Bond University Research Repository



The influence of the psychological contract on the safety of performance of construction workers in China

Li, Hongyang; Chen, Yanlin; Zheng, Junwei; Fang, Yuan; Yang, Yifan; Skitmore, Martin; Rusch, Rosemarie; Jiang, Tingting

Published in: Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management

DOI: 10.1108/ECAM-09-2022-0854

Licence: CC BY-NC

Link to output in Bond University research repository.

Recommended citation(APA):

Li, H., Chen, Y., Zheng, J., Fang, Y., Yang, Y., Skitmore, M., Rusch, R., & Jiang, T. (2022). The influence of the psychological contract on the safety of performance of construction workers in China. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-09-2022-0854

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

1	Paper for Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management
2	
3	The influence of the psychological contract on the safety of performance of
4	construction workers in China
5 6	Hongyang Li, Professor, Business School, Hohai University, Nanjing, China; State Key Laboratory of
7	Subtropical Building Science, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China; School of
8	Civil Engineering and Transportation, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China.
9	Email: <u>li.terryhy@yahoo.com</u> .
10	Yanlin Chen, Postgraduate Student, School of Civil Engineering and Transportation, South China
11	University of Technology, Guangzhou, China. Email: <u>saba001@qq.com</u> .
12	Junwei Zheng, Associate Professor, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Mechanics, Kunming University of
13	Science and Technology, Kunming, China. Email: zjwkmust@163.com.
14	Yuan Fang, Associate Professor, Department of Civil and Transportation, Guangdong University of
15	Technology, Guangzhou, China. Email: carolynfang@gdut.edu.cn.
16	Yifan Yang, Associate Professor, Department of Management Science and Engineering, Nanjing University
17	of Aeronautics & Astronautics, Nanjing, China. Email: <u>seuyangyifan@sina.com</u> .
18	Martin Skitmore (Corresponding author), University Professorial Fellow, Faculty of Society and Design,
19	Bond University, Robina QLD 4226, Australia. Email: mskitmor@bond.edu.au, ORCID: 0000-0001-
20	7135-1201.
21	Rosemarie Rusch, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Society and Design, Bond University, Queensland,
22	Australia. Email: <u>rrusch@bond.edu.au</u> , ORCID: 0000-0003-0151-8867.
23	Tingting Jiang, Postgraduate Student, Business School, Hohai University, Nanjing, China. Email:
24	<u>601541108@qq.com</u> .
25	

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1	

2

The influence of the psychological contract on the safety of performance of construction workers in China

3

4 Abstract

5 Purpose – In the absence of previous work, this study investigates how the psychological contract (PC)
6 influences the safety performance of construction workers in China.

7 **Design/methodology/approach** – The literature is first consulted to obtain a set of PC and safety 8 performance measures that fits the specific situation of construction workers, which is then moderated by 9 five construction experts. A questionnaire survey of 206 workers from 4 different construction sites is 10 followed by a descriptive statistical analysis of the nature of the PC and level of the safety performance of 11 the respondents. Finally, a regression analysis is used to ascertain the level of influence of the PS, and an 12 analysis is made of the influence of PC on safety performance.

Findings – A set of PC and safety performance measures is identified that fits in the construction workers' specific situation. The PC of the respondents is found to be intact and well-performed, and their safety performance is maintained at a high level. Safety performance is highly influenced by the state of the PC, with the three dimensions of safety performance (safety result, safety compliance, and safety participation) positively correlated with the three dimensions of the PC (normative, interpersonal, and developmental). Originality/value – Suggestions are made to improve safety production management and safety

- 19 performance by providing adequate material and economic conditions, helping the workers establish good
- 20 interpersonal relationships, and realize their personal values.

21 Keywords Psychological Contract; Safety Performance; Safety Production Management

22 Paper type Research paper

23 **1. Introduction**

Construction has been one of the pillar industries in national economies for a long time (National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2018; Tong *et al.*, 2021). Construction sites are labor-intensive, and their workers are often placed in a rugged environment, involving a high level of technical and

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

operating difficulties (Chan *et al.*, 2017). As a result, accidents occur relatively frequently. Safety
 management is therefore of great importance, and how to improve safety is an issue of serious
 concern.

Previous studies indicate individual unsafe behavior to be a major direct cause of accidents 4 (Heinrich, 1959; Bird, 1974; Wu et al., 2017; Song, 2017) through the "domino effect" in 5 triggering a chain reaction leading to an accident (Heinrich, 1959). Currently, construction workers 6 7 in China and many other countries have a generally low level of education and a minimum 8 awareness of safety precautions, largely relying on subjective experience and frequently failing to 9 comply with safety norms (Xu et al., 2021). Such behaviors easily lead to safety accidents: therefore, reducing unsafe worker behavior is the primary task of construction safety management. 10 One approach to this is through the psychological contract (PC), an important perspective that 11 12 has become an increasingly popular research topic in this journal in particular over the years, including in employee career expectations (Dainty et al., 2000), the expectations of project 13 14 managers (Dainty et al., 2004), improved organizational innovations (Egbu, 2004), the motivation 15 of workers (Liu et al., 2007), knowledge sharing (Wang and Shi, 2019), the efficacy of trust (Wang et al., 2019), construction manager burnout (Franz et al., 2021), and renegotiating relationships 16 within the PPP scheme (Feng et al., 2022). In terms of construction safety, studies involving PC 17 18 are replete, with major contributions by Newaz (2018), Newaz et al. (2016a, 2016b, 2018, 2019a, 19 2019b, 2019c, 2020a, 2020b, 2021a, 2021b), Novieto (2021) and many others.

These show that the performance of the employees' PC has a significant effect on their work attitude, behavior, and performance. Whenever workers enter their working environment, they are considered to form a PC relationship with the employer, which determines their attitude and behavior. By establishing the PC, individuals can gauge the balance of the responsibilities and obligations of both parties and then adjust their attitudes and behaviors accordingly – ultimately affecting the individual's work performance (Marques, 2011).

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1 However, none of these studies have considered the construction safety dimensions of safety compliance and safety participation, for instance, which have been identified as being important 2 3 different perceptions of the work environment and perceptions of performance related to safety (Griffin and Neal, 2000). Similarly, yet to be considered in the context of construction safety are 4 5 Li's (2002) "normative", "interpersonal", and "developmental" dimensions of PC (identified in 6 studying 796 Chinese employees). Therefore, the present study examined the relationship between 7 the dimensions of PC and the safety of construction workers through a questionnaire survey of 206 8 construction workers on four different construction sites in Foshan, Qingyuan, and Guangzhou in 9 southeast China. Two hypotheses were tested - that the employees' PC has a significant effect on 10 safety performance as a whole and that there is a positive correlation between different dimensions 11 of PC and different dimensions of safety performance.

The paper is organized as follows. First, a brief literature review is presented to provide background to the study and form an initial set of PC and safety measures to fit the specific situation of construction workers. Then, the two hypotheses are proposed, followed by a description of the method used to test them. The results are then presented and discussed, along with suggested practical implications for management practice.

17 **2. Literature review**

18 2.1 The psychological contract

There are many different interpretations of the PC. The term was first used by Argyris and Ditz (1960) as a result of interviewing workers and supervisors in factories to describe the perception and embedding of values held by both parties (organizations and individuals) in the employment relationship. He found that when the supervisor guarantees and respects the informal culture of employees, such as by encouraging them to work independently and providing them with adequate wages and job security, they tend to maintain high productivity and make fewer complaints. At first, the PC was defined as a non-written contract reflecting the expectations of organizations and

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1 employees of each other (Levinson et al., 2013): it is an implicit and unexpressed expectation of a future relationship that has been formed before the formal employment relationship. Argyris and 2 3 Ditz (1960) and Levinson et al's (2013) PC has two perspectives: individual and organizational. However, it is impractical to compare the expectations of different perspectives. Finding someone 4 5 who can represent the organization to elaborate on the contract from an organizational perspective 6 is almost impossible. As a result, based on previous studies, Rousseau (1990) proposed a narrower 7 definition, in that involving the contract should focus on an individual perspective of the 8 employees' perception of their mutual responsibility to employers. While some studies supported 9 this, many also held that the contract embodies a belief of the employees' mutual responsibility 10 with the organization: it is based on their subjective understanding of commitment, but these beliefs are not necessarily realized by the organization (Morrison and Robinson, 1997). 11

12 The PC involves thousands of aspects that are difficult to summarize comprehensively. Therefore, studies usually divide it into two-, three-, or even multi-dimensions, categorizing the 13 14 content of similar attributes into the same dimension. For the two-dimensional version, Rousseau 15 (1990), for example, analyzed a situation involving 129 MBA graduates about to start their jobs in three weeks, using a questionnaire to investigate their perceptions of both the employer and 16 employee's responsibilities. A correlation analysis obtained two pairs of typical variables, 17 18 comprising a "transaction contract" and a "relationship contract". The "transaction contract" 19 includes the exchange of interests between employees and employees. Employees hope to obtain 20 a high remuneration, bonuses, and development opportunities by working hard and taking on jobs 21 beyond their remit. The "relationship contract" includes the exchange of emotions between employees and employers, the organization providing employees with adequate job security, and, 22 23 in exchange, employees being loyal to the organization and wanting to work in the organization for a long time. Chen et al.'s (2004) principal component analysis of a survey of 642 employees 24 from different industries found that both the employer and employee's responsibility contain the 25 26 two factors of "realistic responsibility" and "developmental responsibility". For the organization,

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com. 1 realistic responsibility refers to protecting its employees' normal lifestyle by paying reasonable 2 wages and bonuses. In contrast, development responsibility refers to employee development 3 opportunities, such as creating promotion opportunities and long-term performance returns. For employees, realistic responsibility means they maintain the normal operation of the organization, 4 5 such as striving to create benefits for the organization, being loval, and constantly improving work 6 skills, while development responsibility means they help the organization's future development, 7 including accepting transfers, combining personal development with organizational development, 8 and making suggestions for organizational development.

9 For the three-dimensional structure, Rousseau and Tijoriwala (1996), for instance, proposed an extra "team dimension" - stressing the importance of team cooperation - based on their study 10 11 of U.S. registered nurses. Lee and Tinsley (1999) also extracted the transaction, relationship, and 12 team factors in investigating and analyzing the employer and employee responsibilities of work teams in Hong Kong and the United States - finding that employees place a different emphasis on 13 14 the PC structure because of their different social cultures. The United States employees pay more 15 attention to transaction factors. In comparison, Hong Kong employees pay more attention to team factors – attributed to the extra importance of interpersonal contact and help during working hours 16 in the context of Chinese culture. Li (2002), on the other hand, identified "normative", 17 18 "interpersonal", and "developmental" dimensions in studying 796 employees. Here, normative 19 responsibility is related to economic interests: it includes employers providing employees with a 20 reasonable salary, and stable job security, and employees creating a performance for the 21 organization; interpersonal responsibility is related to the development of interpersonal 22 relationships, including the organization providing humanistic care and a harmonious 23 interpersonal environment for employees, with employees, in turn, creating a good interpersonal environment for the organization; while *developmental* responsibility includes the organization 24 providing training, promotion opportunities for employees, and the employees taking the initiative 25 26 to undertake additional work.

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1 The *multi-dimensional* structure was also proposed by Rousseau (2002), dividing the PC into 2 *seven* dimensions: stability, loyalty, short-term transactions, limited liability, dynamic 3 performance, and internal and external development. These were all validated by a questionnaire 4 survey of 630 employees in Singapore and the United States.

5 2.2 Psychological safety

6 Psychological safety, which has a history that can be traced back to the 1960s (Schein and Bennis, 7 1966), focuses on people's perceptions of the consequences of taking interpersonal risks in the 8 workplace (Edmondson and Lei, 2014). The studies of psychological safety can be divided into 9 three groups at the individual, team, and organizational levels. From the perspective of individuals, 10 psychological safety refers to an individual subjective perception of the impact on their image, personal status, and career (Khan, 1990). A higher level of psychological safety can enhance the 11 12 employees' work enthusiasm and increase their willingness to express themselves in the workplace. Psychological safety is the shared cognition of team members of whether they will be 13 14 punished for the interpersonal risks they are involved in at the team level (Edmondson, 1999). For 15 a work team, a higher psychological safety degree is constructive to the interpersonal relationships 16 within the team and helps form a team-friendly atmosphere. For organizations, psychological 17 safety is related to their members' support for organizational management, self-positioning, and 18 the atmosphere of expression (Brown and Leigh, 1996). Compared to the individual level, team 19 and organization psychological safety emphasizes the environmental characteristics felt by 20 members.

21 Considering the inherent dangerousness of the construction industry (Idrees et al., 2017), 22 construction worker safety is recognized as a major concern at construction sites, and their 23 psychological safety is given special attention in the literature (Feng et al., 2015). Shen et al. 24 (2015b) developed a conceptual framework for forming a desired psychological safety climate 25 from structural, perceptual, interactive, and cultural perspectives. Shen et al. (2015a) also 26 investigated the factors contributing to a favorable psychological safety climate on construction

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

sites from the individual perspective. They built a multi-perspective framework based on the
 responses to a construction project personnel questionnaire. Larsson et al. (2008) found reliable
 relationships between construction workers' perceptions of psychological climate, work outcomes,
 and safety behaviors.

5 2.3 Safety performance

Safety performance is an important indicator of the effectiveness of safety production management 6 7 and has become a popular topic for researchers. It has several definitions due to different 8 considerations and applications. For example, Borman and Motowidlo (1997) point out that the 9 two main components of performance are task performance and relationship performance. Based on this, safety performance has been defined as safety compliance and participation, 10 11 corresponding with task and relationship performance, respectively (Griffin and Neal, 2000). In 12 contrast, Sawacha et al. (1999) define it as the degree of injury caused by safety accidents, including organization and individual aspects; Zohar (2000) defines it as the incidence of minor 13 injuries requiring medical treatment and rest; while it is the accident rate and occupational injury 14 for Siu et al. (2004). Christian et al. (2009) found the different definitions to be based on two 15 16 completely different concepts, either referring to the safety results of organizations (such as the 17 number of accidents per year) or individual safety behavior, arguing that both should be included 18 in the definition of safety performance.

19 As with the PC, safety performance is often divided into a two-, three-, and multi-dimensional 20 structure. For the two-dimensional version, as noted above, Griffin and Neal (2000) identify safety 21 compliance and safety participation. The former denotes the key safety activities that individuals must perform to maintain workplace safety, while the latter concerns individual voluntary 22 participation in safety improvement activities, such as safety activities or safety meetings. 23 Christian et al. (2009) focus on safety behavior and safety results. Further dividing safety behavior 24 25 into safety compliance and participation provides a three-dimensional structure of safety 26 performance. Meanwhile, for the *multi-dimensional* structure, Wu (2005) has divided safety

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

performance into six dimensions: safety organization and management, safety facilities and
 measures, safety training, safety training evaluation, accident investigation, and accident data.

In recent years, studies have shifted their focus from concept definition to empirical research in different fields. In terms of PC and psychological safety, their impact on employees' work performance has become a research hotspot. However, most studies focus on teachers, knowledge workers, or white-collar workers in other professions, and fewer on front-line workers. Regarding safety performance, the psychological factors influencing safety performance have been explored, while only some studies include PC as a factor in the safety performance of construction workers.

9 3. Hypotheses

10 Examining the literature suggests two likely and fundamental hypotheses:

11 *H1: The employees' PC has a significant effect on safety performance as a whole.*

H2: There is a significant positive correlation between different dimensions of PC and
different dimensions of safety performance.

14 The following sections are concerned with testing these hypotheses and considering the 15 implications of the results.

16 4. **Method**

17 4.1 Questionnaire development

18 A three-dimensional structure is used to divide both PC and safety performance constructs. The

- 19 specific reasons are as follows:
- 20 (1) For a PC, the "team dimension" better reflects the characteristics of team-based organizations,
- 21 especially in Chinese enterprises, which take measures to cultivate a traditional harmonious
- 22 atmosphere and encourage cooperation between employees to achieve business goals.

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

(2) For safety performance, the "safety outcome" dimension is an important index for measuring
 the achievement of safety production management. It therefore reflects the whole connotation
 of safety performance more comprehensively than the two-dimensional structure.

A structured questionnaire is adopted here as a robust tool for a detailed academic inquiry 4 5 involving a large population of construction personnel. Based on Maslow's (1943) Hierarchy of 6 Needs and Social Exchange, an initial design was conditioned by a wide-ranging literature review identifying the items likely to be related to PCs and safety performance at construction sites. The 7 8 questionnaire items developed this way were revised by interviews with six experts comprising an 9 associate professor and five employees from different construction enterprises, four of whom were working on construction sites. All had sufficient industry experience and a good understanding of 10 11 the situation of construction workers. The revisions included: (1) deleting items with a similar 12 connotation and can be regarded as repetitive, (2) deleting items that do not conform to the actual situation of construction workers, and (3) using more straightforward item descriptions to enable 13 14 construction workers to grasp their meaning easily. Table I summarises the final items included in 15 the questionnaire, with 15 items from three dimensions of the PC (normative, interpersonal, and 16 developmental) and 14 items from three dimensions of safety performance (safety result, safety 17 compliance, and safety participation).

18

--- insert Table I here ---

19 Two hundred fifty questionnaires were distributed to workers on four different construction 20 sites in Foshan, Qingyuan, and Guangzhou, and 238 were returned. After eliminating invalid 21 questionnaires (mainly because of unanswered questionnaires and the items for PC and safety 22 performance all checked as "fully agreed"), 206 valid questionnaires remained – an effective 23 response rate of 82.4%.

24 *4.2 Statistical analysis*

SPSS 19.0 and AMOS 20.0 are used to test the reliability and validity of the questionnaire data
and conduct linear regression and correlation analysis. Cronbach's alpha test is commonly used in

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1 questionnaire validation studies (Bujang et al., 2018), and used here to assess the whole and the 2 dimensions of the PC and safety performance constructs. The expert interviews used in designing 3 the questionnaire ensure it has good content validity, and factor analysis is used to test its structural validity. The average score of each item for the three PC and safety performance dimensions are 4 5 used to measure the levels of the respondents. Linear regression is a statistical analysis method to 6 determine the quantitative relationships between two or more variables, and correlation analysis is 7 used to study the correlation relationship between two variables (Eberly, 2007). Linear regression 8 tests the effect of PC on safety performance and correlation analysis between different PC and 9 safety performance dimensions.

10 5. **Results**

11 5.1 Reliability and validity assessment

Table II shows the results of the reliability and validity test, indicating that, with all Cronbachalpha values over 0.80, reliability is very good.

14 --- insert Table II here ---

Table III gives the results of the pre-analysis tests, indicating that, with KMO between 0.8 and 0.9 and Bartlett's spherical test significance less than 0.05, the correlation between variables is strong enough for factor analysis.

18 --- insert Table III here ---

Tables IV and V show the results of the confirmatory factor analyses. With constituent reliability (CR) all higher than 0.6, the internal consistency of each item is good, while the average variances extracted (AVE) are all 0.500, also indicating good convergence validity. Therefore, the two constructs' reliability and convergence validity meet the requirements of factor analysis.

- 23 --- insert Table IV here ---
- 24 --- insert Table V here ---

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1 5.2 PC state of construction workers

2 Table VI shows that the average score of each PC item is above 4.5, which indicates that the 3 respondents perform well in the PC. The interpersonal PC has the highest average dimension score 4 of 4.74, with "communication between superiors and subordinates is smooth and obtains a 5 harmonious relationship", "treat each employee sincerely", and "have a harmonious colleague 6 relationship" being its highest scoring items. This can be interpreted as showing that the 7 organizations create a harmonious interpersonal atmosphere, the hierarchical boundaries between 8 superiors and subordinates are not overly strict, workers and superiors can communicate equally, 9 organizations have a sincere attitude to workers, and the relationship between workers is 10 harmonious. Next is the developmental PC, with an average score of 4.68. Here, the lowest scoring 11 item is "create career development and promotion space", which suggests that the workers are less 12 career-minded or, more likely, the incentives provided by organizations in this respect are insufficient. The average score of normative PCs is the least; in particular, the lowest score is for 13 14 the item "provide reasonable wages", again suggesting that monetary rewards and incentives are 15 less than expected.

16

--- insert Table VI here ---

17 5.3 Safety performance state of construction workers

18 Table VII above shows that the average score of each safety performance item is also above 4.5, 19 which indicates that the safety performance level of the respondents is also high. Safety 20 compliance has the highest average dimension score of 4.78, indicating that the workers perform 21 better in abiding by safety rules and regulations and carrying out safety operations according to regulations. A close second is the safety participation dimension (4.76), followed by the safety 22 23 result (4.63). Here, the *lowest* score is 4.53 for the item "rate of safety accidents in construction sites is low", which may be due to some workers experiencing safety accidents and thus scoring 24 25 this item lower.

26

--- insert Table VII here ---

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1 5.4 Regression analysis

The linear regression analysis of PC as the independent variable with safety performance as the dependent variable has a coefficient of determination, R, of 0.734, showing a strong relationship between variables. The R² of 0.539, between 0.5 and 0.8, denotes a general goodness of fit of the estimated model to the observed values. The variance analysis has an F value with 0.000 significance, indicating the model has strong explanatory power. Residual plots and the Durban-Watson and VIF statistics indicate no significant non-linear or non-additive, autocorrelation, multicollinearity, or heteroskedasticity features of the data.

9 Finally, Table VIII gives the analysis results showing that, with a coefficient of 0.923
10 (t=15.437, p=0), the strength of the PC has a massive effect on safety performance, and therefore
11 H1 stands unrefuted.

12

--- insert Table VIII here ---

13 5.5 Correlation analysis

Table IX indicates a highly positive correlation between PC and safety performance of 0.845,
with the correlation between different PC dimensions and safety performance dimensions all
significant at the 1% level. H2, therefore also stands unrefuted.

17 --- insert Table IX here ---

18 6. Discussion

The findings show the employees' PC have a significant positive effect on and safety performance in the construction industry. This was also found in the study of Wang et al. (2021), which attributed this to the mediating mechanisms of PC making employees feel safety responsibility and safety-specific trust in supervisors at construction sites. PC was recognized as one of the determinants of employees' safety perception, which then affected their safety performance behaviors (Newaz et al., 2019c). The indirect impact of PC on safety performance was found to be more significant than that on accident-coping behaviors (Liang et al., 2022). Furthermore, Newaz

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

et al. (2021a) found a positive association between the fulfillment level of PC mutual obligations for employees and employers and safety performance, suggesting that the PC's fulfillment level also mattered in decreasing accidents in construction settings. Newaz et al. (2016b) held that construction workers' safety behavior could be shaped by PC and verified the mediated relationship between safety climate and safety performance by PC.

6 Due to the particularity of the construction industry in China, the country's construction 7 workers face greater work pressure, and their operating processes involve higher safety risks. 8 Therefore, the workers' unsafe behavior will cause serious consequences for themselves and their 9 organizations, which makes the management of construction workers' safety behavior of great 10 importance. The PC perspective can provide new ideas for standardizing workers' unsafe behavior. 11 Accordingly, three suggestions for improving safety production management and enhancing safety 12 performance in the construction industry are proposed.

(1) Sufficient material and economic conditions need to be provided for workers to maintain the 13 balance of normative PC, which mainly involves providing employees with a reasonable 14 15 salary, welfare, stable job security, and other related material and economic benefits. These basic needs of individuals need to be satisfied for workers to be motivated to improve safety 16 performance. In practice, this includes providing sufficient wages to meet the needs of the 17 18 workers' lives; paying wages on time; creating opportunities for promotion and salary 19 increases; providing bonuses according to the workers' performance; not dismissing workers 20 at will; and providing stable living and work security. Having a balanced normative PC helps 21 workers work safely and be motivated to improve their safety performance.

(2) Workers need to be helped to establish good interpersonal relationships and create a
harmonious interpersonal atmosphere. Introducing measures to meet the workers' emotional
and belonging needs helps maintain the interpersonal PC at a high level. Chinese construction
workers usually work in groups and live together; therefore, building good interpersonal
relationships can help improve safety performance. To do this in practice includes: breaking

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

down the communication barriers between superiors and subordinates, creating smooth communication channels between superiors and subordinates; carrying out various types of off-the-job leisure activities; building a platform for workers' leisure; creating a harmonious living atmosphere and improving the relationship between colleagues; strengthening the workers' sense of emotional belonging; providing sincere concern and help to workers; and actively paying attention to the workers' work and life problems and preventing psychological dissatisfaction caused by these problems.

8 (3) Various ways need to be adopted to help workers realize their personal values and prevent the 9 developmental PC from breaking down. Specific practical measures include: providing professional and technical training for workers so that their technical operation level can be 10 11 improved; providing timely guidance to workers to help them accomplish their tasks better; 12 providing a variety of challenging jobs that can enable workers to fully develop their potential; creating opportunities and channels for workers to be promoted; and enabling workers to have 13 14 the opportunity to rise to high-level positions. Attending to the developmental PC of 15 construction workers indicates the organization values them, encourages professional training 16 to continuously improve their skills, obtain external support and help from the organization to 17 achieve individual goals, and provides a stronger incentive to improve safety performance.

18 7. Conclusion

This study investigates how the PC influences the safety performance of construction workers through a questionnaire survey of workers from 4 different construction sites in China's Foshan, Qingyuan, and Guangzhou. The questionnaire comprises a set of questions (items) for each construct (the PC and safety performance), identified in an extensive literature review and validated by a group of five construction experts. The analysis of the 206 valid responses indicates the PC to be intact and generally well-performed, and their safety performance is maintained at a

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

high level. Cronbach's alpha, KMO, Bartlett's test, and an exploratory factor analysis indicate that
 the questionnaire items have good empirical reliability and validity.

3 The regression analysis confirms a highly significant relationship between the two constructs. In contrast, the correlation analysis shows that the three dimensions of safety performance (safety 4 5 result, safety compliance, and safety participation) are positively correlated with PC dimensions 6 (normative, interpersonal, and developmental). Therefore, when the workers' PC is good and the 7 state is relatively balanced, their safety performance will be maintained at a high level. Hypothesis 8 H1, that the employees' PC has a significant effect on safety performance as a whole, is therefore 9 supported. Hypothesis H2, that there is a significant positive correlation between different dimensions of PC, and different dimensions of safety performance, is also very much supported. 10

Moreover, it is found that a subtle change in any PC item will influence safety performance, 11 12 meaning that, in safety production management practice, all three PC dimensions need to be closely attended to at the same time. Based on exploring the relationship between PC and safety 13 14 performance, the present study proposes targeted suggestions to help improve the safety 15 production management and safety performance of the construction industry from the perspective 16 of PC. This not only enriches the research fields of PC, psychological safety, and safety 17 performance but also has practical significance for safety performance management of the 18 construction industry.

19 The study is limited by sample size, location, and the lack of a long-term follow-up survey of 20 construction workers. Moreover, PC may change significantly due to the dynamic characteristics 21 of the surrounding environment. Being restricted to four different construction sites in China's Foshan, Qingyuan, and Guangzhou, the number and regional distribution of selected samples 22 23 should also be appropriately expanded for further studies as a check on the heterogenous capacity of the results. Likewise, while the expectation is that the results will also apply to other similar 24 regions of the world, further studies would reveal how much this is the case. Finally, an further 25 26 elaboration could involve distinguishing the working years, types of work, and other

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1 characteristics of the respondents, together with a long-term follow-up survey focusing on the

2 dynamic changes and the factors impacting on workers' PC.

3 Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities
(B210201014); Innovation and Entrepreneurship Talents Program in Jiangsu Province, 2021
(Project Number: JSSCRC2021507, Fund Number: 2016/B2007224); the "13th Five-Year" Plan
of Philosophy and Social Sciences of Guangdong Province (2019 General Project) (Project No.:
GD19CGL27); the State Key Laboratory of Subtropical Building Science, South China University
of Technology, China (2020ZB17); National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No.
71501074, 71701083, 51608132); and Yunnan Applied Basic Research Project (2019FB084).

11 References

Argyris, C., and Ditz, G.W. (1960), "Understanding organizational behavior", *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 457–458.

14 Bird, F.E. (1974), *Management guide to loss control*. Atlanta: Intl Loss Control Inst.

- 15 Borman, W.C., and Motowidlo, S.J. (1997), "Task performance and contextual performance: the meaning
- 16 for personnel selection research", *Human Performance*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 99–109.
- 17 <u>https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327043hup1002_3</u>
- 18 Brown, S. P., and Leigh, T. W. (1996), "A new look at psychological climate and its relationship to job
- involvement, effort, and performance", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 81 No. 4, pp. 358–
 368. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.81.4.358
- 21 Bujang, M. A., Omar, E. D., and Baharum, N. A. (2018). "A review on sample size determination for
- 22 Cronbach's alpha test: a simple guide for researchers". *The Malaysian Journal of Medical*
- 23 Sciences, Vol. 25 No. 6, pp. 85-99. <u>https://doi.org/10.21315/mjms2018.25.6.9</u>
- 24 Chan, A.P., Wong, F.K., Hon, C.K., Javed, A.A., and Lyu, S. (2017), "Construction safety and health
- 25 problems of ethnic minority workers in Hong Kong", *Engineering, Construction and*

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1	Architectural Management, Vol. 24 No. 6, pp. 901–919. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-09-2015-
2	<u>0143</u>
3	Chen, J.Z., Ling, W.Q., and Fang, L.L. (2004), "Exploration and verification of employee psychological
4	contract structure dimension", Science and Management of Science and Technology, Vol. 25 No.
5	3, pp. 94–97.
6	Christian, M.S., Bradley, J.C., Wallace, J.C., and Burke, M.J. (2009), "Workplace safety: a meta-analysis
7	of the roles of person and situation factors", Journal of Applied Psychology, Vol. 94 No. 5, pp.
8	1103-1127. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016172
9	Dainty, A.R., Bagilhole, B.M., and Neale, R.H. (2000), "The compatibility of construction companies'
10	human resource development policies with employee career expectations", Engineering
11	Construction and Architectural Management, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 169-178.
12	https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-232x.2000.00150.x
13	Dainty, A.R., Raiden, A.B., and Neale, R.H. (2004), "Psychological contract expectations of construction
14	project managers", Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp.
15	33-44. https://doi.org/10.1108/09699980410512647
16	Eberly, L. E. (2007). "Correlation and simple linear regression". Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton,
17	N.J.), Vol. 404, pp. 143–164. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-530-5_8
18	Edmondson, A. (1999), "Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams", Administrative
19	Science Quarterly, Vol. 44 No. 2, pp. 350-383. https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999
20	Edmondson, A. C., and Lei, Z. (2014), "Psychological safety: the history, renaissance, and future of an
21	interpersonal construct", Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational
22	<i>Behavior</i> , Vol. 1, pp. 23–43.
23	Egbu, C.O. (2004), "Managing knowledge and intellectual capital for improved organizational
24	innovations in the construction industry: an examination of critical success factors", Engineering,
25	Construction and Architectural Management, Vol. 11 No. 5, pp. 301-315.
26	https://doi.org/10.1108/09699980410558494
27	Feng, XD., Cao, BL., Li, NB., Chen, HS., and Yu, W. (2015), "Influence factors and construction
28	strategy of R & D team psychological safety", Paper presented at the International Conference on
29	Management Science and Management Innovation (MSMI).

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1	Franz, B., Wang, T., and Issa, R.R. (2021), "Exploration of burnout in early-career construction
2	management professionals in the USA", Engineering, Construction and Architectural
3	Management, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-08-2021-
4	<u>0761</u>
5	Griffin, M.A., and Neal, A. (2000), "Perceptions of safety at work: a framework for linking safety climate
6	to safety performance, knowledge, and motivation", Journal of Occupational Health Psychology,
7	Vol. 5 No. 3, pp. 347–358. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.5.3.347</u>
8	Heinrich, H.W. (1959), "Industrial accident prevention: a scientific approach", Industrial and Labor
9	Relations Review, Vol. 4 No. 4, pp. 609-609.
10	Idrees, M. D., Hafeez, M., and Kim, JY. (2017), "Workers' age and the impact of psychological factors
11	on the perception of safety at construction sites", Sustainability, Vol.9 No.5.
12	https://doi.org/10.3390/su9050745
13	Khan, W. (1990), "Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work", The
14	Academy of Management Journal, No. 33, pp. 692–724.
15	Larsson, S., Pousette, A., and Torner, M. (2008), "Psychological climate and safety in the construction
16	industry-mediated influence on safety behaviour", Safety Science, Vol. 46 No. 3, pp. 405-412.
17	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2007.05.012
18	Lee, C., and Tinsley, C.H. (1999), "Psychological normative contracts of work group member in the U. S.
19	and Hong Kong", Working Paper.
20	Levinson, H., Price, C.R., Munden, K.J., Mandl, H.J., and Solley, C.M. (2013), "Men, management, and
21	mental health", In Men, Management, and Mental Health. Harvard University Press.
22	Li, Y. (2002), "Research on the structure and related factors of employee psychological contract", Capital
23	Normal University.
24	Liang, H., Shi, X., Yang, D., and Liu, K. (2022). "Impact of mindfulness on construction workers' safety
25	performance: The mediating roles of psychological contract and coping behaviors". Safety
26	Science, Vol. 146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105534
27	Liu, A.M., Chiu, W.M., and Fellows, R. (2007), "Enhancing commitment through work empowerment",
28	Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, Vol. 14 No. 6, pp. 568-580.
29	https://doi.org/10.1108/09699980710829021

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1	Marques, S.C., Pais-Ribeiro, J.L., and Lopez, S.J. (2011), "The role of positive psychology constructs in
2	predicting mental health and academic achievement in children and adolescents: a two-year
3	longitudinal study", Journal of Happiness Studies, Vol. 12 No. 6, pp. 1049–1062.
4	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-010-9244-4
5	Maslow, A.H. (1943), "A theory of human motivation. Psychological Review, Vol. 50 No. 4, 370-396.
6	Morrison, E.W., and Robinson, S.L. (1997), "When employees feel betrayed: a model of how
7	psychological contract violation develops", The Academy of Management Review, Vol. 22 No. 1,
8	pp. 226–256. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1997.9707180265
9	National Bureau of Statistics of China. (2018), China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistics Press, Beijing.
10	Newaz, M.T. (2018), The psychological contract to measure safety outcomes on construction sites. PhD
11	thesis, School of Architecture and Built Environment, The University of Newcastle, Australia
12	Newaz, M.T., Jefferies, M., Davis, P., and Pillay, M. (2016a), "Using the psychological contract to
13	measure safety outcomes on construction sites", in Proc., 32nd Annual ARCOM Conf., PW Chan
14	and CJ Neilson, eds., Association of Researchers in Construction Management, Manchester, UK.
15	pp. 487-496.
16	Newaz, M.T., Davis, P., Jefferies, M., and Pillay, M. (2016b), "The psychological contract of safety: The
17	missing link between safety climate and safety behaviour in construction sites", in Advances in
18	Safety Management and Human Factors (pp. 199-210). Springer, Cham.
19	Newaz, M.T., Davis, P., Jefferies, M., and Pillay, M. (2018), "Role of psychological contract to influence
20	safety behaviour at construction sites", in International Conference on Applied Human Factors
21	and Ergonomics (pp. 545–555). Springer, Cham.
22	Newaz, M.T., Davis, P.R., Jefferies, M., and Pillay, M. (2019a), "Validation of an agent-specific safety
23	climate model for construction", Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, Vol.
24	26 No. 3, pp. 462–478. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-01-2018-0003
25	Newaz, M.T., Davis, P., Jefferies, M., and Pillay, M. (2019b), "The psychological contract: A missing
26	link between safety climate and safety behaviour on construction sites", Safety Science, Vol. 112,
27	pp. 9–17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2018.10.002</u>

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

- 1 Newaz, M.T., Davis, P., Jefferies, M., and Pillay, M. (2019c), "Using a psychological contract of safety to
- 2 predict safety climate on construction sites", *Journal of Safety Research*, Vol. 68, pp. 9-19.
- 3 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.10.012</u>
- 4 Newaz, M.T., Jefferies, M., Davis, P.R., and Pillay, M. (2020a), "Managerial implications for
- 5 construction practices as a consequence of using a psychological contract of safety", *Engineering*,
- 6 *Construction and Architectural Management*, Vol. 28 No. 4, pp. 1134–1155.
- 7 https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-02-2020-0119
- 8 Newaz, M.T., Davis, P., Jefferies, M., and Pillay, M. (2020b), "Examining the psychological contract as
- 9 mediator between the safety behavior of supervisors and workers on construction sites", *Journal*
- 10 of Construction Engineering and Management, Vol. 146 No. 1, 04019094.
- 11 <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001722</u>
- 12 Newaz, M.T., Wang, D., Davis, P., Wang, X., Jefferies, M., and Sheng, Z. (2021a), "A cross-cultural
- 13 validation of the psychological contract of safety on construction sites", *Safety Science*, Vol. 141,
- 14 105360. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105360</u>
- 15 Newaz, M.T., Ershadi, M., Jefferies, M., and Davis, P. (2021b), "Assessing safety management factors to
- 16 develop a research agenda for the construction industry", *Safety Science*, Vol. 142, 105396.
- 17 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105396</u>
- 18 Novieto, D.T. (2021), "Safety climate and occupational safety behaviours: the mediating role of
- 19 psychological ownership among construction professionals", *Engineering, Construction and*
- 20 *Architectural Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print.
- 21 https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-06-2021-0539
- 22 Rousseau, D.M. (1990), "New hire perceptions of their own and their employer's obligations: a study of
- 23 psychological contracts", Journal of Organizational Behavior Vol. 11 No. 5, pp. 389-400
- 24 https://doi.org/10.1002/job.4030110506
- Rousseau, D.M., and Tijoriwala, S. (1996), "Perceived legitimacy and unilateral contract changes: it takes
 a good reason to change a psychological contract", San Diago: Symposium at the SIOPM
 Meetings.
- 28 Rousseau, D.M. (2002), Psychological contract inventory technical report.
- 29 http://www.andrew.cmu.edu/user/rousseau/0 reports/ reports.html

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

- 1 Sawacha, E., Naoum, S., and Fong, D. (1999), "Factors affecting safety performance on construction
- 2 sites", International Journal of Project Management, Vol. 17 No. 5, pp. 309-315.

3 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(98)00042-8

- 4 Schein, E. H., and Bennis, W., (1966), "Personal and organizational change through group methods".
- 5 Shen, Y., Koh, T. Y., Rowlinson, S., and Bridge, A. J. (2015a), "Empirical investigation of factors
- 6 contributing to the psychological safety climate on construction sites". Journal of Construction 7 Engineering and Management, Vol. 141 No. 11. https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-
- 8 7862.0001021
- 9 Shen, Y., Tuuli, M. M., Xia, B., Koh, T. Y., and Rowlinson, S. (2015b), "Toward a model for forming psychological safety climate in construction project management", International Journal of 10
- 11 Project Management, Vol. 33 No. 1, pp. 223–235.
- 12 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.04.009.
- 13 Song, Z.G. (2017), "On reasons for accidents in architectural construction in China and their strategies", 14 Shanxi Architecture, Vol. 23, pp. 259–260.
- 15 Tong, R., Wang, L., Cao, L., Zhang, B. and Yang, X. (2021), "Psychosocial factors for safety
- 16 performance of construction workers: taking stock and looking forward", Engineering,
- 17 Construction and Architectural Management, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print.
- 18 https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-09-2021-0786.
- 19 Wang, D., Fu, H., and Fang, S. (2019), "The efficacy of trust for the governance of uncertainty and
- 20 opportunism in megaprojects: The moderating role of contractual control", Engineering,

21 Construction and Architectural Management, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 150–167.

- 22 https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-09-2018-0409
- 23 Wang, Q., and Shi, Q. (2019), "The incentive mechanism of knowledge sharing in the industrial
- 24 construction supply chain based on a supervisory mechanism", Engineering, Construction and
- 25 Architectural Management, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp. 989–1003. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-05-
- 26 2018-0218
- 27 Wang, X., Qiao, Y., Wang, D., Sheng, Z., and Newaz, M. T. (2021). "Psychological contract of safety and 28

construction worker behavior: felt safety responsibility and safety-specific trust as mediators".

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, Vol. 147 No. 11.

2	https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0002185
3	Wu, T.C. (2005), "Surveying safety performance in laboratories in universities and colleges", Chinese
4	Journal of Science Education, Vol. 13 No. 4, pp. 465-482.
5	Wu, Y.F., Yuan, M.H., and Meng, T. (2017), "Research on accident-causing theory model of construction
6	engineering", Technology Innovation and Productivity, Vol. 11, pp. 58-61.
7	Xu, S., Zhang, M., Xia, B., and Liu, J. (2021), "Exploring construction workers' attitudinal ambivalence:
8	a system dynamics approach", Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, Vol.
9	ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-01-2021-0097
10	Zohar, D. (2000), "A group-level model of safety climate: Testing the effect of group climate on
11	microaccidents in manufacturing jobs", Journal of Applied Psychology, Vol. 85 No. 4, pp. 587-
12	596. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.85.4.587

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

2 3 Table I

Final items

Construct	Dimension	No.	Item
Psychological contract	Normative	P1	Provide reasonable wages
		P2	Provide social welfare (vacation, medical insurance, labor insurance)
		P3	Provide stable job security
		P4	Provide adequate resources
	Interpersonal	Р5	Communicate smoothly between superiors and subordinates a obtain a harmonious relationship
		P6	Fully respect and trust employees and support them
		P7	Concern about employees' life and ideological problems
		P8	Care for the personal growth and development of employees
		P9	Obtain a harmonious colleague relationship
		P10	Treat each employee sincerely
	Developmental	P11	Provide training opportunities
		P12	Provide timely job guidance
		P13	Able to show skills in the work
		P14	Create career development and promotion space
		P15	Variety of challenging jobs
Safety performance	Safety result	S 1	The rate of safety accidents in construction site is low
		S2	There are basically no safety accidents on site
		S3	Economic loss from safety accidents is low
		S4	Generally, site safety conditions are good
	Safety	S5	I always follow the correct safety rules when I work
	compliance	S 6	I abide by the safety rules and regulations of the enterprise
		S 7	I work in the safest possible state
		S 8	I always use all the necessary safety facilities when I work
		S9	I work safely even if the foreman does not supervise
	Safety	S10	I will participate in the safety risk assessment of the company
	participation	S11	I will take the initiative to correct my colleagues' wrong action or ideas
			I will take the initiative to demonstrate to my colleagues the correct method of operation
			I will make suggestions for improving safety in production
		S14	I volunteer to take part in activities to improve workplace safe

4

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1 2 3

Table II

Reliability analysis results						
Dimension	Cronbach's alpha	Ν				
Normative	0.806	4				
Interpersonal	0.817	7				
Developmental	0.802	4				
Psychological contract	0.910	15				
Safety result	0.838	4				
Safety compliance	0.811	5				
Safety participation	0.808	5				
Safety performance	0.899	14				

5 Table III

KMO and Bartlett's spherical test results of the psychological contract and safety performance items

Test		Psychological contract	Safety performance
КМО		0.886	0.886
	Approximate chi- square	1579.143	1297.058
Bartlett's spherical test	df	105	91
	Sig.	.000	.000

8 9 Table IV

10 Validity analysis results of the psychological contract items

Dimension	Item	R	C.R.	CR	AVE
Normative	P1	0.798	10.487		
	P2	0.557	7.432	0.700	0.500
	Р3	0.678	9.002	0.798	0.500
	P4	0.717	/		
Interpersonal	P5	0.612	8.089		
	P6	0.619	8.173		
	P7	0.696	9.113		
	P8	0.691	9.057	0.875	0.500
	P9	0.646	8.510		
	P10	0.685	8.982		
	P11	0.685	/		
Developmental	P12	0.689	6.868		
	P13	0.63	6.529	0.799	0.500
	P14	0.601	6.348	0.799	0.500
	P15	0.524	/		

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

Table V

Validity analysis results of the safety performance items

Dimension	Item	R	C.R.	CR	AVE
Safety Result	S1	0.883	9.769		
	S2	0.702	8.393	0.707	0.500
	S3	0.799	9.240	0.797	0.500
	S4	0.635	/		
Safety Compliance	S5	0.508	6.601		
	S 6	0.541	7.000		
	S 7	0.667	8.415	0.831	0.500
	S 8	0.731	9.901		
	S9	0.658	/		
Safety Participation	S10	0.651	6.827		
	S11	0.704	7.128		
	S12	0.671	6.943	0.832	0.500
	S13	0.566	6.275		
	S14	0.515	/		

Table VI

Dimension	No.	Item	AVG	SD	Ν
Normative	P1	Provide reasonable wages	4.50	0.58	206
	P2	Provide social welfare (vacation, medical insurance, labor insurance)	4.71	0.63	206
	P3	Provide stable job security	4.62	0.54	206
	P4	Provide adequate resources	4.67	0.52	206
Interpersonal	P5	Communication between superiors and subordinates is smooth and obtains a harmonious relationship	4.78	0.45	206
	P6	Fully respect and trust employees and support them	4.66	0.51	206
	P7	Concern about employees' life and ideological problems	4.72	0.51	206
	P8	Care for personal growth and development of employees	4.71	0.50	206
	Р9	Have a harmonious colleague relationship	4.76	0.50	206
	P10	Treat each employee sincerely	4.78	0.45	206
Developmental	P11	Provide training opportunities	4.71	0.56	206
	P12	Provide job guidance in time	4.76	0.48	206
	P13	Able to show skills in the work	4.73	0.49	206
	P14	Create career development and promotion space	4.55	0.64	206
	P15	Various and challenging job	4.65	0.63	206

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

1 2 Table VII

Safety performance state

Dimension	No. Item	AVG	SD	Ν
Safety Result	S1 The rate of safety accidents on site is low	4.53	0.60	206
	S2 There are basically no safety accidents on site	4.67	0.55	206
	S3 Economic loss resulting from safety accidents is low	4.60	0.60	206
	S4 Generally, the safety condition of the site is good	4.73	0.48	206
Safety Compliance	S5 I always follow the correct safety rules when I work	4.80	0.43	206
	S6 I abide by the safety rules and regulations of the enterprise	4.81	0.45	206
	S7 I will work in the safest possible state	4.77	0.46	206
	S8 I always use all the necessary safety facilities when I work	4.74	0.51	206
	S9 I will work safely even if the foreman does not supervise	4.79	0.43	206
Safety Participation	S10 I will participate in the safety risk assessment of the company	4.74	0.48	206
	S11 I will take the initiative to correct my colleagues' wrong actions or ideas	4.74	0.51	206
	S12 I will take the initiative to demonstrate to my colleagues the correct method of operation	4.80	0.43	206
	S13 I will make suggestions for improving safety in production	4.77	0.46	206
	S14 I volunteer to take part in activities to improve workplace safety	4.76	0.47	206

3

4

5 Table VIII

6 Regression analysis

	Nonstandardized Coefficient		Standardize d Coefficient	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval of B	
-	B Standard Error	Trial Version	Lower Limit			Upper Limit	
(Constant)	0.314	0.28		1.119	0.264	-0.239	0.867
Psychological Contract	0.923	0.06	0.734	15.437	0	0.805	1.041

Construct/dimension	AVG	SD	Normative	Interpersonal	Developmental	Psychological Contract	Safety Result	Safety Compliance	Safety Participation	Safety Performance
Normative	4.63	0.44	1							
Interpersonal	4.73	0.36	.771**	1						
Developmental	4.67	0.42	.653**	.700**	1					
Psychological Contract	4.68	0.36	.906**	.907**	.878**	1				
Safety Result	4.63	0.46	.686**	.650**	.635**	.734**	1			
Safety Compliance	4.78	0.33	.689**	.787**	.512**	.732**	.561**	1		
Safety Participation	4.76	0.34	.709**	.768**	.608**	.771**	.667**	.789**	1	
Safety Performance	4.72	0.33	.788**	.823**	.671**	.845**	.876**	.859**	.910**	1

Table IXCorrelation analysis results