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An evaluation of the management of faecal incontinence in two intensive care units
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## Background

- Little research in the management of acute incontinence.
- Consensus meeting
- NICE Guidance (2007):
- "Healthcare professionals should consider a faecal collection device for people in intensive care settings and people receiving palliative care with faecal incontinence and associated loose stools"


## Sample

- Intensive care services delivered by Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust
- Huddersfield and Calderdale has a population of 435,000.
- Current estimates of in-patient activity, in the intensive care units combined, suggest a 200 patient throughput in a 3 month period and of these, $20-25 \%$ will have faecal incontinence problem.


## Aims and objectives of study

- An evaluation of current practice in faecal incontinence (FI) management in two intensive therapy units
- To establish the current evidence base in faecal incontinence management in acute settings.
- To observe current faecal incontinence management in intensive care.
- To explore the impact of faecal incontinence to patients, staff and the organisation.
- To establish or re-develop an audit - driven protocol for intervention in faecal incontinence.
- To involve clinical nursing staff in the service evaluation /audit and research process.


## Data (Stage 1)

- Prevalence of FI in ITU.
- Cause of FI.
- FI management.
- No. of FI episodes
- Pharmacology.
- Biochemistry.
- Nursing time.


## Results

| Dates | Unit | In Patient No. | Patients with FI | Estimated prevalence <br> per unit \% (interval) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $16 / 3 / 09-16 / 4 / 09$ | CRI | 18 | 5 | 27 |
|  | HRI | 57 | 11 | 19 |
| $16 / 4 / 09-16 / 6 / 09$ | CRI | 34 | 8 | 23 |
|  | HRI | 92 | 21 | 23 |
|  | Total | 201 | 45 | $22(22.39)$ |
| Total Estimated <br> Prevalence of FI <br> (3 months) |  |  |  |  |

Mean Age; FI patients: 63
M: F: 40: 60 (\%).

## Reason for ITU Admission

| Cause | $\mathbf{n}$ | \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Respiratory | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 27 |
| Sepsis | 7 | 15 |
| Surgery | 7 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Renal | 3 | 7 |
| Cardiac arrest | 3 | 7 |
| Overdose | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| Misc. other | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |  |

## Faecal Incontinence: Cause.



No. of FI episodes (24 hour estimate)


## FI Management

## FI management

| Management | $\mathbf{n}$ | \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hygiene | 14 | 31 |
| Incontinence pad | 9 | 20 |
| Faecal collection bag | 8 | 19 |
| Flexi-Seal | 7 | 15 |
| BMS | 7 | 15 |



## Waterlow Score

| Management | Mean Score | Risk |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Flexi-Seal | 24.86 | Very High |
| BMS | 20.17 | Very High |
| Faecal <br> collector | 18.75 | High |
| Hygiene | 18.86 | High |
| Incopad | 21.22 | Very High |
| Total | 20.45 | Very High |

## Skin Breakdown

- 10 ( $22 \%$ ) of patients had peri-anal skin breakdown after the onset of FI:
FI management of the 10 with skin breakdown:
$\square$ Flexi-Seal: o (o\%)
$\square$ Hygiene: 3 (30\%).Incontinence Pad: 2 (20\%)Faecal collection Bag: 2 (20\%)BMS: 3 (30\%)


## Management Options

- Intervention Protocol.
- Individualised patient assessment.
- Justification for management choice:
- Flexi-Seal Collection system.
- Faecal collection bag.
- BMS Collection system.
- Digni care
- Incontinence pads.
- Hygiene.



## Acute, consistent faecal

incontinence (FI)

## managementof Individual Patient Assessment of Continence Needs: [ Dignity ] <br> faecalincontinence

in acute settings
Reason for admission.
Family/carer input.
Post-surgery/trauma?
Concordance/patient comfort-


Faecal Management System-
(Flexi-Seal): Check:
Contra-indications? (check product manual)
Trained to use?
Patient/ family Consent

## Record:

Fluid balance


FI management

## Review: 6-12 hourly

Faecal incontinence still present?
Stool consistency?
Waterlow score change?
Patient comfort/ concordance

## Discharge:

FI still present?:
Liaise management

## Summary

- Systematic approach to FI management is required.
- There is an education and training need.
- Resource/cost issues.
- Effective and individualised, patient concordant, management is achievable.
- National guideline.

