Clinical Research

Efficacy of custom-made soft keratoconus lenses on corneal aberrations and photic phenomena in patients with keratoconus: a corneal topography imaging based study

Aysegul Penbe¹, Hatice Selen Kanar¹, Aysu Karatay Arsan¹, Sevda Aydın Kurna²

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Kartal Dr. Lutfi Kirdar Training and Research Hospital, Health Science University, Semsi Denizer Caddesi, E-5, Kartal Istanbul 34890, Turkey ²Department of Ophthalmology, Fatih Sultan Mehmet Training and Research Hospital, Health Science University, E-5, Icerenkoy 34752, Istanbul, Turkey

Correspondence to: Aysegul Penbe. Department of Ophthalmology, Kartal Dr. Lutfi Kirdar Training and Research Hospital, Health Science University, Semsi Denizer Caddesi, E-5, 34890 Kartal Istanbul, Turkey. dr.aysegulp@gmail.com Received: 2022-04-12 Accepted: 2022-10-21

Abstract

• **AIM:** To evaluate the efficacy of custom-made soft keratoconus (KC) lenses on corneal aberrations and photic phenomena in two different optical zones in patients with KC.

• **METHODS:** Sixty eyes of 42 patients with KC were examined at baseline and after fitting HydroCone (Toris K) soft silicone hydrogel lenses. Best spectacle-corrected visual acuity (BSCVA) and contact lens-corrected visual acuity (CLCVA) were recorded. Lower- and higher-order corneal aberrations (LOAs and HOAs) were measured with and without Toris K lenses and compared in central 4.5 mm and 7 mm zones. Mesopic pupil diameter and subjective photic phenomena were also assessed.

• **RESULTS:** Mean CLCVA was significantly improved compared to BSCVA (*P*<0.0001). Corneal curvature and refractive measurements were found to be significantly reduced by the use of Toris K lenses, except for posterior corneal curvature values. In the 4.5 mm central optical zone, all wavefront aberrations decreased significantly after lens fitting (*P*<0.0001). In contrast, in the 7 mm (peripheral) optical zone, values for HOAs, spherical and residual aberrations, and optical path differences were increased, while LOAs, trefoil, and quadrifoil coefficients were decreased. The rate of photic phenomena was significantly higher in participants with a pupil size >6.00 mm (85.7%).

• **CONCLUSION:** Toris K lenses provide good visual results and a significant reduction in central corneal aberrations in patients with KC but could cause an increase in peripheral aberrations. This practical and nonsurgical approach appears to be an effective method for the visual management of KC.

• **KEYWORDS:** corneal aberrations; custom-made soft keratoconus lenses; higher-order aberrations; keratoconus; lower-order aberrations; pupillography

DOI:10.18240/ijo.2023.01.10

Citation: Penbe A, Kanar HS, Arsan AK, Kurna SA. Efficacy of custom-made soft keratoconus lenses on corneal aberrations and photic phenomena in patients with keratoconus: a corneal topography imaging based study. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2023;16(1):67-74

INTRODUCTION

K eratoconus (KC) is a bilateral, asymmetric corneal ectasia characterized by progressive corneal thinning and decreased biomechanical strength of the cornea on a multifactorial etiological basis^[1]. For a perfect visual rehabilitation in patients with KC, it is necessary to treat higher-order aberrations (HOAs) that cannot be eliminated by routine treatments^[2].

In patients with mild KC, spectacles or traditional soft contact lenses (CLs) might correct myopia and regular astigmatism^[3]. However, elevated levels of both lower and HOAs in KC make it difficult to achieve better visual performance with traditional soft CLs or spectacles in moderate and advanced cases^[4]. As the disease progress, rigid gas permeable (RGP) CLs become the "gold standard" method of non-surgical visual rehabilitation as RGP CLs masks the aberrations by forming a regular and smooth optical surface^[5]. However, several studies showed that residual HOAs persist even with RGP CLs oneye, which are typically attributed to the posterior corneal surface^[6-7]. In recent years, the custom-made soft keratoconus lenses (CSKLs) have been proposed as a practical and

comfortable solution for correcting ocular HOAs in eyes with irregular corneas^[8-10].

HydroCone or Toris K lenses are specially designed soft silicone hydrogel toric lenses for KC, with front toric surface and spherical back optic zones. The higher oxygen permeability and modulus of rigidity of these silicone hydrogel CLs make them more suitable for KC corrections than conventional soft CLs^[11-12]. The custom-made soft toric lenses may offer better visual performance with a good ocular comfort level by covering the anterior corneal irregularities and correcting the anterior corneal surface HOAs^[13].

It is known that pupil diameter affects ocular aberrations which in turn affects the luminance and sharpness of the retinal image^[14]. In KC patients, the retinal image becomes brighter with increasing pupil dilation; on the other hand, wavefront aberrations tend to increase, leading to a deterioration in visual quality^[15]. For specially designed and custom-made soft toric lenses, pupil diameter should also be evaluated when treating ocular aberrations.

In this study, we aim to evaluate the efficiency of Toris K CSKLs in the visual rehabilitation of eyes with KC by investigating corneal aberrations in different optical zones and subjective photic phenomena at different pupil diameters.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval The study protocol adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki for clinical research and was approved by the Ethical Committee of Kartal Dr. Lutfu Kirdar Training and Research Hospital (No.514-192-38). Written informed consent was obtained from all of the patients after explaining the purpose and possible consequences of the study. Patient Recruitment Patients with KC, who were not satisfied with their vision and were fitted with soft Toris K CSKLs, were enrolled in the study between October 2019 and February 2020. All participants had undergone corneal collagen crosslinking (CCXL) surgery at least 24mo ago. KC was classified into 4 severity levels according to the criteria of the ABCD grading system, based on corneal parameters determined with the Sirius topography device (CSO, Firenze, Italy). Participants in stages 1, 2, and 3 were included in the study, except for stage 4, because the degree of irregular astigmatism in stage 4 is so high that sufficient visual improvement cannot be achieved with soft KC lenses^[16].

All participants underwent a standardized ophthalmic examination, including refraction measurements as spherical, cylindrical and spherical equivalent (SE) in diopters, highcontrast uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA), high-contrast best spectacle-corrected visual acuity (BSCVA), and contact lens-corrected visual acuity (CLCVA) in decimal values with Snellen at 5 meters using a Topcon ACP-8 chart projector (Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The UCVA, BSCVA, and

Table 1 The fitting set parameters of Toris K-HydroCone lens

Technical data	Values
Manufacturer	Swiss Lens
Toris K 12	Keratoconus grade 1-2
Toris K 34	Keratoconus grade 3-4
Material	Definitive 74/Igel 77
Total diameter (mm)	13.20-14.00
Base curve (mm)	7.20-8.40
Sphere (D)	-40.00 to +40.00
Cylinder (D)	-0.25 to -8.00
Axis	0°-180°
Flattening	Strong +/very strong ++
Optimized center thickness standard	(mm) 0.42-0.52
Range of thickness (mm)	0.35-0.59
Central optic zone (mm)	5.00-7.50
Geometry	Spherical back optic zone with strong aspheric flattening and front toric optic zone

D: Diopter.

CLCVA were transformed to the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) for statistical analyzes.

Exclusion criteria included the presence of ocular surgery other than CCXL, history of ocular trauma, ocular disease (*e.g.*, corneal dystrophies, severe dry eye, active ocular infections, glaucoma, cataracts, retinal and choroidal pathologies), or systemic diseases such as diabetes or hypertension. In addition, participants with extensive corneal scarring due to the inadequate optical effect of soft CLs were also excluded if the cornea was unclear. Participants who had undergone CCXL surgery less than 24mo ago were excluded from the study due to the ongoing corneal remodeling process. History of using CLs or spectacles for refractive correction and time interval between CCXL surgery and contact lens fitting was recorded.

Contact Lens Fitting Assessment Toris K-HydroCone soft contact lens (SwissLens, Prilly, Switzerland) is a custommade silicone hydrogel lens with toric anterior and spherical posterior surfaces that provides good stabilization and comfort thanks to the dynamic stabilization system. The technical parameters of the lens set were described in Table 1.

The first lens was selected as HydroCone 12 if the keratometry >6.8 mm or KC grade 1 or 2, and HydroCone 34 if the keratometry <6.8 or KC grade 3 or 4. Then 0.6 mm was added to the average K value of the auto refractometer to select a trial lens. The first lens was used to validate the base curve and overall diameter. The stabilization marks at 0 and 180 degrees were evaluated to measure the stabilization axis. Subsequently, the lens characteristics of eye movement, rotation, centration, and comfort were observed after 30min of wear. When the optimal fit was achieved, over-refraction was performed with prescription spectacles. The endpoint of refraction was defined as the maximum "plus" sphero-cylindrical refraction that

allowed the patient to read his threshold letters on the Snellen acuity chart. Once the endpoint of over-refraction was reached, the vertex distance was recorded in millimeters and converted according to the scheme for the final prescribed lens.

After fitting the prescribed lens, a biomicroscopic evaluation was performed with a slit lamp to ensure that the manufactured lens was well positioned in terms of lens centration (horizontal and vertical), movement (with the push-up test, in the primary position, upon up-gaze, and with a horizontal lag), and toric marker alignment. In all cases, the ideal rotation of the lens was equal to or less than 5° .

Finally, each patient received detailed information on lens cleaning, wetting, and disinfection with standard soft lens solutions. Participants received detailed information on possible adverse events and details on emergency communication.

Corneal Curvature, Wavefront, and Pupillography Analysis All participants were examined at baseline and 4wk after the prescription lens was manufactured. Corneal measurements were performed by the same experienced operator in the scotopic environment using Sirius topography (CSO Inc., Firenze, Italy), which uses a combination of a 3D Scheimpflug camera and a Placido disk^[17]. Measurements of corneal curvature with apical zone keratometry (Kapex), and flat keratometry (SimK1) and steep keratometry (SimK2) scores, anterior and posterior corneal curvature and the thinnest corneal thickness were obtained from a pupil area of 3 mm. HOAs were obtained by converting the corneal elevation profiles to wavefront data using Zernike polynomials (Zernike 3-7). The posterior corneal wavefront measurements are not affected by contact lens fitting, only the anterior corneal aberrations coefficients were evaluated. The anterior corneal lower-order corneal aberrations (LOAs), HOAs, spherical, coma, trefoil, quadrifoil, spherical, and residual aberrations, and optical path difference (OPD) coefficients in root mean square (RMS) values were recorded. The point spread function (PSF) images were analyzed using the Sirius wavefront analysis system, which provides the image of a point-like object including the effects of all aberrations in an optical system^[18].

All corneal wavefront aberration parameters were measured and compared before and after fitting the Toris K lens, which was manufactured in 6.5 mm. Wavefront analyzes were evaluated in two different selected pupil/optical zones; the central 4.5 mm and the peripheral 7 mm, which were outside the optical zone of the contact lens. To investigate the distribution characteristics of the aberrations from the center to the peripheral zones with CSKLs, the corneal wavefront parameters were also compared between the two optical zones. Pupil size was assessed under mesopic conditions using a specific anterior segment camera-based pupillography mode Table 2 The parameters of the Toris K lenses fitted

Parameters	Value (mean±SD)
Total diameter (mm)	13.76±1.07
Base curve (mm)	7.63±1.24
Sphere (D)	-4.39±1.58
Cylinder (D)	-2.95±0.61
Axis	151±5.92
Central optic zone, n (%)	
Initial 6.5 mm	60
Enlarged to 7.0 mm	14
Remaining standard 6.5 mm	46

D: Diopter.

from Sirius topography^[19]. Those who had a pupil size less than 6 mm were evaluated in subgroup 1, while the other participants with a pupil size greater than/equal to 6 mm were evaluated in subgroup 2.

The presence of subjective photic phenomena such as halo, flare, flash, or glare was investigated using a modified questionnaire based on one published by Arnold^[20]. In cases where any type of photic phenomena was reported with moderate or severe values, the CLs were recorded, and the optical zone was increased from a standard value of 6.50 to 7.00 mm. After wearing the new CSKL with an enlarged optical zone, the decrease in photic phenomena was rechecked. **Statistical Analysis** Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS software version 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data distribution was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. A paired sample *t*-test was performed to compare the difference in the means "before" and "after" fitting the lens. The significance level of the *P*-value was accepted as 0.05 (*P*≤0.05).

RESULTS

Sixty eyes of 42 KC patients (26 men and 16 women) with a mean age of 21 ± 4.35 (range: 18-47)y were examined. The mean time interval between CCXL surgery and soft contact lens fitting was 38.2 ± 18.31 (range: 24-178)mo. According to the ABCD KC grading system, the 26 eyes were in stage 1, 23 eyes were in stage 2, and the remaining 11 eyes were in stage 3. The mean values of the fitted CSKL parameters are shown in Table 2.

Forty-five (75%) eyes in the study group had no history of contact lens wear, while 17 (28.3%) eyes had been using spectacles and 28 (46.7%) eyes had no history of vision correction instruments. The 15 (25%) eyes of the participants had tried at least one type of CLs and had stopped using the lenses due to various complaints. Eleven (18.3%) of them had experience with RGP lenses and suffered from discomfort and/ or excessive mobility on the ocular surface, while 4 (6.7%) eyes had worn hybrid lenses but also complained of redness and difficulty in removing the lenses at the end of the day.



Figure 1 An example of corneal wavefront changes with Toris K lens in 4.50 mm selected optical zone A: The maps of OPD, HOAs, and Zernike expansions before Toris K lens fitting; B: The maps of OPD, HOAs, and Zernike expansions after Toris K lens fitting; C: The maps of astigmatism, coma, spherical and residual aberrations before Toris K lens fitting; D: The maps of astigmatism, coma, spherical and residual aberrations before Toris K lens fitting; D: The maps of astigmatism, coma, spherical and residual aberrations before Toris K lens fitting; D: The maps of astigmatism, coma, spherical and residual aberrations before Toris K lens fitting; D: The maps of astigmatism, coma, spherical and residual aberrations after Toris K lens fitting. Most of the aberration scores were decreased after contact lens fitting in 4.5 mm optical zone. HOAs: High order aberrations; OPD: Optic path difference; RMS: Root mean square.

Refraction and Visual Acuity Changes Before CSKL fitting, the mean high-contrast UCVA was 0.71±0.23 (range: 1.2-0.5) logMAR and the mean high-contrast BSCVA was 0.54±0.18 (range: 1.0-0.4) logMAR. With CSKLs, all eyes achieved significant recovery of visual acuity. After CSKL fitting, the mean CLCVA was 0.21±0.11 (range: 0.5-0.0) logMAR (P<0.0001). Eighteen (42.8%) eyes achieved a CLCVA of 0.10 logMAR or less and 37 (88.1%) eyes achieved a CLCVA of ≤0.30 logMAR. However, only 5 patients remained below 20/40, but they had at least 4 lines of improvement. The initial mean SE, manifest cylindric and spheric diopters, Kapex, SimK1 and SimK2 scores with anterior and posterior curvature in 3 mm diameter on Sirius corneal topography were significantly decreased after CSKL fitting. Table 3 shows the changes in refractive and topographic parameters before and after CSKL fitting. Except for posterior corneal curvature values, all corneal curvature and refraction values decreased significantly after CSKL fitting.

Corneal Wavefront Aberrations Results According to the 7th-order Zernike expansion scale, all mean corneal aberration coefficients, including LOAs (1st to 2nd order) and HOAs RMS (3rd to 7th order) in the central optical zone of 4.5 mm were significantly reduced after CSKL fitting (P=0.000; Figure 1, Table 4) The mean values for LOAs, trefoil, and quatrefoil RMS were also significantly reduced in the peripheral optical zone of 7.00 mm after CSKL fitting. (P=0.000; Figure 2, Table 4) The mean coma RMS values in 7.00 mm were also reduced after CSKL fitting, but the difference was not statistically significant (P=0.676). On the other hand, the mean values of HOA, OPD, spherical aberrations, and the residual coefficients of RMS showed a statistically significant increase in the optical zones of 7.00 mm. The mean PSF Strehl ratio score increased significantly in both the 4.5 and 7 mm optical zones after CSKL fitting (Table 4, Figure 3).

Comparison of wavefront analyzes of the central 4.5 mm and



Figure 2 An example of corneal wavefront changes displays with Toris K lens in 7.00 mm selected optical zone A: The maps of OPD, HOAs, and Zernike expansions before Toris K lens fitting; B: The maps of OPD, HOAs, and Zernike expansions after Toris K lens fitting; C: The maps of astigmatism, coma, spherical and residual aberrations before Toris K lens fitting; D: The maps of astigmatism, coma, spherical and residual aberration scores were elevated after contact lens fitting in 7 mm optical zone. HOAs: High order aberrations; OPD: Optic path difference; RMS: Root mean square.

Table 3 The refractive and corneal curvature changes after CSKL fitting			
Parameters	Before CSKL fitting (<i>n</i> =60)	After CSKL fitting (<i>n</i> =60)	Р
Mean spherical equivalent (D)	-3.17±0.9 (R: -7.50 to -1.25)	-1.32±0.27 (R: -2.25 to -0.50)	<0.001°
Mean cylinder (D)	3.02±0.4 (R: 6.25 to -0.75)	0.95±0.35 (R: 1.75 to 0.50)	<0.001 ^ª
Mean sphere(D)	-4.37±3.03 (R: -7.75 to -1.25)	-0.83±0.12 (R: 125 to 0.00)	<0.001 ^ª
Mean Kapex (D)	56.29±2.87 (R: 49.42 to 61.6)	48.84±0.81 (R: 45.74 to 53.06)	<0.001 ^ª
Mean SimK1 (D)	46.43±2.25 (R: 42.89 to 48.62)	44.24±0.62 (R: 43.4 to 48.68)	<0.001 ^ª
Mean SimK2 (D)	49.87±2.18 (R: 47.23 to 55.93)	47.33±1.03 (R: 43.47 to 50.3)	<0.001ª
Mean anterior (3 mm) curvature D)	51.53±1.87 (R: 48.02 to 57.05)	47.96±1.38 (R: 44.36 to 56.2)	<0.001ª
Mean posterior (3 mm) curvature (D)	-6.34±0.82 (R: -5.89 to -9.71)	-6.12±0.76 (R: -5.94 to -8.71)	0.670
Mean thinnest corneal thickness (µm)	435.38±12.66 (R: 343 to 512)	568.38±15.29 (R: 474 to 629)	0.000ª

CSKL: Customized soft keratoconus lens; D: Diopters; K apex: Curvature of the apical zone; SimK1: Flat keratometry; SimK2: Steep keratometry; R: Range. ^aP<0.05.

peripheral 7.00 mm corneal zones in patients with KC while wearing CSKL showed that all low and high order Zernike scale parameters were significantly increased in the 7.00 optical zone compared to the 4.5 mm zone (P<0.0001).

Pupillography Based Photic Phenomenon Subgroup Analyzes The mean mesopic pupil size of the study group was 4.59±1.06 mm (range: 3.61-7.38 mm). In 35 (83.3%) patients, the pupil diameter was less than 6 mm (subgroup 1), and in the

Table 4 The compari	ison of corneal way	efront coefficients b	efore and after CS	KL fitting
---------------------	---------------------	-----------------------	--------------------	------------

-				-		
Deremeters (DMC)	4.5 mm Optical zone		7.00 mm Optical zone			
Parameters (RIVIS)	Initial	With CL fitting	Р	Initial	With CL fitting	Р
LoAs	2.04±1.46	0.60±0.66	0.000 ^a	2.80±0.80	2.13±1.27	0.000ª
HoAs	2.26±2.00	0.60±0.44	0.000ª	3.21±0.88	5.10±1.42	0.000ª
OPD	2.49±1.96	0.87±0.80	0.000 ^ª	4.69±1.49	5.57±1.37	0.000ª
Spherical	0.71±0.61	0.20±0.16	0.000ª	0.76±0.55	2.32±0.91	0.000ª
Coma	1.28±0.79	0.30±0.22	0.000ª	2.62±0.62	2.56±0.68	0.676
Trefoil	0.97±0.69	0.16±0.12	0.000 ^a	1.43±0.81	1.23±0.91	0.000ª
Quadrifoil	1.25±0.43	0.18±0.09	0.000 ^a	1.78±0.93	1.35±1.02	0.000ª
Residual	1.35±0.90	0.41±0.26	0.000 ^ª	1.43±0.30	2.04±0.53	0.000ª
PSF (Strehl ratio)	0.0614±0.0365	0.4292±0.2720	0.000ª	0.0474±.0208	0.1951±0.221	0.000 ^ª

HoAs: High order aberrations; LoAs: Low order aberrations; OPD: Optic path difference; PSF: Point spread function; RMS: Root mean square; CL: Contact Lens. ^aP<0.05.

remaining 7 (16.7%) patients, a pupil size of 6 mm or more was measured (subgroup 2). The rate of subjective photic phenomena was significantly higher in subgroup 2 (6/85.7%) than in subgroup 1 (8/22.85%, P=0.000). In all 14 patients who reported photic phenomena, the optical zone of the lenses was widened to 7.00 mm and re-prescribed. In addition to the decrease in photic phenomena to 20.00% (n=7) from 22.85% (n=8) in subgroup 1, there was a significant decrease in visual complaints in all 6 patients in subgroup 2.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the visual and aberrometric changes in the central and peripheral corneal optical zones after CSKL fitting in patients with KC. Our results suggest that CSKL fitting improved visual acuity in the majority of our study population by controlling HOAs in the central optical zones. Several studies have shown that soft CLs can be beneficial in correcting HOAs in severely aberrated eyes and provide visual benefits over their use in normal eyes^[8,21-22]. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the role of pupil size on the occurrence of photic discomfort rates with CSKL in KC patients.

In patients with KC, CSKLs have been reported to provide good visual acuity under laboratory conditions with high contrast sensitivity consistent with habitual RGP corrections^[23]. Gumus and Kahraman^[21] reported a mean increase in visual acuity of 4.5 lines (range 1-9 lines) with Toris K lenses. The results of the current study show that the logMAR achieved with Toris K CSKLs was quite satisfactory with an improvement of at least 3 lines in patients with KC. Furthermore, there were notable reductions in spherical, cylindrical, and keratometric values (SimK1, SimK2, Kapex) when measured with the CSKLs. In contrast, as expected, there were no significant differences in posterior corneal keratometry values. The clinical performance of KeraSoft[®] IC (Bausch &Lomb, Filcon V3 material), the other commonly



mean±SD

Figure 3 An example of a PSF and display of the vision scale displays with Toris K lens in 7.00 mm selected optical zone A: Before Toris K lens fitting in 4.5 mm optical zone; B: After Toris K lens fitting in 4.5 mm optical zone; C: Before Toris K lens fitting in 7.00 mm optical zone; D: After Toris K lens fitting in 7 mm optical zone. The improvement in PSF scores after CL fittingwas more significant in central 4.5 mm optical zone. PSF: Point spread function.

manufactured soft CLs for irregular corneas, was found to be effective in the high contrast visual acuity values, similar to the results of Toris $K^{[24]}$.

Wavefront aberrations produced by the cornea are a good indicator of visual quality in normal and keratoconic eyes and for early detection of KC^[25]. Although topographic systems cannot measure the wavefront directly, they can estimate corneal aberrations from corneal steepening in ectatic areas that result in a deviation of rays projected onto the retina and a deformed wavefront^[26].

In eyes with KC, the increase in anterior HOA, especially in coma, is caused by the irregular steepening and protrusion of the anterior corneal surface, which can be detected with high reliability by camera-based Scheimpflug topography systems^[27]. However, even with RGP lenses, residual HOAs remain on the eye, typically attributed to the posterior corneal surface^[7]. Fitting a CSKL to correct LOAs (defocus sphere and astigmatism) has been shown to be very effective in reducing HOAs in eyes with KC, suggesting that soft CLs may additionally mask HOAs by maintaining a uniform anterior surface depending on their thickness. Therefore, in recent years, technology has been introduced to control both LOAs and HOAs with a custom wavefront-controlled soft contact lens modality. In the study by Marsack et al^[23] the full wavefront customized hydrogel lenses, which aim to neutralize all corneal aberrations, were compared to the habitual RGP CLs and they found that the novel wavefront hydrogel lenses were comparable to the RGP CLs in both measurements. However, a comparison of the visual benefits of the wavefront customized hydrogel lenses with the other (non-wavefront guided) CSKLs such as Toris K has not yet been investigated.

Our study showed that the variation in corneal wavefront analyzes depended on the size of the selected optical zone diameters. The central optical zone of 4.5 mm selected for corneal wavefront analysis is the diameter closest to the mean pupil size of the study group (4.59 mm) and is therefore considered clinically more important for visual quality, corresponding to the larger alternatives. All anterior corneal wavefront values showed improvement in the central area of 4.5 mm along with a significant visual recovery in our patients, despite increased peripheral aberrations at 7.00 mm.

The improvements in anterior corneal aberrations achieved with CSKL fitting were quite remarkable and comparable to previous studies^[8,21,24]. At 4.5 mm, all corneal aberrations, including LOAs and HOAs, showed significant reductions. In contrast, when the selected optical zone was enlarged to 7.00 mm, HOAs, especially spherical coefficients, were significantly increased compared to baseline values, although values for other peripheral aberrations such as trefoil and quatrefoil RMS decreased. The circular and regular pattern of the edge of the optic zone in the mid-periphery of the cornea could lead to an increase in spherical aberrations, but also to a deterioration in the patterns of other peripheral aberrations such as trefoil and quatrefoil, leading to a decrease in RMS values. The LOAs coefficients that depended on the sphericalcylindrical errors decreased in the 7 mm zone as much as in the 4.5 mm zone. The main reason for these incongruent patterns of change in HOAs during CSKL fitting was that the 7.00 mm selected optical zone was further outside the 6.5 mm boundary of the standard optical zone of the manufactured CSKL.

Vertical coma is usually significantly increased in eyes with KC, which depends on the distortion of light waves arriving at the (flatter) superior and (steeper) inferior corneas^[28]. Our results showed that the improvements in mean coma with CSKLs in 4.5 mm were quite remarkable (from 1.28 to 0.30 RMS); however, the changes in coma aberration in the optical zone of 7.00 mm were not significant. The keratoconic cone apex distorts incoming light waves by "rotating" them and inducing trefoil (or triangular astigmatic) aberrations^[29]. After CSKL fitting procedure, the mean trefoil RMS value of the study group showed significant regression in both 4.5 and 7.00 mm.

In this regard, the subjective photic phenomena were reported more frequently in subgroup 2 with pupil diameter greater than 6.00 mm (85.7%) than in subgroup 1 with pupil size less than 6 mm (22.85%). As a solution, it was considered to increase the diameters of the optical zone to reduce the effects of aberrations originating from the optical edge of the present lens, and the optical zone was re-ordered as 7.00 mm instead of the 6.50 mm standards. With the new manufactured lens, photic complaints were regressed in all 6 patients in subgroup 2. However, there was no obvious change in photic phenomena in 7 of 8 patients in subgroup 1. This is because the pupil size of these patients was already smaller than the original optical size of the CSKL. Our results suggest that the use of the standard 6.5 optical zones in patients with larger pupil diameters causes an extremely high incidence of photic phenomena. Therefore, it is reasonable to include pupillography measurements in the initial Toris K fitting examination for patients with a mesopic pupil larger than 6 mm and to increase the optical zone to 7.00 mm to prevent photic phenomena.

The current study has some limitations. Our sample size is relatively small. We did not assess contrast sensitivity, and the presence of halo and glare was not performed with an objective, device-based method. In addition, subgroup analyzes of KC stages were not evaluated to avoid review of overdose data in the same study.

In conclusion, the current study demonstrated that the increased LOAs and HOAs in eyes with KC can be rehabilitated with Toris K CSKLs within the limits of the optic zone of the lens. CSKLs provide good visual benefits and should therefore be considered as an alternative to conventional RGP lenses. In addition, patients' pupil size should be considered when determining the diameter of the Toris K lens optical zone to control the subjective photic phenomenon as it affects ocular aberrations and visual quality.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Conflicts of Interest: Penbe A, None; Kanar HS, None; Arsan AK, None; Kurna SA, None.

REFERENCES

- 1 Santodomingo-Rubido J, Carracedo G, Suzaki A, Villa-Collar C, Vincent SJ, Wolffsohn JS. Keratoconus: an updated review. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye* 2022;45(3):101559.
- 2 Motwani M. Treatment of keratoconus with WaveLight contoura and corneal cross-linking combined. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2021;15: 2455-2472.
- 3 Kandel H, Pesudovs K, Watson SL. Measurement of quality of life in keratoconus. *Cornea* 2020;39(3):386-393.
- 4 Shneor E, Piñero DP, Doron R. Contrast sensitivity and higher-order aberrations in keratoconus subjects. *Sci Rep* 2021;11:12971.
- 5 Lim L, Lim EWL. Current perspectives in the management of keratoconus with contact lenses. *Eye* (*Lond*) 2020;34(12):2175-2196.
- 6 Shokrollahzadeh F, Hashemi H, Jafarzadehpur E, Mirzajani A, Khabazkhoob M, Yekta A, Asgari S. Corneal aberration changes after rigid gas permeable contact lens wear in keratokonic patients. *J Curr Ophthalmol* 2016;28(4):194-198.
- 7 Yu Q, Wu JX, Zhang HN, *et al.* Analysis of aberration changes of the corneal anterior surface following discontinued use of rigid gas permeable contact lenses. *Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi* 2011;47(4):327-331.
- 8 Suzaki A, Koh S, Maeda N, Asonuma S, Santodomingo-Rubido J, Oie Y, Soma T, Fujikado T, Nishida K. Optimizing correction of coma aberration in keratoconus with a novel soft contact lens. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye* 2021;44(4):101405.
- 9 Şengör T, Aydın Kurna S. Update on contact lens treatment of keratoconus. *Turk J Ophthalmol* 2020;50(4):234-244.
- 10 Downie LE, Lindsay RG. Contact lens management of keratoconus. Clin Exp Optom 2015;98(4):299-311.
- 11 Yilmaz I, Ozcelik F, Basarir B, Demir G, Durusoy G, Taskapili M. Clinical performance of toris K contact lens in patients with moderate to advanced keratoconus: a real life retrospective analysis. J Ophthalmol 2016;2016:2358901.
- 12 Sultan P, Dogan C, Iskeleli G. A retrospective analysis of vision correction and safety in keratoconus patients wearing Toris K soft contact lenses. *Int Ophthalmol* 2016;36(6):799-805.
- 13 Sawides L, Gambra E, Pascual D, Dorronsoro C, Marcos S. Visual performance with real-life tasks under adaptive-optics ocular aberration correction. *J Vis* 2010;10(5):19.
- 14 Del Águila-Carrasco AJ, Kruger PB, Lara F, López-Gil N. Aberrations and accommodation. *Clin Exp Optom* 2020;103(1):95-103.

- 15 Ginis HS, Plainis S, Pallikaris A. Variability of wavefront aberration measurements in small pupil sizes using a clinical Shack-Hartmann aberrometer. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2004;4:1.
- 16 Belin MW, Duncan JK. Keratoconus: the ABCD grading system. Klin Monbl Augenheilkd 2016;233(6):701-707.
- 17 Finis D, Ralla B, Karbe M, Borrelli M, Schrader S, Geerling G. Comparison of two different scheimpflug devices in the detection of keratoconus, regular astigmatism, and healthy corneas. *J Ophthalmol* 2015;2015:315281.
- 18 Li JZ, Xue F, Qu FY, Ho YP, Blu T. On-the-fly estimation of a microscopy point spread function. *Opt Express* 2018;26(20):26120-26133.
- 19 Zhu X, Ye H, Yang J, Lu Y. Effect of pupil size on higher-order aberrations in high-myopic pseudophakic eyes with posterior staphyloma. *Eye (Lond)* 2015;29(1):98-105.
- 20 Arnold PN. Photic phenomena after phacoemulsification and posterior chamber lens implantation of various optic sizes. J Cataract Refract Surg 1994;20(4):446-450.
- 21 Gumus K, Kahraman N. A new fitting approach for providing adequate comfort and visual performance in keratoconus: soft HydroCone (toris K) lenses. *Eye Contact Lens* 2016;42(4):225-230.
- 22 Jinabhai AN. Customised aberration-controlling corrections for keratoconic patients using contact lenses. *Clin Exp Optom* 2020;103(1):31-43.
- 23 Marsack JD, Parker KE, Applegate RA. Performance of wavefrontguided soft lenses in three keratoconus subjects. *Optom Vis Sci* 2008; 85(12):E1172-E1178.
- 24 Kumar P. Do visual performance and optical quality vary across different contact lens correction modalities in keratoconus? *Contact Lens Anterior Eye* 2020;43(6):568-576.
- 25 Ortiz-Toquero S, Fernandez I, Martin R. Classification of keratoconus based on anterior corneal high-order aberrations: a cross-validation study. *Optom Vis Sci* 2020;97(3):169-177.
- 26 Barbero S, Marcos S, Merayo-Lloves J, Moreno-Barriuso E. Validation of the estimation of corneal aberrations from videokeratography in keratoconus. *J Refract Surg* 2002;18(3):263-270.
- 27 Castro-Luna G, Jiménez-Rodríguez D, Castaño-Fernández AB, Pérez-Rueda A. Diagnosis of subclinical keratoconus based on machine learning techniques. *J Clin Med* 2021;10(18):4281.
- 28 Markoulli M, Fedtke C, Coroneo M, Kalloniatis M, Whatham A, Yapp M, Zangerl B. Clinical utility of irx3 in keratoconus. *Clin Exp Optom* 2021;104(1):107-114.
- 29 Shugyo A, Koh S, Inoue R, Ambrósio R, Miki A, Maeda N, Nishida K. Optical quality in keratoconus is associated with corneal biomechanics. *Cornea* 2020;Publish Ahead of Print.