

LJMU Research Online

Ashry, A, Lotto, R, Moran, D and Lotto, A

VSD exposure by tricuspid valve chordal detachment - a retrospective matched study

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/18506/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Ashry, A, Lotto, R, Moran, D and Lotto, A VSD exposure by tricuspid valve chordal detachment - a retrospective matched study. World Journal for Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery. ISSN 2150-136X (Accepted)

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

VSD exposure by tricuspid valve chordal detachment– a retrospective matched study

Amr Ashry, MD^{1,2}, Sophia Khan, MD³, Melonie Johns, MRCPCH³, Denise

Moran, MSc ³, Heba M. Mohammed, MD ⁴, Robyn Lotto, PhD ⁵, Ramesh Kutty, FRCS ¹, Ram Dhannapuneni, FRCS ¹, Rafael Guerrero, FRCS ^{1,6},

Attilio Lotto, FRCS ^{1,5}

- 1. Congenital Cardiac Surgery Service, Alder Hey Children's Hospital, Liverpool. United Kingdom.
- 2. Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Assiut University Hospital, Faculty of
- Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.
- 3. Paediatric Cardiology Service, Alder Hey Children's Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom.
- 4. Department of Public Health and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine,
- Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.
- 5. Faculty of Health, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, United Kingdom.
- 6. School of Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.
- **Corresponding Author:**
- Attilio Lotto, FRCS-CTh
- Consultant Congenital Cardiac Surgeon
- Alder Hey Children Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom.
- Professor in Congenital Cardiac Surgery
- Liverpool John Moores University.
- Address: E Prescot Road, Liverpool, L14 5AB, United Kingdom.
- Phone number: +447512622025 E-mail: Attilio.lotto@alderhey.nhs.uk

- Presented at the European Congenital Heart Surgeons Association (ECHSA) 2021 annual meeting, June 11-12, 2021.
- Key words: Ventricular septal defect, Tricuspid valve chordal detachment, Tricuspid regurgitation, right bundle branch block.
- Word count: Total number of words: 3936

1 Abstract:

2

Background: Transatrial approach is the standard method in repairing ventricular septal defect in pediatric population. However, tricuspid valve (TV) apparatus might obscure the inferior border of the VSD risking the adequacy of repair by leaving residual VSD or heart block. Detachment of the TV chordae has been described as an alternative technique to TV leaflet detachment. Aim of this study is to investigate the safeness of such technique.

9 **Methods:** Retrospective review of patients who underwent VSD repair between 10 2015 and 2018. Group A (n=25) had VSD repair with TV chordae detachment were 11 matched for age and weight to group B (n=25) without tricuspid chordal or leaflet 12 detachment. ECG and echocardiogram at discharge and at 3 years of follow-up were 13 reviewed to identify new ECG changes, residual VSD and TV regurgitation.

Results: Median age in group A and B were 6.13 (IQR 4.33-7.91) and 6.33 (4.77-14 15 7.2) months. New onset right bundle branch block (RBBB) was diagnosed at discharge 16 in 28% (n=7) of group A versus 56% (n=14) in group B (p= 0.044), while the incidence 17 dropped to 16% (n=4) in group A versus 40% (n=10) in group B (p= 0.059) in the 3 years follow-up ECG. Echocardiogram at discharge showed moderate tricuspid 18 19 regurgitation in 16% (n=4) in group A and 12% (n=3) in group B (p=0.867). 3 years 20 follow-up echocardiography revealed no moderate or severe tricuspid regurgitation 21 and no significant residual VSD in either group.

22 Conclusion: No significant difference in operative time was observed between the 23 two techniques. TV chordal detachment technique reduces the incidence of 24 postoperative RBBB without increasing the incidence of TV regurgitation at discharge.

1 Introduction:

2

3 Ventricular septal defect (VSD) is the most common congenital heart defect, 4 accounting for 20% of all congenital cardiac anomalies¹. Transatrial approach is the 5 standard approach to repair VSD with excellent results and low mortality and 6 morbidity.

7 However, when the VSD is obscured by the tricuspid valve (TV) tensor apparatus, the 8 repair can be more complex, and can result in a suboptimal repair leaving a residual 9 VSD, tricuspid valve insufficiency, or post-operative rhythm complications such as 10 complete atrioventricular (AV) block and right bundle branch block (RBBB)²⁻⁴. As a 11 result, surgeons have adopted adjuncts to the transatrial method of repairing the VSD 12 such as tricuspid valve septal leaflet detachment and tricuspid valve chordae 13 tendineae detachment to improve the exposure while repairing the VSD⁵⁻⁷. However, 14 concerns remain over possible associated complications, in particular in relation to TV 15 chordal detachment.

The aim of our study is to investigate the effect of tricuspid valve chordal detachment technique on the incidence of post-operative tricuspid regurgitation, heart block and residual VSD during the early post-operative period, and at three years follow-up.

19

20 Material and methods:

21 Study design and patient population:

This study has been approved by Liverpool John Moores University Ethical committee
 NAHPGT(APNP)2000. Data was collected for all patients who had undergone surgical
 VSD repair via standard transatrial approach at Alder Hey Children's Hospital (2015 –

1 2018). Inclusion criteria included patients with isolated perimembranous VSD or with 2 VSD associated with atrial septal defect (ASD), patent foramen oval (PFO) and patent 3 ductus arteriosus (PDA). All VSDs were non-restrictive perimembranous type. We 4 excluded muscular and subarterial VSDs from the study. Patients with concomitant complex cardiac anomalies alongside the VSD, such as complete atrioventricular 5 6 septal defect, double outlet right ventricle, transposition of the great arteries were 7 excluded, as were patients with trisomy 21 and those with preoperative rhythm 8 abnormalities. Patients with incomplete data were also excluded. The decision to 9 exclude patients with trisomy 21 was taken as patients with this genetic disposition 10 have been shown to have a higher incidence of developing heart block requiring 11 pacemaker placement following VSD repair⁸.

Twenty-five patients who had undergone surgical VSD closure with tricuspid valve chordal detachment (TVCD) technique (group A) were statistically matched for age and weight to 25 patients (group B) who had undergone surgical repair of perimembranous VSD without the need for tricuspid leaflet detachment or tricuspid chordal detachment during the same period.

All patients were elective patients as we excluded any patient who required more than 17 18 oral medication. Group A included 8 asymptomatic patients and 17 symptomatic 19 patients, while group B included 6 asymptomatic patients and 19 patients with 20 symptoms (the symptoms included; failure to thrive, feeding difficulties. 21 breathlessness and recurrent chest infections). Eight patients in group A did not require medications and 17 patients required anti-failure medications (13 on diuretics 22 only and 4 on diuretics + ACE inhibitor). Similarly, 8 patients in group B did not require 23 24 medications and 17 patients required anti-failure medications (15 on diuretics only and 2 on diuretics + ACE inhibitor). 25

Median follow-up for group A was 46.5 months (IQR 42-48) and 46 months (IQR 44-48) for group B. We managed to assess echocardiogram at 3 years follow-up in all patients in the 2 groups. Echocardiography images and standard 12-lead ECG were reviewed independently by two pediatric cardiologists in order to assess rhythm disturbances at baseline and at discharge as well as the degree of tricuspid regurgitation and presence of residual VSD at discharge and at 3 years follow-up.

Regarding preoperative tricuspid valve function: Group A showed 12 patients with no
tricuspid regurgitation (TR), 12 mild TR and 1 moderate TR, while group B had 15
patients with no TR, 9 with mild TR and 1 moderate TR. We considered tricuspid
regurgitation to be significant if it was moderate or severe.

We have used the AHA/ACCF/HRS to define complete RBBB in infants (< 1year of
age). These criteria include a QRS duration of > 90 msec and rsr, rsR, or rSR in
leads V1 or V2.⁹

14

15 Surgical technique:

All patients underwent cardiopulmonary bypass with the use of bicaval cannulation under normothermia. A left atrial vent was inserted through the patent foramen ovale (PFO), the atrial septal defect (ASD) when present or the right upper pulmonary vein. The heart was arrested with antegrade infusion of cold blood cardioplegia. A standard oblique right atrial incision was made, and the right atrium retracted to expose the VSD.

In case of presence of TV chordal attachment to the inferior border of the VSD as shown in figure 1A, the chordae tendineae were temporarily detached from the septum, lifted backwards towards the surgeon by using polypropylene 6/0 pledgeted

1 sutures, allowing better exposure of the VSD margins as demonstrated in figure 1B. 2 This permitted a better visibility of the critical area close to the inferior border of the 3 VSD allowing a wider placement of sutures and positioning of the patch, aiming to 4 avoid the conduction system on the right ventricle. A patch is sutured to the muscular 5 component of the VSD either with interrupted sutures or a continuous suture line, while 6 the area across the TV septal leaflet is secured with interrupted pledgeted sutures. 7 The previously detached chordae tendineae were sutured back to their original point 8 of insertion or to the corresponding patch area as shown in figure 1C. This technique 9 was reported by Kapoor et al in 2000⁷. Figures 2A, 2B and 2C are operative 10 photographs illustrating the technique.

11

12 Statistical analysis:

Data analysis was undertaken using SPSS version 26. Categorical data are presented in the form of frequencies and percentages. Quantitative variables were tested for normality by Shapiro-Wilk test and expressed as median (IQR) according to their distribution. Mann Whitney U test was used to compare difference between two independent groups in quantitative data. Chi square test was used to compare proportion between the two groups. The level of significance was considered at P value < 0.05.

20

21

22 **Results:**

Our cohort consisted of 50 patients: Group A (n=25) who underwent VSD closure with
 tricuspid valve chordal detachment (TVCD) was compared with statistically matched

group B (n=25) who underwent VSD closure without need for tricuspid valve chordal
detachment or leaflet detachment. Group A included 11 inlet, 10 outlet and 4 inlet-tooutlet perimembranous VSDs, with median maximum diameter of 7.1 mm (IQR 6.5 –
7.9 mm). Group B included 13 inlet, 7 outlet and 5 inlet-to-outlet perimembranous
VSDs, with median maximum diameter of 8.5 mm (IQR 6.8 – 9.9 mm). All demographic
and perioperative data are summarized in table 1.

7 Normal sinus rhythm resumed spontaneously after VSD closure in all patients, and 8 none needed temporary external pacing. All patients underwent an intraoperative 9 transesophageal or epicardial echocardiogram which excluded the presence of 10 significant (>2mm) residual VSD and significant (>moderate) new tricuspid valve 11 regurgitation. No patients needed to go back on CPB for further surgical repairs. ECG 12 analysis before discharge showed absence of new postoperative complete heart block 13 in both groups, but new onset of right bundle branch block (RBBB) was noted in 28% (n=7) of the TVCD group A versus 56% (n=14) in group B (p=0.044). Three years 14 15 follow-up ECG showed that the incidence of RBBB dropped in the TVCD group A to 16 16% (n=4) compared to 40% in group B (n=10) (p=0.059). Echocardiogram at 17 discharge confirmed the absence of significant residual VSD requiring re-intervention in both groups. Group A had 13 patients at discharge with small (<2mm) residual VSD 18 19 with median VSD size of 1.5 mm (IQR 1.3-1.9 mm), while group B had 7 patients at discharge with small (<2mm) residual VSD with median VSD size of 1.85 mm (IQR 20 21 1.5-2.0 mm) (p=0.083). Moderate tricuspid regurgitation was noted at discharge in 22 16% (n=4) in TVCD group A and 12% (n=3) in group B (p=0.867). Small residual VSD at 3 years follow-up Echo was noted in 3 cases in group A with median VSD diameter 23 of 1 mm (IQR 0.8-1.1 mm), compared to 4 cases in group B with median VSD diameter 24 25 of 1.1 mm (IQR 0.95-1.2 mm) (p=0.684). At 3 years follow-up no patients had

moderate or severe tricuspid regurgitation in either group. Mild tricuspid regurgitation
was found in 11 patients (44%) of TVCD group A and 12 patients (48%) in group B at
3 years follow-up (p=0.777). Table 2 demonstrates the outcomes in both groups.

Group A showed good RV function at discharge for all the patients except 1 case of mildly impaired RV function with mildly dilated RV. At 3 years, RV function was good in all cases and no patient showed RV dilatation. Group B had good RV function at discharge for all the patients except 3 cases of mildly impaired RV function. Mildly dilated RV was noticed in 5 patients in this group. At 3 years, RV function was good in all cases. 2 patients showed mildly dilated RV in group B at 3 years follow-up echo.

10 There was no statistically significant difference in group A or group B in terms of 11 patients with occurrence of RBBB by type of VSD, as shown in table 3.

In group A, the rate of occurrence of RBBB by type of VSD was 27.3%, 30% and 25%
for inlet, outlet, inlet-to-outlet VSD respectively. While, in group B, rate of occurrence
of RBBB was 53.8%, 57.1% and 60.0% for inlet, outlet, inlet-to-outlet VSD
respectively.

16

17 **Discussion:**

VSD is the most common congenital heart defect. Isolated VSD is generally repaired surgically via transatrial approach with less than 1% mortality in the pediatric population. However, a number of associated morbidities are still noticeable with the surgical VSD repair such as residual VSD, tricuspid valve regurgitation, complete heart block and right bundle branch block (RBBB). These complications may occur due to suboptimal exposure of the defect at the time of repair. In a subset of patients with tricuspid valve chordae crossing or inserting at the margins of the VSD, exposure of the margins to perform a safe and effective repair can be challenging. Therefore, in order to optimize the VSD exposure, surgeons have adopted some additional techniques to better expose the margins. Septal leaflet detachment of the tricuspid valve is a widely adopted and reported technique.

5 Since 1962, when Hudspeth and colleagues reported their technique of tricuspid valve septal leaflet detachment with extension of the incision either into the anterior or 6 7 posterior leaflet, many surgeons have utilized tricuspid leaflet detachment to improve 8 visualization of the VSD margins⁵. Aeba *et al.*¹⁰ retrospectively studied the outcomes 9 of VSD surgical closure in 87 patients. They observed that the tricuspid valve detachment (TVD) group had longer cardiopulmonary bypass time and aortic cross-10 11 clamp time than the non-TVD group, without significant difference between the 2 groups regarding TV regurgitation and residual VSD. Russell et al.¹¹ demonstrated no 12 13 significant difference between TVD group and the non-detachment group following 14 surgical VSD repair with respect to cardiopulmonary bypass time, cross-clamp time or rate of occurrence of post-operative tricuspid regurgitation. Similarly, Bang et al. found 15 16 that detachment of the TV can be used safely for better exposure of the VSD without increased risk of tricuspid regurgitation in infants younger than 3 months ¹². 17

Another technique described in 2000 by Kapoor et al. involves tricuspid chordal detachment for VSD exposure and subsequent chordal repositioning after VSD patch closure ⁷. A recent study showed that neither the chordal detachment technique nor the leaflet detachment technique is associated with increased TV regurgitation postoperatively when compared to a large control group of patients who had the VSD closed in the standard fashion ¹³.

24 While several studies have documented good short-term results using techniques of 25 tricuspid valve leaflet detachment or chordal detachment, there are no long-term

reported outcomes for the tricuspid valve chordal detachment technique. Our study investigates the effect of tricuspid valve chordal detachment technique on the incidence of post-operative tricuspid regurgitation, heart block, and residual VSD during the early post-operative period, and at follow-up three years after surgery. We have compared our TVCD group with a matched group where TVCD was not needed as the VSD margins were readily visible

7 With VSDs often located in close proximity to the conduction system, rhythm 8 abnormalities are frequently recognized complications following surgical repair. While 9 the occurrence of complete heart block (CHB) is rare (1%), RBBB has previously been 10 reported to occur in up to 80% of VSD patients who were operated on with the right 11 ventricular (RV) approach¹⁴. Over the last few decades, with repair being performed 12 most often by the transatrial approach, the incidence of post-operative RBBB has fallen but is still reported in some series to be up to 40% ¹⁴⁻¹⁵. In our cohort the overall 13 14 the incidence of RBBB at discharge was 28% in group A and 56% in group B. There were no cases of CHB. 15

16 Comparing the two surgical techniques used in our center, we demonstrated a 17 significant difference in the rate of occurrence of post-operative new onset RBBB, with the TV chordal detachment technique having a significantly lower incidence. The 18 importance of this observation is amplified when we consider that the "control" group 19 20 did not have TV chordae obscuring the VSD margins, hence there was no need to 21 adopt techniques to increase exposure and visibility for the repair. In the follow-up, we 22 still see a higher incidence of RBBB in group B, although not statistically significant. 23 These results have prompted us to review the latest advances in the understanding of the anatomy of the specialized conduction system. The reason for the above findings 24 25 might reside in the anatomy of the bundle of His and its branches. As described by

1 Nagarajan et al in 2019, the right bundle branch (RBB) usually takes a short 2 intramuscular course within the septum before emerging in the subendocardium of the RV at the base of the medial papillary muscle ¹⁶. The presence of a VSD could 3 4 displace the course of the RBB even further. In cases where thick chordae are obscuring the inferior margin of the VSD, the surgeon might be forced to anchor the 5 6 patch more widely with respect to the VSD margins, and thus more inferiorly towards 7 the medial papillary muscle. In doing so, sutures to secure the VSD patch may be 8 placed close to where the RBB emerges from the muscle, theoretically increasing the 9 risk of injuring it. On the other hand, when the obscuring chordae are detached and retracted back, the VSD inferior margin is well exposed, and the patch can be 10 11 positioned more precisely in the usual way, without increasing the risk of injury of the 12 conduction system (figure 3)¹⁶. Moreover, our study suggests that there is no correlation between VSD subtype (inlet, outlet, inlet-to-outlet) and risk of RBBB 13 14 postoperatively (table3).

Although RBBB may not account for significant morbidity in the short-term, there may be longer-term effects such as ventricular dyssynchrony, which may lead to a reduction in cardiac systolic function¹³. Considering this, along with the fact that no significant difference in the incidence of TR was seen, our results support the use of the TV chordal detachment technique, when needed to optimize surgical exposure, to improve long-term patient outcomes.

In our study, no patients needed reoperation for residual VSD at discharge and at 3 years follow-up. There was no significant difference between the TVCD group and the non-detachment group regarding bypass time, cross-clamp time, ICU length of stay, and hospital stay. Moreover, there was no significant difference regarding tricuspid regurgitation in the 2 groups at discharge. No patient had more than mild TR at 3 years

follow-up. No patients needed permanent pacemaker insertion in the 2 groups. TVCD
technique appears to be protective with respect to the risk of development of RBBB,
as we had 28% of patients with RBBB at discharge in the TVCD group compared with
56% of patients in the non-detachment group (p=0.044). At 3 years follow-up, the
incidence of RBBB had diminished in both groups, to 16 % in TVCD group A compared
to 40% in group B (p=0.059). In our view, tricuspid valve chordal detachment technique
can be associated with excellent results in young infants with difficult VSD exposure.

8 Limitations:

9 The study limitations include being a retrospective, single center study in a 10 nonrandomized design. Large prospective and multicenter studies should be 11 performed to further clarify the outcomes following surgical VSD repair with tricuspid 12 valve chordal detachment technique.

13

14 **Conclusion:**

15 Tricuspid valve chordal detachment technique can be used safely to improve the 16 exposure of the VSD margin, optimizing the repair without increased risk of tricuspid 17 regurgitation. The technique was associated with reduced incidence of right bundle 18 branch block after VSD repair. Moreover, it does not prolong bypass time, cross-clamp 19 time or hospital stay.

20

21 Acknowledgment:

22 We would like to thank Dr Gabriella Ricciardi for her original drawings.

23

1 **Declaration of Conflicting Interests:**

2 The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research,

3 authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding: 4

- 5 The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or
- 6 publication of this article.
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10

References: 11

1. Jacobs JP, Burke RP, Quintessenza JA, Mavroudis C. Congenital Heart Surgery 12 Nomenclature and Database Project: ventricular septal defect. Ann Thorac Surg. 13 14 2000;69(4 Suppl):S25-35.

15

2. Bol-Raap G, Weerheim J, Kappetein AP, Witsenburg M, Bogers AJ. Follow-up after 16 17 surgical closure of congenital ventricular septal defect. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 18 2003;24(4):511-515. doi:10.1016/s1010-7940(03)00430-5.

19

20 3. Yoneyama F, Kato H, Matsubara M, et al. Conduction disorders after 21 perimembranous ventricular septal defect closure: continuous versus interrupted 22 suturing techniques [published online ahead of print, 2021 Sep 22]. Eur J Cardiothorac 23 Surg. 2021;ezab407. doi:10.1093/ejcts/ezab407.

24

25 4. Adan A, Eleyan L, Zaidi M, Ashry A, Dhannapuneni R, Harky A. Ventricular septal 26 defect: diagnosis and treatments in the neonates: a systematic review. Cardiol Young. 27 2021;31(5):756-761. doi:10.1017/S1047951120004576.

28

29 5. HUDSPETH AS, CORDELL AR, MEREDITH JH, JOHNSTON FR. An improved 30 transatrial approach to the closure of ventricular septal defects. J Thorac Cardiovasc 31 Surg. 1962;43:157-165.

32

33 6. Gaynor JW, O'Brien JE Jr, Rychik J, Sanchez GR, DeCampli WM, Spray TL. 34 Outcome following tricuspid valve detachment for ventricular septal defects

1 closure. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2001;19(3):279-282. doi:10.1016/s1010-2 7940(01)00577-2. 3 4 7. Kapoor L, Gan MD, Bandyhopadhyay A, Das MB, Chatterjee S. Improved exposure 5 of isolated perimembranous ventricular septal defects. Ann Thorac Surg. 6 2000;69(1):291-292. doi:10.1016/s0003-4975(99)01224-2. 7 8 8. Fudge JC Jr, Li S, Jaggers J, et al. Congenital heart surgery outcomes in Down syndrome: analysis of a national clinical database. Pediatrics. 2010;126(2):315-322. 9 10 doi:10.1542/peds.2009-3245. 11 12 9. Surawicz B, Childers R, Deal BJ, et al. AHA/ACCF/HRS recommendations for the 13 standardization and interpretation of the electrocardiogram: part III: intraventricular 14 conduction disturbances: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association 15 Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; the American College of Cardiology Foundation; and the Heart Rhythm Society. Endorsed 16 17 by the International Society for Computerized Electrocardiology. J Am Coll Cardiol. 18 2009;53(11):976-981. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2008.12.013. 19 20 10. Aeba R, Katogi T, Hashizume K, et al. Liberal use of tricuspid valve detachment 21 for transatrial ventricular septal defect closure. Ann Thorac Surg. 2003;76(4):1073-1077. doi:10.1016/s0003-4975(03)00723-9. 22 23 24 11. Russell HM, Forsberg K, Backer CL, Wurlitzer KC, Kaushal S, Mavroudis C. Outcomes of radial incision of the tricuspid valve for ventricular septal defect 25 closure. AnnThorac Surg. 2011;92(2):685-690. doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2011.03.023. 26 27 28 12. Bang JH, Park CS, Park JJ, et al. Detachment of the tricuspid valve for ventricular 29 septal defect closure in infants younger than 3 months. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 30 2016;152(2):491-496. doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2016.03.067. 31 32 13. Pourmoghadam KK, Boron A, Ruzmetov M, et al. Septal Leaflet Versus Chordal 33 Detachment in Closure of Hard-to-Expose Ventricular Septal Defects. Ann Thorac Surg. 34 2018;106(3):814-821. doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2018.02.083. 35 14. Karadeniz C, Atalay S, Demir F, et al. Does Surgically Induced Right Bundle 36 Branch Block Really Effect Ventricular Function in Children After Ventricular Septal 37 Defect Closure? Pediatr Cardiol. 2015;36(3):481-488. doi:10.1007/s00246-014-1037-38 39 9. 40 41 15. van Lier TA, Harinck E, Hitchcock JF, Moulaert AJ, van Mill GJ. Complete right bundle branch block after surgical closure of perimembranous ventricular septal 42 43 defect. Relation to type of ventriculotomy. Eur Heart J. 1985;6(11):959-962. 44 doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.eurheartj.a061794. 45 46 47 48 16. Nagarajan VD, Ho SY, Ernst S. Anatomical Considerations for His Bundle 49 Pacing. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2019;12(7):e006897. 50 doi:10.1161/CIRCEP.118.006897.

Tables:

Table 1: Perioperative data

Variable	Group A $(n=25)$	Group B (n=25)	P Value	
Age (months)	6.13 (4.33-7.91)	6.33 (4.77-7.20)	0.861*	
Weight (Kg)	5.70 (4.79-6.40)	5.31 (4.56-6.37)	0.684*	
Sex			0.254**	
Male	13 (52.0%)	9 (36.0%)		
Female	12 (48.0%)	16 (64.0%)		
Bypass time	76.00 (65.50-109.50)	69.00 (60.0-104.0)	0.264*	
(minutes)				
Cross clamp time	48.00 (39.50-68.50)	39.00 (32.5-76.0)	0.135*	
(minutes)				
Post-operative stay	6.0 (5.0-8.0)	5.0 (5.0-7.5)	0.620*	
(days)				
ICU stay (days)	1.0 (1.0-2.0)	1.0 (1.0-1.0)	0.755*	

Group A= Chordal detachment group

Group B= non-Chordal detachment group

Data expressed as frequency (%) in qualitative data, median (IQR) in quantitative variable

* Mann Whitney U test 9 **Chi square test

1 Table 2: Outcomes

Variables	Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)	P-Value*	
ΓR (at discharge)				
• No	3 (12.0%)	4 (16.0%)	0.867	
 Mild 	18 (72.0%)	18 (72.0%)		
Moderate	4 (16.0%)	3 (12.0%)	_	
Residual VSD (at discharge)				
■ No	12 (48.0%)	18 (72.0%)		
 Tiny 	13 (52.0%)	7 (28.0%)	0.083	
RBBB (at discharge)				
• Yes	7 (28.0%)	14 (56.0%)	0.044	
 No 	18 (72.0%)	11 (44.0%)		
RBBB (at 3 years)				
• Yes	4 (16.0%)	10 (40.0%)	0.059	
• No	21 (84.0%)	15 (60.0%)		
Residual VSD (at 3 years)				
• No	22 (88.0%)	21 (84.0%)		
 Tiny 	3 (12.0%)	4 (16.0%)	0.684	
TR (at 3 years)				
• No	14 (56.0%)	13 (52.0%)		
 Mild 	11 (44.0%)	12 (48.0%)	0.777	

3 *Chi square test

Table 3: RBBB in groups A and B according to type of VSD

	Group A			Group B		
Types of VSD	RBBB		P- Value*	RBBB		P-Value*
	Yes (n=7)	No (n=18)		Yes (n=14)	No (n=11)	
 Inlet 	3 (27.3%)	8 (72.7%)		7 (53.8%)	6 (46.2%)	
 Outlet 	3 (30.0%)	7 (70.0%)	0.980	4 (57.1%)	3 (42.9%)	0.970
 Inlet to Outlet 	1 (25.0%)	3 (75.0%)		3 (60.0%)	2 (40.0%)	

3 *Chi square test was used to compare proportion between groups

13 Figure legends:

Figure 1A: Transatrial view of the VSD, partially covered by TV leaflet and its chordaetendineae.

- 17 Figure 1B: The chordae tendineae detachment.18
- 19 Figure 1C: VSD Patch and chordae tendineae re-attachment.
- 21 Figure 2A: Tricuspid valve chordae crossing the VSD.
- 23 Figure 2B: TV chordae tendineae detachment.
- 25 Figure 2C: TV chordae tendineae re-attachment after VSD patch closure.
- 27 Figure 3: Atrioventricular (AV) conduction system ¹⁶
- Reproduced from reference [16], with permission from Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.
 (License number 5447270568278).