



#### https://helda.helsinki.fi

An assessment of prevalence of Type 1 CFI rare variants in European AMD, and why lack of broader genetic data hinders development of new treatments and healthcare access

Jones, Amy

2022-09-06

Jones , A , Curtiss , D , Harris , C , Southerington , T , Hautalahti , M , Wihuri , P , Mäkelä , J , Kallionpää , R E , Makkonen , E , Knopp , T , Mannermaa , A , Mäkinen , E , Moilanen , A-M , Tezel , T H & Waheed , N K 2022 , ' An assessment of prevalence of Type 1 CFI rare variants in European AMD, and why lack of broader genetic data hinders development of new treatments and healthcare access ' , PLoS One , vol. 17 , no. 9 , e0272260 . https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/352460 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272260

cc\_by publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.



### GOPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Jones AV, Curtiss D, Harris C, Southerington T, Hautalahti M, Wihuri P, et al. (2022) An assessment of prevalence of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants in European AMD, and why lack of broader genetic data hinders development of new treatments and healthcare access. PLoS ONE 17(9): e0272260. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0272260

Editor: Anand Swaroop, National Eye Institute, UNITED STATES

Received: February 16, 2022

Accepted: July 14, 2022

Published: September 6, 2022

**Peer Review History:** PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272260

**Copyright:** © 2022 Jones et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

## An assessment of prevalence of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants in European AMD, and why lack of broader genetic data hinders development of new treatments and healthcare access

Amy V. Jones<sup>1</sup>, Darin Curtiss<sup>1</sup>, Claire Harris<sup>1</sup>, Tom Southerington<sup>2,3</sup>, Marco Hautalahti<sup>2</sup>, Pauli Wihuri<sup>2</sup>, Johanna Mäkelä<sup>2</sup>, Roosa E. Kallionpää<sup>4</sup>, Enni Makkonen<sup>5</sup>, Theresa Knopp<sup>6</sup>, Arto Mannermaa<sup>7</sup>, Erna Mäkinen<sup>8</sup>, Anne-Mari Moilanen<sup>9</sup>, Tongalp H. Tezel<sup>10</sup>, on behalf of the SCOPE Study group<sup>1</sup>, Nadia K. Waheed<sup>1,11</sup>\*

 Gyroscope Therapeutics Limited, London, United Kingdom, 2 Finnish Biobank Cooperative–FINBB, Turku, Finland, 3 University of Turku, Turku, Finland, 4 Auria Biobank, Turku University Hospital and University of Turku, Turku, Finland, 5 Finnish Clinical Biobank Tampere, Tampere, Finland, 6 Helsinki Biobank, HUS, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland, 7 Biobank of Eastern Finland, KYS, Kuopio, Finland,
 8 Biobank of Central Finland, Hospital Nova of Central Finland, Jyväskylä, Finland, 9 Biobank Borealis of Northern Finland, Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland, 10 Department of Ophthalmology, Edward S. Harkness Eye Institute, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, United States of America, 11 Department of Ophthalmology, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America

¶ Membership of the SCOPE Study group is provided in the Acknowledgments. \* nadiakwaheed@gmail.com

### Abstract

#### Purpose

Advanced age-related macular degeneration (AAMD) risk is associated with rare complement Factor I (FI) genetic variants associated with low FI protein levels (termed 'Type 1'), but it is unclear how variant prevalences differ between AMD patients from different ethnicities.

#### Methods

Collective prevalence of Type 1 *CFI* rare variant genotypes were examined in four European AAMD datasets. Collective minor allele frequencies (MAFs) were sourced from the natural history study SCOPE, the UK Biobank, the International AMD Genomics Consortium (IAMDGC), and the Finnish Biobank Cooperative (FINBB), and compared to paired control MAFs or background population prevalence rates from the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD). Due to a lack of available genetic data in non-European AAMD, power calculations were undertaken to estimate the AAMD population sizes required to identify statistically significant association between Type 1 *CFI* rare variants and disease risk in different ethnicities, using gnomAD populations as controls.

#### Results

Type 1 *CFI* rare variants were enriched in all European AAMD cohorts, with odds ratios (ORs) ranging between 3.1 and 7.8, and a greater enrichment was observed in dry AMD

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This study was funded by Gyroscope Therapeutics Limited. Study design, data collection, data analyses, decision to publish and preparation of the manuscript was performed by employees of Gyroscope Therapeutics Limited (https://www.gyroscopetx.com/).

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist: Tom Southerington Enni Makkonen Roosa E. Kallionpää Pauli Wihuri Theresa Knopp Marco Hautalahti Johanna Mäkelä Arto Mannermaa Erna Mäkinen Anne-Mari Moilanen Authors with competing interests I have read the journal's policy and the authors of this manuscript have the following competing interests: Amy Jones: employee and share holder of Gyroscope Therapeutics Ltd Darin Curtiss: employee and share holder of Gyroscope Therapeutics Ltd Claire Harris: employee and share holder of Gyroscope Therapeutics Ltd, Research grant from RA Phaemaceutics (payment to institution), Royalty income from commercialized factor I ELISA; Hycult Biotech, consultancy income from Q32 Bio Inc, Chinook Therapeutics, and Biocryst Pharmaceuticals (all payment to institution), Nadia Waheed: employee and share holder of Gyroscope Therapeutics Ltd, grants from Carl Zeiss Meditec, Topcon, Regeneron, Heidelberg, Nidek, Optovue, consultancy income from Apellis, Nidek, Boehringer Ingelheim, stock in Ocudyne.

Abbreviations: AAV, Adeno-Associated Virus; ACMG, American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AMD, Age-related macular degeneration; AAMD, Advanced Age-related macular degeneration; AP, Alternative pathway; CI, Confidence interval; FI, Factor I; FH, Factor H; CNV, Choroidal neovascularisation; CS, Complement system; FINBB, Finnish Biobank Cooperative; GA, Geographic atrophy; GnomAD, Genome Aggregation Database; GWAS, Genome-wide association study; IAMDGC, International AMD Genomics Consortium; MAF, Minor allele frequency; MNV, Macular neovascularisation; NGS, Next-generation sequencing; OR, Odds ratio; RAF, Rare allele frequency; RPE, Retinal pigment epithelium; PheWAS, Phenome-wide association studies; PCA, Principal component analysis; SNP, Single nucleotide polymorphism; USA, United States of America; UTR, Untranslated; UKB, UK Biobank; VAF, Variant allele frequency; VEGF, Vascular endothelial growth factor; WGS, Whole exome sequencing.

from FINBB (OR 8.9, 95% CI 1.49–53.31). The lack of available non-European AAMD datasets prevented us exploring this relationship more globally, however a statistical association may be detectable by future sequencing studies that sample approximately 2,000 AAMD individuals from Ashkenazi Jewish and Latino/Admixed American ethnicities.

#### Conclusions

The relationship between Type 1 *CFI* rare variants increasing odds of AAMD are well established in Europeans, however the lack of broader genetic data in AAMD has adverse implications for clinical development and future commercialisation strategies of targeted FI therapies in AAMD. These findings emphasise the importance of generating more diverse genetic data in AAMD to improve equity of access to new treatments and address the bias in health care.

#### Introduction

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a progressive retinal disease that is the leading cause of irreversible central vision loss among the elderly population across industrialised countries [1-3]. Early stages of AMD are characterized by drusen and pigmentary changes, causing mild visual impairment. Many patients progress to late stage or advanced AMD (AAMD), which can be distinguished into two subtypes; wet AMD involves angiogenesis in the choroid and subsequent macular or choroidal neovascularisation (MNV or CNV), and advanced dry AMD involves degeneration or geographic atrophy (GA) or degeneration of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and overlying retina [4]. The overall prevalence of AAMD in the US population 40 years and older has been estimated to be 1.47%, with disease rates increasing significantly with age [1]. AMD is a complex disease with a multifactorial aetiology influenced by age and environmental factors such as diet and sunlight exposure, and a positive family history [3, 5]. Underlying ethnicity is known to influence disease prevalence [1], clinical presentation [6], and treatment response [7], but a lack of studies performed in patients from non-European backgrounds makes understanding the breadth and impact of this challenging. Unlike wet AMD, to date there is no effective treatment for dry AMD, and while anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy has been reasonably successful in the short term, vision gains are not maintained at 5 years after starting treatment, and many patients ultimately progress to GA [8].

An established approach for developing effective therapies for diseases is to target underlying pathogenomic pathways, identified using results from human genetic studies conducted on affected patients [9]. One example is HMG-CoA reductase encoded by the *HMGCR* gene, that has been associated with serum cholesterol levels and is the target for statins [10]. Genetic evidence combined with results from immunohistochemistry and biomarker studies strongly point to an overactive complement system (CS) being a main driver of AMD development and progression, and this may be a suitable target pathway for targeted therapy [11, 12]. The CS is a complex and tightly regulated pathway critical to maintaining an effective innate immune response [13], and it remains to be determined what is the optimal point to intervene [14]. Injection of complement inhibitors into the ocular fluid has been one approach trialled in AMD with varying success [15–18]. Another strategy is using Adeno-Associated Virus (AAV) gene therapy to target human complement Factor I (*CFI*) gene to the retinal pigment epithelium to drive expression of FI protein. FI is a serine protease that acts a global down regulator of all three CS pathways, acting in conjunction with co-factors, one of which is complement Factor H (FH) [19–21].

Ocular FI supplementation therapy may show the greatest treatment response in GA patients who carry particular rare genetic variants in the *CFI* gene, which causes them to express lower levels of FI protein in the body and in the eye [22–26]. The collection of rare *CFI* variant genotypes that cause low or 'haploinsufficient' FI protein levels are termed 'Type 1' [22, 26]. Type 1 rare *CFI* variants are amongst the strongest risk factors for AAMD [22, 23, 27, 28], with some genotypes linked to an earlier age of disease onset and altered disease progression [24, 29]. Genetically driven, sustained reduction in FI level over a person's lifetime may be considered causal for AAMD [30]. Consistent secretion of endogenous FI to physiological levels with AAV should balance the hyperactive CS, reducing chronic inflammation and ultimately slow macular degeneration [31]. A treatment response from FI supplementation is also anticipated in the broader GA population, as studies have shown complement dysregulation is widespread across individuals who carry a range of other rare and common risk-associated variants in complement genes [32, 33]. Clinical trials testing response to ocular FI supplementation delivered by AAV in GA are underway in phase 1 (NCT03846193) and two phase 2 studies (NCT04437368 and NCT04566445).

Grouping individuals based on particular disease-risk genotypes is a useful approach to stratify patients in the clinic and to test treatments targeting shared underlying pathological processes in a homogeneous population, like those that carry *CFI* rare variants. Estimations show Type 1 *CFI* rare variants are found in between 1–3% of GA patients from European backgrounds, but there is lack of concordance between which genotypes are defined 'Type 1' [25, 26, 34, 35]. Population genetic studies report that typically, rare genetic variants that confer a strong functional consequence tend to arise and cluster in specific populations or ethnicities, compared to more common genetic variants that are typically distributed across most human populations [36, 37]. It is unclear how relevant the prevalence estimations from Type 1 *CFI* rare variants derived from European AMD are for AMD patients arising from different geographies or ethnicities. Understanding this has implications for clinical development strategy and commercial planning for FI targeted therapies, where prevalence estimates may help inform who is most likely to benefit globally. In addition, these knowledge gaps have implications for equity of access to new treatments and health care.

To explore this in more detail, analyses of available evidence from different AAMD datasets was undertaken to determine whether Type 1 *CFI* rare variant genotype prevalences vary between cohorts. Frequencies observed in AAMD datasets from European and Finnish populations were compared to background prevalence rates sourced from publicly available data from the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD) [38]. Due to a lack of non-European AAMD cohorts with comprehensive targeted sequencing data available, an investigation was conducted to determine whether it was possible to use underlying background Type 1 *CFI* rare variant genetic frequencies of a population to predict the prevalence and enrichment of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants in those that might go on to develop AMD using data from gnomAD. This may stimulate the field to generate more sequencing studies in individuals with AAMD from diverse ethnic backgrounds, further supporting the clinical development of novel therapies which could reach a wider range of AAMD patients.

#### Aims

To explore the prevalence of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants in available AAMD datasets and background populations from different ethnicities.

#### Methods

#### Overview of methods and selection of datasets

For *CFI* variant frequency analysis, we selected the 18 'Type 1' *CFI* rare variant genotypes defined by Java et. al. (2020) [26], in a European AAMD cohort, on the basis 29 carrier patient serum blood samples underwent comprehensive serum-based *in vitro* functional assays measuring FI level and complement activity to determine 'Type 1' status.

Because Java et. al. (2020) described the functional nature of different *CFI* rare variant from only in a minority of cases with serum available for functional evaluation of each genotype, more accurate variant frequency data was sourced from an earlier study examining the same underlying European AAMD (n = 2,266) and control sample (n = 1,400) datasets, as reported by Kavanagh et. al. (2015) [22], which employed a targetted next-generation sequencing strategy to capture the *CFI* coding and 5' untranslated (UTR) and 3' UTR regions (Table 1) [22].

Allele frequencies for rare *CFI* variants were compared to those observed in published studies providing genetic data from other unique AMD cohorts comprising greater than 500 individuals, and cohorts that did not filter their sample size based on other criteria prior to genotyping. The International AMD Genomics Consortium (IAMDGC) AAMD meta-GWAS publication [34] was selected as the source of variant frequencies for contributing studies published elsewhere [24, 39, 40], on the basis that duplicate individuals were filtered out during data analysis. Type 1 *CFI* rare variant status was determined in a series of AAMD and population control studies listed below. All Type 1 *CFI* rare variants identified in AAMD cases were recorded as heterozygous. Variant positions were provided to genome build hg19/GRCh37 coordinates and FI amino acid changes were described according to protein accession number NP\_000195.2. The term 'rare' refers throughout to variants that are equal or less than 1% minor allele frequency (MAF) in European background (control) populations [41].

#### **Genetic datasets**

**IAMDGC meta-GWAS.** The IAMDGC represents the largest collection of AMD samples (16,144 European AAMD cases and 17,832 European controls), and a detailed description of the study design and genetic data has been previously described [34]. To summarise, AMD samples were gathered from 26 studies from cohorts across the United States (US), Europe and Australia. AAMD cases were defined using a series of grading systems as presence of CNV

 Table 1. Type 1 CFI rare variants are collectively enriched in European AAMD datasets.

Study	AAMD cases (n)	Type 1 <i>CFI</i> rare variant carriers in cases (n)	Collective AAMD Type 1 <i>CFI</i> rare variant frequency	Controls (n)	CFI Type 1 rare variant carriers in controls (n)	Collective control Type 1 <i>CFI</i> rare variant frequency	P value	OR	95% CI
SCOPE	3,243	84	2.590%	64,603	221	0.342%	8.01E- 78 <sup>a</sup>	7.75	6.02- 9.99
UK Biobank pheWAS portal [44]	3,770	38	1.008%	234,829	764	0.325%	6.77E- 13 <sup>a</sup>	3.12	2.25- 4.33
IAMDGC [34]	16,144	197	1.220%	17,832	53	0.297%	2.74E- 23 <sup>a</sup>	4.14	3.06– 5.62
FINBB	943	2	0.212%	12,562	3	0.024%	4.20E- 02 <sup>b</sup>	8.90	1.49– 53.31
Kavanagh et. al. (2015) [22]	2,266	54	2.383%	1,400	3	0.214%	9.32E- 09 <sup>b</sup>	11.37	3.55- 36.43

CI confidence interval. Statistical significance was calculated using Chi Squared test<sup>a</sup> or Fishers Exact test<sup>b</sup>. n; number, OR; odds ratio, CI; confidence interval.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272260.t001

and/or GA in at least one eye as determined by the study ophthalmologist or principal investigator, and age at first diagnosis >50 years. The breakdown of GA, CNV or mixed AAMD subtype in European individuals was approximately 20%, 67%, and 13%, respectively. Controls were individuals without known advanced or intermediate AMD. Participant DNA was genotyped using a customised chip that tested both common variants and rare variants, including many rare protein-altering variants previously identified using targeted sequencing in a European AAMD cohort [42]. Participant data was filtered to exclude data from related or duplicate individuals, and principal component analysis was applied to define and group individuals by ethnicity, prior to GWAS analysis. AAMD individuals with non-European ancestries were also identified, however as each population totalled under 500, they were discounted from our investigation due to insufficient power for rare variant detection.

**UK Biobank.** The UK Biobank is a large-scale prospective cohort study that has so far enrolled 502,507 participants aged 40–69 years across the UK between 2006–2010 [43]. Exome sequencing data from 269,171 UK Biobank participants of European ancestry was recently combined with survey responses on sociodemographic characteristics, lifestyle, body measurements and medical records, that were mapped as binary or quantitative phenotypes in the form of ICD10-based codes, then subject to a series of phenome-wide association studies (phe-WAS) [44]. Participant self-reported AMD status was mapped as a binary trait to the closest ICD10 phenotype category for AMD; 'Union#H353#H35.3 Degeneration of macula and posterior pole'. Summary genotype counts of affected cases and controls were obtained from the AstraZeneca PheWAS Portal (https://azphewas.com/) and evaluated for Type 1 *CFI* rare variant status.

**SCOPE.** GA patients were recruited into SCOPE, a natural history study (study number NCT03894020; https://clinicaltrials.gov/). Patients were included who had unilateral or bilateral GA as determined by FAF and a reading performance of  $\geq$ 40 letters by best corrected visual acuity. Patients were excluded who had MNV or diabetic retinopathy, as determined by the principal investigator at the study site. Patients defined their race or ethnicity as either White, Hispanic or Latino, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian, Black or African American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, other, unknown, or not reported. Approximately 98% self-identified as White.

Patient's saliva DNA was screened for rare *CFI* variants using targeted next-generation sequencing conducted by Molecular Vision Laboratory (Oregon, USA). A customised capture panel was designed using Agilent SureSelect Target Enrichment kit to amplify the *CFI* coding region. Paired-end reads were sequenced using Illumina Miseq V2 platform, using acceptance thresholds of >30X coverage over >98% target region. Sequencing reads were aligned to human reference genome NCBI build GRCh37v3 using NextGENe software v2.4.2.3 and genotypes were called using Genetics Assistant v1.4.7 program.

**Finnish AAMD.** The Finnish Biobank Cooperative (FINBB) is a network of six hospital and two cohort university biobanks which provides a centralised resource for conducting genotype-phenotype studies (www.finbb.fi). We identified 943 individuals in FINBB with AAMD using ICD-10 coded biobank health-registry information; defined as a positive diagnosis of atrophic 'dry' AMD (H35.30), but excluding exudative 'wet' AMD and glaucoma (H35.31, H36.0, H35.7, H40.1, H40.2, H40.3, H40.4, H40.5, H40.6, H40.8, H40.9). Individuals were aged 55–95 years, and currently alive. Sequencing over the *CFI* coding region and 50 base pairs either side of intron/exon boundary was performed on de-identified DNA samples using a CleanPlex amplicon sequencing approach (Paragon Genomics) by the Finnish Institute of Molecular Medicine laboratory. PCR amplicons were sequenced as 151 base pair (bp) or 251 bp paired end reads and two 8 bp index reads using the Illumina MiSeq instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA, US). The data were analysed with a novel in-house bioinformatics pipeline,

where sequencing reads were aligned using bowtie 2 (version 2.2.9) against human reference genome Ensembl (version 75) build of GRCh37. All *CFI* variants with variant allele count over 5 and variant allele frequency (VAF) over 0.5% were taken into consideration. From these candidates, false positives were initially filtered out based on the noise (estimated error rate) level from control sample in every run making use of a binomial distribution to compute p-value for the event that more than the frequency of alternative alleles were observed when the null hypothesis is true. However, variants with VAF over 2% were called independent of the noise. A specific frequency ratio was used to filter out false positive by dividing the ratio of variant calls/number of all the bases (at a position) by the ratio of variant allele quality sum/quality sum of all the bases. All variants were verified with Integrative Genomics Viewer [45].

**GnomAD.** The gnomAD database is a resource developed by international coalition of investigators to aggregate exome and whole genome sequencing data from a wide range of large-scale sequencing projects and make summary data available to the wider scientific community [38]. The database totals data from 141,456 unrelated individuals spanning 6 global and 8 sub-continental ancestries, from disease-specific or population genetics studies. Principal component analysis (PCA) and a random forest classifier was used to assign individuals to known ancestries (either African/African American, Latino/Admixed American, East Asian, South Asian, Non-Finnish European, Finnish, and other or population not assigned). Data from GnomAD release V2.1.1 was used for variant analyses for each ethnicity, apart from the African/African-American population where only V3.1.1 release was used, as this provided results from a greater number of individuals. V3.1.1 also provided data from Amish and Middle Eastern ancestries for the first time however due to small sample size (n < 500) and absence of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants, they were not included in our analyses.

Data was accessed through the gnomAD browser (http://gnomad.broadinstitute.org) and we used 'observed Afs' representing the count ratio of the actually detected minor alleles to reliably sequenced alleles.

#### **Ethics statement**

For the SCOPE and Finnish studies, written consent was obtained from all participants in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. For the SCOPE study, institutional review board (IRB)/ ethics committee/ regulatory authority approval was obtained on a local or countryspecific basis; United Kingdom (Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, London, and North of Scotland Research Ethics Committee), United States of America (Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, The Johns Hopkins Medicine IRB, Baltimore, Wills Eye Hospital IRB, Philadelphia, Columbia University IRB, New York and Advarra), Australia (Therapeutic Goods Administration, Woden, Bellberry Human Research Ethics Committee, Eastwood), Poland (Komisja Bioetyczna przy Bydgoskiej Izbie Lekarskej, Komisja Bioetyczna przy Okregowej Izbie Lekarskiej w Lodzi, Komisja Bioetyczna Slaskiej Izby Lekarskiej w Katowicach, Office for Registration of Medicinal Products, Medical Devices and Biocidal Products, Warszawa), Germany (Ethikkommission der Medizinischen Fakultät der Eberhard Karls Universität und am Universitätsklinikum Tübingen), France (Comité de protection des Personnes Sud Méditerrannée I-Marseille), The Netherlands (CMO Regio Arnhem-Nijmegen UMC St. Radboud) and Spain (CEIC-Hospital Clinico San Carlos, Madrid). For the Finnish study, written consent was obtained from all participants also in accordance with the Finnish Biobank Act (688/2012), and ethics review and approval was obtained from the Helsinki Ethical Review Board. Ethics review committees/IRBs that reviewed and approved previously published datasets who's summary findings were evaluated in this manuscript have been previously described; IAMDGC [34], UK Biobank [44], and gnomAD [38].

#### Statistical analyses

The collective OR for Type 1 *CFI* rare variants in each population was calculated by totalling the number of carriers of each variant observed per dataset and assuming that all instances of *CFI* rare variant genotype identified were mutually exclusive and there was uniform sequencing coverage for all individuals within each sub-population. For each genotype, the MAF was calculated as the number of rare variant alleles divided by total number of alleles observed in the respective datasets, and the collective Type 1 *CFI* rare variant MAF was the sum of all Type 1 *CFI* rare variant genotype MAF values.

The association of collective Type 1 *CFI* rare variants with AAMD was calculated using Chi squared test, apart from those cohorts reporting frequency of <5, where we used Fisher's exact test. Sample size estimates and power calculations were performed using PROC POWER procedure in SAS (ver 9.4).

Variant American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) classifications [46] were obtained from Franklin, a web-based variant annotation tool (https://franklin.genoox. com) accessed on 19/01/2022.

#### Results

## Evaluating Type 1 *CFI* rare variant prevalences in European AAMD datasets

For the 18 rare *CFI* variant genotypes categorised as Type 1 [26], collective frequencies from the Kavanagh et.al. (2015) AAMD dataset [22] were compared to total frequencies derived from four independent European AMD datasets. These studies include those with available paired case-control genotype data from the IAMDGC AAMD meta-GWAS [34] and a recent UK Biobank pheWAS data release [44]. We also sourced genotype data from individuals with GA from the natural history study SCOPE, and individuals with dry AMD from FINBB, a hospital and academic network of biobanks based in Finland. A description of key differences between study design is provided in <u>S1 Table</u>.

These analyses showed Type 1 *CFI* rare variants were significantly enriched in all European AAMD cohorts, with the strongest odds observed in the Kavanagh et. al. (2015) dataset [22] (OR 11.37, 95% confidence interval (CI) 3.55–36.43) (Table 1). Compared to the collective ORs from SCOPE, UK Biobank, and IAMDGC which ranged between 3.1 and 7.8, greater enrichment was observed in the FINBB AAMD dataset (OR 8.9, 95% CI 1.49–53.31). This observation is striking given the starting collective frequency of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants observed in both the FINBB AAMD and Finnish background control populations were approximately 5–15 fold lower compared to the other European AAMD or control populations.

Considering each Type 1 *CFI* rare variant genotype identified in the European AAMD dataset separately, in the Kavanagh et. al. (2015) AAMD dataset [22] five were observed with MAFs between 0.1%-1% (p.Ala240Gly, p.Gly119Arg, p.Gly162Asp, p.Ala258Thr and p. Gly287Arg), and the remaining 13 genotypes had MAFs under 0.1% (S2 Table). Similar individual MAFs were observed in the other non-Finnish European AMD datasets for four of the genotypes (p.Gly119Arg, p.Ala258Thr, p.Gly287Arg and p.Val152Met), but those with rarer MAFs (<0.1%) went largely undetected in the other AMD datasets, likely due to limited power for identification due to sample size limitations. Enrichment for Type 1 *CFI* rare variants observed in Finnish AMD was driven by the presence of only two *CFI* rare variant genotypes (p.Gly119Arg and p.Arg474Ter), despite their apparent absence in the gnomAD Finnish background control population (S2 Table).

# Evaluating Type 1 *CFI* rare variant prevalences in different background ethnicities in gnomAD

GnomAD is a publicly available resource providing precise genetic variant frequency data from different ethnic populations [38]. GnomAD allele frequency information may be considered representative of the expected allele frequencies in the given general (background) population, and these can be used as controls for comparison to disease allele frequencies in various genetic association studies, when paired controls may be unavailable [47]. Inspection of the gnomAD database showed that overall, collective Type 1 *CFI* rare variant allele frequencies and diversity varied considerably across the different gnomAD background ancestries (S3 Table). Interestingly, the highest background frequency (0.550%), compared to the background non-Finnish European population frequency (0.174%). The Latino/Admixed American population reported a similar collective frequency of 0.198% to the non-Finnish European population.

The lowest collective Type 1 *CFI* rare variant allele frequencies were reported in African/ African-American (0.019%), Finnish (0.012%), South Asian (0.052%), and East Asian background populations (0.005%). For these ancestries, we currently lack sufficiently sized datasets of genetically defined AAMD and paired control datasets to determine whether our list of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants that were identified in a European AAMD cohort, are also significantly enriched in the AAMD populations that would arise from these ethnic backgrounds. We explored whether it was possible to determine what sample sizes of non-European AAMD might be required to try to answer this question, using gnomAD ethnic populations as controls.

The highest diversity of different genotypes from the Type 1 *CFI* rare variant list were observed in the background non-Finnish European population (72%; 13/18) (<u>S4 Table</u>). This was followed by African/African-American and Latino/Admixed American populations (both 33%; 6/18), South Asians (22%; 4/18), then the Finnish and Ashkenazi Jewish populations (both 11%; 2/18), and finally East Asians with 6% (1/18).

Assuming that collectively Type 1 *CFI* rare variants increase odds of developing AAMD of at least 3 fold and with available information in each reference database (the number of subjects and the rate of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants) [1], we then calculated the AAMD population size required to provide statistically significant association (P<0.05) with 80% power or 90% power, respectively. A series of power calculations were performed to predict the AAMD sample sizes that would be required for screening, using the allele frequency of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants observed in the gnomAD background ethnicities (Table 2).

At 80% power, a sample size of more than 1,800 would be required to detect significance from Type 1 *CFI* rare variant frequencies in a non-Finnish European AAMD cohort, which is supported by positive findings in the sample sizes examined in the SCOPE, UK Biobank and IAMDGC AAMD datasets (Table 1).

For the Latino/Admixed American and South Asian AAMD ancestries, sample sizes of 1,800 and 15,000 respectively would be required to detect significance. Given the higher Type 1 *CFI* rare variant frequency observed in the background Ashkenazi Jewish population, a smaller AAMD sample size of 670 would be needed to detect significant association of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants and AAMD.

The African/African-American, South Asian and East Asian background ethnicities all report lower collective Type 1 *CFI* rare variant background allele frequencies and smaller gnomAD control sizes compared to Europeans. Even if the AAMD sample sizes from these ethnicities were at 1,000,000, the power calculations predict there still would not be sufficient power

	GnomAD release	GnomAD sample size (n)		Projected AAMD sample size		
Ethnicity			Collective Type 1 CFI rare variant frequency (%)	80% power	90% power	
Ashkenazi Jewish	V2.1.1	5,185	0.550%	670	1060	
Latino/Admixed American	V2.1.1	17,720	0.198%	1,800	2,800	
Non-Finnish European	V2.1.1	64,562	0.174%	1,800	2,700	
South Asian	V2.1.1	15,308	0.052%	15,000	32,000	
African/African-American	V3.1.1	20,744	0.019%	*	*	
Finnish	V2.1.1	12,562	0.012%	*	*	
East Asian	V2.1.1	9,977	0.005%	*	*	

Table 2. Projected AAMD sample sizes required to test for significant association between Type 1 *CFI* rare variants and disease in different ethnicities defined by gnomAD.

For each ethnicity, AAMD sample sizes were calculated using background control allele frequency, assuming OR = 3, and a significance threshold of p<0.05, at 80% and 90% power.

\*indicates statistical significance cannot be achieved even with an AAMD samples size of 1,000,000.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272260.t002

to detect a significant association between Type 1 *CFI* rare variants and AAMD arising in these populations.

The Finnish European population was also similarly low in starting background collective Type 1 *CFI* rare variant allele frequency (0.12%), and as illustrated in Table 2, the predicted AAMD population size needed to detect a significant association would have to be >1,000,000, before becoming informative. However direct sequencing of *CFI* in a Finnish AAMD cohort of only 943 participants revealed that collectively, Type 1 *CFI* rare variants doubled the odds of disease development than that observed in non-Finnish AAMD Europeans (OR 8.9 vs OR 3–6, respectively; Table 1).

#### Discussion

#### Are Type 1 CFI rare variants found only in European AAMD?

In this study, prevalences of Type 1 CFI rare variants previously defined by Java et. al (2020) [26] were reviewed in different datasets of European AAMD and compared to allele frequencies from paired control populations, or background frequencies observed in European populations from gnomAD [38]. The strongest odds for AAMD conferred collectively by Type 1 CFI rare variants was observed in the Kavanagh et. al. (2015) dataset [22] (OR 11.37, 95% CI 10.41-12.74), however this apparent greater enrichment and diversity of genotype may have arisen as a consequence from ascertainment bias, as the study used the same AAMD cohort as Java et. al (2020) [26] who devised the Type 1 CFI rare variant genotype list used in this study. Odds of developing AAMD conferred collectively by Type 1 CFI rare variants were between 3.1 and 7.8 in the SCOPE, UK Biobank and IAMDGC AAMD datasets. Concordance in ORs derived from the three studies provides good evidence for establishing the level of disease risk, despite variations in AMD population and study designs and which can confound genetic tests of association. As detailed in S1 Table, the studies targeted different forms of AAMD, used different approaches for patient ascertainment and determining patient ethnicity. The stronger ORs observed in the Kavanagh et. al. (2015) [22], SCOPE and FINBB studies may be reflective of the more stringent phenotyping undertaken by retinal specialists (for FINBB this was in a healthcare registry setting), to confirm each patient's AAMD diagnosis and disease stage. This is in comparison to the UK Biobank AAMD study which relied only on an individual's selfreported AMD status to define cases and controls, and reported a weaker OR of 3.1. Another

potential difference was the OR calculations on the SCOPE and FINBB studies that lacked paired controls screened using same method of genotyping, so datasets that matched the underlying ethnicity from gnomAD were used instead. In addition, differences in genotyping strategy employed by each study may have affected prevalence estimates by the ability to detect all protein-altering variation at *CFI*; SCOPE, UK Biobank, FINBB and the Kavanagh et. al. (2015) dataset [22] all used a targeted sequencing approach, but the IAMDGC study [34] relied on a custom modified HumanCoreExome SNP array, with enriched content for protein-altering variants in AAMD risk genes identified in previous targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) and whole genome sequencing (WGS) studies [42, 48]. Despite the less comprehensive genotyping strategy, the IAMDGC reported comparable collective variant frequencies of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants to the other studies, indicating that there was a good representation of the genotypes on the array.

Collective Type 1 *CFI* rare variant frequencies across different background ethnicities in gnomAD were then investigated [38]. Prevalences varied markedly, with the highest background variant frequency reported in the Ashkenazi Jewish population, followed by the Latino/Admixed American and non-Finnish European populations. This finding aligns with what is known about rare functional variants being restricted to specific populations where historical expansions and contractions can dramatically affect genome-wide patterns of genetic variation in a population [36] which are then further modified by natural selection to amplify or inhibit the frequency of functional alleles [49]. This suggests that Type 1 *CFI* rare variants may have arisen in particular populations which were then kept at low frequencies due to strong negative selection pressures on a tightly regulated CS. Interestingly, a more pronounced population specificity has been described for two rare *CFH* AAMD risk variants, which had highly variable frequencies between different European geographical regions, but the risk estimates for disease attributed to each variant were comparable across the geographical regions studied [50].

Why a higher *CFI* variant prevalence was observed in the background Ashkenazi Jewish population is unclear. Ashkenazi Jews are considered genetically distinct from closely related Europeans and Middle-Eastern populations [51], and have distinct genetic characteristics such as a higher prevalence of common diseases and autosomal recessive diseases [52]. The Ashkenazi Jewish population has previously undergone a historical bottlenecking event, followed by rapid expansion [53]. Deeper inspection showed the *CFI* Type 1 p.Ala240Gly genotype is present in 0.54% background individuals but is nearly absent across any other gnomAD ethnicity, suggesting it may be a founder variant in Ashkenazi Jews (S3 Table). P.Ala240Gly together with p.Gly119Arg account for all the Type 1 *CFI* rare variant Ashkenazi Jewish prevalence rate, and this apparent lack of genetic diversity and expanded p.Ala240Gly frequency fits with the overall picture of a restricted population. Interestingly a different *CFI* rare variant genotype (p.Val412Met), previously linked to low FI levels [25] but not on the prescribed Type 1 list, was reported as the likely disease-causing mutation in three Jewish families affected by early onset of AMD [54]. No studies have investigated yet whether AMD incidence in this population is different to other ethnicities.

A direct comparison was possible between the background collective Type 1 *CFI* rare variant frequency in Finnish population and a dry AMD dataset from the Finnish FINBB network. Type 1 *CFI* rare variants were calculated to confer a greater odds for disease of 8.9 compared to the OR of 3.1 and 7.8 in European AAMD datasets. It is unclear whether a greater disease risk afforded by Type 1 *CFI* rare variants is due to unique factors in the Finnish population, but the reduced background *CFI* variant frequencies in Finnish gnomAD population maybe another consequence of population bottlenecking and genetic drift events known to skew variant frequencies, and increase risk of particular Mendelian diseases [55]. Reasons for why Type 1 *CFI* rare variants confer a greater level of disease risk but are at much lower background frequency and diversity compared to outbred populations, will need to consider the role of environmental or demographic factors in this unique population.

Our study identified a similar collective prevalence of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants in European and Latino/Admixed Americans however the variants were much rarer in African/African-American, Finnish, South Asians and East Asians. These findings illustrate locations for where *CFI* rare variant positive patients may be more likely identified, when considering target population selection for FI therapy. However, before initiating any study these findings should be tested by direct sequencing of AAMD patients from that ethnicity. To determine the feasibility of sequencing studies, we explored whether by using gnomAD frequencies from different background ethnicities, we could predict the sample sizes of AAMD that would be required to detect significant association with Type 1 *CFI* rare variants in those populations that go on to develop AAMD. Power calculations on the Latino/Admixed American and Ashkenazi Jewish background populations identified relatively achievable sample sizes using a targeted sequencing strategy on 1,800 and 670 AAMD individuals from each ethnicity, respectively.

A much greater sample size would technically be required to test for association in South Asians (>15,000 individuals), and sequencing studies in East Asian and African/African-Americans would not be viable given the lack of power even if screening 1,000,000 AAMD individuals. Interestingly, the lack of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants in these populations reflects the trend for lower AMD incidence reported in these ethnicities. Compared to Europeans, incidence of all forms of AMD were lower in Asians (early AMD; 11.2% vs 6.8%, any AMD; 12.3% vs 7.4%), and also lower in Africans (early AMD; 11.2% vs 7.1%, late AMD; 0.5% vs 0.3%, and any AMD; 12.3% vs 7.5%). Europeans also had a higher prevalence of GA compared to Africans, Asians and Hispanics (1.11% vs 0.14%, 0.21% and 0.16%, respectively) [2]. Whether the lower incidence of disease correlates with fewer Type 1 *CFI* rare variants in AAMD in these populations remains an open question. Only by direct sequencing adequately powered AAMD and paired control cohorts from informative non-European populations, can we start to answer these questions and generate the information required to further clinical development of fairer treatments in AAMD.

Our approach makes assumptions that may affect the validity of our findings. Firstly, our chosen European AAMD datasets represent samples selected from the wider affected population and this can introduce sampling errors. This can be reduced by increasing the sample size so it reflects the wider population more effectively, but this comes with additional genotyping costs or may not be technically feasible. Power calculations inform sample sizes required to detect a known association and are especially important when investigating rare variants such as those found in *CFI*. Selection errors, such as when participants in a study 'self-select' to take part, may have also biased the AAMD studies used in our analysis.

The most prevalent Type 1 *CFI* rare variant genotype in our European AMD datasets was p. Gly119Arg, which had an individual rare allele frequency between 0.106% to 1.079%. A previous interaction analysis on p.Gly119Arg frequencies showed no differences in five European contributing datasets in the IAMDGC AAMD GWAS (locations included Eastern USA, Western USA, Western Europe, Britain, and Australia) [50] indicating it is relatively stable across European ethnicities. However, p.Gly119Arg was not detected at comparable levels to Europeans in other gnomAD ethnicities, nor was it detected in 2,119 East Asian neovascular AMD patients and 5,691 paired controls [56]. Focused sequencing across *CFI* in AAMD patients from different ethnicities will determine exactly how confined p.Gly119Arg is to Europeans.

In order to define our target population for *CFI* therapy we relied on the Type 1 *CFI* rare variant list provided by Java et. al. (2020) [26], which provided *in vitro* assessments of each genotype on complement activity. The 18 Type 1 *CFI* rare variant genotypes investigated in

this study were selected on the basis of comprehensive functional analyses showing their correlation with low serum FI levels in carrier blood serum from 29 AAMD patients [26]. Due to the rare nature of these genotypes, and limited availability of *CFI* rare variant positive patient serum samples, only between 1 and 4 samples per genotype were tested *in vitro*. Functional characterisation using high-throughput serum-based functional assays like that described by Java et al. (2020) [26] are required to determine more definitively the clinical impact of rare genotypes identified in affected patients, where *in silico* analysis or prior clinical or functional characterisations are lacking. Despite the limited number of *CFI* rare variant positive samples used previously for functional characterisation, there is strong concordance in findings of FI haploinsufficiency driven by these genotypes in previous studies examining serum from other individuals carrying the nominated Type 1 *CFI* rare variant genotypes [24, 25, 57–60].

Clinically, rare genetic variants are classified according to pathogenicity using ACMG classifications, to support clinical management in the context of rare disease [46]. However, the Type 1 *CFI* rare variants were mostly defined as 'variants of uncertain significance' by ACMG, with only three defined as 'Likely Pathogenic' or 'Pathogenic' (S2 Table), suggesting links between most Type 1 genotypes and pathological phenotype are not well established. P. Gly119Arg was classified as 'Likely Pathogenic' and has been isolated in familial AMD [61] and rare complement disorders [60, 62], but the remainder of genotypes have only been identified in sporadic AMD. How widespread genetic predisposition conferred by Type 1 *CFI* rare variants is within AMD remains unknown, but incomplete penetrance of other genetic variants is widely described across inherited retinal diseases [63]. Challenges in obtaining samples from affected pedigrees, incomplete penetrance, and the late-onset and heterogenous nature of the disease make investigating this challenging.

In addition to Type 1 *CFI* rare variants, Java et al. (2020) also described other *CFI* rare variant genotypes that produce FI proteins with FI levels in the normal range but had varying degrees of reduced enzymatic activity, determined using specialised *in vitro* complement binding assays [26]. Termed 'Type 2' and 'Type 3' *CFI* rare variants, it is plausible that carriers of these genotypes may also benefit from targeted FI gene therapy to restore normal protein function to the retina, rebalancing the CS. However, there is low concordance or a lack of independent verification in the literature for which genotypes meet the 'Type 2' or 'Type 3' categorisation, and less is known about how these genotypes confer elevated risk of AAMD, compared to Type 1 genotypes. For example, the *CFI* rare variant p.Arg406His was categorised as a Type 3 indicating moderate protein dysfunction, but this is contentious in the literature [64, 65]. Additionally, p.Arg406His has been previously reported as protective for AAMD, decreasing risk for disease not increasing risk, like other genotypes (OR: 0.1 [22]. Because of this, prevalences of *CFI* Type 2 and 3 genotypes were not investigated here, but carriers of Type 2 and 3 genotypes remain an important patient sub-group of AMD for the clinical development of FI-targeted therapies.

The lack of available sequencing information from non-European AMD has restricted the field's ability to uncover other rare variants that may be important drivers of disease. Direct sequencing of AMD-related genes in affected patients is required for discovery, and variants significantly associated with disease can then be taken forward to test for replication in independent patients that may or may not share the original genetic background. For clinical development of FI-targeted therapies to reach global populations, there needs to be more sequencing studies conducted on AMD populations from diverse ethnicities and geographies, in order to identify population-specific *CFI* rare variants that can be functionally characterised for their effect on the FI protein, and CS pathway. Any new *CFI* rare variant genotypes identified could be added to the Type 1 (or Type 2 and 3) lists, and individuals with AAMD who carry these variants may ultimately be stratified into clinical trials testing FI targeted

treatments that are seeking to enrol genetically defined sub-populations of AMD. This study describes power calculations used to estimate the likely sample sizes of individuals with AMD from different ethnic backgrounds, that may be required to detect (European) Type 1 *CFI* rare variants if present. However this does not address the sample sizes required to detect functional *CFI* rare variants that might be unique to a different ethnic group, as it is well documented that rare variation is more likely to be restricted to a given population [36, 37]. Furthermore, the sample size analyses performed here were limited to those genetic backgrounds defined by GnomAD, and there are more diverse ethnic groups that remain to be characterised.

Part of the IAMDGC AMD meta-GWAS [34] study design relied on replicating rare protein-altering variants previously identified in a European AAMD cohort in a larger series of AAMD patients largely of European descent [42, 48]. Of note, the IAMDGC study did include samples from Asians (473 cases vs 1,099 controls) and Africans (52 cases vs 361 controls), but due to power limitations, only selected to test association with common (European) AAMD risk SNPs. Whilst this hybrid approach was necessary to study the contribution of rare variation on disease risk across the largest meta-analysis in AAMD to date, it will be critical to the field going forward for large consortia to diversify their patient populations further both at the discovery and replication phases. A statistical process called imputation may provide an alternative approach to informing rare variant prevalences in different ethnicities [66]. However successful imputation relies on using large reference datasets of individuals that share the same ethnic background, and these currently do not exist for non-Europeans and admixed populations, especially at sample sizes required to power accurate imputation of very rare variants like those found in *CFI* [67].

#### Why does lack of AMD genetic data from non-European ethnicities matter?

AAMD accounts for 8.7% of all blindness worldwide, especially in people older than 60 years [2]. In the US, the yearly cost for direct health care due to AMD is \$255 billion, which is nearly half the direct cost for all vision loss (\$513 billion), making AMD the leading cause of visual disability in the developed world and the third globally [68].

Determining the burden and impact to society from AMD is critical for health care planning, but this can only be done by having a precise understanding of disease prevalences. Population-based studies of AMD have indicated differences exist between different racial or ethnic backgrounds, with all forms of AMD being more prevalent in Europeans compared to other ethnicities [2]. This apparent ethnic disparity is also reflected in much lower treatment rates in non-European AMD patients with associated use of anti-VEGF injections, as observed in Medicare claims data [69].

AMD incidences are increasing as a consequence of an expanding aged population; estimations for 2020 indicated there may be 196 million people worldwide suffering with AMD. The number of individuals affected by AMD is projected to rise to 288 million by 2040, with Asia accounting for the largest projected increase in cases, despite having the lowest current estimated prevalence [2]. The US is projected to become more racially and ethnically diverse in the coming years. Growth in admixed populations is already underway, with analysis of the US Census in 2010 predicting that the US will be a 'majority-minority' country by 2060, with African American and Latino populations expanding and admixing significantly [70]. The percentage of the population that is aged 65 years and over is expected to grow from 15% to 24%, equating to an increase of 7% in the native population aged 65 years and over (from 15% to 22%), and a growth of 18% in the foreign-born population (14% to 32%) for the same age group. Planning for commercialisation of new therapies like those being developed to target FI should consider how changes in population composition may affect a treatment's target population. Understanding genetic prevalences may benefit companies developing therapies by driving inclusion of clinical trial participants that are most likely to benefit from a treatment. Ultimately, this could result in treatments with clinical data and approved labels that can provide information on better real world outcomes across a more diverse patient population than today. This may help close the gap that can arise between results from a registrational trial that demonstrate a treatment is safe and effective, and how that translates to patient outcomes and drug success in a real-world setting [71].

Around 80% of all new drugs are tested in individuals of European descent, and are then administered to individuals of different descent, on the presumption of a generalised therapeutic response [72]. However, similar responses are not guaranteed, for example Asian patients with neovascular AMD reported a much greater rate of a disease presentation with polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy which affected 22 to 55% Asians compared to 5 to 8% European individuals. East Asians with polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy demonstrated a different treatment response to Bevacizumab compared to Europeans [6]. Clinical trial sponsors have traditionally struggled to enrol participants from diverse demographic groups, including racial and ethnic minorities [73], however this may improve following work by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to better promote the inclusion of underrepresented populations in clinical trials [74]. However, a lot of work still needs to be done to enable evaluation of new and emerging therapies in countries outside of the US and Europe.

Currently, genetic studies in non-European AMD ethnicities are either underpowered or do not use sequencing strategies that enable investigation into how rare variation influences disease [56, 75, 76], or they simply do not exist yet. The current status of AMD genetics reflects the wider landscape in human genetic research, where overrepresentation in genomic databases of Europeans or 'WEIRD' societies (Western, Education, Industrialised, Rich and Democratic) [77], has negative consequences both clinically and ethically [78, 79]. A study on individuals included in the GWAS Catalog, a comprehensive, publicly accessible summary of human genetic association research, revealed that in 2016, 81% of 35 million samples were of European ancestry [80]. Samples from East Asian and South Asian populations accounted for 14%, whereas African, Latin-American, Hispanic, and native or indigenous populations represented less than 4% of all samples analysed. Collectively, these are the most underserved and vulnerable populations in many of the world's richest nations. Under-representation of populations of mixed or non-European ancestry is a problem to precision medicine, as it limits the generalisability of findings from genomics research and reduces the evidence base for translating findings into clinical care for all populations.

The wider genetics community is responding, for example GWASs are now expanding to include greater numbers of individuals from multi-ethnic populations. The U.S. National Institutes of Health mandates the inclusion of diverse participants in the biomedical research it funds. Increased genetic heterogeneity in publicly available research datasets, will require a more comprehensive understanding of the genetic diversity and demographic history to support correct interpretation of results.

#### Conclusion

This study determined that the collective odds of AAMD conferred by Type 1 *CFI* rare variants varies between 3.1 to 7.8 in European AAMD datasets, and that disease odds may be even greater in Finnish AAMD (OR 8.9). The lack of available AAMD datasets from other ethnicities prevented exploration of this relationship more globally, so sample sizes were modelled to

illustrate a number that might be required to perform targeted sequencing studies in AAMD cohorts of different ethnicities to detect a significant association between Type 1 *CFI* rare variants and disease risk. This data bias has adverse effects on clinical development and future commercialisation strategies for targeted treatments in AAMD, which has a vast unmet and expanding need. The conclusions of this study further reinforce the need to generate increasingly diverse genetic AAMD datasets to identify the contribution of genomic variants more accurately, to support development of successful treatments for all people affected by this devastating disease.

#### Supporting information

S1 Table. Description of European AAMD and control datasets used to evaluate prevalence of Type 1 *CFI* rare variants.

(DOCX)

S2 Table. Type 1 *CFI* rare variant frequencies in different European AAMD and control datasets.

(DOCX)

**S3** Table. Type 1 *CFI* rare variant frequencies in different gnomAD populations. (DOCX)

S4 Table. Diversity of Type 1 *CFI* rare variant genotypes observed in background gnomAD populations.

(DOCX)

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the participants who took part in the SCOPE, UK Biobank, FINBB, IAMDGC and gnomAD studies, and support staff who made this possible. Finnish biobanks [Auria Biobank, Finnish Clinical Biobank Tampere, Helsinki Biobank, Biobank of Eastern Finland, Biobank of Central Finland, Biobank Borealis] are acknowledged for having provided samples and data to this study. The Fingenious® digital gateway (www.fingenious.fi) by the Finnish Biobank Cooperative—FINBB provides access to Finnish biobank services. The authors thank Dr Hyung-Woo Kim from Gyroscope Therapeutics for statistical support, and Tiffany Howard and Martin Quinn from Gyroscope Therapeutics for assistance in providing critical comments on the manuscript. The authors declare the following competing interests: Drs Jones, Curtiss, Harris and Waheed are employees of Gyroscope Therapeutics Limited.

#### SCOPE study group membership

Dr Rashi Arora Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust Wilshire, UK Dr Courtney Crawford Strategic Clinical Research Group LLC Willow Park, USA Dr Catherine Creuzot-Garcher CHU Dijon—Hopital Mitterrand Cedex, France Dr Karl Csaky Retina Foundation of the Southwest

Dallas, USA Dr Francois Devin Centre Paradis Monticelli Marseille, France Dr David Eichenbaum Retina Vitreous Associates of Florida St. Petersburg, USA Dr Philip Ferrone Long Island Vitreoretinal Consultants Great Neck, USA Dr Marta Figueroa VISSUM Mirasierra Madrid, Spain Dr Christina Flaxel Casey Eye Institute-OHSU Portland, USA Dr Ghassan Ghorayeb West Virginia University Morgantown, USA Dr David Gilmour NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde Glasgow, UK Dr Salvatore Grisanti University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein Campus Luebeck, Germany Prof. Robyn Guymer The University of Melbourne-The Centre for Eye Research Australia (CERA) East Melbourne, Australia Dr Edward Hall Retina Association of Western New York Rochester, USA Dr Jeff Heier Ophthalamic Consultants of Boston (OCB) Boston, USA Dr Allen Ho Mid-Atlantic Retina Huntingdon Valley, USA Prof. Carol Hoyng Radboud Universitair Medisch Centrum Nijmegen, Netherlands Dr Peter Charbel Issa John Radcliffe Hospital Oxford, UK Dr Tsveta Ivanova Manchester Royal Eye Hospital Manchester, UK Dr Bartlomiej Kaluzny Oftalmika-Prywatna Klinika Okulistyczna Bydgoszcz, Poland

Dr Ashad Khanani Sierra Eye Associates Reno, USA Dr Nicolas Leveziel Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Poitiers-Poitiers University Hospital Poitiers Cedex, France Dr Raj Maturi Midwest Eye Institute Northside Indianapolis, USA Dr Martin McKibbin Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust Leeds, UK Dr Jared Nielsen Wolfe Eye Clinic West Des Moines, USA Dr Todd Schneiderman Retina Center Northwest Silverdale, USA Dr Martin Spitzer Universitaetsklinikum Hamburg-Eppendorf Hamburg, Germany Prof. David Steele Sunderland Eye Infirmary Sunderland, UK Dr Eric Suan The Retina Care Center Baltimore, USA Dr Tongalp H. Tezel (SCOPE study lead author) Columbia University Medical Center New York, USA Contact email address: Tht2115@cumc.columbia.edu Dr Vinod Voleti New Jersey Retina Research Foundation Great Neck, USA Dr Robert Wirthlin Spokane Eye Clinical Research Spokane, USA

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Amy V. Jones, Nadia K. Waheed.

**Data curation:** Amy V. Jones, Tom Southerington, Marco Hautalahti, Pauli Wihuri, Johanna Mäkelä, Roosa E. Kallionpää, Enni Makkonen, Theresa Knopp, Arto Mannermaa, Erna Mäkinen, Anne-Mari Moilanen.

Formal analysis: Amy V. Jones.

Funding acquisition: Nadia K. Waheed.

Investigation: Amy V. Jones.

Methodology: Amy V. Jones.

Project administration: Amy V. Jones.

Writing – original draft: Amy V. Jones.

Writing - review & editing: Amy V. Jones, Darin Curtiss, Claire Harris, Tongalp H. Tezel.

#### References

- Friedman DS, O'Colmain BJ, Muñoz B, Tomany SC. Prevalence of Age-Related Macular Degeneration in the United States. Arch Ophthalmol-chic. 2004; 122: 564–572. https://doi.org/10.1001/archopht.122. 4.564 PMID: 15078675
- Wong WL, Su X, Li X, Cheung CMG, Klein R, Cheng C-Y, et al. Global prevalence of age-related macular degeneration and disease burden projection for 2020 and 2040: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Global Heal. 2014; 2: e106–e116. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(13)70145-1 PMID: 25104651
- Mitchell P, Liew G, Gopinath B, Wong TY. Age-related macular degeneration. Lancet. 2018; 392: 1147–1159. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31550-2 PMID: 30303083
- Lim LS, Mitchell P, Seddon JM, Holz FG, Wong TY. Age-related macular degeneration. Lancet. 2012; 379: 1728–1738. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60282-7 PMID: 22559899
- Heesterbeek TJ, Lorés-Motta L, Hoyng CB, Lechanteur YTE, Hollander AI den. Risk factors for progression of age-related macular degeneration. Ophthal Physl Opt. 2020; 40: 140–170. https://doi.org/10. 1111/opo.12675 PMID: 32100327
- Laude A, Cackett PD, Vithana EN, Yeo IY, Wong D, Koh AH, et al. Polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy and neovascular age-related macular degeneration: Same or different disease? Prog Retin Eye Res. 2010; 29: 19–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.preteyeres.2009.10.001 PMID: 19854291
- Cheung CMG, Wong TY. Ranibizumab and Bevacizumab for AMD. New Engl J Medicine. 2011; 365: 2237–2237. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1107895 PMID: 22150050
- Maguire MG, Martin DF, Ying G, Jaffe GJ, Daniel E, Grunwald JE, et al. Five-Year Outcomes with Anti– Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Treatment of Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Ophthalmology. 2016; 123: 1751–1761. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.03.045 PMID: 27156698
- Nelson MR, Wegmann D, Ehm MG, Kessner D, Jean PSt, Verzilli C, et al. An Abundance of Rare Functional Variants in 202 Drug Target Genes Sequenced in 14,002 People. Science. 2012; 337: 100–104. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1217876 PMID: 22604722
- Kathiresan S, Willer CJ, Peloso GM, Demissie S, Musunuru K, Schadt EE, et al. Common variants at 30 loci contribute to polygenic dyslipidemia. Nat Genet. 2009; 41: 56–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.291 PMID: 19060906
- 11. Clark SJ, Bishop PN. The eye as a complement dysregulation hotspot. Semin Immunopathol. 2018; 40: 65–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00281-017-0649-6 PMID: 28948331
- Schramm EC, Clark SJ, Triebwasser MP, Raychaudhuri S, Seddon JM, Atkinson JP. Genetic variants in the complement system predisposing to age-related macular degeneration: A review. Mol Immunol. 2014; 61: 118–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2014.06.032 PMID: 25034031
- Kauppinen A, Paterno JJ, Blasiak J, Salminen A, Kaarniranta K. Inflammation and its role in age-related macular degeneration. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2016; 73: 1765–1786. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-016-2147-8 PMID: 26852158
- Harris CL, Pouw RB, Kavanagh D, Sun R, Ricklin D. Developments in anti-complement therapy; from disease to clinical trial. Mol Immunol. 2018; 102: 89–119. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2018.06.008</u> PMID: 30121124
- Yaspan BL, Williams DF, Holz FG, Regillo CD, Li Z, Dressen A, et al. Targeting factor D of the alternative complement pathway reduces geographic atrophy progression secondary to age-related macular degeneration. Sci Transl Med. 2017; 9: eaaf1443. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf1443 PMID: 28637922
- Wykoff CC, Rosenfeld PJ, Waheed NK, Singh RP, Ronca N, Slakter JS, et al. Characterizing New-Onset Exudation in the Randomized Phase 2 FILLY Trial of Complement Inhibitor Pegcetacoplan for Geographic Atrophy. Ophthalmology. 2021. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2021.02.025</u> PMID: 33711380
- Jaffe GJ, Westby K, Csaky KG, Monés J, Pearlman JA, Patel SS, et al. C5 Inhibitor Avacincaptad Pegol for Geographic Atrophy Due to Age-Related Macular Degeneration A Randomized Pivotal Phase 2/3

Trial. Ophthalmology. 2021; 128: 576–586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2020.08.027 PMID: 32882310

- Liao DS, Grossi FV, Mehdi DE, Gerber MR, Brown DM, Heier JS, et al. Complement C3 Inhibitor Pegcetacoplan for Geographic Atrophy Secondary to Age-Related Macular Degeneration A Randomized Phase 2 Trial. Ophthalmology. 2020; 127: 186–195. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2019.07.011</u> PMID: 31474439
- Gitlin J, Rosen F, Lacchman P. The mechanism of action of the C3b inactivator (conglutinogen- activating factor) on its naturally occurring substrate, the major fragment of the third component of complement (C3b). journal of experimental medicine. 1975; 141: 1221–1226. <u>https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.141.5</u>. 1221 PMID: 1168693
- Medof ME, Iida K, Mold C, Nussenzweig V. Unique role of the complement receptor CR1 in the degradation of C3b associated with immune complexes. Journal of Experimental Medicine. 1982; 156: 1739– 1754. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.156.6.1739 PMID: 7175439
- Pangburn MK, Schreiber RD, Muller HJ. Human complement C3b inactivator: isolation, characterization, and demonstration of an absolute requirement for the serum protein β1H for cleavage of C3b and C4b in solution. Journal of Experimental Medicine. 1977; 146: 257–270.
- 22. Kavanagh D, Yu Y, Schramm EC, Triebwasser M, Wagner EK, Raychaudhuri S, et al. Rare genetic variants in the CFI gene are associated with advanced age-related macular degeneration and commonly result in reduced serum factor I levels. Hum Mol Genet. 2015; 24: 3861–3870. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/htmg/ddv091</u> PMID: 25788521
- Seddon JM, Yu Y, Miller EC, Reynolds R, Tan PL, Gowrisankar S, et al. Rare variants in CFI, C3 and C9 are associated with high risk of advanced age-related macular degeneration. Nat Genet. 2013; 45: 1366–1370. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2741 PMID: 24036952
- Ven JPH van de, Nilsson SC, Tan PL, Buitendijk GHS, Ristau T, Mohlin FC, et al. A functional variant in the CFI gene confers a high risk of age-related macular degeneration. Nat Genet. 2013; 45: 813–817. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2640 PMID: 23685748
- Hallam TM, Marchbank KJ, Harris CL, Osmond C, Shuttleworth VG, Griffiths H, et al. Rare Genetic Variants in Complement Factor I Lead to Low FI Plasma Levels Resulting in Increased Risk of Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Invest Ophth Vis Sci. 2020; 61: 18–18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.61.6.18</u> PMID: 32516404
- 26. Java A, Baciu P, Widjajahakim R, Sung YJ, Yang J, Kavanagh D, et al. Functional Analysis of Rare Genetic Variants in Complement Factor I (CFI) using a Serum-Based Assay in Advanced Age-related Macular Degeneration. Transl Vis Sci Technology. 2020; 9: 37–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1167/tvst.9.9.37</u> PMID: 32908800
- Fritsche LG, Fariss RN, Stambolian D, Abecasis GR, Curcio CA, Swaroop A. Age-Related Macular Degeneration: Genetics and Biology Coming Together. Annu Rev Genom Hum G. 2014; 15: 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genom-090413-025610 PMID: 24773320
- Geerlings MJ, Jong EK de, Hollander AI den. The complement system in age-related macular degeneration: A review of rare genetic variants and implications for personalized treatment. Mol Immunol. 2017; 84: 65–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2016.11.016 PMID: 27939104
- 29. Pras E, Kristal D, Shoshany N, Volodarsky D, Vulih I, Celniker G, et al. Rare genetic variants in Tunisian Jewish patients suffering from age-related macular degeneration. J Med Genet. 2015; 52: 484. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2015-103130 PMID: 25986072</u>
- Jones AV, MacGregor S, Han X, Francis J, Harris C, group SS, et al. Evaluating a causal relationship between Complement Factor I protein level and advanced age-related macular degeneration using Mendelian Randomisation. Ophthalmol Sci. 2022; 100146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xops.2022.100146 PMID: 35693873
- Dreismann AK, McClements ME, Barnard AR, Orhan E, Hughes JP, Lachmann PJ, et al. Functional expression of complement factor I following AAV-mediated gene delivery in the retina of mice and human cells. Gene Ther. 2021; 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41434-021-00239-9 PMID: 33750925
- 32. Lachmann PJ, Lay E, Seilly DJ, Buchberger A, Schwaeble W, Khadake J. Further studies of the down-regulation by Factor I of the C3b feedback cycle using endotoxin as a soluble activator and red cells as a source of CR1 on sera of different complotype. Clin Exp Immunol. 2016; 183: 150–156. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/cei.12714 PMID: 26415566</u>
- Heurich M, Martínez-Barricarte R, Francis NJ, Roberts DL, Córdoba SR de, Morgan BP, et al. Common polymorphisms in C3, factor B, and factor H collaborate to determine systemic complement activity and disease risk. Proc National Acad Sci. 2011; 108: 8761–8766. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1019338108</u> PMID: 21555552

- Fritsche LG, Igl W, Bailey JNC, Grassmann F, Sengupta S, Bragg-Gresham JL, et al. A large genomewide association study of age-related macular degeneration highlights contributions of rare and common variants. Nat Genet. 2016; 48: 134–143. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3448 PMID: 26691988
- Jong S de Volokhina EB, Breuk A de Nilsson SC, Jong EK de Kar NCAJ, et al. Effect of Rare Coding Variants in the CFI Gene on Factor I Expression Levels. Hum Mol Genet. 2020; ddaa114–. https://doi. org/10.1093/hmg/ddaa114 PMID: 32510551
- Auton A, Bryc K, Boyko AR, Lohmueller KE, Novembre J, Reynolds A, et al. Global distribution of genomic diversity underscores rich complex history of continental human populations. Genome Res. 2009; 19: 795–803. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.088898.108 PMID: 19218534
- Auton A, Abecasis GR, Altshuler DM, Durbin RM, Abecasis GR, Bentley DR, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature. 2015; 526: 68–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15393 PMID: 26432245
- Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alföldi J, Wang Q, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature. 2020; 581: 434–443. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41586-020-2308-7 PMID: 32461654
- Breuk A de Acar IE, Kersten E Schijvenaars MMVAP, Colijn JM, Haer-Wigman L, et al. Development of a Genotype Assay for Age-Related Macular Degeneration The EYE-RISK Consortium. Ophthalmology. 2021; 128: 1604–1617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2020.07.037 PMID: 32717343
- 40. Jong S de, Breuk A de, Volokhina EB, Bakker B, Garanto A, Fauser S, et al. Systemic complement levels in patients with age-related macular degeneration carrying rare or low frequency variants in the CFH gene. Hum Mol Genet. 2021; ddab256–. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddab256 PMID: 34508573
- Cirulli ET, Goldstein DB. Uncovering the roles of rare variants in common disease through wholegenome sequencing. Nat Rev Genet. 2010; 11: 415–425. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2779</u> PMID: 20479773
- Zhan X, Larson DE, Wang C, Koboldt DC, Sergeev YV, Fulton RS, et al. Identification of a rare coding variant in complement 3 associated with age-related macular degeneration. Nat Genet. 2013; 45: 1375–1379. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2758 PMID: 24036949
- Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, Beral V, Burton P, Danesh J, et al. UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. Plos Med. 2015; 12: e1001779. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001779 PMID: 25826379
- Wang Q, Dhindsa RS, Carss K, Harper AR, Nag A, Tachmazidou I, et al. Rare variant contribution to human disease in 281,104 UK Biobank exomes. Nature. 2021; 597: 527–532. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03855-y PMID</u>: 34375979
- Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29: 24–26. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1754 PMID: 21221095
- 46. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015; 17: 405–423. https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2015.30 PMID: 25741868
- Lali R, Chong M, Omidi A, Mohammadi-Shemirani P, Le A, Cui E, et al. Calibrated rare variant genetic risk scores for complex disease prediction using large exome sequence repositories. Nat Commun. 2021; 12: 5852. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26114-0 PMID: 34615865
- Group A-REDSR. A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Clinical Trial of High-Dose Supplementation With Vitamins C and E, Beta Carotene, and Zinc for Age-Related Macular Degeneration and Vision Loss: AREDS Report No. 8. Arch Ophthalmol-chic. 2001; 119: 1417–1436. https://doi.org/10.1001/ archopht.119.10.1417 PMID: 11594942
- 49. Lohmueller KE, Albrechtsen A, Li Y, Kim SY, Korneliussen T, Vinckenbosch N, et al. Natural Selection Affects Multiple Aspects of Genetic Variation at Putatively Neutral Sites across the Human Genome. Plos Genet. 2011; 7: e1002326. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002326 PMID: 22022285
- Geerlings MJ, Kersten E, Groenewoud JMM, Fritsche LG, Hoyng CB, Jong EK de, et al. Geographic distribution of rare variants associated with age-related macular degeneration. Mol Vis. 2018; 24: 75– 82. PMID: 29410599
- Carmi S, Hui KY, Kochav E, Liu X, Xue J, Grady F, et al. Sequencing an Ashkenazi reference panel supports population-targeted personal genomics and illuminates Jewish and European origins. Nat Commun. 2014; 5: 4835. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5835 PMID: 25203624
- 52. Guha S, Rosenfeld JA, Malhotra AK, Lee AT, Gregersen PK, Kane JM, et al. Implications for health and disease in the genetic signature of the Ashkenazi Jewish population. Genome Biol. 2012; 13: R2–R2. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2012-13-1-r2 PMID: 22277159

- Palamara PF, Lencz T, Darvasi A, Pe'er I. Length Distributions of Identity by Descent Reveal Fine-Scale Demographic History. Am J Hum Genetics. 2012; 91: 809–822. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.</u> 2012.08.030 PMID: 23103233
- Shoshany N, Weiner C, Safir M, Einan-Lifshitz A, Pokroy R, Kol A, et al. Rare Genetic Variants in Jewish Patients Suffering from Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Genes-basel. 2019; 10: 825. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.3390/genes10100825 PMID: 31635417
- Peltonen L, Jalanko A, Varilo T. Molecular Genetics the Finnish Disease Heritage. Hum Mol Genet. 1999; 8: 1913–1923. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/8.10.1913 PMID: 10469845
- 56. Cheng C-Y, Yamashiro K, Chen LJ, Ahn J, Huang L, Huang L, et al. New loci and coding variants confer risk for age-related macular degeneration in East Asians. Nat Commun. 2015; 6: 6063. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/ncomms7063</u> PMID: 25629512
- Gleeson PJ, Wilson V, Cox TE, Sharma SD, Smith-Jackson K, Strain L, et al. Chromosomal rearrangement—A rare cause of complement factor I associated atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome. Immunobiology. 2016; 221: 1124–1130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imbio.2016.05.002 PMID: 27268256
- Khan AH, Sutton J, Cree AJ, Khandhadia S, Salvo GD, Tobin J, et al. Prevalence and phenotype associations of complement factor I mutations in geographic atrophy. Hum Mutat. 2021; 42: 1139–1152. https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.24242 PMID: 34153144
- Jong S de, Breuk A de, Bakker B, Katti S, Hoyng CB, Nilsson SC, et al. Functional Analysis of Variants in Complement Factor I Identified in Age-Related Macular Degeneration and Atypical Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome. Front Immunol. 2022; 12: 789897. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.789897</u> PMID: 35069568
- Bienaime F, Dragon-Durey M-A, Regnier CH, Nilsson SC, Kwan WH, Blouin J, et al. Mutations in components of complement influence the outcome of Factor I-associated atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Kidney Int. 2010; 77: 339–349. https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2009.472 PMID: 20016463
- Saksens NTM, Geerlings MJ, Bakker B, Schick T, Daha MR, Fauser S, et al. Rare Genetic Variants Associated With Development of Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Jama Ophthalmol. 2016; 134: 287–93. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2015.5592 PMID: 26767664
- Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Fakhouri F, Garnier A, Bienaimé F, Dragon-Durey M-A, Ngo S, et al. Genetics and Outcome of Atypical Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome: A Nationwide French Series Comparing Children and Adults. Clin J Am Soc Nephro. 2013; 8: 554–562. <u>https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.04760512</u> PMID: 23307876
- Ellingford JM, Hufnagel RB, Arno G. Phenotype and Genotype Correlations in Inherited Retinal Diseases: Population-Guided Variant Interpretation, Variable Expressivity and Incomplete Penetrance. Genes-basel. 2020; 11: 1274. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11111274 PMID: 33137882
- Kavanagh D, Richards A, Noris M, Hauhart R, Liszewski MK, Karpman D, et al. Characterization of mutations in complement factor I (CFI) associated with hemolytic uremic syndrome. Mol Immunol. 2008; 45: 95–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2007.05.004 PMID: 17597211
- Nilsson SC, Karpman D, Vaziri-Sani F, Kristoffersson A-C, Salomon R, Provot F, et al. A mutation in factor I that is associated with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome does not affect the function of factor I in complement regulation. Mol Immunol. 2007; 44: 1835–1844. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2006.10</u>. 005 PMID: 17084897
- McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, Delaneau O, Wood AR, Teumer A, et al. A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. Nat Genet. 2016; 48: 1279–1283. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ng.3643 PMID: 27548312
- 67. Quick C, Anugu P, Musani S, Weiss ST, Burchard EG, White MJ, et al. Sequencing and imputation in GWAS: Cost-effective strategies to increase power and genomic coverage across diverse populations. Genet Epidemiol. 2020; 44: 537–549. https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi.22326 PMID: 32519380
- 68. BrightFocus Foundation, Age-Related Macular Degeneration: Facts & Figures. 2015 [cited 19 Jan 2022]. Available: http://www.brightfocus.org/macular/article/age-related-macular-facts-figures
- Xu X, Wu J, Yu X, Tang Y, Tang X, Shentu X. Regional differences in the global burden of age-related macular degeneration. Bmc Public Health. 2020; 20: 410. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-8445-y</u> PMID: 32228540
- COLBY SL, ORTMAN JM. Projections of the Size and Composition of the U.S. Population: 2014 to 2060. 3 Mar 2015 [cited 19 Jan 2022]. Available: https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2015/ demo/p25-1143.html
- 71. Myshko D. Addressing Diversity in Clinical Trials. 1 Mar 2018 [cited 19 Jan 2022]. Available: <u>https://www.pharmavoice.com/news/2018-03-diversity/612639/</u>
- Light DW. Global Drug Discovery: Europe Is Ahead. Health Affair. 2017; 28: w969–w977. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.28.5.w969 PMID: 19706628

- Clark LT, Watkins L, Piña IL, Elmer M, Akinboboye O, Gorham M, et al. Increasing Diversity in Clinical Trials: Overcoming Critical Barriers. Curr Prob Cardiology. 2019; 44: 148–172. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cpcardiol.2018.11.002</u> PMID: 30545650
- 74. Hahn SM. FDA Offers Guidance to Enhance Diversity in Clinical Trials, Encourage Inclusivity in Medical Product Development. 9 Nov 2020. Available: https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-offers-guidance-enhance-diversity-clinical-trials-encourage-inclusivity-medical-product
- **75.** Rohrer B, Frazer-Abel A, Leonard A, Ratnapriya R, Ward T, Pietraszkiewicz A, et al. Association of age-related macular degeneration with complement activation products, smoking, and single nucleotide polymorphisms in South Carolinians of European and African descent. Mol Vis. 2019; 25: 79–92. PMID: 30820144
- 76. Arakawa S, Takahashi A, Ashikawa K, Hosono N, Aoi T, Yasuda M, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies two susceptibility loci for exudative age-related macular degeneration in the Japanese population. Nat Genet. 2011; 43: 1001–1004. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.938 PMID: 21909106
- 77. Henrich J, Heine SJ, Norenzayan A. The weirdest people in the world? Behav Brain Sci. 2010; 33: 61– 83. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X0999152X PMID: 20550733
- 78. Petrovski S, Goldstein DB. Unequal representation of genetic variation across ancestry groups creates healthcare inequality in the application of precision medicine. Genome Biol. 2016; 17: 157. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1016-y PMID: 27418169</u>
- 79. Ben-Eghan C, Sun R, Hleap JS, Diaz-Papkovich A, Munter HM, Grant AV, et al. Don't ignore genetic data from minority populations. Nature. 2020; 585: 184–186. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-02547-3 PMID: 32901124</u>
- Popejoy AB, Fullerton SM. Genomics is failing on diversity. Nature. 2016; 538: 161–164. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/538161a</u> PMID: 27734877