

1 **Insights on mechanisms of excess sludge minimization in an oxic-settling-anaerobic process**
2 **under different operating conditions and plant configurations**

3

4 Santo Fabio Corsino^{1*}, Michele Carabillò¹, Alida Cosenza¹, Federica De Marines¹, Daniele Di
5 Trapani¹, Francesco Traina¹, Michele Torregrossa¹, Gaspare Viviani¹

6

7 Affiliation 1: Department of Engineering, University of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, Building 8,
8 90128 Palermo, Italy

9

10 **Corresponding author: tel: +3909123861929; fax: +39 09123860810**

11 **E-mail address: santofabio.corsino@unipa.it (Santo Fabio Corsino)**

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 **Abstract**

25 In the present research, insights about the mechanisms of excess sludge minimization occurring in an
26 oxic-settling-anaerobic (OSA) were provided. The investigation involved two systems operating in
27 parallel. In particular, a conventional activated sludge (CAS) system as control and a system
28 implementing the OSA process both having a pre-denitrification scheme were considered. Five
29 periods (P1-P5) were studied, during which several operating conditions and configurations were
30 tested. Specifically, the hydraulic retention time (HRT) in the anaerobic reactor of the OSA system
31 (P1 8 h, P2-P3 12 h, P4 8h, P5 12 h) and the return sludge from the anaerobic to the anoxic (scheme
32 A) (P1-P2) or aerobic (scheme B) mainstream reactors (P3-P5) were investigated. The results
33 highlighted that the excess sludge production in the OSA was lower in all the configurations (12-
34 41%). In more detail, the observed yield (Y_{obs}) was reduced from 0.50-0.89 gTSS gCOD⁻¹ (control)
35 to 0.22-0.34 gTSS gCOD⁻¹ in the OSA. The highest excess sludge reduction (40%) was achieved
36 when the OSA was operated according to scheme B and HRT of 12 hours in the anaerobic reactor
37 (P3). Generally, scheme A enabled the establishment of cell lysis and extracellular polymeric
38 substances (EPS) deconstruction, leading to a worsening of process performances when high
39 anaerobic HRT (> 8 h) was imposed. In contrast, scheme B enabled the establishment of maintenance
40 metabolism in addition to the uncoupling metabolism, while cell lysis and EPS destruction were
41 minimized. This allowed obtaining higher sludge reduction yield without compromising the effluent
42 quality.

43

44 **Keywords:** Activated sludge; anaerobic side-stream reactor; biological nutrients removal; excess
45 sludge minimization; oxic-settling-anaerobic (OSA) process; wastewater treatment.

46

47

48

49

50

51 **1. Introduction**

52 The conventional activated sludge (CAS) process is the most common system adopted for biological
53 wastewater treatment (Hreiz et al., 2015). This process involves a microbial-mediated conversion of
54 biodegradable organic and nutrient pollutants into gaseous and solids with a residual pollution in the
55 effluent suitable with the environmental requirements for discharge into the receiving water bodies
56 (Wang et al., 2015). Given the large application of the activated sludge process in wastewater
57 treatment, several researches were carried out with the aim to improve its efficiency while minimizing
58 the drawbacks (Cai et al., 2021; Collivignarelli et al., 2019).

59 Among these, the excess sludge management, including its treatment and disposal operation, is
60 arising as one of the most concern topic of the last decade (Collivignarelli et al. 2019). The excess
61 sludge is a by-product of the activated sludge process that includes the residues of bacterial
62 metabolism as well as inert solids. The amount of excess sludge considerably increased during the
63 last years due to the more stringent environmental requirements, the growth of population and
64 consequent urbanization. For instance, the average excess sludge production in Europe was estimated
65 at approximately 9.7 million tons/year (on dry basis) (Collivignarelli et al., 2019), whereas in China
66 it was close to 60 million tons/year (Cheng et al., 2022). Excess sludge disposal could involve
67 secondary environmental pollution, depending on the disposal practices (e.g., incineration, landfill
68 disposal, etc.). Moreover, the treatment of excess sludge accounts up to 40-60% of the total operating
69 cost in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) based on activated sludge process, thereby generating
70 a noticeable economic impact (Arif et al., 2020).

71 For these reasons, reducing the excess sludge production has become a prominent research challenge.
72 To accomplish this, several researches explored the use of innovative technologies (Di Iaconi et al.,
73 2020; Li and Tabassum, 2022; Sun et al., 2022), whereas others investigated the optimization of
74 already consolidated ones (Ferrentino et al., 2019; 2021). The aim of these technologies is to achieve
75 low production of excess sludge without compromising the removal performance. The main

76 technologies for sludge reduction are based on chemical, mechanical or thermal treatments (Li et al.,
77 2021; Zhang et al., 2021) as well as biological (Ferrentino et al., 2019; Cheng et al., 2022).
78 Among the biological processes applied for excess sludge reduction, the oxic settling anaerobic
79 (OSA) has received great attention by the scientific and technical communities. Indeed, the OSA
80 process has the advantage of reducing the sludge production in the water line thus reducing the sludge
81 amount to be treated in the sludge line (Di Iaconi et al., 2020). The OSA process involves the
82 modification of a CAS scheme by inserting an anaerobic side-stream reactor (ASSR) in the return
83 activated sludge line (Semblante et al., 2016a). Compared with thermal or chemical technologies, the
84 OSA process is more suitable for retrofitting existing plants (e.g., use of dismissed facilities) and
85 involves lower economic impacts on the plant's operating costs. The performance of OSA processes
86 in terms of sludge reduction could be comparable or even higher, in some cases, to that of physical-
87 chemical technologies. Ferrentino and co-authors obtained up to 69% of sludge reduction by
88 employing a novel process based on a ASSR-like scheme (Ferrentino et al., 2021). In a study carried
89 out on an OSA process coupled with a membrane bioreactor (MBR), the authors obtained 58% of
90 sludge reduction (Fida et al., 2021), whereas a reduction of 49.6% was achieved when an OSA
91 configuration was implemented in a CAS pilot plant (Vitanza et al., 2019).

92 Excess sludge reduction in the OSA process takes place through the combination of several
93 mechanisms, comprising uncoupling metabolism, sludge decay, extracellular polymeric substances
94 (EPS) destructuration, bacterial predation and selection of slow-growing bacteria (Ferrentino et al.,
95 2021). These mechanisms are usually overlapping and their impact on sludge reduction and process
96 performances (e.g., nutrients removal, sludge settling properties, etc.) seems to be related to the ASSR
97 operating conditions. For instance, Vitanza and coauthors found that sludge decay and EPS
98 destructuration were the main mechanisms when operating with hydraulic retention times (HRT) of
99 2 h and 3.7 h in the ASSR (Vitanza et al., 2019). Similarly, cell decay and EPS destructuration were
100 observed in a membrane bioreactor (MBR) coupled with an anoxic side-stream reactor operating with
101 10 hours HRT (Fida et al., 2021). Corsino et al. (2020b) found that when increasing the HRT in the

102 ASSR from 6 h to 10 h, sludge minimization was mainly driven by endogenous decay, whereas the
103 contribution of the uncoupling metabolism decreased. Nonetheless, Velho and co-authors observed
104 that a 45% increase of the ASSR volume (HRT from 6 h to 10 h) did not promote a further reduction
105 of excess sludge, since it was affected by other factors such as aerobiosis/anaerobiosis alternation
106 (Velho et al., 2016). In most of the above-mentioned studies, negative effects on the effluent quality
107 due to long HRT in the ASSR are reported. Indeed, negative effects on wastewater quality were
108 reported in previous studies, causing the exceeding of the regulatory discharge limits for the effluent
109 (Semblante et al., 2016b; Jiang et al., 2018).

110 In this context, although the effect of operating conditions on heterotrophic organisms, hence organic
111 matter removal, was widely reported, to the best of authors' knowledge no studies on autotrophic
112 activity is available. Indeed, high retention time under low oxygen availability could alter the
113 fundamental mechanisms of biological nitrogen removal, hindering nitrification (Cantekin et al.,
114 2019). This aspect is of paramount importance in plants where biological nutrients removal is
115 operated. Therefore, the HRT in the ASSR is a key process parameter to achieve excess sludge
116 reduction without compromising the effluent quality. Nevertheless, the knowledge about the
117 relationships between OSA operating conditions and their effects on process performances is still
118 lacking (Guo et al., 2020). Moreover, the relationship between sludge minimization mechanisms and
119 biological activity of autotrophic biomass was not clearly assessed in previous literature so far.

120 In this light, this study was aimed at increasing the knowledge about the mechanisms occurring in an
121 OSA process operating under different conditions and configurations and their implications in terms
122 of excess sludge reduction and process performances, with special emphasis on autotrophic bacteria.
123 For this purpose, the performances of a pilot plant conceived for biological nutrient removal and
124 coupled with the OSA process were evaluated. Different HRT in the ASSR were investigated and a
125 novel plant layout was explored. The performances of the OSA plant were compared with that of a
126 control reactor without ASSR and fed with the same real municipal wastewater.

127

128

129 **2. Materials and Methods**

130 *2.1 Pilot plant description*

131 The experiment involved two systems operating in parallel and both configured according to a pre-
132 denitrification scheme (anoxic + aerobic reactors): i) a plant in which an ASSR was inserted in the
133 sludge return line, namely OSA, and ii) a control plant geometrically identical to the OSA but without
134 the ASSR, namely CAS-C (CAS-control). Furthermore, the OSA plant was operated with two layouts
135 that differed for the recirculation line from the ASSR to the mainstream reactors. Specifically, the
136 mixed liquor from the ASSR was returned to the anoxic or the aerobic reactor according to scheme
137 A and scheme B, respectively. Figure 1 depicts the plant layouts.

138

[Fig.1]

139 Both plants were fed with a real municipal wastewater collected after the pre-treatment units
140 (screening and grit removal) of a wastewater treatment plant located in Sicily (Italy). The wastewater
141 was stored into a refrigerated tank to prevent biological reactions. Moreover, no primary sludge
142 removal was carried out. Then, the wastewater was pumped to the anoxic reactor of both plants with
143 a constant flowrate of 1.4 L h^{-1} . The anoxic reactor was continuously mixed by means of a mechanical
144 stirrer. The mixed liquor passed by gravity to the aerobic reactor where two porous-stone diffusers
145 placed at the bottom of the reactor and connected to an air blower provided oxygen. The anoxic and
146 the aerobic reactors had the same operating volume, equal to 23.5 L, thereby resulting in an HRT of
147 16.8 h. From the aerobic reactor, the mixed liquor was fed to a vertical clarifier (16 L) in which the
148 effluent wastewater and the thickened sludge were separated. The sludge was returned to the anoxic
149 reactor and to the ASSR in the CAS-C and OSA systems respectively, with a flowrate of 1.4 L h^{-1}
150 (RAS-1). The ASSR had an operating volume of 11.2 L or 16.8 L, in order to obtain an HRT of 8 h
151 and 12 h, respectively. From the ASSR, the sludge was recirculated to the mainstream reactors of the
152 OSA plant according to scheme A or scheme B respectively.

153 An internal flow recirculation (RAS-2, 8.4-9.8 L h⁻¹) returned the mixed liquor enriched in nitrate to
154 the anoxic reactor to enhance heterotrophic denitrification. In scheme B, the RAS-2 was increased to
155 9.8 L h⁻¹ to maintain a high biomass concentration in the anoxic reactor of the OSA system. The
156 effluent wastewater was stored into a tank of 33.6 L to have a 24-h average sample before being
157 discharged.

158

159 *2.2 Experimental campaign*

160 The pilot plants were operated for 152 days and the experimental campaign was divided into five
161 periods, namely Period 1-5. During Period 1 (39 days), the OSA was operated according to scheme
162 A with an ASSR HRT of 8 hours. In Period 2 (35 days), the plant layout was the same of the previous
163 period, whereas the HRT in the ASSR was increased by 50% (12 h), to trigger the anaerobic
164 conditions. In the latter layout, the sludge holding time under anaerobic/anoxic conditions was the
165 maximum during this period since the biomass from the ASSR (HRT of 12 h) was recirculated to the
166 anoxic reactor (HRT of 16.8 h). To stress starvation conditions of microorganisms, in Period 3 (35
167 days) the OSA layout was changed to scheme B. Compared with scheme A, where the biomass after
168 starved in the ASSR was returned to the anoxic reactor with continuous supply of fresh wastewater,
169 in scheme B microorganisms were subjected to a longer famine period. Indeed, since the
170 carbonaceous substrate availability in the aerobic reactor was significantly lower than in the anoxic
171 reactor, substrate-lack conditions occurred for a longer time equal to the sum of the HRTs in the
172 ASSR and aerobic reactor (28.8 h), while the prolonged dissolved oxygen-deficiency was reduced
173 compared to Period 2. In Period 4 (28 days), the OSA operated according to scheme B, while reducing
174 the HRT in the ASSR to 8 h.

175 Lastly, to explore the system ability of recovering the conditions of Period 3, in Period 5 (15 days)
176 the HRT in the ASSR was again increased to 12 h, while maintaining the same plant layout (scheme
177 B). The biomass concentration was maintained at approximately 2.5-3 gTSS/L in both OSA and CAS-
178 C plants, by daily purging a known volume of sludge from the aerobic reactor. Consequently, the

179 sludge retention time (SRT) was not controlled and resulted from the mass balance between the
180 biomass growth yield and the amount withdrawn as excess sludge or effluent total suspended solids.
181 Table 1 summarizes the main operating conditions (Tab.1).

182 [Tab.1]

183 *2.3 Analytical methods*

184 All the chemical-physical analyses, including total and volatile suspended solid (TSS, VSS)
185 concentrations, suspended settleable solids (SSS) concentration, total chemical oxygen demand
186 (TCOD), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total nitrogen (TN), ammonium nitrogen (NH₄-N),
187 nitrate nitrogen (NO₃-N), nitrite nitrogen (NO₂-N), total phosphorous (TP) and orthophosphate
188 (PO₄-P) were performed according to standard methods (APHA, 2012). Total suspended solids
189 concentrations were measured in the influent wastewater and in the effluent of both plants as well as
190 in the mixed liquor of each biological reactor. VSS were measured only in the biological reactors. All
191 the other parameters were measured in the influent and effluent samples. Sludge settling properties
192 were assessed by means of the sludge volume index (SVI). The EPS and the soluble microbial
193 products (SMP) were extracted according to the two-step extraction method reported in the literature
194 (Le-Clech et al., 2006) and subsequently characterized in terms of proteins (Lowry et al., 1951) and
195 carbohydrates (DuBois et al., 1956) content.

196 All the reactors were equipped with on-line sensors; specifically DO, pH and oxidation reduction
197 potential (ORP) probes were connected to a digital portable multimeter device (WTW 3340).

198

199 *2.4 Assessment of excess sludge production and calculations*

200 The excess sludge production (ΔX) was evaluated as the mass of solids extracted daily, including the
201 treated effluent, samples for analyses and the waste sludge (eq.1).

202
$$\Delta X = Q_w \cdot x_w + Q_i \cdot x_e \quad (\text{gSS } d^{-1}) \quad (\text{eq.1})$$

203 being Q_w the volume of sludge discharged from the aerobic reactor daily, x_w the TSS concentration
 204 in the same reactor, Q_i the volume of wastewater treated daily and x_e the average TSS concentration
 205 in the effluent.

206 The excess sludge produced by the OSA and CAS-C systems included both primary (ΔX_I) and
 207 secondary sludge (ΔX_{II}). Primary sludge included settleable suspended solids in the raw wastewater
 208 prior to biological treatment. Conversely, secondary sludge derived mainly from the conversion of
 209 the organic and other pollutants into new biomass. The contribution of both primary and secondary
 210 sludge on the overall sludge production were assessed separately. Specifically, the primary sludge
 211 was determined by measuring the SSS concentration in the influent multiplied by the influent daily
 212 flow (eq.2). Consequently, the secondary sludge was determined as the difference between the overall
 213 daily sludge production and that of primary (eq.3).

$$214 \quad \Delta X_I = Q_i \cdot x_{SSS} \quad (\text{gSS } d^{-1}) \quad (\text{eq. 2})$$

$$215 \quad \Delta X_{II} = \Delta X - \Delta X_I \quad (\text{gSS } d^{-1}) \quad (\text{eq. 3})$$

216 being x_{SSS} the concentration of the suspended settleable solids in the influent wastewater.

217 Moreover, the observed yield coefficient (Y_{obs}) was calculated as the ratio between the cumulative
 218 mass of TSS produced and the cumulative mass of COD removed (eq.4).

$$219 \quad Y_{obs} = \frac{\Delta X}{Q_i \cdot (TCOD_{in} - TCOD_{out})} \quad (\text{gSST } \text{gCOD}^{-1}) \quad (\text{eq. 4})$$

220 where COD_{in} and COD_{out} are concentrations (mgCOD L^{-1}) in the influent and effluent, respectively
 221 and the other terms have the same above-mentioned.

222 The sludge retention time (SRT) was calculated as the ratio between the mass of solids in the reactors
 223 and the mass of solids extracted daily (including treated effluent, excess sludge and sludge samples
 224 for analytic determinations) (eq.5):

$$225 \quad SRT = \frac{\sum_i^n V_i \cdot x_i}{\Delta X} \quad (d) \quad (\text{eq. 5})$$

226 where V_i is the volume of each biological reactor (L), x_i is the TSS concentration inside V_i (gTSS L^{-1}).
 227

228

229 *2.5 Assessment of heterotrophic and autotrophic kinetic parameters*

230 To address the effects of the operating conditions and layout configurations of the OSA system on
231 the biomass metabolic activity, kinetic parameters of both autotrophic and heterotrophic
232 microorganisms were assessed by means of respirometric techniques. Specifically, the endogenous
233 decay coefficient (b_H), the maximum growth rate (μ_H), the maximum depletion rate of the organic
234 substrate (v_H), the maximum yield coefficient (Y_H) and the active fraction of the heterotrophic
235 biomass (f_{XH}), as well as the maximum growth rate (μ_A) and the maximum yield coefficient (Y_A) of
236 the autotrophic biomass were carried according to literature (Capodici et al., 2016). The respirometric
237 assays were performed by measuring the oxygen utilization rate (OUR) for the consumption of a
238 readily biodegradable substrate (e.g., acetate for heterotrophic and ammonium chloride for
239 autotrophic bacteria) under controlled temperature (20°C) in both the OSA and CAS-C plant once
240 per week.

241

242 *2.6 Statistical analysis*

243 To assess if changes of the average values of the kinetic parameters and EPS content in the OSA and
244 CAS-C systems were statistically significant, the one-way ANOVA test was employed with a 5%
245 level of significance.

246

247 **3. Results and discussion**

248 *3.1 Wastewater characteristics and main operating parameters*

249 Table 2 reports the average characteristics of the raw wastewater fed to the OSA and CAS-C systems.

250

[Tab.2]

251 The average total COD and BOD concentrations were equal to 732 mg L⁻¹ and 292 mg L⁻¹,
252 respectively, although the COD values showed a significant higher variability during experiments.

253 This was ascribed to the variability of TSS content in wastewater that increased the amount of the

254 particulate organic matter in some phases of the experimental campaign. Nevertheless, the BOD/COD
255 ratio was close to 0.40, which resulted within the range of typical values for untreated municipal
256 wastewater (Metcalf and Eddy, 2015). The pH and ORP were slightly lower compared with the
257 typical values reported in literature. Negative ORP (< -100 mV) indicated reducing conditions in the
258 raw wastewater and likely the occurrence of fermentative reactions in the sewage system that lowered
259 the average pH values. All the other parameters were in line with typical values for raw municipal
260 wastewater (Von Sperling, 2015).

261 Table 3 reports the average values of DO, pH and ORP in the biological reactors of the OSA and
262 CAS-C plants.

263 [Tab.3]

264 As expected, the dissolved oxygen concentration was zero in the anoxic reactors and the ASSR. Air
265 supplying in the aerobic reactors was provided without imposing a precise DO set point. Therefore,
266 the DO concentration resulted from the balancing between the external oxygen supply and the
267 biomass consumption for metabolic reactions. The average DO concentration in the aerobic reactor
268 of the CAS-C was 7.68 mg L^{-1} , thereby higher than that observed in the OSA in all the experimental
269 periods (Table 3). More precisely, the DO concentration decreased with the HRT increase in the
270 ASSR (P2, P3, P5), and even lower values were achieved when scheme B was implemented (P3, P5).
271 Higher oxygen consumption in the aerobic reactor after implementing the OSA process were reported
272 in the literature (Khursheed et al., 2015; Romero Pareja et al., 2016). According to these studies,
273 prolonged fasting in anaerobic reactor forced bacteria to consume their conserved energy in form of
274 adenosine-triphosphate (ATP). Once returned in the enriched-oxygen environment, the internal
275 energy levels were restored and additional substrate consumption is promoted, thereby leading to an
276 additional oxygen requirement (Semblante et al., 2014; Corsino et al., 2020b).

277 The pH values were comparable in the biological reactors of both plants. Specifically, lower values
278 (7.29-7.37) were observed in the aerobic reactors due to nitrification, whereas it increased in the
279 anoxic (7.51-7.69) because of denitrification (Metcalf and Eddy, 2015). Similarly, pH in the ASSR

280 was slightly higher than that of aerobic reactor because of biological reduction of the residual nitrates
281 entering with the RAS-1 stream.

282 Accordingly, the ORP values indicated the existence of reducing ($-50 \text{ mV} < \text{ORP} < +50 \text{ mV}$) and
283 oxidative ($> +50 \text{ mV}$) environments in the anoxic and aerobic reactors, respectively (Semblante et
284 al., 2014). In the ASSR, the ORP was always below -100 mV , thereby indicating the achievement of
285 anaerobic conditions. Lower values ($< -150 \text{ mV}$) were obtained when increasing the HRT in the
286 ASSR (P2, P3, P5), which were similar to those reported in previous studies operating with 100% of
287 sludge recirculation to the ASSR (Coma et al., 2013).

288

289 *3.2 Performances of excess sludge reduction*

290 The excess sludge was daily purged from the OSA and CAS-C plants to maintain a constant TSS
291 concentration in the mixed liquor of both lines. Since no clarification of the raw wastewater was
292 performed prior to biological treatments, the excess sludge also included the settleable solids in the
293 raw wastewater (primary sludge). Figure 2 shows the trends of cumulative sludge production during
294 each experimental period (a), the contribution of the primary and secondary sludge (b), as well as the
295 observed yield coefficients obtained in the OSA and CAS-C (c).

296

[Fig.2]

297 The average daily amount of excess sludge produced in the control plant varied during each
298 experimental period, depending on the raw wastewater characteristics (Fig. 2a). Nonetheless, the
299 excess sludge production in the OSA plant was lower in all the investigated configurations, indicating
300 that sludge minimization was achieved successfully. Specifically, the sludge production was lowered
301 by approximately 12% (P1), 29% (P2), 40% (P3), 26% (P4) and 41% (P5), thereby suggesting that
302 the OSA process resulted in higher or lower sludge reduction efficiencies depending on the different
303 operating conditions and configurations implemented. In more detail, the highest reduction
304 efficiencies were obtained when the OSA plant was operated according to scheme B (Period 3 and
305 Period 5), whereas scheme A (Period 1 and Period 2) determined a lower effect on excess sludge

306 minimization. Therefore, at equal HRT in the ASSR (P2 vs P3 and P5), a more extended substrate-
307 deficiency condition determined a greater stressor for biomass than a longer oxygen-lack state. In
308 contrast, by operating under the same layout (P1 vs P2 and P3-P5 vs P4), a higher HRT in the ASSR
309 enabled to achieve higher sludge minimization.

310 The primary sludge accounted for approximately 50-60% of the overall sludge production in the
311 CAS-C plant (Fig. 2b). It is worth noting that the percentage of primary sludge in the OSA plant was
312 significantly higher, ranging between 65-95%. Specifically, it is possible to note that the
313 implementation of the OSA process acted mainly on the biological solids production. Indeed,
314 mechanisms of excess sludge minimization occurring in the OSA process, affected the bacteria
315 growth and yield resulting in a lower amount of organic substrate converted into bio-solids (Guo et
316 al., 2020). Therefore, primary sludge production was not significantly affected by the insertion of the
317 anaerobic reactor in the RAS line. Thus, the primary sludge resulted predominant in the excess sludge
318 produced by the OSA plant. To our knowledge, the incidence of primary sludge on excess sludge
319 production in plant implementing the OSA process was not considered so far in previous studies.
320 Indeed, in OSA-related studies carried out with real wastewater, pilot plants were fed with the effluent
321 of primary clarifiers (Ferrentino et al., 2019; Nikpour et al., 2020) or primary sludge was not
322 extrapolated from the overall waste sludge produced (Coma et al., 2015; Velho et al., 2016; Ferrentino
323 et al., 2021). Consequently, focusing on the biological fraction of the excess sludge only, it was noted
324 that the efficiency of the OSA process was very high, reaching a maximum of 95% in Period 3.

325 To evaluate the excess sludge reduction due to the insertion of the ASSR and provide a thorough
326 comparison with the available literature, the Y_{obs} of the OSA and CAS-C were calculated (Fig. 2c).
327 The observed yield in the CAS ranged between 0.51 gTSS gCOD⁻¹ and 0.89 gTSS gCOD⁻¹ in
328 agreement with the results reported in plants fed with real wastewater (Coma et al., 2013; Velho et
329 al., 2016). The Y_{obs} slightly decreased in Period 1 to a steady value close to 0.43 gTSS gCOD⁻¹. The
330 delay of the observed yield decrease in the OSA plant was attributed to the acclimation of the biomass
331 to the anaerobic/aerobic and feasting/fasting regimes (Fida et al., 2021). The Y_{obs} further decreased

332 to a steady state value of 0.34 gTSS gCOD⁻¹ in Period 2. Therefore, the HRT increase in the ASSR
333 when scheme A was implemented produced a Y_{obs} reduction from 30% to 55%. The above results
334 agreed with previous literature. Indeed, Martins et al. (2020) reported a reduction of the observed
335 yield close to 35% (0.33 gTSS gCOD⁻¹) by operating with a HRT of 12 in the ASSR, whereas Coma
336 et al. (2013) observed a decrease of the Y_{obs} close to 36% when the interchange ratio was increased
337 to 100% (the same of the present study) and the HRT in the anaerobic reactor was 6 hours (0.32 gTSS
338 gCOD⁻¹). Similar findings were reported in a recent study carried out by Vitanza et al. (2020), thus
339 confirming the remarkable effect of sludge reduction achievable with the OSA process. Nonetheless,
340 when the plant layout was changed from scheme A to B, the Y_{obs} reduction increased to 65%. When
341 steady state conditions were reached in Period 3, the observed yield in the OSA was close to 0.20
342 gTSS gCOD⁻¹ (0.60 gTSS gCOD⁻¹ in the control), which resulted comparable with the value obtained
343 in a recent study (Ferrentino et al., 2021), although with a lower HRT in the anaerobic reactor (12 h
344 vs 5 days). The lower HRT in the ASSR in Period 4 caused a slight decrease of Y_{obs} reduction that
345 was close to 40% on average (steady value of 0.33 gTSS gCOD⁻¹). In contrast, when the operating
346 conditions of Period 3 were restored in Period 5, it was achieved a further decrease of the observed
347 yield (0.25 gTSS gCOD⁻¹), indicating that the ability of sludge reduction was recovered.

348 Compared with the available studies carried out with real wastewater on WWTP configured according
349 to BNR schemes (Coma et al., 2015; Romero Pareja et al., 2018; Nikpour et al., 2020; Ferrentino et
350 al., 2021), the results of the present study indicated that the excess sludge reduction was higher when
351 implementing the scheme B. Thus, a double-growth limitation strategy consisting in a prolonged
352 substrate limitation after a sufficient long retention under anaerobic condition, allowed obtaining a
353 higher excess sludge minimization. Indeed, it was likely that the internal ATP restoration in the
354 mainstream reactor was lower in scheme B compared to scheme A, because of the lower organic
355 carbon availability in the aerobic reactor compared to the anoxic (Ferrer-Polonio et al., 2017).
356 Therefore, the lower energy availability deriving from the organic substrate oxidation did not support

357 the ATP restoration, thus reducing the biomass growth. A detailed explanation of these results is
358 provided in the sections below.

359

360 *3.3 Nutrients removal efficiency*

361 It is important to stress that when processes for sludge minimization are implemented, the effluent
362 quality must not be compromised. For this reason, the effluent wastewater was extensively monitored
363 during the entire experiment. Figure 3 depicts the trends of the total COD (Fig. 3a) and total
364 phosphorous (Fig. 3b), in the influent and effluent wastewater of the OSA and CAS-C plants, as well
365 as the removal efficiencies.

366

[Fig.3]

367

368 The average value of total COD in the influent stream was equal to 732 mg L^{-1} , whereas it ranged
369 between 12 mg L^{-1} and 148 mg L^{-1} in the effluents of both plants. In general, the COD removal
370 efficiency was comparable in the two systems, ranging between 75-95%, thereby highlighting that
371 COD removal was not affected by the OSA process. These results were consistent with those reported
372 by other studies, where no effects on COD removal were observed when the OSA process was
373 implemented (Vitanza et al., 2020; Fida et al., 2021).

374 Concerning phosphorous removal, the OSA system enabled slightly better performances than CAS-
375 C on average. Indeed, when the HRT in the ASSR was of 12 h (Period 2, Period 3 and Period 5), the
376 mean effluent orthophosphate concentrations of the OSA and the CAS-C were close 9.5 mg L^{-1} and
377 13.6 L^{-1} , respectively, resulting in removal efficiencies close to 46% and 34%. When the HRT was
378 lower than 12 h, both the plants exhibited similar results, suggesting that phosphorous removal was
379 mainly related to metabolic consumption. These findings were consistent with previous literature,
380 where an increase of P removal was observed in plant implementing the OSA process (de Oliveira et
381 al., 2018; Martins et al., 2020). The authors pointed out that the alternation between aerobic and
382 anaerobic environments might favor the selection of phosphate accumulating organisms (PAOs) or

383 denitrifying phosphate accumulating organisms (DPAOs), which, contributed to the biological
384 phosphorous removal (Romero-Pareja et al., 2017; Fazelipour et al., 2021). Nevertheless, it is worth
385 noting that the SRT increase in the OSA could have lowered the phosphorous removal, since a less
386 sludge amount was purged from the system and P-uptake and release continuously occurred when the
387 sludge cycled between aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

388 Figure 4 shows the trends of ammonium nitrogen (Fig. 4a), total nitrogen (Fig. 4b) in the influent and
389 effluent wastewater of the OSA and CAS-C plants, as well as the removal efficiencies.

390 [Fig.4]

391

392 High nitrification performances were observed in both the OSA and CAS-C plants in Period 1 (Fig.
393 4a). The effluent $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ concentration was close to 5 mg L^{-1} on average, without highlighting
394 noticeable differences in the two systems. In contrast, in Period 2 a significant decrease of $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$
395 removal was observed in the OSA. Indeed, the effluent concentration increased up to $20 \text{ mgNH}_4\text{-N}$
396 L^{-1} , whereas in the CAS-C it was close to $3 \text{ mgNH}_4\text{-N L}^{-1}$. When the OSA layout was changed to
397 scheme B (Period 3), the ammonium nitrogen concentration in the OSA effluent rapidly decreased ($<$
398 $5 \text{ mgNH}_4\text{-N L}^{-1}$) and nitrification was restored in less than 5 days. In the remaining periods (Period 4
399 and Period 5), no significant changes were noted, and nitrification efficiencies higher than 95% were
400 achieved in both the systems. In previous studies, no relevant negative effects concerning nitrification
401 were observed when ASSR was implemented (Coma et al., 2013; Velho et al., 2016; Wang et al.,
402 2020). On the contrary, some authors reported an improvement of nitrification after inserting the
403 ASSR because of the longer SRT that favored the growth of nitrifiers in the main bioreactor (Nikpour
404 et al., 2020; Fida et al., 2021). Nevertheless, in another study, the authors noted a slight decrease of
405 nitrification efficiency when the OSA process was implemented in a pre-denitrification scheme, due
406 to the anaerobic decay of nitrifying microorganisms in the ASSR (Zhou et al., 2015). In this study,
407 when implementing scheme A, the sludge from the ASSR was returned to the anoxic reactor in the
408 mainstream line. Therefore, when a ASSR is inserted in a A/O layout, a partially loss of nitrification

409 efficiency could occur if the sludge starves for long time under not aerated conditions. Moreover, the
410 results obtained in the present study suggested that the HRT in the ASSR did not affect nitrification
411 in the OSA plant, rather than the prolonged exposure under not aerated conditions. Indeed, when the
412 layout was changed to scheme B and the HRT in the ASSR was maintained at 12 h (Period 3, Period
413 5), high nitrification efficiencies were obtained.

414 It is worth noting that in scheme A nitrifiers, after starving 12 h under anaerobic conditions, passed
415 to the anoxic reactor in which the HRT was close to 17 h. The long exposure under not aerated
416 conditions likely hindered the nitrifiers activity. This was confirmed by a recent study in which the
417 authors observed a decrease of nitrification when the not aerated exposure time was increased (L. P.
418 Sun et al., 2020). The long exposure under not aerobic environments might affect the metabolism of
419 nitrifiers, leading to their decay (Corsino et al., 2020b).

420 The mean value of the influent TN was approximately 74 mg L^{-1} , although it showed a noticeable
421 fluctuating trend (Fig. 4b). The effluent concentration showed a high variability during experiments,
422 although not showing a clear relationship with the operating conditions of the OSA plant. Indeed, in
423 Period 1, TN removal was close to 25% on average in both the OSA and CAS-C, and the main
424 nitrogen form in the effluent was nitrate ($> 95\%$). This was attributed to a low denitrification
425 efficiency due to a low BOD/nitrate ratio (< 3) that limited the nitrates dissimilation in the anoxic
426 reactor of both the systems. Thus, TN removal was ascribed to growth processes. In Period 2,
427 nitrification was the limiting step in the OSA plant, resulting in low TN removal ($< 20\%$) and high
428 concentration in the effluent ($> 50 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$). In contrast, the effluent TN concentration in the CAS-C
429 decreased to less than 20 mg L^{-1} when organic carbon was not limiting (65th day onward). At steady
430 state in Period 3, both plants showed the highest TN removal, highlighting that transition from scheme
431 A to B did not affect TN removal. In Period 4 and Period 5, the influent organic carbon was again a
432 limiting factor for denitrification, thereby resulting in high nitrate concentration in the effluent. In
433 contrast with what reported in previous studies, denitrification was not improved by the insertion of
434 the ASSR (Cheng et al., 2022). In general, ASSR contributed to the release of additional carbon

435 source resulting from bacterial cell lysis, providing more organic carbon to sustain denitrification
436 (Cheng et al., 2017). In this study, a noticeable improvement in denitrification was not observed,
437 likely because any significant release of intracellular material occurred in the ASSR during
438 experiments.

439

440 *3.4 Effect of operating conditions on sludge settling properties and EPS content*

441 To address the change occurred on the sludge physical characteristics, the sludge volume index and
442 the EPS content were periodically measured. Figure 4 shows the change in SVI (Fig. 5a), EPS content
443 (Fig. 5b) and SMP (Fig. 5c) in the two systems.

444 The SVI in the CAS-C fluctuated between 300-500 mL gTSS⁻¹ throughout experiments, highlighting
445 the occurrence of bulking sludge. A possible reason was found in the remarkable presence of
446 filamentous bacteria (*Thiothrix* sp, and Eikelboom types 021N, 0041 and 0675), which abundance
447 was related to the raw wastewater characteristics, such as low ORP, high soluble COD (*Thiothrix* and
448 type 021 N) (Wanner, 2017), and relatively high SRT (> 12 d) (Zhang et al., 2019). Nonetheless, the
449 OSA did not involve a further deterioration, but rather a decrease of the SVI in most of the
450 experimental periods was observed. Indeed, during Period 1 and Period 4, the SVI showed a
451 decreasing trend, indicating a noticeable improvement of the sludge settling properties. In Period 3
452 and Period 5 the SVI was constant in the OSA (300 mL gTSS⁻¹ and 100 mL gTSS⁻¹) and remained
453 lower than the CAS-C (400 mL gTSS⁻¹ and 350 mL gTSS⁻¹), on average. A different behavior was
454 instead observed in Period 2, when the sludge settling properties showed a significant worsening
455 tendency. In the present study, the abundance of filamentous bacteria was found lower in the OSA
456 than the CAS-C, in general. Previous studies highlighted the worsening of the sludge settleability
457 when the sludge holding time in the ASSR was increased (Z. Sun et al., 2020). In contrast, other
458 studies emphasized the improvement of settleability when increasing the mass of sludge treated
459 within the ASSR (Chon et al., 2011; Coma et al., 2013).

460

[Fig.5]

461 The lower abundance of filamentous bacteria as well as better sludge flocculation observed in the
462 OSA could be due to the establishment of feasting/fasting conditions that promoted the selection of
463 microorganisms with storage ability similarly to selector-like systems (Wanner, 2017). Floc former
464 bacteria under high substrate availability had a competitive advantage over the filamentous since they
465 can use the storage compounds as carbon and energy source for growth. On the other hand, non-
466 storing populations will starve when holding in the ASSR and are washed out from the system
467 (Valentino et al., 2017). Therefore, the OSA system enabled a selection of filamentous bacteria and
468 promoted a better flocculation of the activated sludge, thereby improving the settling properties.
469 Nevertheless, in Period 2 the SVI increased rapidly in the OSA suggesting that operating conditions
470 determined a worsening of the floc structure. Although the SVI increase, the abundance of
471 filamentous bacteria did not change compared with the previous period. Indeed, the higher SVI was
472 related to the decrease of EPS content (Fig. 5b). The EPS content in the sludge of the OSA collapsed
473 from Period 1 to Period 2. In more detail, in Period 2 the EPS content in the aerobic reactor of OSA
474 line was almost halved compared to CAS-C (180 mg gVSS^{-1} vs 380 mg gVSS^{-1}), whereas in the
475 ASSR it was even lower (50 mg gVSS^{-1}). This suggested that EPS destructure occurred in the
476 ASSR during Period 2 (Fig. 5c). Indeed, in Period 3, despite the same HRT in the ASSR, the change
477 of plant layout to scheme B reduced the exposure time under not aerobic condition and EPS
478 destructure was minimized. Besides, in Period 4 the decrease of the anaerobic HRT further
479 reduced the EPS destructure, which resulted comparable with what observed in Period 1. Again,
480 in Period 5 the EPS content in the OSA was close to 240 mg gVSS^{-1} that was slightly lower than
481 CAS-C (280 mg gVSS^{-1}). These results were in line with previous studies reporting the occurrence
482 of EPS destruction when the sludge remained for a longer time under anaerobic conditions (Ferrentino
483 et al., 2018; Fida et al., 2021). Moreover, in the present study it was highlighted that the EPS
484 destruction was in good relationship with the increase of the sludge holding time under not aerobic
485 condition. Specifically, when the OSA plant was operated according to scheme A, the SMP content
486 was found the highest (Period 1 and Period 2) (Fig 4c), whereas the SMP significantly decreased

487 when scheme B was adopted from Period 3 onward. EPS promote the formation of the structural
488 framework that is responsible for intercellular adhesion (Semblante et al., 2016c). Therefore, based
489 on the above findings, it could be stated that although EPS destruction is a mechanism responsible
490 for sludge minimization in OSA, it could determine a certain deterioration of process performances.

491

492 *3.5 Effect of operating conditions on mechanisms involved in excess sludge minimization*

493 The kinetic parameters of heterotrophic and autotrophic biomass were determined and compared with
494 that of the control system in order to gain insights about the effects of the operating conditions
495 implemented in the OSA system. Uncoupling metabolism, cell lysis, maintenance metabolism and
496 EPS destruction were considered as the main sludge minimization mechanisms, thus excluding
497 bacterial predation (Cheng et al., 2021). Bacterial predation was not considered since neither worms,
498 nor carnivorous protozoa or archaea were observed in the OSA system. Each mechanism was
499 associated with the variation of a specific kinetic parameter eventually in combination with another
500 one.

501 Table 4 summarizes the average values of the heterotrophic and autotrophic kinetic parameters
502 obtained in the OSA and CAS-C during experiments as well as the level of significance (p-value)
503 obtained by comparing the data of OSA and CAS-C between two consecutive periods.

504 [Tab.4]

505 According to the statistical analysis, only those parameters for which the p-value was lower than 0.05
506 were considered affected by the OSA implementation. In Period 1, the only kinetic parameters of the
507 heterotrophic biomass that showed a statistically significant variation were the maximum growth
508 yield (p-value = 0.012) and the maximum COD depletion rate (p-value = 0.021). The endogenous
509 decay and the maximum growth rate in the OSA slightly increased (1.23 d^{-1} vs 1.27 d^{-1}) and decreased
510 (3.25 d^{-1} vs 2.96 d^{-1}), respectively, although their variations compared to CAS-C were not considered
511 significant (p-values > 0.05). The OUR profiles obtained in the OSA and CAS-C revealed that growth
512 on storage products was negligible (Fig. S1).

[Fig.S1]

513
514 Indeed, after oxidation of the external organic substrate that was identified with the maximum OUR
515 values, the biomass respiration rate rapidly decreased to endogenous levels. This indicated that
516 biomass growth did not occur after the external substrate depletion, hence no additional intracellular-
517 stored substrate was available (Ni and Yu, 2008). Moreover, considering the difference between the
518 average EPS content in the aerobic reactor of the CAS-C and that of ASSR (Fig. 5b), it was found
519 that the decrease occurring in the ASSR was statistically significant (p-value = 0.028). Therefore,
520 Period 1 was characterized by a decrease in the conversion yield of substrate into new biomass,
521 without a significant reduction of the maximum growth rate. Indeed, the fraction of the active biomass
522 did not show any significant variation during this period. This result might be explained because the
523 lower biomass yield was balanced by a greater substrate depletion rate (Tab. 4). Previous studies
524 pointed out that alternation of feasting/fasting phases in OSA systems promoted a faster substrate
525 utilization (Karlikanovaite-Balikci and Yagci, 2019; Corsino et al., 2020a). When the sludge after
526 starving in the ASSR is recycled in a substrate and energy-rich environment (anoxic or aerobic
527 reactor), bacteria began feasting on available substrate to replenish energy stocks, leading to high
528 substrate depletion rates. According to Chen et al. (2001), this leads to the occurrence of energy
529 uncoupling. In the same period, a decrease of EPS content with a simultaneous release of SMP in the
530 ASSR, without a simultaneous increase of the endogenous decay rate was noted. Therefore, the
531 increase of the SMP concentration was related to EPS destructuration rather than cell-lysis. Based on
532 these results, two main mechanisms were considered affecting sludge minimization in the OSA
533 system, namely the uncoupling metabolism and the EPS destruction. Indeed, the lower biomass yield
534 was attributed to the less ATP availability of heterotrophic bacteria in the OSA system, thus the
535 energy produced by catabolism was not sufficient to produce new biomass since it was partially used
536 for the internal energy restoration (Chudoba et al., 1992; Semblante et al., 2014). EPS destruction
537 was considered a secondary mechanism, since its percentage reduction was lower than the Y_H .

538 In Period 2, a noticeable difference between the endogenous decay in CAS-C and OSA was noted (p-
539 value = 0.001) and its increase compared with the previous period was considered statistically
540 significant (p-value = 0.09). Besides, the simultaneous decrease of EPS content (p-value = 0.007) and
541 increase of SMP (p-value = 0.08) suggested that cell lysis occurred in this period. These variations
542 resulted also significant in the OSA compared to the previous period (p-value < 0.05). Similarly, the
543 decrease of the Y_H was considered significant referring to both OSA and CAS-C as well as comparing
544 Period 1 and Period 2 in the OSA system. Nonetheless, its percentage decrease from Period 1 to
545 Period 2 resulted lower compared to the variations of b_H and EPS/SMP content. Therefore, cell lysis
546 and EPS destruction were supposed the main mechanisms affecting sludge reduction in the OSA
547 system, while the role of the uncoupling metabolism was considered secondary. Overall, these
548 mechanisms determined a considerable decrease of the active fraction in the OSA system, which
549 value almost collapsed to approximately 6%.

550 Period 3 was characterized by a considerable decrease of the maximum growth rate. The difference
551 between the average values observed in the OSA and CAS-C, as well as the increase occurring in the
552 OSA from Period 2 to Period 3 resulted both significant (p-value < 0.05). Among the other
553 parameters, only the Y_H showed a significant reduction, whereas neither b_H nor the EPS content were
554 affected by the novel layout configuration (scheme B) adopted in Period 3. Compared to the previous
555 periods, the OUR profile changed in the OSA and a long tail after the achievement of the maximum
556 respiration rate was noted. High oxygen consumption when external carbon is not available is related
557 to growth on internal storage compounds (Carucci et al., 2001). This behavior was generally observed
558 in systems in which sludge cycled between feasting and fasting conditions (Semblante et al., 2014;
559 Valentino et al., 2017). Moreover, if the sludge loading rate (F/M) is low, the energy available to
560 microorganisms is used for maintenance energy requirements rather than producing new biomass
561 cells (Wei et al., 2003). In Period 3, biomass was subjected to a longer starvation phase compared to
562 the previous period since the sludge was returned in the mainstream aerobic reactor where the
563 availability of organic carbon was lower than the anoxic (Period 2). Therefore, this induced a

564 maintenance metabolism. Furthermore, the uncoupling metabolism was still supposed. Generally,
565 when the sludge from the ASSR is returned to the mainstream reactor, the energy deriving from
566 carbon dissimilation under anoxic (scheme A) or aerobic (scheme B) was used for ATP production
567 which supports bacterial growth. This is expected to be preferential as long as organic matter is
568 available. In scheme B, although the high oxygen availability in the mainstream reactor (aerobic), the
569 lack of carbon did not support the ATP restoration, hence biomass growth was limited. Therefore, in
570 Period 3 it was supposed that maintenance and uncoupling metabolism acted simultaneously.
571 HRT lowering in the ASSR during Period 4 caused a slight increase of the μ_H and Y_H in the OSA,
572 whereas the other parameters were comparable with that obtained in the previous period.
573 Nevertheless, the increase of the maximum growth rate and yield coefficient in the OSA did not result
574 statistically significant (p-value > 0.05). This result suggested that biomass was already acclimated
575 to a long starvation period, and the decrease of the anaerobic HRT was not enough to determine a
576 significant variation of the kinetic parameters. Similarly, in Period 5 no significant change was noted
577 in the kinetic parameters of the OSA system. Therefore, the difference in sludge minimization
578 obtained in Period 4 and Period 5 could be due to the variation of other operating parameters (e.g.,
579 F/M, temperature) affecting the biomass growth.

580 Referring to the autotrophic biomass, a significant decrease of the biomass yield and the maximum
581 growth rate was observed only during Period 2 and Period 3. Specifically, in Period 2 both the Y_A
582 and the μ_A decreased in the OSA system and these reductions resulted statistically significant (p-value
583 < 0.05) compared to the previous period. In contrast, in Period 3 both parameters increased indicating
584 that the change of the plant layout to scheme B promoted a stress reduction for nitrifiers. These results
585 were in agreement with the nitrification efficiencies observed during Period 2 and Period 3 and
586 confirmed that a long-term exposure under not aerobic conditions determined a reduction of nitrifiers
587 activity.

588 [Tab.5]

589 Based on the above considerations, scheme A enabled the establishment of the uncoupling
590 metabolism as reported in previous studies (Chen et al., 2003; Martins et al., 2020). According to
591 other studies, the increase of the anaerobic HRT promoted the onset of bacterial lysis and increased
592 the EPS destructurement (Fida et al., 2021; Sodhi et al., 2021). Consequently, despite about 12-30%
593 of sludge reduction could be achieved, the worsening of nitrification and sludge settling properties
594 represented potential alarming drawbacks in terms of overall system performance. In contrast, scheme
595 B enabled the establishment of the maintenance metabolism in addition to the uncoupling
596 metabolisms, whereas cell lysis and EPS destruction were minimized. In this case, higher sludge
597 reduction yield (26-41%) were obtained, without compromising the effluent quality but also
598 improving specific processes (e.g., P removal).
599 These findings indicated that scheme B enabled better performances compared to scheme A. Thus,
600 promoting maintenance and uncoupling metabolism allowed avoiding the onset of process
601 dysfunctions (e.g., SVI increase, low nitrification, etc.). At the same time, too high anaerobic HRT is
602 not advisable to avoid the worsening of sludge settling properties.

603

604 **Conclusions**

605 The excess sludge minimization was studied in an OSA plant fed with real wastewater for 152 days.
606 During the experimental campaign, the operating conditions were changed to evaluate their effects
607 on the process performances and the role of the main mechanisms involved in sludge minimization.
608 The observed yield varied between 0.22 gTSS gCOD⁻¹ and 0.34 gTSS gCOD⁻¹ in the OSA, showing
609 that lower sludge production was obtained compared to CAS-C (0.50-0.89 gTSS gCOD⁻¹). The
610 overall excess sludge production was reduced to a maximum of 40%, whereas the sludge biological
611 fraction decreased by 95% when the OSA was operated according to scheme B and HRT of 12 hours
612 in the ASSR (Period 3). Sludge recirculation from the ASSR to the aerobic reactor (scheme B)
613 promoted the uncoupling metabolism and the maintenance metabolism that enabled high sludge
614 reduction yields without effecting the effluent quality. In contrast, a prolonged exposure under not

615 aerobic conditions (scheme A) resulted in the establishment of cell decay and EPS destruction. This
616 implied lower sludge minimization, worsening of the sludge settling properties and hinder of
617 nitrification.

618

619 **Acknowledgments**

620 The authors warmly thank Caltaqua S.p.A. for the technical support provided during the experiments.
621 Furthermore, a special thanks to Dr. Sara Mulone and Manuela Russo Tiesi for their valuable
622 collaboration provided for plants operations.

623

624 **References**

- 625 APHA, 2012. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, Standard Methods.
626 <https://doi.org/ISBN 9780875532356>
- 627 Arif, A.U.A., Sorour, M.T., Aly, S.A., 2020. Cost analysis of activated sludge and membrane
628 bioreactor WWTPs using CapdetWorks simulation program: Case study of Tikrit WWTP
629 (middle Iraq). *Alexandria Eng. J.* 59, 4659–4667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2020.08.023>
- 630 Cai, C., Hu, C., Yang, W., Hua, Y., Li, L., Yang, D., Dai, X., 2021. Sustainable disposal of excess
631 sludge: Post-thermal hydrolysis for anaerobically digested sludge. *J. Clean. Prod.* 321, 128893.
632 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128893>
- 633 Cantekin, C., Taybuga, E.S., Yagci, N., Orhon, D., 2019. Potential for simultaneous nitrogen
634 removal and sludge reduction of the oxic-settling-anaerobic process operated as a dual fed
635 sequencing batch reactor. *J. Environ. Manage.* 247, 394–400.
636 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.06.086>
- 637 Capodici, M., Fabio Corsino, S., Di Pippo, F., Di Trapani, D., Torregrossa, M., 2016. An innovative
638 respirometric method to assess the autotrophic active fraction: Application to an alternate oxic-
639 anoxic MBR pilot plant. *Chem. Eng. J.* 300, 367–375.
640 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.04.134>

- 641 Carucci, A., Dionisi, D., Majone, M., Rolle, E., Smurra, P., 2001. Aerobic storage by activated
642 sludge on real wastewater. *Water Res.* 35, 3833–3844. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00108-7)
643 1354(01)00108-7
- 644 Chen, G.H., An, K.J., Saby, S., Brois, E., Djafer, M., 2003. Possible cause of excess sludge
645 reduction in an oxic-settling-anaerobic activated sludge process (OSA process). *Water Res.* 37,
646 3855–3866. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(03\)00331-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(03)00331-2)
- 647 Cheng, C., Geng, J., Hu, H., Shi, Y., Gao, R., Wang, X., Ren, H., 2021. In-situ sludge reduction
648 performance and mechanism in an anoxic/aerobic process coupled with alternating
649 aerobic/anaerobic side-stream reactor. *Sci. Total Environ.* 777, 145856.
650 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145856>
- 651 Cheng, C., Zhou, Z., Niu, T., An, Y., Shen, X., Pan, W., Chen, Z., Liu, J., 2017. Effects of side-
652 stream ratio on sludge reduction and microbial structures of anaerobic side-stream reactor
653 coupled membrane bioreactors. *Bioresour. Technol.* 234, 380–388.
654 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.03.077>
- 655 Cheng, Y., Tian, K., Xie, P., Ren, X., Li, Y., Kou, Y., Chon, K., Hwang, M.H., Ko, M.H., 2022.
656 Insights into the minimization of excess sludge production in micro-aerobic reactors coupled
657 with a membrane bioreactor: Characteristics of extracellular polymeric substances.
658 *Chemosphere* 292, 133434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.133434>
- 659 Chon, D.H., Rome, M.N., Kim, Y.M., Park, K.Y., Park, C., 2011. Investigation of the sludge
660 reduction mechanism in the anaerobic side-stream reactor process using several control
661 biological wastewater treatment processes. *Water Res.* 45, 6021–6029.
662 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.08.051>
- 663 Chudoba, P., Morel, A., Capdeville, B., 1992. The case of both energetic uncoupling and metabolic
664 selection of microorganisms in the osa activated sludge system. *Environ. Technol. (United*
665 *Kingdom)* 13, 761–770. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593339209385207>
- 666 Collivignarelli, M.C., Abbà, A., Miino, M.C., Torretta, V., 2019. What advanced treatments can be

667 used to minimize the production of sewage sludge in WWTPs? *Appl. Sci.* 9, 2650.
668 <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9132650>

669 Coma, M., Rovira, S., Canals, J., Colprim, J., 2015. Integrated side-stream reactor for biological
670 nutrient removal and minimization of sludge production. *Water Sci. Technol.* 71, 1056–1064.
671 <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2015.067>

672 Coma, M., Rovira, S., Canals, J., Colprim, J., 2013. Minimization of sludge production by a side-
673 stream reactor under anoxic conditions in a pilot plant. *Bioresour. Technol.* 129, 229–235.
674 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.11.055>

675 Corsino, S.F., Capodici, M., Di Trapani, D., Torregrossa, M., Viviani, G., 2020a. Combination of
676 the OSA process with thermal treatment at moderate temperature for excess sludge
677 minimization. *Bioresour. Technol.* 300, 122679.
678 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122679>

679 Corsino, S.F., de Oliveira, T.S., Di Trapani, D., Torregrossa, M., Viviani, G., 2020b. Simultaneous
680 sludge minimization, biological phosphorous removal and membrane fouling mitigation in a
681 novel plant layout for MBR. *J. Environ. Manage.* 259, 109826.
682 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109826>

683 de Oliveira, T.S., Corsino, S.F., Di Trapani, D., Torregrossa, M., Viviani, G., 2018. Biological
684 minimization of excess sludge in a membrane bioreactor: Effect of plant configuration on
685 sludge production, nutrient removal efficiency and membrane fouling tendency. *Bioresour.*
686 *Technol.* 259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.03.035>

687 Di Iaconi, C., De Sanctis, M., Altieri, V.G., 2020. Full-scale sludge reduction in the water line of
688 municipal wastewater treatment plant. *J. Environ. Manage.* 269, 110714.
689 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110714>

690 DuBois, M., Gilles, K. a., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers, P. a., Smith, F., 1956. Colorimetric method for
691 determination of sugars and related substances. *Anal. Chem.* 28, 350–356.
692 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60111a017>

693 Fazelipour, M., Takdastan, A., Borghei, S.M., Kiasat, N., Glodniok, M., Zawartka, P., 2021.
694 Efficiency studies of modified IFAS-OSA system upgraded by an anoxic sludge holding tank.
695 Sci. Rep. 11, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03556-6>

696 Ferrentino, R., Langone, M., Andreottola, G., 2021. Sludge reduction by an anaerobic side-stream
697 reactor process: A full-scale application. *Environ. Challenges* 2, 100016.
698 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2020.100016>

699 Ferrentino, R., Langone, M., Andreottola, G., 2019. Progress toward full scale application of the
700 anaerobic side-stream reactor (ASSR) process. *Bioresour. Technol.* 272, 267–274.
701 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.10.028>

702 Ferrentino, R., Langone, M., Villa, R., Andreottola, G., 2018. Strict anaerobic side-stream reactor:
703 Effect of the sludge interchange ratio on sludge reduction in a biological nutrient removal
704 process. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 25, 1243–12536. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0448-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0448-6)
705 6

706 Ferrer-Polonio, E., Fernández-Navarro, J., Alonso-Molina, J.L., Amorós-Muñoz, I., Bes-Piá, A.,
707 Mendoza-Roca, J.A., 2017. Changes in the process performance, sludge production and
708 microbial activity in an activated sludge reactor with addition of a metabolic uncoupler under
709 different operating conditions. *J. Environ. Manage.* 203, 349–357.
710 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.08.009>

711 Fida, Z., Price, W.E., Pramanik, B.K., Dhar, B.R., Kumar, M., Jiang, G., Hai, F.I., 2021. Reduction
712 of excess sludge production by membrane bioreactor coupled with anoxic side-stream reactors.
713 *J. Environ. Manage.* 281, 111919. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111919>

714 Foladori, P., Andreottola, G., Ziglio, G., 2010. *Sludge Reduction Technologies in Wastewater
715 Treatment Plants*. IWA Publishing, London, UK. <https://doi.org/10.2166/9781780401706>;
716 ISBN 9781843392781

717 Guo, J.S., Fang, F., Yan, P., Chen, Y.P., 2020. Sludge reduction based on microbial metabolism for
718 sustainable wastewater treatment. *Bioresour. Technol.* 297, 122506.

719 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122506>

720 Hreiz, R., Latifi, M.A., Roche, N., 2015. Optimal design and operation of activated sludge
721 processes: State-of-the-art. *Chem. Eng. J.* 281, 900–920.
722 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.06.125>

723 Jiang, L.M., Zhou, Z., Niu, T., Jiang, L., Chen, G., Pang, H., Zhao, X., Qiu, Z., 2018. Effects of
724 hydraulic retention time on process performance of anaerobic side-stream reactor coupled
725 membrane bioreactors: Kinetic model, sludge reduction mechanism and microbial community
726 structures. *Bioresour. Technol.* 267, 218–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.07.047>

727 Karlikanovaite-Balikci, A., Yagci, N., 2019. Determination and evaluation of kinetic parameters of
728 activated sludge biomass from a sludge reduction system treating real sewage by respirometry
729 testing. *J. Environ. Manage.* 240, 303–310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.03.131>

730 Khursheed, A., Sharma, M.K., Tyagi, V.K., Khan, A.A., Kazmi, A.A., 2015. Specific oxygen
731 uptake rate gradient - Another possible cause of excess sludge reduction in oxic-settling-
732 anaerobic (OSA) process. *Chem. Eng. J.* 281, 613–622.
733 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.06.105>

734 Le-Clech, P., Chen, V., Fane, T.A.G., 2006. Fouling in membrane bioreactors used in wastewater
735 treatment. *J. Memb. Sci.* 284, 17–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2006.08.019>

736 Li, J., Tabassum, S., 2022. Minimizing excess sludge production under synergistic effect of
737 diatomite and uncoupling agent 2,4-dinitrophenol: An in-depth study. *Clean. Eng. Technol.* 6,
738 100353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2021.100353>

739 Li, T., Fan, Y., Li, H., Ren, Z., Kou, L., Guo, X., Jia, H., Wang, T., Zhu, L., 2021. Excess sludge
740 disintegration by discharge plasma oxidation: Efficiency and underlying mechanisms. *Sci.*
741 *Total Environ.* 774, 145127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145127>

742 Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, L., Randall, R., 1951. Protein measurement with the folin
743 phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem.* 193, 265–275. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3894\(92\)87011-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3894(92)87011-4)

744 Martins, C.L., Velho, V.F., Magnus, B.S., Xavier, J.A., Guimarães, L.B., Leite, W.R., Costa,

745 R.H.R., 2020. Assessment of sludge reduction and microbial dynamics in an OSA process with
746 short anaerobic retention time. *Environ. Technol. Innov.* 19, 101025.
747 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2020.101025>

748 Metcalf, Eddy, 2015. *Wastewater Engineering Treatment and Resource Recovery*. 5th edition.
749 ISBN 9780073401188 / 0073401188.

750 Ni, B.J., Yu, H.Q., 2008. Simulation of heterotrophic storage and growth processes in activated
751 sludge under aerobic conditions. *Chem. Eng. J.* 140, 101–109.
752 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2007.09.017>

753 Nikpour, B., Jalilzadeh Yengejeh, R., Takdastan, A., Hassani, A.H., Zazouli, M.A., 2020. The
754 investigation of biological removal of nitrogen and phosphorous from domestic wastewater by
755 inserting anaerobic/anoxic holding tank in the return sludge line of MLE-OSA modified
756 system 09 Engineering 0907 Environmental Engineering 09 Engineering 0904 . *J. Environ.*
757 *Heal. Sci. Eng.* 18, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40201-019-00419-1>

758 Romero-Pareja, P.M., Aragon, C.A., Quiroga, J.M., Coello, M.D., 2017. Evaluation of a biological
759 wastewater treatment system combining an OSA process with ultrasound for sludge reduction.
760 *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 36, 336–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2016.12.006>

761 Romero Pareja, P.M., Aragon Cruz, C.A., Quiroga Alonso, J.M., Coello Oviedo, M.D., 2018.
762 Incorporating the oxic-settling-anaerobic (OSA) process into an anoxic–oxic system for
763 sewage sludge reduction and nutrient removal. *Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy* 37, 1068–1074.
764 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.12784>

765 Romero Pareja, P.M., Real Jiménez, A., Aragón, C.A., Quiroga, J.M., Coello, M.D., 2016. Changes
766 in enzymatic and microbiological activity during adaptation of a conventional activated sludge
767 (CAS) to a CAS-oxic-settling-anaerobic (OSA) adapted process. *Desalin. Water Treat.* 57,
768 2719–2725. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2015.1040852>

769 Semblante, G.U., Hai, F.I., Bustamante, H., Guevara, N., Price, W.E., Nghiem, L.D., 2016a.
770 Biosolids reduction by the oxic-settling-anoxic process: Impact of sludge interchange rate.

771 Bioresour. Technol. 210, 167–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.01.010>

772 Semblante, G.U., Hai, F.I., Bustamante, H., Price, W.E., Nghiem, L.D., 2016b. Effects of sludge
773 retention time on oxic-settling-anoxic process performance: Biosolids reduction and
774 dewatering properties. *Bioresour. Technol.* 218, 1187–1194.
775 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.07.061>

776 Semblante, G.U., Hai, F.I., Bustamante, H., Price, W.E., Nghiem, L.D., 2016c. Effects of sludge
777 retention time on oxic-settling-anoxic process performance: Biosolids reduction and
778 dewatering properties. *Bioresour. Technol.* 218, 1187–1194.
779 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.07.061>

780 Semblante, G.U., Hai, F.I., Ngo, H.H., Guo, W., You, S.J., Price, W.E., Nghiem, L.D., 2014.
781 Sludge cycling between aerobic, anoxic and anaerobic regimes to reduce sludge production
782 during wastewater treatment: Performance, mechanisms, and implications. *Bioresour. Technol.*
783 155, 395–409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.01.029>

784 Sodhi, V., Bansal, A., Jha, M.K., 2021. Investigation of activated sludge characteristics and their
785 influence on simultaneous sludge minimization and nitrogen removal from an advanced
786 biological treatment for tannery wastewater. *Environ. Technol. Innov.* 24, 102013.
787 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2021.102013>

788 Sun, L.P., Lin, Y.J., Shi, C.Y., Wang, S.Q., Luo, W.X., Wang, M., 2020. Effects of interchange
789 ratio on sludge reduction and microbial community structures in an anaerobic/anoxic/ oxic
790 process w i t h combined anaerobic side-stream reactor. *Water Sci. Technol.* 81, 1250–1263.
791 <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2020.223>

792 Sun, X., Liu, B., Zhang, L., Aketagawa, K., Xue, B., Ren, Y., Bai, J., Zhan, Y., Chen, S., Dong, B.,
793 2022. Partial ozonation of returned sludge via high-concentration ozone to reduce excess
794 sludge production: A pilot study. *Sci. Total Environ.* 807, 150773.
795 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150773>

796 Sun, Z., Li, M., Wang, G., Yan, X., Li, Y., Lan, M., Liu, R., Li, B., 2020. Enhanced carbon and

797 nitrogen removal in an integrated anaerobic/anoxic/aerobic-membrane aerated biofilm reactor
798 system. *RSC Adv.* 10, 28838–28847. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ra04120c>

799 Valentino, F., Morgan-Sagastume, F., Campanari, S., Villano, M., Werker, A., Majone, M., 2017.
800 Carbon recovery from wastewater through bioconversion into biodegradable polymers. *N.*
801 *Biotechnol.* 37, 9–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2016.05.007>

802 Velho, V.F., Foladori, P., Andreottola, G., Costa, R.H.R., 2016. Anaerobic side-stream reactor for
803 excess sludge reduction: 5-year management of a full-scale plant. *J. Environ. Manage.* 177,
804 223–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.04.020>

805 Vitanza, R., Cortesi, A., De Arana-Sarabia, M.E., Gallo, V., Vasiliadou, I.A., 2019. Oxic settling
806 anaerobic (OSA) process for excess sludge reduction: 16 months of management of a pilot
807 plant fed with real wastewater. *J. Water Process Eng.* 32, 100902.
808 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2019.100902>

809 Vitanza, R., Cortesi, A., De Arana-Sarabia, M.E., Gallo, V., Vasiliadou, I.A., Fida, Z., Price, W.E.,
810 Pramanik, B.K., Dhar, B.R., Kumar, M., Jiang, G., Hai, F.I., Hao, X., Chen, Q., van
811 Loosdrecht, M.C.M., Li, J., Jiang, H., Sun, X., Liu, B., Zhang, L., Aketagawa, K., Xue, B.,
812 Ren, Y., Bai, J., Zhan, Y., Chen, S., Dong, B., 2020. Oxic settling anaerobic (OSA) process for
813 excess sludge reduction: 16 months of management of a pilot plant fed with real wastewater. *J.*
814 *Environ. Manage.* 170, 111919. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111919>

815 Von Sperling, M., 2015. *Wastewater Characteristics, Treatment and Disposal*, Water Intelligence
816 Online. <https://doi.org/10.2166/9781780402086>

817 Wang, J., Li, S.Y., Jiang, F., Wu, K., Liu, G.L., Lu, H., Chen, G.H., 2015. A modified oxic-settling-
818 anaerobic activated sludge process using gravity thickening for excess sludge reduction. *Sci.*
819 *Rep.* 5, 13972. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13972>

820 Wang, K., Zhou, Z., Zheng, Y., Jiang, J., Huang, J., Qiang, J., An, Y., Jiang, L., Jiang, L.M., Wang,
821 Z., 2020. Understanding mechanisms of sludge in situ reduction in anaerobic side-stream
822 reactor coupled membrane bioreactors packed with carriers at different filling fractions.

- 823 Bioresour. Technol. 316, 123925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123925>
- 824 Wanner, J., 2017. Activated sludge separation problems, 2nd Edition. ISBN 9781780408637.
- 825 https://doi.org/10.2166/9781780408644_053
- 826 Wei, Y., Van Houten, R.T., Borger, A.R., Eikelboom, D.H., Fan, Y., 2003. Minimization of excess
827 sludge production for biological wastewater treatment. *Water Res.* 37, 4453–4467.
- 828 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(03\)00441-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(03)00441-X)
- 829 Zhang, M., Yao, J., Wang, X., Hong, Y., Chen, Y., 2019. The microbial community in filamentous
830 bulking sludge with the ultra-low sludge loading and long sludge retention time in oxidation
831 ditch. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50086-3>
- 832 Zhang, R., Mao, Y., Meng, L., 2021. Excess sludge cell lysis by ultrasound combined with ozone.
833 *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 276, 119359. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2021.119359>
- 834 Zhou, Z., Qiao, W., Xing, C., Wang, C., Jiang, L.M., Gu, Y., Wang, L., 2015. Characterization of
835 dissolved organic matter in the anoxic-oxic-settling-anaerobic sludge reduction process. *Chem.*
836 *Eng. J.* 259, 357–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.07.129>

837

838 **Figure captions**

839 Figure 1: Pilot plants layouts

840 Figure 2: Cumulative excess sludge production in the OSA and CAS-C plants (a); contribution of
841 primary and secondary sludge on excess sludge production (b); trends of the observed yield
842 coefficients and reduction obtained in the OSA and CAS-C.

843 Figure 3: Influent, effluent concentrations, and removal efficiency of the total COD (a) and total
844 phosphorous (b), in the OSA and CAS-C plants.

845 Figure 4: Influent, effluent concentrations, and removal efficiency of the ammonium nitrogen (a), and
846 total nitrogen (b) in the OSA and CAS-C plants.

847 Figure 5: Trends of the SVI in the OSA and CAS-C (a); EPS (b) and SMP (c) content in the biological
848 reactors of OSA (aerobic, ASSR) and CAS-C (aerobic) systems.

849 Figure S1: Typical OUR profiles of heterotrophic bacteria in Period 1 (a), Period 2 (b) and Period 3,
850 4, 5 (c).

851

852

853 **Table captions**

854 Table 1: Main operating conditions of CAS-C and OSA during the experiment

855 Table 2: Average characteristics of the influent wastewater

856 Table 3: Average values of DO, pH and ORP in the anoxic, aerobic, and anaerobic side stream reactor
857 during the experiment in CAS-C and OSA

858 Table 4: Average values of the heterotrophic and autotrophic kinetic parameters and level of
859 significance obtained from statistical analysis.

860 Table 5: Summary on the effects of the operating conditions on bacterial metabolism and hypothesis
861 of the main possible mechanisms involved in each period with effects on process performances

862