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#### Abstract

The rotors of three screw pumps are commonly machined using shaped milling cutters. The determination of the exact shape of the cutter is very important, since a high precision in the machining is required to obtain a high volumetric efficiency of the pump. This paper describes a method to determine the theoretical shape of the cutter, starting from the characteristic parameters of the pump. The rotors are modeled in space by helicoids. Then, the contact line between the tool and the workpiece is determined and this allows us to define the exact cutter profile, with a suitable reference system transformation.


Sommario. I rotori delle pompe a tre viti vengono solitamente lavorati utilizzando frese di forma. La determinazione della forma esatta dei taglienti è molto importante, dato che una elevata precisione nella lavorazione è necessaria per ottenere un alto rendimento volumetrico della pompa. Questo lavoro descrive una metodologia per la determinazione del profilo teorico dell'utensile, partendo dai parametri caratteristici della pompa. I rotori vengono modellati nello spazio con superfici elicoidali. Si determina poi la linea lungo la quale l'utensile agisce sul pezzo e ciò permette, con un opportuno cambiamento del sistema di riferimento, di definire l'esatto profilo del tagliente.
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## Nomenclature

|  | - helix pitch; |  | - parameter of screw; | $\beta$ - angle subtended by epitrochoid or epicycloid arc; |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - auxiliary reference system index; |  | - surface vector; |  |  |
| b | - auxiliary reference system index; | $r$ | - central rotor inner radius, idler | $\Gamma$ - line; |  |
|  | - tool index; |  | or outer radius; | $\gamma$ - semiamplitude of not threaded |  |
|  | - distance of milling cutter center | $r^{\prime}$ | - central rotor outer radius; | zone of the screws; <br> $\gamma_{c}$ - tool setting angle; |  |
|  | from the screw axis; |  | - idler rotor inner radius; |  |  |
| $f$ | - equation of meshing for a screw | $S$ | - reference system; |  | - surface coordinate; |
|  | motion and surface; |  | - screw index; | $\Xi$ | solution locus of the screw |
|  | - reference system index; | T | - definition set of the helicoid |  | equation of meshing; |
|  | M - transformation matrix; |  | parameters; |  | - surface; |
|  | - normal vector; | $u$ | - surface coordinate; |  | - angle between the screw and the |
|  | - normal vector component; |  |  |  | reference frame. |

## 1. Introduction

Three screw pumps have two types of rotors: a central screw, which presents two helical worms, and two identical idler rotors, driven by the central and with two corresponding helical vanes.

The machining technology is the same for both rotors: starting from the solid piece, the rotors are usually cut by shaped milling cutters.

However, for our purposes it is not necessary to give a complete topological representation of the milling cutter identifying each tooth. The only important thing is the cutter radial section; so we can consider a simplified tool comparable to a disk grinding wheel from a geometrical point of view. The disk, if that is the case, can be machined to obtain the usual milling cutter, but this phase is not interesting here, since it is a standard methodology in tool production.

## 2. Mathematical model

The method (see Figure 1) introduced in this paper starts from the analytical description of the screws in the space, which are modeled as helicoid surfaces. The kinematic analysis is omitted here since it is reported in [1]. Stated the cut parameters, the equation of meshing, introduced by Litvin [2], allows to determine the contact line between the tool and the workpiece and eventually the tool shape by a suitable reference system transformation.
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It is necessary to observe that also Henriot [3] and other authors proposed methods to determine the cutter theoretical section, but Litvin's [2] method has been preferred, even with some modifications, since it seems more general and complete.


Figure 1 - Flow chart of the method.
The modeling and relative calculations have been done by using many programs written with symbolic mathematics software [4]. Many figures have been made by this software and refer, except when specified, to a particular case, characterized by the following parameters: $r=12 \mathrm{~mm}, r_{e}=20 \mathrm{~mm}, a=68 \mathrm{~mm}, \gamma=45^{\circ}, \gamma_{c}=48^{\circ} 3^{\prime}, e_{c}=(50+r) \mathrm{mm}$ for the central screw and $e_{c}=\left(50+r_{i}\right) \mathrm{mm}$ for the idler.


Figure 2 - Cross section of the rotors: central rotor (left), idler rotor (right).

### 2.1. Helicoids Representation

In the first part of the proposed method it is necessary to refer to some considerations expressed in [1] about the screw profile generation on a cross section to the rotating axis ( $\mathbf{( 1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in Figure 1). In this paragraph we wish to give a mathematical model that defines the screw surface in three dimensional space ( $\mathbf{3}$ in Figure 1) that is composed of several helicoid surfaces in an analytical representation. Figure 2 shows the cross section of the two types of rotors under consideration. The solid lines numbered from (1) to (3) represent the three parts of the profile machined by the milling cutter.

If we refer to the two auxiliary reference systems $O_{a} x_{a} y_{a}$ and $O_{b} x_{b} y_{b}$ (these are left hand systems due to the choice of the reference system $S_{s}$ in Figure 4), the flanks that have to be considered are respectively epicycloid and root circle (equal to the pitch circle) arc for the central screw and epitrochoid and root circle arc for the idler. Their parametric equations are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{a 1,3}=2 r \cos \left(\vartheta_{1,3}+\gamma\right)-r \cos \left(2 \vartheta_{1,3}+\gamma\right) \\
y_{a 1,3}= \pm 2 r \sin \left(\vartheta_{1,3}+\gamma\right) \mp r \sin \left(2 \vartheta_{1,3}+\gamma\right) \\
z_{a 1,3}=0
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{1,3}: 0 \leq \vartheta_{1,3} \leq \arccos \frac{5 r^{2}-r_{e}^{2}}{4 r^{2}}\right.  \tag{1}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{a 2}=r \cos \left(-\vartheta_{2}+\gamma\right) \\
y_{a 2}=r \sin \left(-\vartheta_{2}+\gamma\right)
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{T}_{2}: 0 \leq \vartheta_{2} \leq 2 \gamma\right.  \tag{2}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{b 1,3}=2 r \cos \left(\vartheta_{1,3}-\gamma+\beta\right)-r_{e} \cos \left(2 \vartheta_{1,3}-\gamma+\beta\right) \\
y_{b 1,3}=\mp 2 r \sin \left(\vartheta_{1,3}-\gamma+\beta\right) \pm r_{e} \sin \left(2 \vartheta_{1,3}-\gamma+\beta\right) \quad \mathrm{T}_{1,3}: 0 \leq \vartheta_{1,3} \leq \arccos \frac{3 r^{2}+r_{e}^{2}}{4 r r_{e}} \\
z_{b 1,3}=0
\end{array}\right. \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{b 2}=\left(2 r-r_{e}\right) \cos \left(-\vartheta_{2}+\gamma-\beta\right)  \tag{4}\\
y_{b 2}=-\left(2 r-r_{e}\right) \sin \left(-\vartheta_{2}+\gamma-\beta\right) \\
z_{b 2}=0
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{2}: 0 \leq \vartheta_{2} \leq 2(\gamma-\beta) .\right.
$$

In the previous equations, $\mathrm{T}_{i}$ are the definition intervals and $\beta$ the angle subtended by epitrochoid or epicycloid arc (see Figure 2). Using a vector notation the equations (1)-(4) can be rewritten as

$$
\mathbf{r}_{a i}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
x_{a i} & y_{a i} & z_{a i} & 1
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \text { and } \mathbf{r}_{b i}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
x_{b i} & y_{b i} & z_{b i} & 1 \tag{5}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \text { with } i=1,2,3 .
$$

The helicoid is generated by each flank with a screw motion along its $z$ axis. The transformation matrix is (for a right-hand screw):

$$
\mathbf{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos u_{s} & -\sin u_{s} & 0 & 0  \tag{6}\\
\sin u_{s} & \cos u_{s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & p u_{s} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The parameter $p$ is linked to the helix pitch $a$ by the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{a}{2 \pi} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the surfaces $\Sigma_{s i}$ that represent the machined surfaces of the screws are given by the following vectorial equations, where the index (c) is relative to the central rotor and (i) to the idler rotor:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{r}_{s i}^{(c)}=\mathbf{M r}_{a i} \text { with } i=1,2,3  \tag{8}\\
& \mathbf{r}_{s i}^{(i)}=\mathbf{M r}_{b i} \text { with } i=1,2,3 . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (8) and (9) we can obtain the parametric expressions of the surfaces $\Sigma_{s i}$ as function of the surface coordinates $u_{s}$ and $\vartheta_{s}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{lr}
x_{s 1,3}^{(c)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 1,3}\right)=2 r \cos \left(\vartheta_{s 1,3} \pm u_{s}+\gamma\right)-r \cos \left(2 \vartheta_{s 1,3} \pm u_{s}+\gamma\right) & \\
y_{s 1,3}^{(c)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 1,3}\right)= \pm 2 r \sin \left(\vartheta_{s 1,3} \pm u_{s}+\gamma\right) \mp r \sin \left(2 \vartheta_{s 1,3} \pm u_{s}+\gamma\right) & \mathrm{T}_{1,3}: \\
z_{s 1,3}^{(c)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 1,3}\right)=p u_{s} & \\
\hline
\end{array}\right.  \tag{10}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{s 2}^{(c)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 2}\right)=r \cos \left(-\vartheta_{s 2}-u_{s}+\gamma\right) \\
y_{s 2}^{(c)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 2}\right)=r \sin \left(-\vartheta_{s 2}-u_{s}+\gamma\right) \\
z_{s 2}^{(c)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 2}\right)=p u_{s}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{11}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{lrl}
x_{s 1,3}^{(i)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 1,3}\right) & =2 r \cos \left(\vartheta_{s 1,3} \mp u_{s}-\gamma+\beta\right)-r_{e} \cos \left(2 \vartheta_{s 1,3} \mp u_{s}-\gamma+\beta\right) & \\
y_{s 1,3}^{(i)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 1,3}\right)=\mp 2 r \sin \left(\vartheta_{s 1,3} \mp u_{s}-\gamma+\beta\right) \pm r_{e} \sin \left(2 \vartheta_{s 1,3} \mp u_{s}-\gamma+\beta\right) & \mathrm{T}_{1,3}: & 0 \leq \vartheta_{s 1,3} \leq \arccos \frac{3 r^{2}+r_{e}^{2}}{4 r r_{e}} \\
z_{s 1,3}^{(i)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 1,3}\right) & =p u_{s} &
\end{array},\right.  \tag{12}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{s 2}^{(i)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 2}\right)=\left(2 r-r_{e}\right) \cos \left(\vartheta_{s 2}+u_{s}-\gamma+\beta\right) \\
y_{s 2}^{(i)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 2}\right)=\left(2 r-r_{e}\right) \sin \left(\vartheta_{s 2}+u_{s}-\gamma+\beta\right) \\
z_{s 2}^{(i)}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s 2}\right)=p u_{s}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{13}\\
& \mathrm{T}_{2}: \begin{array}{l}
0 \leq \vartheta_{s 2} \leq 2 \gamma, \\
-\pi \leq u_{s} \leq \pi
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

The choice of the limits for the surface coordinate $u_{s}$ is rather arbitrary. With the suggested choice, it is possible to obtain a helicoid as long as a pitch. Previous equations (10)-(13) allow us to generate the complete helicoid in space. This helicoid represents the considered screws, together with the other surfaces which compose the screw and which have not been analytically represented because they are not necessary in what follows. In Figure 3 the two screws are shown; note that the central screw is right-handed, whereas the idler is left-handed. To obtain the latter, it is sufficient to change the sign of $u_{s}$ in the upper left submatrix in (6), relative to the rotation part of the transformation matrix $\mathbf{M}$. In
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the following, the equations for right-handed screws will always be considered, because the worm winding direction is indifferent to the determination of the milling cutter profile.


Figure 3 - Central screw (left) - idler screw (right).

### 2.2. Reference Systems

Figure 4 shows a draft relative to the reference systems adopted. Since we often shift from one reference system to the other, it is advisable to examine them briefly. The reference system $S_{f}$ is a fixed reference system $O_{f} X_{f} y_{f} Z_{f}$ rigidly connected to the machine tool frame.

The reference system $S_{s}$ represents a reference system $O_{s} x_{s} y_{s} z_{s}$ rigidly connected to the screw, which performs a screw motion relative to the fixed along the common axis $z_{f} \equiv z_{s}$. In the general instant $t$, the origin $O_{s}$ will be shifted relative to $O_{f}$ of $p \psi$, while the axes $x_{s}$ and $y_{s}$ make the angle $\psi$ with the corresponding axes $x_{f}$ and $y_{f}$.
The reference system $S_{c}\left(O_{c} x_{c} y_{c} Z_{c}\right)$ is rigidly connected to the tool. The distance from the milling cutter center $O_{c}$ to the axis $z_{f} \equiv z_{s}$, indicated by $e_{c}$, is one of the most important cut parameters, together with the angle $\gamma_{c}$, which is the tool setting angle relative to the fixed reference system.


Figure 4 - Frame, tool, screw reference systems.
It is practical to use the notation $\mathbf{M}_{a b}$ for the transformation matrixes, which indicates the transformation matrix from the reference system $S_{b}$ to $S_{a}$. Referring to Figure 4, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}_{f c} & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & e_{c} \\
0 & \cos \gamma_{c} & -\sin \gamma_{c} & 0 \\
0 & \sin \gamma_{c} & \cos \gamma_{c} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]  \tag{14}\\
\mathbf{M}_{f s} & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos \psi & -\sin \psi & 0 & 0 \\
\sin \psi & \cos \psi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & p \psi \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{M}_{c f}=\mathbf{M}_{f c}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & -e_{c} \\
0 & \cos \gamma_{c} & \sin \gamma_{c} & 0 \\
0 & -\sin \gamma_{c} & \cos \gamma_{c} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{M}_{s f}=\mathbf{M}_{f s}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos \psi & \sin \psi & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin \psi & \cos \psi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -p \psi \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.3 Equation of Meshing

The equation of meshing, proposed by Litvin [2] for the case of conjugate surfaces, but which is also suitable for a couple composed of a tool and the generated workpiece, represents the necessary condition for the existence of the envelope of a conjugate surface to a given one. Considering the tool and the workpiece, it is obvious that the latter is determined by the envelope of the tool in subsequent positions, but it is also evident that the example can be reversed and the workpiece can be considered as enveloping the tool.

The equation of meshing ( $\mathbf{4}$ in Figure 1) permits us to determine a relationship between the values of the surface coordinates $u_{s}$ and $\vartheta_{s}$, in order to define the line along which a surface meshes with its conjugate surface. In the case of the couple workpiece-tool, the line obtained as a function of the solution locus of the equation of meshing represents the line on the workpiece where the tool cuts.

For the determination of the equation of meshing, Litvin states this theorem [2]: "the line of tangency between screw surface $\Sigma_{s}$ and tool surface $\Sigma_{c}$ is such a one at which the normals to screw surface $\Sigma_{s}$ intersect the rotation axis of the tool" ( $z_{c}$ in Figure 4). The proof of this theorem is rather intuitive, considering that tool surface $\Sigma_{c}$ is a surface of revolution and therefore the normal to each point intersects the fixed axis. So also the normal to the screw at the point where its surface $\Sigma_{s}$ is tangent to that of the tool (that is where the cutting action takes place) intersects the fixed axis of the tool.

Therefore it is necessary to determine the normal to the screw surface $\Sigma_{s}$ first, then its intersection with the tool axis is imposed and sets the relationship between the surface coordinates $u_{s}$ and $\vartheta_{s}$.

This method is used for all three surfaces $\Sigma_{s i}$ that have to be machined for each screw. In order to reduce the length of this exposition, we are introducing a general type of notation to refer to a generic surface $\mathbf{r}_{s}$ such as

$$
\mathbf{r}_{s}=\mathbf{r}_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
x_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right) & y_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right) & z_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right) & 1 \tag{18}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

where the equations (10)-(13) have to replace the functions $x_{s}, y_{s}, z_{s}$. Since all the equations (10)-(13) are $C^{\infty}(T)$ in their surface coordinate definition sets, the surfaces under consideration have the normal at every point given by

$$
\mathbf{N}_{s}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k}  \tag{19}\\
\frac{\partial x_{s}}{\partial u_{s}} & \frac{\partial y_{s}}{\partial u_{s}} & \frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial u_{s}} \\
\frac{\partial x_{s}}{\partial \vartheta_{s}} & \frac{\partial y_{s}}{\partial \vartheta_{s}} & \frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial \vartheta_{s}}
\end{array}\right|=N_{x s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right) \mathbf{i}_{s}+N_{y s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right) \mathbf{j}_{s}+N_{z s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right) \mathbf{k}_{s}
$$

The normal common to screw surface $\Sigma_{s}$ and tool surface $\Sigma_{c}$ is given in Cartesian coordinates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X_{s}-x_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)}{N_{x s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)}=\frac{Y_{s}-y_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)}{N_{y s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)}=\frac{Z_{s}-z_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)}{N_{z s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation (20) $X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}$ represent the coordinates of the intersection point P of the normal and the tool axis $z_{c}$ in the screw reference system $S_{s}$. If we consider the particular position with $\psi=0$, the screw reference coincides with the fixed reference, the P coordinates in $S_{c}$ are transformed into $S_{s}$ reference system with the following:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{s}  \tag{21}\\
Y_{s} \\
Z_{z} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{M}_{s f} \mathbf{M}_{f c}\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
Z_{c} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{c} \\
-Z_{c} \sin \gamma_{c} \\
Z_{c} \cos \gamma_{c} \\
1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Substituting equation (21) in equation (20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e_{c}-x_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)}{N_{\chi s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)}=\frac{-Z_{c} \sin \gamma_{c}-y_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)}{N_{y s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)}=\frac{Z_{c} \cos \gamma_{c}-z_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)}{N_{z s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (22) is already the equation of meshing. By eliminating $Z_{c}$ we have
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e_{c}-x_{s}\right) \cos \gamma_{c} N_{y s}^{2}+\left(y_{s} \cos \gamma_{c}-z_{s} \sin \gamma_{c}\right) N_{x s} N_{y s}+\left(e_{c}-x_{s}\right) \sin \gamma_{c} N_{y s} N_{z s}+2 y_{s} \sin \gamma_{c} N_{x s} N_{z s}=0 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (23) can be further simplified by considering that the relation exists for the helicoids [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{s} N_{x s}-x_{s} N_{y s}-p N_{z s}=0 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)=\left(e_{c}-x_{s}+p \cot \gamma_{c}\right) N_{z s}+e_{c} \cot \gamma_{c} N_{y s}+z_{s} N_{x s}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the equation of meshing for the helicoids in the commonly known form. The couples of values $\left(\bar{u}_{s}, \bar{\vartheta}_{s}\right)$ that satisfy equation (25) define a curve $\Xi$ in the $u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}$ plane.

### 2.4. Contact Lines on the Screws

A set of contact lines ( $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ in Figure 1) exists on the screw surface. We will consider just one: $\Gamma$, as shown in Figure 5 by imposing $\psi=0$ and having the fixed reference system $S_{f}$ and the screw reference $S_{s}$ coincide.


Figure 5 - Contact lines on the screw surfaces.
So the contact line $\Gamma_{s}$ which is actually composed of three lines per each machined surface for both the screws, has the equation

$$
\Gamma_{s}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{r}_{s}=\mathbf{r}_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)=x_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right) \mathbf{i}_{s}+y_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right) \mathbf{j}_{s}+z_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right) \mathbf{k}_{s} .  \tag{26}\\
f\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

If angle $\psi$ is varied, the subsequent contact lines will sweep out the surface of the screw. As a result of the previous considerations, line $\Gamma$ is common to the tool. In reference system $S_{c}$ its equation is given by

$$
\Gamma_{c}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{r}_{c}=\mathbf{M}_{c f} \mathbf{M}_{f s} \mathbf{r}_{s}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right) .  \tag{27}\\
f\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Before showing the contact lines for the tool, it would be best to design it completely.

### 2.5. Tool Cutting Edge

It is unnecessary to give a complete topological representation of the milling cutter. The only important thing is the cutter shape so we consider a simplified tool comparable to a disk grinding wheel (see Figure 6) from which each cutter is obtained. Therefore our particular tool is a solid of revolution that is obtained by rotating a cutter profile on plane $x_{c} z_{c}$ about $z_{c}$ axis. The equations that define the section ( $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ in Figure 1) can be obtained by equation (27). By considering that it represents $\Gamma_{c}$ in space, and rotating each point of $\Gamma_{c}$ into $x_{c} Z_{c}$ plane of cutter reference system $S_{c}$ we have:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{c}^{s c t}=-\sqrt{x_{c}^{2}\left(\bar{u}_{s}, \overline{\vartheta_{s}}\right)+y_{c}^{2}\left(\bar{u}_{s}, \overline{\vartheta_{s}}\right)}  \tag{28}\\
z_{c}^{s c t}=z_{c}\left(\bar{u}_{s}, \overline{\vartheta_{s}}\right)
\end{array} \text { where }\left(\bar{u}_{s}, \bar{\vartheta}_{s}\right) \in \Xi .\right.
$$

In equation (28) we have chosen the negative abscissas half plane because that section is directly in contact with the workpiece according to the reference systems in Figure 4. Note that it is possible to proof that equation (27) can be expressed as function of just one parameter. In fact the two parameters $u_{s}$ and $\vartheta_{s}$ are not independent, but related by equation (25), and we can proof that a function $\vartheta_{s}=\vartheta_{s}\left(u_{s}\right)$ exists that is implicitly defined by equation (25) in a sufficient small region of each point $\left(\bar{u}_{s}, \bar{\vartheta}_{s}\right) \in \Xi$. It is possible to show, using Dini's theorem [5], that the equation of meshing (25) gives an unique solution, so it is possible to express the equation in explicit form, that is $\vartheta_{s}=\vartheta_{s}\left(u_{s}\right)$. In fact all the following hypotheses are true:

- $f\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)$ of equation (25) is continuous in each point of $\mathrm{T}_{i}$ sets of equations (10)-(13);
- the partial derivative $f_{\vartheta_{s}}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\right)$ exists;
- the root $\left(\bar{u}_{s}, \bar{\vartheta}_{s}\right)$ exists due to the previous considerations;
- it is possible to verify that $f_{\vartheta_{s}}\left(\bar{u}_{s}, \bar{\vartheta}_{s}\right) \neq 0$.


Figure 6 - Simplified tool like a shaped grinding wheel.
Therefore the equation (28) can be rewritten as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{c}^{s c t}\left(u_{s}\right)=-\sqrt{x_{c}^{2}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\left(u_{s}\right)\right)+y_{c}^{2}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\left(u_{s}\right)\right)}  \tag{29}\\
z_{c}^{s c t}\left(u_{s}\right)=z_{c}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{s}\left(u_{s}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u_{s} \in \Xi^{\prime}$, that is to the subset of $\Xi$ just composed by the values $\bar{u}_{s}$.
The theoretical shapes of the cutter on a radial section for both the screws are reported in Figure 7.

(b)

Figure 7 - Theoretical tool radial section for central screw (a), idler screw (b).
The theoretical profiles have been compared with those of actual tools as shown in Figure 8, where the solid white line represents the theoretical profile. The differences on the top of the central screw tool (A-A' in Figure 8) are due to the impossibility to maintain the sharp edge in the points $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ during the machining. Considering the idler screw tool, notice the straight fillet (C-D in Figure 8), that does not contribute to the cut if suitably chosen, and the fillet on the bottom (B-C in Figure 8). This represents a technological necessity to blunt the edges on the tips of the idler screw (see (5) in Figure 2), that must not interfere with the fillet on the pitch circle of the central screw (see (4) in Figure 2). This fillet is unavoidable due to the wear on the top of the milling cutter.

The surface of revolution ( $\mathbf{8}$ in Figure 1), that represents the tool, is given by the following vector, obtained by imposing the rotation of the curve of equation (29) that is the tool axial profile

$$
\mathbf{r}_{c}^{\text {tool }}\left(u_{s}, \vartheta_{c}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos \vartheta_{c} & -\sin \vartheta_{c} & 0 & 0  \tag{30}\\
\sin \vartheta_{c} & \cos \vartheta_{c} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{c}^{s c t}\left(u_{s}\right) \\
0 \\
z_{c}^{s c t}\left(u_{s}\right) \\
1
\end{array}\right]=x_{c}^{s c t}\left(u_{s}\right) \cos \vartheta_{c} \mathbf{i}_{c}+x_{c}^{s c t}\left(u_{s}\right) \sin \vartheta_{c} \mathbf{j}_{c}+z_{c}^{s c t}\left(u_{s}\right) \mathbf{k}_{c}
$$

where $u_{s} \in \Xi^{\prime}$ and $\vartheta_{c} \in[-\pi, \pi]$.

(b)

Figure 8 - Comparison between a theoretical tool (white solid line) and actual (black dashed line) of rotors with $r=33 \mathrm{~mm}, r_{e}=55 \mathrm{~mm}, a=86 \mathrm{~mm}, \gamma=49^{\circ}, \gamma_{c}=39^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$; (a) central, (b) idler.
The solid black line in Figure 9 is the contact line on a tool surface sector, while Figure 10 shows a 3D image of a theoretical milling cutter complete of teeth, whose cutter profile has been determined with the method here introduced.


Figure 9 - Contact lines on the tool for the central rotor screw (left) and for the idler rotor screw (right).


Figure 10-3D rendered milling cutters: central screw (left), idler screw (right).

## 3. Conclusions

In this paper we have shown a mathematical model to define surfaces that represent the rotors of screw pumps in the Cartesian space. These surfaces are helicoids that have a suitable analytical representation. Starting from this mathematical model, we use the equation of meshing algorithm to find the relation between the surface coordinates and determine the contact line between the tool and the screw to be machined.

The contact line in the tool reference system allows us to determine the section of the cutter and the surface of revolution that can represent the theoretical tool. These results may give the basis for further studies on the influence of the tool sharpening on the shape of the machined rotors.
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