementary Table 1. Key: P pink, Y yellow, O unbanded, M mid-banded, B all other banding patterns; $N$ normal band pigmentation; $H$ hyalozonate banding (nearly always with white lip—see text); S spread-banding; L normal lip pigmentation; A albolabiate (white lip). Cross 5 also showed segregation for another one or two bandsuppressing loci, $T$ and $X$, so the detailed banding notation is also shown. Crosses $10-13$ were inbreeding.

### 2.2.2. DNA extraction, quantification and amplification

The DNA of progenitors and their progeny was extracted and genotyped by using RAD markers. The goal was to create a base for future mapping of the supergene and the possible identification of other shell trait genes close to or within the supergene. Furthermore, we wished to identify individuals that show evidence of recombination, ideally either close to a shell-character locus, or between loci within the supergene. Past research achieved isolation of flanking RAD-seq markers near the supergene (Richards et al., 2013). Those markers were used with the objective to accept or reject possible recombinant phenotypes within the supergene features. To do so, frozen snail tissue was used to extract genomic DNA as explained (Richards et al., 2013).

Two extraction methods were used. Foot tissue was chosen as it is known as a good resource of high molecular weight DNA. Foot samples were cut in tiny slices and added into 15 ml Falcon tubes. 5 ml of extraction solution ( $3 \%$ CTAB, 100 mM Tris$\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,25 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $\mathrm{pH} 8,2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ) and $60 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Proteinase $\mathrm{K}(0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ was inserted. The culture was incubated at $60-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ inverting gently occasionally overnight. Next day, RNAase A ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was add to each tube and was incubated for 1 hour to remove RNA. The mixture was cooled down at room temperature. Upon lysis, a chloroform extraction was conducted, then three volumes of CTAB dilution solution was inserted (1\% CTAB, 50 mm Tris-HCI, pH 7.5, 10mM EDTA, pH 8). Samples were combined until a precipitation emerged, then the supernatant was removed. The pellet was washed twice in 0.4 M NaCl in $\mathrm{TE}(0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris$\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,1 \mathrm{~mm}$ EDTA, pH 8), re-dissolved in 1.42 M NaCl in TE (1.42M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8 ), then ethanol was used to precipitate DNA, followed by centrifugation and drying. Latterly, a few samples were lysed in lysis buffer ( 10 mM Tris, 0.1 M EDTA, $0.5 \%$ SDS), then extracted using the standard phenolchloroform protocol. Protocol changed due to the product availability and sample conditions.

With the aim to flank the supergene, groups of samples from the key crosses were genotyped by following personalized assays from the RAD-seq markers. Therefore, two standard PCR methods were performed depending on the RAD-seq
marker. One option was the Amplitaq Gold polymerase (Invitrogen) that allows with very high sensitivity or specific amplification of DNA targets. The PCR programme applied for this polymerase was a single cycle of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min were used, followed by 35 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min . The other PCR option was Clontech Advantage 2 PCR, which it is an extremely versatile polymerase mix that has three times higher fidelity than other Taqs. This PCR method started with an initial denaturation of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , followed by 35 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $15 \mathrm{~s}, 65$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~min}, 68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min .

The genotyping and the particular primers used in each assays were based on the prior characterised RAD-seq loci (Richards et al., 2013). These varied depending on each cross. Primers applied were RAD06F 5'-GCCTATCCGTCATTGTTGGT-3', RAD06R 5'-GTCAAGGCTTGCTTCTTTGG-3', RAD9F 5'-TTTCTCGGAACGACGGAGT-3', RAD9R 5'-GGTCTCGTCAATGGCACTTT-3', RAD11F 5'AAGAAGCGTCCTTCTGGAAA-3', RAD11R 5'-CACCTTCCCCATTCTTCAAA-3'. Then, a restriction enzymatic digestion was performed by 1 -hour incubation usually at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently, the scoring of the genotype were carry out in agarose electrophoresis gel. The details of the respective of enzymes used with each assay and each cross are shown in the Supplementary Table 2.3. Finally, the recombination rates were estimated by calculating the recombination fraction estimation of the offspring (Ott, 1999).

### 2.3 Results

### 2.3.1. Segregation of Mendelian loci that determine shell phenotype

Shell colour, locus C, showed segregation in crosses 1-13 (Table 2.2; Supplementary Table 2.1, 2.2, 2.3), only deviating significantly from expected Mendelian segregation ratios in cross 12, with fewer yellow shells than expected.

Crosses 1-6 and 8 showed segregation for the band presence/absence locus, B, with no deviations from expected Mendelian ratios.

Crosses 6 and $9-13$ showed segregating variation for the mid-band phenotype, coded by the unlinked $U$ locus. The observed phenotype frequencies did not differ from the expected frequencies.

Crosses 10 to 13 showed segregation for the pigmentation (hyalozonate; P) locus, with no deviations from expected Mendelian ratios.

Cross 8 showed segregation for the putative lip colour locus, L. The offspring phenotype frequencies would be consistent with single locus, assuming that $L$ is part of the supergene and treating lip colour phenotypes as either normal $(\mathrm{N})$ or albolabiate lip (A); there was no deviation from expected Mendelian ratios. Offspring in several of the other crosses, especially 10-13, showed considerable and apparently continuous variation in lip colour. We, therefore, tried to score the lip phenotype in the conventional manner, having a phenotype as normal, pale, or albolabiate, and reconcile this with a knowledge of the parental phenotypes and genotypes (parents in crosses 8 and 9). No scheme that we devised fitted a simple Mendelian model. This fits with previous studies (Cain Arthur James 1968; Cook, 2003). Unfortunately, it was not possible to quantitatively measure the lip colour, as we have done for shell ground colour (Davison et al., 2019a), because the coloured part of the lip was frequently too small and also on a curved surface.

Cross 14 showed segregating variation in the spread band phenotype. However, as one parent was homozygous for the dominant spread band allele $S^{\text {s }}$, the cross was non-informative for recombination with other supergene loci.

Finally, cross 5 showed segregating variation for the locus that suppresses the first two bands, converting a five-banded snail (12345) to three-banded (00345). The offspring phenotype frequencies would be consistent with single locus, with $\mathrm{T}^{345}$ dominant to $\mathrm{T}^{-}$, with both parents being heterozygote, except that this would require both parents to have a $\mathrm{T}^{345}$ allele; apparently not possible because one of the parents is 12345 . As five of the offspring with suppressed bands have 02345 phenotype and eleven a 0:345 (: = trace) phenotype, then the results are consistent with their being two band-supressing loci, one that causes the 00345 phenotype and another that causes the 02345/0:345 phenotype (see Supplementary Table 2.3 for inferred genotypes).

### 2.3.2. Putative recombinants between colour, banding and lip and band pigmentation loci.

Previously, the colour ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{Y}$ ) and banding ( $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{O}$ ) phenotype for six crosses (1, 4, $5,6,7,8$ ) and 398 offspring was reported, and the genotype of flanking RAD-seq loci reported for cross 1 (Richards et al., 2013). In this new work, we raised a further 486 offspring from eight more crosses, and genotyped six further crosses using flanking RAD-seq loci. The combined data set of parent and offspring phenotypes, alongside inferred genotypes, is presented here together, summarised in Table 2.2, S2.1, S2.2, and presented in full in Supplementary Table 2.3.

Offspring in several crosses (2, 8 and 10-13) produced snails with phenotypes that could be explained by a recombination event within the supergene of the heterozygous parent (Fig. 2.1).


Figure 2.1. Shells of offspring from crosses, including putative recombinant individuals, and one wild collected individual. A) Normal yellow mid-band, b) yellow, trace of banding, c) yellow, no band. An absence of banding suggests that individual 366 is a putative recombinant. D) normal pink mid-band, showing evidence of white "highlighting" of pigmented band e pink, trace of banding, some highlighting f) pink, no band, very faint mark where band would be. An absence of banding suggests that individual 536 is a putative recombinant. G) Normal pink mid-banded, showing evidence of white highlighting of pigmented band h) pink, no band, white highlighting i) yellow, mid-band hyalozonate, j) yellow, banded hyalozonate (02345) k) yellow, mid-band hyalozonate. An absence of dark pigment suggests that 822 is a putative recombinant; however, the shell has retained the white highlighting pigment. Hyalozonate shells generally lack both dark and light pigment, see i) and j); this is not always easily visible, see k ). In a wild-collected pink hyalozonate, I) the lack of pigment is just visible on some whorls, and not at all on some upper whorls, or from the inside.

Cross 2 produced three yellow unbanded snails (e.g., Fig. 2.1c), a phenotype that might be produced by recombination between the colour (C) and banding (B) loci; one of these individuals, a sub-adult with a damaged shell, has a very faint trace of a band. A few other snails in the same cross have much-reduced banding (e.g., Fig. 2.1b).

Cross 8 produced a single pink unbanded snail, a phenotype that is also best explained by recombination between the colour (C) and banding (B) loci (Fig. 2.1f). Very few of the snails in this cross have reduced banding. This cross also segregated for the lip pigmentation locus, L. As the recombinant snail has a pigmented lip (see Fig. 2.1f, lip image), then this cross in theory informs upon the order of loci within the supergene (but see below).

Cross 5 produced a single pink mid-banded snail. This phenotype is very difficult to explain by recombination, based on the known genotypes (Supplementary Table 2.3 and 2.4). As the pink colour of this snail is qualitatively different from the other pink-banded snails in this cross, the best explanation is that it is a likely a contaminant from another cross.

The remaining crosses produced offspring that suggest possible recombination between the colour C and band pigmentation P loci. Crosses 11, 12 and 13 produced several unbanded pink individuals, with pigmented lips (e.g., Fig. 2.1h). These were initially scored as hyalozonate, because the mid-band was evident but not pigmented. However, closer inspection revealed that the banding phenotype of these shells is not the same as the yellow hyalozonate shells. Specifically, the unbanded pink individuals retain the white highlighting pigment of a normal shell, but lack the dark pigment (compare Fig. 2.1h with 2.1 g ). This difference is especially evident when viewed from the underside: hyalozonate shells have cleared bands which are entirely lacking pigment whereas the white pigment of 'unbanded' snails shows a silhouette (compare Fig. 2.1h with $2.1 \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ). Not all of the hyalozonate shells show such a clear pattern (e.g., Fig. 2.1k). For comparison, in a wild collected pink hyalozonate, the cleared bands are only evident on the upper whorls (Fig. 2.11); in another shell they are not evident at all.

### 2.3.3. Genotyping of offspring using RAD-seq derived loci

Individual offspring from crosses $1,2,8,9,10,11,12$, and 13 were genotyped using custom assays derived from RAD-seq loci that flank either side of the supergene, using RAD06/RAD11 on one side and RAD09 on the other side (Table 3; Supplementary Table 2.3). Unfortunately, the RAD-seq loci in cross 5 lacked polymorphism so no assay was possible. To confirm or refute individual recombination events, we inspected the genotype of the putative recombinant offspring. In theory, individuals for which we have inferred recombination within the supergene should also show recombination by one of the RAD-markers.

None of the three individuals from cross 2 showed evidence of recombination from the flanking loci RAD11 and RAD09. Similarly, the single individual in cross 8 did not show evidence of recombination for the same RAD-seq loci. All eight putative recombinants in cross 12 and all three in cross 13 showed no evidence of recombination using RAD06 and RAD09; in cross 11, three individuals did not show evidence of recombination, with one single individual (804) showing recombination between RAD06 and the supergene and apparent recombination between the colour and pigmentation loci (C/P). If this were correct then it would inform the order of loci within the supergene. However, the phenotype of this snail is exactly the same as the other refuted recombinants (Fig. 2.1h). It is most likely not a hyalozonate, and therefore a coincidence that it also shows recombination between RAD06 and the supergene.

Thus, overall, while there is incomplete evidence in some cases, we were not able to confidently confirm any recombination events within the supergene. This puts the point estimate on recombination between C and B at $0 / 376$ ( $<0.27 \%$ ), between C and $P$ at $0 / 170(<0.60 \%)$ and between $C$ and $L$ at $0 / 25$ ( $<4 \%$ ). Therefore, the upper confidence limit for the mean rate of recombination, assuming that the probability of observing zero recombination events is $5 \%$, is $0.80 \%$ for $C / B, 1.76 \%$ for $C / P$, and $12.0 \%$ for C/L. Of course, as there are no recombination events, then this work does not inform the order of loci within the supergene; the higher upper limits for $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{L}$ are due to smaller sample sizes.

Table 2.3. Summary of RAD-seq marker genotyping, putative number of supergene recombinants and actual number.

| CrossSnails and phenotypes |  |  |  |  | Genotypes |  |  | Recombinants between supergene and: |  |  | Putative supergene recombinants | Actual supergene recombinants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | RAD11 | RAD06 | RAD09 | RAD11 | RAD06 | RAD09 |  |  |
| 1 | C100 | C101 | P O | YM | 101 | 102 | 102 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | C102 | C103 | PO | YM | 38 |  | 43 | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| 8 | C108 | C109 | POL | YML | 44 |  | 50 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 9 | C116 | C120 | PMN | YB H |  | 16 | 16 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | C450 | C449 | PMN | YBN |  | 12 | 12 |  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | C451 | C452 | PMN | YBN | 103 |  | 104 |  | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 ? |
| 12 | C662 | C665 | PMN | YBN | 127 |  | 132 |  | 3 | 6 | 8 | 0 |
| 13 | C825 | C841 | PMN | YB H | 44 |  | 49 |  | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 |

RAD06 and RAD11 flank one side of the colour and banding loci of the supergene; RAD09 flanks the other side. Full genotypes are in Supplementary Table 2.3.

### 2.4. Discussion

For future mapping and the precise identification of the supergene, it would be useful to identify individuals that are known to have a recombination break-point close to, or within the supergene. In this study, we initially identified four putative recombination events between the colour and banding loci ( $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{B}$ ) and fourteen putative recombination events between the colour and pigmentation loci (C/P). This is as expected because historic studies have indicated that $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{B}$ are more tightly linked than $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{P}$. We also used genotyping of RAD-seq loci that flank the supergene in several of the crosses to reveal individuals that show recombination between a linked RAD-seq marker and the supergene. These RAD-seq markers may, therefore, be used for future recombination break-point mapping. However, the same RAD-seq genotyping did not find any evidence of recombination thereby refuting the putative recombinants detected above. This is also supported by a close analysis of the phenotype of the shells, including variable penetrance of the mid-band phenotype (Fig. 2.1; crosses 2, 8, 11-13) and a comparison of true hyalozonate shells against shells that partially lack pigmentation (Fig. 2.1; crosses 8, 11-13).

Therefore, in contrast to previous studies that have reported rates of recombination between $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{B}$ of $0-2 \%$ and between $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{B}$ and the pigmentation locus, P , of $3-15 \%$, which were not supported by genetic data. We found zero recombinants, putting upper limits on the rate of recombination at 0.8 and $1.8 \%$, respectively. This does not mean that previous inferences of recombination within the supergene were incorrect. However, as the 'recombinants' in this study are better explained by other means, then we would suggest that there is an absence of modern-day evidence for recombination within the $C$. nemoralis supergene. The structure of the supergene may not be as has previously been supposed.

### 2.4.1. Incomplete penetrance and epistasis

Four individuals in crosses 2 and 8 were initially identified as putative recombinants, because they lacked the mid-band, or had only very faint traces of a band. If these snails had been true recombinant individuals then they would most likely be
homozygous for colour and heterozygous for banding (genotype $\mathrm{B}^{0} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ). Incomplete penetrance of the dominant $\mathrm{B}^{0}$ allele could mean that some individuals show evidence of banding (e.g., Fig. 2.1b, e). Instead, the genotyping shows that these individuals are not recombinant, and so must be genetically homozygous for colour and banding $(\mathrm{BbBb})$. Therefore, the best explanation for their phenotype is that other loci are interacting epistatically to prevent full penetrance of homozygous banding alleles.

Similarly, up to fourteen individuals were identified that were putative recombinants between the colour and pigmentation loci. If they had been true recombinants, then they would most likely be heterozygous for colour and homozygous for pigmentation ( $C^{P} C^{Y} P^{H} P^{H}$ ). However, close analysis of the phenotype and the genotyping together show that they are not recombinants and therefore, more likely they were heterozygous for both colour and pigmentation ( $C^{P} C^{Y} P^{N} P^{H}$ ). These same snails are homozygous for the banding locus $\left(B^{b} B^{b}\right)$, but segregate for mid-band phenotype $\left(U^{3} U^{-}, U^{-} U^{-}\right)$; the putative recombinants were always mid-banded $\left(U^{3} U^{-}\right)$, rather than fully banded ( $\mathrm{U}^{-} \mathrm{U}^{-}$). The same explanation may apply, as above. Other loci sometimes interact to prevent full penetrance of the mid-banded phenotype.

The observation that the absence of a mid-band does not always have a simple genetic basis may shed some light on previous findings. For example, both Fisher et al. (1934) and Cain et al. (1960) reported individual crosses that showed elevated rates of recombination between the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{B}$ loci.

Cain et al. (1960) reported two crosses derived from the same mother that showed seven colour/banding recombinants in 43 snails, for which six were pink midbanded snails. The expectation is that putative recombinants would have been homozygous for the banding locus ( $\left.C^{P} C^{Y} B^{b} B^{b}\right)$ and non-recombinants heterozygous $\left(C^{P} C^{\curlyvee} B^{0} B^{b}\right)$. However, if the six snails were not actually recombinants, then incomplete dominance of the band-suppressing allele ( $\mathrm{B}^{0}$ ), or else epistatic interactions with other loci, may be an alternative explanation.

Similarly, Fisher and Diver (1934) described unexpectedly high recombination (20\%) between colour and banding in one cross. Unfortunately, they did not report whether the snails used were mid-banded or not. However, in their specific case, doubt
has been raised as to whether the individuals used were virgins before paired together (Cain et al., 1960; Ford, 1971; Lamotte, 1954). In researching this work, we were fortunate to find copies of letters between Fisher and Diver in the archive of Bryan Clarke (Supplementary Material). In letters from April/May 1934 that describe the preparation of the correspondence that was published in Nature in June 1934, there is clear admission that the snails used in the crosses were adult and not virgin. The authors partly acknowledge that this may be a problem. Referring to possible previous matings ("experience"), Fisher writes that "on fairly strong ground, which is not weakened by previous experience, but is not absolutely critical". Our interpretation of the text is that Fisher acknowledges that the snails may have previously mated, but discounts this as being a problem, because the offspring ratios approximate to that expected with limited recombination. There are therefore perhaps two errors, which together invalidate the conclusions of the published work.

Epistasis could also explain other earlier data on recombination between the C/B loci and the pigmentation locus. For example, in our study, we initially scored some pink individuals as hyalozonate, even though they had a lightly pigmented lip, only later realising our error. Other authors may have made the same mistake. Unfortunately, it is difficult to be certain from the previous literature whether pink hyalozonate recombinant individuals had an unpigmented lip, though if this was not the case then it might have been explicitly noted (e.g. p404 in Cook, 1967). However, just as it is hypothesised that epistasis makes brown shelled individuals less likely to be banded, then it is reasonable to suppose that epistasis might mean that pink hyalozonates more rarely have a wholly unpigmented lip.

Evidently, further crosses are required, especially with respect to the other major loci, especially pigmentation, spread band and punctate loci in the supergene, and the various band-modifying genes. It is possible that some of these phenotypes, especially those for band-modification (Wolda, 1969), are under multi-factorial control and/or dependent upon genetic background. A further general consideration is that the genetics may differ depending upon the location of origin of the snails. In our study, the snails are derived from the UK, Ireland or Spain, and hybrids between them. As a previous mitochondrial DNA study has shown that the Cepaea snails in the West of Ireland are at least partly derived from snails from the Pyrenees, and genetically
divergent from those of the UK, then perhaps location should be more properly considered (Richards et al., 2013).

### 2.4.2. Future progress

Overall, this research proposes an alternative explanation to the putative nonrecombinant phenotypes found. However, future steps are necessary to confirm that these results are due to incomplete penetrance or epistasis. Perhaps, an epistasis mapping method using, for example, QTL mapping studies to determine the expression of the genes involved may be a clever next step to verify this explanation. Moreover, this work also provides a resource for fine mapping of the supergene, and the other major shell phenotype loci. On the one hand, we have shown that phenocopies may be a problem in using the shell phenotype alone to detect recombination events within the supergene. On the other hand, the genotyping methods that we have introduced enable a means to avoid this problem.

Jones et al. (1977) (in)famously questioned whether understanding polymorphism in Cepaea is "a problem with too many solutions?" The intention of that work was to emphasise the perfect case study provided by Cepaea. We hope that these crosses may soon be used with new long-read DNA sequencing methods to assemble the $C$. nemoralis genome and to identify the supergene. Perhaps soon, polymorphism in Cepaea may instead be considered "a solution to many problems.
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## Chapter 3:

# Exploring the divergence of genomic variation and geographical structure in Cepaea nemoralis. 


#### Abstract

Colour variation is considered a driver in the adaptation to the environments in many species. In Cepaea nemoralis, it is well-known different shell ground colour are under various forms of selection and in to different environments. To what extent does this selection impact on the wider genome and across different environments? To begin to understand these factors, double digest restriction-site associated DNA (ddRADseq) was conducted to comprehend hidden patterns of its genomic variation, diversity and structure. Surprisingly, even though there was an association between genomic variation and the geographical distribution, colour variation was unrelated. The genomic areas leading the geographic variation were examined and several candidate sequences under selection were found. However, these candidates need further analyses to confirm which their involvement in the environmental adaptation. In addition, the phylogenomic structure of West European populations of $C$. nemoralis was inferred leading to an interpretation of how Pyrenean snails colonised Europe after the Pleistocene. The results may launch new roads of research into the evolutionary and genomic mechanisms that have led the geographical genomic variation and the supergene variation.


### 3.1. Introduction

Over the past century, the study of animal colour has been key in providing evidence for some of the central tenets of biology, especially with respect to genetics and evolution. For example, early work on the inheritance of colour traits contributed to an initial understanding of Mendelian genetics (Staples-Browne, 1908; Wheldale, 1907). Subsequent studies on the distribution and predation of colour morphs shaped our understanding of how natural selection may operate in wild populations, with perhaps some of the most important insights coming from moths and snails (Cain et al., 1950; Cook, 2003). In the 21st century, next-generation DNA sequencing technologies, such as genotyping-by-sequencing, have been used to identify the underlying genes that determine variation in colour morphs within species, and their evolutionary history (Wellenreuther et al., 2014). These same methods have also enabled the subdiscipline of phylogeography to move away from the use one or a few molecular markers to a genomic overview, usually involving thousands of genetic markers (Dussex et al., 2020; Kotlík et al., 2018; Lucena-Perez et al., 2020). Such studies have revealed how connectivity between populations - or lack of - shapes the genetic structure of species, and critically, how regions of the genome respond differently depending upon the nature of selection and the genetic architecture of a particular colour trait (e.g. Pardo-Diaz et al., 2012; Poelstra et al., 2014).

Historically, some of the most important animals in studying colour polymorphism have been the Cepaea species, $C$. nemoralis and $C$. hortensis. This is partly because they are relatively easy to collect, but also because the different colour and banding morphs (Figure 1.1) show straightforward inheritance (Cook, 2017; Jones et al., 1977) - the shell polymorphism is controlled by a series of nine or more loci, of which five make a single 'supergene' containing tightly linked colour, banding and other loci. In these two species, we now have some understanding of the pigments and shell proteome (Affenzeller et al., 2020; Mann et al., 2014; Williams, 2017) and have begun to use new genomic methods to identify the genes involved (Kerkvliet et al., 2017; Richards et al., 2013).

However, while ongoing studies on snails in general continue to provide evidence for the relative role of various forms of natural selection and random drift in promoting and maintaining variation, as a group they are generally poorly represented in phylogeographic or population genomic studies. For example, most studies of phylogeography and/or population structure in terrestrial snails have been limited to using just one or a few genes, with a few notable exceptions (Chueca, 2020), and better representation for aquatic snails, especially Littorina (Hirano et al., 2019; Miura et al., 2020; Westram et al., 2018). This is a problem because if the ultimate aim is to understand the role of natural selection in promoting and maintaining colour polymorphism, then we require an understanding of the history of populations in general, and more precisely, a gene-by-gene account of how historical contingencies, including drift, and selection have impacted upon the genome.

One issue for Cepaea is that Steve Jones and colleagues (1977) questioned whether understanding the polymorphism is "a problem with too many solutions?", which led to difficulties arguing the case for these snails as a study organism. Actually, the misunderstood intention of that work was to emphasise the perfect case study provided by Cepaea. Simple explanations for phenotypic variation, including colour, are likely an exception, so they were making the point that it is important to study organisms for which polymorphism may be explained by a variety of processes, precisely because they are more realistic. Given that present-day genomic technologies should allow us to uncover the relative contributions of each of these processes in making contemporary diversity, this point is perhaps just as prescient now.

Unfortunately, a second general issue is that is DNA from snails is sometimes difficult to work with (Adema, 2021), and snails in general have large and repetitive genomes, which are difficult to assemble. Advances in the understanding of the colour polymorphisms of snails have therefore tended to lag behind other species, especially invertebrates with relatively small genomes (Davison et al., 2020).

Historically, studies on the colour polymorphism of Cepaea have mainly been based on comparisons of morph frequencies between different habitats. More recently, data from much of the 20th century collections were digitised by the
"Evolution Megalab" project (Silvertown et al., 2011), so that comparisons can be made across the generations. Some of these comparative studies found that discrete colour variation were associated with geographic variables such as latitude, longitude, altitude and even by regions. For example, in larger studies yellow snails were commonly found at mid-latitudes (Jones et al., 1977; Silvertown et al., 2011). Moreover, in local surveys discrete colour patterns were mostly associated by the regions. For instance in the Pyrenees, each valley showed sharp frequency discontinuities in colour variation due to different selective pressures such as climatic or predation (Arnold, 1968; Cameron et al., 1973; Jones et al., 1975).

There have also been some genetic studies, first using allozymes and latterly mitochondrial DNA and microsatellites (but only at a local geographic scale; Davison \& Clarke, 2000). Of most relevance here, Ochman et al. (1983) showed using allozymes that the Pyrenees contains three deeply differentiated sets of populations, or "molecular area effects", for which the geographic patterns did not correlate with the shell ground colour and banding frequencies. Latterly, we surveyed much of Europe using a mitochondrial marker (Grindon et al., 2013a). As with Ochman's study, high differentiation was found within the Pyrenees and the North of Spain. However, the main finding was that of the seven deep mitochondrial lineages, one was found only in Ireland and in a region of the Eastern Pyrenees in southwest Europe. As the oldest C. nemoralis fossils in Ireland date to about 8,000 years ago, around the time that modern humans began to inhabit the island after the glaciations, the suggestion was that ancient humans might have carried the edible snails with them as they moved between the Mediterranean and the Atlantic, following the Garonne river (Grindon et al., 2013a).

In this study, we take advantage of a first draft genome sequence (Saenko et al., 2020) and restriction-site associated DNA sequencing methods (ddRAD) to understand the phylogeographic and population genomic structure of $C$. nemoralis. Using samples from across Europe, the specific aim is to understand the post-glacial history of the species, at both the level of the whole genome, and more specifically, with respect to the supergene that is responsible for a large part of the colour and banding polymorphism. The ultimate aim is to provide context for subsequent studies on the evolutionary origins of the supergene, and the relative roles that natural
selection and drift may play in the establishment and loss of colour polymorphism in local populations.

### 3.2. Materials and Methods

### 3.2.1. Dataset collection

In previous work, we collected C. nemoralis from across Europe, euthanising snails by freezing at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ upon arrival at the University of Nottingham. In this study, we strategically sampled from this prior collection, including individuals from Germany, Belgium, France, Hungary, Denmark, Spain, UK and Ireland, as well as a 2017/2018 collection from three valleys in the Pyrenees, Valle de Vielha, Valle de Jueu and Valle Noguera Ribagorzana. Individuals were selected to ensure a wide geographic spread, and to include representatives of most of the major mitochondrial lineages reported in a prior study (Figure 3.1, Table 3.1; Grindon et al., 2013a).


Figure 3.1. European map shows the geographical coordinate points of the sampling sites collected by Grindon and Davison (2013). Each population (red dots) is labelled by its abbreviation. In addition, an Eastern Pyrenean map is added. Three valleys from the Central Pyrenees were sampled in 2017; Vielha, Jueu, N. Ribagorzana. The other three; Commitges, Segre and Benasque were acquired from Grindon and Davison (2013).

Table 3.1. Summary showing the locations and phenotypes of the samples used in this study, including mitochondrial haplotype from Grindon and Davison (2013).

|  |  |  |  |  | Mitochondrial |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location | ID | Grindon ID Abbreviation | Latitude | Longitude | Haplotype | Colour | Banding |  |
| Belgium / Moortsele | 48 | Ooscn2 | Moo | 50.9588 | 3.7798 | A27 | Yellow | 12345 |
| Denmark / Aalborg | 46 | Aal2cn5 | Aal | 57.0518 | 9.8728 | A51 | Yellow | 12345 |
|  | 47 | Aal2cn7 | Aal | 57.0518 | 9.8728 | A22 | Pink | 12345 |
| England / Cornwall | 26 | Coascn3 | Cor | 50.2535 | -5.0404 | A87 | Yellow | 00000 |
|  | 66 | Coascn5 | Cor | 50.2535 | -5.0404 | A87 | Yellow | 00000 |
| France / Pont L'Abbe | 28 | Abbecn1 | Abb | 47.884 | -4.1826 | A58 | Pink | 00300 |
|  | 29 | Abbecn3 | Abb | 47.884 | -4.1826 | A85 | Yellow | 00300 |
| Germany / Jessern | 49 | Jesscn1 | Jes | 52.0167 | 14.1833 | A11 | Brown | 00000 |
|  | 51 | Jesscn9 | Jes | 52.0167 | 14.1833 | A40 | Pink | 00300 |
| Scotland / Mull Isle | 27 | Muulcn4 | Mul | 56.5785 | -6.2775 | A47 | Pink | 12345 |
| England / Malham | 53 | Malcn3 | Mal | 54.0618 | -2.1467 | B16 | Yellow | 12345 |
|  | 54 | Malcn5 | Mal | 54.0618 | -2.1467 | B8 | Yellow | 00000 |
| England / Saltmill | 52 | Saltcn9 | Sal | 54.4893 | -3.5895 | B3 | Pink | 00300 |
| France / La Roche | 31 | Berncn2 | Roc | 47.5118 | -2.2857 | B23 | Brown | 12345 |
| Ireland / Dublin-Ranelagh | 38 | Dublincn4 | Dub | 53.3185 | -6.2514 | C15 | Pink | 12345 |
|  | 39 | Dublincn2 | Dub | 53.3185 | -6.2514 | C15 | Yellow | 00345 |
| Ireland / Lisdoonvarna | 36 | Liscn1 | Lis | 53.0263 | -9.2902 | B27 | Yellow | 12345 |
|  | 37 | Liscn2 | Lis | 53.0263 | -9.2902 | C8 | Yellow | 12345 |
| Spain / Benasque | 61 | Bencn3 | Ben | 42.5994 | 0.527 | C18 | Yellow | 12345 |
|  | 62 | Bencn1 | Ben | 42.5994 | 0.527 | C17 | Pink | 00000 |
| Spain / Commitges | 63 | Romancn5 | Com | 43.028 | 0.7223 | C57 | Brown | 00345 |
|  | 64 | Romancn7 | Com | 43.028 | 0.7223 | C56 | Pink | 00000 |
| Spain / Jueu | 34 | - | Jue | 42.6779 | 0.7055 | - | Yellow | 00000 |
|  | 56 | - | Jue | 42.6779 | 0.7055 | - | Pink | 00000 |
| Spain / Ribagorzana | 57 | - | Rib | 42.4792 | 0.714 | - | Yellow | 00000 |
|  | 58 | - | Rib | 42.4792 | 0.714 | - | Pink | 00000 |


| Spain / Segre | 59 | Serge2cn1 | Seg | 42.3598 | 1.4796 | C1 | Yellow | 12345 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spain / Vielha | 32 | - | Vie | 42.6905 | 0.8742 | - | Yellow | 12345 |
|  | 33 | - | Vie | 42.6905 | 0.8742 | - | Pink | 00000 |
| Belgium / Leuven | 40 | Kesscn5 | Leu | 50.8835 | 4.7225 | D39 | Yellow | 00300 |
|  | 45 | Kesscn8 | Leu | 50.8835 | 4.7225 | D8 | Yellow | 00000 |
| Germany / Mecklenburg | 50 | Robcn2 | Mec | 53.5817 | 12.985 | D33 | Pink | 00300 |
| Spain/Santander | 60 | Santacn8 | San | 43.4144 | -3.7413 | F24 | Yellow | 00000 |
|  | 65 | Santacn9 | San | 43.4144 | -3.7413 | F25 | Pink | 00000 |
|  | 30 | Playacn9 | San | 43.487067 | -3.792683 | D49 | Yellow | 00000 |
|  | 35 | Playacn10 | San | 43.487067 | -3.792683 | D47 | Yellow | 00000 |
|  | 55 | Playacn7 | San | 43.487067 | -3.792683 | F24 | Yellow | 00000 |
| Spain / Roncesvalles | 41 | Roncscn3 | Ron | 43.0093 | -1.3191 | F41 | Pink | 12345 |
| Hungary / Budapest | 42 | Hungen4 | Bud | 47.4258 | 19.4483 | G11 | Yellow | 12345 |
|  | 43 | Hungen5 | Bud | 47.4258 | 19.4483 | G13 | Yellow | 00300 |
|  | 44 | Hungen1a | Bud | 47.4258 | 19.4483 | G12 | Yellow | 00300 |

### 3.2.2. Genomic methods

High molecular weight genomic DNA was extracted from frozen snail tissue, similarly to the method described previously in Chapter 2.2 section (Gonzalez et al., 2019; Richards et al., 2013), using foot because it is a good source of high molecular weight DNA. In brief, slices of snail tissue were incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in lysis buffer ( 10 mM Tris, 0.1M EDTA, $0.5 \%$ SDS), with proteinase $\mathrm{K}(0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$, with occasional inversion. RNAase A ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was added, and then each tube was incubated for one more hour to remove RNA. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and then extracted using the standard phenol/chloroform/iso-amyl ethanol (25:24:1) method, with ethanol precipitation. DNA quality was visually verified by agarose gel electrophoresis, and then quantified and further checked using a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 2000c) and a fluorometer (Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer). RAD-seq libraries were generated by SNPsaurus (https://www.snpsaurus.com/), using the method of Russello et al. (2015) and 75 ng of input DNA. One lane of a HiSeq4000 (University of Oregon) was used to generate 150 bp sequence reads, which were then demultiplexed and stored as fastq files.

### 3.2.3. Sequence analysis and datasets

FastQC software was used to check the quality of the reads, then adapters removed using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014) with the following settings; ILLUMINACLIP: trimmomatic/adapters/NexteraPE-PE.fa 2:30:10 (prefixNX/1:AGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG, prefixNX/2:AGATGTGTATA AGAGACAG, trans1:TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG, trans1_rc:CTGTCTCTTATACACATCTGACGCTGCCGACGA, trans2:GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG, trans2_rc:CTGTCTCTTATACACATCTCCGAGCCCACGAGAC), and the trimmomatic specific parameters; LEADING:5, TRAILING:5, SLIDINGWINDOW:5:10 and MINLEN:50-150. Files were then checked again using FastQC. The trimmed fastq files were aligned to the C. nemoralis draft genome ( $\sim 3.5 \mathrm{~Gb}$, Saenko et al., 2020) using Burrows-Wheeler Alignment Tool (Roodi et al., 2019). Bcftools v1.10 (Danecek et al., 2017) was then used to transform the sam output files to bam files, and then Bam tools was then used to call variants and genotypes (Li, 2011). Vcftools was used
to extract bi-allelic loci, while only retaining those with less than $25 \%$ missing data, a mean sequencing depth greater than 0.25 to a maximum of 25 reads, minimum quality score of 20 and minor allele frequency (MAF) of 0.1 (Danecek et al., 2011). Finally, allelic balance at heterozygotes test was used to detect contamination (Supporting information).

Approaching the challenge to understand the genetic basis of the colour and banding polymorphism in C. nemoralis, a set of 60 contigs from the draft genome were identified to be tightly linked to the supergene that determines the colour and banding of the shell. Contigs containing the supergene ( $\sim 2.9 \mathrm{Mb}$ ) were found by Pool-seq technique using colour variation (Saenko et al., 2020), and verified by finding within them the flanking RAD-seq markers of the supergene (Richards et al., 2013). Two different datasets were, therefore, generated from the filtered bi-allelic loci: 1) the whole-genome RAD-seq dataset (hereafter "whole-genome") 2) the subset of RADseq loci that are putatively linked to the supergene ("supergene-linked").

### 3.2.4. Analysis of genomic variation

To understand the broad phylogenomic relationship between individuals, a principal component analysis (PCA) was performed. The filtered variant calling file was first pruned using a linkage genotyping analysis in plink v1.9 (Purcell et al., 2007), then linkage-pruned sites were used to generate eigenvalues and vectors. The PCA output was plotted in $R$ version 3.4.1 (2017-06-30) using the tidyverse v1.3.0 package. To identify clusters within the genomic data, Gaussian finite mixture modelling was undertaken using Mclust 5.4.6 (Scrucca et al., 2016), assuming 1 to 20 possible clusters from the PCA results. The best fitting model was, then, determined by the highest Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC), with significant differences established by using a bootstrap likelihood ratio test, assuming varying orientations and homogeneity of variance.

To understand the distribution of genomic variation by geography, pairwise values of Weir \& Cockerham's Fst were estimated using Vcftools (Li, 2011). Genomewide Fst was used directly by plotting against geographic distance, or transformed into a dissimilarity matrix, and again plotted against geographic distance. Geographic
distances were calculated in miles using the great circle formula in McSpatial 2.0 package in $R$ (McMillen et al., 2013). Significance was tested using a Mantel test based on Spearman's rank correlation and 9999 permutations, using the vegan 2.5-6 community ecology package in R (Jari Oksanen et al., 2018). Then, to understand how individual genome contigs shape the overall geographic variation, the same analyses were carried out on each of the individual contigs, again testing significance using a Mantel test.

Thus, to identify the possible RAD genomic regions under selection, the mean of Fst pairwise estimation in each contig were calculated and Mantel statistic test were executed as previously mentioned. The estimation of higher or lower genetic differentiation than the expected under neutrality were evaluated by a simple histogram of the Fst (Akey et al., 2002; Narum et al., 2011). Subsequently, the outliers were determined using quantile range outliers statistic set at $97 \%$. Finally, each sequence selected was aligned and compared with the Genbank dataset looking for homology with already described genes (Korf et al., 2003).

The whole-genome RAD-seq data was used to generate an unrooted phylogeny, using randomized axelerated maximum likelihood (raxml) v8.2.9 with the GTRGAMMA model and 100 bootstrap replicates (Stamatakis, 2014). An admixture test was performed to group and cluster allocations for each individual and to estimate the C. nemoralis ancestry (Alexander et al., 2011), computing the fivefold crossvalidation error to determinate the best number of clusters (K). Finally, D statistics were calculated to identify evidence of introgression among targeted C. nemoralis populations over Europe using Admixtools version 6.0 (Patterson et al., 2012) and Admixr version 0.7.1 (Petr et al., 2019). To test robustness of the D statistics, different populations were used as outgroup. In addition, the significance of the D statistics test was evaluated using block jackknife and the Z-score.

### 3.3. Results

RAD-seq libraries were prepared from 41 snails and 22 locations across Europe. (Table 3.1). A contamination and genotyping quality test were performed and two samples were discarded due to a low number of reads (S57 and S58 both from Ribagorzana valley in Spain; Supplementary material and Table S3.1). 39 individuals were put through to the main analysis. An average of $95 \%$ of reads mapping to the reference genome (Table S3.1). After filtering, the whole-genome dataset was reduced to 8689 bi-allelic loci contained on 2323 genome contigs (out of 28,538 in the reference), with a mean of 3.74 SNPs per contig (Figure S3.1). There was a correlation between contig length and number of SNPs ( $r=0.11$, $p<0.001$; Figure S3.2). Of the 60 contigs that are putatively linked to the supergene, 27 were represented in the RAD-seq data, but only 7 had variants in the final dataset (Table S3.2). The supergene-linked dataset was, thus, 31 bi-allellic SNPs found on 7 contigs.

### 3.3.1. West European phylogenomics of C. nemoralis

A principal components analysis of the whole-genome data showed that individuals of C. nemoralis broadly cluster according to geography (Figure 3.2a)-c); PC1, PC2, and PC3 together explained $37 \%$ of the variance; Figure S3.3). Gaussian finite mixture modelling returned ten clusters as the best-fitting model (EII, spherical, equal volume; BIC 349.2 and ICL 349.2; p < 0.001 compared with 2nd best model).


Figure 3.2. Relationship between individual Cepaea nemoralis snails across Europe based on 8689 biallelic loci using the whole-genome dataset. Figures are coloured according to the clusters defined by Gaussian finite mixture modelling. a), b), c) First three axes of a principal component analysis of the whole-genome data. d) Admixture analysis $(K=2)$, excluding the divergent individuals from Hungary (Budapest), North Spain (Santander) and West Pyrenees (Roncesvalles). Each vertical bar represents an individual, with the proportion of each colour representing the inferred ancestry e). Unrooted Raxml phylogeny, showing bootstrap support. Region abbreviations are shown in table 3.1.

The principal component analysis showed that the Hungarian (Budapest, $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) samples and the North-West Iberian samples (Santander, $n=5$; Roncesvalles, $n=1$ ) were different from the other samples, and also each other. This is because PC1 separated the Hungarian samples and North-West Iberian samples from the rest of European populations (Figure 3.2a; PC1 = 15\%), with PC3 separating the Hungarian samples from the North-West Iberian samples, with the others somewhat intermediate (Figure 3.2 c ; $\mathrm{PC} 3=10 \%$ ). PC2 separated the populations found in the central Pyrenees (Vielha, Jueu \& Benasque, $\mathrm{n}=6$; Commitges, $\mathrm{n}=2$; Segre, $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) from samples found in central Europe and the UK (Figure 3.2b; PC2 $=12 \%$ ), with samples from Ireland (Dublin, $\mathrm{n}=2$; Lisdoonvarna, $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) and the West of France (Roche, $\mathrm{n}=$ 1) closest to the Central Pyrenean samples.

An unrooted phylogeny based on the whole-genome data corroborated the principal components analysis, in recovering a North-West Iberian group, a Hungarian group, a central European group (French, German, Danish and British) and a central Pyrenean group, with the Irish populations closest to the latter (Figure 3.2e). Admixture analyses using the whole-genome data were, then, used to understand prior gene flow between the central European, Pyrenean and Irish populations. North-West lberian and Hungarian individuals were removed from the admixture analysis due to the lack of shared ancestry. Admixture $K=1$ (0.91), 2 (1.00) were most strongly supported as the best cluster values according to the cross-validation error test (Figure S3.4). For $K=2$, individuals from the west of Ireland show mixed ancestry between the central Pyrenees and Europe. Dublin, Roc and Mul also showed mixed ancestry. Finally, Dstatistical analysis using the whole-genome data were used to corroborate the admixture analyses (Table 3.2). Firstly, the comparison between the European populations (British, French, German and Danish) and Eastern Pyrenean did not illustrate significant introgression. These results illustrated that both populations had similar genetic introgression. However, significant introgression was shown when comparing the above-mentioned populations to the Iberian populations (Table 3.2, 3 $<$ Z-score < -3). According to D-statistic La Roche and the Irish populations are significantly (Table 3.2, $3<$ Z-score $<-3$ ) admixed between the Eastern Pyrenean and European populations (British and Danish).

Table 3.2. Four population test (D-statistic) results with its jack-knife significance test are illustrated admixture population candidates.

| Population W | Population X | Population Y | Population Z | D-statistic | std-error | Z-score | BABA | ABBA | SNP's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lisdoonvarna: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cornwall | Lisdoonvarna | Vielha | Budapest | -0.09 | 0.04 | -2.32 | 178 | 215 | 3447 |
| Malham | Lisdoonvarna | Jueu | Budapest | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 278 | 275 | 5074 |
| Aalborg | Lisdoonvarna | Benasque | Budapest | -0.07 | 0.05 | -1.44 | 133 | 152 | 2708 |
| Cornwall | Lisdoonvarna | Jueu | Budapest | 0.00 | 0.04 | -0.08 | 186 | 187 | 3684 |
| Malham | Lisdoonvarna | Benasque | Budapest | -0.06 | 0.04 | -1.50 | 155 | 177 | 3228 |
| Aalborg | Lisdoonvarna | Vielha | Budapest | -0.04 | 0.04 | -1.08 | 245 | 265 | 4106 |
| Cornwall | Lisdoonvarna | Benasque | Budapest | -0.05 | 0.05 | -1.01 | 114 | 126 | 2296 |
| Malham | Lisdoonvarna | Vielha | Budapest | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.40 | 281 | 274 | 4693 |
| Aalborg | Lisdoonvarna | Jueu | Budapest | 0.00 | 0.04 | -0.05 | 260 | 261 | 4404 |
| Santander | Lisdoonvarna | Vielha | Budapest | -0.25 | 0.03 | -7.82 | 211 | 355 | 4441 |
| Santander | Lisdoonvarna | Jueu | Budapest | -0.23 | 0.03 | -7.35 | 225 | 360 | 4751 |
| Santander | Lisdoonvarna | Benasque | Budapest | -0.19 | 0.04 | -4.35 | 130 | 193 | 2887 |
| Santander | Lisdoonvarna | Cornwall | Budapest | -0.21 | 0.03 | -6.16 | 215 | 327 | 4407 |
| Santander | Lisdoonvarna | Malham | Budapest | -0.19 | 0.03 | -5.67 | 230 | 337 | 4688 |
| Santander | Lisdoonvarna | Aalborg | Budapest | -0.15 | 0.03 | -4.67 | 256 | 345 | 4922 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dublin: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cornwall | Dublin | Vielha | Budapest | -0.15 | 0.05 | -3.37 | 122 | 166 | 2550 |
| Malham | Dublin | Jueu | Budapest | -0.03 | 0.04 | -0.90 | 192 | 206 | 3931 |
| Aalborg | Dublin | Benasque | Budapest | -0.07 | 0.06 | -1.19 | 96 | 110 | 1999 |
| Cornwall | Dublin | Jueu | Budapest | -0.06 | 0.05 | -1.36 | 136 | 155 | 2745 |
| Malham | Dublin | Benasque | Budapest | -0.03 | 0.05 | -0.57 | 114 | 121 | 2489 |
| Aalborg | Dublin | Vielha | Budapest | -0.13 | 0.04 | -2.82 | 141 | 182 | 3079 |
| Cornwall | Dublin | Benasque | Budapest | -0.04 | 0.06 | -0.70 | 96 | 104 | 1712 |
| Malham | Dublin | Vielha | Budapest | -0.12 | 0.04 | -3.09 | 164 | 210 | 3630 |


| Aalborg | Dublin | Jueu | Budapest | -0.01 | 0.04 | -0.28 | 160 | 164 | 3314 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Santander | Dublin | Vielha | Budapest | -0.34 | 0.04 | -9.12 | 135 | 272 | 3392 |
| Santander | Dublin | Jueu | Budapest | -0.32 | 0.04 | -8.99 | 146 | 281 | 3653 |
| Santander | Dublin | Benasque | Budapest | -0.29 | 0.05 | -6.09 | 92 | 166 | 2281 |
| Santander | Dublin | Cornwall | Budapest | -0.33 | 0.04 | -9.29 | 152 | 301 | 3443 |
| Santander | Dublin | Malham | Budapest | -0.41 | 0.03 | -11.58 | 127 | 301 | 3510 |
| Santander | Dublin | Aalborg | Budapest | -0.29 | 0.03 | -8.38 | 177 | 319 | 3815 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| La Roche: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cornwall | Roche | Vielha | Budapest | -0.12 | 0.04 | -3.04 | 177 | 223 | 3854 |
| Malham | Roche | Jueu | Budapest | 0.06 | 0.03 | 1.88 | 316 | 281 | 5661 |
| Aalborg | Roche | Benasque | Budapest | -0.15 | 0.05 | -3.36 | 129 | 175 | 3000 |
| Cornwall | Roche | Jueu | Budapest | -0.08 | 0.04 | -2.26 | 196 | 232 | 4096 |
| Malham | Roche | Benasque | Budapest | -0.03 | 0.04 | -0.67 | 163 | 172 | 3500 |
| Aalborg | Roche | Vielha | Budapest | -0.07 | 0.04 | -1.82 | 237 | 270 | 4704 |
| Cornwall | Roche | Benasque | Budapest | -0.11 | 0.05 | -2.44 | 116 | 144 | 2558 |
| Malham | Roche | Vielha | Budapest | 0.08 | 0.03 | 2.40 | 295 | 251 | 5287 |
| Aallborg | Roche | Jueu | Budapest | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 270 | 267 | 5024 |
| Santander | Roche | Vielha | Budapest | -0.24 | 0.03 | -6.95 | 183 | 298 | 4146 |
| Santander | Roche | Jueu | Budapest | -0.19 | 0.03 | -6.45 | 257 | 380 | 5296 |
| Santander | Roche | Benasque | Budapest | -0.22 | 0.04 | -5.22 | 139 | 216 | 3152 |
| Santander | Roche | Cornwall | Budapest | -0.33 | 0.03 | -11.37 | 212 | 426 | 4938 |
| Santander | Roche | Malham | Budapest | -0.28 | 0.03 | -9.44 | 248 | 436 | 5232 |
| Santander | Roche | Aalborg | Budapest | -0.19 | 0.03 | -6.49 | 279 | 412 | 5474 |

A significant positive (but shallow) relationship between Fst between individuals and the geographic and Haversine distance using the whole-genome dataset were found (Figure $3.3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{r}=0.16,0.06$ respectively and $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). Across all pairwise population comparisons, the mean genome-wide Fst calculated from the wholegenome data was 0.13 , but with a wide range of individual values ( 0.00 to 0.44 ; S.D. $=0.10$; Table 3.3). The frequency distribution revealed three groups, with peaks of Fst at approximately $0.05,0.2$ and 0.3 (Figure 3.4 a ). Hungarian was the most different, then Santander.


Figure 3.3. Correlations of Fst between individuals versus geographic distance using the whole-genome data, a) and b), and the supergene-linked data, c) and d). Left-hand plots, a) and c), show the Fst (averaged across all loci) versus geographic distance. Right-hand plots, b) and d), derive from the same data but transformed to represent a Euclidian genetic distance and geographic Haversine distance.


Figure 3.4. Histograms showing how genetic differentiation varies between individuals and between loci. a) Estimates of Fst between individuals based on whole-genome data. b) Estimates of Fst between individuals for each of the 2323 genome contigs c) Estimated Mantel $r$ statistic, derived by testing correlation of Fst for each of the 2323 genome contigs against geographic distance, using Euclidean Haversine distances.

Table 3.3. Fst mean across all loci between all populations comparisons.

| Fst mean | Vielha | Jueu | Benasque | Segre | Commitges | Budapest | Kessel.lo | Dublin | Lisdoonvarna | Santander_1 | Santander_2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vielha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jueu | 0.051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benasque | 0.049 | 0.057 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segre | 0.088 | 0.033 | 0.099 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commitges | 0.028 | 0.007 | 0.024 | 0.072 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budapest | 0.344 | 0.353 | 0.373 | 0.253 | 0.311 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kessel.lo | 0.161 | 0.168 | 0.164 | 0.062 | 0.119 | 0.327 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dublin | 0.090 | 0.113 | 0.099 | 0.033 | 0.035 | 0.366 | 0.096 |  |  |  |  |
| Lisdoonvarna | 0.136 | 0.151 | 0.146 | 0.005 | 0.086 | 0.378 | 0.146 | 0.016 |  |  |  |
| Santander_1 | 0.238 | 0.236 | 0.219 | 0.195 | 0.184 | 0.293 | 0.170 | 0.205 | 0.208 |  |  |
| Santander_2 | 0.241 | 0.243 | 0.222 | 0.188 | 0.200 | 0.279 | 0.168 | 0.193 | 0.218 | 0.014 |  |
| Pont L'Abbe | 0.117 | 0.136 | 0.083 | 0.032 | 0.072 | 0.345 | 0.105 | 0.043 | 0.135 | 0.184 | 0.185 |
| Aalborg | 0.151 | 0.168 | 0.151 | 0.074 | 0.115 | 0.397 | 0.108 | 0.078 | 0.160 | 0.232 | 0.235 |
| Cornwall | 0.228 | 0.243 | 0.235 | 0.126 | 0.184 | 0.438 | 0.198 | 0.184 | 0.230 | 0.248 | 0.250 |
| Jessern | 0.114 | 0.121 | 0.087 | 0.025 | 0.079 | 0.366 | 0.056 | 0.054 | 0.117 | 0.211 | 0.213 |
| Malham | 0.206 | 0.212 | 0.240 | 0.105 | 0.166 | 0.418 | 0.181 | 0.134 | 0.187 | 0.230 | 0.244 |
| Mull | 0.041 | 0.053 | 0.081 | 0.038 | 0.037 | 0.304 | 0.139 | 0.088 | 0.080 | 0.210 | 0.205 |
| Saltmill | 0.083 | 0.094 | 0.061 | 0.007 | 0.035 | 0.335 | 0.055 | 0.048 | 0.064 | 0.213 | 0.212 |
| Moortsele | 0.062 | 0.069 | 0.006 | 0.023 | 0.004 | 0.296 | 0.021 | 0.034 | 0.055 | 0.198 | 0.199 |
| Mecklenburg | 0.063 | 0.084 | 0.032 | 0.014 | 0.020 | 0.311 | 0.012 | 0.031 | 0.060 | 0.173 | 0.175 |
| La Roche | 0.038 | 0.050 | 0.013 | 0.041 | 0.016 | 0.300 | 0.041 | 0.067 | 0.014 | 0.184 | 0.185 |

Fst results from 0.2 to 0.3 are highlighted in yellow, whereas higher results are highlighted in red.

| Fst mean | Pont L'Abbe | Aalborg | Cornwall | Jessern Malham | Mull | Saltmill | Moortsele | Mecklenburg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vielha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jueu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benasque |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commitges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budapest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kessel.lo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dublin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lisdoonvarna |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Santander_1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Santander_2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pont L'Abbe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aalborg | 0.033 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cornwall | 0.113 | 0.218 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jessern | 0.017 | 0.023 | 0.152 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malham | 0.127 | 0.125 | 0.260 | 0.111 |  |  |  |  |
| Mull | 0.088 | 0.073 | 0.060 | 0.096 | 0.038 |  |  |  |
| Saltmill | 0.031 | 0.022 | 0.106 | 0.058 | 0.006 | 0.166 |  |  |
| Moortsele | 0.087 | 0.059 | 0.055 | 0.111 | 0.036 | 0.114 | 0.083 |  |
| Mecklenburg | 0.048 | 0.028 | 0.090 | 0.241 | 0.054 | 0.131 | 0.103 | 0.357 |
| La Roche | 0.065 | 0.015 | 0.059 | 0.055 | 0.038 | 0.145 | 0.094 | 0.115 |

Finally, to further understand geographic and genomic variation, we estimated Mantel's $r$ statistic for the Fst for each contig and all pairwise comparisons of populations in the whole-genome data. Although the mean $r$ was greater than zero, (Figure 3.4 c ; mean $=0.021$ ), only $\sim 1 / 3$ of the genome contigs showed a significant association. Mantel 'r' statistic outlier using a quantile range (97\%) were found, which these contigs may lead the geographical genomic variation. Subsequently, the 70 sequences, the coding regions containing the SNPs located for these putative genes, were compared to GenBank database. 27 sequences found homologous sequences across the other species of the database, which 15 were uncharacterised/genome assembly/microsatellites and only 12 were characterised genes. The remaining outliers did not show homology (Table 3.4).

However, homology were found in some cases. The most relevant gene/protein found were Ig-like and fibronectin type-III domain-containing protein (FIB3) and Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 1 (KCHP) from C. nemoralis (Table 3.4, p < 0.001). Sulfatase 1 precursor (SULF1) and Cd-specific metallothionein gene from Helix pomatia (Table 3.4, p < 0.001). Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 6 regulatory ankyrin and Arylsulfatase B from Aplysia californica (Table 3.4, p=0.01 and $p<0.001$ respectively). Carbonic anhydrase VA from Latimeria chalumnae (Table 3.4, p < 0.001). Finally, Zinc finger protein 239-like (ZNF239) from Petromyzon marinus (Table 3.4, p < 0.001).

Table 3.4. Contigs showing genomic similarities from the quantile range (97\%) results of $C$. nemoralis genome are reported.

| Contig | Mantel r | P -value | Species similarity | Genomic Feature | E-value | Identity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig00006227 | 0.192 | 1E-04 | Apteryx australis | Genome assembly | 0.03 | 83.7 |
| ctg4256 | 0.185 | 1E-04 | Cepaea nemoralis | lg-like and fibronectin type-III domain-containing protein (FIB3) | 0.00 | 84.2 |
| ctg 12338 | 0.179 | 1E-04 | Arianta arbustorum | Microsatellite C3 sequence | 0.00 | 88.0 |
| ctg34396 | 0.168 | 1E-04 | Helix pomatia | Sulfatase 1 precursor (SULF1) | 0.00 | 84.5 |
| tig02175166 | 0.157 | 1E-04 | Scophthalmus maximus | Chromosome 8 | 0.01 | 85.4 |
| tig00395657 | 0.154 | 1E-04 | Cepaea nemoralis | Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 1 (KCHP) | 0.00 | 86.3 |
| ctg21626 | 0.150 | 1E-04 | Ovis aries | ATP binding cassette subfamily C member 1 (ABCC1) | 0.00 | 89.8 |
| ctg6440 | 0.149 | 1E-04 | Aplysia californica | Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 6 regulatory ankyrin | 0.01 | 80.4 |
| ctg7939 | 0.149 | 1E-04 | Helix pomatia | Cd-specific metallothionein gene, complete cds | 0.00 | 87.0 |
| tig00047492 | 0.144 | 1E-04 | Polistes canadensis | Putative uncharacterized protein DDB_G0289963 (LOC106785457) | 0.03 | 91.7 |
| ctg8829 | 0.142 | 1E-04 | Helix aspersa | Microsatellite $8 / 17$ sequence | 0.00 | 78.6 |
| ctg 16452 | 0.140 | 2E-04 | Salmo trutta | Genome assembly, chromosome: 35 | 0.03 | 79.7 |
| tig00047947 | 0.140 | 1E-04 | Plectropomus leopardus | DNA, chromosome 17, nearly complete sequence | 0.00 | 83.5 |
| ctg 12268 | 0.137 | 1E-04 | Aplysia californica | Arylsulfatase B (LOC101852069) | 0.00 | 76.4 |
| contig_25543 | 0.136 | 2E-04 | Anolis bartschi | Partial HoxA11 gene, HoxA11-HoxA10 IGS and partial HoxA10 gene | 0.00 | 93.3 |
| tig00058036 | 0.135 | 1E-04 | Lateolabrax maculatus | Linkage group 20 sequence | 0.03 | 76.0 |
| ctg 852 | 0.134 | 1E-04 | Homo sapiens | BAC clone RP11-8P17 from 4, complete sequence | 0.03 | 91.4 |
| contig_6378 | 0.133 | 1E-04 | Schistosoma mansoni | Genome assembly, chromosome: 2 | 0.01 | 76.5 |
| ctg8445 | 0.133 | 1E-04 | Arianta arbustorum | Microsatellite Aa5 sequence | 0.00 | 92.0 |
| ctg27349 | 0.132 | 1E-04 | Helix aspersa | Microsatellite $8 / 17$ sequence | 0.00 | 78.2 |
| ctg 11469 | 0.129 | 1E-04 | Aplysia californica | Uncharacterized LOC101848590 (LOC101848590) | 0.00 | 83.3 |
| contig_22489 | 0.128 | 1E-04 | Cepaea nemoralis | Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 1 (KCHP) | 0.00 | 80.2 |
| contig_27828 | 0.127 | 5E-04 | Latimeria chalumnae | Carbonic anhydrase VA, mitochondrial (CA5A) | 0.00 | 71.9 |
| ctg 15101 | 0.125 | 2E-04 | Apteryx australis | Genome assembly AptMant0, scaffold scaffold50 | 0.03 | 84.8 |
| tig00034653 | 0.123 | 4E-04 | Oryzias latipes | Strain Hd-rR chromosome 8 sequence | 0.00 | 86.8 |
| ctg9955 | 0.123 | 4E-04 | Danio aesculapii | Genome assembly, chromosome: 2 | 0.03 | 96.7 |
| ctg 18711 | 0.123 | 1E-04 | Petromyzon marinus | Zinc finger protein 239-like (LOC116958600) | 0.00 | 80.7 |

### 3.3.2. Different genomic evolutionary history of the colour and geographic variation

The same PCA analysis was also carried out on the supergene-linked data, with the first four axes represented $57 \%$ of the variance. In comparison to the whole-genome analysis, there was no clustering by geography (Figure 3.5), with the Gaussian finite mixture modelling returning one cluster (XII, spherical multivariate normal; BIC 110.5 and ICL 110.5).

No relationship was found for the geographic distance comparison using only supergene-linked data (Figure 3.3c). The same overall result was returned when using a Haversine distance matrix of Fst (Figure 3.3d). Moreover, while there was also considerable variation of Fst by contig, with a mean of 0.23 (range 0.03 to 0.51 ; S.D. $=0.09$; Figure 3.4b), in the mean Fst for the supergene-linked contigs was about average, 0.24 .


Figure 3.5. Relationship between individual Cepaea nemoralis snails across Europe based on 31 biallelic loci using the supergene-linked dataset. First three axes of a principal component analysis of the supergene-linked data are compared. A) Figures are coloured according to the clusters defined by Gaussian finite mixture modelling and b) to the individual shell ground colour. No clusters are found.

### 3.4. Discussion

Investigating the phylogeography of Cepaea nemoralis - and the relative degree of gene-flow between populations - is an important step towards understanding the relative roles that natural selection and drift have to play in the evolutionary history of the colour and banding polymorphism. In this study, we used a newly available draft genome (Saenko et al., 2020) and the ddRAD method, with a primary aim to understand the post-glacial history of the species, but also to provide context for future studies on the evolutionary origins of the supergene, and the roles that selection and drift have in determining the local colour polymorphism. The study is relatively novel, partly because the only genome assemblies available in land snails are for Cepaea and two species of Achatina (Guo et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021; Saenko et al., 2020); the reality is that although phylogeographic and genomic studies are relatively common in other invertebrates, there are remarkably few similar studies in snails, or even the wider group of molluscs.

Broadly, the results corroborate previous studies, in that populations showed a high degree of genetic structuring, sometimes over short geographic distances. For example, the maximal genetic distance was between snails in Hungary and the rest of Europe (Fst > 0.3; Table 3.3) In comparison, much of Europe, including the UK, Denmark, Germany, France and Belgium, contains a relatively homogenous group of snails for which differentiation between populations is typically around 0.05 to 0.15 (excluding e.g. Mecklenburg and Leuven), sometimes irrespective of geographic distance.

One of the key characters of most population genetic studies of land snails is that they show high population structure, and deeply diverged mitochondrial lineages, the latter frequently considerably greater than $5 \%$ within species (Chiba, 1999; Thomaz et al., 1996). In Cepaea, one of the most striking findings from previous work, using mitochondrial DNA, was that some Irish C. nemoralis are most likely derived from a post-glacial colonisation event from the Pyrenees (Grindon et al., 2013a). In this study, an analysis of the whole-genome data shows a more nuanced view. The present-day snails in Ireland are likely descendants of hybridization between

Pyrenean-derived snails and individuals from the population that colonised most of central Europe. There is also a possible hint of this same hybrid genetic signature in a snail from the North-West of France (La Roche) and another from Mull in Scotland. Similar findings showing mixed ancestry have been reported for other species (especially those involving the "Celtic fringe"; Brace et al., 2016; Kotlík et al., 2018; McDevitt et al., 2011; Searle et al., 2009). Altogether, these new results contribute to the ongoing debate regarding the uncertain origins of the Irish biota (eg, McDevitt et al., 2020). Although not proven, we think that the most likely scenario is that $C$. nemoralis snails arrived directly from the Pyrenean region, likely assisted by humans (in the same way that mice are transported by humans; Jones et al., 2013).

Finally, even though the use of DdRAD-seq approach contributed towards understanding the relative roles that natural selection and drift have to play in the evolutionary history of the colour and banding polymorphism. This method conducive to a reduction in dataset due to a high amount of missing data allowed. Some researchers have argued that reducing dataset sizes due to missing data may show biased results of mutations among the screened loci (Huang et al., 2014). However, other authors found high genomic structure accuracy using a large proportion of missing data (Rubin et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2013). In this case, the reduction of the dataset was not a great obstacle to deepen in the understanding of the post-glacial history of the species. I hope that in the future, a chromosomal level assembly of the C. nemoralis genome should enable subsequent studies on the evolutionary origins of the supergene, and the relative roles that natural selection, recombination and drift may play in the establishment and loss of colour polymorphism in species as a whole and, more specifically, local populations.

### 3.4.1. Evolutionary history, genomic diversity and population structure of $C$. nemoralis.

A revision of the taxonomy means the genus Cepaea now contains only two species, C. nemoralis and C. hortensis, with C. sylvatica and C. vindobonesis removed to another genus (Kajtoch et al., 2017). As the sister taxa Iberus, Pseudotachea and Allognathus are Iberian (Neiber et al., 2015; Neiber et al., 2016), then a best-guess is that the ancestor of Cepaea itself may have originated in Iberia, subsequently
colonising the whole of Europe. Unfortunately, the genomic data presented here do not easily inform on the origination of the genus, or the evolution of the polymorphism. In comparison, the data presented here is more informative of relatively recent events. Certainly, there are multiple divergent lineages in Iberia, which may imply origination there, but there are also divergent populations and lineages in other potential refugia, such as the Balkans (Hungary and Croatia sampled in Grindon et al., 2013b), and Italy (three sites in Grindon et al., 2013b). Much of mainland Europe was likely colonised by snails from the same glacial refugium, although the precise location of that refugium is not clear. In the future, further sampling is required in Italy, the Balkans, Alps, Mediterranean coast, western coast of France and the northern flanks of the Pyrenees. As mentioned already, human-aided migration remains the best explanation for the presence of snails in some locations. Both the mitochondrial and genomic data are consistent with the inference that snails were transported by Mesolithic humans from the Pyrenees to Ireland, via land, river and coastal routes (Grindon et al., 2013b). In perhaps a similar manner, modern-day populations of $C$. nemoralis have been founded in parts of Poland (Ożgo et al., 2019), Sweden (Cameron et al., 2020) and Russia (Egorov et al., 2021).

Moreover, the genome scan approach identified possible loci showing evidence of divergent selection among populations (Table 3.4). These candidate regions may be involved in the geographic genomic structure among the European populations (Table 3.3). However, this results must be taken with caution, as isolation by distance (Mantel test) results are susceptible to false positive outcomes due to the recombination rate differentiation (Diniz-Filho et al., 2013; Hoban et al., 2016). When conducting a large spatial sampling, like in this case across Europe, populations with closer distances are influenced by similar environmental parameters, predation, habitats etc... These methods assumed independent populations, which it may generate high false positive rates (Hoban et al., 2016).

All the homologous sequences found in the whole genome dataset aligned to evolutionary conserved protein. Interestingly, there are three main different functions proposed among these proteins; snail growth, cell structure and adaptation to stress due to polluted environments. For instance, Fibronectin (FIB3) is necessary in the embryogenesis process (Affenzeller et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2017), KCHP is a
transmembrane channels potassium specific and sensitive to voltage changes in the cell's membrane potential. In addition, other genes are known to be involved in adaptation to cellular stress or polluted environments such as SULF1 or arylsuphatase B enzyme, Cd-specific metallothionein gene, or Carbonic anhydrase VA (Affenzeller et al., 2018; Bradshaw et al., 2009; Dallinger et al., 1997; Erlichman et al., 1994; Grace et al., 2006; Robinson et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2017). The origination of genomic structure linked to the geographical distribution in nature can derived from adaptations to environmental factors due to climate change or pollution (Stange et al., 2020). In C. nemoralis, genetic variation and shell morphology and strength association with different content of heavy metals were also found in past studies (Jordaens, De Wolf, Van Houtte, et al., 2006; Jordaens, De Wolf, Vandecasteele, et al., 2006). In this case, Jordaens (2006) studies showed possible adaptations to soil pollution due to C. nemoralis changes in feeding and reproduction processes in regions with soils polluted by heavy metals (Notten et al., 2006a; Notten et al., 2006b). The present genome scan findings may provide context for future studies on the association between the geographical and genomic variation.

In the future, we hope that a chromosomal level assembly of the $C$. nemoralis genome proposed above should also addressed the same phylogeographic and population genomic questions to the sister species $C$. hortensis, which has a more northerly distribution, and so is absent from Iberia. This species also colonised North America, possibly more than 7000 years ago (Pearce et al., 2004), and is long present in Iceland, and perhaps once present in Greenland (Johnson, 1906). It is not known how this species colonised these locations, but population genomics would reveal whether it was from one or several sources, and whether a "stepping-stone" was involved (e.g. Europe - Iceland - North America). Moreover, a proper genome scan with a more accurate dataset and analysis needs to be done to give an explanation to the genomic divergence among the European populations.

### 3.4.2. Colour and genomic geographic variation

One of the characters of the genus is that all, or nearly all, populations of Cepaea show some degree of colour or banding polymorphism, across the native range and also in introduced populations (Cook, 2017; Jones et al., 1977). The origin
of the colour differentiation is unclear and may be considered to be originated before of the Pleistocene glaciation as "relics of history" (Ellis, 2004), since during the last ice age the snails took refuge in the valleys of the Pyrenees and the genetic variation found was not related to the corresponding colour variation (Ellis, 2004; Ochman et al., 1983). Therefore, the lack of correlation with colour may lead to a possible anterior origination.

Large-scale and local surveys of the geographical distribution of shell ground colour showed clear geographical patterns (Cameron et al., 2012; Cook, 2014; Davison et al., 2019a; Ożgo et al., 2012; Silvertown et al., 2011; Worthington et al., 2012). Although our methods are still relatively blunt, relying upon a few loci that are linked to the supergene, there is no suggestion from the genomic data that large-scale trends in morph frequencies are the result of clines in frequencies of discrete haplotypes at supergene-linked loci. This is because the supergene-linked dataset showed no associations with geography; this situation that could come about because of diversifying natural selection and subsequent gene-flow but this remains to be proven.

Nonetheless, the whole-genome data does enable a more nuanced understanding. The genomic survey shows that European-wide patterns of differentiation are primarily due to three or more groups of snails between which recent gene-flow is apparently low or lacking - the species is made up of a central European population, a Hungarian population and two or more populations in Iberia (although further sampling is required, especially in the Balkans and Italy). Future studies that aim to test trends in shell variation may therefore wish to take account of this knowledge in analyses.

Perhaps unsurprisingly, large-scale differentiation due to isolation-by-distance is mainly driven by about a third of the genome (Figure 3.4c). The present data set lacks power (partly due to the lack of contiguity of the genome assembly), so it is premature to understand if this pattern is due chance events, or else gene-flow. Similarly, the apparent lack of differentiation at supergene-linked loci must also be taken with caution, especially given a lack of knowledge of precise linkage. A further note of caution is that isolation-by-distance (Mantel test) results are susceptible to
false positive outcomes, for a variety of reasons as mentioned above (Diniz-Filho et al., 2013; Hoban et al., 2016).

In the future, it should be possible to identify the genes involved in determining the polymorphism, in which case it would be fruitful to compare associations of colour alleles with geography. For example, it is our impression that the yellows, pinks and even browns of many Pyrenean (and Irish) populations are somewhat different - more 'exuberant' (Franks et al., 2009) - compared with other populations (Figure 1.1). A possible explanation is that the coding alleles for colour are long diverged, which should be evident in any phylogeny. As previous work showed that colour is 'indiscrete', and not three separate colours (Davison et al., 2019b), further sequence analysis of colour alleles will also be informative. In some other species, such as Heliconius butterflies, the colour differences are not continuous; phylogenies based on colour-linked loci show strong associations with the wing-colour. This is especially the case when markers are tightly linked, and occurs irrespective of the species (e.g. Pardo-Diaz et al., 2012).
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## Chapter 4:

# Qualitative and quantitative methods show stasis in patterns of Cepaea nemoralis shell colour polymorphism in the Pyrenees over five decades 

This chapter has been published in Ecology and Evolution on 24/03/2021 DOI: 10.1002/ece3.7443


#### Abstract

One of the emerging strengths of working with the land snail genus Cepaea is that historical collections can be compared against modern day samples, for instance to understand the impact of changing climate and habitat upon shell morph frequencies. However, one potential limitation is that prior studies scored shell ground colour by eye, usually in the field, into three discrete colours yellow, pink or brown. This incurs both potential error and bias in comparative surveys. In this study, we therefore aimed to use a quantitative method to score shell colour, and evaluated it by comparing patterns of $C$. nemoralis shell colour polymorphism, using both methods on present day samples, and against historical data gathered in the 1960s using the traditional method. The Central Pyrenees were used as an exemplar, because intensive surveys sometimes show sharp discontinuities of morph frequencies within and between valleys (Arnold, 1968; Cameron et al., 1973; Jones et al., 1975). Moreover, selective factors, such as climate or the human impact in the Pyrenees, have significantly changed since 1960s.


The main finding was that while quantitative measures of shell colour reduced the possibility of error, and standardised the procedure, the same altitudinal trends were recovered, irrespective of the method. Therefore, although subject to error, human-scoring of snail colour data remains valuable, especially if persons have appropriate training. In comparison, while there are benefits in taking quantitative measures of colour in the laboratory, there are also several practical disadvantages, mainly in terms of throughput and accessibility. In the future, we anticipate that both
methods may be combined, for example, using automated measures of colour taken from photos generated by citizen scientists conducting field surveys. Moreover, a comparative studies of shell pattern frequencies was made to discover the factors acting on the ecological, evolutionary and genetic procedures in the wild populations of $C$. nemoralis of the Central Pyrenees. There was a remarkable stability in the local shell patterns over five decades, with the exception of one valley that has been subject to increased human activity. The lack of enough evidences to explain the stasis found rose a discussion about direct explanations.

### 4.1 Introduction

Historically, two of the most important species in studying colour polymorphism have been the west European land snails Cepaea nemoralis and C. hortensis, because individuals are relatively easy to collect and study, and the colour and banding morphs show straightforward inheritance (Cain et al., 1950, 1952, 1954; Jones et al., 1977; Lamotte, 1959). In the present day, one of the continuing benefits of working with Cepaea is an ability to compare the frequencies of shell morphs in historic collections against modern day samples, to infer the potential impact of natural selection and/or drift in changing shell morph frequencies. Of particular use, the "Evolution Megalab" project digitised a large set of 20th century samples (Cameron et al., 2012; Silvertown et al., 2011; Worthington et al., 2012). These records, and others deposited in museums, are now being used with modern surveys to produce an increasing number of comparative papers (Cameron et al., 2012; Cameron et al., 2013; Cook, 2014; Cowie et al., 1998; Silvertown et al., 2011; Worthington et al., 2012).

In nearly all comparative studies of Cepaea reported to date, absolute change in frequencies of the main shell morphs, colour and banding, have been reported, but the direction is not always consistent. The conclusions are in part dependent upon the geographic scale and the precision of resampling, whether exact or nearest neighbour. To fully understand changes - or stasis - in shell polymorphism, both global and local surveys are needed (Berjano et al., 2015). For instance, large-scale surveys illustrate the broad picture of the changes in the spatial variation of the polymorphism. In the largest study, a historical dataset of more than six thousand population samples of $C$. nemoralis from collections between 1950 and 1990 recorded in the Evolution Megalab, was compared with new data on nearly three thousand populations (Silvertown et al., 2011). A historic geographic cline among habitats in the frequency of the yellow shells was shown to have persisted into the present day. However, there was also an unexpected decrease in the frequency of unbanded shells, and a corresponding increase in frequency of banded and midbanded morphs in particular (Silvertown et al., 2011). A UK-wide study used Evolution Megalab data, but reported a somewhat different pattern of change. Yellow and mid-
banded morphs had increased in woodland, whereas unbanded and mid-banded increased in hedgerow habitats (Cook, 2014).

In comparison to these large surveys, the majority of comparative studies have been at a more local scale. The benefit of these is that resampling is often precise (Cameron et al., 2013; Cook et al., 1999; Cowie et al., 1998; Ożgo et al., 2017; Ożgo et al., 2012), and it is also possible to take local factors into account. Most of the original historic studies took place in the UK. Following resampling, modern comparative surveys have tended to find an increase in yellow and mid-banded shells (as above) (Cameron et al., 2012; Ożgo et al., 2017; Ożgo et al., 2012; Silvertown et al., 2011), but with exceptions (Cameron et al., 2012; Cook et al., 1999; Cowie et al., 1998), depending upon the precise scale of comparison. Moreover, patterns of change are not always consistent within the same study.

One potential limitation of all of these works is that shell ground colour was scored by eye, usually in the field, into three discrete colours yellow, pink or brown. Even if persons are trained, there is still bias and error, and potential for dispute over what defines each colour. In practise, it is frequently difficult to distinguish the colours, and define different shades of the same colour. Therefore, to understand whether colour variation is in reality continuous, and to investigate how the variation may be perceived by an avian predator, we previously applied psychophysical models of colour vision to shell reflectance measures, finding that both achromatic and chromatic variation are continuously distributed over many perceptual units in indiscrete in Cepaea nemoralis (Davison et al., 2019a). Nonetheless, clustering analysis based on the density of the distribution did reveal three groups, roughly corresponding to human-perceived yellow, pink and brown shells.

This prior work raises the possibility that reproducible, quantitative shell colour measures, based on spectrophotometry in the laboratory, can be used to compare and test regular shell colour data, avoiding the requirement to bin measures into colour categories. In this study, we therefore aimed 1) to use the quantitative method to score shell colour, and 2) evaluated it by comparing patterns of $C$. nemoralis shell colour polymorphism using both methods on present day samples, and against historical data gathered using the traditional method.

To achieve this aim, the Central Pyrenees were used as an exemplar location, because they were intensively surveyed during the 1960s and 70s (Figure 4.1), sometimes showing sharp discontinuities of morph frequencies within and between valleys (Arnold, 1969; Arnold, 1968; Cameron et al., 1973; Jones et al., 1975). They are also particularly interesting for their geographic and ecological variation, including a diverse range of different microclimates, within and among the valleys, due to the interaction of three main climates, Atlantic, Mediterranean and Alpine, as well as a large altitudinal differences and incidence of precipitation. Moreover, selective factors, such as climate or the human impact in the Pyrenees, have significantly changed since the 1960s (García-Ruiz, 2015).


Figure 4.1. Overview of sampling locations in the Pyrenees, including this work, and previous work by others in the 1960s (Arnold, 1968, Cameron et al., 1973).

In this chapter, we aimed to evaluate manual scoring by using a colour quantitative method based on Davison et al. (2019) and using a comparative studies of shell pattern frequencies. Thus, a comparison of past and present patterns of the main shell features were tested by the two methods above mentioned to bring more insides on the ecological, evolutionary and genetic procedures happening in the underlying in the wild populations of $C$. nemoralis of the Central Pyrenees.

### 4.2. Materials and Methods

### 4.2.1. Shell samples and human-scoring of shell phenotype

The Valle de Vielha, Valle de Jueu, Valle Noguera de Tort and Valle Noguera Ribagorzana, hereafter abbreviated as "Vielha", "Jueu", "Tort" and "Riba", were selected for sampling (Figure 4.1). This is because they had been previously sampled in 1962 by Arnold (1968), and in 1966 and 1969 by Cameron et al. (1973), with the colour and banding data made available via the Evolution Megalab database. New samples were collected in October 2017 and June 2018. By choice, we aimed to sample in the same location as described in past surveys, using the coordinates recorded in the Megalab database; when this was not possible, alive and empty shell samples were collected from the nearest adjacent site with suitable habitat for snails.

Snail shell colour was qualitatively scored in the laboratory as either yellow, pink or brown, by DRG, after training and discussion with AD. Similarly, following previous convention, shells were scored as "unbanded" (00000), "mid-banded" (00300) or "banded" (all shell banding versions except mid-banded). These three categories were used in all subsequent analyses. As $C$. nemoralis in the Pyrenees is polymorphic for other characters, we also scored the lip colour, as either pale (usually white) or any other colour (usually black or dark brown), and measured the shell "height" (H) and "width" (W) using a Vernier calliper with 0.05 mm precision, then calculating the "shape" as H/W.

### 4.2.2. Quantification of shell colour

The ground colour of adult snail shells from Vielha and Jueu valley were chosen to extract the colour spectra due to the presence of the two discrete colours, which PC3 tended to separate (Davison et al., 2019a), in the majority of the sample sites and the association with altitude. Shells were measured using an Ocean Optics spectrometer (model USB2000+UV-VIS-ES) and a Xenon light source (DT-MINI-2-GS UV-VISNIR), as described previously (Davison et al., 2019a). Briefly, the shell underside was used because it is generally unbanded and the least damaged/exposed to sunlight, holding the probe at a $45^{\circ}$ incident angle, $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ from the shell. Each sample was quantified three times, non-consecutively, recalibrating using light (WS-1) and dark standards after 2 to 5 quantifications, software was recalibrated by using light standards (Davison et al., 2019a). Data was collected using Ocean Optics SpectraSuite 2.0.162, using an integration time of 750 msec , boscar width of 5 , and scans to average 10. Reflectance spectra were analysed following a modified protocol described below (Davison et al., 2019a; Delhey et al., 2015), using Pavo 2.2.0 R package to bin raw reflectance spectra ( 1 nm ) (Maia et al., 2013; Maia et al., 2018), and then $R$ version 3.4.1 (2017-06-30) for further analyses (Delhey et al., 2015).

In a previous analysis, we wished to understand how an avian predator might perceive the shell colours, so the tetrachromatic colorimetric standards of a blackbird (Turdus merula) were used (Davison et al., 2019a). In this new analysis, the main aim was to compare human qualitative scores of shell colour against quantitative scores, so as to better understand any biases. Reflectance spectra analysis were therefore analysed using human CIE colour trichromatic coordinates (Smith et al., 1931; Westland et al., 2012), as follows.

CIE standards are based on the stimulation of the different photoreceptors' cells (cones) of the retina. In humans, three main groups of cones are found, L (long wavelength, peaking at 560 nm ), $M$ (medium wavelength, peaking at 530 nm ), and $S$ (short wavelength, peaking at 420 nm ) (Hunt, 2004). The visual colour spectra (300700 nm ) were converted using the three chromatic coordinates of the visual space, xyz, where Euclidean distances between points reflect perceptual differences, generated from quantum catches for each photoreceptor (Cassey et al., 2008). The
human trichromatic coordinates (xyz), determined from the tristimulus values (XYZ), were calculated by Pavo 2.2.0 R package, a colour spectral and spatial perceptual analysis, organization and visualization package, and the "standard daylight" (d65) irradiance spectrum (Maia et al., 2018; Smith et al., 1931). Then, a principal component analysis (PCA) was undertaken as described previously (Davison et al., 2019a; Delhey et al., 2015; Scrucca et al., 2016).

### 4.2.3. Analysis of phenotype frequencies and correlation

To compare past and present-day datasets, the change in the frequencies of colour and banding traits for each sample site were calculated. To detect any overall trends in each valley, any differences were evaluated using independent paired T-student (parametric) or paired rank Wilcoxon Test (non-parametric), selected according to normality (Shapiro-Wilk normality test) and homogeneity (F-test).

Linear mixed regression models were conducted for colour and banding from past and present datasets. Outliers were removed following the interquartile range method, using a Shapiro-Wilk normality test to test for deviations from normality. The Pearson correlation (parametric) or Kendall rank correlation test (non-parametric) were performed to evaluate correlation and any significance with altitude. Kendall rank correlation coefficient "Tau" were transformed into Pearson " $r$ " coefficient to evaluate correlation and to conduct Fishers' Z-transformation (Fisher, 1921; Walker, 2003). Correlation breached the assumption of normality required in the standard comparative test. Therefore, Fishers' Z-transformation were applied to calculate the significance of the difference between the past and current correlation coefficients against altitude.

Maps, plots and statistical tests were made using $R$ version 3.4.1 (2017-06-30), the ggplot2 3.2.1 package for data visualization, and the ggmap 3.0.0 R package, to generate maps. Maps were acquired from the Geo-location APIs platform in Google maps source (https://console.cloud.google.com/apis/dashboard).

### 4.3. Results

### 4.3.1. Past and present-day geographic distribution of colour and banding morphs

In general, snails were found in open areas such as hedgerows, scrubs, meadows and grass, and were rare in woodlands. In high altitude areas, snails were discovered mostly on meadows or screes. In total, 2633 snails were collected from 138 sample sites ranging from 823 m to 1921 m above sea level. Only 108 sites and 2633 individuals were used for the analysis, as we only considered sites with ten or more individuals collected (Tables 4.1). Of the filtered 108 sites, 87 were judged to be the same as a previous study, based on previous coordinates, or up to 50 m distance away.

In comparison, in the previous surveys, Arnold (in 1962) collected 5006 snails from 123 sites in the Vielha and Jueu valleys (Arnold, 1968). Cameron (in 1966 and 1969) sampled 2177 and 2145 snails from 48 and 55 sites located in Jueu, Ribagorzana and Tort respectively (Cameron et al., 1973). Therefore, a total of 226 historical sample sites and 9328 individuals were available for comparison (Table 4.1). Full details of all sample sites are in the supporting information (Tables S4.1, S4.2).

Table 4.1. Sampling summary; number of sites and snails for each valley.

| Valley | Past |  | Present | Spectrophotometry |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sample sites | No. shells | Sample sites | No. shells | Sample sites | No. shells |
| Vielha | 119 | 4756 | 43 | 942 | 43 | 607 |
| Jueu | 49 | 1862 | 17 | 637 | 12 | 206 |
| Riba | 34 | 1545 | 21 | 518 |  |  |
| Tort | 24 | 1165 | 27 | 536 |  |  |
| Total | 226 | 9328 | 108 | 2633 | 55 | 813 |

As in previous studies from the Pyrenees, the new survey showed that the pattern of shell morph distribution depends upon the specific valley, frequently showing associations with altitude (Figures 4.2, 4.3). Yellow and unbanded shells tended to predominate in the higher regions of the Vielha and Jueu valleys. In the intermediate or lower sites (below $\sim 1200 \mathrm{~m}$ ), pink and yellow shells had similar frequencies, with most shells also having bands. In Ribagorzana yellow shells were commonly distributed in all sites, whereas pinks were usually found in the upper valley and brown morphs in the intermediate and lower valley. Brown populations were only found in the Ribagorzana and Tort valleys. In addition, unbanded morphs prevailed in Ribagorzana. In contrast, in the adjoining Tort valley, yellow predominated in all sites, with banded morphs predominant in almost the entire valley.


Figure 4.2. Past and present distribution of yellow, pink and brown shell morphs in Pyrenean valleys, based on sampling in the 1960s (Cameron et al., 1973, Arnold, 1968) and 2017/18. Pie charts show frequencies of yellow (yellow), pink (pink) and brown (brown) morphs in each location. Valle Noguera de Tort is the left valley and and Valle Noguera Ribagorzana is the right valley.


Figure 4.3. Past and present distribution of banded, mid-banded and unbanded shell morphs in four Pyrenean valleys, based on sampling in the 1960s (Cameron et al., 1973, Arnold, 1968) and 2017/18. Pie charts show frequencies of banded (green), mid-banded (red) and unbanded (yellow) morphs in each location. Valle Noguera de Tort is the left valley and and Valle Noguera Ribagorzana is the right valley.

Spatial patterns of variation in morph frequencies were largely the same as recorded in the past, including colour and banding (Figures 4.2, 4.3) as well as lipcolour (Figure S4.1). To formally test this, directional changes in the mean frequencies of shell types at each location between the 1960s and the present-day were tested using independent paired T-student or paired rank Wilcoxon tests (Table 4.2; Table S4.3). This confirmed little overall change in the distribution of the main colour and banding types in Vielha, Jueu, and Tort (Table 4.2; Table S4.3; and Figure 4.4). The exception was in Ribagorzana valley, where the proportion of banded shells has risen from $\sim 3 \%$ to $14 \%$, with substantially fewer brown shells recorded and more yellow shells (Table 4.2).

Table 4.2. Statistical summary of shell geographical distribution in each valley; independent paired comparison (Student's t-test (parametric),Wilcoxon signed-rank test (non-parametric)).

| Present (2017/2018) | Vielha |  |  |  | Jueu |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | S.E. | Mean \% change | P-value | Mean | S.E. | Mean \% change | P -value |
| Yellow | 70.9 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 0.933 | 87.1 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 0.427 |
| Pink | 29.0 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.923 | 12.4 | 5.9 | -6.3 | 0.379 |
| Brown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unbanded | 27.0 | 4.0 | -8.7 | 0.110 | 59.9 | 11.1 | -13.2 | 0.152 |
| Mid-banded | 5.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.327 | 2.6 | 1.8 | -2.1 | 0.059 |
| Banded | 67.8 | 4.1 | 8.5 | 0.140 | 37.5 | 10.6 | 15.4 | 0.094 |
| Past (1962/1969) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow | 70.5 | 3.8 |  |  | 81.3 | 5.6 |  |  |
| Pink | 29.3 | 3.7 |  |  | 18.7 | 5.6 |  |  |
| Brown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unbanded | 35.7 | 5.7 |  |  | 73.2 | 11.3 |  |  |
| Mid-banded | 3.7 | 0.9 |  |  | 4.7 | 2.6 |  |  |
| Banded | 59.3 | 5.7 |  |  | 22.1 | 9.8 |  |  |
|  | Riba |  |  |  | Tort |  |  |  |
| Present (2017/2018) | Mean | S.E. | Mean \% change | P-value | Mean | S.E. | Mean \% change | P-value |
| Yellow | 75.9 | 5.4 | 10.4 | 0.061 | 94.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.541 |
| Pink | 9.0 | 3.2 | -1.0 | 0.752 | 3.9 | 1.1 | -2.3 | 0.204 |
| Brown | 15.4 | 5.2 | -8.8* | 0.049 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.570 |
| Unbanded | 85.7** | 4.2 | -9.6** | 0.008 | 42.9 | 5.9 | -6.6 | 0.239 |
| Mid-banded | 0.4 | 0.4 | -1.2 | 0.328 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0.141 |
| Banded | 13.9** | 3.9 | 10.8** | 0.007 | 48.7 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 0.499 |
| Past (1962/1969) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow | 65.5 | 5.7 |  |  | 93.0 | 1.4 |  |  |
| Pink | 10.0 | 2.5 |  |  | 6.1 | 1.4 |  |  |
| Brown | 24.2 | 5.8 |  |  | 0.8 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Unbanded | 95.3** | 1.7 |  |  | 49.4 | 5.4 |  |  |
| Mid-banded | 1.5 | 1.2 |  |  | 6.0 | 1.1 |  |  |
| Banded | 3.2** | 0.7 |  |  | 44.6 | 5.6 |  |  |

*p <0.05. **p <0.01. ***p $<0.001$


Figure 4.4. Comparison of changes in frequency of colour and banding types between paired sites (same location, or within 50 m ) in four Pyrenean valleys over five decades, tested using paired T-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Ribagorzana is the only valley that showed significant changes in colour and banding distribution, with the frequency of brown $(p<0.05)$ and unbanded ( $p<0.01$ ) shells decreasing, and with the proportion of banded shells increasing ( $p<0.01$ ).

The present-day relationship between altitude and frequency of colour and banding morphs was plotted (Figure 4.5). Jueu and Tort valleys showed a significant positive correlation between altitude and the frequency of yellows, with the former also showing a positive significant altitude-unbanded association (Figure 4.5; Table S4). As expected, pink and banded shells showed the reverse trend, but with nonsignificant altitudinal correlations; mid-banded shells did not show any correlation with altitudes. Tort showed a significant positive (but shallow) relationship between yellowaltitude and banded-altitude (Table S4.4, Figure 4.5, r=0.27, 0.34 respectively and $p$ $<0.05$ ). There was also significant positive association of the white-lip morph with altitude in three valleys (Figure S4.2), in addition to associations of higher altitude with larger shell size (H + W), and relatively tall spires (H/W) (Figure S4.3).


Figure 4.5. Scatterplots showing the present-day relationship between altitude and frequency of yellow and unbanded morphs in four Pyrenean valleys. Points represent collections of shells from the same location ( $n \geq 10$ ). Only samples from Jueu show a significant strong positive relationship between altitude and frequency of yellow and unbanded shells; samples from Tort showed a shallow but significant association for altitude and yellow. Regression line and confidence intervals are shown, alongside the Pearson coefficient and $p$ value.

Fishers' Z-transformation was used to test the significance of the difference between the past and present altitudinal correlation coefficients. There were no significant changes in Jueu, Ribagorzana and Tort (Table 4.3). In comparison, in the past sample from Vielha valley, both colour (Table S4.4, yellow shells $r=0.48, p<$ 0.001) and banding (Table S4.4, unbanded shells, $r=0.51$ and banded shells, $r=-$ $0.48, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) showed a moderate association with altitude. In the present-day, colour and banding did not show a significant correlation with altitude.

Table 4.3. Fisher r-to-z transformation, significance of the difference between two correlation coefficients

| Past vs Present | Vielha |  |  | Jueu |  | Riba |  | Tort |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Z-Value | P-Value | Z-Value | P-Value | Z-Value | P-Value | Z-Value | P-Value |  |
| Yellow | $2.120^{*}$ | 0.017 | -1.460 | 0.072 | -0.310 | 0.378 | 0.270 | 0.394 |  |
| Unbanded | $2.210^{*}$ | 0.014 | 1.510 | 0.066 | -0.310 | 0.378 | 0.140 | 0.444 |  |
| Banded | $-3.16^{* * *}$ | 0.001 | -1.180 | 0.119 | 1.120 | 0.131 | -0.590 | 0.278 |  |
| Yellow sets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow subset | $3.31^{* * *}$ | 0.001 | -0.740 | 0.230 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow dataset-subset | 0.980 | 0.164 | 0.420 | 0.337 |  |  |  |  |  |

*p < 0.05. ** $p<0.01$. *** $p<0.001$

Unfortunately, it was not possible to make the same comparisons with lip-colour and shell measurements, because the former data was not uploaded to the Evolution Megalab database, and the size measures were not recorded in the original studies.

### 4.3.2. Quantitative measures of shell colour and banding and associations with altitude

The reflectance spectra of 813 shells from Vielha and Jueu valleys was measured, a subset of the total collected (2633; Table 4.1), because some shells were too damaged to record quantitative colour. Colour spectra were transformed into human visual coordinates (CIE 1931 human colour standards) and principal component analysis was performed to linearize the uncorrelated values of the visual coordinates. A PCA on the xyz coordinates showed three axes which together explained $99 \%$ of the chromatic variation, PC1 51\%, PC2 44\%, and PC3 4\%. As previously reported (Davison et al., 2019a), the third axis, PC3, tended to separate pink and yellow shells (Figure S4.4). Therefore, to visualize the present-day relationship between altitude and quantitative chromatic variation, PC3 was used because all the individuals in

Vielha and Jueu were yellow or pink (Figure 4.6). The graph shows PC3 results of each shell distributed in Vielha and Jueu altitudinal range. Each individual is coloured by its observed colour scored by myself. In Vielha, there was weak negative association of altitude and PC3, whereas Jueu showed a moderate positive correlation. These indicates that in Vielha there was no association of shell colour with altitude, whereas in Jueu yellow shells were more common at high altitude.


Figure 4.6. Scatterplots showing the relationship between altitude and chromatic variation (PC3) for individual shells from Vielha and Jueu valleys. Points represent individual shells, colour coded according to human-scored colours. There is a strong positive association of PC3 with altitude in shells from Jueu, and a weak but non-significant negative association in shells from Vielha. Regression line and confidence intervals are shown, alongside the Pearson coefficient and $p$ value.

### 4.3.3. Past and present-day associations, using qualitative and quantitative methods

We compared altitude-colour associations between historical and present-day samples from Vielha and Jueu. While the past data was analysed using just the qualitative method, the present data was analysed following both methods above mentioned.

For Jueu valley (Figure 4.7), the same significant altitudinal associations were recovered whether using historical data ( $n=1862$ ), the present-day data with humanscoring of colour ( $n=637$ ), or quantitative measures of colour or pattern as manual scoring ( $\mathrm{n}=206$; Figure 4.7). Fishers' Z-transformation test showed no significant changes among the altitudinal correlations for each of these four graphs (Table 4.3).

For Vielha valley (Figure 4.7), there was a significant altitudinal association with colour only in the historical dataset ( $n=4756, r=0.48, p=0.0001$ ), compared with a non-significant positive relationship using the present-day data with human-scored colour ( $n=942, r=0.14, p=0.355$ ), and a non-significant negative relationship using quantitative measures of colour ( $n=607, r=-0.09, p=0.056$ ). To further explore these differences, we also tested for a correlation using the present-day data with humanscored colour, but just using the subset of shells, which were considered sufficiently undamaged for spectrophotometry (Figure 4.7 inset graph). This showed a negative relationship ( $r=-0.08, p=0.588$ ), likely indicating that some (old) pink shells were mistakenly scored as yellow in the qualitative analysis.


Figure 4.7. Summary figure showing the relationship between altitude and colour variation for shells from Vielha and Jueu valleys, comparing past and presentday collections, and using qualitative or quantitative methods to score colour. The small inset graph shows the same data, but only using the subset of shells that were considered sufficiently undamaged for spectrophotometry.

### 4.4. Discussion

### 4.4.1. Quantitative versus qualitative methods to score shell phenotype

In prior studies, the shell ground colour was scored by eye, sorting individuals into three discrete categories, either yellow, pink or brown. In this study, in addition to the human-scoring of shell colour, we evaluated a quantitative method, based on spectrophotometry in the laboratory, by comparing patterns of $C$. nemoralis shell colour polymorphism from the past and the present day. The main finding was that while spectrophotometry of shell colour has the benefit of being quantitative and is objective, the same trends were recovered. In fact, there was a remarkable stability in the local shell patterns in most valleys over five decades.

Both qualitative and quantitative methods have benefits and also disadvantages. Spectrophotometry produces a quantitative output for an individual shell, which better reflects the non-discrete nature of variation in snail shell colour, and is reproducible. However, it is only accessible to a few persons, requires expensive equipment, and ideally, that the reflectance measures are taken in the laboratory. All of these latter factors together reduce throughput. In comparison, field-based methods do not require the snails to be taken to a laboratory, are rapid and accessible to a wide range of persons, including citizen scientists. The disadvantage is that the shell colour phenotype must be binned into one of three subjective categories, with the snails from a sometimes ill-defined single location making a single data point. Moreover, the data that is collected must be carefully filtered (Silvertown et al., 2011) to remove misidentified species (especially confusion with C. hortensis, juvenile Cornu aspersum and Arianta arbustorum), a difficult task because the specimen is not preserved. Nonetheless, human-scoring of snail colour data remains valuable, especially with appropriate training.

In the future, we anticipate that a model that takes the best of both methods may be used instead. Websites and apps such as SnailSnap, iNaturalist and iRecord (Harvey, 2018; Horn et al., 2018; Kerstes et al., 2019) are already being used extensively by the general public to capture records and images of snails, which are
then identified using a combination of machine-learning methods and input from persons with various degrees of expertise. For example, iNaturalist has over 9000 observations, including photos, of $C$. nemoralis at "research grade" quality (including $>1000$ in the UK, but only 29 in the Pyrenean region). One suggestion is that it would be relatively straightforward to extend the use of a machine-learning based method to inspect individual images, and then record the colour and the band category. A more sophisticated (but difficult to implement) alternative would be to extract quantitative colour data from the images, but this would have to be robust to the wide variety of circumstances under which the photos were taken; likely including some sort of colour control (e.g. a card; van den Berg et al., 2020) would limit the number of participants.

### 4.4.2. Past and present-day geographic distribution of colour and banding morphs

By analysing the geographical and altitudinal distribution of colour and banding attributes in the Central Pyrenees and comparing with previous studies, we aimed to understand how local factors, human impact and rapid climate change acted upon the variation of $C$. nemoralis shell polymorphism.

Broadly, we found a remarkable stability in the local shell patterns in most valleys over five decades, despite large changes in habitat, human impact and rapid climate changes over five decades. Most valleys still showed visibly similar patterns of shell types, whether colour, banding, lip colour or shell-shape (Figures 4.2, 4.3, S4.1), concordant with another study over the wider Pyrenean region (Ellis, 2004). One possible explanation on the maintenance of the Pyrenean patterns may be predation, which can be significantly important in the maintenance of the differences of neighbouring morph frequencies under both, positive and negative frequencydependent selection (Holmes et al., 2017).

There were just a few exceptions to the general pattern. For instance, the altitudinal cline in the frequency of yellows that was present in both Vielha and Jueu valleys is now only present in the latter valley (Figure 4.7). The present-day absence of a clinal relationship is striking, and contrasts with the paired comparisons at each location, which did not show any overall change in the frequency of yellow or pink in

Vielha over the decades (Figure 4.4). The explanation for the discrepancy (Table S4.3) is that while pinks have increased in frequency at higher altitudes in Vielha, they have also decreased in frequency at lower altitudes. Vielha is interesting because the establishment of Baqueira-Beret ski resort (now the largest in Spain) has led to an increase of human activity and the construction of infrastructure such as dams, tunnels or mines, with a corresponding growth of urban areas in the adjoining tributary valleys. In comparison, the Jueu valley has remained largely intact, perhaps because it is a protected reserve. The loss of altitudinal-colour variation in this valley is therefore likely explained by the accidental movement of individuals and changing local habitat.

The only other location that showed change was in the Ribagorzana valley, where the proportion of banded shells has risen from $\sim 3 \%$ to $14 \%$, with substantially fewer brown shells recorded and more yellow shells. The explanation for changes in this valley are less clear. One possibility is that we were more likely to score an intermediate shell as pink rather than brown compared with previous workers. However, this can probably be discounted because an increased proportion of yellow rather than pink shells matches the lower proportion of recorded brown shells in our samples from Ribagorzana. The general finding of reduced browns is perhaps in line with other studies. Cowie and Jones (1998) and Cook et al. (1999) documented an overall decrease in the frequency of the brown shells, Ożgo and Schilthuizen (2012) identified that brown shells decreased at the expenses of yellow shells, Cameron et al. (2013) reported a general increase of yellows and Cook (2014) found an increase of yellows in woodland habitats. All this findings probably agreed with the increase of temperatures due to climate change (Cameron et al., 2013).

### 4.4.3. From phenotype to genotype

One limitation of comparative studies on Cepaea is that there is a risk that we ascribe "just-so" explanations to changes in the frequencies of a particular phenotype over time. This is particularly the case when changes are relatively local, rather than at European scale or on how well documented selection or other mechanisms can be. For example, in this study, we have concluded that the changes that we observed in Vielha valley are due to immigration of new individuals (because of construction), but of course it is not possible to discount natural selection, especially because of changed
habitat associated with the construction industry. The corollary is that we also lack understanding or explanation for circumstances when phenotype frequencies remain stable. This may be solved with manipulative experiments.

Recent progress in genomic technologies will certainly offer a solution, including the availability of a first draft of $C$. nemoralis genome (Saenko et al., 2020). For example, it should be possible to use genomics to understand the relative roles of migration/founder effect and selection in determining the population structure of Cepaea populations. In particular, genomics may be used to understand the history of a population e.g. is there evidence for recent immigration to the high altitude regions of the Vielha valley, from snails that perhaps originate from elsewhere? Alternatively, is there evidence for a selective sweep at the loci that control the shell phenotype, perhaps indicative of a local response to a change in the selective regime?

Some of the other remaining issues, that we have only touched upon here, are the correlations between altitude and multiple phenotypic traits (banding, colour, lip colour, size, shape), as well as both linkage and linkage disequilibrium between the genes involved (Cook, 2013; Gonzalez et al., 2019). Given that lip colour is ordinarily a dark colour in $C$. nemoralis across most of Europe (with some exceptions), and that this is the main character that distinguishes this species from C. hortensis, the wide variation in this character in the Pyrenees is particular mysterious. In the future, we hope to understand the genetic basis for these characters; it is hoped that this will bring forth an era in which we are better able to understand the impact of the multiple factors (Jones et al., 1977), including natural selection and random genetic drift, that determine the patterns of shell types that we see in nature.

### 4.4.4. Conclusions

The main finding was that while quantitative measures of shell colour reduced the possibility of error, and standardised the procedure, the same altitudinal trends were recovered, irrespective of the method. There was a remarkable stability in the local shell patterns over five decades with not enough evidences to give an explanation. Furthermore, little changes in the phenotype frequencies were found and possible conclusions were made rising the risk of giving "just-so" assumptions, which may not
cover the entire picture. Overall, while there are key benefits in taking quantitative measures of colour in the laboratory, there are also several practical disadvantages. In the future, with the increasing use of digital cameras to capture and record species presence, there is the potential that colour and banding data may be extracted from the images uploaded to public databases and apps such as iRecord, iNaturalist and SnailSnap (Harvey, 2018; Horn et al., 2018; Kerstes et al., 2019). For the moment, the fact remains that human-scoring of snail colour data is valuable, especially with appropriate training.
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## Chapter 5:

# A new C. nemoralis recognition and shell morph classification system using deep learning 


#### Abstract

The classification of colour is a subjective matter when it comes to the perception of the human eye. Colour is continuous and the description of discrete colours may differ depending on the viewer. Thus, an objective and standardised method is needed. In this work, we used Cepaea nemoralis shell colour polymorphism, spectrophotometry and deep neural networks to generate a visual recognition system. Firstly, colour was quantified by extracting the spectra of 94 shells. Then, 1408 pictures were taken to train the Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks (R-FCN), 101 pictures collected from the iNaturalist database (https://www.inaturalist.org/; Horn et al., 2018) to validate the algorithm, and an extra 1400 images to test it. The results illustrate that this method can achieve high levels of detection of snail shells, and further, classify the individuals into the right colour and banding morph. However, this method needs to be improved and challenge artificial intelligence of finding visual hidden patterns to differentiate close related species with no clear phenotype differences for the human eye. This work may facilitate future colour polymorphism studies and it shows potential room for development.


### 5.1. Introduction

Throughout the past century, the need of a new visual recognition system to aid studies of colour polymorphism and investigate the maintenance of colour polymorphism has grown in the field of evolutionary biology (Cameron et al., 2012; Cook, 2017; Silvertown et al., 2011). There are issues in the identification of colour polymorphism such as recognising the discrete colour in phenotypes at the boundary of two different discrete colours, complex backgrounds or lighting and the challenges of human perception (Davison et al., 2019a). Thus, the generation of a system, which classifies colour morphs may help researchers to speed up accurately the ongoing and long term studies. Thus, the application of deep neural network models of detection and classification may facilitate, cheapen and optimise ecological studies.

Easy morph scoring, collection, and diverse habitats and locations made the siblings Cepaea nemoralis and Cepaea hortensis an important model to study the colour polymorphism (Cain et al., 1950, 1952, 1954; Jones et al., 1977; Lamotte, 1952). Shell polymorphism in those named species illustrated a simple Mendelian inheritance at one major locus (Cook, 1967; Jones et al., 1977). Shell colour and banding were recorded by observation. Whereas, banding, in more of the case is straightforward (although in some individuals can be difficult due to banding pigmentation, etc.), simply counting band presence, colour is a subjective and variable aspect. C. nemoralis shell ground-colour polymorphism is classified, traditionally, in one of the three more discrete colours (Cain et al., 1954), yellow, pink or brown. Past research found that when crossing C. nemoralis snails, its offspring followed the Mendelian laws, generally describing the three main discrete colours (Cain et al., 1960; Cain Arthur James 1968; Jones et al., 1977).

In the past, research on colour polymorphism and generation of databases was undertaken by using the human perception, found for example, in projects using citizen science such as "Evolution Megalab" or the phone app in Kerstes at all 2019 (Kerstes et al., 2019; Silvertown et al., 2011). On one hand, Evolution Megalab digitised a large set of 20th century samples with the objective to help to survey shell polymorphism in C. nemoralis and C. hortensis. In this case, users reported their personal collections
summary in its website (Cameron et al., 2012; Silvertown et al., 2011). On the other hand, Kerstes et al. (2019) used citizen science to monitor colour shell phenotype changes in the "urban heat island", which refer to human settlements. Citizens were asked to take and upload of a single snail picture each time into an app. The phone app recorded the location, and sent the photograph to a database where 10 specialists recognised and scored snails in each picture (Kerstes et al., 2019). Even though, human criteria can be trained, it is still subjective and not quantitative.

Colour standardization by using quantitative analyses of pigmentation has started to be applied in research (Corl et al., 2018; Davison et al., 2019a; Huber et al., 2015; Jones et al., 2012). For example, C. nemoralis shell colour morphs were determined from a few snails to test crypsis in different heterogeneous backgrounds (Surmacki et al., 2013). Moreover, in Davison et al. (2019a), a psychophysical model of bird perception of colour vision was used to characterise chromatic variation from spectrophotometry measurements into 1172 shells all collected across Europe. Avian visual perception was used due to its relationship with $C$. nemoralis as one of the main predators. Further, Davison et al. (2019a) opened the possibility of clustering shells into the three traditional colours using Gaussian finite mixture modelling (Davison et al., 2019a; Scrucca et al., 2016).

As an alternative to the large-scale surveys, scientists may apply deep learning algorithms to combine quantitative methods with a quick and cheap collection and classification (Angermueller et al., 2016; Webb, 2018). Deep learning is a branch of computer science in which its algorithms takes a huge amount of annotated raw data such as images or genomes to find hidden patterns (Webb, 2018). Thereafter, the trained algorithms can be used to analyse and make predictions to other data. Deep learning is preferred in biology over machine learning algorithms because it does not need to use human intervention. This is due to the use of multilevel layers in deep neural networks avoiding the need of structured and tagged data (Angermueller et al., 2016; Webb, 2018).

Deep learning algorithms are used in biology mainly to analyse remarkably large datasets of images or genomes (Webb, 2018). Once the deep neural network is trained, new data can be analysed automatically from a broad range of sources. For
example, deep learning is used in medicine image recognition, which can identify, quantify and localise trained targets from photographic datasets (Ren et al., 2015; Schneider et al., 2018). In particular, deep-learning can be found in research such as microscopy image processing to detect variations in the cell density, colour and shape in sample preparations allowing the recognition of particular cells or organism like parasites in blood or cancer cells (Du et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2016). In another example, artificial intelligence can be used in mass spectrometry to approach challenges like off-sample products caused by sample preparation (Ovchinnikova et al., 2020).

Artificial intelligence is also introduced and used in the field of evolutionary biology and ecology. As an illustration, in evolutionary biology, deep learning can help to solve population genetic problems. For example, it can reconstruct effective population size histories by creating deep neural networks using single nucleotide polymorphic sites (SNPs), like in the case of cattle breed populations (Sanchez et al., 2020), or applying deep learning algorithms such as convolutional neural networks into the detection, identification and evaluation of natural selection in population genomic data (Torada et al., 2019). On the other hand, in recent evolutionary ecology research, deep learning algorithms were generally used to recognise and classify species from footage or picture datasets. For example, in the creation of an Android phone application using deep learning to recognise and classify species like Paphiopedilum Orchid (Arwatchananukul et al., 2020). Besides, it was also used to accelerate and enhance the process of extracting information from extremely large datasets by using computer visual models in the identification and recognition of European wild mammal species (Carl et al., 2020). In addition, this technology was employed in the ambitious and promising citizen science project, "iNaturalist Species Classification and Detection Dataset" which utilised pictures from across the world to observe, collect and classify species into categories by applying deep neural networks (Horn et al., 2018). For example, a recent study targeted three spatial scales enhance long-term and geographical range of surveys in the Great Lakes area of North America using records of iNaturalist (Lehtinen et al., 2020).

In this instance, this research examines the emerging role of deep learning to detect $C$. nemoralis from pictures, and classify them according to their shell colour
(standardised colour by spectrophotometry) and banding. With this method, I avoid factors that appear to influence the spatiotemporal variability in $C$. nemoralis shell colour such as human individual perception or lighting. Moreover, I aimed to create a deep neural network based on $C$. nemoralis dataset that will reduce time-consuming in the manual scoring. Furthermore, the records can be stored automatically and the colour can be quantified at any time for further long-term research. Finally, it is quick, accessible to the public and cheap to use. The novel method should make an important contribution to the field of understanding the maintenance of the colour phenotype of $C$. nemoralis and the natural factors acting upon it, as the strategy followed by this method is to classify by their respective phenotype and not just the species.

### 5.2. Materials and methods

### 5.2.1. Image datasets

C. nemoralis shell photos were taken by myself between 2019 and 2020. Three different datasets were generated, training, validation and test (Table 5.1). The training dataset was used to train the deep neural network. Consequently, the algorithm will examine all the training dataset pictures acquiring parameters and labelling each type. Then, the validation dataset will evaluate the model after the training and tuning the hyper-parameters and data preparation to re-do the process. This procedure will go repeatedly until the evaluation with the validation dataset reach high accuracy values. Therefore, the model will be in contact with the validation dataset during the training procedure, but not be trained from it. Finally, the test dataset is an unbiased assessment of a final model fit on the training dataset. Therefore, the shells selected for the test dataset were not employed in the training procedure.

Shells were first classified by colour and banding, as described previously (Davison et al., 2019a) in Chapter 4. Briefly, colour was measured by spectrophotometry in shells used in training and test dataset. Ocean Optics spectrometer (model USB2000+UV-VIS-ES) and a Xenon light source (DT-MINI-2-GS UV-VIS-NIR) were used to extract the spectra and human trichromatic colour coordinates $x, y$ and $z$ (2-CIE,International Commission on Illumination) were generated using Pavo R package 2.2.0 (Davison et al., 2019a; Maia et al., 2018; Smith et al., 1931).

Shells were first categorised in yellow unbanded (YO), yellow mid-banded (YM), yellow banded (all banding options excluding mid-banded, YB), pink unbanded (PO), pink mid-banded (PM), pink banded (all banding options excluding mid-banded, PB ), brown unbanded ( BO ) and brown mid-banded (BM) (Table 5.1 and Figure S5.1). All the images of the training and test dataset were taken by myself. In total 59 shells were chosen for the training dataset ( $10 \mathrm{YO}, 6 \mathrm{YM}, 15 \mathrm{YB}, 8 \mathrm{PO}, 4 \mathrm{PM}, 6 \mathrm{~PB}$, and 10 BO ). Validation dataset included 101 pictures, which were extracted from the iNaturalist database (https://www.inaturalist.org/; Horn et al., 2018). Only no copyright
photos were used. iNaturalist dataset were assess by DRG, after training and discussing with AD. A total of 35 shells from the datasets used in chapter 3 and 4 were selected randomly. 5 different shells for each class except brown mid-banded due to lack of individuals, were used in the test dataset (Table 5.1).

The training and validation datasets showed multiple shells per picture. To calculate accuracy (precision and sensitivity statistics), individual shell pictures were required. Therefore, the test dataset illustrated only one shell per photo. In addition, seven different $C$. nemoralis common habitats (grassland, woodland, scree, stone wall, hedgerow area, sand-mud land and road) and blank background were selected as a picture background. Some samples of the snail dataset are illustrated in the additional information (Figure S5.1).

Table 5.1. Summary of the picture datasets (training, validation and test), bounding box (morph classification) and background

| Training (1408 pictures) | General | Blank | Grassland | Hedgerow | Road | Sandland | Scree | Woodland | Wall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yellow unbanded | 312 | 36 | 53 | 27 | 18 | 90 | 6 | 37 | 45 |
| Yellow mid-banded | 192 | 19 | 58 | 6 | 4 | 38 | 9 | 36 | 22 |
| Yellow Banded | 517 | 79 | 115 | 49 | 18 | 148 | 15 | 30 | 63 |
| Pink unbanded | 272 | 10 | 62 | 23 | 14 | 65 | 18 | 26 | 54 |
| Pink mid-banded | 210 | 16 | 44 | 13 | 17 | 40 | 12 | 48 | 20 |
| Pink Banded | 157 | 21 | 26 | 31 | 4 | 45 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| Brown unbanded | 402 | 37 | 92 | 47 | 20 | 83 | 14 | 35 | 74 |
| Brown mid-banded | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Total | 2068 | 218 | 450 | 196 | 96 | 509 | 74 | 232 | 293 |


| Validation (101 pictures) | General | Blank | Grassland | Hedgerow | Road | Sandland | Scree | Woodland | Wall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yellow unbanded | 24 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| Yellow mid-banded | 12 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Yellow Banded | 38 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 7 |
| Pink unbanded | 12 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Pink mid-banded | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Pink Banded | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Brown unbanded | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Brown mid-banded | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Total | 114 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 28 | 10 | 2 | 30 | 16 |


| Test (1400 pictures) | General | Blank | Grassland | Hedgerow | Road | Sandland | Scree | Woodland | Wall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yellow unbanded | 200 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Yellow mid-banded | 200 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Yellow Banded | 200 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Pink unbanded | 200 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Pink mid-banded | 200 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Pink Banded | 200 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Brown unbanded | 200 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Total | 1400 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 |

### 5.2.2. Training inputs

Pictures were taken randomly in all size ranges. All image sizes were resized by python-resize-image version 1.1.19 (https://pypi.org/project/python-resize-image/), in which the script was used to standardise and stretched all input images into the size $800 \times 600$ pixels (ratio 4:3). Even though, deep neural networks can obtain inputs from a wide range of size, resizing pictures is a crucial pre-processing step in deep learning due to hardware limitations. The Tensorflow framework trains the deep learning model by batches (Dai et al., 2016), each batch being a sample of the images, and each batch needs to fit in memory. Thus, the resizing step was necessary to make sure that the batches fit in the memory. Moreover, resizing the images also decreases the time needed to train the model, which allowed for testing different parameters and different sets of images. Finally, given the quantity of images used in the training, having distorted or blurred images in the dataset can actually help improve the detection.

To identify the object in a picture to further show it to the deep learning network, imaginary boxes called 'Bounding boxes' were created. Bounding boxes in the semantic segmentation processing were generated by using python package Open CV (Open Source Computer Vision Library) 4.1.2 version (Bradski et al., 2013). Bounding boxes were selected in each image with a total of 8 classes; yellow unbanded, yellow mid-banded, yellow banded, pink unbanded, pink mid-banded, pink banded, brown unbanded and brown mid-banded.

Each snail shell was tightly surrounded by a drawn bounding box. If the shell were occluded, the box was drawn around the visible part (Figure 5.1). Manual filtering after input image processing were made, and deleterious images were removed from the dataset. For image with multiple shells on with multiple class, all of them were boxed up to a limit of 10 (Figure 5.1). Pictures were taken in several backgrounds randomly and from all possible angles and distances. Therefore, small, medium and big bounding boxes were generated.

## Bounding box pictures



Figure 5.1. Bounding boxes are shown in the following pictures. First column shows standard picture with the entire shell and lip. Second column illustrates blurry and occluded snail picture with its bounding box. Third column shows matching background picture. Last column display multiple shells in the same picture with its respective bounding boxes.

### 5.2.3. System overview

Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks (R-FCN) was selected to detect and cluster snail images into its respective morph (Dai et al., 2016) after try other methods like the Fast-CNN (Girshick, 2015). The deep neural network R-FCN is an efficient method to process pictures and to recognise objects. This model was chosen due to its precision identifying objects, the normalization of colour (it converts human colour scales such as RGB or HSI scale into Gray-scale) and because its fully linked-layer net avoids network insensitivity to the target position in the detection and classification. Gray-scale is a shade scale, which gives different values giving 256 possibilities. In artificial intelligence, Gray-scale is used instead of visual colour spaces due to the reduction of dimensions, therefore, less information in each pixel, to avoid complexities and harder-to-process colour images.

The object detection model was based on the Alejandro Garcia Alvarez code source (https://github.com/Raikao/shell_recognition). The image detection framework contained two stages: (i) the proposal stage, which consisted into extracting candidate regions of interest (Rol) from pictures using residual nets, a fully convolutional architecture backbone, and (ii) the classification stage, which the R-FCN model classifies the proposal regions into its class (Dai et al., 2016).

The procedure of the deep neural network (R-FCN) is structured as follows (Dai et al., 2016). Firstly, an input image is presented to the model allowing it to generate a fully convolutional architecture backbone (Figure 5.2). A fully convolutional architecture backbone is referred to the approach where the neural network produces pixel-wise maps in the input images. Secondly, the Region Proposal Network (RPN) proposes Rol candidates classifying these regions by their class and sharing with RFCN (Figure 5.2). Thirdly, proposed Rols are classified into object categories by the R-FCN architecture. In the last stage, the final convolutional layer generates a deposit of position-sensitive scores (k2) produced by a spatial grid ( $k \times k=3 \times 3$ ), which it describes relative positions in the image, encoding the first the top-left, then to topcentre, etc... Finally, the positive position-sensitive Rols were combined producing a final convolutional layer output with each selected Rols (Figure 5.2).


Figure 5.2. General organization of R-FCN. An input image is presented to the model allowing it to generate a fully convolutional architecture backbone. A Region Proposal Network (RPN) (Dai et al., 2016) proposes Rol candidates, which are then used on the position-sensitive score maps. All learnable weight layers are convolutional and are estimated on the whole picture. Finally, the positive position-sensitive Rols were combined producing a final convolutional layer output with each selected Rols.

The deep neural network model was pre-trained with the COCO dataset (a large-scale object detection dataset) to speed up the training process (https://cocodataset.org/). The network was trained for 10000 epochs spending 4 hours to train. The training metrics (quantifiable measurements used to track and assess the results of the model) used are illustrated in the additional supporting information (Supporting information S5.1). The convolution network training process used pre-set image inputs (weights and parameters) to optimise and generate the output likelihood for each type. Thus, when a new picture is used as input, the network goes through all the weights and parameters optimised based on the training dataset to detect the object ( $C$. nemoralis shell) and output the class probability.

To calculate accuracy; precision, sensitivity (recall) and F1-score were applied (Goutte et al., 2005). Precision ratio showed the correct true positive from all observations in a morph group. Recall statistic illustrated the right observation in each class. Finally, F1 score statistic is a weighted average of both, precision and recall ratios. The three statistic were calculated for validation and test datasets.

### 5.3 Results

A total of 2909 C. nemoralis shell pictures were taken by myself for the training and test datasets. The extra 101 belonging to the validation dataset were extracted from iNaturalist database (https://www.inaturalist.org/; Horn et al., 2018). A total of 1408 belong to the training dataset, 101 to the validation dataset and 1400 to the test dataset. The training dataset had 2068 bounding boxes (individuals presence) within all the pictures, which they were divided into 312 yellow unbanded, 192 yellow midbanded, 517 yellow banded, 272 pink unbanded, 210 pink mid-banded, 157 pink banded, 402 brown unbanded and 6 brown mid-banded (Table 5.1). The validation dataset had 114 bounding boxes split into 24 yellow unbanded, 12 yellow mid-banded, 38 yellow banded, 12 pink unbanded, 9 pink mid-banded, 7 pink banded, 7 brown unbanded and 5 brown mid-banded (Table 5.1). The test dataset had one bounding box for each picture. 25 pictures of each class and each background were taken. Brown mid-banded were not used in the test dataset due to the low number of individuals collected. Thus, 200 photos of each cluster were captured (Table 5.1).

Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks (R-FCN) were used to identify and classify pictures from the validation and test datasets. Predictions are presented in graphs, in which each axis represented pixels. The deep neural network detected, surrounded the object, evaluated and clustered the object using the positive sensitive score mapping illustrating the predicted percentage (Figure 5.3).


Figure 5.3. Prediction result examples of all morphs in different backgrounds with its respective prediction and score. Yellow unbanded (top-left and bottom-middle), yellow mid-banded (middle-top), yellow banded (top-right), pink unbanded (second row-left and bottom-right), pink mid-banded (second row-middle), pink banded (second row-right) and brown unbanded (bottom-left).
C. nemoralis can be found in a wide range of environments, conditions and scenarios. Thus, The deep neural network (R-FCN) was tested in various lighting, shell angles, distances and poses allowing the detection of the shells from blurry pictures, as well as enclosed shells and occluded shells (Figure S5.2). R-FCN also was challenged to characterise shell colour, as it can differ and can be difficult to identify in different backgrounds. Even though, the R-FCN was robust to detect, label and classify, there were three different kinds of prediction failures; (i) shells were classified in the wrong class (ii) shells were predicted in two different groups and (iii) other objects, which were not shells, were labelled as shells and classified in a morph class (Figure 5.4). I reported in the test a total of $8 / 1400(0.6 \%)$ errors by labelling objects which were not shells, $17 / 1400$ (1.2\%) of double classification and 58/1400 (4.1\%) of wrong colour and banding prediction (Figure 5.4).


Figure 5.4. Examples of prediction failure. (i) Show wrong classifications; top-left image is classified as pink mid-banded and top-right as pink unbanded whereas top-left is pink banded and top-right pink midbanded. (ii) Illustrate double labels for each shell; middle-left is marked as pink and yellow mid-banded and middle-right as yellow unbanded and mid-banded. (iii) Exemplify wrong shell detections.

To evaluate the accuracy of detection and classification difficulty of $C$. nemoralis shells colour and banding phenotype, a validation test and an unbiased test was performed in 8 different backgrounds. Precision, recall and F1-score showed $94 \%, 93 \%$ and $93 \%$ respectively in the validation test (Table 5.2). The unbiased test illustrated $96 \%$ in all three statistics (Table 5.2). Focusing in each background, precision, recall and F 1 -score range from $94 \%$ to $98 \%$. Hedgerow, grassland and
blank backgrounds showed lower accuracy compared to the others, whereas woodland and road illustrated $98 \%$ accuracy (Table 5.2). However, when interpreting the accuracy results, we must be careful because the statistic also used the doublelabelled shells (prediction failure ii) as right classification, when one of the options is the right one. This explains why $96 \%$ accuracy is shown in the test when $83 / 1400$ (5.9\%) errors are found.

Table 5.2. Summary of precision, recall and F1-score results

| Backgrounds | Precision | Recall | F1-score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Validation | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Test | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Blank | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Grassland | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Hedgerow | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Road | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Sandland | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Scree | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Woodland | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Wall | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.95 |

Morph Class Test average

| Yellow unbanded | 0.97 | 1 | 0.99 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yellow mid-banded | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Yellow banded | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.98 |
| Pink unbanded | 0.9 | 1 | 0.95 |
| Pink mid-banded | 0.95 | 0.88 | 0.91 |
| Pink banded | 0.99 | 0.92 | 0.95 |
| Brown unbanded | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.98 |

Morph class displayed divergence in accuracy and varies depending on the background. Yellow banded and brown unbanded clusters exhibited the higher precision showing 99\% true positives in each cluster. However, yellow mid-banded and pink unbanded had the lowest precision displaying $94 \%$ and $90 \%$ true positives respectively (Table 5.2 and Figure 5.5). In contrast, yellow and pink unbanded were classified in the right cluster in all the pictures. However, the R-FCN struggled clustering pink mid-banded and banded with a recall of $88 \%$ and $92 \%$ respectively (Table 5.2 and Figure 5.5).


Figure 5.5. Prediction accuracy results in the test dataset. This heat-map shows each morph predicted (rows) with its respective right classification (columns).

Overall, similar results were found in all kind of backgrounds. Yellow and pink unbanded together with yellow banded are well recognised and clustered in all backgrounds (Figure 5.6, recall ratio $=100 \%$ ). However, in their clusters, other morphs such as pink and yellow mid-banded are found due to the lower precision of those classes. The lowest precision ratios are found in blank and scree background where pink mid-banded and pink unbanded groups showed $79 \%$ and $81 \%$ precision (Figure 5.6). On the other hand, pink mid-banded illustrated $76 \%$ recalls in woodlands and hedgerow backgrounds (Figure 5.6).


Figure 5.6. The bar-charts exhibit the results of the accuracy statistics of each class in the validation and test datasets in the particular different backgrounds. First row illustrates prediction ratios, second row the recall ratios and the third row the F1-score. General outcome from validation and test sets and specific backgrounds are coloured.

### 5.4. Discussion

In this research, I explored the use of deep learning tools, using C. nemoralis shells, with the objective to reduce and facilitate surveys, which colour detection is needed. For example, in studies such as the understanding of the maintenance of polymorphism, natural population variation, habitat switch or the fundamental role of natural selection (Cameron et al., 2012; Cameron et al., 2013; Cook, 2014; Davison et al., 2019a; Kerstes et al., 2019; Orstan et al., 2011; Ozgo et al., 2011; Silvertown et al., 2011; Worthington et al., 2012). The proposed classification system automatically recorded and standardised the colour efficiently in the sampling collection. The results opened a new field to consider in citizen science making the data collection cheaper, easier and more accessible to everyone. For example, this strategy extend the work done elsewhere by creating a common citizen-science project integrating the phenotype classification.

Overall, I found that the deep neural network can detect $C$. nemoralis from pictures where snails where located in the eight different habitats. Once the snail were spotted, the algorithm classified them into the trained different groups according to their shell colour background and banding. The recognition and classification, using the Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks (R-FCN) (Dai et al., 2016), of C. nemoralis morphs achieved a stable accuracy of $93 \%$ in the validation test and $96 \%$ in the test (Table 2 and Figure 6).

Using the deep learning tool, R-FCN (Dai et al., 2016), I achieved a classification system which; i) the technology opens the science to everyone since only a photo from a camera-smart phone is needed. ii) the deep neural network is trained by shells where colour was quantified by spectrometry and banding recorded by experts. iii) The detection and classification is unbiased. Even though people will take the pictures, the deep neural network will be responsible for detecting snails and classifying them into colour and banding groups. iv) It is trained and tested in eight different backgrounds, which exhibit real world challenges. v) Finally, it shows a tool that can be used in many fields such as biology, ecology and evolutionary genetics.

Moreover, adding deep neural networks to projects such as Evolution Megalab or Kertes phone application can facilitate, standardise and generate a more objective dataset (Cameron et al., 2012; Kerstes et al., 2019; Silvertown et al., 2011). Meanwhile kertes app and Evolution Megalab lack of this technology, the iNaturalist project used the algorithm to detect in the wild data individuals and classified them into the main fauna categories (Horn et al., 2018). Clearly, this strategy is really challenging due to the ambition of classifying all animal and plant kingdoms, but it becomes uncertain when classifying specific species. Thus, the strengths of this work using deep neural network compared to other methodologies is that, firstly, targets an specific identification of just one species and further morph classification within this species. And secondly, that the trained and test dataset colour classification was made by extracting the colour spectra using an spectrophotometer and transforming the spectra into human visual coordinates (Davison et al., 2019a). In previous similar studies, specialists such as in the Butterfly or Orchid databases (Arwatchananukul et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2019) trained personally, the training datasets models.

However, the results found weaknesses in this procedure. One of the main challenges I found is that the identification of the $C$. nemoralis species over other Cepaea genus species C. hortensis. The deep neural network can distinguish snail species from other animal species. However, to differentiate between the Cepaea siblings becomes an issue. Pictures require visually different phenotypes to categorise one class from another. C. nemoralis are usually bigger than C. hortensis, like warmer climates and its lip colour generally is dark (Jones et al., 1977). Nonetheless, C. hortensis also shows sometimes populations with similar size, and even though usually lives in cooler areas, both species can be found in the same global zones and habitats (Jones et al., 1977).

Traditionally, the Cepaea siblings were recognised based on the lip colour (Jones et al., 1977). C. hortensis usually exhibits white lip colour whereas C. nemoralis shows dark lip colour. The deep neural network could be trained to recognise shells based on the lip colour. However, C. nemoralis coming from certain areas such as the Pyrenees valleys may present white lip colour due to its high frequencies of whitelipped shells (Chapter 4; Arnold, 1968; Cameron et al., 1973; Jones et al., 1975). Thus, the best method to differentiate between both species is by comparing the genital
structure, which requires dissection and cannot be illustrated in a picture to support the species classification (Jones et al., 1977).

There may be two hypothetic procedures using deep learning to fix these problems. One possible approach would be to build a huge training dataset for both species, hoping that the algorithm will find hidden patterns, which the human eye cannot detect. The other possible approach would be to use geographical filters, by taking GPS coordinates into account, and use population frequencies to infer in the outcome result when the pictures are taken in areas with presence of snails showing opposed lip colour. However, the second option will also have lower accuracy and be uncertain in those regions.

Furthermore, mistakes such as wrong detection, wrong classification and labelling the snail with two possible groups are encountered. Interestingly, the types, which had low presence in the training dataset, such as yellow and pink mid-banded and pink banded, are the ones with a higher ratio of mistakes. Furthermore, shells with different colour shades, not found in the training dataset, also showed a greater ratio of misleading classification (Figure 5.7). This results support the idea of creating a big and balanced training dataset. According to Horn et al. (2018), the deep neural network accuracy can improve when the training dataset is bigger and the number of classes are balanced. Thus, it is important to have a training dataset with a similar number of images of all the classes, raging all the shell spectra, in all kinds of backgrounds (Horn et al., 2018).


Figure 5.7. This image show pink banded snails. First row shows the type of pink banded shells found in the training dataset. The deep neural network identifies them correctly. Second and third row pictures shows pink banded shells with a banding colour shade not present in the training dataset. In this case, the deep neural network struggles to classify this morph. Second row represented wrong prediction where the pink banded is labelled as pink mid-banded or brown unbanded. Third row illustrates the algorithm double marking the shell due to its confusion.

### 5.5. Conclusion

In conclusion, I explored successfully the resolution of applying deep neural network models of detection and classification of $C$. nemoralis phenotypes based on colour quantitative methods. This method is intended for citizen science with the objective to facilitate, cheapen and reach globally ecological studies. In contrast to other approaches, this system standardised colour shade variation and clustered it into different morph types with high accuracy. Furthermore, the experiments also shown how important is to create a balanced large number of training dataset to ensure good prediction. This work also raised the question of finding a way to differentiate closely related species with no clear phenotype differences for human perception, and may facilitate the way of colour polymorphism studies were performed showing more room for development.
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## Chapter 6:

## General discussion and conclusions

### 6.1. Cepaea nemoralis colour polymorphism, a multidisciplinary challenge

Comparable to Jones et al. (1977) title "a problem with too many solutions", the case study of colour polymorphism will only be solved by the comprehension and combination of multiple factors involving this topic, including for example, genetic, ecological, and evolutionary arguments. Therefore, to understand how C. nemoralis colour polymorphism existed and is maintained, the most suitable strategy is to approach these varieties of causes that generated it. In this multifaceted thesis, I have focussed on addressing the subject from the genetic mechanisms and its evolutionary history to the implementation of new quantitative technologies in the colour scoring step.

The contributions of this thesis to the research of the maintenance of the polymorphism are fourfold: Firstly, it shows that the apparent putative "recombination events" found in between both colour/banding, and colour/pigmentation loci in past studies were perhaps mistaken. A better explanation, to those phenotypes found, are an incomplete penetrance or epistasis, or both together (Gonzalez et al., 2019). Secondly, a population genomics study using ddRad-sequencing in European populations generated several outcomes. I found the genomic European structure accordance with the mitochondrial DNA phylogeny. Thirdly, I evaluated the limitations of colour manual scoring using spectrophotometry in a local altitudinal comparative survey in the Central Pyrenees. The results show that both methods have advantages and disadvantages, and both describe an overall remarkable stasis in $C$. nemoralis populations during the past 50 years in the area. Finally, I explored the use of deep learning to generate a new system, which recognises snails from pictures, and further classifies the individuals in their respective type.

In chapter 2 of this thesis (Gonzalez et al., 2019), using a new set of crosses segregating colour, banding, and pigmentation and genotyping them, I was able to explain rare phenotypic frequencies results found in C. nemoralis offsprings. Prior researchers attributed these results to mere "recombination events" since testing those individuals were not easy to verify. Currently, I found that the phenotypes, which were classified as putative "recombinants", are better explained as the product of a possible epistasis event or incomplete penetrance or both at the same time. Overall, this work, therefore, shows that the architecture of the supergene may not be as previously supposed. Furthermore, it provides a resource for fine mapping of the supergene and other major shell phenotype loci.

In chapter 3 of this thesis, using ddRad sequencing, I mapped Western Europe to search for evolutionary answers. The results increased the understanding of the current geographic variation in C. nemoralis populations. On the one hand, the comparison of colour and genomic diversity across populations showed a striking difference. Colour variation occurred before the Pleistocene, as the present geographical genomic distribution does not match with its supergene variation in concordance with Ochman et al. (1983) and Ellis (2004) studies. The genomic variation, nonetheless, corresponded to the geographical distribution. Some gene candidates were described as likely responsible for that geographic genomic variation, but further analyses are needed to corroborate it. On the other hand, this study helped to reconstruct the expansion of $C$. nemoralis from the Pyrenees towards Europe probably helped by human migration using fluvial and ancient inland roads. This conclusion is in line with Grindon et al. (2013a) mitochondrial results.

In chapter 4 of this thesis, a comparative study in the Central Pyrenees was conducted using two colour-scoring techniques. When comparing techniques, results illustrated similar outcomes. However, the main finding was that while spectrophotometry of shell colour has the benefit of being quantitative and it is objective, the same trends were found, rising that both qualitative and quantitative methods have benefits and disadvantages. I concluded that a model that takes the best of both methods may be used in the future. Moreover, I aimed at understanding, through local altitudinal and geographic surveys, how local factors, human impact and the rapid climate change acted upon the variation of $C$. nemoralis shell polymorphism.

A remarkable overall stability of frequencies was found through the valleys, but some exceptions showed possible human disruptions in the area. We must consider, however, that these results can lead to risks of ascribing changes in the frequencies of a particular phenotype over time to 'just-so' explanations; and bringing the lack of understanding or explanations to circumstances when phenotype frequencies remain stable.

In chapter 5 of this thesis, I examined the use of deep learning tools given the increase of citizen science demand. Through the use of the quantitative spectra of $C$. nemoralis shells, I aimed at reducing time spent and facilitating the recognition of individuals and classification within their respective types. Overall, I found that a deep neural network can detect $C$. nemoralis from pictures in different backgrounds with high accuracy. Moreover, the algorithm correctly classified the individuals into the trained groups according to their shell colour background and banding. This work opens the door to use citizen science data to all researchers with greater reliability as the algorithm was trained with the results of spectrophotometric readings of $C$. nemoralis shells. Nonetheless, the project revealed a challenge regarding the detection of sibling species, C. nemoralis and C. hortensis. This research may bring context to a new era where ecological and evolutionary genetic studies will be much faster and cheaper with quantitative records.

Overall, these studies will help in future projects focused on understanding $C$. nemoralis colour polymorphism. On the one hand, thanks to genetic work, future research will be able to recognise real recombinant events, which will help to map the genome and specifically the supergene. Besides, the population study showed that in two thirds of all loci represented in our genomic data, the development of the shell polymorphism was not due to recent natural selection, facilitating the findings of when and how this variation was originated. I also contributed in the description of the European expansion of $C$. nemoralis after the Pleistocene. In addition, I evaluated how local factors act upon its local distribution and how the geographic genomic expansion happened. Finally, the development of a new colour scoring techniques will contribute to obtaining more reliable quantitative results, which will cover globally a greater number of $C$. nemoralis populations quicker and with a reduced cost.

### 6.2. Future steps towards understanding Cepaea nemoralis shell polymorphism

### 6.2.1. Mapping Cepaea nemoralis genome

In this thesis, the four studies generated some attractive and new insights; they also produced new challenges and rose questions, which need to be addressed in future research.

Perhaps, one of the greatest difficulties found in this thesis was the proportion of missing data generated by ddRAD sequencing in chapter 3 . Even though, the outcomes are still valuable, a reduction of the dataset was necessary to obtain reliable results (Huang et al., 2014). It is likely that the reduction of a large part of the ddRADseq data limited number of markers, so that many genome contigs did not contain any variability, which decreased the broader picture of the genome. It is clear that the next step in understanding both, the supergene controlling shell polymorphism and the evolutionary history of $C$. nemoralis, would be to perform a fine mapping using new generation sequencing methods and applying the availability of a first draft of $C$. nemoralis genome (Saenko et al., 2020). For example, GWAS methodology could genotype the genome, and further it could compare all sequenced genomes to search for the genetic variations influencing phenotypic traits (Manolio et al., 2009). This procedure could also help to order the contigs of the existing genome and the creation of a linkage map to associate phenotypes to genotypes.

This methodology may help in the understanding of factors affecting changes or stasis of phenotypic frequencies. In the case of chapter 4, I tried to study the possible factors acting upon the shell phenotypes in the Pyrenees. Even though, using a comparative frequency study helped to explain the frequency changes, this method did not produce enough evidences to explain the remarkable stability of shell phenotypes found across valleys after 17 generations of $C$. nemoralis. The lack of evident selective forces maintaining the shell polymorphism, however, does not mean that there are none. Maybe the best answer lies on the time-scale of the study. Time is relative and, even though this comparative study is based on 50 years, the effects
of selective forces or random drift may need more time to show evidences at frequency level. Therefore, comparative studies using morph frequency have shown limitations since the interpretation of the results are subjected to the time-scale (Cook, 2014), environment and population size. For example, the rapid modification of habitats in the area and connectivity among them occurring before the sampling may cause deviations in morph frequencies influencing the results. Probably, the use of population genomic techniques and the wealth of bioinformatics would, offer a solution these limitations and also bring evidences forth an era. In the future, we will have a better understanding of the impact of the multiple factors (Jones et al., 1977), including gene flow, natural selection and random genetic drift, which determine the patterns of shell types that we see in nature. For example, in the Pyrenean study, I concluded that in Vielha valley, a probable explanation of the drop in the relationship between attitude and shell colour is a possible immigration helped by human activity. In this case, it should be possible to use genomics to understand and to corroborate the mentioned explanation by describing the relative roles of migration, founder effect and selection in determining the population structure of Cepaea populations. These outcomes will definitely help scientist steer clear of "just-so" explanations about found stability or changes in the frequencies of a particular phenotype over time. In addition, in chapter 2 the genotyping of the crosses shows that phenocopies may be problematic in using the shell phenotype alone to detect recombination events within the supergene. This could also lead to an issue when calculating shell morph frequencies. Recombination frequencies are used in gene mapping to create linkage maps showing the relative distance between genes and the order. Ergo, false recombinant may lead to wrong interpretation of the supergene mapping. Thus, this chapter highlight the importance of detecting the real putative recombinant events and reviews more accurate techniques. For example, fine mapping by genomic studies can identify exposures that are causally associated with the genotype (Gage et al., 2016) and unmask the "falsepositive" phenotypes.

A further suggestion to study the factors acting upon shell polymorphism of $C$. nemoralis is to use manipulative experiments. The alteration of factor levels in controlled snail populations may help to understand the causes and effects of each factor in the shell polymorphism. Furthermore, the combination manipulative experiments together with epigenetics may bring the link between environmental and
phenotypic variation (Herrel et al., 2020). In particular, contemporary studies have enhanced the revision of processes of phenotypic adaptation and plasticity by evaluating epigenetic variation due to controlled environmental manipulation (LedónRettig et al., 2013). This can contribute in the understanding of relatively local changes of frequencies or stability found over time. However, this must be taken carefully as many standard experimental designs are simply unwieldy for realistic field experiments and we still do not know how many generations are required to expose evidence of selection or genetic drift. Perhaps, these studies may be used just to assist or validate particular cases.

Another general issue is to determine candidate genes under selections leading the genomic variation. In chapter 3, I identify possible genomic regions, which can be confirmed or help to find the genomic geographical signals and the selective factors acting upon those populations. Even though, genome scan (Fst and Mantel test) can be used to search for genetic divergence associated to geographical distances, the outcomes must be taken cautiously. The results brings context to the future understanding of the genomic variation found by showing possible spatial mechanism affecting genomic regions leading to a geographical population structure. Fst and specially Mantel test are powerful tools to approach ecological and evolutionary challenges, but their results may be a biased definition of the spatial variation in the data (Diniz-Filho et al., 2013; Hoban et al., 2016). There are many genomic techniques in the scientific knowledge to approach and detect genomic regions under selection apart of the genome scan. To verify the results found, methods such as gene expression, linkage mapping or quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping (West et al., 2007) may be used. Each methodology requires different information, resulting in an increasing capability to bind specific genomic regions to specific features. Similar to the genome scan, gene expression, like the genome scan, also maps the genome searching for potential high differentiation among genes. Then, linkage mapping and gene order (synteny) can be performed together with quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping, adding phenotypic data, to link the candidate genes with its respective phenotypes (Rice et al., 2011).

Moreover, a larger sampling collection can also contribute to the big picture of the evolutionary history of C. nemoralis. Locally, thanks to new smartphones and
accurate GPS capabilities, sampling individuals can be precise. A possible new approach for future comparative studies may use individual data-points instead of population sites. Currently, in the study of environmental factors, all researchers are focused on comparing populations avoiding intrinsic events or interactions. In theory, using just individuals may lead to a generation of density or interactive maps having an increased data ending with more power in future statistic models. The reason for this is that this way of collecting data brings new perspective to associate external interactions such as predation, habitat, human disruption or interaction with frequency changes due to habitat changes and climatic events being live-time recorded, which can track specific and localise occurrence.

In the broad picture, the European phylogeny built in chapter 3, revealed a limitation that must be considered. All samples were taken from Western Europe, which helped to describe the expansion of $C$. nemoralis populations from the Pyrenees to Europe after the Pleistocene. However, the survey may not properly show the general genetic diversity in Europe as it did not map properly the other Pleistocene refuges. Cepaea populations in the Alps and Balkans may have also undergone differentiation and could have found other routes to colonise Europe pushed by humans intervention such as transportation of snails through river or land routes (Gutiérrez-Zugasti, 2011; Lubell, 2004; Richards et al., 2013). For example, mapping the other mountain enclaves and river routes such the Danube, Rhine, Loire, Vistula and Elbe may change the genomic distribution of $C$. nemoralis. A fine mapping of the entire European continent may help to understand, possible differentiation in the other refuges, and its further interactions in the expansion after the Pleistocene. Moreover, it can help to find variation that occurred before the mentioned epoch, as shared or conserved genes in samples coming from all refugees may indicate past genetic origination.

### 6.2.2. Citizen science, the future of ecological and evolutionary genetics

As mentioned in chapters 1,4 and 5, there has been a growth of citizen science in ecological projects with the application of more reliable approaches such as SnailSnap, iNaturalist and iRecord (Harvey, 2018; Horn et al., 2018; Kerstes et al., 2019), and thanks to the availability of new technologies recently developed and
available to the general public like smartphones with cameras and GPS capabilities. Moreover, artificial intelligence developed deep learning algorithms, which are capable to detect objects in images, and generate further analysis such as colour extraction. Both improvements combined enable and ask for new perspectives and applications of abovementioned high-tech into the study of colour polymorphism in ecological and evolutionary studies in the coming era. This methodology may help in the future to generate surveys at various spatial scales to enhance the temporal and spatial range of studies.

Current citizen science projects are already being used extensively by the public to capture records and images of snails. However, they lack reliable standardised methods, and automated phenotype scorings. Particularly in C. nemoralis, I found several excellent project ideas, which collected a huge amount of data. In the case of iNaturalist (Horn et al., 2018), it has over 9000 observations, including photos, at "research grade" quality (including $>1000$ in the UK, but only 29 in the Pyrenean region). Although, this citizen project uses already its own artificial intelligence to classify species, the training system showed some limitations. Firstly, the artificial intelligence algorithms were trained by limited pictures of each species scored by biologist. Secondly, the algorithms self-update using other pictures scored by the public criteria. Consequently, the system illustrates high accuracy in the detection of species. However, due to the ambition of the project aiming at classifying all the species found on this planet, the current accuracy of classification of similar species is quite low. Especially, when comparing sister species such as $C$. nemoralis and hortensis. On the other hand, in the particular case of the targeted species of study, SnailSnap (Kerstes et al., 2019) is worth mentioning. It is an app created by a Dutch research group specifically to find and score C. nemoralis aiming to contribute in its evolutionary research. However, the mentioned app only stored the pictures to further manual-scoring of the researchers. Even though, this system generates a large amount of data, it also has limitations in the scoring time and in the viability of usage of results since the photos are taken by different cameras in different environments, and the scoring criteria still depends on human perception. Moreover, it would be interesting to have a common project instead of separate system, like a collaborative project among the different citizen-science projects, integrating the different mechanism in, for example, iNaturalist.

One suggestion is to extend the use of a deep learning based method to inspect individual images, and then record the colour and band category. This is a straightforward method, which could easily be employed in current citizen science projects. Furthermore, a more sophisticated (but difficult to implement) alternative would be to extract quantitative colour data from the images. Nonetheless, these algorithms need to be based on reliable training datasets to avoid misclassifications. Hence, like in the prototype produced in chapter 5 , the use of quantitative methods like spectrophotometry, to score individuals and a controlled set up of conditions may be the solution to teach the algorithm. This system can be implemented in projects like iNaturalist (Horn et al., 2018) or especially in SnailSnap (Kerstes et al., 2019). In the second suggested case, it would be very simple. Once the photo is uploaded to the application, the deep neural network can scan the photo in search of snails and once they are found, classify them in their respective morphs, as previously trained. However, a possible disadvantage of the method would be that it must be robust to the wide variety of circumstances under which the photos would be taken; which the application of some sort of colour control (e.g. a card; van den Berg et al., 2020). However, the application of these controls may limit the number of participants.

The interesting part of this project is that it can be applied to all kinds of species showing colour polymorphism, from other species of land or aquatic snails to other species of animals or plants having a diverse colour variation. Certainly, what this study presents is a training strategy for deep learning algorithms whose objective is the identification of individuals of a specific species and their subsequent classification in their various colour morphs. Therefore, this tactic applied to citizen-science projects could give a breadth of data whose individuals will be selected as a part of an species and classified in their respective types saving valuable time. This possible large studies of morph frequencies will contribute to discover factors acting on large-scale on those individuals helping to answer questions about their ecological, evolutionary and genetic procedures in the wild.

In addition, the detection of species can be complicated when similar species are present in the same areas and habitats. It is the case of the sibling C. hortensis with $C$. nemoralis and also the confusion of juvenile snails of Cornu aspersum and

Arianta arbustorum with juveniles of $C$. nemoralis (Jones et al., 1977). Particularly in the case of $C$. hortensis, which only a dissection can properly clarify its species. The image dataset must be carefully filtered to avoid misidentification of species. In chapter 5, I recommend a plausible approach. The generation of a huge training datasets for both species can lead the algorithm to find hidden patterns, which human eye cannot detect. Then an exhaustive evaluation of the species classifications will be needed, as we, as a humans, may not be able to understand the mechanism to categorise the species. In the case of juvenile snails, a simple warning to citizen scientists can be done to avoid the use of spotted juveniles since they have not yet fully developed the shell and its polymorphic traits in its whole.

### 6.3. Final conclusion

The abovementioned suggestions should provide new perspectives towards a better procedure in the understanding of the pulmonate Cepaea nemoralis in its ecological and evolutionary genetics and genomics over the next few years. Shell colour polymorphism is shown in this thesis as a complex subject. I argued, notably in chapters 2 and 3, for a proper explanation and understanding of genetics and genomes. The use of new generation sequencing and further analysis such as linkage mapping or quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping (Rice et al., 2011; West et al., 2007) will contribute in the generation of appropriate associations between genotype and phenotype. We should see more studies focused in the whole genome sequencing, avoiding the generation of missing data (Huang et al., 2014), and further appropriate linkage mapping of phenotype and genotype. This should finally help in finding the hidden patterns underlying the genetics and genomic mechanisms.

However, genome scans and linkage mapping itself will not be enough. I hope to see in future research also population genomic approaches (Rajora, 2019), interpreting evolutionary processes and factors such as gene flow, mutations, random drift or natural selection affecting the genome. Additionally, an appropriate sampling collection all over Europe is needed to decode the underlying evolution occurred before and after the Pleistocene. The other Pleistocene refugees are poorly described in $C$. nemoralis population. I expect to observe new surveys and research describing properly the geographical expansion of $C$. nemoralis over Europe from other Pleistocene settlements. Similarly with the Irish case, this screening may expose new associations with other species bringing to light exciting events (Grindon et al., 2013a). A final combination of all three expansions may contribute to understand further evolution occurred in the ancestry of the Cepaea genus. Perhaps, even describe the events causing the differentiation of the Cepaea siblings.

Another fascinating point that this thesis emphasised, is the key role of the use of comparative studies in the understanding of the evolution of the polymorphic shell in pulmonate taxa and specially in C. nemoralis. With the growth of new technologies available to researchers and citizen science (Harvey, 2018; Horn et al., 2018; Kerstes
et al., 2019; Silvertown et al., 2011), the data collection worldwide increased massively aiming specially at taxonomic efforts. As indicated in chapters 4 and 5 , this can also be used for the purpose of shell scoring, either colour, banding or pigmentation, in pulmonate species. I hope to see studies comparing the evolution of these phenotypes over time to understand, for example, the effect of present climatic changes and other disruptions and whether there is a shared ecological process with other similar species. This would definitely help in the understanding of how the colour polymorphism evolved and how it is maintained. Therefore, procedures using a combination of spectrophotometry, deep learning algorithms and citizen science may facilitate and provide extensive insights.

Ultimately, I would like to state that the understanding of colour polymorphism in pulmonate taxa is far from just the intense research of one scientific field. A combination of all fields involving this topic is necessary. I would like, that this multidisciplinary thesis, guide future research towards a novel conclusion and perhaps the proper understanding of colour polymorphism evolution and maintenance, both from the genetic and genomic point of view, up to the required reliable extraction of the colour acquired in the shells.

## References

Adema, C. M. (2021). Sticky problems; extraction of nucleic acids from molluscs. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B Biological Sciences inpress.
Affenzeller, S., Cerveau, N., \& Jackson, D. J. (2018). Identification and validation of reference genes for qPCR in the terrestrial gastropod Cepaea nemoralis. Plos One, 13(8), e0201396.
Affenzeller, S., Wolkenstein, K., Frauendorf, H., \& Jackson, D. J. (2020). Challenging the concept that eumelanin is the polymorphic brown banded pigment in Cepaea nemoralis. Scientific reports, 10(1), 1-7.
Akey, J. M., Zhang, G., Zhang, K., Jin, L., \& Shriver, M. D. (2002). Interrogating a highdensity SNP map for signatures of natural selection. Genome research, 12(12), 1805-1814.
Alexander, D. H., \& Lange, K. (2011). Enhancements to the ADMIXTURE algorithm for individual ancestry estimation. BMC Bioinformatics, 12(1), 246. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-246
Angermueller, C., Pärnamaa, T., Parts, L., \& Stegle, O. (2016). Deep learning for computational biology. Molecular systems biology, 12(7), 878.
Arnold, R. (1969). The effects of selection by climate on the land-snail Cepaea nemoralis (L.). Evolution, 23(3), 370-378. doi:10.2307/2406693
Arnold, R. W. (1968). Studies on Cepaea VII. Climatic selection in Cepaea nemoralis (L) in Pyrenees. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences, 253(789), 549-593. doi:10.1098/rstb.1968.0011
Arwatchananukul, S., Kirimasthong, K., \& Aunsri, N. (2020). A New Paphiopedilum orchid database and its recognition using Convolutional Neural Network. Wireless Personal Communications. doi:10.1007/s11277-020-07463-3
Ayala, F. J., \& Campbell, C. A. (1974). Frequency-dependent selection. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 5, 115-138.
Baker, R. R., \& Parker, G. A. (1979). The evolution of bird coloration. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences, 287(1018), 63-130.
Bay, R. A., Rose, N., Barrett, R., Bernatchez, L., Ghalambor, C. K., Lasky, J. R., . . . Ralph, P. (2017). Predicting responses to contemporary environmental change using evolutionary response architectures. The American Naturalist, 189(5), 463-473.
Beadle, G. W., \& Tatum, E. L. (1941). Genetic control of biochemical reactions in Neurospora. National academy of sciences of the USA, 27(11), 499.
Berjano, R., Gauthier, P., Parisi, C., Vaudey, V., Pons, V., Renaux, A., . . . Thompson, J. D. (2015). Variation of a floral polymorphism at different spatial scales in the Mediterranean geophyte Narcissus assoanus. Journal of Plant Ecology, 9(3), 333-345. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtv057
Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., \& Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics, 30(15), 2114-2120.
Brace, S., Ruddy, M., Miller, R., Schreve, D. C., Stewart, J. R., \& Barnes, I. (2016). The colonization history of British water vole (Arvicola amphibius (Linnaeus, 1758)): Origins and development of the Celtic fringe. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 283(1829), 20160130.
Bradshaw, R. A., \& Dennis, E. A. (2009). Handbook of cell signaling: Academic press.

Bradski, G., \& Kaehler, A. (2013). Learning OpenCV: Computer Vision in C++ with the OpenCV Library: O'Reilly Media, Inc.
Byers, J. A. (2006). Analysis of insect and plant colors in digital images using Java software on the Internet. Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 99(5), 865-874.
Cain, A. (1984). Genetics of some morphs in the land snail Theba pisana. Malacologia, 25(2), 381-411.
Cain, A. J., \& Currey, J. D. (1963). Area effects in Cepaea. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences, 246(726), 1-\&. doi:10.1098/rstb. 1963.0001
Cain, A. J., \& Currey, J. D. (1968). Studies on Cepaea .3. Ecogenetics of a population of Cepaea nemoralis (L) subject to strong area effects. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences, 253(789), 447-\&. doi:10.1098/rstb. 1968.0007
Cain, A. J., King, J. M., \& Sheppard, P. M. (1960). New data on the genetics of polymorphism in the snail Cepaea nemoralis L. Genetics, 45(4), 393-411.
Cain, A. J., \& Sheppard, P. M. (1950). Selection in the polymorphic land snail Cepæa nemoralis. Heredity, 4(3), 275-294. doi:10.1038/hdy.1950.22
Cain, A. J., \& Sheppard, P. M. (1952). The effects of natural selection on body colour in the land snail Cepaea-nemoralis. Heredity, 6(2), 217-231. doi:10.1038/hdy.1952.22
Cain, A. J., \& Sheppard, P. M. (1954). Natural selection in Cepaea. Genetics, 39(1), 89-116.
Cain Arthur James, S. P. M. a. K. J. M. B. (1968). Studies on Cepaea I. The genetics of some morphs and varieties of Cepaea nemoralis (L.). Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B, 253(789). doi:rstb.1968.0005
Cameron, R. (1998). Cepaea research 1900-1950; too many problems for a solution? Archives of Natural History, 25(3), 401-412.
Cameron, R. A., \& von Proschwitz, T. (2020). Cepaea nemoralis (L.) on Öland, Sweden: recent invasion and unexpected variation. Folia Malacologica, 28(4), 303-310.
Cameron, R. A. D., Carter, M. A., \& Haynes, F. N. (1973). The variation of Cepaea nemoralis in three Pyrenean valleys. Heredity, 31(AUG), 43-74. doi:10.1038/hdy. 1973.58
Cameron, R. A. D., \& Cook, L. M. (2012). Habitat and the shell polymorphism of Cepaea nemoralis (L.): interrogating the Evolution Megalab database. Journal of Molluscan Studies, 78, 179-184. doi:10.1093/mollus/eyr052
Cameron, R. A. D., Cook, L. M., \& Greenwood, J. J. D. (2013). Change and stability in a steep morph-frequency cline in the snail Cepaea nemoralis (L.) over 43 years. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 108(3), 473-483. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2012.02033.x
Campbell, C. R., Poelstra, J. W., \& Yoder, A. D. (2018). What is Speciation Genomics? The roles of ecology, gene flow, and genomic architecture in the formation of species. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 124(4), 561-583. doi:10.1093/biolinnean/bly063
Carl, C., Schönfeld, F., Profft, I., Klamm, A., \& Landgraf, D. (2020). Automated detection of European wild mammal species in camera trap images with an existing and pre-trained computer vision model. European Journal of Wildlife Research, 66(4), 62. doi:10.1007/s10344-020-01404-y

Cassey, P., Honza, M., Grim, T., \& Hauber, M. E. (2008). The modelling of avian visual perception predicts behavioural rejection responses to foreign egg colours. Biology Letters, 4(5), 515-517. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2008.0279
Caugant, D., Selander, R. K., \& Jones, J. S. (1982). Geographic structuring of molecular and morphological polymorphism in Pyrenean populations of the snail Cepaea nemoralis. Genetica, 57(3), 177-191. doi:10.1007/bf00056481
Chen, Z., Farrell, A. P., Matala, A., Hoffman, N., \& Narum, S. R. (2018). Physiological and genomic signatures of evolutionary thermal adaptation in redband trout from extreme climates. Evolutionary Applications, 11(9), 1686-1699.
Chiba, S. (1999). Accelerated evolution of land snails Mandarina in the oceanic Bonin Islands: evidence from mitochondrial DNA sequences. Evolution, 53(2), 460471.

Chueca, L., Schell, T. \& Pfenninger, M. (2020). Whole genome re-sequencing data to infer historical demography and speciation processes in land snails: the study of two Candidula sister species. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B Biological Sciences in press. doi:doi: https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2020.0156
Clarke, B. (1969). The evidence for apostatic selection. Heredity, 24(3), 347-352.
Clarke, B., \& Murray, J. (1971). Polymorphism in a Polynesian land snail Partula suturalis vexillum. In Ecological genetics and evolution (pp. 51-64): Springer.
Cook, L. (1967). The genetics of Cepaea nemoralis. Heredity, 22(3), 397.
Cook, L. (2003). A colony of pale-lipped Cepaea nemoralis. J Conchol, 38, 73-78.
Cook, L., \& Jones, D. (1996). The medionigra gene in the moth panaxia dominulcr: the case for selection. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 351(1347), 1623-1634.
Cook, L. M. (2013). Selection and disequilibrium in Cepaea nemoralis. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 108(3), 484-493. doi:10.1111/j.10958312.2012.02027.x

Cook, L. M. (2014). Morph frequency in British Cepaea nemoralis: what has changed in half a century? Journal of Molluscan Studies, 80, 43-46. doi:10.1093/mollus/eyt040
Cook, L. M. (2017). Reflections on molluscan shell polymorphisms. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 121(4), 717-730. doi:10.1093/biolinnean/blx033
Cook, L. M., Cowie, R. H., \& Jones, J. S. (1999). Change in morph frequency in the snail Cepaea nemoralis on the Marlborough Downs. Heredity, 82, 336-342.
Cook, L. M., \& King, J. M. (1966). Some data on the genetics of shell-character polymorphism in the snail Arianta arbustorum. Genetics, 53(3), 415-425.
Corl, A., Bi, K., Luke, C., Challa, A. S., Stern, A. J., Sinervo, B., \& Nielsen, R. (2018). The genetic basis of adaptation following plastic changes in coloration in a novel environment. Curr Biol, 28(18), 2970-2977.e2977. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.06.075
Cowie, R. H. (1990). Climatic selection on body colour in the land snail Theba pisana (Pulmonata: Helicidae). Heredity, 65(1), 123-126. doi:10.1038/hdy. 1990.78
Cowie, R. H., \& Jones, J. S. (1998). Gene frequency changes in Cepaea snails on the Marlborough Downs over 25 years. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 65(3), 233-255. doi:10.1006/bijl. 1998.0250
Cuthill, I. C., Allen, W. L., Arbuckle, K., Caspers, B., Chaplin, G., Hauber, M. E., . . . Kelber, A. (2017). The biology of color. Science, 357(6350).

Dai, J., Li, Y., He, K., \& Sun, J. (2016). R-fcn: Object detection via region-based fully convolutional networks. Advances in neural information processing systems, 379-387.
Dallinger, R., Berger, B., Hunziger, P., \& Kgi, J. H. (1997). Metallothionein in snail Cd and Cu metabolism. Nature, 388(6639), 237-238.
Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., . . . Group, G. P. A. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics, 27(15), 2156-2158. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330
Danecek, P., \& McCarthy, S. A. (2017). BCFtools/csq: haplotype-aware variant consequences. Bioinformatics, 33(13), 2037-2039.
Darwin, C. (1859). On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection Or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life: H. Milford; Oxford University Press.
Davison. (1999). Isolation and characterization of long compound microsatellite repeat loci in the land snail, Cepaea nemoralis L-(Mollusca, Gastropoda, Pulmonata). Molecular Ecology, 8(10), 1760-1761. doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.1999.007234.x

Davison. (2000a). An East-West distribution of divergent mitochondrial haplotypes in British populations of the land snail, Cepaea nemoralis (Pulmonata). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 70(4), 697-706. doi:10.1006/bijl.1999.0426
Davison. (2000b). The inheritance of divergent mitochondria in the land snail, Cepaea nemoralis. Journal of Molluscan Studies, 66, 143-147. doi:10.1093/mollus/66.2.143
Davison. (2006). The ovotestis: an underdeveloped organ of evolution. BioEssays, 28(6), 642-650. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/bies. 20424
Davison, \& Clarke, B. (2000). History or current selection? A molecular analysis of 'area effects' in the land snail Cepaea nemoralis. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, 267(1451), 1399-1405. doi:10.1098/rspb.2000.1156
Davison, Jackson, H. J., Murphy, E. W., \& Reader, T. (2019a). Discrete or indiscrete? Redefining the colour polymorphism of the land snail Cepaea nemoralis. Heredity, 123, 162-175. doi:10.1038/s41437-019-0189-z
Davison, A. (2002). Land snails as a model to understand the role of history and selection in the origins of biodiversity. Population Ecology, 44(3), 129-136. doi:10.1007/s101440200016
Davison, A., Jackson, H. J., Murphy, E. W., \& Reader, T. (2019b). Discrete or indiscrete? Redefining the colour polymorphism of the land snail Cepaea nemoralis. Heredity, 123, 162-175. doi:10.1038/s41437-019-0189-z
Davison, A., \& Neiman, M. (2020). Mobilising molluscan models and genomes in biology.
de Andrade Martins, R., \& Silva, C. C. (2001). Newton and colour: the complex interplay of theory and experiment. In Science Education and Culture (pp. 273291): Springer.

Delhey, K., Delhey, V., Kempenaers, B., \& Peters, A. (2015). A practical framework to analyze variation in animal colors using visual models. Behavioral Ecology, 26(2), 367-375. doi:10.1093/beheco/aru198
Delhey, K., \& Peters, A. (2017). Conservation implications of anthropogenic impacts on visual communication and camouflage. Conservation Biology, 31(1), 30-39.

Diniz-Filho, J. A., Soares, T. N., Lima, J. S., Dobrovolski, R., Landeiro, V. L., de Campos Telles, M. P., . . . Bini, L. M. (2013). Mantel test in population genetics. Genet Mol Biol, 36(4), 475-485. doi:10.1590/s1415-47572013000400002
Dobzhansky, T., \& Dobzhansky, T. G. (1970). Genetics of the evolutionary process (Vol. 139): Columbia University Press.
Du, X., Liu, L., Wang, X., Ni, G., Zhang, J., Hao, R., . . . Liu, Y. (2019). Automatic classification of cells in microscopic fecal images using convolutional neural networks. Bioscience reports, 39(4), BSR20182100. doi:10.1042/BSR20182100
Dussex, N., Alberti, F., Heino, M. T., Olsen, R.-A., van der Valk, T., Ryman, N., . . . Askeyev, O. V. (2020). Moose genomes reveal past glacial demography and the origin of modern lineages. Bmc Genomics, 21(1), 1-13.
Egorov, R., \& Sverlova, N. G. (2021). Shell colour and banding polymorphism in Cepaea nemoralis (Gastropoda, Pulmonata, Helicidae) from the Moscow region. Ruthenica, 31, 27-38.
Ellis, D. (2004). Evolutionary genetics of the land snail Cepaea nemoralis in the Central Pyrenees: University of London, University College London (United Kingdom).
Endler, J. A. (1987). Predation, light intensity and courtship behaviour in Poecilia reticulata (Pisces: Poeciliidae). Animal Behaviour, 35(5), 1376-1385.
Endler, J. A. (1990). On the measurement and classification of colour in studies of animal colour patterns. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 41(4), 315352.

Erlichman, J. S., Coates, E., \& Leiter, J. (1994). Carbonic anhydrase and CO2 chemoreception in the pulmonate snail Helix aspersa. Respiration physiology, 98(1), 27-41.
Ernst, A. (1936). Further investigations on the phenological analysis, the fertility problem and the genetics of Heterostylic Primroses. Primula hortensis. Wettst. Arch. J. K.-Stift. Ver. Sozialanthropol. Ras. 11, 1-280.
Fisher, R. A. (1921). On the "Probable Error" of a coefficient of correlation deduced from a small sample. Metron, 1, 3-32.
Fisher, R. A. (1930). The genetical theory of natural selection. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
Fisher, R. A., \& Diver, C. (1934). Crossing-over in the Land Snail Cepæa nemoralis, L. Nature, 133(3370), 834-835. doi:10.1038/133834b0

Fisher, R. A., Ford, E. B. (1947). The Spread of a Gene in Natural Conditions in a Colony of the Moth Panaxia Dominula L.
Ford, E. (1971). Captain Cyril Diver (1892-1969). A Memoir. In: JSTOR.
Ford, E. B. (1975). Ecological Genetics. Chapman and Hall, London, 4th ed, Chap. 7. Franks, D. W., \& Oxford, G. S. (2009). The evolution of exuberant visible polymorphisms. Evolution, 63(10), 2697-2706. doi:10.1111/j.15585646.2009.00748.x

Funk, D. J., \& Omland, K. E. (2003). Species-level paraphyly and polyphyly: frequency, causes, and consequences, with insights from animal mitochondrial DNA. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 34(1), 397-423.
Gage, S. H., Davey Smith, G., Ware, J. J., Flint, J., \& Munafò, M. R. (2016). G = E: What GWAS Can Tell Us about the Environment. PLoS Genet, 12(2), e1005765. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen. 1005765
Galeotti, P., Rubolini, D., Dunn, P. O., \& Fasola, M. (2003). Colour polymorphism in birds: causes and functions. Journal of evolutionary biology, 16(4), 635-646.

García-Ruiz, J. M. (2015). Geo-ecological effects of Global Change in the Central Spanish Pyrenees: A review at different spatial and temporal scales. In T. L. M. J. I. López-Moreno, S. M. Vicente Serrano, P. González-Sampériz, B. L. ValeroGarcés, Y. Sanjuán, S. Beguería, E. Nadal-Romero, N. Lana-Renault, \& A. (Ed.). CSIC - Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología (IPE): CSIC - Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología (IPE).
Garrido-Ramos, M. A., Stewart, D. T., Sutherland, B. W., \& Zouros, E. (1998). The distribution of male-transmitted and female-transmitted mitochondrial DNA types in somatic tissues of blue mussels: implications for the operation of doubly uniparental inheritance of mitochondrial DNA. Genome, 41(6), 818-824.
Garvin, M. R., Thorgaard, G. H., \& Narum, S. R. (2015). Differential expression of genes that control respiration contribute to thermal adaptation in redband trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss gairdneri). Genome biology and evolution, 7(6), 14041414.

Germer, T. A., Zwinkels, J. C., \& Tsai, B. K. (2014). Spectrophotometry: Accurate measurement of optical properties of materials: Elsevier.
Girshick, R. (2015). Fast r-cnn. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision.
Gonzalez, D. R., Aramendia, A. C., \& Davison, A. (2019). Recombination within the Cepaea nemoralis supergene is confounded by incomplete penetrance and epistasis. Heredity. doi:10.1038/s41437-019-0190-6
Goodhart, C. B. (1963). Area effect and non-adaptive variation between populations of Cepaea (Mollusca). Heredity, 18(4), 459-\&. doi:10.1038/hdy.1963.51
Goutte, C., \& Gaussier, E. (2005). A probabilistic interpretation of precision, recall and F-score, with implication for evaluation. Paper presented at the European conference on information retrieval.
Grace, P. B., \& Teale, P. (2006). Purification of the crude solution from Helix pomatia for use as $\beta$-glucuronidase and aryl sulfatase in phytoestrogen assays. Journal of Chromatography B, 832(1), 158-161.
Grindon, A. J., \& Davison, A. (2013a). Irish Cepaea nemoralis land snails have a cryptic Franco-Iberian origin that is most easily explained by the movements of Mesolithic humans. Plos One, 8(6). doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0065792
Grindon, A. J., \& Davison, A. (2013b). Irish Cepaea nemoralis land snails have a cryptic Franco-Iberian origin that is most easily explained by the movements of Mesolithic humans. PloS One, 8(6), e65792. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0065792
Guiller, A., \& Madec, L. (1993). A contribution to the study of morphological and biochemical differentiation in French and Iberian populations of Cepaeanemoralis. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology, 21(3), 323-339. doi:10.1016/0305-1978(93)90024-I
Guo, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, Q., Huang, Y., Mao, G., Yue, Z., . . . Li, S. (2019). A chromosomal-level genome assembly for the giant African snail Achatina fulica. GigaScience, 8(10), giz124.
Gutiérrez-Zugasti, I. (2011). Early Holocene land snail exploitation in northern Spain: the case of La Fragua cave (Cantabria, Spain) (Vol. 16).
Harvey, M. (2018). Using iRecord to support site-based recording. Conservation Land Management, 16(4), 25-29.
Heller, J. (1981). Visual versus climatic selection of shell banding in the landsnail Theba pisana in Israel. Journal of Zoology, 194(1), 85-101.

Hendricks, S., Anderson, E. C., Antao, T., Bernatchez, L., Forester, B. R., Garner, B., . . . Koop, B. (2018). Recent advances in conservation and population genomics data analysis. Evolutionary Applications, 11(8), 1197-1211.
Herrel, A., Joly, D., \& Danchin, E. (2020). Epigenetics in ecology and evolution. Functional Ecology, 34(2), 381-384.
Hirano, T., Saito, T., Tsunamoto, Y., Koseki, J., Ye, B., Miura, O., . . . Chiba, S. (2019). Enigmatic incongruence between mtDNA and nDNA revealed by multi-locus phylogenomic analyses in freshwater snails. Scientific reports, 9(1), 1-13.
Hoban, S., Kelley, J. L., Lotterhos, K. E., Antolin, M. F., Bradburd, G., Lowry, D. B., . . . Whitlock, M. C. (2016). Finding the genomic basis of local adaptation: pitfalls, practical solutions, and future directions. The American Naturalist, 188(4), 379397.

Hohenlohe, P. A., Hand, B. K., Andrews, K. R., \& Luikart, G. (2018). Population genomics provides key insights in ecology and evolution. In Population Genomics (pp. 483-510): Springer.
Holmes, I. A., Grundler, M. R., \& Rabosky, A. R. D. (2017). Predator Perspective Drives Geographic Variation in Frequency-Dependent Polymorphism. The American Naturalist, 190(4), E78-E93. doi:10.1086/693159
Horn, G., Aodha, O., Song, Y., Cui, Y., Sun, C., Shepard, A., . . . Belongie, S. (2018). The iNaturalist species classification and detection dataset. In (pp. pp. 87698778): Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR).
Huang, H., \& Knowles, L. L. (2014). Unforeseen consequences of excluding missing data from Next-generation sequences: Simulation study of RAD sequences. Systematic Biology, 65(3), 357-365. doi:10.1093/sysbio/syu046
Huber, B., Whibley, A., Poul, Y. L., Navarro, N., Martin, A., Baxter, S., . . . Joron, M. (2015). Conservatism and novelty in the genetic architecture of adaptation in Heliconius butterflies. Heredity (Edinb), 114(5), 515-524. doi:10.1038/hdy. 2015.22
Hunt, R. W. G. (2004). The reproduction of color (Vol. 6th Edition). Chichester UK: Wiley-IS\&T Series in Imaging Science and Technology.
Jari Oksanen, F., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P. R., . . . Stevens, M. H. H. (2018). Vegan: community ecology package. $R$ package version, 2(6).
Johnson, C. W. (1906). On the distribution of Helix hortensis Mueller, in North America. The Nautilus, 20(7), 73-80.
Johnson, M. S. (1976). Allozymes and area effects in Cepaea-nemoralis on Western Berkshire Downs. Heredity, 36(FEB), 105-121. doi:10.1038/hdy.1976.11
Johnson, M. S. (2011). Thirty-four years of climatic selection in the land snail Theba pisana. Heredity, 106(5), 741-748. doi:10.1038/hdy.2010.114
Jones, E. P., Eager, H. M., Gabriel, S. I., Jóhannesdóttir, F., \& Searle, J. B. (2013). Genetic tracking of mice and other bioproxies to infer human history. Trends in Genetics, 29(5), 298-308.
Jones, J. S., \& Irving, A. J. (1975). Gene frequencies, genetic background and environment in Pyrenean populations of Cepaea nemoralis (L). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 7(4), 249-259. doi:10.1111/j.10958312.1975.tb00228.x

Jones, J. S., Leith, B. H., \& Rawlings, P. (1977). Polymorpism in Cepaea - A problem with too many solutions. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 8, 109143. doi:10.1146/annurev.es.08.110177.000545

Jones, R. T., Salazar, P. A., ffrench-Constant, R. H., Jiggins, C. D., \& Joron, M. (2012). Evolution of a mimicry supergene from a multilocus architecture. Proc Biol Sci, 279(1727), 316-325. doi:10.1098/rspb. 2011.0882
Jordaens, K., De Wolf, H., Van Houtte, N., Vandecasteele, B., \& Backeljau, T. (2006). Genetic variation in two land snails, Cepaea nemoralis and Succinea putris (Gastropoda, Pulmonata), from sites differing in heavy metal content. Genetica, 128(1-3), 227-239. doi:10.1007/s10709-005-5705-9
Jordaens, K., De Wolf, H., Vandecasteele, B., Blust, R., \& Backeljau, T. (2006). Associations between shell strength, shell morphology and heavy metals in the land snail Cepaea nemoralis (Gastropoda, Helicidae). Science of the Total Environment, 363(1-3), 285-293. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.12.002
Joron, M., \& Mallet, J. L. (1998). Diversity in mimicry: paradox or paradigm? Trends in Ecology \& Evolution, 13(11), 461-466.
Kajtoch, Ł., Davison, A., Grindon, A., Deli, T., Sramkó, G., Gwardjan, M., . . . Fehér, Z. (2017). Reconstructed historical distribution and phylogeography unravels non-steppic origin of Caucasotachea vindobonensis (Gastropoda: Helicidae). Organisms Diversity \& Evolution. doi:10.1007/s13127-017-0337-3
Kerkvliet, J., de Boer, T., Schilthuizen, M., \& Kraaijeveld, K. (2017). Candidate genes for shell colour polymorphism in Cepaea nemoralis. Peerj, 5. doi:10.7717/peerj. 3715
Kerstes, N. A. G., Breeschoten, T., Kalkman, V. J., \& Schilthuizen, M. (2019). Snail shell colour evolution in urban heat islands detected via citizen science. Communications Biology, 2(1), 264. doi:10.1038/s42003-019-0511-6
Kocot, K. M., Aguilera, F., McDougall, C., Jackson, D. J., \& Degnan, B. M. (2016). Sea shell diversity and rapidly evolving secretomes: insights into the evolution of biomineralization. Frontiers in Zoology, 13(1), 23.
Korf, I., Yandell, M., \& Bedell, J. (2003). Blast: " O'Reilly Media, Inc.".
Kotlík, P., Marková, S., Konczal, M., Babik, W., \& Searle, J. B. (2018). Genomics of end-Pleistocene population replacement in a small mammal. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 285(1872), 20172624.
Lamotte, M. (1951). Recherches sur la structure génétique des populations naturelles de Cepaea nemoralis (L.). Bull Biol Fr Belg (Suppl), 35, 1-239.
Lamotte, M. (1952). Influence de leffectif des populations sur la diversite des frequences du gene absence de bandes chez Cepaea-nemoralis L. Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires Des Seances De L Academie Des Sciences, 235(15), 826-828.
Lamotte, M. (1954). Sur le determinisme genetique du polymorphisme, chez Cepaea nemoralis-L. Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires Des Seances De L Academie Des Sciences, 239(4), 365-367.
Lamotte, M. (1959). Polymorphism of natural populations of Cepaea nemoralis. Paper presented at the Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology.
Le Luyer, J., Laporte, M., Beacham, T. D., Kaukinen, K. H., Withler, R. E., Leong, J. S., . . . Bernatchez, L. (2017). Parallel epigenetic modifications induced by hatchery rearing in a Pacific salmon. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(49), 12964-12969.
Ledón-Rettig, C. C., Richards, C. L., \& Martin, L. B. (2013). Epigenetics for behavioral ecologists. Behavioral Ecology, 24(2), 311-324.
Lehtinen, R. M., Carlson, B. M., Hamm, A. R., Riley, A. G., Mullin, M. M., \& Gray, W. J. (2020). Dispatches from the neighborhood watch: Using citizen science and field survey data to document color morph frequency in space and time.

Ecology and Evolution, 10(3), 1526-1538. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6006
Leighton, G. R., Hugo, P. S., Roulin, A., \& Amar, A. (2016). Just Google it: assessing the use of Google Images to describe geographical variation in visible traits of organisms. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 7(9), 1060-1070.
Li, H. (2011). A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. Bioinformatics, 27(21), 2987-2993. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr509
Lim, W. K., \& Mathuru, A. S. (2020). Design, challenges, and the potential of transcriptomics to understand social behavior. Current Zoology, 66(3), 321330. doi:10.1093/cz/zoaa0007

Liu, C., Ren, Y., Li, Z., Hu, Q., Yin, L., Wang, H., . . . Xi, Y. (2021). Giant African snail genomes provide insights into molluscan whole-genome duplication and aquatic-terrestrial transition. Molecular Ecology Resources, 21(2), 478-494.
Lubell, D. (2004). Are land snail a signature for the Mesolithic-Neolithic transition. Documenta Praehistorica, 31, 1-24.
Lucena-Perez, M., Marmesat, E., Kleinman-Ruiz, D., Martínez-Cruz, B., Węcek, K., Saveljev, A. P., . . . Ozolins, J. (2020). Genomic patterns in the widespread Eurasian lynx shaped by Late Quaternary climatic fluctuations and anthropogenic impacts. Molecular Ecology, 29(4), 812-828.
Maia, Eliason, C. M., Bitton, P. P., Doucet, S. M., \& Shawkey, M. D. (2013). pavo: an $R$ package for the analysis, visualization and organization of spectral data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 4(10), 906-913. doi:10.1111/2041210x. 12069
Maia, Gruson, H., Endler, J. A., \& White, T. E. (2018). pavo 2.0: new tools for the spectral and spatial analysis of colour in R. bioRxiv, 427658. doi:10.1101/427658
Mann, K., \& Jackson, D. J. (2014). Characterization of the pigmented shell-forming proteome of the common grove snail Cepaea nemoralis. Bmc Genomics, 15. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-249
Manolio, T. A., Collins, F. S., Cox, N. J., Goldstein, D. B., Hindorff, L. A., Hunter, D. J., . . . Chakravarti, A. (2009). Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases. Nature, 461(7265), 747-753.
Martin, S. H., Dasmahapatra, K. K., Nadeau, N. J., Salazar, C., Walters, J. R., Simpson, F., . . . Jiggins, C. D. (2013). Genome-wide evidence for speciation with gene flow in Heliconius butterflies. Genome research, 23(11), 1817-1828.
Masel, J. (2011). Genetic drift. Current Biology, 21(20), R837-R838.
Mazon, L. I., Depancorbo, M. A. M., Vicario, A., Aguirre, A. I., Estomba, A., \& Lostao, C. M. (1987). Distribution of Cepaea-nemoralis according to climatic regions in Spain. Heredity, 58, 145-154. doi:10.1038/hdy.1987.19
McDevitt, A., Vega, R., Rambau, R. V., Yannic, G., Herman, J. S., Hayden, T., \& Searle, J. B. (2011). Colonization of Ireland: revisiting 'the pygmy shrew syndrome'using mitochondrial, Y chromosomal and microsatellite markers. Heredity, 107(6), 548-557.
McDevitt, A. D., Coscia, I., Browett, S. S., Ruiz-González, A., Statham, M. J., Ruczyńska, I., . . . Norén, K. (2020). Next-generation phylogeography resolves post-glacial colonization patterns in a widespread carnivore, the red fox (Vulpes vulpes), in Europe. bioRxiv.

McKinnon, J. S., \& Pierotti, M. E. (2010). Colour polymorphism and correlated characters: genetic mechanisms and evolution. Molecular Ecology, 19(23), 5101-5125.
McLean, C. A., \& Stuart-Fox, D. (2014). Geographic variation in animal colour polymorphisms and its role in speciation. Biological Reviews, 89(4), 860-873.
McMillen, D., \& McMillen, M. D. (2013). Package 'McSpatial'. Nonparametric Spatial Data Analysis. August, 4.
Miura, O., Urabe, M., Mori, H., \& Chiba, S. (2020). Ancient drainage networks mediated a large-scale genetic introgression in the East Asian freshwater snails. Ecology and Evolution, 10(15), 8186-8196.
Müller, F. (1879). Ituna and Thyridia: a remarkable case of mimicry in butterflies. Trans. Entomol. Soc. Lond, 1879, 20-29.
Murray, J., \& Clarke, B. (1978). Changes of gene frequency in Cepaea-nemoralis over 50 years. Malacologia, 17(2), 317-330.
Narum, S. R., \& Hess, J. E. (2011). Comparison of FST outlier tests for SNP loci under selection. Molecular Ecology Resources, 11, 184-194.
Neiber, M. T., \& Hausdorf, B. (2015). Molecular phylogeny reveals the polyphyly of the snail genus Cepaea (Gastropoda: Helicidae). Mol Phylogenet Evol, 93, 143149.

Neiber, M. T., Sagorny, C., \& Hausdorf, B. (2016). Increasing the number of molecular markers resolves the phylogenetic relationship of 'Cepaea' vindobonensis (Pfeiffer 1828) with Caucasotachea Boettger 1909 (Gastropoda: Pulmonata: Helicidae). Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research, 54(1), 40-45. doi:10.1111/jzs. 12116
Nichols, R. (2001). Gene trees and species trees are not the same. Trends in Ecology \& Evolution, 16(7), 358-364.
Notten, M. J. M., Oosthoek, A., Rozema, J., \& Aerts, R. (2006a). The landsnail Cepaea nemoralis regulates internal Cd levels when fed on Cd-enriched stinging nettle (Urtica dioica) leaves at low, field-relevant concentrations. Environmental Pollution, 139(2), 296-305. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2005.05.007
Notten, M. J. M., Oosthoek, A. J. P., Rozema, J., \& Aerts, R. (2006b). Heavy metal pollution affects consumption and reproduction of the landsnail Cepaea nemoralis fed on naturally polluted Urtica dioica leaves. Ecotoxicology, 15(3), 295-304. doi:10.1007/s10646-006-0059-3
Ochman, H., Jones, J. S., \& Selander, R. K. (1983). Molecular area effects in Cepaea. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America-Biological Sciences, 80(13), 4189-4193. doi:10.1073/pnas.80.13.4189
Orstan, A., Sparks, J. L., \& Pearce, T. A. (2011). Wayne Grimm's legacy: A 40-year experiment on the dispersal of Cepaea nemoralis in Frederick County, Maryland. American Malacological Bulletin, 29(1-2), 139-142.
Ott, J. (1999). Analysis of human genetic linkage: JHU Press.
Ovchinnikova, K., Kovalev, V., Stuart, L., \& Alexandrov, T. (2020). OffsampleAI: artificial intelligence approach to recognize off-sample mass spectrometry images. BMC Bioinformatics, 21(1), 11. doi:10.1186/s12859-020-3425-x
Ozgo, M., \& Bogucki, Z. (2011). Colonization, stability, and adaptation in a transplant experiment of the polymorphic land snail Cepaea nemoralis (Gastropoda: Pulmonata) at the edge of its geographical range. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 104(2), 462-470. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2011.01732.x

Ożgo, M., Cameron, R. A. D., Horsák, M., Pokryszko, B., Chudaś, M., Cichy, A., . . . Żołądek, J. (2019). Cepaea nemoralis (Gastropoda: Pulmonata) in Poland: patterns of variation in a range-expanding species. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 127(1), 1-11. doi:10.1093/biolinnean/blz029
Ożgo, M., Liew, T. S., Webster, N. B., \& Schilthuizen, M. (2017). Inferring microevolution from museum collections and resampling: lessons learned from Cepaea. Peerj, 5, e3938-e3938. doi:10.7717/peerj. 3938
Ożgo, M., \& Schilthuizen, M. (2012). Evolutionary change in Cepaea nemoralis shell colour over 43 years. Global Change Biology, 18(1), 74-81. doi:10.1111/j.13652486.2011.02514.x

Pardo-Diaz, C., Salazar, C., Baxter, S. W., Merot, C., Figueiredo-Ready, W., Joron, M., . . . Jiggins, C. D. (2012). Adaptive introgression across species boundaries in Heliconius butterflies. PLoS Genet, 8(6), e1002752.
Parmakelis, A., Kotsakiozi, P., \& Rand, D. (2013). Animal mitochondria, positive selection and cyto-nuclear coevolution: insights from pulmonates. Plos One, 8(4), e61970.
Patterson, N., Moorjani, P., Luo, Y., Mallick, S., Rohland, N., Zhan, Y., . . . Reich, D. (2012). Ancient admixture in human history. Genetics, 192(3), 1065-1093.

Paulson, D. R. (1973). Predator polymorphism and apostatic selection. Evolution, 269277.

Pearce, T. A., \& Olori, J. C. (2004). Land snails from St. Elzéar Cave, Gaspé Peninsula, Quebec: antiquity of Cepaea hortensis in North America. Paper presented at the American Malacological Society, Sannibel
Petr, M., Vernot, B., \& Kelso, J. (2019). admixr—R package for reproducible analyses using ADMIXTOOLS. Bioinformatics, 35(17), 3194-3195. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz030
Pocock, M. J., Newson, S. E., Henderson, I. G., Peyton, J., Sutherland, W. J., Noble, D. G., . . . Brereton, T. (2015). Developing and enhancing biodiversity monitoring programmes: a collaborative assessment of priorities. Journal of Applied Ecology, 52(3), 686-695.
Poelstra, J. W., Vijay, N., Bossu, C. M., Lantz, H., Ryll, B., Müller, I., . . . Grabherr, M. G. (2014). The genomic landscape underlying phenotypic integrity in the face of gene flow in crows. Science, 344(6190), 1410-1414.
Pratlong, M., Haguenauer, A., Chabrol, O., Klopp, C., Pontarotti, P., \& Aurelle, D. (2015). The red coral (Corallium rubrum) transcriptome: a new resource for population genetics and local adaptation studies. Molecular Ecology Resources, 15(5), 1205-1215.
Proćków, M., Proćków, J., Błażej, P., \& Mackiewicz, P. (2018). The influence of habitat preferences on shell morphology in ecophenotypes of Trochulus hispidus complex. Science of the Total Environment, 630, 1036-1043.
Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A., Bender, D., . . . Sham, P. C. (2007). PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet, 81(3), 559-575. doi:10.1086/519795
Rajora, O. P. (2019). Population genomics: concepts, approaches and applications: Springer.
Ramos, M. A. (1984). Polymorphism of Cepaea nemoralis (Gastropoda, Helicidae) in the Spanish occidental Pyrenees. Malacologia, 25(2), 325-341.
Ravinet, M., Elgvin, T. O., Trier, C., Aliabadian, M., Gavrilov, A., \& Sætre, G.-P. (2018). Signatures of human-commensalism in the house sparrow genome.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 285(1884), 20181246.

Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R., \& Sun, J. (2015). Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 39. doi:10.1109/TPAMI.2016.2577031
Rice, A. M., Rudh, A., Ellegren, H., \& Qvarnström, A. (2011). A guide to the genomics of ecological speciation in natural animal populations. Ecology letters, 14(1), 918.

Richards, A. V., \& Murray, J. (1975). Relation of phenotype to habitat in an introduced colony of Cepaea-nemoralis. Heredity, 34(FEB), 128-131. doi:10.1038/hdy. 1975.13
Richards, P. M., Liu, M. M., Lowe, N., Davey, J. W., Blaxter, M. L., \& Davison, A. (2013). RAD-Seq derived markers flank the shell colour and banding loci of the Cepaea nemoralis supergene. Molecular Ecology, 22(11), 3077-3089. doi:10.1111/mec. 12262
Richardson, A. M. M. (1974). Differential climatic selection in natural population of land snail Cepaea nemoralis. Nature, 247(5442), 572-573. doi:10.1038/247572a0
Riesch, H., \& Potter, C. (2014). Citizen science as seen by scientists: Methodological, epistemological and ethical dimensions. Public understanding of science, 23(1), 107-120.
Robinson, N. A., Johnsen, H., Moghadam, H., Andersen, Ø., \& Tveiten, H. (2019). Early developmental stress affects subsequent gene expression response to an acute stress in Atlantic salmon: An approach for creating robust fish for aquaculture? G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 9(5), 1597-1611.
Rohwer, S. (1990). Foraging differences between white and dark morphs of the Pacific Reef Heron Egretta sacra. Ibis, 132(1), 21-26.
Roodi, M., Lak, Z., \& Moshovos, A. (2019). BWA-MEM performance: Suffix array storage size. Paper presented at the 2019 IEEE EMBS International Conference on Biomedical \& Health Informatics (BHI).
Rubin, B. E., Ree, R. H., \& Moreau, C. S. (2012). Inferring phylogenies from RAD sequence data. Plos One, 7(4), e33394.
Russello, M. A., Waterhouse, M. D., Etter, P. D., \& Johnson, E. A. (2015). From promise to practice: pairing non-invasive sampling with genomics in conservation. Peerj, 3, e1106. doi:10.7717/peerj. 1106
Saenko, S. V., Groenenberg, D. S. J., Davison, A., \& Schilthuizen, M. (2020). The draft genome sequence of the grove snail Cepaea nemoralis. in review.
San-Jose, L. M., \& Roulin, A. (2017). Genomics of coloration in natural animal populations. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 372(1724), 20160337.
Sanchez, T., Cury, J., Charpiat, G., \& Jay, F. (2020). Deep learning for population size history inference: Design, comparison and combination with approximate Bayesian computation. Molecular Ecology Resources, 16. doi:10.1111/17550998.13224

Scheil, Gärtner, U., \& Köhler, H.-R. (2012a). Colour polymorphism and thermal capacities in Theba pisana (O.F. Müller 1774). Journal of Thermal Biology, 37(6), 462-467. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2012.03.006
Scheil, A. E., Gärtner, U., \& Köhler, H.-R. (2012b). Colour polymorphism and thermal capacities in Theba pisana (Müller 1774). Journal of Thermal Biology, 37(6), 462-467.

Schneider, S., Taylor, G., \& Kremer, S. (2018). Deep Learning object detection methods for ecological camera trap data.
Scrucca, L., Fop, M., Murphy, T. B., \& Raftery, A. E. (2016). mclust 5: Clustering, classification and density estimation using Gaussian Finite Mixture models. $R$ Journal, 8(1), 289-317.
Searle, J. B., Kotlik, P., Rambau, R. V., Markova, S., Herman, J. S., \& McDevitt, A. D. (2009). The Celtic fringe of Britain: insights from small mammal phylogeography. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 276(1677), 4287-4294.
Silvertown, J., Cook, L., Cameron, R., Dodd, M., McConway, K., Worthington, J., . . . Juan, X. (2011). Citizen science reveals unexpected continental-scale evolutionary change in a model organism. Plos One, 6(4). doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0018927
Smith, T., \& Guild, J. (1931). The C.I.E. colorimetric standards and their use. Transactions of the Optical Society, 33(3), 73-134. doi:10.1088/14754878/33/3/301
Stahlke, A., Bell, D., Dhendup, T., Kern, B., Pannoni, S., Robinson, Z., . . . Hohenlohe, P. A. (2020). Population Genomics Training for the Next Generation of Conservation Geneticists: ConGen 2018 Workshop. Journal of Heredity, 111(2), 227-236.
Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and postanalysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics, 30(9), 1312-1313. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
Stange, M., Barrett, R. D. H., \& Hendry, A. P. (2020). The importance of genomic variation for biodiversity, ecosystems and people. Nature reviews genetics. doi:10.1038/s41576-020-00288-7
Staples-Browne, R. (1908). On the inheritance of colour in domestic pigeons, with special reference to reversion. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London.
Star, B., \& Spencer, H. G. (2013). Effects of genetic drift and gene flow on the selective maintenance of genetic variation. Genetics, 194(1), 235-244. doi:10.1534/genetics.113.149781
Stuart-Fox, D., Newton, E., Mulder, R. A., D'Alba, L., Shawkey, M. D., \& Igic, B. (2018). The microstructure of white feathers predicts their visible and near-infrared reflectance properties. Plos One, 13(7). doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0199129
Surmacki, A., Ozarowska-Nowicka, A., \& Rosin, Z. M. (2013). Color polymorphism in a land snail Cepaea nemoralis (Pulmonata: Helicidae) as viewed by potential avian predators. Naturwissenschaften, 100(6), 533-540. doi:10.1007/s00114-013-1049-y
Svensson, E. I. (2017). Back to basics: using colour polymorphisms to study evolutionary processes. Molecular Ecology, 26(8), 2204-2211.
Terrett, J. A., Miles, S., \& Thomas, R. H. (1996). Complete DNA sequence of the mitochondrial genome of Cepaea nemoralis (Gastropoda: Pulmonata). Journal of Molecular Evolution, 42(2), 160-168. doi:10.1007/BF02198842
Thomaz, D., Guiller, A., \& Clarke, B. C. (1996). Extreme divergence of mitochondrial DNA within species of pulmonate land snails. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 263(1368), 363-368.
Torada, L., Lorenzon, L., Beddis, A., Isildak, U., Pattini, L., Mathieson, S., \& Fumagalli, M. (2019). ImaGene: a convolutional neural network to quantify natural
selection from genomic data. BMC Bioinformatics, 20, 12. doi:10.1186/s12859-019-2927-x
Valdez, M., Kassem, I., \& Lamotte, M. (1988). Geographic-variation of enzyme polymorphism in populations of Cepaea-nemoralis from the French Pyrenees. Genetics Selection Evolution, 20(4), 441-459. doi:10.1051/gse:19880405
van den Berg, C. P., Troscianko, J., Endler, J. A., Marshall, N. J., \& Cheney, K. L. (2020). Quantitative Colour Pattern Analysis (QCPA): A comprehensive framework for the analysis of colour patterns in nature. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 11(2), 316-332.
Wade, M. (2008). Evolutionary genetics. The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Fall 2008 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.).
Wagner, C. E., Keller, I., Wittwer, S., Selz, O. M., Mwaiko, S., Greuter, L., . . . Seehausen, O. (2013). Genome-wide RAD sequence data provide unprecedented resolution of species boundaries and relationships in the Lake Victoria cichlid adaptive radiation. Molecular Ecology, 22(3), 787-798.
Walker, D. (2003). JMASM9: Converting Kendall's Tau for correlational or metaanalytic analyses. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 2, 525-530. doi:10.22237/jmasm/1067646360
Wang, X., Liu, Z., \& Wu, W. (2017). Transcriptome analysis of the freshwater pearl mussel (Cristaria plicata) mantle unravels genes involved in the formation of shell and pearl. Molecular genetics and genomics, 292(2), 343-352.
Webb, S. (2018). Deep learning for biology. Nature, 554(7693).
Wellenreuther, M., Svensson, E. I., \& Hansson, B. (2014). Sexual selection and genetic colour polymorphisms in animals. Molecular Ecology, 23(22), 53985414. doi:10.1111/mec. 12935

West, M. A., Kim, K., Kliebenstein, D. J., Van Leeuwen, H., Michelmore, R. W., Doerge, R., \& Clair, D. A. S. (2007). Global eQTL mapping reveals the complex genetic architecture of transcript-level variation in Arabidopsis. Genetics, 175(3), 1441-1450.
Westland, W., Ripamonti, C., \& Cheung, V. (2012). Computing CIE Tristimulus Values. In Computational Colour Science using MATLAB (pp. 27-47): Wiley.
Westram, A. M., Rafajlović, M., Chaube, P., Faria, R., Larsson, T., Panova, M., . . . Johannesson, K. (2018). Clines on the seashore: The genomic architecture underlying rapid divergence in the face of gene flow. Evolution letters, 2(4), 297309.

Wheldale, M. (1907). The inheritance of flower colour in Antirrhinum majus. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Containing Papers of a Biological Character, 79(532), 288-305.
Williams, S. T. (2017). Molluscan shell colour. Biological Reviews, 92(2), 1039-1058.
Wilson, I. F. (1996). Application of ecological genetics techniques to test for selection by habitat on allozymes in Cepaea nemoralis (L). Heredity, 77, 324-335.
Wolda, H. (1969). Genetics of polymorphism in the land snail, Cepaea nemoralis. Genetica, 40(1), 475-502. doi:10.1007/bf01787373
Worthington, J. P., Silvertown, J., Cook, L., Cameron, R., Dodd, M., Greenwood, R. M., . . . Skelton, P. (2012). Evolution MegaLab: a case study in citizen science methods. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 3(2), 303-309. doi:10.1111/j.2041210X.2011.00164.x
Wright, S. (1937). The distribution of gene frequencies in populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 23(6), 307-320. doi:10.1073/pnas.23.6.307

Wright, S. (1948). On the roles of directed and random changes in gene frequency in the genetics of populations. Evolution, 279-294.
Yamazaki, N., Ueshima, R., Terrett, J. A., Yokobori, S.-i., Kaifu, M., Segawa, R., . . . Thomas, R. H. (1997). Evolution of Pulmonate Gastropod Mitochondrial Genomes: Comparisons of Gene Organizations of Euhadra, Cepaea and Albinaria and Implications of Unusual tRNA Secondary Structures. Genetics, 145(3), 749.
Zeuss, D., Brandl, R., Brändle, M., Rahbek, C., \& Brunzel, S. (2014). Global warming favours light-coloured insects in Europe. Nature Communications, 5(1), 1-9.
Zhang, J. K., Hu, H. G., Chen, S. Y., Huang, Y. J., Guan, Q., \& leee. (2016). Cancer cells detection in phase-contrast microscopy images based on Faster R-CNN. In Proceedings of 2016 9th International Symposium on Computational Intelligence and Design (pp. 363-367).
Zhao, R., Li, C., Ye, S., \& Fang, X. (2019). Butterfly recognition based on Faster RCNN. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1176, 032048. doi:10.1088/17426596/1176/3/032048

## Supporting information

## Chapter 2:

Table S2.1: Summary of the parental information of $C$. nemoralis crosses used in this chapter. Location and phenotypes of colour, banding and pigmentation are specified.

Table S2.2: Summary of the $C$. nemoralis crosses offspring. The offspring is classified by their shell colour, banding and pigmentation phenotype.

Table S2.3: Summary of the genotyping results for each cross. Each cross contains the restriction enzyme used and the genotype outcome of each individual in the restriction enzymatic assay.

Table S2.4: A comparison of the genotype and phenotype results in each cross. Shells with a phenotype that may be due to a potential recombination event in parent are shown in bold.

Supplementary Material: Copies of letters between Fisher and Diver in the archive of Bryan Clarke can be found in the supplementary information of Gonzalez, D.R., Aramendia, A.C. \& Davison, A. Recombination within the Cepaea nemoralis supergene is confounded by incomplete penetrance and epistasis. Heredity 123, 153-161 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-019-0190-6.

Table S2.1. Summary of parent phenotypes and location from C. nemoralis crosses

|  |  | Parent |  | Source |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cross |  | phenotype |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | P O | YM | Wye Valley, Derbyshire | Marlborough Downs, Wiltshire/ |
|  |  | C100 | C101 |  | Slieve Carron, Ireland |
| 2 |  | P O | YM | Marlborough Downs, Wiltshire/ | Marlborough Downs, Wiltshire/ |
|  |  | C102 | C103 | Slieve Carron, Ireland | Slieve Carron, Ireland |
| 3 |  | P O | YM | Marlborough Downs, Wiltshire/ | Marlborough Downs, Wiltshire/ |
|  |  | C104 | C105 | Slieve Carron, Ireland | Slieve Carron, Ireland |
| 4 |  | P O | YB | Nottingham | Esles, Spain |
|  |  | C110 | C111 |  |  |
| 5 |  | P O | YB (12345) | Nottingham | Esles, Spain |
|  |  | C112 | C113 |  |  |
| 6 |  | P O | YB | San Roque, Spain | Esles, Spain |
|  |  | C114 | C115 |  |  |
| 7 |  | P M | YM | Offspring of $\mathrm{C} 101 \times \mathrm{C} 102$ | Offspring of C104 $\times$ C105 |
|  |  | C119 | C118 |  |  |
| 8 |  | POL | YML | San Roque, Spain | San Roque, Spain |
|  |  | C108 | C109 |  |  |
| 9 |  | PMN | YB H | Offspring of $\mathrm{C} 108 \times \mathrm{C} 109$ | Nottingham |
|  |  | C116 | C120 |  |  |
| 10 | Inbreeding | PMN | YBN | Offspring of C116 $\times$ C120 | Offspring of C116 $\times$ C120 |
|  |  | C450 | C449 |  |  |
| 11 | Inbreeding | P M N | YBN | Offspring of $\mathrm{C} 116 \times \mathrm{C} 120$ | Offspring of C116 $\times$ C120 |
|  |  | C451 | C452 |  |  |
| 12 | Inbreeding | P M N | YB N | Offspring of $\mathrm{C} 116 \times \mathrm{C} 120$ | Offspring of C116 $\times$ C120 |
|  |  | C662 | C665 |  |  |
| 13 | Inbreeding | P M N | YB H | Offspring of $\mathrm{C} 451 \times \mathrm{C} 452$ | Offspring of C662 $\times$ C665 |
|  |  | C825 | C841 |  |  |
| 14 |  | YB S | YO | UK | UK |
|  |  | C568 | C569 |  |  |

Table S2.2. Summary of offspring phenotypes from C. nemoralis crosses

|  | Offspring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cross | number | phenotype |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | PM | YO |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 103 | 56 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | P O | YM | YO |  |  |  |  |
|  | 43 | 20 | 20 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | P O | YM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 46 | 27 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | PB | YO |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27 | 17 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | P B (00345) | P B (12345) | P B (02345) | Yo | PM |  |  |
|  | 109 | 27 | 12 | 16 | 53 | 1 |  |  |
| 6 |  | P O | YB | YM |  |  |  |  |
|  | 34 | 18 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | PM | YM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 75 | 37 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  | PML | YOL | YOA | POL |  |  |  |
|  | 50 | 26 | 11 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | PMN | PBN | YM N | YBN |  |  |  |
|  | 16 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | PMN | PBN | YM N | YBN | YM H | YB H |  |
|  | 12 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |
| 11 |  | PMN | PBN | YMN | YBN | YM H | YB H | PM H |
|  | 116 | 34 | 28 | 12 | 22 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| 12 |  | PMN | PBN | YM N | YBN | YM H | YB H | PM H |
|  | 146 | 39 | 46 | 7 | 19 | 15 | 12 | 8 |
| 13 |  | PMN | PBN | YMN | YBN | YM H | YB H | PM H |
|  | 63 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 9 | 2 |
| 14 |  | YBS | YO |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 44 | 28 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 884 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Phenotypes that may be due to a recombination event in a parent are highlighted in bold. Inferred genotypes of offspring are detailed in Supplementary Table 1. Key: P pink, Y yellow, O unbanded, M mid-banded, B all other banding patterns; N normal band pigmentation; H hyalozonate banding (nearly always with white lip-see text); S spread-banding; L normal lip pigmentation; A albolabiate (white lip). Cross 5 also showed segregation for another one or two band-suppressing loci, T and X , so the detailed banding notation is also shown.

Table 2.3. Results of genotyping for each cross, including details of restriction enzyme assay.
Cross 100x101 used Rad11 (BstUI), Ra06(Ddel) and Rad09(BstUI).

| Cross: | 100× 101 | henoty | pe Gen | notype |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ID | RAD11 | RAD06 | RAD09 | Recombination | ID | RAD11 | RAD06 | RAD09 | Recombination | ID | RAD11 | 1 RAD06 | RAD09 | Recombination |
| Parent 1 | 100 P O | Aa | Aa | Aa |  | 169 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 233 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  |
| Parent 2 | 101 Y M | aa | aa | aa |  | 142 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 234 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  |
| Offspring | 148 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 146 P M | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | RAD06/supergene | 239 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 149 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 214 P M | aa | aa | aa | RAD06/supergene | 243 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 150 P M | Aa | Aa | a ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 215 P M | aa | a ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | aa | RAD06/supergene | 244 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 151 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 216 P M | 00 | Aa | aa |  | 132 P M | aa | aa | aa | RAD06/supergene |
|  | 152 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 230 P M | aa | aa | aa | RAD06/supergene | 135 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 153 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 136 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 145 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 154 P M | aa | Aa | aa | RAD11/RAD06 | 147 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 232 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 155 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 217 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 237 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 156 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 235 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 245 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 157 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 236 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 170 Y O | Aa | aa | Aa | RAD11/supergene |
|  | 158 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 238 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 171 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |  |
|  | 159 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 240 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 172 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |  |
|  | 160 P M | aa | aa | aa | RAD06/supergene | 241 P M | Aa | Aa | a |  | 173 Y O | aa | aa | aa | supergene/RAD09 |
|  | 161 P M | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ | a | RAD06/supergene | 247 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 174 Y O |  | a | Aa |  |
|  | 162 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 246 P M | Aa | Aa | a |  | 175 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | Aa |  |
|  | 163 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 134 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 176 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | Aa |  |
|  | 164 P M | $A a$ | Aa | aa |  | 138 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 177 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |  |
|  | 165 P M | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ | a | RAD06/supergene | 141 P M | Aa | Aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 131 Y O | Aa | Aa | Aa | RAD06/supergene |
|  | 166 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 143 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 211 Y O | Aa | Aa | Aa | RAD06/supergene |
|  | 167 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 144 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 218 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |  |
|  | 168 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 231 P M | Aa | Aa | aa |  | 220 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |  |


| 121 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 123 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | Aa | 204 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | Aa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 130 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | Aa | 205 Y O | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ | Aa |
| 125 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 140 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ | Aa | 206 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ | Aa |
| 127 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 208 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | Aa | 209 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |
| 129 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 213 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | Aa | 210 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |
| 207 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 226 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | Aa | 212 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |
| 221 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 124 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | Aa | 219 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |
| 224 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 126 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 222 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |
| 225 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 128 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ | Aa | 223 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |
| 228 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 133 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ | Aa | 227 Y O | aa | aa | Aa |
| 229 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 137 Y O | $a{ }^{\text {a }}$ | aa | Aa | 248 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | Aa |
| 242 Y O | aa | aa | Aa | 139 Y O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | Aa |  |  |  |  |

Cross $102 \times 103$ used RAD11 (Btgl) and RAD09 (BstUI).

| Cross: | 102x103 Phenotype Genotype |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ID |  | RAD11 | RAD09 | Recombination | ID |  | RAD11 | RAD09 | Recombination |
| Parent 1 | 102 | P O | aa | Aa |  | 183 | Y M | Aa | aa |  |
| Parent 2 | 103 | Y M | Aa | aa |  | 184 | Y M | aa | aa |  |
| Offspring | 117 | PO | $a \mathrm{a}$ | Aa |  | 185 | Y M | aa | aa |  |
|  | 186 | PO | Aa | Aa |  | 258 | Y M | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 187 | PO | aa | Aa |  | 259 | Y M | aa | aa |  |
|  | 188 | PO | Aa | Aa |  | 260 | Y M | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 189 | PO | Aa | $A a$ |  | 263 | Y M |  | aa |  |
|  | 190 | PO | Aa | aa | supergene/RAD09 | 264 | Y M | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 191 | PO | Aa | Aa |  | 265 | Y M | aa | aa |  |
|  | 261 | P O | Aa | Aa |  | 266 | Y M | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 262 | PO | aa | aa |  | 267 | Y M | aa | aa |  |
|  | 268 | PO | aa | Aa |  | 363 | Y M |  | aa |  |
|  | 372 | PO | aa | Aa |  | 364 | Y M | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 373 | PO | aa | Aa |  | 365 | Y M | aa | aa |  |
|  | 374 | PO |  | Aa |  | 366 | Y O | Aa | aa | colour/banding |
|  | 375 | P O | aa | Aa |  | 367 | Y M | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 376 | PO |  | Aa |  | 368 | Y O | Aa | aa | colour/banding |
|  | 377 | P O | $a \mathrm{a}$ | Aa |  | 369 | Y M | aa | Aa | supergene/RAD09 |
|  | 378 | PO |  | Aa |  | 370 | Y O | Aa | aa | colour/banding |
|  | 379 | P O | Aa | Aa |  | 371 | Y M | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 380 | PO | Aa | Aa |  | 478 | Y M | aa | aa |  |
|  | 381 | PO | Aa | $A a$ |  | 479 | Y M | Aa | aa |  |
|  | 182 | Y M | $A a$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cross $116 \times 120$ and $450 \times 449$ RAD06 (Mspl) RAD09 (HaelII).

| Cross: | 116x120 Phenotype Genotype |  |  |  | 450x449 Phenotype Genotype |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ID |  | RAD06 | RAD09 | Recombination |  | ID | RAD06 | RAD09 | Recombination |
| Parent 1 | 116 | PM N | Aa | Aa |  | 450 | PM N | Aa | Aa |  |
| Parent 2 | 120 | Y B H | aa | aa |  | 449 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |
| Offspring | 382 | PM N juv | aa | Aa | RAD06/supergene | 673 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 383 | P B N | Aa | Aa |  | 675 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 384 | P B N | Aa | Aa |  | 676 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 448 | P B N | aa | Aa | RAD06/supergene | 679 | PMN | Aa | $A a$ |  |
|  | 450 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 684 | PMN | Aa | aa | supergene/RAD09 |
|  | 451 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 694 | P B N | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 662 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 738 | PBN | aa | Aa | RAD06/supergene |
|  | 663 | P B N | Aa | $A a$ |  | 678 | Y M N | Aa | aa | RAD06/supergene |
|  | 447 | Y M N | aa | aa |  | 683 | Y M N | aa | aa |  |
|  | 449 | Y B N | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 685 | Y M H | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  |
|  | 452 | Y B N | aa | aa |  | 693 | Y M H | aa | aa |  |
|  | 570 | YMN | aa | aa |  | 739 | Y B N | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |
|  | 571 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 664 | Y M N | aa | aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 665 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 669 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cross 108x109 RAD11 (Hinfl) and RAD09 (HaellI).

| Cross | 108x 109 Phenotype Genotype |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ID |  | RAD11 | RAD09 | Recombination | ID |  | RAD11 | RAD09 | Recombination colour/banding | ID |  | RAD11 | RAD09 $a a$ | Recombination |
| Parent 1 | 108 | POL | Aa | Aa |  | 536 | POL | $A a$ | Aa |  | 281 | Y OL |  |  |  |
| Parent 2 | 109 | YML | aa | aa |  | 537 | PML | $A a$ | Aa |  | 299 | YOL | aa | aa |  |
| Offspring | 116 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 561 | PML | $a \mathrm{a}$ | Aa | RAD11/supergene | 300 | YOA |  | aa |  |
|  | 275 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 562 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 482 | YOL | aa | aa |  |
|  | 276 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 563 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 483 | YOL | aa | aa |  |
|  | 487 | PML |  | Aa |  | 564 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 484 | YOL | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |
|  | 488 | PML | aa | Aa | RAD11/supergene | 565 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 485 | YOA | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |
|  | 489 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 566 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 486 | YOA |  | aa |  |
|  | 490 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 567 | PML | $A a$ | Aa |  | 530 | YOA |  | aa |  |
|  | 491 | PML | Aa | $A a$ |  | 573 | PML | $A a$ | Aa |  | 531 | YOA | aa | aa |  |
|  | 492 | PML | Aa | $A a$ |  | 574 | PML | $A a$ | Aa |  | 532 | YOL | aa | aa |  |
|  | 493 | PML | $A a$ | $A a$ |  | 575 | PML |  | aa | supergene/RAD09 | 533 | YOA | aa | aa |  |
|  | 494 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 269 | YOL | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  | 534 | YOL | aa | aa |  |
|  | 495 | PML | Aa |  |  | 270 | YOA | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  | 535 | YOL | aa | aa |  |
|  | 496 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 277 | YOA |  | $a{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 559 | YOA | aa | $a{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | 497 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 278 | YOA | aa | aa |  | 560 | YOA | aa | aa |  |
|  | 498 | PML | Aa | $A a$ |  | 279 | YOL | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  | 572 | YOA | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  |
|  | 499 | PML | Aa | Aa |  | 280 | YOL | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cross 451x452 RAD06 (Ddel) and RAD09 (BstUI).

| Cross: | 451x452 Phenotype Genotype |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ID | RADO | RAD09 | Recombination |  | ID | RAD06 | 6 RAD09 | Recombination |  | ID | RAD06 | RAD09 | Recombination |
| Parent 1 | 451 P M N | $A a$ | $A a$ |  | 750 | Y M N | aa | aa |  | 800 | P M juv | aa |  |  |
| Parent 2 | 452 Y B N | aa | aa |  | 751 | Y B N | aa | aa |  | 804 | PM H | aa | Aa | RAD06/super/hyaloz |
| Offspring | 691 P B N | Aa | Aa |  | 752 | Y B N | aa | aa |  | 805 | Y M H | aa | aa |  |
|  | 692 P M N | $A a$ | Aa |  | 753 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 806 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 695 Y B N | aa | aa |  | 754 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 807 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |
|  | 697 P M N | $A a$ | Aa |  | 755 | Y M N | aa | aa |  | 808 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |
|  | 698 P M N | Aa | Aa |  | 756 | Y M H | aa | aa |  | 809 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 699 P B N | Aa | Aa |  | 757 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 810 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 700 P B N | $A a$ | Aa |  | 758 | P B N | Aa | Aa |  | 811 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 701 P B N | $A a$ | Aa |  | 767 | Y M N | aa | aa |  | 812 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 702 P B N | $A a$ | Aa |  | 768 | Y B N | aa | aa |  | 813 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 703 P B N | $A a$ | Aa |  | 769 | Y M H | aa | aa |  | 814 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 704 P B N | $A a$ | Aa |  | 770 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 815 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 705 P M N |  | Aa |  | 771 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 816 | P B N | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 706 P B N | Aa | Aa |  | 772 | PBN | aa | Aa | RAD06/supergene | 825 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 707 Y M N | aa | aa |  | 773 | P B N | Aa | Aa |  | 826 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |
|  | 708 Y M H | aa | aa |  | 774 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 827 | YBN | aa | aa |  |
|  | 709 Y B H | aa | aa |  | 775 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 828 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |
|  | 714 Y B H | aa | aa |  | 785 | PMN | aa | Aa | RAD06/supergene | 830 | $Y M N$ |  | aa |  |
|  | 715 P M N | $A a$ | Aa |  | 786 | Y B N | aa | aa |  | 833 | PMN | Aa | aa | supergene/RAD09 |
|  | 716 P M N | $A a$ | Aa |  | 787 | YM H | aa | aa |  | 834 | YMN | aa | aa |  |
|  | 724 Y B N | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 788 | PMH | Aa | Aa | colour/hyalozonate | 835 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |
|  | 725 Y B N | aa | aa |  | 795 | PMN | $A a$ | Aa |  | 836 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |
|  | 726 Y M N | aa | Aa | supergene/RAD09 | 796 | P M N | Aa | Aa |  | 837 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |


| 727 P M N | Aa | $A a$ | 797 | PMN | Aa | $A a$ |  | 838 | PMN | Aa | $A a$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 728 Y B N | aa | aa | 799 | YMN | aa | aa |  | 839 | YBH | aa | aa |  |
| 849 Y B N | aa | aa | 883 | Y M H | aa | aa |  | 915 | M N juv | Aa | Aa |  |
| 850 P B N | Aa | Aa | 885 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 916 | B N juv | $A a$ | $A a$ |  |
| 852 Y B N | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | 886 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 917 | B N juv | $A a$ | Aa |  |
| 854 Y B N | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | 887 | YMN | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 918 | Y N juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  |
| 855 Y M N | aa | aa | 888 | YBH | aa | aa |  | 919 | Y N juv | aa | aa |  |
| 856 Y M N | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | 889 | YM H | Aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 920 | M H juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  |
| 857 Y M H | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | 890a | PM H | Aa |  | colour/hyalozonate | 925 | P NM |  | aa |  |
| 858 Y M H | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa | 890b | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 926 | PM H | Aa | Aa | colour/hyalozonate |
| 859 Y B N | Aa | aa | RAD06/supergene 893a | PBN | Aa | Aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 860 P B N | Aa | Aa | 895a | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 861 P B N | Aa | $A a$ | 914 P | M $N$ juv | Aa | Aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cross $662 \times 665$ RAD06 (Mspl) and RAD09 (HaellI).

| Cross: | 662x665 Phenotype Genotype |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ID | RAD06 | RAD09 | Recombination |  | ID | RAD06 | 6 RAD09 | Recombination |  | ID | RAD06 | RAD09 | Recombination |
| Parent 1 | 662 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 789 | Y B N | aa | aa |  | 851 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  |
| Parent 2 | 665 | Y B N | aa | aa |  | 794 | Y M H | Aa | aa | RAD06/supergene | 853 | P B N | Aa | Aa |  |
| Offspring | 717 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 798 | Y B H | aa | aa |  | 862 | Y B H | aa | aa |  |
|  | 718 | Y B H | aa | aa |  | 801 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 863 | YMH | aa | aa |  |
|  | 719 | P B N | Aa | Aa |  | 802 | P B N | Aa | Aa |  | 864 | Y B N | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |
|  | 720 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 803 | Y M H | aa | aa |  | 865 | Y B H | aa | aa |  |
|  | 721 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 817 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 866 | Y M N | aa | aa |  |
|  | 722 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 818 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 867 | YM H | a | a |  |
|  | 723 | Y B N | aa | aa |  | 819 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 868 | Y M N | aa | aa |  |
|  | 740 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 820 | Y B N | aa | aa |  | 869 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |
|  | 741 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 821 | PMH | Aa | Aa | hyalozonate/colour | 870 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 743 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 822 | PMH | Aa | Aa | hyalozonate/colour | 871 | PMN | Aa | aa | supergene/RAD09 |
|  | 744 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 829 | PMN | aa | Aa | RAD06/supergene | 872 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 745 | Y M N | aa | aa |  | 831 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 873 | Y B N juv | aa | aa |  |
|  | 746 | YBN | aa | aa |  | 832 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 874 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 747 | YBN | aa | aa |  | 840 | YMN | aa | aa |  | 875 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 748 | Y B N | aa | aa |  | 841 | Y B H |  | aa |  | 876 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 759 | Y M H | aa | aa |  | 842 | Y M H | aa | aa |  | 877 | Y B N | aa | aa |  |
|  | 760 | Y B H | aa | aa |  | 843 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 878 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 761 | Y B H | aa | aa |  | 844 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 879 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
|  | 762 | YMN | aa | aa |  | 845 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 880 | Y M N | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |
|  | 763 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 846 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 881 | Y M N | Aa | aa | RAD06/supergene |
|  | 764 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 847 | Y M H | aa | aa |  | 882 | YM H | aa | aa |  |
|  | 765 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 848 | Y M H | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  | 900 | P M N juv | Aa | Aa |  |


| 901 Y M H | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 935 | P M N juv | Aa | aa | supergene/RAD09 | 958 | Y M H juv | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 902 Y B H juv | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 936 | PM N juv | $A a$ | Aa |  | 959 | Y B H juv | aa | aa |  |
| 903 Y B N juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 937 | P M N juv | Aa | Aa |  | 960 | Y M H juv | aa | aa |  |
| 904 Y B H juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 939 | P M N juv | Aa | Aa |  | 961 | Y B H juv | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| 905 Y B N juv | aa | aa |  | 940 | PM N juv |  | aa | supergene/RAD09 | 962 | Y B H juv | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| 906 P B N juv | $A a$ | Aa |  | 941 | PM N juv |  | Aa |  | 963 | Y M H juv | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| 907 P B N juv | $A a$ | Aa |  | 942 | PM N juv | Aa | Aa |  | 964 | Y B H juv | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| 908 P B N juv | Aa | Aa |  | 943 | P M N juv | Aa | Aa |  | 966 | P M H juv | Aa | Aa | hyalozonate/colour |
| 909 P B N juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | Aa |  | 944 | P M N juv | Aa | Aa |  | 967 | P B N juv | Aa | Aa |  |
| 911 Y B N | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 945 | P M H juv | Aa | Aa | colour/hyalozonate | 968 | P M H juv | Aa | Aa | hyalozonate/colour |
| 912 Y M H | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 946 | P M H juv | Aa | Aa | colour/hyalozonate | 891a | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
| 923 P B N | Aa | Aa |  | 947 | P B N juv |  | Aa |  | 892a | PM H | Aa | Aa |  |
| 924 PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 948 | P B N juv | Aa | Aa |  | 895b | PM N juv | Aa | Aa |  |
| 927 PM N | Aa | Aa |  | 949 | P B N juv | Aa | aa | supergene/RAD09 | 896a | PMN | Aa | Aa |  |
| 928 PMN |  | $a \mathrm{a}$ | supergene/RAD09 | 950 | P B N juv | Aa | Aa |  | 896b | PM N juv | Aa | Aa |  |
| 929 Y B N juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 951 | P B N juv | $A a$ | Aa |  | 897b | P M N juv | Aa | Aa |  |
| 930 YBN | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 952 | P B N juv | Aa | $A a$ |  | 898a | PM N juv | Aa | Aa |  |
| 931 Y B N juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 953 | P B N juv | Aa | $A a$ |  | 898b | P M N juv | Aa | Aa |  |
| 932 Y B N juv | aa | aa |  | 955 | PBN juv | Aa | Aa |  | 899a | P B N juv | aa | Aa |  |
| 933 Y B N juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 956 | P B N juv | $A a$ | Aa |  | 899b | P M N juv | Aa | Aa |  |
| 934 P M N juv | Aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ | supergene/RAD09 | 957 | P B N juv | $A a$ | Aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cross $825 \times 841$ RAD06 (Mspl) and RAD09 (HaellI).

| Cross: | 825x841 Phenotype Genotype |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ID | RAD06 | RAD09 | Recombination |  | ID | RAD06 | 6 RAD09 | Recombination |  | ID | RAD06 | RAD09 | Recombination |
| Parent 1 | 825 | PM N | Aa | Aa |  | 1004 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 1028 | Y M H juv | Aa | Aa | RAD06/supergene |
| Parent 2 | 841 | Y B H | aa | aa |  | 1005 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 1029 | Y B H juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  |
| Offspring | 969 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 1006 | YBH | aa | aa |  | 1030 | Y M H juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  |
|  | 970 | PM N | Aa | Aa |  | 1007 | YBH | aa | aa |  | 1031 | Y B H juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | a |  |
|  | 971 | YM H |  | aa |  | 1008 | PBN |  | Aa |  | 1033 | Y B H juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  |
|  | 972 | P B N |  | Aa |  | 1009 | PM N juv | Aa | Aa |  | 1034 | Y B H juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | a |  |
|  | 973 | PMN | Aa |  |  | 1010 | P M N juv | Aa | $A a$ |  | 1035 | Y B juv | aa | aa |  |
|  | 974 | PMN | $A a$ |  |  | 1011 | PMN |  | aa | supergene/RAD09 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 975 | Y M H juv | Aa | aa | RAD06/supergene | 1012 | PM N juv | Aa | Aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 976 | Y M H |  | aa |  | 1013 | P B N juv |  | Aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 978 | Y M H | Aa | aa | RAD06/supergene | 1014 | PM N juv | Aa | Aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 979 | Y M H | aa | aa |  | 1015 | Y B N juv | aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 980 | Y M H |  | aa |  | 1016 | P M H juv | Aa | Aa | colour/hyalozonate |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 981 | Y M H | aa | aa |  | 1017 | P B N | Aa | Aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 984 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 1018 | PM N juv | Aa | Aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 987 | PBN | Aa | Aa |  | 1019 | P M H juv | $A a$ | Aa | colour/hyalozonate |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 989 | PBN | $A a$ | Aa |  | 1020 | PM N juv | Aa | Aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 990 | P B N juv | Aa | Aa |  | 1021 | PM N juv | Aa | Aa | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 995 | Y M H |  | aa |  | 1022 | PM N juv | Aa | Aa | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 998 | YBH | aa | aa |  | 1023 | P B N juv | Aa | Aa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 999 | PBN | Aa |  |  | 1024 | P B N juv | aa | Aa | RAD06/supergene |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1000 | Y M H |  | aa |  | 1025 | Y M H juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1001 | PMN | Aa | Aa |  | 1026 | Y M H juv | $a \mathrm{a}$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1002 | Y B H | aa | aa |  | 1027 | Y B H juv | aa | aa | a |  |  |  |  |  |

Cross $118 \times 119$ RAD8 (Hinfl) and RAD10 (DpnlI).

| Cross: | 118x119 Phenotype Genotype |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ID |  | RAD8 | 8 RAD10 | Recombination |  | ID | RAD8 | RAD10 | Recombination | ID | D | RAD8 | RAD10 Recombination |
| Parent 1 | 118 | Y M | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  | 462 | 2 Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 398 | PM | aa | aa |
| Parent 2 | 119 | PM | Aa | Aa |  | 463 | 3 Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 399 | PM | aa | aa |
| Offspring | 353 | Y M | $A a$ | Aa |  | 465 | 5 Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 410 | PM | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |
|  | 354 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 466 | 6 Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 411 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 355 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 468 | 8 Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 415 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 356 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 538 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 417 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 391 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 539 | 9 Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 419 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 392 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 540 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 420 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 393 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 469 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 453 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 400 | Y M | $A a$ | Aa |  | 470 | 0 Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 454 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 412 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 471 | 1 YM | Aa | Aa |  | 455 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 413 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 472 | 2 Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 456 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 414 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 473 | 3 Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 457 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 418 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 474 | 4 YM | Aa | $A a$ |  | 458 | PM | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |
|  | 421 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 357 | 7 PM | aa | aa |  | 459 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 432 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 358 | 8 PM | aa | aa |  | 460 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 433 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 359 | 9 PM | aa | aa |  | 541 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 434 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 360 | P M | aa | aa |  | 542 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 435 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 361 | 1 PM | aa | aa |  | 543 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 436 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 362 | 2 PM | aa | aa |  | 416 | PM | aa | aa |
|  | 437 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 394 | 4 PM | aa | $a \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 438 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 395 | 5 PM | $a \mathrm{a}$ | aa |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 439 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 396 | 6 PM | aa | aa |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 461 | Y M | Aa | Aa |  | 397 | 7 P M | aa | aa |  |  |  |  |  |

Cross $104 \times 105$ all markers were homozygous. Thus, not informative results came out of this offspring.

| Cross: | $4 \times 10$ | notyp | Genotype |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parent 1 | 104 | P O | All markers homozygous - not informative | 329 | PO |
| Parent 2 | 105 | Y M |  | 330 | PO |
| Offspring | 192 | P O |  | 331 | PO |
|  | 193 | P O |  | 332 | PO |
|  | 194 | PO |  | 333 | P O |
|  | 197 | PO |  | 118 | Y M |
|  | 198 | PO |  | 178 | YM |
|  | 199 | P O |  | 179 | YM |
|  | 200 | PO |  | 180 | Y M |
|  | 256 | PO |  | 181 | Y M |
|  | 257 | PO |  | 201 | YM |
|  | 321 | PO |  | 202 | YM |
|  | 322 | PO |  | 249 | YM |
|  | 323 | PO |  | 250 | YM |
|  | 324 | PO |  | 251 | YM |
|  | 327 | PO |  | 252 | YM |
|  | 328 | PO |  | 254 | YM |
|  | 334 | PO |  | 317 | Y M |
|  | 401 | PO |  | 402 | YM |
|  | 195 | PO |  | 203 | YM |
|  | 196 | PO |  | 253 | YM |
|  | 255 | PO |  | 318 | YM |
|  | 325 | PO |  | 319 | YM |
|  | 326 | P O |  | 320 | YM |

Table S2.4. Inferred genotypes of all individuals. Shells with a phenotype that may be due to a potential recombination event in parent are shown in bold.

| Cross \#1 | Locus | Parent 1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Parent } 2 \\ & \text { C101 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Offspring |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phenotype |  | P O |  | x | YM |  | Sum PM |  |  | YO |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103 | 56 |  | 47 |  |  |
| Genotype | Colour | $C^{P}$ | $C^{r}$ |  | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ |  | $C^{P}$ | $C^{r}$ | $C^{r}$ | $C^{Y}$ |  |
|  | Banding | $B^{B}$ | $B^{\circ}$ |  | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ |  | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{\circ}$ | $B^{B}$ |  |
|  | Mid-band | $U^{3}$ | $U^{3}$ |  | $U^{3}$ | $U^{3 /-}$ |  | $U^{3}$ | $U^{3 /-}$ | $U^{3}$ | $U^{3 /-}$ |  |
| Cross \#2 |  | C102 |  |  | C103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phenotype |  | P O |  | $\times$ | YM |  | Sum | P O |  | YM | Y O |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 20 |  | 20 | 3 |  |
| Genotype | Colour | $C^{P}$ | $C^{r}$ |  | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ |  | $C^{P}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{r}$ | $C^{Y} C^{P}$ | $C^{r}$ |
|  | Banding | $B^{\circ}$ | $B^{B}$ |  | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ |  | $B^{\circ}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B} B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ |
|  | Mid-band | $U^{3}$ | $U^{3}$ |  | $U^{3 /-}$ | $U^{3 /-}$ |  | $U^{3}$ | $U^{3 /-}$ | $U^{3}$ | $U^{3 /-} U^{3}$ | $U^{3 /-}$ |
| Cross \#3 |  | C104 |  |  | C105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phenotype |  | P O |  | x | YM |  | Sum | PO |  | YM |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 27 |  | 19 |  |  |
| Genotype | Colour | $C^{P}$ | $C^{r}$ |  | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{r}$ |  | $C^{P}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{r}$ | $C^{Y}$ |  |
|  | Banding | $B^{\circ}$ | $B^{B}$ |  | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ |  | $B^{\circ}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ |  |
|  | Mid-band | $U^{3}$ | $U^{3}$ |  | $U^{3 /-}$ | $U^{3 /-}$ |  | $U^{3}$ | $U^{3 /-}$ | $U^{3}$ | $U^{3 /-}$ |  |
| Cross \#4 |  | C110 |  |  | C111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phenotype |  | P O |  | x | YB |  | Sum | PB |  | YO |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  | 10 |  |  |
| Genotype | Colour | $C^{P}$ | $C^{r}$ |  | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ |  | $C^{P}$ | $C^{r}$ | $C^{r}$ | $C^{Y}$ |  |
|  | Banding | $B^{B}$ | $B^{\circ}$ |  | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ |  | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{\circ}$ | $B^{B}$ |  |
|  | Mid-band | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ |  | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ |  | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ |  |




| Cross \#13 |  | C825 |  | C841 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phenotype |  | PMN | x | Y B H |  | Sum P M N |  |  | P B N | YMN |  | Y B N |  | Y M H |  | Y B H |  | P M H |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 | 14 |  | 18 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 20 |  | 9 |  | 2 |  |
| Genotype | Colour | $C^{P}$ | $C^{\gamma}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ |  | $C^{P}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{P}$ | $C^{Y} C^{Y}$ | $C^{\gamma}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{\gamma}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ |  | $C^{Y}$ |
|  | Banding | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ |  | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B} B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ |
|  | Band pigmentation | $P^{N}$ | $P^{H}$ | $P^{H}$ | $P^{H}$ |  | $P^{N}$ | $P^{H}$ | $P^{N}$ | $P^{H} P^{N}$ | $P^{H}$ | $P^{N}$ | $P^{H}$ | $P^{H}$ | $P^{H}$ | $P^{H}$ | $P^{H}$ | $P^{H}$ | $P^{H}$ |
|  | Mid-band | $U^{3}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ |  | $U^{3}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-} U^{3}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{3}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{3}$ | $U^{-}$ |
| Cross \#14 |  | C568 |  | C569 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phenotype |  | Y B (12345) x |  | Y O |  | Sum | Y B (12 | 2345) | Y O |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 44 | 28 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Genotype | Colour |  |  | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ |  | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ | $C^{Y}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Banding | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{O}$ | $B^{B}$ |  | $B^{B}$ | $B^{B}$ | $B^{O}$ | $B^{B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spread-banding | $S^{S}$ | $S^{S}$ | $S^{-}$ | $S^{-}$ |  | $S^{S}$ | $S^{-}$ | $S^{-}$ | $S^{S}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mid-band | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ |  | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ | $U^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 3:

Figure S3.1. The following density graphs illustrate the quality of the vcf file. Phred quality score (top-left), the variant mean depth (top-right), individual missing data (centre-left) and the variant missing data (centre-right) for each loci were plotted. Minor allele frequency density graphs of the entire variant calling data (bottom-left) and the filtered file (bottom-right) are illustrated. Filtering removed all the loci with minor allele frequency lower or equal to $10 \%$ ruling out possible genotyping error rates and invariant loci.

Figure S3.2. Correlation between contig length and the number of SNPs present in the whole-genome dataset.

Figure S3.3. The proportion of the variation explained by each axis returned by the principal components analysis.

Figure S3.4. Cross validation error results for each admixture group (K).
Table S3.1. Illumina reads sequenced per individual and allelic coverage of the double restriction site-associated DNA (RAD) loci dataset.

Table S3.2. Contigs surrounding the supergene described by Dr. Suzanne Saenko, RAD-seq loci mapping supergene-linked contigs and supergene-linked contigs (with their RAD-seq loci started position) presenting SNP's in the filtered dataset and their SNP's position.

Table S.3.3. PCA data for the whole-genome dataset.
Table S.3.4. All contigs present in the final dataset showing their length and number of SNPs. Moreover, Mantel test results between genetic distance of each RAD genomic regions and the geographical distance.
Supplementary Material: The allelic balance at heterozygotes to detect
contamination for each sample can be access by request.

## Variant Calling quality test



Minor allele frequency


Figure S3.1. Quality checks of the variant calling dataset and filtering.


Figure S3.2. Correlation between contig length and the number of SNPs present in the wholegenome dataset.

## Principal component variance explained



Figure S3.3. The proportion of the variation explained by each axis returned by the principal components analysis.


Figure S3.4. Cross validation error results for each admixture group (K).

Table S3.1. lllumina reads sequenced per individual and allelic coverage of the double restriction site-associated DNA (RAD) loci dataset.

|  |  |  |  | Genotype count final dataset |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID samples | HQ reads | HQ reads mapped to reference | $\%$ reads mapped to reference | .$/$. | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 1$ | $1 / 1$ |
| 26 | 8849929 | 8441460 | 0.95 | 1861 | 3835 | 1231 | 1755 |
| 27 | 7719430 | 7382608 | 0.96 | 1709 | 4054 | 1097 | 1816 |
| 28 | 5375147 | 5133507 | 0.96 | 1864 | 4080 | 1198 | 1531 |
| 29 | 8984822 | 8611299 | 0.96 | 1216 | 4414 | 1367 | 1679 |
| 30 | 6930986 | 6530062 | 0.94 | 1954 | 3268 | 1017 | 2436 |
| 31 | 7148020 | 6868309 | 0.96 | 983 | 4200 | 1375 | 2114 |
| 32 | 8108195 | 7679710 | 0.95 | 1518 | 3699 | 1250 | 2207 |
| 33 | 8484716 | 8049748 | 0.95 | 1364 | 3907 | 1258 | 2141 |
| 34 | 8893638 | 8449811 | 0.95 | 1476 | 3883 | 1057 | 2259 |
| 35 | 10029342 | 9499300 | 0.95 | 1821 | 3281 | 1142 | 2427 |
| 36 | 8517263 | 8160706 | 0.96 | 1708 | 3776 | 1099 | 2098 |
| 37 | 6564917 | 6220633 | 0.95 | 1714 | 3786 | 1095 | 2084 |
| 38 | 4831810 | 4617760 | 0.96 | 3284 | 2966 | 804 | 1630 |
| 39 | 4246532 | 3982228 | 0.94 | 2999 | 3144 | 870 | 1666 |
| 40 | 9898068 | 9440156 | 0.95 | 1591 | 3886 | 1202 | 2000 |
| 41 | 7803244 | 7436938 | 0.95 | 1685 | 3648 | 1075 | 2267 |
| 42 | 8559966 | 7946228 | 0.93 | 2153 | 3398 | 647 | 2478 |
| 43 | 4244115 | 3909122 | 0.92 | 3093 | 2904 | 799 | 1881 |
| 44 | 8127575 | 7458672 | 0.92 | 1862 | 3528 | 909 | 2374 |
| 45 | 10456078 | 9967158 | 0.95 | 1194 | 4028 | 1483 | 1973 |
| 46 | 6833045 | 6582555 | 0.96 | 1395 | 4348 | 1061 | 1873 |
| 47 | 6327893 | 6097916 | 0.96 | 1699 | 4181 | 989 | 1812 |
| 48 | 9159767 | 8690192 | 0.95 | 1121 | 4647 | 1281 | 1630 |
| 49 | 7681527 | 7369040 | 0.96 | 1062 | 4537 | 1310 | 1768 |
| 50 | 7770439 | 7427688 |  | 0.96 | 1242 | 4230 | 1195 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 51 | 1896319 | 1824665 | 0.96 | 3113 | 3302 | 959 | 1304 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | 8045555 | 7750617 | 0.96 | 1257 | 4520 | 1128 | 1771 |
| 53 | 7050933 | 6767607 | 0.96 | 1649 | 4187 | 1044 | 1800 |
| 54 | 7992130 | 7683529 | 0.96 | 900 | 4537 | 1314 | 1929 |
| 55 | 9381678 | 5068571 | 0.95 | 1374 | 3562 | 1230 | 2507 |
| 56 | 5344676 | - | - | 1105 | 3944 | 1463 | 2159 |
| 57 | 188180 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 58 | 58766 | 5874845 | -96 | - | - | - |  |
| 59 | 6123971 | 7211956 | 0.96 | 892 | 3586 | 1601 | 2587 |
| 60 | 7544753 | 6583604 | 0.96 | 1262 | 4027 | 1102 | 2282 |
| 61 | 6842140 | 7688658 | 0.95 | 3894 | 2597 | 707 | 1480 |
| 62 | 2008007 | 6129393 | 0.95 | 1667 | 3751 | 1239 | 2019 |
| 63 | 8119802 | 6050609 | 0.95 | 1945 | 3679 | 1131 | 1923 |
| 64 | 6463278 | 7232495 | 0.95 | 2428 | 3079 | 1077 | 2096 |
| 65 | 6384347 |  |  | 1519 | 4080 | 1165 | 1915 |
| 66 | 7585286 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table S3.2. Contigs surrounding the supergene described by Dr. Suzanne Saenko, RAD-seq loci mapping supergene-linked contigs and supergene-linked contigs (with their RAD-seq loci started position) presenting SNP's in the filtered dataset and their SNP's position.

| Supergene Contigs | RAD-seq Supergene contigs | RAD-seq supergene variant (Starting-pos) | RAD-seq supergene SNPs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg61795 | ctg61795 | ctg 18101-31427 | ctg18101-31462 |
| ctg57160 | ctg57160 | ctg 7799-57269 | ctg18101-31485 |
| ctg57388 | ctg57388 | ctg6465-72273 | ctg18101-31487 |
| ctg52372 | ctg52372 | ctg10711-128872 | ctg18101-31497 |
| ctg46636 | ctg 46636 | tig00001874-209300/209316 | ctg18101-31568 |
| ctg45378 | ctg 45378 | tig02170041 (RAD8/10)-201334 | ctg7799-57301 |
| ctg41688 | ctg41688 | tig00045252-71 | ctg7799-57375 |
| ctg40857 | ctg40857 |  | ctg6465-72280 |
| ctg38937 | ctg38937 |  | ctg6465-72292 |
| ctg33047 | ctg33047 |  | ctg6465-72313 |
| ctg33079 | ctg33079 |  | ctg6465-72337 |
| ctg31172 | ctg31172 |  | ctg6465-72351 |
| ctg30377 | ctg30377 |  | ctg6465-72417 |
| ctg29158 | ctg29158 |  | ctg 10711-128947 |
| ctg26173 | ctg26173 |  | ctg 10711-128949 |
| ctg22062 | ctg22062 |  | ctg 10711-128990 |
| ctg29028 | ctg29028 |  | ctg10711-129013 |
| ctg23215 | ctg23215 |  | tig00001874-209360 |
| ctg 19577 | ctg 19577 |  | tig00001874-209405 |
| ctg26823 (RAD06) | ctg26823 (RAD06) |  | tig00001874-209411 |
| ctg 18462 | ctg 18462 |  | tig00001874-209414 |
| ctg 18261 | ctg 18261 |  | tig00001874-209423 |
| ctg27326 | ctg27326 |  | tig00001874-209432 |
| ctg31541 | ctg 31541 |  | tig02170041-203393 |
| ctg 14665 | ctg 14665 |  | tig02170041-203394 |


| $\operatorname{ctg} 15079$ | $\operatorname{ctg} 15079$ | tig02170041-203482 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg 14676 | $\operatorname{ctg} 14676$ | tig00045252-132 |
| ctg 10733 | $\operatorname{ctg} 10733$ | tig00045252-137 |
| ctg 15298 | $\operatorname{ctg} 15298$ | tig00045252-141 |
| ctg9575 | ctg9575 | tig00045252-207 |
| ctg8491 | ctg8491 | tig00045252-209 |
| ctg4892 | ctg4892 |  |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 12182$ | $\operatorname{ctg} 12182$ |  |
| ctg 39989 |  |  |
| ctg23821 |  |  |
| ctg 18101 |  |  |
| ctg 15973 |  |  |
| ctg29354 |  |  |
| ctg 14244 |  |  |
| ctg 15341 |  |  |
| ctg 16135 |  |  |
| ctg7799 |  |  |
| ctg6901 |  |  |
| ctg 12782 |  |  |
| ctg5603 (RAD06) |  |  |
| ctg6465 |  |  |
| ctg20315 |  |  |
| ctg7855 |  |  |
| ctg7898 |  |  |
| ctg 10711 |  |  |
| contig_18515 |  |  |
| tig02169229 |  |  |
| ctg5701 (RAD9) |  |  |
| ctg8479 |  |  |

ctg6294
tig00001874
tig02170041 (RAD8/10)
tig00398893
tig00012620
tig00045252

Table S3.3. PCA data for the whole-genome dataset.

| ID | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PC7 | PC8 | PC9 | PC10 | PC11 | PC12 | PC13 | PC14 | PC15 | PC16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 0.155 | 0.080 | 0.041 | -0.040 | 0.529 | -0.196 | 0.297 | -0.139 | -0.170 | -0.053 | 0.023 | 0.037 | 0.013 | -0.037 | 0.040 | 0.017 |
| 27 | 0.136 | 0.076 | 0.054 | 0.082 | 0.072 | -0.018 | -0.175 | 0.200 | 0.088 | 0.055 | 0.780 | -0.237 | -0.005 | -0.288 | -0.017 | 0.153 |
| 28 | 0.154 | 0.074 | 0.032 | -0.062 | 0.095 | -0.076 | -0.210 | 0.125 | 0.192 | 0.267 | -0.197 | -0.132 | 0.038 | -0.008 | -0.043 | -0.459 |
| 29 | 0.166 | 0.109 | 0.057 | -0.122 | 0.087 | -0.128 | -0.209 | 0.062 | 0.134 | 0.223 | -0.078 | -0.153 | 0.049 | -0.044 | -0.017 | -0.281 |
| 30 | -0.279 | 0.149 | -0.221 | -0.050 | 0.050 | -0.156 | -0.042 | 0.000 | 0.030 | -0.072 | 0.013 | -0.040 | -0.062 | 0.026 | 0.037 | -0.035 |
| 31 | 0.073 | 0.034 | 0.002 | 0.095 | -0.035 | -0.116 | -0.050 | 0.091 | -0.088 | 0.347 | -0.338 | -0.317 | 0.213 | -0.311 | -0.180 | 0.318 |
| 32 | 0.026 | -0.321 | -0.096 | -0.059 | 0.004 | 0.031 | 0.057 | -0.020 | 0.043 | -0.136 | 0.137 | -0.033 | 0.011 | -0.006 | -0.204 | -0.333 |
| 33 | 0.013 | -0.308 | -0.082 | -0.010 | 0.022 | 0.017 | 0.049 | -0.099 | 0.015 | -0.082 | 0.021 | -0.011 | 0.118 | 0.068 | -0.267 | -0.254 |
| 34 | 0.026 | -0.324 | -0.129 | -0.085 | -0.033 | 0.003 | 0.021 | 0.098 | 0.024 | -0.142 | -0.104 | -0.133 | 0.057 | -0.110 | -0.021 | 0.115 |
| 35 | -0.270 | 0.144 | -0.244 | -0.022 | -0.020 | -0.142 | -0.068 | -0.02 | 0.054 | -0.022 | 0.004 | 0.013 | -0.020 | -0.006 | 0.055 | 0.011 |
| 36 | 0.040 | -0.001 | -0.020 | 0.500 | 0.024 | 0.113 | -0.057 | -0.207 | 0.125 | -0.020 | -0.118 | -0.175 | -0.159 | 0.045 | 0.174 | 0.005 |
| 37 | 0.039 | -0.013 | -0.015 | 0.527 | 0.072 | 0.122 | -0.082 | -0.15 | 0.050 | -0.068 | -0.04 | -0.207 | -0.204 | 0.100 | 0.154 | 0.017 |
| 38 | 0.070 | 0.066 | 0.007 | 0.280 | 0.045 | 0.072 | -0.079 | 0.515 | -0.089 | -0.154 | -0.142 | 0.345 | 0.089 | -0.096 | 0.029 | -0.027 |
| 39 | 0.061 | 0.049 | 0.041 | 0.268 | 0.060 | 0.072 | -0.035 | 0.390 | -0.191 | -0.095 | 0.008 | 0.288 | 0.162 | 0.192 | -0.137 | -0.078 |
| 40 | -0.033 | 0.137 | -0.018 | -0.167 | -0.004 | 0.570 | 0.144 | -0.030 | -0.011 | 0.060 | 0.019 | 0.038 | -0.125 | -0.118 | 0.029 | -0.046 |
| 41 | -0.188 | 0.111 | -0.069 | 0.039 | -0.046 | 0.195 | 0.193 | -0.047 | -0.213 | 0.117 | -0.045 | -0.114 | 0.067 | -0.100 | -0.348 | 0.013 |
| 42 | -0.325 | -0.096 | 0.467 | -0.032 | -0.004 | -0.061 | 0.015 | 0.033 | 0.031 | -0.015 | -0.020 | -0.022 | -0.025 | -0.006 | 0.015 | 0.045 |
| 43 | -0.277 | -0.073 | 0.436 | -0.029 | -0.012 | -0.030 | -0.003 | 0.003 | -0.021 | -0.054 | -0.012 | -0.020 | 0.025 | 0.002 | 0.068 | -0.050 |
| 44 | -0.301 | -0.078 | 0.447 | -0.023 | 0.009 | -0.049 | -0.032 | 0.029 | 0.016 | -0.014 | 0.002 | -0.040 | -0.036 | 0.009 | 0.005 | -0.012 |
| 45 | -0.031 | 0.144 | -0.013 | -0.134 | -0.017 | 0.484 | 0.156 | 0.008 | -0.133 | 0.043 | -0.038 | -0.036 | -0.083 | -0.247 | 0.186 | -0.165 |
| 46 | 0.169 | 0.099 | 0.066 | -0.070 | -0.205 | -0.097 | -0.241 | -0.296 | -0.379 | -0.091 | -0.028 | 0.070 | 0.041 | -0.028 | -0.004 | -0.133 |
| 47 | 0.162 | 0.105 | 0.080 | -0.033 | -0.219 | -0.038 | -0.286 | -0.279 | -0.458 | -0.141 | 0.081 | 0.039 | 0.176 | -0.014 | 0.086 | 0.056 |
| 48 | 0.180 | 0.071 | 0.064 | -0.210 | 0.072 | 0.071 | -0.219 | -0.040 | 0.268 | -0.132 | 0.043 | 0.131 | -0.161 | 0.061 | 0.224 | -0.056 |
| 49 | 0.161 | 0.084 | 0.037 | -0.198 | 0.015 | 0.111 | -0.131 | -0.031 | 0.261 | -0.163 | -0.167 | 0.102 | 0.045 | -0.052 | -0.173 | 0.198 |
| 50 | 0.077 | 0.130 | 0.026 | -0.097 | -0.088 | 0.190 | 0.105 | 0.068 | 0.026 | 0.155 | 0.104 | -0.285 | 0.277 | 0.750 | -0.015 | 0.129 |
| 51 | 0.114 | 0.101 | 0.050 | -0.182 | -0.005 | 0.037 | -0.055 | -0.010 | 0.264 | -0.185 | -0.153 | 0.120 | 0.089 | -0.003 | 0.016 | 0.376 |
| 52 | 0.170 | 0.102 | 0.063 | -0.038 | -0.133 | -0.147 | 0.064 | 0.075 | -0.084 | -0.072 | -0.034 | -0.017 | -0.713 | 0.158 | -0.477 | 0.107 |
| 53 | 0.135 | 0.111 | 0.036 | 0.079 | -0.377 | -0.203 | 0.417 | 0.019 | 0.155 | -0.035 | 0.015 | 0.036 | 0.074 | -0.088 | 0.134 | -0.123 |
| 54 | 0.130 | 0.102 | 0.026 | 0.070 | -0.390 | -0.209 | 0.395 | -0.019 | 0.171 | 0.007 | 0.060 | 0.081 | 0.076 | -0.071 | 0.151 | -0.071 |
| 55 | -0.271 | 0.166 | -0.226 | -0.028 | 0.023 | -0.097 | -0.042 | -0.015 | 0.012 | -0.028 | 0.031 | 0.033 | -0.069 | 0.039 | 0.014 | 0.025 |


| 56 | 0.024 | -0.305 | -0.116 | -0.063 | -0.056 | 0.021 | 0.061 | 0.024 | -0.019 | -0.150 | 0.036 | -0.111 | 0.015 | -0.130 | -0.059 | 0.043 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | -0.048 | -0.133 | -0.019 | 0.214 | 0.044 | 0.107 | -0.069 | -0.401 | 0.310 | -0.004 | 0.059 | 0.273 | 0.253 | -0.028 | -0.270 | 0.075 |
| 60 | -0.261 | 0.161 | -0.240 | -0.022 | 0.012 | -0.105 | -0.038 | 0.002 | 0.049 | 0.025 | -0.006 | 0.056 | -0.008 | -0.020 | -0.024 | 0.025 |
| 61 | 0.034 | -0.327 | -0.126 | -0.099 | -0.011 | -0.034 | -0.028 | 0.096 | -0.128 | -0.070 | -0.094 | -0.117 | -0.103 | 0.115 | 0.274 | -0.040 |
| 62 | 0.034 | -0.269 | -0.122 | -0.104 | -0.020 | -0.016 | -0.010 | 0.149 | -0.082 | -0.050 | -0.100 | -0.119 | -0.039 | 0.019 | 0.269 | 0.244 |
| 63 | 0.009 | -0.204 | -0.075 | -0.035 | -0.041 | 0.011 | 0.003 | 0.041 | -0.064 | 0.331 | 0.240 | 0.259 | -0.012 | 0.097 | -0.005 | 0.134 |
| 64 | -0.013 | -0.196 | -0.037 | -0.006 | -0.015 | -0.044 | -0.043 | -0.110 | -0.043 | 0.589 | -0.013 | 0.402 | -0.196 | 0.033 | 0.156 | 0.082 |
| 65 | -0.249 | 0.147 | -0.215 | -0.048 | 0.016 | -0.103 | -0.100 | 0.038 | -0.033 | -0.120 | 0.046 | -0.011 | 0.097 | 0.067 | 0.038 | -0.079 |
| 66 | 0.145 | 0.073 | 0.054 | -0.044 | 0.506 | -0.141 | 0.317 | -0.123 | -0.115 | -0.029 | -0.003 | 0.030 | 0.061 | 0.029 | 0.065 | 0.046 |

Table S3.4. All contigs present in the final dataset showing their length and number of SNPs. Moreover, Mantel test results between genetic distance of each RAD genomic regions and the geographical distance.

| scaffold | Contia Lenath | SNPs | Fst mean | Mantel $r$ | P -value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg 10711 | 214766 | 4 | 0.299 | 0.010 | 0.274 |
| ctg 18101 | 41596 | 5 | 0.403 | -0.003 | 0.529 |
| ctg6465 | 130785 | 6 | 0.108 | 0.102 | 0.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 7799$ | 89027 | 2 | 0.281 | 0.002 | 0.454 |
| tig00001874 | 347208 | 6 | 0.192 | 0.040 | 0.010 |
| tig00045252 | 1171230 | 5 | 0.173 | -0.009 | 0.621 |
| tig02170041 | 440716 | 3 | 0.255 | 0.004 | 0.406 |
| ctg5276 | 175985 | 1 | 0.135 | -0.170 | 1.000 |
| tig00041043 | 748783 | 1 | 0.137 | -0.137 | 1.000 |
| ctg7924 | 404164 | 1 | 0.066 | -0.125 | 1.000 |
| ctg6606 | 147718 | 1 | 0.112 | -0.123 | 1.000 |
| contig_23319 | 289200 | 3 | 0.205 | -0.122 | 1.000 |
| tig02186502 | 521409 | 1 | 0.170 | -0.110 | 1.000 |
| tig00054407 | 676461 | 1 | 0.125 | -0.106 | 1.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 18483$ | 39850 | 1 | 0.189 | -0.106 | 1.000 |
| tig00401724 | 386201 | 2 | 0.220 | -0.102 | 1.000 |
| ctg6765 | 98076 | 1 | 0.131 | -0.102 | 1.000 |
| ctg291 | 466624 | 2 | 0.170 | -0.101 | 1.000 |
| ctg6031 | 104614 | 3 | 0.155 | -0.101 | 1.000 |
| tig00402053 | 412341 | 1 | 0.132 | -0.101 | 1.000 |
| ctg 13598 | 116761 | 3 | 0.174 | -0.100 | 1.000 |
| ctg44666 | 12839 | 1 | 0.148 | -0.100 | 1.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 12314$ | 121369 | 8 | 0.190 | -0.099 | 1.000 |
| contig_53782 | 638023 | 3 | 0.248 | -0.097 | 1.000 |
| ctg1015 | 337978 | 1 | 0.082 | -0.096 | 1.000 |
| tig00405312 | 546268 | 1 | 0.150 | -0.096 | 1.000 |
| ctg20106 | 104214 | 10 | 0.170 | -0.089 | 1.000 |
| tig00397172 | 347118 | 2 | 0.209 | -0.088 | 1.000 |
| ctg7192 | 240187 | 2 | 0.074 | -0.084 | 1.000 |
| contig_689 | 476457 | 2 | 0.227 | -0.084 | 1.000 |
| tig00050418 | 273796 | 4 | 0.163 | -0.082 | 1.000 |
| tig00396244 | 1834507 | 9 | 0.207 | -0.080 | 1.000 |
| tig00040990 | 955367 | 2 | 0.174 | -0.079 | 1.000 |
| tig00005194 | 612128 | 1 | 0.261 | -0.078 | 1.000 |
| tig00024280 | 522037 | 3 | 0.341 | -0.061 | 1.000 |
| tig00008071 | 418812 | 2 | 0.235 | -0.058 | 1.000 |
| tig00021475 | 252673 | 1 | 0.090 | -0.112 | 1.000 |
| tig00407665 | 258132 | 1 | 0.067 | -0.097 | 1.000 |
| ctg6557 | 190769 | 1 | 0.206 | -0.085 | 1.000 |
| ctg 145 | 513807 | 1 | 0.171 | -0.084 | 1.000 |
| tig00015817 | 424690 | 2 | 0.125 | -0.079 | 1.000 |
| ctg6752 | 174357 | 1 | 0.233 | -0.078 | 1.000 |
| ctg 3436 | 146131 | 2 | 0.243 | -0.073 | 1.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 4188$ | 293217 | 5 | 0.197 | -0.068 | 1.000 |
| ctg 1258 | 369546 | 1 | 0.270 | -0.068 | 1.000 |
| ctg6325 | 141808 | 6 | 0.310 | -0.061 | 1.000 |
| tig00398538 | 452927 | 1 | 0.174 | -0.078 | 1.000 |
| contig_431 | 589511 | 2 | 0.231 | -0.068 | 1.000 |
| tig00009358 | 809549 | 4 | 0.278 | -0.055 | 1.000 |
| scaffold_32099 | 454066 | 1 | 0.130 | -0.090 | 1.000 |
| tig02186388 | 759101 | 14 | 0.260 | -0.070 | 1.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 1210$ | 716347 | 4 | 0.211 | -0.063 | 1.000 |
| tig00006570 | 592824 | 3 | 0.291 | -0.063 | 1.000 |
| contig_4695 | 367886 | 6 | 0.348 | -0.055 | 1.000 |
| ctg5838 | 108313 | 2 | 0.373 | -0.044 | 1.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 1474$ | 382591 | 1 | 0.172 | -0.082 | 1.000 |
| contig_52177 | 222994 | 2 | 0.212 | -0.066 | 1.000 |
| tig00396812 | 424463 | 5 | 0.276 | -0.059 | 1.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 4789$ | 236449 | 3 | 0.206 | -0.052 | 1.000 |
| tig00403009 | 398319 | 1 | 0.105 | -0.099 | 1.000 |
| ctg2428 | 334772 | 1 | 0.127 | -0.088 | 1.000 |


| ctg6981 | 161642 | 5 | 0.283 | -0.064 | 1.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig00036448 | 352128 | 2 | 0.272 | -0.051 | 1.000 |
| ctg46545 | 13873 | 5 | 0.196 | -0.071 | 0.999 |
| tig00399345 | 573471 | 7 | 0.189 | -0.068 | 0.999 |
| ctg1969 | 249935 | 3 | 0.257 | -0.057 | 0.999 |
| tig00397759 | 417826 | 1 | 0.260 | -0.057 | 0.999 |
| ctg1729 | 227373 | 5 | 0.271 | -0.045 | 0.999 |
| ctg1327 | 304415 | 8 | 0.205 | -0.034 | 0.999 |
| scaffold_56103 | 419285 | 1 | 0.251 | -0.055 | 0.998 |
| tig02186840 | 468967 | 1 | 0.169 | -0.075 | 0.998 |
| tig00119532 | 356718 |  | 0.228 | -0.064 | 0.998 |
| tig00006926 | 902723 | 2 | 0.292 | -0.054 | 0.998 |
| ctg6571 | 149310 | 4 | 0.143 | -0.075 | 0.998 |
| tig00002546 | 437028 | 4 | 0.251 | -0.048 | 0.998 |
| tig00057919 | 436433 | 8 | 0.210 | -0.047 | 0.998 |
| ctg11117 | 106448 | 7 | 0.265 | -0.056 | 0.997 |
| ctg2051 | 206267 | 6 | 0.204 | -0.051 | 0.997 |
| tig02171594 | 616023 | 3 | 0.238 | -0.044 | 0.997 |
| ctg30048 | 105587 | 3 | 0.223 | -0.034 | 0.997 |
| tig00010953 | 659108 | 6 | 0.158 | -0.060 | 0.997 |
| ctg1262 | 473267 | 1 | 0.315 | -0.043 | 0.997 |
| ctg778 | 822759 | 6 | 0.222 | -0.043 | 0.997 |
| ctg40795 | 15483 | 3 | 0.184 | -0.055 | 0.997 |
| contig_27674 | 345663 | 2 | 0.208 | -0.059 | 0.997 |
| ctg1130 | 244509 | 3 | 0.217 | -0.048 | 0.997 |
| ctg2231 | 323080 | 4 | 0.180 | -0.047 | 0.997 |
| ctg3672 | 177353 | 16 | 0.360 | -0.043 | 0.997 |
| ctg3589 | 135977 | 17 | 0.198 | -0.061 | 0.997 |
| tig00406272 | 924428 | 1 | 0.259 | -0.050 | 0.996 |
| ctg3197 | 425979 | 1 | 0.316 | -0.043 | 0.996 |
| contig_27294 | 397769 | 4 | 0.445 | -0.032 | 0.996 |
| tig00005735 | 943713 | 5 | 0.177 | -0.056 | 0.996 |
| tig00006949 | 1521481 | 17 | 0.297 | -0.045 | 0.996 |
| contig_1838 | 281150 | 1 | 0.112 | -0.074 | 0.995 |
| ctg52391 | 9703 | 8 | 0.327 | -0.041 | 0.995 |
| ctg7429 | 139935 | 8 | 0.201 | -0.042 | 0.995 |
| ctg5571 | 143972 | 6 | 0.265 | -0.051 | 0.995 |
| tig00004394 | 1026468 | 3 | 0.146 | -0.051 | 0.995 |
| ctg4160 | 417727 | 2 | 0.288 | -0.047 | 0.995 |
| tig00046703 | 666969 | 3 | 0.256 | -0.045 | 0.995 |
| ctg6030 | 288957 | 2 | 0.219 | -0.033 | 0.995 |
| tig00053952 | 273129 | 1 | 0.338 | -0.031 | 0.995 |
| ctg7750 | 138288 | 2 | 0.195 | -0.049 | 0.995 |
| tig02170482 | 275430 | 1 | 0.236 | -0.055 | 0.995 |
| tig00038242 | 363803 | 2 | 0.118 | -0.073 | 0.994 |
| contig_18474 | 427180 | 3 | 0.183 | -0.064 | 0.994 |
| ctg34037 | 20530 | 4 | 0.111 | -0.068 | 0.994 |
| ctg518 | 409262 | 4 | 0.262 | -0.042 | 0.994 |
| ctg15951 | 66240 | 5 | 0.172 | -0.057 | 0.994 |
| ctg13923 | 53982 | 5 | 0.322 | -0.050 | 0.994 |
| tig02170140 | 733074 | 5 | 0.165 | -0.048 | 0.993 |
| tig02187252 | 680965 | 5 | 0.294 | -0.047 | 0.993 |
| contig_40511 | 233997 | 3 | 0.198 | -0.058 | 0.993 |
| ctg4236 | 198866 | 10 | 0.260 | -0.043 | 0.993 |
| ctg32976 | 35090 | 1 | 0.230 | -0.053 | 0.992 |
| ctg8508 | 149018 | 2 | 0.196 | -0.047 | 0.992 |
| tig02176378 | 1070279 | 2 | 0.124 | -0.054 | 0.992 |
| ctg1705 | 399091 | 5 | 0.385 | -0.030 | 0.992 |
| contig_4524 | 340071 | 4 | 0.342 | -0.045 | 0.992 |
| contig_15272 | 814290 | 2 | 0.252 | -0.052 | 0.992 |
| scaffold_46834 | 290133 | 2 | 0.100 | -0.047 | 0.992 |
| tig02171663 | 620512 | 1 | 0.210 | -0.051 | 0.991 |
| ctg 125 | 701017 | 6 | 0.320 | -0.040 | 0.991 |
| contig_25791 | 989377 | 1 | 0.113 | -0.069 | 0.991 |


| $\operatorname{ctg} 11116$ | 222084 | 1 | 0.276 | -0.048 | 0.991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig00093181 | 974712 | 5 | 0.283 | -0.040 | 0.990 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 14575$ | 51019 | 3 | 0.264 | -0.047 | 0.990 |
| ctg2370 | 427969 | 1 | 0.096 | -0.062 | 0.990 |
| tig00399423 | 661880 | 1 | 0.234 | -0.054 | 0.990 |
| ctg 1840 | 356731 | 1 | 0.330 | -0.037 | 0.989 |
| ctg317 | 865042 | 2 | 0.365 | -0.037 | 0.989 |
| ctg22032 | 68149 | 1 | 0.254 | -0.046 | 0.988 |
| tig02171538 | 366358 | 10 | 0.233 | -0.045 | 0.988 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 16248$ | 47162 | 3 | 0.269 | -0.044 | 0.988 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 498$ | 528509 | 1 | 0.044 | -0.067 | 0.988 |
| ctg1811 | 477430 | 7 | 0.194 | -0.043 | 0.988 |
| ctg6639 | 160573 | 4 | 0.422 | -0.026 | 0.988 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 13069$ | 99907 | 1 | 0.150 | -0.064 | 0.988 |
| tig00007057 | 1324150 | 1 | 0.249 | -0.047 | 0.988 |
| ctg39323 | 17110 | 1 | 0.238 | -0.049 | 0.987 |
| tig00028358 | 406162 | 4 | 0.314 | -0.039 | 0.987 |
| tig00032819 | 433670 | 1 | 0.141 | -0.056 | 0.987 |
| ctg28552 | 29826 | 1 | 0.165 | -0.055 | 0.987 |
| tig00399328 | 437189 | 2 | 0.221 | -0.049 | 0.987 |
| ctg6944 | 433447 | 5 | 0.438 | -0.012 | 0.986 |
| contig_26754 | 357624 | 1 | 0.277 | -0.041 | 0.986 |
| contig_19330 | 830498 | 6 | 0.183 | -0.033 | 0.986 |
| ctg7749 | 108123 | 6 | 0.255 | -0.043 | 0.985 |
| tig00009350 | 799186 | 1 | 0.343 | -0.031 | 0.985 |
| tig00064007 | 342934 | 1 | 0.144 | -0.058 | 0.985 |
| ctg4207 | 284892 | 2 | 0.250 | -0.045 | 0.985 |
| ctg4983 | 330389 | 1 | 0.058 | -0.067 | 0.985 |
| ctg51856 | 10423 | 2 | 0.261 | -0.046 | 0.985 |
| contig_61810 | 613156 | 3 | 0.302 | -0.033 | 0.984 |
| ctg5129 | 119983 | 2 | 0.276 | -0.042 | 0.984 |
| tig00397802 | 696041 | 2 | 0.136 | -0.059 | 0.984 |
| tig00031716 | 616509 | 4 | 0.298 | -0.039 | 0.984 |
| tig00016167 | 1008196 | 2 | 0.239 | -0.047 | 0.984 |
| ctg8197 | 97348 | 1 | 0.183 | -0.056 | 0.984 |
| ctg2160 | 487772 | 1 | 0.181 | -0.056 | 0.983 |
| tig00399075 | 411201 | 20 | 0.254 | -0.042 | 0.983 |
| ctg8810 | 115513 | 2 | 0.106 | -0.056 | 0.983 |
| tig00398828 | 850437 | 8 | 0.208 | -0.039 | 0.982 |
| tig00041084 | 252056 | 1 | 0.125 | -0.060 | 0.982 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 10194$ | 72179 | 2 | 0.264 | -0.043 | 0.982 |
| contig_8740 | 463624 | 3 | 0.390 | -0.026 | 0.981 |
| tig00397755 | 229364 | 2 | 0.255 | -0.040 | 0.981 |
| contig_6833 | 679942 | 12 | 0.321 | -0.042 | 0.981 |
| scaffold_59590 | 510281 | 2 | 0.336 | -0.030 | 0.981 |
| tig00396865 | 961683 | 4 | 0.395 | -0.026 | 0.981 |
| contig_53681 | 1302649 | 10 | 0.233 | -0.031 | 0.980 |
| ctg4944 | 223218 | 2 | 0.175 | -0.047 | 0.980 |
| contig_1774 | 895011 | 15 | 0.387 | -0.036 | 0.980 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 1861$ | 247159 | 1 | 0.156 | -0.048 | 0.979 |
| tig00024223 | 665328 | 2 | 0.183 | -0.051 | 0.978 |
| tig02169800 | 615581 | 1 | 0.110 | -0.044 | 0.978 |
| contig_17623 | 686403 | 2 | 0.221 | -0.035 | 0.977 |
| contig_35656 | 409111 | 7 | 0.233 | -0.041 | 0.977 |
| ctg8224 | 340785 | 8 | 0.299 | -0.036 | 0.976 |
| contig_7543 | 649077 | 3 | 0.204 | -0.035 | 0.976 |
| tig00066007 | 320286 | 10 | 0.184 | -0.038 | 0.976 |
| tig00005910 | 857596 | 1 | 0.226 | -0.045 | 0.976 |
| ctg2887 | 255917 | 1 | 0.159 | -0.052 | 0.975 |
| tig00398326 | 606047 | 2 | 0.340 | -0.032 | 0.975 |
| ctg14959 | 117517 | 2 | 0.066 | -0.058 | 0.975 |
| tig02187655 | 457626 | 9 | 0.378 | -0.029 | 0.975 |
| tig00398865 | 1094907 | 8 | 0.146 | -0.038 | 0.974 |
| tig00001599 | 1569036 | 2 | 0.203 | -0.039 | 0.973 |


| contig_16749 | 805603 | 8 | 0.194 | -0.041 | 0.972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg 14055 | 107045 | 5 | 0.317 | -0.037 | 0.972 |
| contig_39644 | 403775 | 1 | 0.305 | -0.030 | 0.971 |
| ctg203 | 781417 | 6 | 0.100 | -0.043 | 0.971 |
| scaffold_51892 | 755035 | 12 | 0.194 | -0.035 | 0.970 |
| ctg3693 | 460654 | 2 | 0.243 | -0.041 | 0.970 |
| ctg8392 | 84527 | 2 | 0.234 | -0.029 | 0.970 |
| tig00001835 | 686990 | 14 | 0.227 | -0.038 | 0.969 |
| ctg6 | 975128 | 3 | 0.317 | -0.033 | 0.969 |
| tig00396497 | 398212 | 3 | 0.178 | -0.052 | 0.969 |
| ctg3041 | 418962 | 1 | 0.104 | -0.053 | 0.969 |
| contig_4414 | 1442777 | 2 | 0.223 | -0.040 | 0.968 |
| ctg29297 | 24059 | 10 | 0.311 | -0.036 | 0.968 |
| tig00026631 | 323405 | 5 | 0.320 | -0.034 | 0.968 |
| tig02187047 | 470317 | 9 | 0.242 | -0.042 | 0.968 |
| ctg3050 | 401065 | 2 | 0.211 | -0.034 | 0.967 |
| tig00406281 | 515387 | 3 | 0.300 | -0.034 | 0.967 |
| ctg 17341 | 39936 | 2 | 0.248 | -0.038 | 0.967 |
| tig00027297 | 324241 | 8 | 0.301 | -0.030 | 0.967 |
| tig00404294 | 599785 | 10 | 0.282 | -0.037 | 0.966 |
| tig00018155 | 445275 | 9 | 0.175 | -0.038 | 0.966 |
| contig_53018 | 203854 | 9 | 0.403 | -0.028 | 0.965 |
| tig00399885 | 213888 | 13 | 0.217 | -0.039 | 0.965 |
| tig02174516 | 1072428 | 2 | 0.217 | -0.042 | 0.965 |
| tig00395542 | 2232371 | 3 | 0.111 | -0.039 | 0.964 |
| tig00020656 | 553015 | 2 | 0.129 | -0.051 | 0.964 |
| tig00058006 | 235682 | 1 | 0.152 | -0.049 | 0.964 |
| ctg6971 | 330115 | 5 | 0.168 | -0.043 | 0.964 |
| tig00004485 | 423464 | 3 | 0.423 | -0.020 | 0.964 |
| tig00003704 | 707496 | 2 | 0.293 | -0.035 | 0.964 |
| ctg323 | 556690 | 2 | 0.094 | -0.043 | 0.963 |
| tig00400506 | 531125 | 8 | 0.229 | -0.039 | 0.962 |
| ctg8531 | 129756 | 1 | 0.133 | -0.051 | 0.962 |
| ctg11896 | 162155 | 1 | 0.121 | -0.053 | 0.961 |
| ctg6817 | 101147 | 5 | 0.403 | -0.031 | 0.961 |
| tig00400180 | 345951 | 8 | 0.330 | -0.029 | 0.960 |
| contig_4203 | 988606 | 1 | 0.071 | -0.051 | 0.960 |
| contig_28150 | 387256 | 1 | 0.109 | -0.052 | 0.959 |
| ctg8786 | 342317 | 1 | 0.170 | -0.043 | 0.959 |
| ctg9798 | 97912 | 2 | 0.189 | -0.033 | 0.957 |
| tig00005085 | 491010 | 2 | 0.213 | -0.043 | 0.957 |
| tig00399336 | 946996 | 1 | 0.246 | -0.036 | 0.957 |
| tig00011204 | 1249680 | 5 | 0.158 | -0.032 | 0.957 |
| tig00012568 | 574126 | 3 | 0.248 | -0.039 | 0.956 |
| tig02172097 | 365299 | 3 | 0.130 | -0.040 | 0.955 |
| tig00031696 | 266044 | 3 | 0.168 | -0.038 | 0.955 |
| ctg7033 | 151741 | 3 | 0.220 | -0.036 | 0.955 |
| tig00006733 | 1043551 | 6 | 0.185 | -0.030 | 0.954 |
| ctg26482 | 26678 | 6 | 0.282 | -0.030 | 0.954 |
| tig00005903 | 1009578 | 2 | 0.112 | -0.039 | 0.953 |
| ctg10623 | 69524 | 9 | 0.156 | -0.040 | 0.953 |
| ctg15842 | 69704 | 10 | 0.292 | -0.036 | 0.953 |
| contig_26080 | 861962 | 2 | 0.273 | -0.035 | 0.953 |
| contig_5925 | 420925 | 6 | 0.296 | -0.033 | 0.952 |
| tig00060711 | 208514 | 1 | 0.142 | -0.042 | 0.952 |
| tig00009400 | 615519 | 4 | 0.072 | -0.040 | 0.951 |
| ctg11556 | 83898 | 4 | 0.169 | -0.044 | 0.951 |
| tig00010342 | 723569 | 7 | 0.283 | -0.036 | 0.950 |
| ctg2900 | 156611 | 1 | 0.330 | -0.027 | 0.950 |
| contig_29041 | 433395 | 11 | 0.331 | -0.029 | 0.950 |
| tig00009539 | 643777 | 3 | 0.259 | -0.036 | 0.949 |
| ctg 12971 | 127733 | 1 | 0.125 | -0.049 | 0.949 |
| tig02186518 | 472047 | 3 | 0.318 | -0.029 | 0.948 |
| contig_28867 | 848974 | 1 | 0.310 | -0.030 | 0.947 |


| $\operatorname{ctg} 15832$ | 75114 | 2 | 0.372 | -0.022 | 0.947 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contig_336 | 614953 | 3 | 0.304 | -0.030 | 0.946 |
| ctg6688 | 149816 | 7 | 0.259 | -0.032 | 0.943 |
| contig_15758 | 302168 | 3 | 0.116 | -0.041 | 0.943 |
| tig00028915 | 915042 | 2 | 0.299 | -0.031 | 0.943 |
| contig_3778 | 1355989 | 3 | 0.208 | -0.028 | 0.942 |
| scaffold_14843 | 501512 | 3 | 0.259 | -0.032 | 0.941 |
| ctg480 | 498185 | 2 | 0.121 | -0.047 | 0.941 |
| ctg3096 | 152478 | 1 | 0.168 | -0.041 | 0.941 |
| ctg 1534 | 296282 | 5 | 0.202 | -0.031 | 0.939 |
| ctg7447 | 196381 | 2 | 0.223 | -0.035 | 0.938 |
| ctg331 | 405717 | 7 | 0.193 | -0.031 | 0.938 |
| ctg3000 | 166404 | 2 | 0.173 | -0.037 | 0.938 |
| tig00396206 | 951799 | 1 | 0.086 | -0.044 | 0.937 |
| tig00010978 | 557111 | 1 | 0.255 | -0.033 | 0.937 |
| ctg 1925 | 242318 | 2 | 0.248 | -0.030 | 0.937 |
| tig00398143 | 1217370 | 4 | 0.263 | -0.029 | 0.937 |
| tig00007243 | 1873026 | 4 | 0.227 | -0.027 | 0.937 |
| ctg6740 | 378241 | 4 | 0.423 | -0.015 | 0.937 |
| tig00020837 | 324132 | 1 | 0.303 | -0.029 | 0.935 |
| ctg2972 | 280949 | 9 | 0.222 | -0.025 | 0.935 |
| contig_42854 | 440649 | 5 | 0.272 | -0.032 | 0.933 |
| ctg3920 | 102920 | 2 | 0.340 | -0.024 | 0.933 |
| ctg37731 | 24574 | 4 | 0.228 | -0.034 | 0.933 |
| ctg264 | 345035 | 1 | 0.158 | -0.041 | 0.933 |
| tig00008648 | 297928 | 7 | 0.249 | -0.031 | 0.933 |
| contig_15590 | 779967 | 2 | 0.210 | -0.031 | 0.932 |
| tig02188856 | 247997 | 2 | 0.285 | -0.030 | 0.932 |
| ctg 1879 | 186375 | 1 | 0.280 | -0.031 | 0.931 |
| ctg3176 | 654005 | 3 | 0.198 | -0.030 | 0.930 |
| ctg18720 | 68624 | 1 | 0.244 | -0.033 | 0.930 |
| tig00007421 | 1013623 | 11 | 0.184 | -0.033 | 0.930 |
| ctg3687 | 140394 | 4 | 0.233 | -0.030 | 0.930 |
| tig00065518 | 218465 | 5 | 0.345 | -0.027 | 0.929 |
| ctg2422 | 255259 | 4 | 0.280 | -0.031 | 0.929 |
| tig00021369 | 590619 | 8 | 0.114 | -0.032 | 0.928 |
| tig00009506 | 391926 | 3 | 0.149 | -0.030 | 0.928 |
| tig00029220 | 269394 | 2 | 0.298 | -0.026 | 0.926 |
| tig00402298 | 335061 | 4 | 0.213 | -0.035 | 0.925 |
| tig00396387 | 764312 | 7 | 0.176 | -0.034 | 0.924 |
| contig_22179 | 1575906 | 2 | 0.221 | -0.036 | 0.924 |
| tig00015280 | 588197 | 4 | 0.194 | -0.033 | 0.921 |
| ctg25874 | 27740 | 2 | 0.337 | -0.022 | 0.921 |
| ctg5943 | 325961 | 2 | 0.206 | -0.023 | 0.921 |
| tig00049501 | 272678 | 1 | 0.457 | -0.008 | 0.921 |
| ctg7468 | 139581 | 2 | 0.231 | -0.029 | 0.920 |
| tig00396058 | 432337 | 2 | 0.205 | -0.027 | 0.920 |
| ctg6404 | 175970 | 6 | 0.331 | -0.022 | 0.920 |
| ctg5476 | 300032 | 1 | 0.331 | -0.023 | 0.920 |
| tig00396104 | 1357250 | 3 | 0.184 | -0.038 | 0.920 |
| ctg24552 | 26781 | 2 | 0.398 | -0.018 | 0.918 |
| ctg2867 | 204261 | 3 | 0.244 | -0.028 | 0.918 |
| contig_19943 | 454974 | 5 | 0.324 | -0.026 | 0.915 |
| ctg7084 | 95810 | 2 | 0.104 | -0.036 | 0.915 |
| tig00007145 | 637534 | 5 | 0.273 | -0.029 | 0.914 |
| ctg32603 | 21718 | 1 | 0.217 | -0.034 | 0.913 |
| ctg5302 | 126064 | 3 | 0.161 | -0.031 | 0.913 |
| ctg30189 | 51404 | 1 | 0.304 | -0.024 | 0.912 |
| tig00006721 | 772939 | 1 | 0.136 | -0.040 | 0.912 |
| ctg2126 | 335223 | 3 | 0.292 | -0.027 | 0.912 |
| ctg2832 | 239015 | 5 | 0.189 | -0.027 | 0.911 |
| tig00396607 | 437599 | 2 | 0.252 | -0.024 | 0.911 |
| ctg19536 | 370151 | 1 | 0.126 | -0.039 | 0.910 |
| ctg 10461 | 123894 | 5 | 0.173 | -0.035 | 0.910 |


| tig00014626 | 450713 | 6 | 0.418 | -0.019 | 0.909 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg2153 | 418519 | 3 | 0.200 | -0.031 | 0.908 |
| contig_42867 | 313187 | 1 | 0.195 | -0.034 | 0.908 |
| ctg 1045 | 289974 | 2 | 0.080 | -0.039 | 0.907 |
| ctg11214 | 160364 | 1 | 0.329 | -0.024 | 0.907 |
| contig_66136 | 394579 | 3 | 0.257 | -0.024 | 0.906 |
| contig_8179 | 371295 | 2 | 0.215 | -0.029 | 0.906 |
| ctg828 | 395305 | 5 | 0.354 | -0.027 | 0.905 |
| tig00396437 | 1110687 | 6 | 0.264 | -0.028 | 0.905 |
| ctg2756 | 574959 | 4 | 0.292 | -0.027 | 0.903 |
| ctg8444 | 86154 | 1 | 0.225 | -0.033 | 0.903 |
| tig02169070 | 933255 | 2 | 0.369 | -0.019 | 0.902 |
| tig00021366 | 508188 | 8 | 0.190 | -0.024 | 0.900 |
| tig00017162 | 433066 | 3 | 0.195 | -0.026 | 0.900 |
| ctg5914 | 367944 | 2 | 0.181 | -0.026 | 0.897 |
| ctg9723 | 181896 | 8 | 0.378 | -0.021 | 0.897 |
| tig00026115 | 768126 | 4 | 0.195 | -0.025 | 0.896 |
| ctg20 | 636299 | 7 | 0.224 | -0.025 | 0.896 |
| ctg2825 | 159545 | 3 | 0.274 | -0.019 | 0.895 |
| tig00395824 | 471337 | 1 | 0.315 | -0.021 | 0.895 |
| tig00061405 | 200533 | 1 | 0.207 | -0.033 | 0.895 |
| ctg35065 | 19620 | 1 | 0.149 | -0.037 | 0.894 |
| ctg 4659 | 237480 | 1 | 0.242 | -0.030 | 0.894 |
| contig_15994 | 1138584 | 4 | 0.193 | -0.024 | 0.893 |
| ctg2772 | 267361 | 3 | 0.155 | -0.033 | 0.892 |
| ctg11399 | 143120 | 4 | 0.104 | -0.024 | 0.892 |
| ctg376 | 1005629 | 3 | 0.289 | -0.025 | 0.891 |
| ctg5354 | 142662 | 9 | 0.265 | -0.025 | 0.891 |
| ctg 17592 | 71530 | 4 | 0.148 | -0.034 | 0.890 |
| ctg 1919 | 226599 | 1 | 0.377 | -0.016 | 0.890 |
| ctg 15550 | 48275 | 1 | 0.210 | -0.030 | 0.890 |
| tig00000469 | 885151 | 4 | 0.321 | -0.021 | 0.889 |
| contig_13806 | 582359 | 2 | 0.209 | -0.031 | 0.888 |
| contig_21667 | 383255 | 3 | 0.169 | -0.023 | 0.884 |
| contig_11478 | 694499 | 5 | 0.229 | -0.021 | 0.883 |
| tig00397752 | 484912 | 5 | 0.177 | -0.027 | 0.882 |
| contig_41137 | 821796 | 1 | 0.119 | -0.035 | 0.881 |
| ctg513 | 294026 | 2 | 0.291 | -0.019 | 0.881 |
| tig00398012 | 450310 | 3 | 0.343 | -0.021 | 0.880 |
| tig00397729 | 700475 | 3 | 0.347 | -0.019 | 0.880 |
| contig_6272 | 456004 | 5 | 0.360 | -0.021 | 0.878 |
| tig00000373 | 623218 | 3 | 0.208 | -0.027 | 0.876 |
| contig_12923 | 1127836 | 3 | 0.157 | -0.023 | 0.876 |
| tig00401621 | 453680 | 11 | 0.132 | -0.027 | 0.875 |
| ctg 14482 | 73888 | 1 | 0.239 | -0.027 | 0.875 |
| tig00396995 | 801080 | 7 | 0.341 | -0.026 | 0.875 |
| contig_2411 | 359992 | 3 | 0.418 | -0.013 | 0.875 |
| ctg9857 | 137557 | 1 | 0.358 | -0.017 | 0.874 |
| tig00004487 | 993603 | 10 | 0.290 | -0.024 | 0.874 |
| contig_11432 | 447392 | 7 | 0.217 | -0.022 | 0.872 |
| ctg22586 | 30770 | 1 | 0.173 | -0.032 | 0.871 |
| ctg12942 | 220129 | 1 | 0.097 | -0.036 | 0.871 |
| ctg12083 | 173781 | 3 | 0.174 | -0.025 | 0.870 |
| tig00010284 | 641476 | 4 | 0.194 | -0.029 | 0.869 |
| ctg 1685 | 286088 | 2 | 0.207 | -0.019 | 0.869 |
| ctg 18591 | 119419 | 2 | 0.334 | -0.022 | 0.868 |
| tig00018143 | 333515 | 10 | 0.278 | -0.023 | 0.866 |
| tig00006862 | 822413 | 9 | 0.280 | -0.019 | 0.866 |
| ctg868 | 376583 | 4 | 0.148 | -0.029 | 0.866 |
| contig_19797 | 905007 | 8 | 0.213 | -0.021 | 0.865 |
| tig00042400 | 328831 | 4 | 0.328 | -0.022 | 0.864 |
| tig00399924 | 745335 | 8 | 0.204 | -0.020 | 0.863 |
| ctg21874 | 87731 | 2 | 0.222 | -0.026 | 0.862 |
| ctg2508 | 503754 | 9 | 0.372 | -0.017 | 0.860 |


| ctg4501 | 323693 | 1 | 0.104 | -0.031 | 0.859 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig00071454 | 302856 | 3 | 0.310 | -0.022 | 0.859 |
| tig00016676 | 577223 | 15 | 0.188 | -0.019 | 0.859 |
| ctg3401 | 196760 | 5 | 0.297 | -0.023 | 0.858 |
| tig02186571 | 405257 | 6 | 0.247 | -0.019 | 0.856 |
| tig00043155 | 690817 | 3 | 0.158 | -0.026 | 0.855 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 320$ | 359004 | 2 | 0.315 | -0.020 | 0.853 |
| ctg2355 | 168242 | 3 | 0.169 | -0.027 | 0.851 |
| tig00009262 | 640215 | 1 | 0.340 | -0.018 | 0.850 |
| ctg972 | 331155 | 2 | 0.142 | -0.022 | 0.849 |
| tig00014641 | 600358 | 1 | 0.170 | -0.022 | 0.848 |
| tig00053395 | 255810 | 2 | 0.167 | -0.023 | 0.848 |
| ctg1804 | 188773 | 1 | 0.341 | -0.016 | 0.847 |
| tig00404812 | 420122 | 2 | 0.178 | -0.028 | 0.846 |
| tig00119373 | 254233 | 1 | 0.208 | -0.027 | 0.845 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 53790$ | 9456 | 1 | 0.237 | -0.025 | 0.845 |
| tig00107002 | 695308 | 1 | 0.387 | -0.013 | 0.841 |
| contig_34695 | 361553 | 2 | 0.260 | -0.024 | 0.840 |
| ctg6552 | 221404 | 5 | 0.182 | -0.024 | 0.840 |
| ctg 10579 | 127802 | 3 | 0.081 | -0.031 | 0.837 |
| contig_38800 | 584394 | 3 | 0.115 | -0.027 | 0.835 |
| ctg4700 | 122142 | 11 | 0.396 | -0.017 | 0.835 |
| ctg21 | 770111 | 1 | 0.332 | -0.016 | 0.834 |
| contig_49782 | 506649 | 6 | 0.173 | -0.017 | 0.834 |
| tig00395793 | 652341 | 1 | 0.112 | -0.024 | 0.832 |
| ctg3476 | 191175 | 3 | 0.232 | -0.024 | 0.831 |
| ctg2349 | 288377 | 9 | 0.348 | -0.016 | 0.829 |
| tig00001443 | 534525 | 6 | 0.246 | -0.017 | 0.828 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 11916$ | 60490 | 4 | 0.187 | -0.025 | 0.827 |
| ctg43 | 734717 | 1 | 0.143 | -0.028 | 0.826 |
| ctg2260 | 278184 | 5 | 0.278 | -0.020 | 0.825 |
| tig00396873 | 456457 | 8 | 0.233 | -0.023 | 0.825 |
| ctg8957 | 120884 | 1 | 0.096 | -0.030 | 0.824 |
| ctg6985 | 176142 | 6 | 0.232 | -0.021 | 0.822 |
| tig02186482 | 686166 | 6 | 0.289 | -0.018 | 0.822 |
| ctg5425 | 540309 | 5 | 0.213 | -0.014 | 0.818 |
| ctg9560 | 77795 | 4 | 0.157 | -0.021 | 0.816 |
| tig02169355 | 936654 | 6 | 0.226 | -0.017 | 0.814 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 855$ | 246009 | 1 | 0.211 | -0.024 | 0.813 |
| ctg47 | 624958 | 1 | 0.098 | -0.028 | 0.812 |
| contig_3405 | 962480 | 3 | 0.240 | -0.014 | 0.811 |
| tig00397350 | 237877 | 6 | 0.270 | -0.016 | 0.811 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 113$ | 448522 | 5 | 0.220 | -0.019 | 0.810 |
| tig00002843 | 507905 | 1 | 0.302 | -0.018 | 0.810 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 15667$ | 77389 | 1 | 0.166 | -0.022 | 0.809 |
| ctg9368 | 140361 | 2 | 0.291 | -0.018 | 0.808 |
| ctg1607 | 381967 | 1 | 0.332 | -0.015 | 0.805 |
| ctg26054 | 53731 | 2 | 0.193 | -0.019 | 0.805 |
| tig00086880 | 365570 | 2 | 0.179 | -0.018 | 0.804 |
| contig_17063 | 386425 | 8 | 0.318 | -0.018 | 0.802 |
| tig00028821 | 241823 | 4 | 0.162 | -0.021 | 0.802 |
| tig00398075 | 810072 | 11 | 0.185 | -0.016 | 0.800 |
| ctg6948 | 136897 | 5 | 0.389 | -0.011 | 0.798 |
| ctg 893 | 591911 | 1 | 0.166 | -0.023 | 0.797 |
| ctg7615 | 202002 | 2 | 0.257 | -0.019 | 0.795 |
| ctg10661 | 121186 | 1 | 0.077 | -0.026 | 0.793 |
| tig00016413 | 430220 | 9 | 0.249 | -0.020 | 0.792 |
| tig00009368 | 611554 | 1 | 0.249 | -0.019 | 0.791 |
| ctg6122 | 146735 | 1 | 0.247 | -0.019 | 0.791 |
| ctg3010 | 285174 | 1 | 0.088 | -0.023 | 0.790 |
| ctg26702 | 27159 | 1 | 0.126 | -0.025 | 0.789 |
| contig_4080 | 959621 | 1 | 0.191 | -0.023 | 0.788 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 10736$ | 116861 | 1 | 0.202 | -0.020 | 0.788 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 13002$ | 87428 | 2 | 0.264 | -0.019 | 0.788 |


| tig00059861 | 627643 | 12 | 0.262 | -0.016 | 0.788 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg1189 | 223704 | 1 | 0.253 | -0.019 | 0.786 |
| ctg6643 | 116228 | 9 | 0.402 | -0.014 | 0.784 |
| ctg16873 | 61982 | 7 | 0.406 | -0.014 | 0.783 |
| contig_23935 | 405199 | 1 | 0.140 | -0.023 | 0.783 |
| scaffold_944 | 983878 | 5 | 0.280 | -0.016 | 0.782 |
| contig_24216 | 646077 | 1 | 0.303 | -0.016 | 0.781 |
| ctg666 | 461153 | 5 | 0.234 | -0.016 | 0.777 |
| tig02169060 | 484131 | 1 | 0.158 | -0.023 | 0.777 |
| ctg41660 | 15405 | 4 | 0.186 | -0.019 | 0.777 |
| tig02172068 | 929912 | 8 | 0.216 | -0.016 | 0.776 |
| contig_21672 | 317146 | 3 | 0.273 | -0.018 | 0.773 |
| ctg162 | 383129 | 3 | 0.242 | -0.010 | 0.773 |
| ctg3018 | 593326 | 6 | 0.265 | -0.017 | 0.772 |
| tig00401106 | 535082 | 4 | 0.301 | -0.016 | 0.770 |
| ctg3026 | 235553 | 3 | 0.243 | -0.019 | 0.770 |
| contig_12348 | 554702 | 1 | 0.268 | -0.017 | 0.769 |
| ctg17401 | 82555 | 2 | 0.172 | -0.020 | 0.769 |
| tig00399457 | 348368 | 10 | 0.310 | -0.015 | 0.769 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 12180$ | 62582 | 8 | 0.260 | -0.015 | 0.767 |
| contig_8143 | 362708 | 8 | 0.261 | -0.015 | 0.765 |
| ctg9036 | 187932 | 1 | 0.230 | -0.018 | 0.764 |
| tig00026478 | 509051 | 10 | 0.215 | -0.016 | 0.764 |
| tig00396301 | 327657 | 1 | 0.181 | -0.020 | 0.762 |
| contig_27554 | 896793 | 5 | 0.232 | -0.018 | 0.762 |
| contig_23941 | 225916 | 3 | 0.233 | -0.016 | 0.761 |
| tig00395668 | 570316 | 1 | 0.164 | -0.021 | 0.760 |
| contig_1897 | 611172 | 5 | 0.456 | -0.009 | 0.759 |
| ctg55 | 494795 | 2 | 0.227 | -0.012 | 0.757 |
| tig00020570 | 411200 | 1 | 0.179 | -0.020 | 0.756 |
| ctg 13348 | 99632 | 4 | 0.236 | -0.016 | 0.755 |
| contig_25226 | 268350 | 6 | 0.331 | -0.014 | 0.755 |
| ctg5331 | 116510 | 1 | 0.180 | -0.019 | 0.755 |
| ctg5245 | 116128 | 7 | 0.389 | -0.012 | 0.755 |
| tig00002219 | 811392 | 1 | 0.308 | -0.014 | 0.753 |
| tig00010983 | 525514 | 2 | 0.278 | -0.010 | 0.753 |
| ctg40423 | 16488 | 4 | 0.176 | -0.015 | 0.753 |
| ctg8715 | 79747 | 5 | 0.218 | -0.018 | 0.752 |
| ctg 15909 | 121615 | 2 | 0.202 | -0.017 | 0.752 |
| ctg15398 | 48685 | 1 | 0.231 | -0.017 | 0.751 |
| ctg 14134 | 53369 | 1 | 0.075 | -0.016 | 0.751 |
| ctg13926 | 124705 | 5 | 0.246 | -0.017 | 0.750 |
| tig02169085 | 314262 | 6 | 0.236 | -0.016 | 0.749 |
| ctg 1101 | 225191 | 15 | 0.190 | -0.015 | 0.749 |
| tig02169753 | 492903 | 6 | 0.434 | -0.008 | 0.745 |
| ctg6079 | 104669 | 1 | 0.045 | -0.023 | 0.744 |
| tig00053246 | 529663 | 1 | 0.187 | -0.018 | 0.744 |
| contig_12366 | 351532 | 8 | 0.219 | -0.013 | 0.741 |
| ctg1496 | 443367 | 4 | 0.201 | -0.015 | 0.741 |
| ctg5337 | 192064 | 6 | 0.177 | -0.018 | 0.740 |
| ctg41 | 652889 | 4 | 0.391 | -0.010 | 0.740 |
| ctg 19863 | 38670 | 1 | 0.139 | -0.016 | 0.740 |
| contig_46838 | 334555 | 7 | 0.302 | -0.014 | 0.739 |
| tig00023964 | 334911 | 1 | 0.123 | -0.020 | 0.738 |
| ctg14451 | 143494 | 3 | 0.222 | -0.015 | 0.738 |
| tig00020520 | 382633 | 1 | 0.136 | -0.020 | 0.735 |
| ctg 1612 | 584733 | 9 | 0.308 | -0.014 | 0.735 |
| ctg137 | 546492 | 7 | 0.274 | -0.014 | 0.735 |
| tig00398152 | 581694 | 5 | 0.412 | -0.009 | 0.733 |
| tig00403695 | 556649 | 3 | 0.296 | -0.013 | 0.733 |
| ctg7444 | 91689 | 1 | 0.114 | -0.021 | 0.731 |
| contig_13693 | 1713974 | 5 | 0.178 | -0.014 | 0.730 |
| ctg20882 | 36235 | 5 | 0.365 | -0.012 | 0.730 |
| tig00404874 | 594238 | 1 | 0.337 | -0.011 | 0.730 |


| tig00396249 | 218342 | 4 | 0.327 | -0.012 | 0.729 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg126 | 608767 | 2 | 0.321 | -0.012 | 0.728 |
| ctg46793 | 12551 | 1 | 0.294 | -0.013 | 0.727 |
| tig02169302 | 611393 | 4 | 0.300 | -0.013 | 0.727 |
| tig00094389 | 335517 | 1 | 0.206 | -0.017 | 0.727 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 15640$ | 59951 | 1 | 0.114 | -0.017 | 0.726 |
| tig00091870 | 717535 | 1 | 0.268 | -0.014 | 0.726 |
| contig_24646 | 285580 | 3 | 0.200 | -0.013 | 0.726 |
| contig_13429 | 1627540 | 2 | 0.295 | -0.013 | 0.725 |
| tig00003166 | 2916146 | 1 | 0.260 | -0.013 | 0.725 |
| contig_17367 | 313943 | 1 | 0.321 | -0.012 | 0.723 |
| contig_52794 | 588399 | 5 | 0.229 | -0.015 | 0.722 |
| ctg4434 | 124676 | 2 | 0.226 | -0.015 | 0.722 |
| tig00400896 | 322104 | 1 | 0.215 | -0.014 | 0.721 |
| contig_841 | 490440 | 3 | 0.312 | -0.012 | 0.721 |
| ctg5473 | 145183 | 2 | 0.196 | -0.016 | 0.720 |
| ctg8675 | 92129 | 2 | 0.329 | -0.011 | 0.720 |
| tig00396777 | 446511 | 3 | 0.177 | -0.014 | 0.719 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 7445$ | 89986 | 8 | 0.283 | -0.013 | 0.718 |
| tig00066970 | 235126 | 2 | 0.237 | -0.014 | 0.718 |
| tig00046167 | 222597 | 2 | 0.355 | -0.013 | 0.713 |
| contig_13130 | 385754 | 2 | 0.191 | -0.010 | 0.713 |
| ctg9905 | 74857 | 5 | 0.278 | -0.013 | 0.711 |
| tig02173580 | 325920 | 5 | 0.426 | -0.010 | 0.710 |
| contig_7573 | 220342 | 5 | 0.397 | -0.009 | 0.709 |
| ctg 11504 | 108138 | 3 | 0.283 | -0.011 | 0.708 |
| tig00004441 | 1175210 | 6 | 0.279 | -0.010 | 0.707 |
| ctg 15821 | 262696 | 10 | 0.296 | -0.011 | 0.705 |
| ctg 10240 | 72657 | 4 | 0.232 | -0.014 | 0.705 |
| ctg 4903 | 204066 | 1 | 0.251 | -0.013 | 0.705 |
| contig_10092 | 1119842 | 2 | 0.226 | -0.009 | 0.704 |
| ctg5756 | 218058 | 2 | 0.379 | -0.009 | 0.704 |
| ctg 1490 | 490974 | 8 | 0.359 | -0.010 | 0.704 |
| contig_21119 | 661486 | 3 | 0.157 | -0.014 | 0.703 |
| tig00006792 | 266482 | 4 | 0.242 | -0.014 | 0.703 |
| tig00043771 | 1360784 | 7 | 0.187 | -0.008 | 0.703 |
| ctg7316 | 223284 | 6 | 0.400 | -0.008 | 0.702 |
| tig00403580 | 1746765 | 4 | 0.406 | -0.008 | 0.700 |
| contig_18859 | 1197349 | 2 | 0.216 | -0.014 | 0.700 |
| ctg63536 | 4982 | 1 | 0.111 | -0.014 | 0.700 |
| ctg26069 | 27437 | 11 | 0.157 | -0.012 | 0.699 |
| tig00030047 | 269674 | 3 | 0.268 | -0.011 | 0.699 |
| ctg8412 | 84657 | 4 | 0.300 | -0.012 | 0.698 |
| ctg33226 | 42254 | 1 | 0.202 | -0.014 | 0.695 |
| tig00036500 | 465936 | 9 | 0.284 | -0.010 | 0.695 |
| tig02170401 | 297736 | 8 | 0.315 | -0.011 | 0.694 |
| tig00009173 | 539588 | 1 | 0.148 | -0.014 | 0.694 |
| contig_48038 | 281645 | 5 | 0.103 | -0.013 | 0.693 |
| tig00043883 | 220572 | 1 | 0.359 | -0.008 | 0.692 |
| ctg2865 | 273214 | 1 | 0.089 | -0.017 | 0.692 |
| tig00073367 | 813981 | 12 | 0.262 | -0.010 | 0.692 |
| ctg 16876 | 43751 | 7 | 0.242 | -0.012 | 0.691 |
| ctg5630 | 133340 | 1 | 0.174 | -0.013 | 0.688 |
| tig00397346 | 502732 | 2 | 0.251 | -0.013 | 0.688 |
| tig00055575 | 1103812 | 6 | 0.160 | -0.009 | 0.688 |
| tig00041928 | 973234 | 10 | 0.263 | -0.011 | 0.687 |
| ctg930 | 522006 | 4 | 0.364 | -0.009 | 0.687 |
| scaffold_16094 | 302431 | 4 | 0.205 | -0.009 | 0.686 |
| ctg 16917 | 108112 | 1 | 0.255 | -0.011 | 0.685 |
| ctg 13904 | 101038 | 2 | 0.271 | -0.011 | 0.682 |
| tig00396056 | 789257 | 2 | 0.337 | -0.009 | 0.680 |
| ctg325 | 760294 | 2 | 0.186 | -0.012 | 0.677 |
| ctg1202 | 623912 | 2 | 0.273 | -0.009 | 0.677 |
| tig00027453 | 527258 | 2 | 0.200 | -0.010 | 0.674 |


| ctg10529 | 216459 | 1 | 0.229 | -0.012 | 0.673 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig00395581 | 211649 | 1 | 0.166 | -0.013 | 0.671 |
| ctg698 | 290216 | 7 | 0.342 | -0.009 | 0.670 |
| tig00397222 | 228681 | 1 | 0.184 | -0.012 | 0.667 |
| ctg7267 | 91819 | 2 | 0.105 | -0.012 | 0.667 |
| tig00396525 | 704356 | 2 | 0.113 | -0.012 | 0.666 |
| ctg10284 | 71050 | 1 | 0.379 | -0.007 | 0.665 |
| ctg3968 | 268251 | 1 | 0.195 | -0.013 | 0.664 |
| ctg9253 | 78260 | 4 | 0.081 | -0.012 | 0.662 |
| ctg6432 | 103239 | 1 | 0.192 | -0.012 | 0.658 |
| tig00083019 | 730520 | 18 | 0.268 | -0.009 | 0.657 |
| ctg570 | 385748 | 4 | 0.237 | -0.011 | 0.656 |
| ctg919 | 692053 | 3 | 0.327 | -0.008 | 0.656 |
| ctg6764 | 164637 | 1 | 0.174 | -0.012 | 0.655 |
| contig_3490 | 322447 | 3 | 0.319 | -0.009 | 0.654 |
| ctg3438 | 403988 | 1 | 0.110 | -0.011 | 0.652 |
| ctg21369 | 35982 | 3 | 0.382 | -0.006 | 0.652 |
| contig_8493 | 511816 | 7 | 0.235 | -0.009 | 0.651 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 24876$ | 28312 | 2 | 0.124 | -0.011 | 0.648 |
| ctg500 | 345663 | 2 | 0.355 | -0.008 | 0.646 |
| tig00000780 | 278461 | 1 | 0.256 | -0.009 | 0.646 |
| ctg5116 | 168283 | 4 | 0.367 | -0.008 | 0.646 |
| contig_16273 | 663146 | 1 | 0.064 | -0.012 | 0.645 |
| tig02172889 | 252454 | 9 | 0.199 | -0.008 | 0.645 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 18922$ | 75457 | 1 | 0.247 | -0.009 | 0.644 |
| ctg1530 | 577320 | 1 | 0.288 | -0.009 | 0.644 |
| ctg1387 | 514557 | 1 | 0.208 | -0.010 | 0.643 |
| ctg4567 | 191649 | 2 | 0.420 | -0.005 | 0.643 |
| contig_5195 | 843944 | 4 | 0.232 | -0.009 | 0.641 |
| contig_897 | 455080 | 2 | 0.276 | -0.009 | 0.640 |
| ctg 805 | 604068 | 5 | 0.307 | -0.008 | 0.639 |
| tig00010893 | 1338994 | 15 | 0.302 | -0.007 | 0.636 |
| tig02171490 | 1030388 | 8 | 0.229 | -0.008 | 0.636 |
| ctg31238 | 34468 | 5 | 0.377 | -0.006 | 0.635 |
| ctg35899 | 19864 | 1 | 0.362 | -0.006 | 0.634 |
| tig00395320 | 1033643 | 6 | 0.367 | -0.007 | 0.632 |
| tig00028901 | 551257 | 2 | 0.236 | -0.009 | 0.631 |
| ctg8565 | 121928 | 5 | 0.283 | -0.008 | 0.631 |
| ctg3267 | 370137 | 8 | 0.317 | -0.007 | 0.630 |
| tig00399725 | 355106 | 1 | 0.314 | -0.007 | 0.630 |
| ctg8991 | 210350 | 8 | 0.441 | -0.005 | 0.629 |
| ctg8008 | 104172 | 2 | 0.250 | -0.008 | 0.628 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 43878$ | 14345 | 2 | 0.304 | -0.007 | 0.628 |
| tig00400853 | 386323 | 3 | 0.119 | -0.008 | 0.627 |
| contig_33969 | 263993 | 8 | 0.292 | -0.008 | 0.627 |
| ctg2908 | 226314 | 9 | 0.266 | -0.007 | 0.627 |
| tig00014977 | 370639 | 1 | 0.271 | -0.008 | 0.626 |
| tig00017255 | 471635 | 1 | 0.086 | -0.009 | 0.626 |
| scaffold_41143 | 467631 | 2 | 0.144 | -0.008 | 0.624 |
| ctg25649 | 57345 | 2 | 0.226 | -0.007 | 0.623 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 4797$ | 224255 | 2 | 0.247 | -0.008 | 0.620 |
| contig_31783 | 244048 | 2 | 0.076 | -0.007 | 0.618 |
| ctg 19669 | 82762 | 1 | 0.345 | -0.007 | 0.615 |
| contig_43563 | 439607 | 4 | 0.228 | -0.007 | 0.614 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 1059$ | 573554 | 1 | 0.215 | -0.008 | 0.614 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 12213$ | 62309 | 1 | 0.335 | -0.005 | 0.613 |
| ctg182 | 397717 | 6 | 0.454 | -0.004 | 0.613 |
| tig00040415 | 1244426 | 14 | 0.242 | -0.005 | 0.611 |
| ctg 1497 | 260225 | 3 | 0.318 | -0.007 | 0.611 |
| tig00038427 | 437035 | 2 | 0.176 | -0.009 | 0.611 |
| ctg6661 | 141709 | 4 | 0.255 | -0.007 | 0.611 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 2241$ | 250175 | 2 | 0.194 | -0.008 | 0.610 |
| contig_40725 | 709630 | 1 | 0.148 | -0.008 | 0.608 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 4266$ | 119268 | 7 | 0.411 | -0.004 | 0.608 |


| ctg 1381 | 234532 | 1 | 0.197 | -0.009 | 0.607 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contig_5714 | 681477 | 1 | 0.275 | -0.007 | 0.607 |
| ctg8256 | 87012 | 1 | 0.072 | -0.008 | 0.606 |
| tig00395532 | 669779 | 8 | 0.253 | -0.006 | 0.606 |
| tig00042780 | 306102 | 9 | 0.357 | -0.005 | 0.604 |
| ctg6808 | 258490 | 13 | 0.180 | -0.005 | 0.600 |
| contig_6104 | 500458 | 10 | 0.219 | -0.007 | 0.599 |
| ctg2529 | 433038 | 7 | 0.279 | -0.005 | 0.597 |
| tig00001967 | 1524795 | 2 | 0.285 | -0.006 | 0.595 |
| contig_17921 | 524413 | 2 | 0.244 | -0.007 | 0.594 |
| ctg16252 | 45673 | 9 | 0.317 | -0.006 | 0.592 |
| ctg3934 | 547533 | 1 | 0.167 | -0.006 | 0.591 |
| tig00395527 | 1034874 | 1 | 0.322 | -0.006 | 0.591 |
| tig00035074 | 512272 | 9 | 0.329 | -0.005 | 0.590 |
| contig_13836 | 783511 | 2 | 0.228 | -0.007 | 0.590 |
| tig02188898 | 666550 | 3 | 0.137 | -0.006 | 0.589 |
| ctg5527 | 184576 | 4 | 0.253 | -0.006 | 0.588 |
| tig00399081 | 1078544 | 1 | 0.184 | -0.007 | 0.587 |
| ctg 1912 | 487146 | 6 | 0.236 | -0.004 | 0.587 |
| tig00400810 | 487621 | 1 | 0.202 | -0.007 | 0.586 |
| tig00053577 | 244843 | 1 | 0.141 | -0.007 | 0.585 |
| ctg4235 | 910052 | 3 | 0.257 | -0.006 | 0.585 |
| tig00027697 | 488684 | 11 | 0.337 | -0.005 | 0.583 |
| ctg 15102 | 165018 | 4 | 0.162 | -0.005 | 0.582 |
| contig_46319 | 441250 | 4 | 0.271 | -0.006 | 0.581 |
| tig00401160 | 431609 | 3 | 0.271 | -0.006 | 0.579 |
| ctg 11032 | 228265 | 2 | 0.185 | -0.007 | 0.578 |
| ctg 1334 | 216750 | 1 | 0.215 | -0.006 | 0.576 |
| tig02169171 | 1137723 | 5 | 0.243 | -0.005 | 0.575 |
| ctg8648 | 245069 | 2 | 0.246 | -0.006 | 0.575 |
| tig00007716 | 1298565 | 1 | 0.053 | -0.007 | 0.574 |
| tig00397782 | 1052995 | 2 | 0.437 | -0.003 | 0.573 |
| tig00047187 | 221709 | 9 | 0.318 | -0.005 | 0.571 |
| ctg 1936 | 284549 | 1 | 0.109 | -0.006 | 0.570 |
| tig00400104 | 303083 | 1 | 0.053 | -0.007 | 0.570 |
| ctg35355 | 18397 | 2 | 0.178 | -0.004 | 0.569 |
| ctg8024 | 110807 | 4 | 0.218 | -0.005 | 0.568 |
| tig00398510 | 391527 | 5 | 0.295 | -0.005 | 0.568 |
| contig_1845 | 734391 | 3 | 0.239 | -0.004 | 0.567 |
| tig02170273 | 603096 | 10 | 0.231 | -0.004 | 0.566 |
| ctg11810 | 63609 | 2 | 0.207 | -0.004 | 0.564 |
| contig_12211 | 409191 | 6 | 0.171 | -0.004 | 0.562 |
| tig00004124 | 1011600 | 8 | 0.259 | -0.003 | 0.561 |
| ctg6956 | 277633 | 1 | 0.202 | -0.005 | 0.561 |
| tig00041919 | 508276 | 1 | 0.284 | -0.004 | 0.557 |
| ctg 1263 | 425904 | 3 | 0.193 | -0.004 | 0.556 |
| tig02170092 | 629326 | 1 | 0.088 | -0.005 | 0.556 |
| tig00036475 | 647372 | 4 | 0.235 | -0.004 | 0.555 |
| ctg2119 | 189271 | 4 | 0.256 | -0.004 | 0.555 |
| ctg831 | 389348 | 15 | 0.229 | -0.004 | 0.555 |
| tig00018277 | 587778 | 1 | 0.287 | -0.004 | 0.555 |
| tig00399333 | 496237 | 9 | 0.267 | -0.004 | 0.555 |
| tig02171434 | 533159 | 2 | 0.188 | -0.005 | 0.555 |
| contig_34639 | 546659 | 2 | 0.155 | -0.004 | 0.549 |
| ctg 17345 | 42194 | 3 | 0.250 | -0.004 | 0.547 |
| ctg 1748 | 263778 | 6 | 0.305 | -0.004 | 0.547 |
| ctg 1061 | 359285 | 2 | 0.212 | -0.004 | 0.546 |
| ctg2655 | 399946 | 2 | 0.239 | -0.004 | 0.545 |
| ctg5625 | 210968 | 2 | 0.217 | -0.003 | 0.545 |
| tig00076252 | 521038 | 1 | 0.216 | -0.004 | 0.543 |
| tig00006648 | 777613 | 6 | 0.278 | -0.003 | 0.542 |
| tig00023974 | 948520 | 1 | 0.107 | -0.004 | 0.542 |
| contig_1864 | 239348 | 9 | 0.237 | -0.004 | 0.540 |
| ctg838 | 507887 | 1 | 0.117 | -0.004 | 0.540 |


| ctg 313 | 401801 | 4 | 0.297 | -0.003 | 0.538 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig00015391 | 506855 | 1 | 0.303 | -0.003 | 0.537 |
| tig00102205 | 1311232 | 2 | 0.389 | -0.003 | 0.537 |
| tig00400191 | 279898 | 2 | 0.187 | -0.002 | 0.533 |
| tig00019828 | 437531 | 1 | 0.225 | -0.003 | 0.533 |
| tig00396412 | 1132115 | 1 | 0.351 | -0.003 | 0.533 |
| tig02172912 | 349288 | 1 | 0.216 | -0.003 | 0.531 |
| contig_50564 | 508870 | 2 | 0.046 | -0.004 | 0.530 |
| tig00402541 | 1116159 | 6 | 0.208 | -0.003 | 0.529 |
| ctg3507 | 799998 | 3 | 0.156 | -0.003 | 0.529 |
| tig00014687 | 531722 | 3 | 0.269 | -0.002 | 0.529 |
| ctg2158 | 928513 | 5 | 0.375 | -0.002 | 0.528 |
| ctg 19070 | 39069 | 1 | 0.193 | -0.003 | 0.525 |
| ctg 14829 | 102996 | 5 | 0.285 | -0.002 | 0.525 |
| scaffold_62778 | 301450 | 1 | 0.309 | -0.003 | 0.524 |
| ctg111 | 550433 | 3 | 0.425 | -0.002 | 0.523 |
| tig00001521 | 1814178 | 8 | 0.209 | -0.002 | 0.523 |
| tig00397854 | 1340822 | 6 | 0.257 | -0.002 | 0.523 |
| ctg16812 | 52416 | 1 | 0.293 | -0.002 | 0.520 |
| tig00040162 | 655590 | 2 | 0.130 | -0.003 | 0.520 |
| contig_38988 | 427185 | 4 | 0.295 | -0.002 | 0.519 |
| tig00397426 | 224590 | 4 | 0.295 | -0.002 | 0.518 |
| ctg16789 | 81665 | 5 | 0.155 | -0.002 | 0.516 |
| ctg27966 | 26012 | 6 | 0.257 | -0.002 | 0.516 |
| tig00399224 | 274976 | 7 | 0.180 | -0.002 | 0.514 |
| contig_167 | 379846 | 5 | 0.229 | -0.002 | 0.513 |
| tig00402753 | 297647 | 7 | 0.205 | -0.002 | 0.512 |
| ctg18611 | 40214 | 3 | 0.204 | -0.001 | 0.512 |
| tig00009696 | 621637 | 1 | 0.163 | -0.002 | 0.511 |
| tig00020401 | 361343 | 1 | 0.237 | -0.002 | 0.510 |
| ctg6939 | 264968 | 1 | 0.206 | -0.002 | 0.510 |
| tig00008084 | 992601 | 3 | 0.391 | -0.002 | 0.509 |
| tig00008190 | 629190 | 1 | 0.092 | -0.002 | 0.508 |
| tig02171222 | 219427 | 7 | 0.304 | -0.001 | 0.508 |
| ctg2366 | 480735 | 7 | 0.337 | -0.001 | 0.506 |
| tig00399004 | 340133 | 1 | 0.210 | -0.001 | 0.506 |
| contig_30102 | 390755 | 1 | 0.062 | -0.002 | 0.506 |
| ctg51138 | 10694 | 1 | 0.165 | -0.001 | 0.505 |
| tig00014974 | 549881 | 1 | 0.310 | -0.002 | 0.505 |
| ctg5389 | 240228 | 1 | 0.337 | -0.002 | 0.503 |
| tig00398942 | 533835 | 6 | 0.239 | -0.001 | 0.503 |
| contig_34666 | 642048 | 2 | 0.305 | -0.002 | 0.502 |
| tig00396028 | 570496 | 1 | 0.241 | -0.002 | 0.502 |
| ctg 11215 | 66374 | 1 | 0.077 | -0.001 | 0.502 |
| contig_24015 | 410659 | 1 | 0.188 | -0.001 | 0.501 |
| contig_38459 | 326343 |  | 0.184 | -0.001 | 0.500 |
| ctg 1899 | 309002 | 4 | 0.226 | -0.001 | 0.500 |
| ctg17228 | 43623 | 1 | 0.247 | -0.001 | 0.498 |
| ctg32 | 723123 | 1 | 0.285 | -0.002 | 0.498 |
| ctg53500 | 9450 | 1 | 0.267 | -0.001 | 0.494 |
| tig00003469 | 331209 | 1 | 0.292 | -0.001 | 0.493 |
| ctg7102 | 96382 | 1 | 0.275 | -0.001 | 0.493 |
| scaffold_34793 | 251364 | 3 | 0.210 | -0.001 | 0.492 |
| contig_24247 | 834838 | 2 | 0.283 | -0.001 | 0.490 |
| tig00014020 | 484590 | 1 | 0.119 | 0.000 | 0.490 |
| ctg8146 | 216194 | 1 | 0.200 | 0.000 | 0.489 |
| contig_10102 | 552091 | 1 | 0.194 | 0.000 | 0.489 |
| ctg26463 | 27064 | 1 | 0.144 | 0.000 | 0.488 |
| tig00031805 | 320586 | 1 | 0.275 | -0.001 | 0.487 |
| tig00006081 | 1034151 | 3 | 0.178 | 0.000 | 0.486 |
| tig00016527 | 794251 | 2 | 0.249 | 0.000 | 0.482 |
| tig02168946 | 650385 | 2 | 0.336 | 0.000 | 0.482 |
| tig02186709 | 609292 | 3 | 0.269 | 0.000 | 0.482 |
| tig00077938 | 256834 | 1 | 0.269 | 0.000 | 0.481 |


| ctg9566 | 314685 | 7 | 0.200 | 0.000 | 0.479 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg5785 | 214093 | 1 | 0.252 | 0.000 | 0.475 |
| tig00044630 | 922835 | 3 | 0.315 | 0.000 | 0.474 |
| tig00399904 | 430432 | 10 | 0.270 | 0.001 | 0.474 |
| tig00019804 | 373618 | 6 | 0.331 | 0.001 | 0.473 |
| ctg3152 | 733178 | 10 | 0.306 | 0.001 | 0.471 |
| tig02170015 | 398876 | 4 | 0.236 | 0.000 | 0.469 |
| tig00032843 | 353947 | 2 | 0.298 | 0.000 | 0.468 |
| ctg5867 | 219649 | 1 | 0.244 | 0.001 | 0.468 |
| contig_9662 | 1228451 | 10 | 0.280 | 0.001 | 0.467 |
| tig02169631 | 837755 | 5 | 0.200 | 0.001 | 0.465 |
| ctg616 | 527122 | 5 | 0.203 | 0.001 | 0.464 |
| ctg1791 | 298529 | 4 | 0.275 | 0.000 | 0.464 |
| tig00034802 | 480886 | 8 | 0.327 | 0.001 | 0.462 |
| ctg2400 | 344491 | 1 | 0.098 | 0.002 | 0.462 |
| ctg10561 | 117120 | 6 | 0.141 | 0.001 | 0.462 |
| tig00030658 | 331077 | 7 | 0.224 | 0.001 | 0.459 |
| tig00023902 | 543692 | 3 | 0.196 | 0.001 | 0.457 |
| contig_9558 | 882490 | 1 | 0.118 | 0.002 | 0.457 |
| ctg18061 | 41478 | 2 | 0.313 | 0.001 | 0.456 |
| tig00396080 | 408416 | 8 | 0.272 | 0.001 | 0.455 |
| ctg3832 | 337311 | 2 | 0.161 | 0.002 | 0.454 |
| tig00061516 | 328044 | 4 | 0.260 | 0.001 | 0.454 |
| ctg19368 | 37938 | 8 | 0.257 | 0.001 | 0.454 |
| ctg7556 | 83281 | 1 | 0.059 | 0.002 | 0.453 |
| tig00399854 | 715880 | 5 | 0.131 | 0.001 | 0.453 |
| contig_9324 | 1484920 | 1 | 0.281 | 0.001 | 0.453 |
| ctg1080 | 345086 | 3 | 0.318 | 0.002 | 0.453 |
| tig00399158 | 566761 | 3 | 0.275 | 0.001 | 0.452 |
| tig00399063 | 297754 | 6 | 0.239 | 0.002 | 0.452 |
| ctg2267 | 287725 | 3 | 0.196 | 0.002 | 0.452 |
| tig00038898 | 203416 | 1 | 0.057 | 0.003 | 0.452 |
| contig_35763 | 924599 | 4 | 0.161 | 0.002 | 0.451 |
| contig_55226 | 469688 | 2 | 0.184 | 0.002 | 0.451 |
| ctg 1391 | 542348 | 3 | 0.382 | 0.001 | 0.450 |
| contig_5364 | 441384 | 2 | 0.143 | 0.001 | 0.449 |
| contig_11399 | 872055 | 6 | 0.213 | 0.002 | 0.449 |
| ctg3925 | 129008 | 7 | 0.274 | 0.002 | 0.446 |
| ctg4594 | 121809 | 2 | 0.240 | 0.002 | 0.445 |
| scaffold_68823 | 749868 | 3 | 0.227 | 0.002 | 0.443 |
| ctg22063 | 44424 | 3 | 0.083 | 0.003 | 0.443 |
| ctg25088 | 60155 | 1 | 0.135 | 0.003 | 0.443 |
| ctg3847 | 140969 | 1 | 0.037 | 0.004 | 0.443 |
| ctg4936 | 118375 | 2 | 0.150 | 0.002 | 0.441 |
| ctg2463 | 300679 | 2 | 0.327 | 0.002 | 0.440 |
| contig_18092 | 623818 | 2 | 0.258 | 0.002 | 0.440 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 16750$ | 92128 | 4 | 0.182 | 0.002 | 0.440 |
| tig02186728 | 403509 | 3 | 0.155 | 0.003 | 0.439 |
| contig_405 | 661065 | 3 | 0.209 | 0.002 | 0.438 |
| tig00027581 | 444229 | 4 | 0.294 | 0.002 | 0.437 |
| scaffold_6949 | 368580 | 3 | 0.342 | 0.002 | 0.437 |
| tig00402141 | 287753 | 1 | 0.197 | 0.003 | 0.435 |
| contig_17666 | 849625 | 14 | 0.292 | 0.002 | 0.435 |
| tig00027224 | 341833 | 1 | 0.207 | 0.003 | 0.434 |
| contig_4310 | 359971 | 3 | 0.184 | 0.003 | 0.434 |
| contig_70356 | 503602 | 1 | 0.313 | 0.002 | 0.434 |
| ctg3294 | 391014 | 3 | 0.321 | 0.002 | 0.434 |
| ctg2316 | 208433 | 1 | 0.257 | 0.003 | 0.433 |
| tig00399036 | 399234 | 1 | 0.187 | 0.004 | 0.433 |
| tig00397290 | 1137711 | 2 | 0.115 | 0.003 | 0.432 |
| ctg6707 | 98833 | 2 | 0.234 | 0.003 | 0.431 |
| ctg 19766 | 36126 | 3 | 0.189 | 0.003 | 0.430 |
| tig00003060 | 473357 | 4 | 0.171 | 0.003 | 0.429 |
| contig_16508 | 284442 | 2 | 0.159 | 0.004 | 0.428 |


| contig_1664 | 360730 | 5 | 0.375 | 0.003 | 0.428 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg4071 | 383765 | 1 | 0.394 | 0.001 | 0.428 |
| ctg 3996 | 138028 | 5 | 0.321 | 0.003 | 0.427 |
| ctg 11442 | 65828 | 1 | 0.305 | 0.002 | 0.427 |
| ctg26023 | 28036 | 12 | 0.342 | 0.002 | 0.426 |
| tig00409871 | 202717 | 1 | 0.115 | 0.003 | 0.425 |
| ctg8856 | 124036 | 1 | 0.109 | 0.005 | 0.423 |
| tig00085471 | 646292 | 6 | 0.306 | 0.003 | 0.423 |
| ctg8695 | 83338 | 1 | 0.249 | 0.004 | 0.423 |
| contig_27873 | 199960 | 5 | 0.270 | 0.003 | 0.422 |
| ctg2286 | 329912 | 5 | 0.180 | 0.003 | 0.421 |
| contig_48726 | 493185 | 3 | 0.199 | 0.002 | 0.421 |
| ctg11764 | 63857 | 8 | 0.186 | 0.002 | 0.420 |
| ctg 1397 | 396155 | 1 | 0.317 | 0.003 | 0.420 |
| ctg5410 | 128609 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.004 | 0.420 |
| ctg6133 | 147616 | 2 | 0.205 | 0.003 | 0.419 |
| ctg28915 | 23102 | 1 | 0.167 | 0.005 | 0.419 |
| ctg5922 | 107431 | 1 | 0.233 | 0.003 | 0.418 |
| tig00042959 | 303547 | 1 | 0.339 | 0.002 | 0.417 |
| ctg9169 | 139841 | 2 | 0.319 | 0.003 | 0.416 |
| tig00045243 | 465416 | 11 | 0.253 | 0.005 | 0.415 |
| ctg7079 | 95697 | 6 | 0.303 | 0.004 | 0.411 |
| ctg 174 | 431346 | 4 | 0.190 | 0.004 | 0.409 |
| tig02170991 | 444866 | 5 | 0.229 | 0.004 | 0.409 |
| contig_52009 | 666542 | 2 | 0.333 | 0.003 | 0.409 |
| contig_55590 | 388109 | 1 | 0.210 | 0.005 | 0.407 |
| ctg2627 | 297983 | 2 | 0.155 | 0.006 | 0.407 |
| tig00024900 | 301345 | 5 | 0.253 | 0.004 | 0.407 |
| contig_16859 | 753330 | 4 | 0.329 | 0.004 | 0.407 |
| ctg479 | 1027179 | 1 | 0.285 | 0.004 | 0.405 |
| tig00039289 | 422826 | 4 | 0.327 | 0.003 | 0.405 |
| ctg3397 | 135310 | 3 | 0.216 | 0.003 | 0.405 |
| tig00038294 | 302025 | 6 | 0.114 | 0.004 | 0.405 |
| contig_8775 | 285451 | 1 | 0.137 | 0.006 | 0.403 |
| contig_27594 | 1956444 | 4 | 0.214 | 0.004 | 0.403 |
| ctg11895 | 92023 | 3 | 0.153 | 0.005 | 0.403 |
| tig00002241 | 860234 | 2 | 0.220 | 0.006 | 0.403 |
| ctg238 | 498939 | 2 | 0.200 | 0.005 | 0.402 |
| contig_29523 | 221790 | 1 | 0.334 | 0.004 | 0.402 |
| tig00043906 | 1233451 | 4 | 0.253 | 0.004 | 0.401 |
| tig00395980 | 590998 | 6 | 0.346 | 0.003 | 0.401 |
| tig02169307 | 295274 | 3 | 0.129 | 0.006 | 0.401 |
| tig00026057 | 346620 | 1 | 0.116 | 0.007 | 0.399 |
| ctg 11792 | 80376 | 2 | 0.261 | 0.004 | 0.398 |
| ctg11173 | 389268 | 1 | 0.287 | 0.004 | 0.398 |
| contig_10636 | 230810 | 2 | 0.379 | 0.003 | 0.397 |
| ctg 1333 | 1139614 | 5 | 0.173 | 0.005 | 0.396 |
| contig_1486 | 1077882 | 9 | 0.179 | 0.004 | 0.395 |
| tig00397833 | 1018425 | 2 | 0.270 | 0.005 | 0.394 |
| tig02186434 | 524742 | 1 | 0.168 | 0.006 | 0.393 |
| tig00402945 | 237922 | 3 | 0.318 | 0.004 | 0.391 |
| scaffold_45183 | 233345 | 8 | 0.266 | 0.005 | 0.390 |
| contig_49703 | 241010 | 1 | 0.275 | 0.005 | 0.389 |
| ctg11542 | 64574 | 1 | 0.247 | 0.005 | 0.389 |
| scaffold_64729 | 624955 | 1 | 0.252 | 0.005 | 0.388 |
| tig00399346 | 1028168 | 3 | 0.194 | 0.006 | 0.387 |
| ctg2938 | 352946 | 3 | 0.363 | 0.003 | 0.387 |
| ctg35727 | 18729 | 2 | 0.227 | 0.005 | 0.385 |
| contig_16955 | 522175 | 3 | 0.167 | 0.007 | 0.385 |
| ctg6821 | 98412 | 5 | 0.285 | 0.005 | 0.385 |
| ctg30127 | 23394 | 6 | 0.270 | 0.006 | 0.384 |
| ctg23252 | 88985 | 2 | 0.411 | 0.002 | 0.382 |
| ctg23626 | 51948 | 3 | 0.277 | 0.005 | 0.381 |
| tig00403819 | 607026 | 14 | 0.238 | 0.005 | 0.381 |


| $\operatorname{ctg} 11033$ | 68333 | 1 | 0.244 | 0.006 | 0.381 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig00031425 | 691299 | 16 | 0.325 | 0.005 | 0.380 |
| tig00064960 | 478952 | 5 | 0.304 | 0.005 | 0.379 |
| ctg39807 | 16525 | 4 | 0.218 | 0.006 | 0.378 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 16510$ | 45327 | 1 | 0.130 | 0.007 | 0.378 |
| ctg366 | 614486 | 3 | 0.159 | 0.006 | 0.376 |
| ctg9334 | 264644 | 1 | 0.054 | 0.009 | 0.375 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 388$ | 517509 | 4 | 0.181 | 0.006 | 0.374 |
| ctg 17374 | 72477 | 2 | 0.077 | 0.007 | 0.372 |
| ctg 1518 | 300125 | 1 | 0.177 | 0.009 | 0.370 |
| contig_28127 | 464494 | 1 | 0.366 | 0.004 | 0.368 |
| tig00399293 | 499527 | 12 | 0.266 | 0.006 | 0.365 |
| ctg4364 | 313481 | 2 | 0.265 | 0.007 | 0.363 |
| contig_7963 | 283313 | 6 | 0.186 | 0.008 | 0.363 |
| ctg7839 | 326469 | 3 | 0.281 | 0.007 | 0.361 |
| tig00011099 | 903735 | 4 | 0.356 | 0.006 | 0.361 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 11226$ | 406031 | 2 | 0.228 | 0.008 | 0.361 |
| contig_11332 | 693295 | 1 | 0.120 | 0.009 | 0.359 |
| ctg1241 | 556466 | 2 | 0.454 | 0.001 | 0.359 |
| ctg10413 | 74261 | 3 | 0.236 | 0.007 | 0.358 |
| contig_3519 | 723942 | 7 | 0.311 | 0.005 | 0.358 |
| ctg10371 | 221668 | 9 | 0.368 | 0.006 | 0.357 |
| tig00049519 | 502421 | 5 | 0.270 | 0.007 | 0.357 |
| ctg3647 | 334639 | 4 | 0.208 | 0.008 | 0.357 |
| contig_16291 | 215563 | 3 | 0.230 | 0.007 | 0.356 |
| tig00396472 | 1300381 | 6 | 0.221 | 0.006 | 0.355 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 792$ | 256016 | 2 | 0.280 | 0.006 | 0.355 |
| contig_11612 | 514562 | 1 | 0.123 | 0.011 | 0.354 |
| ctg50750 | 26482 | 11 | 0.164 | 0.006 | 0.353 |
| tig00000220 | 1112024 | 4 | 0.284 | 0.006 | 0.351 |
| contig_26538 | 225584 | 5 | 0.273 | 0.006 | 0.349 |
| ctg3241 | 433825 | 2 | 0.109 | 0.009 | 0.349 |
| tig00008767 | 823990 | 10 | 0.291 | 0.006 | 0.348 |
| ctg21642 | 31698 | 4 | 0.309 | 0.007 | 0.346 |
| ctg3161 | 562706 | 1 | 0.122 | 0.009 | 0.346 |
| ctg2376 | 405901 | 11 | 0.216 | 0.007 | 0.345 |
| ctg3232 | 583900 | 3 | 0.226 | 0.008 | 0.344 |
| tig00397077 | 323985 | 12 | 0.190 | 0.006 | 0.344 |
| tig00061909 | 406925 | 1 | 0.196 | 0.010 | 0.342 |
| tig00042694 | 313962 | 2 | 0.184 | 0.010 | 0.342 |
| tig00017426 | 722482 | 5 | 0.293 | 0.007 | 0.341 |
| ctg713 | 278249 | 4 | 0.162 | 0.008 | 0.341 |
| contig_24117 | 377481 | 1 | 0.176 | 0.010 | 0.341 |
| tig00004882 | 305917 | 7 | 0.271 | 0.008 | 0.340 |
| ctg24806 | 28923 | 3 | 0.082 | 0.011 | 0.339 |
| tig00045603 | 1505374 | 10 | 0.227 | 0.009 | 0.339 |
| ctg4373 | 128964 | 3 | 0.287 | 0.008 | 0.338 |
| tig00011884 | 1225809 | 12 | 0.201 | 0.007 | 0.338 |
| ctg2395 | 404071 | 2 | 0.265 | 0.009 | 0.337 |
| tig00002394 | 2038556 | 2 | 0.153 | 0.012 | 0.337 |
| ctg2044 | 489276 | 1 | 0.246 | 0.009 | 0.337 |
| ctg2809 | 187657 | 1 | 0.146 | 0.011 | 0.337 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 450$ | 299471 | 7 | 0.401 | 0.006 | 0.337 |
| ctg2273 | 177579 | 2 | 0.127 | 0.010 | 0.336 |
| contig_8265 | 226666 | 5 | 0.366 | 0.006 | 0.334 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 11118$ | 100228 | 2 | 0.080 | 0.010 | 0.334 |
| tig00397604 | 528125 | 6 | 0.297 | 0.009 | 0.333 |
| tig00398120 | 245272 | 3 | 0.267 | 0.006 | 0.333 |
| ctg5105 | 307454 | 2 | 0.049 | 0.011 | 0.332 |
| tig00045694 | 580614 | 4 | 0.321 | 0.007 | 0.330 |
| tig00029766 | 895646 | 4 | 0.246 | 0.008 | 0.330 |
| ctg883 | 647412 | 3 | 0.102 | 0.011 | 0.329 |
| ctg712 | 302024 | 4 | 0.322 | 0.008 | 0.329 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 183$ | 759955 | 8 | 0.275 | 0.009 | 0.329 |


| ctg 1920 | 666823 | 11 | 0.306 | 0.008 | 0.329 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig00011506 | 783833 | 3 | 0.128 | 0.012 | 0.328 |
| ctg6988 | 97337 | 4 | 0.211 | 0.010 | 0.328 |
| contig_47429 | 246707 | 2 | 0.123 | 0.008 | 0.327 |
| tig00099272 | 527006 | 3 | 0.332 | 0.007 | 0.326 |
| tig00011771 | 645681 | 2 | 0.246 | 0.010 | 0.325 |
| ctg1529 | 420124 | 8 | 0.359 | 0.006 | 0.325 |
| ctg5497 | 203990 | 1 | 0.109 | 0.014 | 0.324 |
| ctg21508 | 62935 | 3 | 0.129 | 0.012 | 0.323 |
| tig00003558 | 705364 | 3 | 0.252 | 0.006 | 0.323 |
| tig02169097 | 318812 | 1 | 0.234 | 0.009 | 0.323 |
| contig_3679 | 252125 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.014 | 0.322 |
| contig_34045 | 1449546 | 1 | 0.141 | 0.013 | 0.322 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 3846$ | 305419 | 2 | 0.276 | 0.008 | 0.321 |
| ctg11944 | 114540 | 6 | 0.335 | 0.009 | 0.320 |
| ctg5397 | 111370 | 1 | 0.292 | 0.009 | 0.319 |
| ctg21811 | 69469 | 14 | 0.271 | 0.009 | 0.318 |
| ctg5832 | 158175 | 2 | 0.084 | 0.013 | 0.316 |
| tig00008230 | 1400285 | 4 | 0.259 | 0.010 | 0.316 |
| contig_12673 | 479480 | 10 | 0.369 | 0.007 | 0.316 |
| ctg17209 | 43325 | 3 | 0.249 | 0.009 | 0.315 |
| contig_26028 | 448753 | 1 | 0.217 | 0.012 | 0.315 |
| tig00030298 | 243623 | 6 | 0.110 | 0.010 | 0.315 |
| tig02170901 | 829001 | 5 | 0.237 | 0.009 | 0.313 |
| ctg19165 | 47385 | 2 | 0.354 | 0.006 | 0.311 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 12719$ | 60178 | 3 | 0.286 | 0.010 | 0.309 |
| tig00027603 | 286992 | 4 | 0.435 | 0.005 | 0.308 |
| ctg54 | 641522 | 2 | 0.260 | 0.008 | 0.308 |
| tig00082947 | 362372 | 4 | 0.213 | 0.012 | 0.308 |
| contig_5161 | 1115787 | 5 | 0.243 | 0.009 | 0.307 |
| ctg1371 | 344290 | 1 | 0.172 | 0.013 | 0.307 |
| tig00395483 | 847536 | 2 | 0.152 | 0.011 | 0.307 |
| tig00050415 | 277569 | 6 | 0.123 | 0.009 | 0.306 |
| contig_10263 | 505274 | 3 | 0.236 | 0.008 | 0.306 |
| tig00003355 | 271986 | 1 | 0.152 | 0.013 | 0.304 |
| ctg9546 | 81194 | 5 | 0.266 | 0.011 | 0.304 |
| tig00403306 | 291777 | 2 | 0.403 | 0.005 | 0.302 |
| ctg 15403 | 67458 | 2 | 0.225 | 0.011 | 0.302 |
| tig02171032 | 898652 | 8 | 0.154 | 0.010 | 0.301 |
| tig00398109 | 263642 | 5 | 0.313 | 0.009 | 0.301 |
| tig00397477 | 419834 | 4 | 0.152 | 0.012 | 0.300 |
| tig00396306 | 735482 | 12 | 0.166 | 0.012 | 0.299 |
| tig00405137 | 269972 | 5 | 0.382 | 0.007 | 0.298 |
| contig_69386 | 378855 | 1 | 0.359 | 0.007 | 0.297 |
| ctg5375 | 200329 | 3 | 0.192 | 0.012 | 0.297 |
| scaffold_26714 | 221214 | 1 | 0.327 | 0.010 | 0.296 |
| tig02173403 | 539115 | 3 | 0.401 | 0.007 | 0.295 |
| ctg2179 | 338079 | 2 | 0.193 | 0.011 | 0.295 |
| tig00002471 | 718824 | 3 | 0.230 | 0.013 | 0.294 |
| contig_1209 | 476419 | 2 | 0.330 | 0.009 | 0.293 |
| ctg1668 | 321688 | 12 | 0.335 | 0.009 | 0.293 |
| ctg 1967 | 178723 | 10 | 0.218 | 0.010 | 0.292 |
| contig_26950 | 996727 | 3 | 0.344 | 0.008 | 0.292 |
| ctg1809 | 1047252 | 2 | 0.163 | 0.014 | 0.291 |
| ctg2274 | 195032 | 2 | 0.309 | 0.010 | 0.290 |
| tig00402136 | 368560 | 3 | 0.295 | 0.010 | 0.290 |
| tig00060131 | 617991 | 6 | 0.325 | 0.010 | 0.290 |
| tig00396701 | 410243 | 4 | 0.243 | 0.011 | 0.290 |
| ctg8567 | 83098 | 3 | 0.184 | 0.011 | 0.287 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 4670$ | 199821 | 1 | 0.169 | 0.015 | 0.286 |
| ctg16687 | 60298 | 1 | 0.136 | 0.017 | 0.285 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 386$ | 578986 | 3 | 0.296 | 0.011 | 0.284 |
| tig00005188 | 869273 | 2 | 0.182 | 0.014 | 0.282 |
| contig_50820 | 223879 | 2 | 0.308 | 0.011 | 0.281 |


| tig00011756 | 1256973 | 1 | 0.308 | 0.011 | 0.281 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig00022871 | 832104 | 4 | 0.160 | 0.016 | 0.280 |
| contig_26333 | 3510238 | 20 | 0.234 | 0.011 | 0.280 |
| ctg59 | 461472 | 1 | 0.175 | 0.015 | 0.279 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 48563$ | 12119 | 3 | 0.328 | 0.010 | 0.279 |
| scaffold_5606 | 863916 | 1 | 0.165 | 0.014 | 0.278 |
| tig00031524 | 621365 | 16 | 0.369 | 0.010 | 0.276 |
| ctg8119 | 176077 | 2 | 0.196 | 0.014 | 0.276 |
| tig02186286 | 784776 | 3 | 0.109 | 0.012 | 0.276 |
| contig_29836 | 269249 | 3 | 0.206 | 0.015 | 0.276 |
| ctg22323 | 60152 | 1 | 0.365 | 0.008 | 0.275 |
| ctg2509 | 192930 | 7 | 0.139 | 0.013 | 0.273 |
| tig02186979 | 276463 | 3 | 0.355 | 0.007 | 0.272 |
| ctg755 | 377340 | 5 | 0.336 | 0.010 | 0.272 |
| ctg3033 | 172190 | 5 | 0.238 | 0.013 | 0.271 |
| ctg1023 | 251366 | 2 | 0.190 | 0.012 | 0.270 |
| tig00021227 | 391113 | 14 | 0.201 | 0.013 | 0.269 |
| ctg9372 | 140531 | 2 | 0.217 | 0.015 | 0.269 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 946$ | 261740 | 1 | 0.334 | 0.010 | 0.268 |
| tig00060048 | 455239 | 3 | 0.164 | 0.016 | 0.268 |
| ctg9658 | 429156 | 1 | 0.162 | 0.015 | 0.266 |
| tig00034225 | 431080 | 1 | 0.281 | 0.012 | 0.266 |
| ctg1143 | 269436 | 16 | 0.374 | 0.011 | 0.265 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 13353$ | 64487 | 2 | 0.219 | 0.015 | 0.263 |
| ctg4676 | 655169 | 1 | 0.168 | 0.017 | 0.263 |
| ctg 1175 | 1488075 | 10 | 0.223 | 0.012 | 0.263 |
| tig00396252 | 2234354 | 4 | 0.212 | 0.011 | 0.263 |
| contig_16422 | 412391 | 9 | 0.271 | 0.012 | 0.262 |
| ctg12304 | 99606 | 1 | 0.394 | 0.006 | 0.262 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 41048$ | 16536 | 1 | 0.367 | 0.008 | 0.262 |
| ctg1950 | 226520 | 2 | 0.104 | 0.018 | 0.260 |
| contig_8502 | 659955 | 3 | 0.311 | 0.012 | 0.259 |
| tig00104814 | 1793396 | 4 | 0.232 | 0.014 | 0.259 |
| tig00025127 | 537042 | 4 | 0.321 | 0.012 | 0.259 |
| ctg194 | 600697 | 4 | 0.199 | 0.013 | 0.258 |
| ctg6063 | 225047 | 2 | 0.293 | 0.007 | 0.258 |
| tig00044057 | 466073 | 1 | 0.247 | 0.015 | 0.257 |
| tig00395942 | 338607 | 1 | 0.315 | 0.012 | 0.257 |
| ctg752 | 602464 | 4 | 0.404 | 0.006 | 0.255 |
| ctg34789 | 17479 | 3 | 0.258 | 0.015 | 0.254 |
| tig02170971 | 414449 | 6 | 0.338 | 0.008 | 0.254 |
| ctg 3 | 1179550 | 12 | 0.201 | 0.014 | 0.254 |
| tig00001022 | 1086513 | 8 | 0.200 | 0.015 | 0.253 |
| ctg415 | 311524 | , | 0.216 | 0.016 | 0.253 |
| ctg8985 | 210385 | 1 | 0.088 | 0.020 | 0.252 |
| contig_2258 | 313162 | 3 | 0.321 | 0.011 | 0.252 |
| ctg4939 | 265483 | 1 | 0.133 | 0.020 | 0.252 |
| tig00004411 | 978213 | 11 | 0.348 | 0.014 | 0.252 |
| ctg23705 | 43644 | 4 | 0.159 | 0.014 | 0.252 |
| contig_59892 | 425997 | 4 | 0.162 | 0.017 | 0.251 |
| tig00399998 | 282483 | 1 | 0.121 | 0.018 | 0.251 |
| ctg15020 | 55150 | 1 | 0.179 | 0.015 | 0.250 |
| ctg50003 | 20875 | 2 | 0.149 | 0.015 | 0.249 |
| contig_27618 | 278105 | 2 | 0.146 | 0.017 | 0.249 |
| tig00053685 | 529554 | 8 | 0.240 | 0.013 | 0.249 |
| ctg177 | 471256 | 2 | 0.272 | 0.015 | 0.249 |
| tig00397775 | 602392 | 6 | 0.181 | 0.012 | 0.248 |
| ctg3365 | 406722 | 5 | 0.239 | 0.016 | 0.248 |
| ctg9125 | 76434 | 2 | 0.130 | 0.013 | 0.247 |
| ctg4715 | 460241 | 6 | 0.229 | 0.015 | 0.246 |
| tig00395493 | 735867 | 3 | 0.196 | 0.016 | 0.246 |
| tig00397358 | 211492 | 2 | 0.182 | 0.013 | 0.246 |
| ctg1157 | 328581 | 1 | 0.196 | 0.016 | 0.245 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 17303$ | 40441 | 1 | 0.343 | 0.011 | 0.245 |


| ctg6668 | 100423 | 4 | 0.137 | 0.020 | 0.245 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg7718 | 88837 | 3 | 0.248 | 0.015 | 0.244 |
| ctg6837 | 427499 | 5 | 0.275 | 0.016 | 0.244 |
| tig00052855 | 768200 | 1 | 0.237 | 0.016 | 0.244 |
| ctg38 | 1002682 | 1 | 0.231 | 0.016 | 0.243 |
| tig02186981 | 429664 | 1 | 0.253 | 0.014 | 0.242 |
| contig_11800 | 293398 | 4 | 0.279 | 0.011 | 0.242 |
| ctg26085 | 41784 | 2 | 0.226 | 0.018 | 0.241 |
| tig00398125 | 242286 | 3 | 0.286 | 0.015 | 0.239 |
| scaffold_4683 | 835377 | 8 | 0.299 | 0.014 | 0.239 |
| tig00019413 | 834945 | 1 | 0.305 | 0.014 | 0.238 |
| tig00395664 | 739937 | 1 | 0.374 | 0.009 | 0.237 |
| ctg4981 | 238808 | 5 | 0.323 | 0.014 | 0.236 |
| ctg 10095 | 116421 | 1 | 0.106 | 0.022 | 0.235 |
| ctg6562 | 100056 | 6 | 0.441 | 0.011 | 0.235 |
| contig_700 | 528404 | 10 | 0.220 | 0.015 | 0.234 |
| ctg4863 | 119947 | 3 | 0.127 | 0.018 | 0.234 |
| ctg 1475 | 293470 | 1 | 0.355 | 0.009 | 0.233 |
| contig_28122 | 981579 | 3 | 0.305 | 0.016 | 0.233 |
| ctg 18773 | 38018 | 11 | 0.086 | 0.016 | 0.232 |
| tig02170329 | 932701 | 1 | 0.173 | 0.019 | 0.232 |
| contig_9938 | 210024 | 2 | 0.325 | 0.013 | 0.230 |
| contig_28334 | 417081 | 3 | 0.190 | 0.020 | 0.230 |
| ctg6202 | 106203 | 1 | 0.257 | 0.016 | 0.229 |
| ctg7818 | 171048 | 5 | 0.270 | 0.012 | 0.228 |
| ctg22944 | 32023 | 2 | 0.196 | 0.019 | 0.228 |
| tig00027088 | 326734 | 1 | 0.214 | 0.019 | 0.227 |
| ctg3204 | 256046 | 3 | 0.276 | 0.018 | 0.227 |
| ctg 13400 | 51769 | 2 | 0.339 | 0.013 | 0.226 |
| ctg607 | 520191 | 2 | 0.349 | 0.012 | 0.226 |
| tig00396649 | 1110334 | 6 | 0.248 | 0.015 | 0.226 |
| ctg 19555 | 38077 | 6 | 0.248 | 0.016 | 0.226 |
| ctg 10144 | 130904 | 9 | 0.188 | 0.018 | 0.225 |
| ctg 13430 | 177202 | 1 | 0.350 | 0.012 | 0.224 |
| ctg2815 | 148288 | 3 | 0.232 | 0.015 | 0.223 |
| ctg46 | 597493 | 4 | 0.274 | 0.015 | 0.222 |
| ctg505 | 732007 | 2 | 0.316 | 0.014 | 0.222 |
| tig00032134 | 632509 | 1 | 0.225 | 0.018 | 0.221 |
| tig00034708 | 906525 | 5 | 0.273 | 0.014 | 0.220 |
| tig00083023 | 456639 | 1 | 0.185 | 0.021 | 0.219 |
| tig00014463 | 384586 | 8 | 0.313 | 0.015 | 0.219 |
| ctg812 | 258346 | 3 | 0.392 | 0.011 | 0.218 |
| contig_30589 | 301511 | 3 | 0.190 | 0.018 | 0.218 |
| ctg2384 | 234273 | 2 | 0.216 | 0.019 | 0.218 |
| ctg4951 | 130550 | 1 | 0.313 | 0.013 | 0.216 |
| ctg501 | 324510 | 1 | 0.242 | 0.018 | 0.215 |
| contig_49819 | 327541 | 2 | 0.140 | 0.023 | 0.214 |
| tig00395565 | 484104 | 2 | 0.103 | 0.021 | 0.214 |
| ctg791 | 410033 | 2 | 0.311 | 0.015 | 0.214 |
| tig00398853 | 541814 | 2 | 0.219 | 0.019 | 0.214 |
| contig_4852 | 367073 | 1 | 0.320 | 0.012 | 0.214 |
| ctg5333 | 174133 | 2 | 0.407 | 0.010 | 0.214 |
| ctg24568 | 81426 | 1 | 0.266 | 0.017 | 0.213 |
| ctg 1298 | 491818 | 3 | 0.221 | 0.020 | 0.213 |
| ctg5899 | 178746 | 6 | 0.192 | 0.018 | 0.213 |
| ctg5912 | 109367 | 3 | 0.149 | 0.022 | 0.213 |
| ctg 10292 | 137413 | 7 | 0.371 | 0.013 | 0.213 |
| tig00396717 | 1067560 | 2 | 0.256 | 0.012 | 0.212 |
| ctg22309 | 32068 | 1 | 0.261 | 0.018 | 0.212 |
| contig_27424 | 766992 | 2 | 0.191 | 0.022 | 0.212 |
| ctg9604 | 129815 | 2 | 0.304 | 0.013 | 0.212 |
| contig_6376 | 506229 | 18 | 0.311 | 0.016 | 0.209 |
| ctg7623 | 319599 | 2 | 0.183 | 0.015 | 0.209 |
| tig00031330 | 337571 | 4 | 0.267 | 0.018 | 0.208 |


| tig00395829 | 939538 | 1 | 0.085 | 0.025 | 0.207 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg4442 | 128076 | 1 | 0.199 | 0.022 | 0.207 |
| contig_14639 | 342501 | 1 | 0.237 | 0.020 | 0.206 |
| ctg 12753 | 107548 | 5 | 0.246 | 0.019 | 0.206 |
| ctg4226 | 130450 | 2 | 0.319 | 0.014 | 0.205 |
| tig00016242 | 349565 | 3 | 0.333 | 0.015 | 0.205 |
| ctg 19 | 1757703 | 1 | 0.279 | 0.010 | 0.205 |
| contig_40126 | 220821 | 6 | 0.401 | 0.012 | 0.204 |
| ctg5199 | 117210 | 5 | 0.311 | 0.016 | 0.204 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 1363$ | 310217 | 2 | 0.298 | 0.017 | 0.203 |
| tig00009046 | 363448 | 4 | 0.288 | 0.017 | 0.203 |
| contig_34921 | 726249 | 1 | 0.171 | 0.023 | 0.202 |
| tig02173057 | 245732 | 10 | 0.274 | 0.017 | 0.202 |
| ctg6384 | 157654 | 2 | 0.289 | 0.017 | 0.202 |
| tig02171089 | 681003 | 4 | 0.153 | 0.018 | 0.202 |
| ctg2486 | 168115 | 1 | 0.303 | 0.015 | 0.201 |
| ctg8387 | 82843 | 1 | 0.171 | 0.024 | 0.201 |
| scaffold_51090 | 201924 | 10 | 0.447 | 0.016 | 0.200 |
| tig00068622 | 643852 | 15 | 0.294 | 0.015 | 0.199 |
| ctg416 | 480940 | 6 | 0.188 | 0.017 | 0.199 |
| tig02170072 | 566287 | 3 | 0.207 | 0.018 | 0.199 |
| tig00403857 | 341728 | 4 | 0.200 | 0.021 | 0.199 |
| ctg2064 | 174429 | 5 | 0.280 | 0.015 | 0.198 |
| tig00018405 | 594493 | 12 | 0.300 | 0.017 | 0.198 |
| ctg3955 | 136729 | 1 | 0.302 | 0.016 | 0.196 |
| ctg 13554 | 95815 | 4 | 0.174 | 0.023 | 0.196 |
| ctg 13445 | 113468 | 3 | 0.229 | 0.021 | 0.195 |
| ctg24821 | 78194 | 2 | 0.168 | 0.022 | 0.195 |
| tig02169569 | 900060 | 6 | 0.238 | 0.017 | 0.195 |
| tig00400766 | 576764 | 2 | 0.206 | 0.016 | 0.193 |
| tig00051059 | 252625 | 2 | 0.173 | 0.022 | 0.193 |
| contig_28197 | 1091378 | 10 | 0.279 | 0.018 | 0.191 |
| ctg 197 | 369360 | 1 | 0.319 | 0.016 | 0.191 |
| ctg23876 | 28747 | 7 | 0.356 | 0.014 | 0.190 |
| contig_18424 | 335265 | 2 | 0.206 | 0.020 | 0.190 |
| tig00403669 | 210366 | 6 | 0.253 | 0.021 | 0.190 |
| ctg5130 | 114906 | 5 | 0.321 | 0.017 | 0.189 |
| ctg 128 | 835180 | 11 | 0.366 | 0.014 | 0.189 |
| ctg11256 | 66331 | 6 | 0.372 | 0.014 | 0.189 |
| tig00103826 | 512531 | 1 | 0.163 | 0.025 | 0.189 |
| ctg641 | 644179 | 11 | 0.421 | 0.015 | 0.188 |
| tig00002144 | 1990210 | 6 | 0.285 | 0.017 | 0.188 |
| ctg16131 | 125915 | 2 | 0.092 | 0.025 | 0.187 |
| tig00003427 | 642141 | 4 | 0.202 | 0.018 | 0.186 |
| tig00395632 | 1118853 | 2 | 0.299 | 0.019 | 0.185 |
| tig02172227 | 247409 | 1 | 0.245 | 0.022 | 0.185 |
| ctg58 | 577410 | 8 | 0.433 | 0.010 | 0.185 |
| contig_28094 | 304911 | 1 | 0.263 | 0.020 | 0.185 |
| ctg31411 | 22800 | 1 | 0.312 | 0.016 | 0.185 |
| tig00019692 | 1311592 | 2 | 0.223 | 0.020 | 0.185 |
| contig_25682 | 247942 | 1 | 0.245 | 0.021 | 0.185 |
| tig00018293 | 491220 | 3 | 0.103 | 0.026 | 0.185 |
| ctg5996 | 183610 | 1 | 0.149 | 0.027 | 0.183 |
| tig00047427 | 215122 | 1 | 0.101 | 0.027 | 0.183 |
| tig00015418 | 430920 | 2 | 0.258 | 0.021 | 0.183 |
| tig00013252 | 704132 | 3 | 0.254 | 0.017 | 0.182 |
| ctg2024 | 241686 | 10 | 0.249 | 0.022 | 0.181 |
| ctg 1223 | 231197 | 1 | 0.159 | 0.027 | 0.181 |
| ctg7940 | 870028 | 1 | 0.351 | 0.013 | 0.181 |
| ctg 136 | 393986 | 2 | 0.226 | 0.020 | 0.180 |
| ctg28607 | 20096 | 2 | 0.230 | 0.022 | 0.180 |
| tig00047747 | 520343 | 10 | 0.382 | 0.018 | 0.178 |
| tig02170177 | 675280 | 4 | 0.341 | 0.017 | 0.178 |
| ctg 13748 | 93108 | 5 | 0.248 | 0.022 | 0.177 |


| ctg 12310 | 59610 | 1 | 0.186 | 0.025 | 0.177 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 13393$ | 56688 | 12 | 0.172 | 0.019 | 0.177 |
| contig_9266 | 400374 | 7 | 0.237 | 0.018 | 0.176 |
| tig00030293 | 499278 | 10 | 0.249 | 0.018 | 0.175 |
| tig02169402 | 1346358 | 5 | 0.272 | 0.019 | 0.174 |
| ctg2892 | 162450 | 1 | 0.051 | 0.029 | 0.174 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 15865$ | 262610 | 2 | 0.381 | 0.013 | 0.173 |
| ctg1757 | 197352 | 1 | 0.325 | 0.015 | 0.173 |
| ctg4368 | 156690 | 10 | 0.338 | 0.019 | 0.173 |
| ctg4142 | 283712 | 3 | 0.167 | 0.020 | 0.173 |
| tig00034901 | 542379 | 6 | 0.234 | 0.021 | 0.172 |
| contig_27216 | 489124 | 1 | 0.187 | 0.026 | 0.171 |
| contig_27767 | 324240 | 3 | 0.414 | 0.009 | 0.170 |
| tig00004876 | 725133 | 1 | 0.377 | 0.011 | 0.170 |
| scaffold_57123 | 698343 | 3 | 0.144 | 0.026 | 0.170 |
| tig00017462 | 452237 | 1 | 0.178 | 0.027 | 0.170 |
| contig_5207 | 409274 | 15 | 0.286 | 0.022 | 0.167 |
| tig00067412 | 285212 | 1 | 0.126 | 0.029 | 0.166 |
| ctg10934 | 69119 | 6 | 0.325 | 0.019 | 0.166 |
| ctg956 | 442449 | 1 | 0.226 | 0.023 | 0.166 |
| ctg14981 | 50209 | 1 | 0.238 | 0.021 | 0.165 |
| tig00398726 | 672806 | 1 | 0.237 | 0.024 | 0.165 |
| tig00022120 | 650579 | 1 | 0.148 | 0.029 | 0.164 |
| ctg12458 | 144718 | 1 | 0.229 | 0.024 | 0.164 |
| tig00000976 | 1174329 | 12 | 0.261 | 0.012 | 0.164 |
| tig00400623 | 436297 | 1 | 0.321 | 0.017 | 0.163 |
| ctg2246 | 235283 | 2 | 0.214 | 0.020 | 0.163 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 21136$ | 35148 | 1 | 0.193 | 0.025 | 0.163 |
| tig00397303 | 1139691 | 3 | 0.122 | 0.025 | 0.161 |
| ctg2176 | 240940 | 2 | 0.132 | 0.030 | 0.160 |
| ctg2580 | 164974 | 1 | 0.280 | 0.022 | 0.159 |
| contig_9526 | 719582 | 2 | 0.372 | 0.014 | 0.158 |
| tig00006887 | 1374660 | 2 | 0.346 | 0.017 | 0.158 |
| tig00067460 | 364697 | 3 | 0.352 | 0.015 | 0.158 |
| contig_4005 | 268413 | 5 | 0.212 | 0.017 | 0.158 |
| contig_48487 | 430468 | 7 | 0.176 | 0.020 | 0.157 |
| ctg4086 | 130934 | 3 | 0.221 | 0.026 | 0.157 |
| tig02169264 | 426178 | 1 | 0.323 | 0.019 | 0.156 |
| ctg5319 | 137218 | 5 | 0.352 | 0.019 | 0.156 |
| ctg36169 | 16644 | 3 | 0.169 | 0.023 | 0.156 |
| tig02174016 | 236047 | 2 | 0.218 | 0.025 | 0.155 |
| tig02170588 | 927838 | 3 | 0.182 | 0.014 | 0.155 |
| ctg15722 | 48597 | 1 | 0.094 | 0.032 | 0.155 |
| ctg28425 | 26067 | 1 | 0.167 | 0.028 | 0.155 |
| ctg5955 | 149833 | 1 | 0.186 | 0.029 | 0.154 |
| tig00014486 | 560161 | 7 | 0.209 | 0.025 | 0.153 |
| tig00020255 | 2097197 | 4 | 0.201 | 0.016 | 0.153 |
| tig00041114 | 938714 | 10 | 0.252 | 0.024 | 0.153 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 12554$ | 70604 | 1 | 0.384 | 0.012 | 0.152 |
| ctg2336 | 293455 | 4 | 0.257 | 0.023 | 0.152 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 14248$ | 103089 | 12 | 0.166 | 0.024 | 0.152 |
| ctg31901 | 21456 | 2 | 0.148 | 0.022 | 0.152 |
| contig_18268 | 617667 | 2 | 0.161 | 0.024 | 0.151 |
| tig00400633 | 450002 | 1 | 0.260 | 0.023 | 0.150 |
| ctg6888 | 173630 | 5 | 0.252 | 0.024 | 0.149 |
| ctg5094 | 350740 | 3 | 0.285 | 0.022 | 0.149 |
| ctg50939 | 11056 | 1 | 0.219 | 0.026 | 0.149 |
| tig00402293 | 311504 | 3 | 0.343 | 0.018 | 0.148 |
| contig_12207 | 344708 | 4 | 0.184 | 0.030 | 0.147 |
| ctg9447 | 115335 | 3 | 0.276 | 0.020 | 0.147 |
| ctg8519 | 117111 | 1 | 0.263 | 0.024 | 0.147 |
| tig02171455 | 959563 | 1 | 0.356 | 0.015 | 0.146 |
| ctg14701 | 92059 | 2 | 0.310 | 0.022 | 0.145 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 16374$ | 46258 | 8 | 0.276 | 0.016 | 0.144 |


| ctg2004 | 422001 | 9 | 0.233 | 0.024 | 0.144 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contig_48697 | 299926 | 3 | 0.377 | 0.013 | 0.141 |
| ctg5471 | 112247 | 5 | 0.206 | 0.027 | 0.141 |
| ctg9753 | 69859 | 2 | 0.180 | 0.029 | 0.141 |
| ctg2873 | 202807 | 1 | 0.115 | 0.034 | 0.141 |
| tig00050794 | 595241 | 3 | 0.242 | 0.020 | 0.140 |
| ctg2695 | 246890 | 5 | 0.306 | 0.023 | 0.140 |
| ctg3133 | 204978 | 8 | 0.342 | 0.020 | 0.139 |
| ctg8167 | 178131 | 1 | 0.160 | 0.019 | 0.139 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 12352$ | 144582 | 1 | 0.345 | 0.017 | 0.138 |
| ctg3937 | 134666 | 2 | 0.108 | 0.031 | 0.137 |
| tig00397735 | 344122 | 5 | 0.202 | 0.024 | 0.136 |
| ctg22537 | 40060 | 3 | 0.067 | 0.033 | 0.136 |
| ctg3348 | 307910 | 1 | 0.388 | 0.013 | 0.135 |
| ctg9946 | 104536 | 1 | 0.326 | 0.020 | 0.135 |
| ctg7736 | 138851 | 1 | 0.121 | 0.033 | 0.134 |
| ctg4633 | 247280 | 1 | 0.114 | 0.033 | 0.134 |
| contig_6879 | 842352 | 17 | 0.284 | 0.023 | 0.133 |
| ctg7278 | 146418 | 2 | 0.298 | 0.023 | 0.133 |
| tig00049172 | 244735 | 15 | 0.208 | 0.019 | 0.133 |
| ctg10474 | 74107 | 1 | 0.203 | 0.030 | 0.133 |
| ctg4765 | 248602 | 2 | 0.104 | 0.036 | 0.132 |
| ctg9190 | 77655 | 2 | 0.310 | 0.021 | 0.132 |
| ctg1228 | 217822 | 5 | 0.319 | 0.020 | 0.132 |
| ctg3512 | 238290 | 6 | 0.202 | 0.028 | 0.132 |
| ctg 13885 | 86125 | 3 | 0.187 | 0.029 | 0.131 |
| contig_53901 | 913944 | 2 | 0.288 | 0.023 | 0.131 |
| tig00398102 | 347227 | 2 | 0.248 | 0.027 | 0.131 |
| tig02170524 | 305717 | 3 | 0.138 | 0.025 | 0.131 |
| tig02171876 | 556263 | 8 | 0.225 | 0.019 | 0.131 |
| contig_17614 | 363950 | 2 | 0.280 | 0.025 | 0.131 |
| ctg25379 | 64759 | 2 | 0.169 | 0.030 | 0.130 |
| tig02186395 | 595854 | 2 | 0.200 | 0.023 | 0.130 |
| contig_9160 | 324608 | 3 | 0.282 | 0.023 | 0.129 |
| ctg5426 | 318738 | 6 | 0.231 | 0.024 | 0.129 |
| tig00396849 | 528231 | 3 | 0.252 | 0.026 | 0.129 |
| tig00038539 | 404378 | 3 | 0.187 | 0.026 | 0.129 |
| tig02172089 | 485835 | 3 | 0.271 | 0.024 | 0.129 |
| contig_13059 | 338872 | 1 | 0.254 | 0.025 | 0.128 |
| tig00054655 | 279091 | 11 | 0.348 | 0.022 | 0.128 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 11195$ | 64099 | 2 | 0.242 | 0.026 | 0.127 |
| tig00031334 | 488180 | 2 | 0.090 | 0.030 | 0.127 |
| scaffold_23509 | 388149 | 3 | 0.095 | 0.033 | 0.126 |
| contig_68927 | 593454 | 4 | 0.248 | 0.019 | 0.126 |
| tig00095047 | 355461 | 2 | 0.289 | 0.024 | 0.125 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 13486$ | 64113 | 4 | 0.139 | 0.025 | 0.125 |
| contig_14989 | 220416 | 1 | 0.239 | 0.028 | 0.125 |
| tig00403837 | 472304 | 6 | 0.183 | 0.019 | 0.122 |
| ctg1377 | 291711 | 14 | 0.263 | 0.026 | 0.122 |
| tig00398415 | 431708 | 1 | 0.144 | 0.035 | 0.122 |
| tig00010409 | 860270 | 17 | 0.253 | 0.028 | 0.122 |
| tig02188414 | 611268 | 15 | 0.147 | 0.024 | 0.122 |
| ctg1449 | 311382 | 5 | 0.164 | 0.027 | 0.121 |
| ctg308 | 342101 | 4 | 0.243 | 0.024 | 0.121 |
| ctg10573 | 66024 | 3 | 0.192 | 0.033 | 0.120 |
| contig_27827 | 573841 | 2 | 0.178 | 0.032 | 0.119 |
| ctg10839 | 105569 | 4 | 0.324 | 0.018 | 0.119 |
| tig00031516 | 490907 | 11 | 0.347 | 0.010 | 0.118 |
| ctg31486 | 22527 | 2 | 0.138 | 0.036 | 0.118 |
| contig_34420 | 534918 | 7 | 0.449 | 0.013 | 0.117 |
| ctg4088 | 151336 | 1 | 0.169 | 0.032 | 0.117 |
| ctg200 | 686270 | 3 | 0.143 | 0.027 | 0.117 |
| ctg3812 | 587781 | 5 | 0.153 | 0.022 | 0.115 |
| tig00014319 | 771882 | 3 | 0.254 | 0.022 | 0.115 |


| contig_1867 | 386438 | 7 | 0.213 | 0.031 | 0.115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contig_24200 | 284891 | 2 | 0.338 | 0.022 | 0.115 |
| contig_19940 | 271251 | 1 | 0.242 | 0.029 | 0.115 |
| ctg7729 | 90060 | 2 | 0.198 | 0.029 | 0.114 |
| contig_39825 | 201903 | 2 | 0.102 | 0.035 | 0.114 |
| tig00043743 | 477109 | 7 | 0.341 | 0.021 | 0.113 |
| ctg4822 | 190782 | 7 | 0.078 | 0.038 | 0.113 |
| ctg4972 | 260808 | 1 | 0.174 | 0.035 | 0.112 |
| tig00396046 | 377165 | 1 | 0.091 | 0.037 | 0.112 |
| ctg11946 | 61955 | 2 | 0.072 | 0.039 | 0.112 |
| ctg 10316 | 256538 | 1 | 0.095 | 0.031 | 0.112 |
| tig00002140 | 824019 | 1 | 0.066 | 0.036 | 0.112 |
| tig00396482 | 1492648 | 3 | 0.144 | 0.037 | 0.112 |
| tig02187012 | 489260 | 5 | 0.112 | 0.038 | 0.112 |
| tig00395592 | 338297 | 2 | 0.182 | 0.026 | 0.111 |
| tig00040410 | 358271 | 4 | 0.245 | 0.029 | 0.111 |
| ctg 190 | 396973 | 4 | 0.423 | 0.018 | 0.111 |
| tig00035554 | 547632 | 2 | 0.182 | 0.033 | 0.111 |
| tig00397363 | 369024 | 2 | 0.307 | 0.026 | 0.110 |
| tig00009735 | 831506 | 5 | 0.363 | 0.020 | 0.110 |
| contig_42310 | 1685085 | 14 | 0.239 | 0.022 | 0.110 |
| tig00401650 | 707187 | 5 | 0.416 | 0.016 | 0.109 |
| ctg9969 | 72843 | 1 | 0.290 | 0.027 | 0.109 |
| contig_42321 | 807301 | 2 | 0.200 | 0.030 | 0.109 |
| tig00076432 | 494427 | 1 | 0.076 | 0.033 | 0.108 |
| ctg2290 | 342104 | 5 | 0.271 | 0.027 | 0.108 |
| tig00049835 | 345574 | 1 | 0.265 | 0.028 | 0.108 |
| tig00397795 | 932899 | 12 | 0.279 | 0.026 | 0.107 |
| ctg978 | 585390 | 11 | 0.188 | 0.026 | 0.106 |
| ctg 15042 | 49812 | 2 | 0.202 | 0.027 | 0.106 |
| contig_28370 | 232401 | 3 | 0.371 | 0.017 | 0.105 |
| ctg 14714 | 49084 | 7 | 0.211 | 0.033 | 0.105 |
| ctg922 | 1057594 | 3 | 0.178 | 0.033 | 0.105 |
| ctg5042 | 213268 | 1 | 0.131 | 0.037 | 0.104 |
| ctg7751 | 85816 | 1 | 0.214 | 0.032 | 0.104 |
| tig00052769 | 266873 | 4 | 0.369 | 0.021 | 0.104 |
| scaffold_94674 | 680024 | 2 | 0.256 | 0.029 | 0.104 |
| tig00036920 | 628439 | 4 | 0.253 | 0.030 | 0.104 |
| tig00396799 | 523015 | 4 | 0.250 | 0.026 | 0.103 |
| ctg4443 | 120157 | 2 | 0.301 | 0.030 | 0.102 |
| ctg 19559 | 76023 | 1 | 0.106 | 0.033 | 0.102 |
| tig00013870 | 715056 | 5 | 0.257 | 0.026 | 0.102 |
| tig00398587 | 867860 | 3 | 0.195 | 0.025 | 0.101 |
| tig02172578 | 541140 | 3 | 0.182 | 0.035 | 0.101 |
| contig_25650 | 217746 | 1 | 0.132 | 0.040 | 0.100 |
| tig00092050 | 598562 | 8 | 0.225 | 0.026 | 0.100 |
| tig00398163 | 841317 | 2 | 0.085 | 0.032 | 0.100 |
| tig00025802 | 371027 | 8 | 0.469 | 0.017 | 0.099 |
| ctg17499 | 42256 | 10 | 0.242 | 0.029 | 0.099 |
| contig_16550 | 324209 | 1 | 0.252 | 0.030 | 0.099 |
| ctg 2029 | 438676 | 16 | 0.214 | 0.025 | 0.099 |
| contig_39781 | 655175 | 4 | 0.163 | 0.025 | 0.098 |
| tig00397234 | 768625 | 3 | 0.111 | 0.035 | 0.097 |
| ctg30912 | 29980 | 1 | 0.175 | 0.025 | 0.097 |
| ctg1882 | 268473 | 3 | 0.307 | 0.028 | 0.097 |
| ctg20376 | 35832 | 2 | 0.081 | 0.033 | 0.097 |
| contig_9316 | 563718 | 1 | 0.286 | 0.027 | 0.096 |
| tig02173306 | 339606 | 10 | 0.200 | 0.029 | 0.096 |
| tig00025485 | 974134 | 1 | 0.249 | 0.031 | 0.096 |
| ctg5754 | 111839 | 1 | 0.282 | 0.029 | 0.096 |
| tig00019190 | 1004314 | 3 | 0.107 | 0.034 | 0.095 |
| tig02168986 | 1298228 | 9 | 0.282 | 0.029 | 0.095 |
| tig00400224 | 321049 | 5 | 0.342 | 0.023 | 0.094 |
| tig00003533 | 1523134 | 11 | 0.162 | 0.030 | 0.094 |


| ctg 14642 | 50316 | 2 | 0.217 | 0.034 | 0.094 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg9202 | 78296 | 8 | 0.208 | 0.033 | 0.093 |
| ctg8845 | 128516 | 1 | 0.172 | 0.037 | 0.093 |
| tig02170472 | 434111 | 3 | 0.219 | 0.025 | 0.092 |
| ctg2294 | 174791 | 1 | 0.360 | 0.019 | 0.092 |
| ctg40 | 674212 | 7 | 0.253 | 0.025 | 0.092 |
| tig00065268 | 800706 | 8 | 0.313 | 0.025 | 0.091 |
| ctg615 | 323150 | 5 | 0.333 | 0.026 | 0.091 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 7333$ | 248012 | 4 | 0.140 | 0.036 | 0.090 |
| tig00055777 | 834326 | 4 | 0.351 | 0.026 | 0.089 |
| tig00007377 | 562298 | 17 | 0.260 | 0.030 | 0.088 |
| contig_52069 | 314819 | 12 | 0.221 | 0.023 | 0.088 |
| tig00398731 | 381291 | 4 | 0.237 | 0.030 | 0.088 |
| tig00012443 | 883435 | 8 | 0.509 | 0.011 | 0.088 |
| tig00001218 | 1336257 | 4 | 0.176 | 0.030 | 0.087 |
| tig00044101 | 368905 | 3 | 0.285 | 0.026 | 0.087 |
| tig00011865 | 1265338 | 6 | 0.324 | 0.028 | 0.087 |
| ctg31582 | 46656 | 2 | 0.296 | 0.030 | 0.087 |
| ctg9502 | 116796 | 2 | 0.228 | 0.036 | 0.086 |
| contig_37294 | 398132 | 4 | 0.140 | 0.034 | 0.085 |
| ctg2397 | 191799 | 1 | 0.187 | 0.036 | 0.085 |
| ctg7830 | 175838 | 1 | 0.217 | 0.035 | 0.085 |
| ctg2055 | 186193 | 1 | 0.185 | 0.039 | 0.084 |
| tig00395741 | 1163745 | 7 | 0.151 | 0.034 | 0.083 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 1052$ | 707240 | 5 | 0.249 | 0.030 | 0.083 |
| ctg3135 | 633471 | 4 | 0.152 | 0.030 | 0.083 |
| ctg5322 | 128455 | 1 | 0.197 | 0.038 | 0.083 |
| tig00402372 | 249817 | 1 | 0.255 | 0.033 | 0.081 |
| ctg 1332 | 209734 | 8 | 0.179 | 0.028 | 0.081 |
| tig00028491 | 815577 | 1 | 0.296 | 0.031 | 0.081 |
| tig00401976 | 530010 | 11 | 0.237 | 0.032 | 0.080 |
| contig_13197 | 455877 | 5 | 0.210 | 0.039 | 0.080 |
| tig00396045 | 315602 | 1 | 0.204 | 0.039 | 0.080 |
| tig00395851 | 811956 | 1 | 0.293 | 0.029 | 0.080 |
| ctg2918 | 491192 | 4 | 0.263 | 0.034 | 0.080 |
| tig00399136 | 311364 | 2 | 0.289 | 0.022 | 0.080 |
| tig00017296 | 468995 | 7 | 0.172 | 0.038 | 0.080 |
| tig02171858 | 251538 | 5 | 0.105 | 0.043 | 0.080 |
| tig02172874 | 371406 | 3 | 0.186 | 0.033 | 0.079 |
| ctg23170 | 44939 | 1 | 0.184 | 0.041 | 0.078 |
| ctg22524 | 30860 | 1 | 0.291 | 0.030 | 0.078 |
| contig_23659 | 1240781 | 11 | 0.214 | 0.036 | 0.078 |
| tig00014637 | 265440 | 3 | 0.302 | 0.031 | 0.078 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 4959$ | 267811 | 3 | 0.200 | 0.035 | 0.078 |
| tig00004287 | 748237 | 3 | 0.223 | 0.023 | 0.078 |
| tig00395577 | 504154 | 4 | 0.105 | 0.028 | 0.077 |
| ctg24297 | 29782 | 6 | 0.368 | 0.026 | 0.077 |
| contig_18300 | 741972 | 5 | 0.441 | 0.014 | 0.077 |
| tig02175514 | 409057 | 3 | 0.155 | 0.030 | 0.077 |
| ctg6730 | 173209 | 2 | 0.196 | 0.027 | 0.076 |
| ctg31731 | 73812 | 1 | 0.155 | 0.044 | 0.076 |
| tig00399415 | 429662 | 6 | 0.412 | 0.025 | 0.075 |
| ctg 1981 | 391910 | 4 | 0.169 | 0.031 | 0.075 |
| ctg3340 | 145592 | 2 | 0.227 | 0.038 | 0.073 |
| ctg6099 | 103822 | 8 | 0.215 | 0.036 | 0.073 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 1483$ | 426845 | 1 | 0.100 | 0.041 | 0.073 |
| contig_31380 | 682858 | 1 | 0.248 | 0.036 | 0.072 |
| ctg5599 | 205566 | 3 | 0.250 | 0.033 | 0.072 |
| contig_3763 | 926234 | 6 | 0.159 | 0.035 | 0.071 |
| tig00397678 | 343430 | 2 | 0.153 | 0.042 | 0.071 |
| tig00006320 | 1548266 | 7 | 0.298 | 0.026 | 0.070 |
| tig02170292 | 406180 | 3 | 0.279 | 0.034 | 0.070 |
| tig00399515 | 978291 | 14 | 0.344 | 0.030 | 0.070 |
| tig00039376 | 596493 | 4 | 0.387 | 0.021 | 0.070 |


| ctg 10102 | 402089 | 1 | 0.309 | 0.031 | 0.070 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg2393 | 207005 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.047 | 0.070 |
| tig00403120 | 260950 | 8 | 0.439 | 0.022 | 0.069 |
| tig00395718 | 564760 | 6 | 0.270 | 0.032 | 0.069 |
| tig02188736 | 360770 | 6 | 0.296 | 0.032 | 0.069 |
| ctg2288 | 543449 | 1 | 0.148 | 0.038 | 0.068 |
| contig_21048 | 364039 | 9 | 0.231 | 0.038 | 0.067 |
| tig00399629 | 518206 | 1 | 0.112 | 0.046 | 0.067 |
| ctg 1953 | 404025 | 6 | 0.308 | 0.030 | 0.067 |
| tig00396454 | 341977 | 6 | 0.322 | 0.033 | 0.067 |
| ctg6590 | 94434 | 1 | 0.097 | 0.046 | 0.067 |
| ctg 10358 | 88629 | 8 | 0.364 | 0.027 | 0.067 |
| tig02173246 | 302649 | 1 | 0.247 | 0.037 | 0.067 |
| ctg 15148 | 64402 | 6 | 0.247 | 0.027 | 0.066 |
| ctg 12875 | 118304 | 5 | 0.411 | 0.017 | 0.065 |
| ctg7121 | 96465 | 3 | 0.374 | 0.024 | 0.065 |
| scaffold_63216 | 219384 | 1 | 0.195 | 0.041 | 0.065 |
| tig00396772 | 615465 | 8 | 0.225 | 0.028 | 0.065 |
| ctg629 | 375856 | 8 | 0.290 | 0.031 | 0.065 |
| contig_1907 | 606618 | 3 | 0.306 | 0.029 | 0.065 |
| ctg820 | 811518 | 6 | 0.273 | 0.024 | 0.065 |
| ctg591 | 438264 | 4 | 0.173 | 0.032 | 0.064 |
| contig_11056 | 828308 | 1 | 0.069 | 0.046 | 0.064 |
| tig00094964 | 554302 | 10 | 0.266 | 0.031 | 0.064 |
| ctg3960 | 219660 | 3 | 0.247 | 0.037 | 0.063 |
| ctg40136 | 16287 | 2 | 0.251 | 0.038 | 0.063 |
| contig_51102 | 459059 | 1 | 0.188 | 0.044 | 0.062 |
| ctg 1896 | 469057 | 2 | 0.239 | 0.026 | 0.062 |
| tig02186551 | 485617 | 1 | 0.133 | 0.038 | 0.062 |
| ctg 1036 | 394758 | 1 | 0.347 | 0.026 | 0.062 |
| ctg 9020 | 80434 | 3 | 0.193 | 0.043 | 0.062 |
| contig_53973 | 398156 | 1 | 0.356 | 0.027 | 0.061 |
| ctg 10931 | 162315 | 5 | 0.166 | 0.034 | 0.061 |
| tig02169483 | 613103 | 7 | 0.219 | 0.039 | 0.061 |
| tig00012863 | 435582 | 1 | 0.329 | 0.030 | 0.061 |
| tig00026489 | 374319 | 1 | 0.339 | 0.031 | 0.061 |
| ctg18166 | 109389 | 1 | 0.135 | 0.047 | 0.061 |
| ctg971 | 541096 | 8 | 0.218 | 0.036 | 0.061 |
| tig00012553 | 1156763 | 4 | 0.212 | 0.026 | 0.060 |
| scaffold_15707 | 587030 | 2 | 0.197 | 0.040 | 0.060 |
| ctg59383 | 6543 | 1 | 0.337 | 0.028 | 0.059 |
| ctg6917 | 123434 | 4 | 0.307 | 0.034 | 0.059 |
| scaffold_10725 | 716497 | 14 | 0.192 | 0.038 | 0.059 |
| tig00402138 | 797966 | 2 | 0.433 | 0.017 | 0.058 |
| tig00010398 | 706136 | 2 | 0.142 | 0.040 | 0.058 |
| tig00402879 | 668623 | 6 | 0.162 | 0.028 | 0.058 |
| ctg 1380 | 576893 | 6 | 0.200 | 0.037 | 0.058 |
| ctg 13033 | 55628 | 2 | 0.118 | 0.042 | 0.058 |
| ctg15895 | 44998 | 1 | 0.234 | 0.040 | 0.058 |
| ctg81 | 453102 | 1 | 0.209 | 0.041 | 0.057 |
| ctg 11514 | 65566 | 6 | 0.177 | 0.044 | 0.057 |
| scaffold_65312 | 307975 | 1 | 0.124 | 0.050 | 0.057 |
| tig00095300 | 212648 | 1 | 0.141 | 0.037 | 0.057 |
| tig00011294 | 435001 | 8 | 0.234 | 0.035 | 0.056 |
| tig00020462 | 820660 | 6 | 0.235 | 0.041 | 0.056 |
| tig00008647 | 556418 | 2 | 0.122 | 0.041 | 0.056 |
| ctg5975 | 161718 | 5 | 0.358 | 0.027 | 0.056 |
| tig00403395 | 786131 | 4 | 0.218 | 0.043 | 0.056 |
| ctg5968 | 183496 | 3 | 0.248 | 0.039 | 0.055 |
| tig00009944 | 967587 | 6 | 0.320 | 0.034 | 0.055 |
| ctg16121 | 46856 | 4 | 0.221 | 0.043 | 0.055 |
| ctg33402 | 18884 | 12 | 0.196 | 0.036 | 0.054 |
| contig_36004 | 642631 | 15 | 0.325 | 0.034 | 0.054 |
| ctg2696 | 236870 | 1 | 0.166 | 0.047 | 0.054 |


| ctg 447 | 469335 | 1 | 0.104 | 0.051 | 0.054 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| scaffold_4869 | 488840 | 2 | 0.240 | 0.037 | 0.053 |
| tig00051157 | 754715 | 4 | 0.283 | 0.037 | 0.053 |
| contig_14276 | 464119 | 3 | 0.361 | 0.029 | 0.053 |
| tig00012074 | 455278 | 4 | 0.379 | 0.022 | 0.052 |
| ctg3559 | 233024 | 3 | 0.225 | 0.034 | 0.052 |
| ctg 13230 | 56304 | 5 | 0.445 | 0.025 | 0.052 |
| ctg20437 | 72760 | 2 | 0.147 | 0.051 | 0.052 |
| tig00053279 | 858646 | 5 | 0.267 | 0.031 | 0.052 |
| ctg3180 | 210723 | 1 | 0.104 | 0.047 | 0.052 |
| tig02169873 | 368293 | 1 | 0.316 | 0.031 | 0.051 |
| ctg 122 | 536279 | 1 | 0.260 | 0.038 | 0.051 |
| contig_7084 | 674387 | 2 | 0.079 | 0.043 | 0.051 |
| ctg 1505 | 251746 | 1 | 0.214 | 0.044 | 0.051 |
| tig00060542 | 219432 | 3 | 0.204 | 0.040 | 0.051 |
| contig_19519 | 364393 | 1 | 0.259 | 0.039 | 0.050 |
| ctg6362 | 113516 | 1 | 0.130 | 0.053 | 0.050 |
| tig00087718 | 454750 | 8 | 0.255 | 0.037 | 0.050 |
| tig02169831 | 1370563 | 1 | 0.066 | 0.043 | 0.050 |
| tig00396707 | 583320 | 1 | 0.130 | 0.051 | 0.050 |
| contig_13875 | 400802 | 6 | 0.150 | 0.046 | 0.050 |
| tig00022921 | 1617563 | 27 | 0.160 | 0.037 | 0.050 |
| contig_24331 | 586665 | 2 | 0.279 | 0.038 | 0.049 |
| tig00000226 | 1241487 | 4 | 0.194 | 0.037 | 0.049 |
| tig00001955 | 741964 | 10 | 0.299 | 0.030 | 0.049 |
| ctg 1461 | 589194 | 3 | 0.268 | 0.034 | 0.049 |
| tig00396415 | 419492 | 1 | 0.292 | 0.037 | 0.049 |
| ctg5307 | 209734 | 1 | 0.203 | 0.048 | 0.048 |
| tig00396591 | 1081309 | 1 | 0.033 | 0.056 | 0.048 |
| ctg 13176 | 74173 | 6 | 0.253 | 0.042 | 0.048 |
| ctg6324 | 262359 | 4 | 0.272 | 0.034 | 0.048 |
| tig00003249 | 854161 | 1 | 0.094 | 0.055 | 0.048 |
| ctg16173 | 91888 | 1 | 0.354 | 0.029 | 0.048 |
| tig00403985 | 917864 | 1 | 0.101 | 0.055 | 0.047 |
| ctg29668 | 36919 | 1 | 0.260 | 0.040 | 0.047 |
| ctg 4966 | 236235 | 15 | 0.304 | 0.037 | 0.047 |
| contig_15754 | 440990 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.054 | 0.047 |
| ctg2209 | 258152 | 6 | 0.379 | 0.033 | 0.047 |
| ctg335 | 445921 | 1 | 0.044 | 0.054 | 0.047 |
| ctg 15750 | 198890 | 2 | 0.295 | 0.032 | 0.047 |
| ctg24635 | 28702 | 1 | 0.259 | 0.038 | 0.046 |
| ctg5047 | 542881 | 1 | 0.265 | 0.039 | 0.046 |
| tig00006917 | 607877 | 7 | 0.317 | 0.042 | 0.046 |
| ctg35522 | 33765 | 1 | 0.144 | 0.048 | 0.046 |
| ctg117 | 620042 | 6 | 0.293 | 0.034 | 0.046 |
| ctg 4024 | 494457 | 1 | 0.184 | 0.047 | 0.046 |
| tig00075681 | 382639 | 7 | 0.325 | 0.035 | 0.044 |
| tig00013160 | 523727 | 7 | 0.151 | 0.043 | 0.044 |
| contig_1892 | 532185 | 1 | 0.272 | 0.038 | 0.044 |
| ctg 120 | 638507 | 1 | 0.208 | 0.044 | 0.044 |
| tig02171123 | 271405 | 5 | 0.237 | 0.042 | 0.043 |
| ctg21119 | 56462 | 1 | 0.133 | 0.054 | 0.043 |
| tig00002426 | 314643 | 3 | 0.254 | 0.044 | 0.043 |
| contig_26757 | 510540 | 2 | 0.391 | 0.027 | 0.042 |
| scaffold_46359 | 378012 | 3 | 0.147 | 0.041 | 0.042 |
| ctg7702 | 127480 | 1 | 0.164 | 0.044 | 0.042 |
| contig_56399 | 458482 | 3 | 0.211 | 0.041 | 0.042 |
| contig_9881 | 580440 | 7 | 0.261 | 0.034 | 0.042 |
| ctg 12246 | 63216 | 1 | 0.100 | 0.057 | 0.042 |
| ctg1197 | 67551 | 2 | 0.176 | 0.039 | 0.041 |
| ctg5256 | 116043 | 5 | 0.072 | 0.056 | 0.041 |
| tig00397010 | 391401 | 6 | 0.337 | 0.034 | 0.040 |
| tig02169480 | 411259 | 5 | 0.243 | 0.040 | 0.040 |
| tig02172441 | 292959 | 3 | 0.061 | 0.057 | 0.040 |


| ctg19902 | 37382 | 1 | 0.122 | 0.053 | 0.040 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg23126 | 36608 | 1 | 0.162 | 0.055 | 0.039 |
| tig02175078 | 351916 | 1 | 0.131 | 0.058 | 0.039 |
| ctg3799 | 886088 | 4 | 0.371 | 0.032 | 0.039 |
| ctg2331 | 284205 | 8 | 0.185 | 0.050 | 0.039 |
| tig00396906 | 536846 | 3 | 0.332 | 0.035 | 0.039 |
| tig02170558 | 450451 | 1 | 0.198 | 0.048 | 0.039 |
| tig00012334 | 1483541 | 10 | 0.226 | 0.037 | 0.038 |
| tig00056339 | 458927 | 3 | 0.228 | 0.032 | 0.038 |
| tig00072605 | 1254308 | 3 | 0.256 | 0.039 | 0.038 |
| ctg17359 | 88633 | 2 | 0.188 | 0.047 | 0.038 |
| contig_52764 | 335237 | 1 | 0.237 | 0.046 | 0.038 |
| tig00085574 | 221342 | 1 | 0.146 | 0.056 | 0.037 |
| tig00397167 | 405286 | 4 | 0.206 | 0.042 | 0.037 |
| ctg3408 | 297141 | 1 | 0.267 | 0.043 | 0.037 |
| ctg22197 | 32559 | 2 | 0.170 | 0.053 | 0.037 |
| contig_30393 | 848990 | 6 | 0.329 | 0.038 | 0.037 |
| tig00396953 | 1025232 | 6 | 0.191 | 0.038 | 0.037 |
| contig_23455 | 263809 | 4 | 0.253 | 0.047 | 0.037 |
| ctg29282 | 24537 | 2 | 0.282 | 0.034 | 0.036 |
| ctg8248 | 174537 | 4 | 0.129 | 0.053 | 0.036 |
| contig_43517 | 412687 | 8 | 0.409 | 0.032 | 0.036 |
| tig00397102 | 219678 | 1 | 0.246 | 0.040 | 0.036 |
| tig00052878 | 266346 | 2 | 0.153 | 0.055 | 0.035 |
| tig00401031 | 363138 | 7 | 0.271 | 0.038 | 0.035 |
| tig00396308 | 1636357 | 4 | 0.232 | 0.045 | 0.035 |
| ctg9632 | 133344 | 8 | 0.201 | 0.041 | 0.035 |
| tig00396787 | 1151301 | 2 | 0.190 | 0.043 | 0.035 |
| ctg33031 | 21499 | 1 | 0.255 | 0.046 | 0.035 |
| ctg22966 | 31916 | 7 | 0.179 | 0.033 | 0.035 |
| tig00396557 | 253842 | 2 | 0.216 | 0.046 | 0.035 |
| ctg27540 | 25015 | 1 | 0.303 | 0.039 | 0.035 |
| ctg16345 | 48437 | 1 | 0.072 | 0.057 | 0.034 |
| tig00021640 | 847352 | 16 | 0.202 | 0.040 | 0.034 |
| contig_6581 | 301220 | 4 | 0.227 | 0.047 | 0.034 |
| ctg8456 | 68528 | 2 | 0.372 | 0.030 | 0.034 |
| tig02186379 | 882378 | 3 | 0.241 | 0.037 | 0.034 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 16715$ | 45693 | 1 | 0.250 | 0.047 | 0.033 |
| tig00396989 | 256575 | 3 | 0.125 | 0.060 | 0.033 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 16404$ | 46102 | 10 | 0.405 | 0.035 | 0.033 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 7436$ | 254757 | 1 | 0.184 | 0.045 | 0.033 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 30757$ | 22452 | 1 | 0.185 | 0.053 | 0.033 |
| ctg2697 | 326095 | 1 | 0.125 | 0.059 | 0.033 |
| contig_24034 | 400000 | 3 | 0.169 | 0.052 | 0.033 |
| tig00010483 | 395357 | 3 | 0.232 | 0.042 | 0.033 |
| ctg9644 | 230838 | 1 | 0.174 | 0.054 | 0.033 |
| contig_18270 | 585435 | 1 | 0.223 | 0.036 | 0.032 |
| tig00056019 | 525453 | 2 | 0.155 | 0.045 | 0.032 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 7591$ | 129623 | 1 | 0.423 | 0.020 | 0.032 |
| ctg2749 | 157293 | 1 | 0.297 | 0.040 | 0.032 |
| ctg26192 | 27689 | 5 | 0.099 | 0.045 | 0.032 |
| ctg4129 | 130558 | 4 | 0.315 | 0.040 | 0.032 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 7012$ | 97782 | 5 | 0.298 | 0.042 | 0.031 |
| tig00401853 | 799671 | 6 | 0.255 | 0.042 | 0.031 |
| ctg23045 | 56086 | 1 | 0.222 | 0.050 | 0.031 |
| ctg 18194 | 41279 | 13 | 0.255 | 0.045 | 0.031 |
| tig00001240 | 624434 | 5 | 0.130 | 0.056 | 0.031 |
| tig00398309 | 675622 | 3 | 0.293 | 0.040 | 0.031 |
| tig02187648 | 272234 | 2 | 0.160 | 0.055 | 0.031 |
| ctg39019 | 16809 | 3 | 0.157 | 0.057 | 0.031 |
| tig00009952 | 997434 | 8 | 0.295 | 0.045 | 0.030 |
| tig00396193 | 349908 | 7 | 0.149 | 0.049 | 0.030 |
| tig00005290 | 982722 | 6 | 0.141 | 0.034 | 0.030 |
| ctg17358 | 84937 | 1 | 0.322 | 0.039 | 0.030 |


| ctg5089 | 602227 | 8 | 0.106 | 0.057 | 0.030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig00021095 | 706838 | 3 | 0.250 | 0.046 | 0.029 |
| ctg3393 | 178810 | 3 | 0.294 | 0.039 | 0.029 |
| ctg9832 | 74507 | 3 | 0.182 | 0.048 | 0.029 |
| contig_33466 | 924322 | 1 | 0.249 | 0.047 | 0.029 |
| ctg 1998 | 380250 | 2 | 0.090 | 0.054 | 0.029 |
| contig_18912 | 453046 | 5 | 0.183 | 0.051 | 0.029 |
| ctg26890 | 27048 | 1 | 0.343 | 0.036 | 0.029 |
| ctg2245 | 341913 | 2 | 0.091 | 0.060 | 0.029 |
| ctg5652 | 210066 | 1 | 0.264 | 0.044 | 0.029 |
| tig02169652 | 1201699 | 2 | 0.352 | 0.039 | 0.028 |
| ctg11389 | 66082 | 9 | 0.372 | 0.037 | 0.028 |
| ctg9626 | 76951 | 3 | 0.256 | 0.048 | 0.028 |
| tig00395624 | 1428496 | 3 | 0.138 | 0.055 | 0.027 |
| tig00398795 | 243475 | 1 | 0.148 | 0.058 | 0.027 |
| ctg8693 | 144496 | 5 | 0.096 | 0.048 | 0.027 |
| tig02169793 | 430736 | 1 | 0.155 | 0.059 | 0.027 |
| contig_16699 | 336246 | 2 | 0.311 | 0.041 | 0.027 |
| ctg2431 | 478108 | 1 | 0.283 | 0.043 | 0.026 |
| ctg29730 | 23533 | 1 | 0.169 | 0.059 | 0.026 |
| ctg 11184 | 66172 | 3 | 0.357 | 0.035 | 0.026 |
| contig_18881 | 477063 | 5 | 0.266 | 0.045 | 0.026 |
| tig02169837 | 497673 | 1 | 0.059 | 0.067 | 0.026 |
| contig_4609 | 481999 | 3 | 0.114 | 0.043 | 0.026 |
| tig00034432 | 285275 | 6 | 0.333 | 0.036 | 0.026 |
| tig00014480 | 370991 | 1 | 0.298 | 0.043 | 0.026 |
| ctg6873 | 98417 | 11 | 0.325 | 0.045 | 0.026 |
| ctg6554 | 232069 | 1 | 0.136 | 0.060 | 0.026 |
| tig00038168 | 678826 | 1 | 0.246 | 0.048 | 0.026 |
| tig02173502 | 236202 | 4 | 0.228 | 0.039 | 0.026 |
| ctg441 | 371899 | 5 | 0.143 | 0.040 | 0.025 |
| ctg4802 | 122455 | 7 | 0.415 | 0.036 | 0.025 |
| tig00001819 | 750056 | 11 | 0.224 | 0.051 | 0.025 |
| ctg886 | 422362 | 3 | 0.215 | 0.054 | 0.025 |
| contig_41428 | 986481 | 7 | 0.282 | 0.042 | 0.025 |
| ctg3995 | 134201 | 5 | 0.264 | 0.049 | 0.025 |
| ctg938 | 495023 | 7 | 0.264 | 0.043 | 0.025 |
| tig00009809 | 500401 | 7 | 0.303 | 0.042 | 0.024 |
| contig_27486 | 583614 | 6 | 0.370 | 0.040 | 0.024 |
| ctg5022 | 112713 | 1 | 0.085 | 0.063 | 0.024 |
| ctg 1927 | 300272 | 18 | 0.263 | 0.043 | 0.024 |
| ctg 1000 | 842217 | 7 | 0.225 | 0.047 | 0.024 |
| tig00032820 | 222414 | 9 | 0.187 | 0.049 | 0.024 |
| ctg 1895 | 396209 | 5 | 0.183 | 0.047 | 0.024 |
| tig00081624 | 713823 | 3 | 0.166 | 0.059 | 0.024 |
| ctg 13934 | 129182 | 6 | 0.350 | 0.035 | 0.023 |
| ctg561 | 560353 | 2 | 0.175 | 0.054 | 0.023 |
| tig00082713 | 221551 | 3 | 0.266 | 0.049 | 0.023 |
| ctg 1279 | 514016 | 4 | 0.234 | 0.050 | 0.023 |
| contig_40939 | 209337 | 7 | 0.178 | 0.051 | 0.023 |
| contig_11251 | 1040001 | 2 | 0.139 | 0.054 | 0.023 |
| contig_98 | 1431894 | 4 | 0.208 | 0.054 | 0.023 |
| tig00104614 | 228800 | 4 | 0.331 | 0.039 | 0.023 |
| ctg16718 | 38053 | 2 | 0.248 | 0.041 | 0.022 |
| tig00003879 | 1121621 | 2 | 0.151 | 0.050 | 0.022 |
| contig_60781 | 565539 | 1 | 0.091 | 0.068 | 0.022 |
| contig_50741 | 736334 | 3 | 0.080 | 0.056 | 0.022 |
| ctg5948 | 116857 | 2 | 0.178 | 0.060 | 0.022 |
| ctg2164 | 358456 | 5 | 0.241 | 0.049 | 0.021 |
| tig00077143 | 449457 | 1 | 0.236 | 0.054 | 0.021 |
| contig_37366 | 263246 | 1 | 0.055 | 0.068 | 0.021 |
| ctg 17049 | 152061 | 1 | 0.201 | 0.056 | 0.021 |
| contig_30245 | 250958 | 1 | 0.234 | 0.052 | 0.021 |
| tig00029045 | 775918 | 20 | 0.230 | 0.041 | 0.021 |


| tig00062010 | 746043 | 2 | 0.173 | 0.058 | 0.021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contig_8945 | 1208804 | 1 | 0.178 | 0.061 | 0.021 |
| scaffold_36687 | 1746710 | 2 | 0.208 | 0.043 | 0.021 |
| ctg4653 | 132399 | 4 | 0.234 | 0.048 | 0.021 |
| ctg1403 | 217423 | 2 | 0.174 | 0.061 | 0.021 |
| ctg3457 | 312264 | 1 | 0.187 | 0.061 | 0.021 |
| tig00025774 | 231739 | 2 | 0.203 | 0.051 | 0.020 |
| ctg 12880 | 59406 | 4 | 0.203 | 0.047 | 0.020 |
| tig00008387 | 1206745 | 1 | 0.054 | 0.068 | 0.020 |
| ctg 11345 | 67118 | 2 | 0.170 | 0.051 | 0.020 |
| ctg 1744 | 488612 | 8 | 0.259 | 0.040 | 0.020 |
| ctg 11594 | 101235 | 2 | 0.295 | 0.046 | 0.020 |
| ctg 1227 | 254848 | 1 | 0.154 | 0.055 | 0.020 |
| ctg 4943 | 120815 | 1 | 0.185 | 0.045 | 0.019 |
| ctg29278 | 24319 | 1 | 0.235 | 0.052 | 0.019 |
| tig00395309 | 984356 | 2 | 0.427 | 0.027 | 0.019 |
| tig00053376 | 481840 | 12 | 0.260 | 0.045 | 0.019 |
| ctg 17260 | 43454 | 2 | 0.174 | 0.051 | 0.019 |
| ctg706 | 709138 | 5 | 0.401 | 0.037 | 0.019 |
| ctg6864 | 190482 | 8 | 0.302 | 0.047 | 0.019 |
| contig_13848 | 776025 | 5 | 0.324 | 0.045 | 0.019 |
| ctg 2836 | 363138 | 2 | 0.136 | 0.055 | 0.019 |
| contig_10288 | 435980 | 1 | 0.148 | 0.065 | 0.019 |
| ctg7143 | 154847 | 3 | 0.170 | 0.052 | 0.019 |
| contig_35241 | 920474 | 12 | 0.321 | 0.043 | 0.019 |
| tig00401008 | 734019 | 2 | 0.175 | 0.050 | 0.019 |
| contig_16092 | 231821 | 1 | 0.118 | 0.066 | 0.019 |
| tig00012906 | 465734 | 1 | 0.116 | 0.067 | 0.019 |
| ctg 15580 | 46249 | 1 | 0.302 | 0.042 | 0.019 |
| ctg 4645 | 175022 | 2 | 0.299 | 0.049 | 0.018 |
| tig02186857 | 212821 | 7 | 0.277 | 0.043 | 0.018 |
| tig00401048 | 1006707 | 2 | 0.168 | 0.051 | 0.018 |
| tig00009101 | 550920 | 2 | 0.264 | 0.051 | 0.018 |
| ctg4768 | 253436 | 3 | 0.273 | 0.052 | 0.018 |
| ctg6722 | 99106 | 8 | 0.094 | 0.056 | 0.018 |
| ctg 13379 | 91079 | 7 | 0.358 | 0.037 | 0.018 |
| ctg662 | 1122480 | 2 | 0.150 | 0.049 | 0.018 |
| tig00401039 | 201987 | 1 | 0.116 | 0.068 | 0.018 |
| ctg2937 | 161171 | 1 | 0.084 | 0.066 | 0.018 |
| ctg8987 | 77918 | 2 | 0.244 | 0.038 | 0.017 |
| ctg4430 | 244778 | 3 | 0.356 | 0.046 | 0.017 |
| ctg2363 | 175673 | 1 | 0.249 | 0.049 | 0.017 |
| ctg8641 | 81833 | 3 | 0.381 | 0.032 | 0.017 |
| tig00041615 | 238995 | 1 | 0.273 | 0.050 | 0.017 |
| tig00052588 | 395847 | 13 | 0.332 | 0.045 | 0.017 |
| tig02186950 | 571650 | 6 | 0.236 | 0.046 | 0.017 |
| ctg8868 | 174113 | 1 | 0.178 | 0.061 | 0.017 |
| tig00010113 | 544917 | 2 | 0.118 | 0.053 | 0.016 |
| tig00044442 | 1322741 | 1 | 0.194 | 0.062 | 0.016 |
| tig00043964 | 472886 | 5 | 0.190 | 0.045 | 0.016 |
| ctg20460 | 35400 | 1 | 0.418 | 0.026 | 0.016 |
| ctg6518 | 217384 | 5 | 0.247 | 0.049 | 0.016 |
| ctg5757 | 111496 | 4 | 0.269 | 0.050 | 0.016 |
| tig00006727 | 561389 | 1 | 0.134 | 0.066 | 0.016 |
| ctg5931 | 172571 | 2 | 0.106 | 0.061 | 0.016 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 918$ | 531109 | 1 | 0.144 | 0.066 | 0.016 |
| contig_12766 | 335396 | 1 | 0.171 | 0.068 | 0.015 |
| tig00064036 | 215676 | 8 | 0.127 | 0.053 | 0.015 |
| tig00396519 | 695719 | 4 | 0.147 | 0.056 | 0.015 |
| tig00003327 | 963361 | 5 | 0.167 | 0.051 | 0.015 |
| ctg5114 | 180852 | 1 | 0.149 | 0.067 | 0.015 |
| contig_24149 | 740605 | 8 | 0.156 | 0.051 | 0.015 |
| ctg3045 | 153462 | 3 | 0.183 | 0.064 | 0.015 |
| ctg6330 | 284254 | 4 | 0.128 | 0.073 | 0.015 |


| ctg 876 | 259010 | 3 | 0.116 | 0.046 | 0.015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig02169053 | 775491 | 1 | 0.279 | 0.053 | 0.015 |
| ctg1844 | 181985 | 1 | 0.278 | 0.053 | 0.015 |
| tig02169099 | 801738 | 5 | 0.425 | 0.028 | 0.014 |
| tig00022010 | 455476 | 1 | 0.122 | 0.067 | 0.014 |
| tig00032807 | 898922 | 14 | 0.361 | 0.042 | 0.014 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 305$ | 380230 | 5 | 0.228 | 0.047 | 0.014 |
| contig_51983 | 250726 | 2 | 0.317 | 0.048 | 0.014 |
| ctg 574 | 651584 | 1 | 0.174 | 0.065 | 0.014 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 13113$ | 154811 | 2 | 0.188 | 0.060 | 0.014 |
| tig02177191 | 712921 | 1 | 0.203 | 0.065 | 0.014 |
| ctg932 | 288213 | 1 | 0.146 | 0.067 | 0.014 |
| contig_21039 | 429346 | 2 | 0.279 | 0.045 | 0.014 |
| ctg2112 | 208492 | 2 | 0.268 | 0.052 | 0.014 |
| tig00073763 | 439283 | 4 | 0.201 | 0.056 | 0.013 |
| tig00029299 | 711128 | 2 | 0.202 | 0.045 | 0.013 |
| tig02171851 | 622952 | 11 | 0.272 | 0.052 | 0.013 |
| tig00399079 | 418017 | 1 | 0.244 | 0.059 | 0.013 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 15885$ | 47924 | 10 | 0.256 | 0.045 | 0.013 |
| tig00057687 | 1109755 | 1 | 0.142 | 0.072 | 0.013 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 10625$ | 69426 | 2 | 0.208 | 0.056 | 0.013 |
| tig00002260 | 634305 | 2 | 0.130 | 0.064 | 0.013 |
| tig02172992 | 262449 | 1 | 0.138 | 0.070 | 0.013 |
| contig_11907 | 731714 | 2 | 0.133 | 0.070 | 0.013 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 18346$ | 68544 | 2 | 0.138 | 0.071 | 0.013 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 342$ | 318049 | 2 | 0.260 | 0.056 | 0.013 |
| tig02168959 | 709193 | 8 | 0.272 | 0.049 | 0.013 |
| tig00398134 | 350876 | 1 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.013 |
| tig00395608 | 811439 | 15 | 0.136 | 0.043 | 0.013 |
| ctg5898 | 173878 | 1 | 0.283 | 0.054 | 0.013 |
| ctg6773 | 100053 | 6 | 0.352 | 0.046 | 0.012 |
| tig00012490 | 736866 | 1 | 0.250 | 0.060 | 0.012 |
| ctg1062 | 384650 | 1 | 0.226 | 0.056 | 0.012 |
| ctg21319 | 33605 | 2 | 0.317 | 0.050 | 0.012 |
| contig_3901 | 1533463 | 9 | 0.129 | 0.055 | 0.012 |
| tig02174455 | 594689 | 3 | 0.101 | 0.046 | 0.012 |
| tig00036613 | 297434 | 4 | 0.189 | 0.050 | 0.012 |
| contig_24776 | 953368 | 4 | 0.180 | 0.063 | 0.012 |
| scaffold_56390 | 875467 | 1 | 0.079 | 0.071 | 0.012 |
| ctg6885 | 161443 | 2 | 0.080 | 0.072 | 0.012 |
| tig00397240 | 1309279 | 12 | 0.239 | 0.049 | 0.012 |
| tig00399257 | 853184 | 3 | 0.345 | 0.039 | 0.012 |
| contig_50490 | 587569 | 2 | 0.344 | 0.044 | 0.012 |
| ctg3849 | 136426 | 1 | 0.255 | 0.053 | 0.012 |
| ctg 3605 | 193783 | 2 | 0.212 | 0.066 | 0.012 |
| ctg3451 | 208450 | 18 | 0.226 | 0.044 | 0.012 |
| tig00000132 | 1303810 | 3 | 0.325 | 0.046 | 0.012 |
| tig00401611 | 533930 | 6 | 0.131 | 0.056 | 0.012 |
| ctg3946 | 135996 | 3 | 0.216 | 0.064 | 0.011 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 4528$ | 155259 | 5 | 0.047 | 0.076 | 0.011 |
| tig00035549 | 230244 | 6 | 0.194 | 0.053 | 0.011 |
| ctg 13106 | 56789 | 8 | 0.389 | 0.046 | 0.011 |
| ctg9079 | 288632 | 5 | 0.323 | 0.048 | 0.011 |
| ctg3130 | 151869 | 7 | 0.312 | 0.049 | 0.011 |
| contig_25578 | 252329 | 1 | 0.054 | 0.085 | 0.011 |
| tig00004291 | 1141289 | 10 | 0.302 | 0.050 | 0.010 |
| tig00027007 | 580871 | 9 | 0.249 | 0.055 | 0.010 |
| ctg38651 | 15997 | 2 | 0.267 | 0.056 | 0.010 |
| ctg9091 | 82995 | 1 | 0.190 | 0.046 | 0.010 |
| ctg9268 | 77721 | 3 | 0.259 | 0.049 | 0.010 |
| ctg9918 | 75289 | 5 | 0.201 | 0.052 | 0.010 |
| tig02175127 | 700198 | 2 | 0.228 | 0.054 | 0.010 |
| ctg6182 | 323278 | 2 | 0.060 | 0.061 | 0.010 |
| ctg5549 | 113086 | 3 | 0.055 | 0.077 | 0.010 |


| $\operatorname{ctg} 2516$ | 431680 | 5 | 0.265 | 0.060 | 0.010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg 15483 | 180865 | 2 | 0.166 | 0.066 | 0.010 |
| tig02187896 | 290230 | 8 | 0.382 | 0.042 | 0.010 |
| contig_14817 | 723262 | 6 | 0.254 | 0.058 | 0.010 |
| tig00035722 | 370501 | 1 | 0.124 | 0.076 | 0.010 |
| tig00059873 | 338295 | 1 | 0.079 | 0.078 | 0.010 |
| contig_33834 | 349697 | 3 | 0.317 | 0.051 | 0.009 |
| ctg9336 | 84247 | 3 | 0.183 | 0.074 | 0.009 |
| contig_44179 | 1068800 | 8 | 0.317 | 0.048 | 0.009 |
| ctg5121 | 273387 | 4 | 0.296 | 0.056 | 0.009 |
| ctg 16562 | 46615 | 5 | 0.162 | 0.064 | 0.009 |
| ctg4504 | 125638 | 1 | 0.128 | 0.069 | 0.009 |
| tig00399378 | 569838 | 6 | 0.185 | 0.056 | 0.009 |
| ctg2076 | 471493 | 13 | 0.217 | 0.056 | 0.009 |
| ctg9589 | 90739 | 5 | 0.149 | 0.067 | 0.009 |
| ctg 1835 | 204562 | 7 | 0.140 | 0.069 | 0.009 |
| tig00002745 | 956161 | 8 | 0.283 | 0.045 | 0.009 |
| contig_8185 | 390387 | 4 | 0.202 | 0.058 | 0.009 |
| ctg9960 | 73514 | 9 | 0.314 | 0.053 | 0.009 |
| contig_7948 | 250208 | 3 | 0.250 | 0.061 | 0.009 |
| tig00396384 | 794700 | 3 | 0.172 | 0.056 | 0.009 |
| ctg3854 | 186452 | 3 | 0.250 | 0.030 | 0.008 |
| tig00007669 | 398995 | 3 | 0.488 | 0.033 | 0.008 |
| tig02171830 | 509211 | 2 | 0.345 | 0.048 | 0.008 |
| tig00399445 | 1355214 | 4 | 0.273 | 0.060 | 0.008 |
| tig02170390 | 551687 | 15 | 0.263 | 0.045 | 0.008 |
| ctg6543 | 144287 | 8 | 0.251 | 0.056 | 0.008 |
| contig_17943 | 761653 | 1 | 0.118 | 0.078 | 0.008 |
| tig02169642 | 217819 | 2 | 0.150 | 0.061 | 0.008 |
| contig_54915 | 586664 | 1 | 0.272 | 0.061 | 0.008 |
| ctg2235 | 431009 | 4 | 0.201 | 0.064 | 0.008 |
| ctg 1493 | 429882 | 1 | 0.203 | 0.070 | 0.008 |
| tig00049313 | 510448 | 4 | 0.407 | 0.046 | 0.008 |
| ctg304 | 428393 | 5 | 0.172 | 0.071 | 0.008 |
| ctg2065 | 488593 | 1 | 0.177 | 0.074 | 0.008 |
| ctg 15235 | 77053 | 3 | 0.118 | 0.079 | 0.008 |
| contig_19639 | 346525 | 8 | 0.159 | 0.058 | 0.008 |
| tig00398650 | 701744 | 6 | 0.252 | 0.058 | 0.008 |
| ctg 13185 | 57542 | 1 | 0.243 | 0.061 | 0.008 |
| ctg22551 | 32003 | 7 | 0.192 | 0.055 | 0.007 |
| ctg 11836 | 64306 | 2 | 0.105 | 0.070 | 0.007 |
| contig_10109 | 662193 | 3 | 0.140 | 0.073 | 0.007 |
| contig_40709 | 1152487 | 3 | 0.190 | 0.078 | 0.007 |
| contig_7671 | 528083 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.082 | 0.007 |
| contig_43003 | 828080 | 3 | 0.406 | 0.050 | 0.007 |
| contig_38833 | 604027 | 2 | 0.215 | 0.061 | 0.007 |
| tig02171079 | 533371 | 1 | 0.195 | 0.072 | 0.007 |
| ctg35789 | 19613 | 1 | 0.109 | 0.082 | 0.007 |
| tig00036171 | 2195921 | 2 | 0.302 | 0.050 | 0.007 |
| tig00073033 | 363675 | 2 | 0.338 | 0.051 | 0.007 |
| ctg 1723 | 225672 | 2 | 0.324 | 0.052 | 0.007 |
| tig00002418 | 1237577 | 5 | 0.234 | 0.056 | 0.007 |
| contig_20729 | 865097 | 3 | 0.266 | 0.047 | 0.007 |
| ctg3053 | 380384 | 5 | 0.144 | 0.061 | 0.007 |
| tig00049286 | 218013 | 1 | 0.091 | 0.074 | 0.007 |
| ctg958 | 239967 | 4 | 0.139 | 0.081 | 0.007 |
| tig00005234 | 355003 | 7 | 0.158 | 0.055 | 0.007 |
| ctg 464 | 357866 | 3 | 0.218 | 0.050 | 0.007 |
| contig_20357 | 756171 | 4 | 0.221 | 0.052 | 0.007 |
| ctg 4878 | 296449 | 1 | 0.101 | 0.072 | 0.007 |
| contig_51952 | 416957 | 2 | 0.284 | 0.048 | 0.007 |
| tig00048227 | 255982 | 2 | 0.257 | 0.062 | 0.007 |
| ctg503 | 361361 | 2 | 0.162 | 0.066 | 0.007 |
| tig00032605 | 332556 | 1 | 0.208 | 0.069 | 0.007 |


| tig00011777 | 930573 | 1 | 0.290 | 0.055 | 0.006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tig00395658 | 796641 | 7 | 0.363 | 0.041 | 0.006 |
| tig00009932 | 1047807 | 7 | 0.367 | 0.052 | 0.006 |
| contig_2088 | 246692 | 1 | 0.260 | 0.067 | 0.006 |
| ctg7088 | 240198 | 1 | 0.063 | 0.079 | 0.006 |
| ctg 15573 | 44675 | 1 | 0.045 | 0.091 | 0.006 |
| ctg 18830 | 40259 | 1 | 0.134 | 0.075 | 0.006 |
| tig00001782 | 399619 | 2 | 0.191 | 0.077 | 0.006 |
| contig_9485 | 207449 | 1 | 0.098 | 0.080 | 0.006 |
| tig00010426 | 932016 | 2 | 0.240 | 0.067 | 0.006 |
| contig_22381 | 756420 | 1 | 0.093 | 0.074 | 0.006 |
| ctg35208 | 19926 | 5 | 0.353 | 0.047 | 0.006 |
| tig00017610 | 299362 | 4 | 0.245 | 0.054 | 0.006 |
| ctg8231 | 80920 | 12 | 0.315 | 0.054 | 0.006 |
| tig00396435 | 870933 | 9 | 0.150 | 0.055 | 0.006 |
| contig_7237 | 744510 | 6 | 0.248 | 0.068 | 0.006 |
| tig02173845 | 774778 | 8 | 0.263 | 0.061 | 0.006 |
| ctg2054 | 242868 | 4 | 0.308 | 0.066 | 0.006 |
| contig_30818 | 371972 | 5 | 0.350 | 0.060 | 0.006 |
| ctg3700 | 139634 | 2 | 0.130 | 0.079 | 0.006 |
| tig00396970 | 864732 | 3 | 0.270 | 0.063 | 0.005 |
| contig_21635 | 446265 | 10 | 0.248 | 0.068 | 0.005 |
| contig_10934 | 379149 | 1 | 0.195 | 0.075 | 0.005 |
| ctg14952 | 60034 | 3 | 0.241 | 0.053 | 0.005 |
| tig00048408 | 1152938 | 2 | 0.278 | 0.062 | 0.005 |
| contig_18274 | 615023 | 1 | 0.140 | 0.083 | 0.005 |
| tig00008185 | 861467 | 3 | 0.335 | 0.050 | 0.005 |
| ctg7371 | 183667 | 5 | 0.273 | 0.059 | 0.005 |
| contig_25407 | 445815 | 1 | 0.232 | 0.069 | 0.005 |
| contig_4262 | 585508 | 5 | 0.447 | 0.036 | 0.005 |
| tig00398115 | 610845 | 7 | 0.331 | 0.043 | 0.005 |
| ctg30809 | 64584 | 4 | 0.228 | 0.071 | 0.005 |
| ctg8783 | 78486 | 5 | 0.298 | 0.058 | 0.005 |
| contig_10059 | 978488 | 2 | 0.172 | 0.062 | 0.005 |
| ctg 1588 | 549819 | 1 | 0.220 | 0.077 | 0.005 |
| ctg4536 | 123636 | 1 | 0.071 | 0.090 | 0.005 |
| tig02186325 | 1062754 | 3 | 0.249 | 0.062 | 0.005 |
| tig00404369 | 387001 | 1 | 0.201 | 0.072 | 0.005 |
| ctg22439 | 32432 | 2 | 0.307 | 0.049 | 0.004 |
| tig00409833 | 599385 | 1 | 0.254 | 0.065 | 0.004 |
| ctg7967 | 88666 | 1 | 0.281 | 0.068 | 0.004 |
| contig_22577 | 210263 | 1 | 0.231 | 0.069 | 0.004 |
| ctg20480 | 50058 | 1 | 0.166 | 0.079 | 0.004 |
| ctg1581 | 455164 | 1 | 0.091 | 0.089 | 0.004 |
| tig00396826 | 235492 | 6 | 0.240 | 0.062 | 0.004 |
| ctg3313 | 141113 | 4 | 0.183 | 0.063 | 0.004 |
| ctg 13535 | 89820 | 3 | 0.196 | 0.065 | 0.004 |
| scaffold_4796 | 508383 | 2 | 0.089 | 0.067 | 0.004 |
| ctg979 | 538727 | 6 | 0.215 | 0.069 | 0.004 |
| tig00395711 | 406121 | 2 | 0.116 | 0.076 | 0.004 |
| ctg16906 | 67950 | 4 | 0.271 | 0.053 | 0.004 |
| tig00002644 | 1004164 | 4 | 0.241 | 0.068 | 0.004 |
| ctg9077 | 99794 | 3 | 0.218 | 0.048 | 0.004 |
| tig00006018 | 889293 | 2 | 0.347 | 0.053 | 0.004 |
| ctg9434 | 75810 | 7 | 0.206 | 0.054 | 0.004 |
| tig00012486 | 1161965 | 15 | 0.210 | 0.061 | 0.004 |
| ctg25810 | 56923 | 1 | 0.200 | 0.078 | 0.004 |
| tig00398299 | 1121549 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.089 | 0.004 |
| contig_31543 | 243296 | 2 | 0.462 | 0.033 | 0.004 |
| tig00053745 | 959500 | 8 | 0.201 | 0.063 | 0.004 |
| ctg 1303 | 276624 | 4 | 0.083 | 0.076 | 0.004 |
| contig_8210 | 674378 | 3 | 0.115 | 0.080 | 0.004 |
| ctg 9027 | 80364 | 1 | 0.207 | 0.082 | 0.004 |
| contig_1888 | 348863 | 1 | 0.172 | 0.084 | 0.004 |


| ctg9017 | 394703 | 1 | 0.331 | 0.058 | 0.004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg2468 | 167128 | 4 | 0.234 | 0.073 | 0.004 |
| ctg1034 | 511607 | 3 | 0.381 | 0.052 | 0.004 |
| ctg15077 | 89959 | 4 | 0.221 | 0.053 | 0.004 |
| contig_17744 | 208675 | 2 | 0.321 | 0.062 | 0.004 |
| ctg2657 | 221561 | 3 | 0.267 | 0.069 | 0.004 |
| ctg7181 | 173324 | 11 | 0.249 | 0.070 | 0.004 |
| ctg5496 | 258052 | 2 | 0.163 | 0.070 | 0.004 |
| ctg27712 | 38793 | 1 | 0.091 | 0.087 | 0.004 |
| contig_43751 | 371606 | 12 | 0.236 | 0.064 | 0.004 |
| ctg18137 | 41177 | 3 | 0.220 | 0.065 | 0.004 |
| contig_16659 | 589510 | 1 | 0.277 | 0.066 | 0.004 |
| ctg1787 | 462608 | 1 | 0.256 | 0.070 | 0.004 |
| ctg44109 | 13436 | 1 | 0.261 | 0.073 | 0.004 |
| ctg1420 | 314641 | 4 | 0.144 | 0.075 | 0.004 |
| tig00400453 | 502313 | 9 | 0.245 | 0.072 | 0.003 |
| tig00398384 | 507434 | 2 | 0.129 | 0.083 | 0.003 |
| ctg7348 | 93251 | 1 | 0.367 | 0.047 | 0.003 |
| tig00405585 | 447669 | 2 | 0.197 | 0.065 | 0.003 |
| contig_11651 | 639743 | 1 | 0.283 | 0.066 | 0.003 |
| ctg 3914 | 147163 | 7 | 0.197 | 0.069 | 0.003 |
| ctg11914 | 62325 | 3 | 0.277 | 0.067 | 0.003 |
| tig00025801 | 378320 | 2 | 0.216 | 0.069 | 0.003 |
| tig02171634 | 362300 | 3 | 0.102 | 0.074 | 0.003 |
| ctg6466 | 103790 | 3 | 0.113 | 0.090 | 0.003 |
| tig00012281 | 1095784 | 4 | 0.174 | 0.061 | 0.003 |
| contig_12292 | 330830 | 1 | 0.293 | 0.066 | 0.003 |
| ctg2777 | 421122 | 1 | 0.209 | 0.069 | 0.003 |
| contig_26038 | 1128329 | 10 | 0.245 | 0.053 | 0.003 |
| ctg32885 | 21337 | 1 | 0.308 | 0.059 | 0.003 |
| ctg4073 | 265223 | 1 | 0.324 | 0.060 | 0.003 |
| tig00397032 | 664490 | 1 | 0.050 | 0.099 | 0.003 |
| tig00061215 | 331632 | 3 | 0.230 | 0.062 | 0.003 |
| tig00031038 | 242167 | 5 | 0.289 | 0.066 | 0.003 |
| contig_12812 | 484187 | 5 | 0.204 | 0.073 | 0.003 |
| contig_29732 | 1109439 | 4 | 0.137 | 0.085 | 0.003 |
| ctg19200 | 39208 | 1 | 0.084 | 0.086 | 0.003 |
| tig00012179 | 394012 | 1 | 0.115 | 0.076 | 0.003 |
| contig_21374 | 248563 | 1 | 0.055 | 0.095 | 0.003 |
| ctg361 | 411299 | 11 | 0.147 | 0.053 | 0.003 |
| ctg22269 | 58235 | 5 | 0.372 | 0.058 | 0.003 |
| tig00001463 | 957974 | 2 | 0.189 | 0.082 | 0.003 |
| ctg3316 | 140429 | 5 | 0.369 | 0.054 | 0.003 |
| contig_41942 | 303149 | 2 | 0.202 | 0.078 | 0.003 |
| ctg2830 | 160572 | 1 | 0.136 | 0.080 | 0.003 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 10878$ | 68089 | 3 | 0.194 | 0.067 | 0.003 |
| ctg2298 | 174938 | 3 | 0.177 | 0.073 | 0.003 |
| ctg3546 | 141388 | 5 | 0.280 | 0.073 | 0.003 |
| ctg1734 | 388235 | 4 | 0.185 | 0.075 | 0.003 |
| tig00395821 | 510214 | 3 | 0.192 | 0.077 | 0.003 |
| tig00077193 | 457496 | 2 | 0.108 | 0.082 | 0.003 |
| tig00401353 | 371848 | 1 | 0.191 | 0.084 | 0.003 |
| ctg5385 | 110779 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.095 | 0.003 |
| ctg14710 | 50655 | 1 | 0.053 | 0.095 | 0.003 |
| ctg18184 | 40412 | 1 | 0.077 | 0.095 | 0.003 |
| tig02188878 | 226859 | 1 | 0.123 | 0.096 | 0.003 |
| ctg1418 | 415747 | 1 | 0.089 | 0.098 | 0.003 |
| tig00395651 | 701058 | 6 | 0.211 | 0.052 | 0.002 |
| ctg10073 | 200715 | 2 | 0.214 | 0.068 | 0.002 |
| ctg4323 | 185232 | 3 | 0.243 | 0.069 | 0.002 |
| ctg639 | 275266 | 1 | 0.190 | 0.085 | 0.002 |
| tig02169111 | 518268 | 8 | 0.185 | 0.089 | 0.002 |
| tig00056269 | 1105438 | 1 | 0.166 | 0.090 | 0.002 |
| ctg3357 | 325326 | 1 | 0.115 | 0.101 | 0.002 |


| tig00010830 | 362633 | 6 | 0.301 | 0.061 | 0.002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg18249 | 67394 | 3 | 0.311 | 0.064 | 0.002 |
| tig00398065 | 1002344 | 2 | 0.117 | 0.064 | 0.002 |
| ctg6787 | 207566 | 3 | 0.270 | 0.070 | 0.002 |
| ctg4301 | 131532 | 2 | 0.109 | 0.088 | 0.002 |
| tig00395688 | 396431 | 1 | 0.238 | 0.056 | 0.002 |
| tig00403730 | 621907 | 6 | 0.176 | 0.073 | 0.002 |
| ctg1471 | 202892 | 4 | 0.122 | 0.076 | 0.002 |
| tig00405347 | 543473 | 5 | 0.157 | 0.079 | 0.002 |
| tig02169458 | 962236 | 1 | 0.081 | 0.090 | 0.002 |
| ctg2320 | 432401 | 4 | 0.340 | 0.062 | 0.002 |
| tig00399539 | 282857 | 1 | 0.224 | 0.075 | 0.002 |
| ctg33263 | 21223 | 1 | 0.142 | 0.082 | 0.002 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 468$ | 455220 | 7 | 0.161 | 0.071 | 0.002 |
| tig02170431 | 955332 | 9 | 0.322 | 0.072 | 0.002 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 13522$ | 167494 | 2 | 0.148 | 0.092 | 0.002 |
| tig00399738 | 453577 | 4 | 0.336 | 0.058 | 0.002 |
| tig02169868 | 857412 | 6 | 0.390 | 0.061 | 0.002 |
| ctg5666 | 344659 | 7 | 0.320 | 0.068 | 0.002 |
| ctg7065 | 139213 | 7 | 0.251 | 0.072 | 0.002 |
| ctg28120 | 96309 | 4 | 0.231 | 0.073 | 0.002 |
| tig00399040 | 611393 | 2 | 0.174 | 0.075 | 0.002 |
| ctg3157 | 183320 | 1 | 0.139 | 0.097 | 0.002 |
| contig_28327 | 505316 | 1 | 0.088 | 0.100 | 0.002 |
| tig00403292 | 917868 | 7 | 0.254 | 0.067 | 0.002 |
| ctg5478 | 203258 | 2 | 0.305 | 0.069 | 0.002 |
| ctg36424 | 18494 | 10 | 0.246 | 0.077 | 0.002 |
| ctg3596 | 175065 | 5 | 0.203 | 0.084 | 0.002 |
| contig_15985 | 614099 | 1 | 0.170 | 0.090 | 0.002 |
| tig00003475 | 384296 | 8 | 0.091 | 0.094 | 0.002 |
| tig00397067 | 1508104 | 1 | 0.113 | 0.095 | 0.002 |
| tig00404052 | 254563 | 3 | 0.130 | 0.098 | 0.002 |
| ctg278 | 700468 | 2 | 0.230 | 0.078 | 0.002 |
| tig00015630 | 444711 | 3 | 0.181 | 0.082 | 0.002 |
| tig00048729 | 291077 | 10 | 0.186 | 0.082 | 0.002 |
| ctg 1573 | 271466 | 1 | 0.082 | 0.100 | 0.002 |
| contig_1219 | 625571 | 4 | 0.148 | 0.074 | 0.002 |
| ctg1727 | 346568 | 1 | 0.128 | 0.097 | 0.002 |
| ctg7275 | 217686 | 1 | 0.264 | 0.072 | 0.001 |
| tig00395555 | 271328 | 8 | 0.147 | 0.079 | 0.001 |
| ctg6355 | 105304 | 2 | 0.101 | 0.080 | 0.001 |
| tig00032636 | 913796 | 1 | 0.178 | 0.082 | 0.001 |
| tig00074206 | 384873 | 5 | 0.093 | 0.089 | 0.001 |
| ctg4652 | 269717 | 1 | 0.150 | 0.093 | 0.001 |
| contig_10547 | 335563 | 6 | 0.497 | 0.037 | 0.001 |
| contig_8886 | 292449 | 3 | 0.384 | 0.055 | 0.001 |
| tig00079487 | 951179 | 1 | 0.361 | 0.056 | 0.001 |
| tig02188831 | 643395 | 7 | 0.193 | 0.060 | 0.001 |
| tig00014655 | 351299 | 10 | 0.194 | 0.064 | 0.001 |
| ctg18756 | 98018 | 8 | 0.309 | 0.065 | 0.001 |
| ctg12448 | 165728 | 1 | 0.228 | 0.085 | 0.001 |
| ctg20121 | 36987 | 1 | 0.179 | 0.086 | 0.001 |
| ctg63201 | 9826 | 5 | 0.354 | 0.061 | 0.001 |
| contig_19680 | 1173980 | 10 | 0.338 | 0.063 | 0.001 |
| ctg3853 | 201225 | 1 | 0.318 | 0.070 | 0.001 |
| tig00058991 | 244112 | 4 | 0.268 | 0.080 | 0.001 |
| ctg6611 | 100866 | 6 | 0.160 | 0.082 | 0.001 |
| tig00396149 | 623230 | 3 | 0.161 | 0.088 | 0.001 |
| tig00397523 | 322509 | 3 | 0.219 | 0.092 | 0.001 |
| tig00059977 | 206712 | 1 | 0.143 | 0.094 | 0.001 |
| tig00065943 | 621099 | 3 | 0.145 | 0.101 | 0.001 |
| ctg36792 | 18493 | 5 | 0.426 | 0.054 | 0.001 |
| contig_27491 | 426366 | 5 | 0.267 | 0.071 | 0.001 |
| ctg24 | 616767 | 8 | 0.262 | 0.077 | 0.001 |


| $\operatorname{ctg} 17515$ | 42483 | 6 | 0.225 | 0.079 | 0.001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contig_2009 | 241801 | 1 | 0.204 | 0.090 | 0.001 |
| ctg 15643 | 47287 | 1 | 0.140 | 0.097 | 0.001 |
| tig00018215 | 545841 | 5 | 0.388 | 0.057 | 0.001 |
| ctg4689 | 205094 | 2 | 0.332 | 0.061 | 0.001 |
| contig_32639 | 577279 | 7 | 0.228 | 0.067 | 0.001 |
| tig02186583 | 453875 | 3 | 0.304 | 0.075 | 0.001 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 1552$ | 326042 | 1 | 0.251 | 0.080 | 0.001 |
| contig_23904 | 445721 | 2 | 0.154 | 0.083 | 0.001 |
| ctg16582 | 73716 | 4 | 0.195 | 0.086 | 0.001 |
| ctg9736 | 109962 | 2 | 0.222 | 0.089 | 0.001 |
| tig00399227 | 736035 | 6 | 0.195 | 0.094 | 0.001 |
| ctg29432 | 23874 | 1 | 0.184 | 0.097 | 0.001 |
| tig00399197 | 524909 | 1 | 0.176 | 0.099 | 0.001 |
| ctg27879 | 25595 | 1 | 0.161 | 0.100 | 0.001 |
| tig00093735 | 410540 | 2 | 0.075 | 0.108 | 0.001 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 4406$ | 125850 | 1 | 0.096 | 0.114 | 0.001 |
| tig00028029 | 426442 | 8 | 0.385 | 0.055 | 0.001 |
| tig00398278 | 361467 | 2 | 0.314 | 0.079 | 0.001 |
| ctg4505 | 299987 | 3 | 0.238 | 0.088 | 0.001 |
| tig00400420 | 264576 | 3 | 0.176 | 0.092 | 0.001 |
| ctg8602 | 230135 | 2 | 0.097 | 0.122 | 0.001 |
| ctg9542 | 77502 | 7 | 0.226 | 0.074 | 0.001 |
| ctg5718 | 110930 | 7 | 0.264 | 0.080 | 0.001 |
| tig00013101 | 268866 | 4 | 0.286 | 0.082 | 0.001 |
| tig00027857 | 700320 | 2 | 0.186 | 0.097 | 0.001 |
| ctg2079 | 413176 | 1 | 0.087 | 0.109 | 0.001 |
| ctg2846 | 347791 | 2 | 0.092 | 0.113 | 0.001 |
| tig00399901 | 811080 | 2 | 0.095 | 0.115 | 0.001 |
| ctg 2517 | 254880 | 1 | 0.098 | 0.118 | 0.001 |
| contig_42809 | 351746 | 3 | 0.384 | 0.067 | 0.001 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 1123$ | 237209 | 3 | 0.269 | 0.074 | 0.001 |
| ctg 17685 | 45882 | 2 | 0.137 | 0.090 | 0.001 |
| ctg9691 | 75105 | 6 | 0.216 | 0.090 | 0.001 |
| ctg6339 | 334965 | 1 | 0.087 | 0.096 | 0.001 |
| tig00059998 | 1068736 | 4 | 0.147 | 0.097 | 0.001 |
| tig00017035 | 1132614 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.105 | 0.001 |
| contig_13674 | 627862 | 1 | 0.077 | 0.106 | 0.001 |
| tig02188748 | 571085 | 1 | 0.123 | 0.109 | 0.001 |
| ctg3668 | 526163 | 2 | 0.132 | 0.117 | 0.001 |
| tig00016009 | 623372 | 8 | 0.192 | 0.074 | 0.001 |
| ctg 10034 | 158959 | 8 | 0.381 | 0.075 | 0.001 |
| tig00404038 | 641509 | 4 | 0.338 | 0.076 | 0.001 |
| tig00137030 | 555776 | 1 | 0.305 | 0.080 | 0.001 |
| ctg8899 | 80402 | 5 | 0.159 | 0.081 | 0.001 |
| ctg9926 | 78349 | 4 | 0.283 | 0.084 | 0.001 |
| tig00040441 | 397931 | 3 | 0.304 | 0.086 | 0.001 |
| ctg36120 | 19521 | 1 | 0.179 | 0.093 | 0.001 |
| tig00044954 | 583249 | 1 | 0.246 | 0.094 | 0.001 |
| contig_5520 | 227618 | 1 | 0.245 | 0.097 | 0.001 |
| tig00010587 | 1336264 | 6 | 0.193 | 0.098 | 0.001 |
| tig00003873 | 791057 | 2 | 0.140 | 0.110 | 0.001 |
| ctg27780 | 25018 | 1 | 0.174 | 0.110 | 0.001 |
| ctg3120 | 269904 | 2 | 0.107 | 0.115 | 0.001 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 7363$ | 94642 | 1 | 0.106 | 0.116 | 0.001 |
| contig_27828 | 686464 | 2 | 0.088 | 0.127 | 0.001 |
| tig00013376 | 1099857 | 7 | 0.287 | 0.078 | 0.000 |
| ctg5649 | 428367 | 8 | 0.167 | 0.080 | 0.000 |
| ctg28671 | 22282 | 1 | 0.233 | 0.083 | 0.000 |
| contig_37023 | 1291391 | 11 | 0.170 | 0.084 | 0.000 |
| tig00001226 | 634311 | 20 | 0.235 | 0.084 | 0.000 |
| ctg6281 | 218505 | 2 | 0.306 | 0.086 | 0.000 |
| tig00398477 | 259891 | 2 | 0.138 | 0.086 | 0.000 |
| tig02186361 | 536843 | 3 | 0.188 | 0.087 | 0.000 |


| $\operatorname{ctg} 29436$ | 23631 | 1 | 0.226 | 0.091 | 0.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctg5058 | 134239 | 4 | 0.198 | 0.098 | 0.000 |
| tig00049631 | 236709 | 3 | 0.185 | 0.112 | 0.000 |
| ctg9955 | 81837 | 1 | 0.103 | 0.123 | 0.000 |
| tig00034653 | 482718 | 1 | 0.072 | 0.123 | 0.000 |
| tig00404607 | 513569 | 8 | 0.441 | 0.064 | 0.000 |
| ctg25413 | 28004 | 3 | 0.424 | 0.068 | 0.000 |
| ctg2742 | 317531 | 6 | 0.262 | 0.071 | 0.000 |
| tig02169698 | 726794 | 13 | 0.232 | 0.073 | 0.000 |
| tig02169510 | 472014 | 3 | 0.375 | 0.074 | 0.000 |
| ctg7787 | 251517 | 3 | 0.296 | 0.078 | 0.000 |
| tig00396258 | 746857 | 2 | 0.298 | 0.080 | 0.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 14324$ | 128536 | 1 | 0.203 | 0.085 | 0.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 242$ | 919531 | 2 | 0.182 | 0.086 | 0.000 |
| contig_7359 | 400273 | 1 | 0.289 | 0.090 | 0.000 |
| ctg2283 | 220690 | 11 | 0.261 | 0.090 | 0.000 |
| tig00097609 | 300704 | 4 | 0.217 | 0.091 | 0.000 |
| tig00088316 | 353022 | 4 | 0.199 | 0.091 | 0.000 |
| ctg2930 | 323457 | 3 | 0.209 | 0.091 | 0.000 |
| contig_26461 | 490084 | 2 | 0.292 | 0.091 | 0.000 |
| ctg2491 | 168255 | 1 | 0.229 | 0.093 | 0.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 442$ | 403501 | 5 | 0.327 | 0.093 | 0.000 |
| tig00001597 | 1594731 | 13 | 0.162 | 0.094 | 0.000 |
| contig_28553 | 1558221 | 6 | 0.149 | 0.098 | 0.000 |
| ctg7313 | 148101 | 5 | 0.151 | 0.100 | 0.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 17866$ | 41464 | 3 | 0.189 | 0.104 | 0.000 |
| ctg7640 | 89382 | 3 | 0.083 | 0.111 | 0.000 |
| ctg21292 | 34862 | 3 | 0.176 | 0.116 | 0.000 |
| tig00010280 | 1014348 | 1 | 0.053 | 0.127 | 0.000 |
| tig00396144 | 1246559 | 1 | 0.138 | 0.134 | 0.000 |
| tig02186630 | 446353 | 5 | 0.281 | 0.067 | 0.000 |
| tig02172233 | 477601 | 2 | 0.261 | 0.072 | 0.000 |
| ctg8952 | 191809 | 12 | 0.448 | 0.073 | 0.000 |
| ctg4754 | 226547 | 11 | 0.322 | 0.083 | 0.000 |
| tig00020219 | 1582543 | 11 | 0.245 | 0.084 | 0.000 |
| tig00020340 | 1636072 | 18 | 0.231 | 0.094 | 0.000 |
| ctg6541 | 135355 | 5 | 0.258 | 0.095 | 0.000 |
| ctg27332 | 26011 | 2 | 0.312 | 0.096 | 0.000 |
| tig02169907 | 763412 | 2 | 0.304 | 0.097 | 0.000 |
| ctg3368 | 235164 | 5 | 0.221 | 0.098 | 0.000 |
| ctg1264 | 638142 | 6 | 0.119 | 0.101 | 0.000 |
| ctg4898 | 121403 | 5 | 0.232 | 0.101 | 0.000 |
| tig02170127 | 506055 | 4 | 0.346 | 0.104 | 0.000 |
| contig_16551 | 552658 | 2 | 0.143 | 0.107 | 0.000 |
| tig00013757 | 853991 | 1 | 0.173 | 0.112 | 0.000 |
| tig00075283 | 491848 | 1 | 0.145 | 0.113 | 0.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 10532$ | 69894 | 4 | 0.236 | 0.118 | 0.000 |
| ctg9146 | 121205 | 1 | 0.095 | 0.118 | 0.000 |
| contig_51218 | 850550 | 1 | 0.142 | 0.118 | 0.000 |
| ctg4165 | 205670 | 3 | 0.060 | 0.120 | 0.000 |
| scaffold_46548 | 380348 | 3 | 0.172 | 0.123 | 0.000 |
| ctg12024 | 104480 | 1 | 0.033 | 0.123 | 0.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 15101$ | 50662 | , | 0.132 | 0.125 | 0.000 |
| ctg5822 | 424876 | 1 | 0.109 | 0.128 | 0.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 10507$ | 95448 | 10 | 0.102 | 0.129 | 0.000 |
| tig02171260 | 684552 | 1 | 0.108 | 0.132 | 0.000 |
| contig_25543 | 562659 | 1 | 0.050 | 0.136 | 0.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 16452$ | 198879 | 1 | 0.112 | 0.140 | 0.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 357$ | 533628 | 6 | 0.163 | 0.056 | 0.000 |
| tig00000388 | 923617 | 3 | 0.293 | 0.077 | 0.000 |
| contig_48617 | 1124269 | 2 | 0.224 | 0.081 | 0.000 |
| ctg5775 | 214807 | 2 | 0.389 | 0.082 | 0.000 |
| $\operatorname{ctg} 13657$ | 85231 | 5 | 0.369 | 0.084 | 0.000 |
| contig_29750 | 831450 | 5 | 0.290 | 0.087 | 0.000 |


| $\operatorname{ctg} 13760$ | 55398 | 4 | 0.370 | 0.089 | 0.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contig_37992 | 158455 | 3 | 0.331 | 0.089 | 0.000 |
| tig00398304 | 649387 | 7 | 0.309 | 0.090 | 0.000 |
| tig00058741 | 449223 | 19 | 0.202 | 0.095 | 0.000 |
| contig_4495 | 397920 | 4 | 0.324 | 0.095 | 0.000 |
| tig00396753 | 694085 | 3 | 0.224 | 0.096 | 0.000 |
| ctg 10465 | 227629 | 4 | 0.139 | 0.097 | 0.000 |
| tig00005901 | 1294013 | 3 | 0.209 | 0.097 | 0.000 |
| tig00401456 | 1033341 | 1 | 0.271 | 0.098 | 0.000 |
| contig_61276 | 243259 | 4 | 0.186 | 0.101 | 0.000 |
| contig_8562 | 432781 | 2 | 0.216 | 0.103 | 0.000 |
| ctg 3025 | 155776 | 4 | 0.225 | 0.104 | 0.000 |
| ctg4736 | 122597 | 2 | 0.077 | 0.105 | 0.000 |
| ctg2490 | 469086 | 2 | 0.251 | 0.107 | 0.000 |
| tig00395886 | 548602 | 3 | 0.264 | 0.107 | 0.000 |
| tig02170574 | 313734 | 2 | 0.412 | 0.108 | 0.000 |
| tig00396169 | 493748 | 14 | 0.220 | 0.110 | 0.000 |
| ctg2000 | 251686 | 1 | 0.269 | 0.110 | 0.000 |
| contig_29691 | 284461 | 4 | 0.271 | 0.111 | 0.000 |
| tig00026233 | 282094 | 4 | 0.253 | 0.112 | 0.000 |
| ctg 14856 | 50818 | 1 | 0.291 | 0.113 | 0.000 |
| ctg6510 | 102520 | 9 | 0.172 | 0.115 | 0.000 |
| tig00396462 | 579784 | 3 | 0.265 | 0.116 | 0.000 |
| tig00021261 | 419760 | 9 | 0.115 | 0.119 | 0.000 |
| tig02169607 | 499162 | 8 | 0.152 | 0.119 | 0.000 |
| tig02169928 | 928537 | 1 | 0.168 | 0.119 | 0.000 |
| tig02169869 | 646305 | 1 | 0.166 | 0.122 | 0.000 |
| tig00403326 | 206754 | 2 | 0.160 | 0.122 | 0.000 |
| ctg6506 | 116431 | 8 | 0.127 | 0.123 | 0.000 |
| ctg18711 | 39811 | 1 | 0.130 | 0.123 | 0.000 |
| contig_22489 | 632453 | 1 | 0.190 | 0.128 | 0.000 |
| ctg2589 | 368501 | 1 | 0.242 | 0.128 | 0.000 |
| ctg 4460 | 127493 | 3 | 0.181 | 0.129 | 0.000 |
| ctg11469 | 84175 | 1 | 0.056 | 0.129 | 0.000 |
| ctg 1560 | 212380 | 1 | 0.120 | 0.131 | 0.000 |
| ctg27349 | 22608 | 1 | 0.126 | 0.132 | 0.000 |
| ctg8445 | 100647 | 1 | 0.037 | 0.133 | 0.000 |
| contig_6378 | 651179 | 1 | 0.126 | 0.133 | 0.000 |
| tig00400477 | 444752 | 4 | 0.131 | 0.133 | 0.000 |
| contig_8232 | 217362 | 2 | 0.129 | 0.134 | 0.000 |
| ctg852 | 248256 | 1 | 0.233 | 0.134 | 0.000 |
| tig00058036 | 278178 | 3 | 0.038 | 0.135 | 0.000 |
| contig_2548 | 273877 | 1 | 0.109 | 0.135 | 0.000 |
| tig00402075 | 327274 | 3 | 0.203 | 0.136 | 0.000 |
| ctg3372 | 143161 | 9 | 0.105 | 0.137 | 0.000 |
| ctg12268 | 112660 | 3 | 0.269 | 0.137 | 0.000 |
| ctg3169 | 476323 | 1 | 0.051 | 0.137 | 0.000 |
| ctg 4011 | 492880 | 1 | 0.052 | 0.137 | 0.000 |
| ctg 1519 | 240218 | 1 | 0.114 | 0.138 | 0.000 |
| contig_4079 | 720163 | 7 | 0.229 | 0.138 | 0.000 |
| tig00047947 | 229809 | 3 | 0.184 | 0.140 | 0.000 |
| ctg8829 | 91237 | 2 | 0.191 | 0.142 | 0.000 |
| tig00014963 | 470209 | 1 | 0.051 | 0.143 | 0.000 |
| tig00047492 | 311084 | 1 | 0.086 | 0.144 | 0.000 |
| tig00058221 | 370517 | 4 | 0.106 | 0.146 | 0.000 |
| ctg2243 | 494601 | 1 | 0.304 | 0.146 | 0.000 |
| ctg3407 | 229476 | 1 | 0.238 | 0.148 | 0.000 |
| ctg287 | 682863 | 3 | 0.161 | 0.148 | 0.000 |
| tig00395743 | 912175 | 3 | 0.339 | 0.149 | 0.000 |
| ctg7939 | 119940 | 6 | 0.237 | 0.149 | 0.000 |
| ctg6440 | 331778 | 1 | 0.211 | 0.149 | 0.000 |
| ctg21626 | 68466 | 10 | 0.204 | 0.150 | 0.000 |
| contig_37029 | 817425 | 6 | 0.192 | 0.150 | 0.000 |
| ctg6609 | 154333 | 1 | 0.214 | 0.151 | 0.000 |


| tig00395657 | 765970 | 1 | 0.129 | 0.154 | 0.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ctg9541 | 75157 | 2 | 0.140 | 0.157 | 0.000 |
| tig02175166 | 365575 | 1 | 0.129 | 0.157 | 0.000 |
| tig00402739 | 313999 | 1 | 0.195 | 0.163 | 0.000 |
| ctg3235 | 286464 | 1 | 0.121 | 0.166 | 0.000 |
| ctg34396 | 20111 | 1 | 0.229 | 0.168 | 0.000 |
| contig_17548 | 267555 | 1 | 0.104 | 0.168 | 0.000 |
| ctg172 | 532540 | 13 | 0.169 | 0.168 | 0.000 |
| ctg14352 | 72360 | 1 | 0.068 | 0.169 | 0.000 |
| ctg9474 | 221587 | 2 | 0.033 | 0.174 | 0.000 |
| contig_16540 | 228155 | 4 | 0.184 | 0.174 | 0.000 |
| contig_3123 | 1138239 | 5 | 0.247 | 0.177 | 0.000 |
| ctg12338 | 77367 | 216494 | 1 | 0.189 | 0.179 |
| ctg3990 | 64035 | 1 | 0.123 | 0.182 | 0.000 |
| ctg11806 | 486192 | 1 | 0.195 | 0.184 | 0.000 |
| ctg4256 | 805723 | 1 | 0.102 | 0.185 | 0.000 |
| tig00006227 | 67646 | 3 | 0.259 | 0.192 | 0.000 |
| ctg10925 | 531138 | 1 | 0.116 | 0.193 | 0.000 |
| tig00028442 | 171446 | 1 | 0 | 0.040 | 0.200 |
| ctg7090 | 73963 | 1 | 0.089 | 0.208 | 0.000 |
| ctg17967 | 652960 | 2 | 0.119 | 0.242 | 0.000 |
| tig00395900 |  |  | 0.105 | 0.253 | 0.000 |

## Chapter 4:

Figure S4.1: Present-day distribution of pale-lipped shell morphs in four Pyrenean valleys. Pie charts show frequencies of pale-lipped shells (white) versus other forms.

Figure S4.2: Scatterplots showing the present-day relationship between altitude and frequency of pale-lipped morphs in four Pyrenean valleys. Regression line and confidence intervals are shown, alongside the Pearson coefficient and $p$ value.

Figure S4.3: Scatterplots showing the present-day relationship between altitude and size and shape of shells in four Pyrenean valleys. Regression line and confidence intervals are shown, alongside the Pearson coefficient and $p$ value.

Figure S4.4: Scatterplot showing variation of visual space coordinates, xyz, on three principal component axes, using shells from Vielha and Jueu valleys in the Pyrenees. Units are in JNDs. Points are coloured according to human-scored classification of the shell, either yellow or pink.

Table S4.1: Summary of the Pyrenean sampling collection of 2017 and 2018. Geographical and habitat details are shown, further with the summary of shell phenotypes.

Table S4.2: Summary of the historical collections in the Pyrenees provided by the Evolution Megalab team. Geographical and habitat details are shown, further with the summary of shell phenotypes.

Table S4.3: Direction of change in shell morph frequencies, from 1960 s to 2017/2018 in the Pyrenees.

Table S4.4: Statistical summary of shell altitudinal distribution in each valley; correlations (Pearson, parametric; Kendall, non-parametric).

Supplementary material: The qualitative phenotype and quantitative reflectance data for the samples used in this study can be access by request.


Figure S4.1. Present-day distribution of pale-lipped shell morphs in four Pyrenean valleys. Pie charts show frequencies of pale-lipped shells (white) versus other forms.


Figure S4.2. Scatterplots showing the present-day relationship between altitude and frequency of pale-lipped morphs in four Pyrenean valleys. Regression line and confidence intervals are shown, alongside the Pearson coefficient and p value.


Figure S4.3. Scatterplots showing the present-day relationship between altitude and size and shape of shells in four Pyrenean valleys. Regression line and confidence intervals are shown alongside the Pearson coefficient and $p$ value.


Figure S4.4. Scatterplot showing variation of visual space coordinates, xyz, on three principal component axes, using shells from Vielha and Jueu valleys in the Pyrenees. Units are in JNDs. Points are coloured according to human-scored classification of the shell, either yellow or pink.

Table S4.1. Summary of the sampling collection of $C$. nemoralis in 2017 and 2018. Details of location, habitat and shell phenotypes with its frequencies are reported.

| Code | Latitude | Longitude | Altitude | Habitat classification | Total snails | Yellow | Pink | Brown | Unbanded | Mid-banded | Five-banded | Other banding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DRG1 | 42.69676 | 0.81278 | 1014 | 2 | 34 | 22 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 23 | 4 |
| DRG2 | 42.69723 | 0.81273 | 1027 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| DRG3 | 42.69939 | 0.82452 | 1016 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| DRG4 | 42.70123 | 0.92666 | 1458 | 1 | 30 | 18 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| DRG5 | 42.70094 | 0.92759 | 1459 | 2 | 46 | 26 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 36 | 4 |
| DRG6 | 42.69719 | 0.93143 | 1433 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| DRG7 | 42.69985 | 0.91832 | 1318 | 2 | 22 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 0 |
| DRG8 | 42.69996 | 0.91832 | 1321 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| DRG9 | 42.70002 | 0.91755 | 1344 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| DRG10 | 42.70415 | 0.90238 | 1275 | 2 | 23 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 5 |
| DRG11 | 42.70504 | 0.90342 | 1234 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| DRG12 | 42.69854 | 0.86332 | 1129 | 1 | 21 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 1 |
| DRG13 | 42.70004 | 0.84889 | 1113 | 2 | 62 | 41 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 55 | 2 |
| DRG14 | 42.67835 | 0.87315 | 1261 | 1 | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 3 |
| DRG15 | 42.68278 | 0.87539 | 1259 | 2 | 23 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| DRG16 | 42.69085 | 0.87381 | 1202 | 2 | 18 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 1 |
| DRG17 | 42.69048 | 0.87416 | 1178 | 3 | 63 | 42 | 21 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 27 | 1 |
| DRG18 | 42.69539 | 0.87371 | 1138 | 1 | 43 | 31 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 30 | 6 |
| DRG19 | 42.68952 | 0.78683 | 1193 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG20 | 42.67758 | 0.78686 | 1229 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| DRG21 | 42.67866 | 0.78779 | 1212 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| DRG22 | 42.68685 | 0.78983 | 1166 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| DRG23 | 42.68785 | 0.79002 | 1148 | 1 | 14 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| DRG24 | 42.6988 | 0.79402 | 1006 | 1 | 39 | 35 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 26 | 2 |
| DRG25 | 42.69666 | 0.79275 | 978 | 2 | 14 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 2 |
| DRG26 | 42.70031 | 0.80295 | 958 | 1 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 4 |
| DRG27 | 42.70686 | 0.79624 | 961 | 2 | 56 | 35 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 49 | 2 |
| DRG28 | 42.72042 | 0.79612 | 1007 | 3 | 30 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 18 | 1 |
| DRG29 | 42.72947 | 0.79178 | 1147 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| DRG30 | 42.72604 | 0.79948 | 1222 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |


| DRG31 | 42.72174 | 0.79331 | 975 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DRG32 | 42.7265 | 0.78439 | 925 | 2 | 43 | 13 | 30 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 31 | 1 |
| DRG33 | 42.72649 | 0.78841 | 932 | 1 | 22 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| DRG34 | 42.73341 | 0.77671 | 909 | 1 | 22 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 0 |
| DRG35 | 42.73886 | 0.76158 | 884 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| DRG36 | 42.73657 | 0.76037 | 877 | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 4 |
| DRG37 | 42.70649 | 0.80882 | 1423 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 |
| DRG38 | 42.74539 | 0.70552 | 823 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
| DRG39 | 42.73815 | 0.72204 | 838 | 3 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| DRG40 | 42.73626 | 0.72043 | 872 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 |
| DRG41 | 42.7158 | 0.72163 | 1035 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG42 | 42.70236 | 0.71194 | 1156 | 1 | 204 | 184 | 20 | 0 | 39 | 27 | 54 | 84 |
| DRG43 | 42.6972 | 0.70773 | 1226 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| DRG44 | 42.67711 | 0.70696 | 1396 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG45 | 42.68214 | 0.70667 | 1432 | 1 | 23 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG46 | 42.68289 | 0.70754 | 1280 | 3 | 18 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| DRG47 | 42.71797 | 0.72336 | 1033 | 1 | 30 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 14 | 1 |
| DRG48 | 42.7061 | 0.913922 | 1387 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| DRG49 | 42.70468 | 0.924582 | 1612 | 1 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| DRG50 | 42.5639 | 0.75003 | 1349 | 2 | 33 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG51 | 42.55828 | 0.74746 | 1297 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG52 | 42.55217 | 0.74768 | 1243 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DRG53 | 42.54617 | 0.73439 | 1206 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG54 | 42.54703 | 0.74149 | 1194 | 2 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG55 | 42.54463 | 0.73038 | 1164 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG56 | 42.54065 | 0.72912 | 1094 | 2 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DRG57 | 42.53904 | 0.72736 | 1055 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG58 | 42.53669 | 0.72622 | 1071 | 2 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG59 | 42.53588 | 0.72553 | 1065 | 2 | 17 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| DRG60 | 42.51323 | 0.71942 | 1036 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| DRG61 | 42.513 | 0.71964 | 1036 | 2 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DRG62 | 42.5064 | 0.71806 | 991 | 2 | 29 | 23 | 1 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| DRG63 | 42.41283 | 0.74002 | 883 | 1 | 43 | 28 | 8 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 22 | 5 |
| DRG64 | 42.41867 | 0.73595 | 870 | 3 | 21 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| DRG65 | 42.41888 | 0.73597 | 865 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| DRG66 | 42.42711 | 0.72841 | 871 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG67 | 42.43095 | 0.72259 | 869 | 2 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| DRG68 | 42.43537 | 0.71466 | 905 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DRG69 | 42.43527 | 0.71464 | 892 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DRG70 | 42.44844 | 0.71035 | 957 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG71 | 42.45884 | 0.70997 | 937 | 2 | 14 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| DRG72 | 42.46839 | 0.71497 | 1029 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG74 | 42.48304 | 0.71561 | 974 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| DRG75 | 42.48171 | 0.7147 | 978 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG77 | 42.4792 | 0.71395 | 946 | 2 | 31 | 17 | 2 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| DRG78 | 42.43259 | 0.73986 | 905 | 2 | 44 | 35 | 4 | 5 | 23 | 1 | 13 | 7 |
| DRG79 | 42.43479 | 0.74287 | 862 | 2 | 17 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| DRG80 | 42.44119 | 0.74672 | 909 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| DRG81 | 42.44796 | 0.7519 | 869 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| DRG82 | 42.45299 | 0.75418 | 941 | 2 | 17 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 2 |
| DRG83 | 42.45321 | 0.75442 | 938 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| DRG84 | 42.45633 | 0.75849 | 996 | 1 | 32 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 15 | 8 |
| DRG85 | 42.45556 | 0.75928 | 953 | 3 | 40 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 32 | 3 |
| DRG86 | 42.45626 | 0.76009 | 961 | 1 | 47 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 29 | 9 |
| DRG87 | 42.46047 | 0.76597 | 931 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| DRG88 | 42.49438 | 0.784053 | 1115 | 2 | 24 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 4 |
| DRG89 | 42.49937 | 0.78319 | 1189 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| DRG90 | 42.49679 | 0.78401 | 1173 | 2 | 44 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 32 | 0 |
| DRG91 | 42.49706 | 0.78695 | 1118 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| DRG92 | 42.56332 | 0.84286 | 1070 | 1 | 19 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| DRG93 | 42.5569 | 0.83714 | 1428 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| DRG94 | 42.54425 | 0.83964 | 1374 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| DRG95 | 42.52676 | 0.83342 | 1298 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 |
| DRG96 | 42.52313 | 0.83568 | 1304 | 2 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 0 |
| DRG97 | 42.52317 | 0.83659 | 1309 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 |
| DRG98 | 42.52018 | 0.84257 | 1380 | 1 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| DRG99 | 42.51924 | 0.84682 | 1463 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| DRG100 | 42.52649 | 0.82871 | 1219 | 1 | 22 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 2 |
| DRG101 | 42.51701 | 0.81945 | 1105 | 1 | 41 | 35 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 18 | 4 |
| DRG102 | 42.50738 | 0.80655 | 1097 | 2 | 42 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 34 | 2 |
| 2018 collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DRG103 | 42.67786 | 0.705514 | 1474 | 2 | 19 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 |


| DRG104 | 42.67605 | 0.705895 | 1480 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DRG105 | 42.67509 | 0.702944 | 1494 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| DRG106 | 42.67439 | 0.702068 | 1559 | 2 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG107 | 42.6747 | 0.7013 | 1527 | 2 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG108 | 42.674 | 0.6999 | 1555 | 2 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG109 | 42.6697 | 0.6999 | 1688 | 2 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG110 | 42.6665 | 0.6947 | 1921 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG111 | 42.6697 | 0.7089 | 1554 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG112 | 42.674 | 10.7122 | 1565 | 2 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG113 | 42.6751 | 0.7075 | 1512 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG114 | 42.67762 | 0.707189 | 1482 | 3 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| DRG115 | 42.68255 | 0.70815 | 1450 | 2 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| DRG116 | 42.6907 | 0.708 | 1392 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DRG117 | 42.7024 | 0.7123 | 1299 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| DRG118 | 42.70423 | 0.93945 | 1714 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| DRG119 | 42.70345 | 0.94682 | 1760 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG120 | 42.70115 | 0.944631 | 1751 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG121 | 42.699 | 0.9461 | 1844 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG122 | 42.703 | 0.9433 | 1859 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DRG123 | 42.72038 | 0.916398 | 1582 | 1 | 28 | 13 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| DRG124 | 42.6214 | 0.765843 | 1586 | 2 | 22 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| DRG125 | 42.62202 | 0.764279 | 1520 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| DRG126 | 42.7211 | 0.9142 | 1396 | 1 | 29 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 2 |
| DRG127 | 42.71366 | 0.914242 | 1365 | 2 | 24 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| DRG128 | 42.7122 | 0.9104 | 1355 | 2 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 1 |
| DRG129 | 42.7113 | 0.905847 | 1325 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| DRG130 | 42.7007 | 0.8628 | 1254 | 1 | 49 | 34 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 41 | 1 |
| DRG131 | 42.5693 | 0.8465 | 1635 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| DRG132 | 42.5693 | 0.8465 | 1635 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| DRG133 | 42.56637 | 0.845173 | 1502 | 2 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| DRG134 | 42.56669 | 0.845923 | 1524 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| DRG135 | 42.56332 | 0.84286 | 1478 | 2 | 24 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 10 | 1 |
| DRG136 | 42.55371 | 0.83521 | 1410 | 2 | 18 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| DRG137 | 42.54425 | 0.83964 | 1334 | 2 | 21 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 2 |
| DRG138 | 42.5311 | 0.8346 | 1281 | 2 | 18 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 4 |


| Sites from | resam | d in 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DRG10 | 42.70415 | 0.90238 | 1275 | 2 | 30 | 19 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| DRG42 | 42.70236 | 0.71194 | 1156 | 2 | 73 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 46 | 24 |
| DRG75 | 42.48171 | 0.7147 | 978 | 2 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DRG77 | 42.4792 | 0.71395 | 946 | 2 | 46 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 40 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| DRG100 | 42.52649 | 0.82871 | 1219 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Total summary of resampled sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DRG10 | 42.70415 | 0.90238 | 1275 | 2 | 53 | 34 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 42 | 5 |
| DRG42 | 42.70236 | 0.71194 | 1156 | 2 | 277 | 254 | 23 | 0 | 53 | 40 | 100 | 84 |
| DRG75 | 42.48171 | 0.7147 | 978 | 2 | 42 | 11 | 0 | 31 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DRG77 | 42.4792 | 0.71395 | 946 | 2 | 77 | 40 | 2 | 35 | 63 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| DRG100 | 42.52649 | 0.82871 | 1219 | 1 | 39 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 33 | 2 |

Habitat classification; $1=$ Open (shrubland, walls, grassland), $2=$ Hedgerow or tall herbs, $3=$ Woodland or shaded areas.

Table S4.2. Summary of the historical data for $C$. nemoralis. Details of location, habitat and shell phenotypes with its frequencies are reported.

| Code | Year | Latitude | Longitu | Altitud |  |  | Pink |  | Unb |  | Five |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arnold, RW 1968 Phil.Trans.R.Soc.Lond B 253, 549-593 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR1 | 1962 | 42.8631 | 0.7464 | 683 | 40 | 15 | 25 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 31 |
| AR2 | 1962 | 42.8381 | 0.7306 | 722 | 28 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 20 |
| AR3 | 1962 | 42.8106 | 0.7107 | 837 | 29 | 16 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 21 |
| AR4 | 1962 | 42.7959 | 0.6993 | 772 | 27 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 25 |
| AR5 | 1962 | 42.7891 | 0.6956 | 873 | 54 | 37 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 43 |
| AR6 | 1962 | 42.7755 | 0.6866 | 788 | 36 | 27 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 28 |
| AR7 | 1962 | 42.76139 | 0.6858 | 931 | 36 | 21 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 26 |
| AR8 | 1962 | 42.75 | 0.7031 | 941 | 35 | 15 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 27 |
| AR9 | 1962 | 42.7407 | 0.7146 | 849 | 51 | 29 | 22 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 35 |
| AR10 | 1962 | 42.7389 | 0.7278 | 936 | 59 | 39 | 20 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 44 |
| AR11 | 1962 | 42.7381 | 0.7455 | 905 | 51 | 29 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 40 |
| AR12 | 1962 | 42.7392 | 0.7579 | 940 | 42 | 24 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 36 |
| AR13 | 1962 | 42.7345 | 0.7724 | 1100 | 27 | 11 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 22 |
| AR14 | 1962 | 42.723 | 0.7807 | 1016 | 39 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 34 |
| AR15 | 1962 | 42.7256 | 0.7862 | 1217 | 41 | 23 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 33 |
| AR16 | 1962 | 42.7142 | 0.7963 | 1186 | 61 | 39 | 22 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 36 |
| AR17 | 1962 | 42.7089 | 0.7949 | 1186 | 35 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 31 |
| AR18 | 1962 | 42.7006 | 0.7989 | 1073 | 32 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 28 |
| AR19 | 1962 | 42.6976 | 0.8095 | 1067 | 45 | 18 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 37 |
| AR20 | 1962 | 42.6974 | 0.8229 | 1126 | 39 | 23 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 32 |
| AR21 | 1962 | 42.6998 | 0.835 | 1217 | 74 | 63 | 11 | 0 | 23 | 5 | 46 |
| AR22 | 1962 | 42.7001 | 0.8466 | 1306 | 58 | 31 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 55 |
| AR23 | 1962 | 42.7007 | 0.8628 | 1254 | 58 | 27 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 52 |
| AR24 | 1962 | 42.7012 | 0.8683 | 1232 | 65 | 32 | 33 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 54 |
| AR25 | 1962 | 42.7025 | 0.8868 | 1256 | 70 | 37 | 33 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 65 |
| AR26 | 1962 | 42.7059 | 0.8921 | 1273 | 76 | 43 | 33 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 65 |
| AR27 | 1962 | 42.7067 | 0.9012 | 1321 | 44 | 20 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| AR28 | 1962 | 42.7027 | 0.9119 | 1426 | 43 | 18 | 25 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 37 |


| AR29 | 1962 | 42.7004 | 0.9179 | 1548 | 83 | 43 | 40 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AR30 | 1962 | 42.6994 | 0.9213 | 1493 | 45 | 32 | 13 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 18 |
| AR31 | 1962 | 42.6981 | 0.9259 | 1522 | 32 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 9 |
| AR32 | 1962 | 42.6973 | 0.929 | 1522 | 63 | 52 | 11 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 7 |
| AR33 | 1962 | 42.6949 | 0.9369 | 1793 | 66 | 61 | 5 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 2 |
| AR34 | 1962 | 42.6916 | 0.9404 | 1534 | 28 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 2 |
| AR35 | 1962 | 42.732 | 0.7265 | 1197 | 48 | 43 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 25 |
| AR36 | 1962 | 42.722 | 0.7248 | 1103 | 29 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 14 |
| AR37 | 1962 | 42.7086 | 0.717 | 1508 | 47 | 42 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 26 |
| AR38 | 1962 | 42.6958 | 0.7091 | 1465 | 49 | 25 | 24 | 0 | 41 | 6 | 2 |
| AR39 | 1962 | 42.6723 | 0.7047 | 1527 | 44 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 1 |
| AR40 | 1962 | 42.7419 | 0.7551 | 1085 | 37 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 28 |
| AR41 | 1962 | 42.7466 | 0.7593 | 1418 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 16 |
| AR42 | 1962 | 42.7519 | 0.7625 | 1169 | 26 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 2 |
| AR43 | 1962 | 42.7587 | 0.772 | 1278 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| AR44 | 1962 | 42.7655 | 0.7756 | 1720 | 21 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1 |
| AR45 | 1962 | 42.7667 | 0.7799 | 1367 | 23 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 |
| AR46 | 1962 | 42.7193 | 0.8017 | 1280 | 51 | 27 | 24 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 37 |
| AR47 | 1962 | 42.7208 | 0.8051 | 1280 | 25 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 23 |
| AR48 | 1962 | 42.7242 | 0.8089 | 1554 | 68 | 63 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 49 |
| AR49 | 1962 | 42.7288 | 0.8162 | 1357 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 12 |
| AR50 | 1962 | 42.7305 | 0.82 | 1586 | 30 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 12 |
| AR51 | 1962 | 42.731 | 0.8248 | 1586 | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 1 |
| AR52 | 1962 | 42.7328 | 0.8216 | 1586 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 2 |
| AR53 | 1962 | 42.7338 | 0.8204 | 1731 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 7 |
| AR54 | 1962 | 42.7362 | 0.8214 | 1731 | 49 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 |
| AR55 | 1962 | 42.7399 | 0.8221 | 1731 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| AR56 | 1962 | 42.7426 | 0.8226 | 1951 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| AR57 | 1962 | 42.6891 | 0.7932 | 1473 | 48 | 40 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 21 |
| AR58 | 1962 | 42.6947 | 0.7904 | 1110 | 44 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 19 | 6 | 19 |
| AR59 | 1962 | 42.6898 | 0.7897 | 1358 | 87 | 80 | 7 | 0 | 56 | 9 | 22 |
| AR60 | 1962 | 42.6859 | 0.7887 | 1358 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 1 |
| AR61 | 1962 | 42.6794 | 0.7876 | 1531 | 65 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 48 | 7 | 10 |


| AR62 | 1962 | 42.6779 | 0.7863 | 1531 | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AR63 | 1962 | 42.6757 | 0.7787 | 1268 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| AR64 | 1962 | 42.6754 | 0.7749 | 1401 | 42 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 |
| AR65 | 1962 | 42.6783 | 0.7689 | 1401 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| AR66 | 1962 | 42.6748 | 0.765 | 1552 | 43 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 |
| AR67 | 1962 | 42.6967 | 0.8735 | 1338 | 32 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 7 |
| AR68 | 1962 | 42.6953 | 0.872 | 1338 | 45 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 5 |
| AR69 | 1962 | 42.6922 | 0.873 | 1338 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| AR70 | 1962 | 42.69 | 0.8757 | 1798 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 5 |
| AR71 | 1962 | 42.687 | 0.8733 | 1396 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 |
| AR72 | 1962 | 42.68 | 0.8724 | 1432 | 28 | 18 | 10 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 5 |
| AR73 | 1962 | 42.675 | 0.8733 | 1566 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 5 |
| AR74 | 1962 | 42.67 | 0.8699 | 1566 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 13 |
| AR75 | 1962 | 42.666 | 0.8673 | 1584 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| AR76 | 1962 | 42.7122 | 0.9104 | 1619 | 52 | 38 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 47 |
| AR77 | 1962 | 42.7211 | 0.9142 | 1520 | 41 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 12 |
| AR78 | 1962 | 42.7488 | 0.9143 | 1836 | 46 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 24 |
| AR79 | 1962 | 42.7583 | 0.9111 | 2070 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 1 |
| AR80 | 1962 | 42.7626 | 0.9122 | 2307 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 6 |
| AR81 | 1962 | 42.6982 | 0.9167 | 1493 | 54 | 52 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 22 |
| AR82 | 1962 | 42.693 | 0.9146 | 1447 | 28 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 2 |
| AR83 | 1962 | 42.6832 | 0.9153 | 1610 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 2 |
| AR84 | 1962 | 42.6793 | 0.9153 | 1610 | 33 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 1 |
| AR85 | 1962 | 42.7007 | 0.937 | 1803 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 3 |
| AR86 | 1962 | 42.703 | 0.9433 | 1859 | 39 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 1 |
| AR87 | 1962 | 42.7065 | 0.955 | 1918 | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| AR88 | 1962 | 42.7056 | 0.9659 | 2006 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| AR89 | 1962 | 42.786 | 0.7098 | 1265 | 21 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 12 |
| AR90 | 1962 | 42.7605 | 0.705 | 1447 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 |
| AR91 | 1962 | 42.746 | 0.7306 | 1247 | 31 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| AR92 | 1962 | 42.7447 | 0.7361 | 1201 | 37 | 23 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| AR93 | 1962 | 42.743 | 0.7416 | 1201 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 16 |
| AR94 | 1962 | 42.7371 | 0.7766 | 1269 | 36 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 32 |


| AR95 | 1962 | 42.7268 | 0.7896 | 1217 | 54 | 35 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AR96 | 1962 | 42.7228 | 0.7943 | 1085 | 71 | 49 | 22 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 66 |
| AR97 | 1962 | 42.7133 | 0.7908 | 971 | 59 | 54 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 49 |
| AR98 | 1962 | 42.7059 | 0.7886 | 972 | 70 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 64 |
| AR99 | 1962 | 42.6956 | 0.7995 | 1055 | 41 | 31 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 32 |
| AR100 | 1962 | 42.7087 | 0.9076 | 1376 | 48 | 29 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 47 |
| AR101 | 1962 | 42.7107 | 0.91 | 1619 | 66 | 53 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 62 |
| AR102 | 1962 | 42.7112 | 0.9125 | 1619 | 61 | 40 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 59 |
| AR103 | 1962 | 42.7125 | 0.9151 | 1619 | 40 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 38 |
| AR104 | 1962 | 42.7133 | 0.9174 | 1885 | 35 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 |
| AR105 | 1962 | 42.7138 | 0.919 | 1885 | 34 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 25 |
| AR106 | 1962 | 42.714 | 0.9204 | 1885 | 42 | 32 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 24 |
| AR107 | 1962 | 42.7146 | 0.9221 | 1885 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 7 |
| AR108 | 1962 | 42.6953 | 0.9395 | 1793 | 57 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 16 |
| AR109 | 1962 | 42.697 | 0.9429 | 1998 | 34 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 7 |
| AR110 | 1962 | 42.699 | 0.9461 | 1998 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| AR111 | 1962 | 42.7003 | 0.9517 | 1918 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| AR112 | 1962 | 42.7021 | 0.9589 | 2006 | 54 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| AR113 | 1962 | 42.6978 | 0.7057 | 1256 | 33 | 27 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 12 |
| AR114 | 1962 | 42.7557 | 0.7629 | 1169 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 |
| AR115 | 1962 | 42.7642 | 0.7694 | 1278 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| AR116 | 1962 | 42.7252 | 0.8068 | 1304 | 67 | 61 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 57 |
| AR117 | 1962 | 42.6976 | 0.7892 | 1110 | 29 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 20 |
| AR118 | 1962 | 42.6786 | 0.7854 | 1531 | 32 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 22 |
| AR119 | 1962 | 42.6963 | 0.8753 | 1464 | 33 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| AR120 | 1962 | 42.6954 | 0.8772 | 1464 | 38 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 21 |
| AR121 | 1962 | 42.6942 | 0.8777 | 1464 | 90 | 81 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 71 |
| AR122 | 1962 | 42.7207 | 0.9151 | 1520 | 59 | 42 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 52 |
| AR123 | 1962 | 42.7408 | 0.8209 | 1731 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 1 |
| Cameron RAD, Carter, MA, Haynes, FN 1973 Heredity 31, 43-74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CA1 | 1966 | 42.7304 | 0.7256 | 1197 | 24 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 17 |
| CA2 | 1966 | 42.7297 | 0.7284 | 1197 | 27 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 13 |


| CA3 | 1966 | 42.7201 | 0.7275 | 1271 | 24 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CA4 | 1966 | 42.7145 | 0.7234 | 1508 | 36 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| CA5 | 1966 | 42.712 | 0.7229 | 1508 | 75 | 57 | 18 | 0 | 19 | 10 |
| CA6 | 1966 | 42.7106 | 0.7197 | 1508 | 58 | 24 | 34 | 0 | 11 | 17 |
| CA7 | 1966 | 42.7084 | 0.7175 | 1508 | 84 | 80 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 8 |
| CA8 | 1966 | 42.7042 | 0.7142 | 1299 | 26 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| CA9 | 1966 | 42.7027 | 0.7132 | 1299 | 81 | 73 | 8 | 0 | 16 | 36 |
| CA10 | 1966 | 42.6995 | 0.7133 | 1465 | 30 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 2 |
| CA11 | 1966 | 42.6861 | 0.7117 | 1736 | 36 | 19 | 17 | 0 | 36 | 0 |
| CA12 | 1966 | 42.6751 | 0.7046 | 1512 | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 |
| CA13 | 1966 | 42.6751 | 0.7027 | 1512 | 43 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 |
| CA14 | 1966 | 42.6747 | 0.7013 | 1527 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| CA15 | 1966 | 42.674 | 0.6999 | 1655 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| CA16 | 1966 | 42.6751 | 0.7108 | 1915 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| CA17 | 1966 | 42.674 | 0.7122 | 1943 | 47 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 |
| CA18 | 1966 | 42.3367 | 0.7703 | 1165 | 19 | 79 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 2 |
| CA19 | 1966 | 42.6294 | 0.7703 | 1845 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 1 |
| CA20 | 1966 | 42.6277 | 0.7731 | 1845 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| CA21 | 1966 | 42.6244 | 0.766 | 1651 | 42 | 18 | 24 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| CA22 | 1966 | 42.6074 | 0.7691 | 1824 | 22 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| CA23 | 1966 | 42.5862 | 0.7596 | 1769 | 47 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 0 |
| CA24 | 1966 | 42.5752 | 0.7631 | 1805 | 71 | 69 | 2 | 0 | 71 | 0 |
| CA25 | 1966 | 42.5718 | 0.756 | 1445 | 46 | 40 | 0 | 6 | 46 | 0 |
| CA26 | 1966 | 42.5616 | 0.7476 | 1301 | 47 | 25 | 2 | 20 | 47 | 0 |
| CA27 | 1966 | 42.5549 | 0.7453 | 1333 | 60 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| CA28 | 1966 | 42.5481 | 0.7298 | 1149 | 57 | 39 | 8 | 10 | 57 | 0 |
| CA29 | 1966 | 42.5447 | 0.7226 | 1258 | 27 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| CA30 | 1966 | 42.5404 | 0.7203 | 1070 | 49 | 28 | 15 | 6 | 49 | 0 |
| CA31 | 1966 | 42.5184 | 0.7191 | 1143 | 74 | 71 | 0 | 3 | 53 | 14 |
| CA32 | 1966 | 42.5082 | 0.7138 | 1068 | 84 | 68 | 5 | 11 | 84 | 0 |
| CA33 | 1966 | 42.4879 | 0.7155 | 1044 | 30 | 12 | 1 | 17 | 29 | 0 |
| CA34 | 1966 | 42.4726 | 0.7119 | 1090 | 45 | 21 | 0 | 24 | 43 | 0 |
| CA35 | 1966 | 42.482 | 0.7155 | 1008 | 29 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| CA36 | 1966 | 42.5803 | 0.8596 | 2435 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA37 | 1966 | 42.5566 | 0.8365 | 1815 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| CA38 | 1966 | 42.5515 | 0.8369 | 1815 | 34 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 6 |
| CA39 | 1966 | 42.5274 | 0.8298 | 1447 | 32 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 22 |
| CA40 | 1966 | 42.521 | 0.8274 | 1327 | 108 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 54 |
| CA41 | 1966 | 42.5099 | 0.8007 | 1109 | 136 | 128 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 14 | 104 |
| CA42 | 1966 | 42.4989 | 0.7903 | 1198 | 77 | 73 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 61 |
| CA43 | 1966 | 42.476 | 0.775 | 1330 | 42 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 24 |
| CA44 | 1966 | 42.4689 | 0.7698 | 1037 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 38 |
| CA45 | 1966 | 42.465 | 0.7579 | 1107 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1 |
| CA46 | 1966 | 42.4591 | 0.7441 | 1261 | 36 | 29 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 10 |
| CA47 | 1966 | 42.4472 | 0.7369 | 1006 | 37 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 4 |
| CA48 | 1966 | 42.4342 | 0.7298 | 1020 | 97 | 88 | 4 | 5 | 86 | 2 | 9 |
| CA49 | 1969 | 42.7418 | 0.7042 | 941 | 27 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 20 |
| CA50 | 1969 | 42.7432 | 0.7075 | 941 | 102 | 56 | 46 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 81 |
| CA51 | 1969 | 42.743 | 0.7099 | 1136 | 57 | 45 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 49 |
| CA52 | 1969 | 42.7382 | 0.7108 | 849 | 39 | 28 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 29 |
| CA53 | 1969 | 42.7365 | 0.7142 | 849 | 34 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 29 |
| CA54 | 1969 | 42.7184 | 0.726 | 1271 | 29 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 10 |
| CA55 | 1969 | 42.7159 | 0.7246 | 1508 | 39 | 36 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 22 |
| CA56 | 1969 | 42.7095 | 0.7184 | 1508 | 36 | 30 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 16 |
| CA57 | 1969 | 42.7024 | 0.7123 | 1299 | 49 | 25 | 24 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 28 |
| CA58 | 1969 | 42.6995 | 0.7134 | 1465 | 43 | 34 | 9 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 17 |
| CA59 | 1969 | 42.6971 | 0.7124 | 1465 | 30 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 19 |
| CA60 | 1969 | 42.6946 | 0.708 | 1256 | 53 | 52 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 27 |
| CA61 | 1969 | 42.6935 | 0.7108 | 1465 | 18 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 2 |
| CA62 | 1969 | 42.6903 | 0.7113 | 1736 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| CA63 | 1969 | 42.6907 | 0.708 | 1392 | 38 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 22 |
| CA64 | 1969 | 42.685 | 0.7096 | 1736 | 51 | 33 | 18 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 |
| CA65 | 1969 | 42.6835 | 0.7104 | 1736 | 40 | 29 | 11 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 0 |
| CA66 | 1969 | 42.6697 | 0.6999 | 1655 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| CA67 | 1969 | 42.6655 | 0.6966 | 2180 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| CA68 | 1969 | 42.6665 | 0.6947 | 2180 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |


| CA69 | 1969 | 42.6697 | 0.7089 | 1943 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CA70 | 1969 | 42.6672 | 0.7056 | 1527 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| CA71 | 1969 | 42.663 | 0.7122 | 2155 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| CA72 | 1969 | 42.6623 | 0.7108 | 2155 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| CA73 | 1969 | 42.6609 | 0.7099 | 2155 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| CA74 | 1969 | 42.6751 | 0.7075 | 1512 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| CA75 | 1969 | 42.6294 | 0.7667 | 1845 | 36 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| CA76 | 1969 | 42.5955 | 0.7638 | 1783 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| CA77 | 1969 | 42.5815 | 0.7631 | 1805 | 65 | 60 | 5 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 |
| CA78 | 1969 | 42.5781 | 0.7627 | 1805 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| CA79 | 1969 | 42.5693 | 0.7536 | 1445 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| CA80 | 1969 | 42.5642 | 0.7488 | 1301 | 37 | 26 | 9 | 2 | 37 | 0 | 0 |
| CA81 | 1969 | 42.5515 | 0.7388 | 1296 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| CA82 | 1969 | 42.5481 | 0.725 | 1149 | 58 | 35 | 1 | 22 | 58 | 0 | 0 |
| CA83 | 1969 | 42.5274 | 0.7179 | 1130 | 53 | 23 | 11 | 19 | 53 | 0 | 0 |
| CA84 | 1969 | 42.5057 | 0.7174 | 1418 | 44 | 34 | 3 | 7 | 43 | 0 | 0 |
| CA85 | 1969 | 42.4926 | 0.716 | 1135 | 62 | 23 | 5 | 34 | 61 | 0 | 1 |
| CA86 | 1969 | 42.4794 | 0.7143 | 1008 | 33 | 13 | 0 | 20 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| CA87 | 1969 | 42.4599 | 0.706 | 969 | 67 | 33 | 4 | 30 | 60 | 6 | 1 |
| CA88 | 1969 | 42.432 | 0.7179 | 924 | 75 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 3 |
| CA89 | 1969 | 42.4294 | 0.7274 | 878 | 41 | 19 | 0 | 22 | 40 | 0 | 1 |
| CA90 | 1969 | 42.4065 | 0.7369 | 886 | 51 | 47 | 1 | 3 | 47 | 0 | 15 |
| CA91 | 1969 | 42.3735 | 0.7262 | 941 | 43 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 15 | 9 |
| CA92 | 1969 | 42.5693 | 0.8465 | 1823 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 9 |
| CA93 | 1969 | 42.5608 | 0.8441 | 1986 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| CA94 | 1969 | 42.5447 | 0.8417 | 1512 | 41 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 19 |
| CA95 | 1969 | 42.5311 | 0.8346 | 1787 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 14 |
| CA96 | 1969 | 42.5167 | 0.8227 | 1208 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 18 |
| CA97 | 1969 | 42.5057 | 0.7953 | 1095 | 38 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 29 |
| CA98 | 1969 | 42.496 | 0.7822 | 1138 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 11 |
| CA99 | 1969 | 42.4706 | 0.7738 | 1037 | 60 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 23 |
| CA100 | 1969 | 42.465 | 0.7619 | 1023 | 35 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 1 |
| CA101 | 1969 | 42.4616 | 0.7477 | 1261 | 71 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 57 |


| CA102 | 1969 | 42.4557 | 0.7405 | 1108 | 40 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CA103 | 1969 | 42.4401 | 0.7346 | 923 | 68 | 41 | 20 | 7 | 41 | 2 |

Table S4.3. Direction of change in shell morph frequencies, from 1960s to 2017/2018 in the Pyrenees.

| Morphs | Vielha |  |  | Jueu |  |  | Riba |  |  | Tort |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase | No change | Decrease | Increase | No change | Decrease |  | No change | Decrease | Increase | No change | Decrease |
| Yellow | 17 | 6 | 14 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 6 |
| Pink | 14 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 10 |
| Brown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 16 | 1 |
| Unbanded | 15 | 3 | 19 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 12 |
| Mid-banded | 13 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 3 |
| Banded | 22 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 5 |

Table S4.4. Statistical summary of shell altitudinal distribution in each valley; correlations (Pearson, parametric; Kendall, non-parametric).

|  | Vielha |  |  | Jueu |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present (2017/2018) | Correlation Parametric P-value |  |  | Correlation Parametric P-value |  |  |
| Yellow | 0.136 | Yes | 0.357 | 0.755** | No | 0.002 |
| Unbanded | 0.165 | No | 0.455 | $0.678^{* *}$ | No | 0.001 |
| Banded | 0.058 | No | 0.111 | -0.687** | No | 0.001 |
| Spectrophotometry (2017/2018) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow | -0.081 | No | 0.588 | 0.668* | No | 0.013 |
| PC1 | $0.103^{* * *}$ | No | 0.000 | -0.015 | No | 0.833 |
| PC2 | -0.088** | No | 0.001 | $0.414^{* * *}$ | No | 0.000 |
| PC3 | -0.089 | No | 0.056 | 0.397*** | No | 0.000 |
| Past (1962/1969) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow | 0.482*** | No | 0.000 | $0.492^{* * *}$ | No | 0.000 |
| Unbanded | $0.517^{* * *}$ | No | 0.000 | $0.858^{* * *}$ | No | 0.000 |
| Banded | -0.480*** | No | 0.000 | -0.834*** | No | 0.000 |


|  | Riba |  |  | Tort |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present (2017/2018) | Correlation Parametric P-value |  |  | Correlation Parametric P-value |  |  |
| Yellow | -0.235 | No | 0.429 | 0.265* | No | 0.025 |
| Unbanded | 0.326 | No | 0.179 | -0.203 | No | 0.111 |
| Banded | -0.070 | No | 0.562 | 0.335* | No | 0.029 |
| Spectrophotometry (2017/2018) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| PC1 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| PC2 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| PC3 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Past (1962/1969) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow | -0.321 | No | 0.362 | 0.338 | No | 0.062 |
| Unbanded | -0.059 | No | 0.088 | -0.164 | Yes | 0.434 |
| Banded | 0.226 | No | 0.129 | 0.170 | Yes | 0.416 |

## Chapter 5:

## Thinking process leading towards the Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks (R-FCN)

Taking the spectra data from all the samples used in chapter four as well as those from the characterization study of the colour variation in C. nemoralis (Davison et al., 2019), the next step was to generate a system to classify the colour of each individual, in the three classic discrete colours; yellow, pink and brown. They proposed a Gaussian mixture modelling to find cluster on the chromatic coordinate data. However, this method failed to separate pink from brown shells. Therefore, the first strategy was to apply all the different machine-learning algorithms, which could potentially work with this kind of data. K-means, Hdbscan, K-nearest neighbors (KNN), Naïve bayes, Partitioning around medoids algorithms were applied with similar results. Thereafter, I research through the engineering literature, which showed how deep learning algorithms pushed the boundaries found by machine learning in image colour processing by improving prediction performance using huge amount of data and plentiful computing resources. Therefore, in 2018 Fast-CNN (Girshick, 2015) was one of the main algorithm used in image detection. When testing this method in our data, the prediction performance improved considerably. However, the results were still under $80 \%$ accuracy. More research in the field and the discovery of a recent developed algorithm called 'Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks (R-FCN)', made our method to achieve further accuracy in prediction and classification as shown in chapter 5.

Supporting training metrics: The training metrics used in the deep learning algorithm to evaluate the test.

Figure S5.1. This collage shows example images from the training dataset. Each row displays the 7 main phenotype groups selected and each column the 8 different backgrounds (habitats where C. nemoralis can be found) used in all dataset.

Figure S5.2. These figures illustrate examples of prediction results in challenging scenarios such as various lighting, shell angles, distances, poses, blurriness,
enclosed and occluded shell pictures.

S5.1. Supporting training metrics.

DONE ( $\mathrm{t}=0.81 \mathrm{~s}$ ).
Average Precision (AP) @[ IoU=0.50:0.95 | area= all | maxDets=100 ] = 0.544
Average Precision (AP) @[ IoU=0.50 | area= all|maxDets=100]=0.764
Average Precision (AP) @[ IoU=0.75 | area= all | maxDets=100 ] = 0.718
Average Precision (AP) @ $[\mathrm{IoU}=0.50: 0.95 \mid$ area=small $\mid$ maxDets $=100]=0.700$
Average Precision (AP) @[ IoU=0.50:0.95 | area=medium | maxDets=100 ] = 0.610
Average Precision (AP) @ [ loU=0.50:0.95 | area= large | maxDets=100 ] = 0.579
Average Recall (AR) @[ IoU=0.50:0.95 | area= all | maxDets=1] = 0.607
Average Recall (AR) @[ loU=0.50:0.95 | area= all | maxDets=10] = 0.728
Average Recall (AR) @[ IoU=0.50:0.95 | area $=$ all $\mid$ maxDets $=100]=0.731$
Average Recall (AR) @[ IoU=0.50:0.95 | area= small | maxDets=100 ] = 0.700
Average Recall (AR) @[ IoU=0.50:0.95 | area=medium | maxDets=100 ] = 0.708
Average Recall (AR) @[ IoU=0.50:0.95 | area= large | $\operatorname{maxDets=100]=0.771}$
INFO:tensorflow:Finished evaluation at 2020-06-25-09:45:17
I0625 09:45:17.481955 140686583383936 evaluation.py:275] Finished evaluation at 2020-06-25-

## 09:45:17

INFO:tensorflow:Saving dict for global step 10000: DetectionBoxes_Precision $/ \mathrm{mAP}=0.5436208$, DetectionBoxes_Precision $/ \mathrm{mAP}$ (large) $=0.57905686$, DetectionBoxes_Precision $/ \mathrm{mAP}$ (medium) $=$ 0.6099704 , DetectionBoxes_Precision/mAP $($ small $)=0.7$, DetectionBoxes_Precision $/ \mathrm{mAP} @ .50 \mathrm{IOU}=$ 0.7640599 , DetectionBoxes_Precision/mAP@.75IOU $=0.71781445$, DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@1= 0.6070529 , DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@10 = 0.72803134, DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@100 = 0.73080885, DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@100 (large) =- 0.77087796, DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@100 $($ medium $)=0.70782596$, DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@100 (small) = 0.7, Loss/BoxClassifierLoss/classification_loss $=\quad 0.11253723$, Loss/BoxClassifierLoss/localization_loss = 0.04197678, Loss/RPNLoss/localization_loss = 0.006537688 , Loss/RPNLoss/objectness_loss $=0.004196453$, Loss/total_loss $=0 . \overline{16524817}$, global_step $=10000$, learning_rate $=0.0003$, loss $=0.16524817$
I0625 09:45:17.482253 140686583383936 estimator.py:2049] Saving dict for global step 10000: DetectionBoxes_Precision $/ \mathrm{mAP}=0.5436208$, DetectionBoxes_Precision/mAP (large) $=0.57905686$, DetectionBoxes_Precision/mAP (medium) $=0.6099704$, DetectionBoxes_Precision $/ \mathrm{mAP}$ (small) = 0.7, DetectionBoxes_Precision/mAP@.50IOU = 0.7640599, DetectionBoxes_Precision/mAP@.75IOU $=0.71781445$, DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@1 = 0.6070529, DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@10 = 0.72803134 , DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@100 = 0.73080885, DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@100 (large) $=0.77087796$, DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@100 (medium) = $=0.70782596$, DetectionBoxes_Recall/AR@100 (small) = 0.7, Loss/BoxClassifierLoss/classification_loss = 0.11253723 , Loss/BoxClassifierLoss/localization_loss $=0.04197678$, Loss/RPNLoss/localization_loss $=0.006537688$, Loss/RPNLoss/objectness_loss $=0.004196453$, Loss/total_loss $=0.1652 \overline{4817}$, global_step $=10000$, learning_rate $=0.0003$, loss $=0.16524817$
INFO:tensorflow:Saving 'checkpoint_path' summary for global step 10000: training/model.ckpt-10000 I0625 09:45:17.496410 140686583383936 estimator.py:2109] Saving 'checkpoint_path' summary for global step 10000: training/model.ckpt-10000
INFO:tensorflow:Performing the final export in the end of training.
I0625 09:45:17.497366 140686583383936 exporter.py:410] Performing the final export in the end of training.


Figure S5.1. This collage shows example images from the training dataset. Each row displays the 7 main phenotype groups selected and each column the 8 different backgrounds (habitats where C. nemoralis can be found) used in all dataset.

Blurry picture


Enclosed shell picture


Occluded shell picture


Figure S5.2. These figures illustrate examples of prediction results in challenging scenarios such as various lighting, shell angles, distances, poses, blurriness, enclosed and occluded shell pictures.

