Correlation and Path Analysis Studies of Stalk Lodging Resistance, Grain Yield and Related Agronomic Traits in Different Maize Varieties

Belayneh Ayalew¹, Ketema Belete², Divakara Sastry³ and Girma Demissie^{4*}

¹Gambella Agricultural Research Institute, Gambella, Ethiopia, P. O. Box 62; ²Haramaya University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia, P.O. Box 138; ³Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, Durgapura, Jaipur, India 302018; ⁴EIAR, Bako National Maize Research Centre, P.O. Box 2003, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia E-mail: gdemissie2009@gmail.com

Abstract

Twelve maize varieties of different years of release were grown at Bako in a plot size of 15.3 m² using the RCBD design under rain fed condition at Bako. The objectives were to assess the degree of variation of maize genotypes for stalk lodging resistance and to estimate correlation among stalk lodging, grain yield, and yield related traits. There were significant differences among genotypes for stalk lodging (P < 0.01). Even though there were significant difference (P < 0.01) for grain yield among genotypes most of the genotypes performed below their potential, except BH-541 due to the late onset of rainfall and terminal drought stress, which disfavours the full expression of genetic potential. A relatively high value of phenotypic coefficients of variation was observed for stalk water content, grain moister content, ear height, and stalk lodging percentage. The correlation values though high, were non-significant for most of genotypes due to the small number of experimental material tested. The dependent characters yield and stalk lodging correlated negatively at phenotypic and environmental level. Both grain yield and stalk lodging showed positive direct effect with grain moisture content (1.3817, 1.7844), ear height (6.9566, 8.9841), major stalk diameter (0.4912, 0.6344), minor stalk diameter (0.0912, 0.1178), ear length (0.4152, 0.5362) and stalk water content (0.4386, 0.5664) at phenotypic level. Correlations of grain yield with major stalk diameter (rp=0.7056) and with ear length (rp=0.5999) was positive and in a desirable direction, while Correlations of stalk lodging with major stalk diameter (rp=-0.3713) and with ear length (rp=-0.4332) was negative and in a desirable direction, so improving these traits directly improved grain yield and decrease stalk lodging but restricted simultaneous selection of other indirect traits would be important especially when we practice direct selection through major stalk diameter and ear length; hence, the positive contributor traits grain moister content, ear height, minor stalk diameter, stalk water content ignored while plant height, ear height to plant height ratio should be considered. Among the genotypes, BH-541 was found to be ideal since it has the highest values for both major stalk diameter and ear length.

Keywords: Maize, Stalk lodging, Correlation, Path analysis, Yield

Introduction

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in terms of production and productivity in Ethiopia. It stands first in total production and productivity and. second in area coverage (20.27%) next to tef [Eragrostis tef (Zucc) Trotter] (30.66%) of all cereal crops cultivated in Ethiopia (Mosisa et al., 2012; FAOSTAT, 2015). Ethiopia currently produces more maize than any other crop (Abate et al., 2015; FAOSTAT, 2015). It grows on 2.1 million hectares of land with total production of 7.2 million tonnes annually with the national average productivity of 3.42 tonnes per hectare (CSA, 2015). Increased yields are in part due to improved agronomic practices and increased inputs, but increased yields could not have been realized without genetic improvements (Abate et al., 2015). However, the current national productivity average per hectare (3.42 tons ha-1) (FAOSTAT, 2015), is considerably low as compared to developed countries.

Tesfa *et al.*, 2004, summarized the predominant abiotic stress; drought, nutrient deficiencies and lodging are the major abiotic constraints that limit maize productivity in Ethiopia. The complex interrelationships of several factors affecting stalk lodging include stalk rot, other diseases, yield level, cultural practices, maturity, weather, nutrient balance and insects. These

factors have made the stalk-lodging problem an endless task to the maize breeders (Zuber and Loesch, 1962). High vielding cultivars, increased plant densities, and improvement in soil fertility are generally accepted to have also contributed to increased stalk lodging (Sherry et al., 2003). Direct selection for stalk lodging resistance, while sometimes effective (Thompson, 1982; Albrecht and Dudley, 1987), can be unreliable because of dependency on environmental conditions that allow differentiation among genotypes. Stalk strength, which has high heritability and is controlled by additive gene action, has been proposed as an indirect means of selection because it is correlated with stalk resistance (Thompson, 1963; Sleper and Russell, 1970; Arnoled and Josephson, 1975).

Direct selection for yield is often deceptive as it is highly influenced by fluctuating environmental components (Talebi et al., 2007). The maize yield character is influenced by several genes which also interact with various environmental conditions (Bocanski et al., 2009). This is because a genotype could be selected based on the phenotype given that the environmental effect is separated from the total variability (Bello et al., 2012). The phenotypic variance explicates the variance among phenotypes total tested in different environments of interest to the plant breeder while the total genotypic variance explains the of phenotypic variance portion

attributable to the failure of homogeneity among genotypes in different environments (Sujiprihati et al., 2003).

Correlation studies provide better understanding of yield component which helps the plant breeder during selection (Robinson et al., 1951 and Johnson et al., 1955). The coefficient of correlations helps to measure the level of relationships between the traits and establish the level at which these traits are mutually different (Bocanski et al., 2009; Nagabhushan et al., 2011). By utilizing genetic correlations between traits, secondary traits can be used to improve primary ones that have low heritability or are difficult to measure (Malosetti et al., 2008). The correlations also give reliable and useful information on nature. extent and direction of selection (Zeeshan et al., 2013). The correlation coefficient analysis is useful in the selection of several traits simultaneously influencing vield (Menkir, 2008). appropriate The knowledge of such interrelationships between grain vield and its contributing components can significantly improve the efficiency of breeding programmes using selection indices appropriate (Mohammadia et al., 2003).

In Ethiopia, the information on lodging and related traits is lacking; hence, detailed knowledge of association of lodging with other traits is important in a maize improvement program, especially to set up selection

criterion for lodging resistance without affecting the grain yield. Hence, the present study was initiated with the objectives to assess the degree of variation among maize genotypes for stalk lodging resistance and to correlation estimate among stalk lodging, grain yield and yield related traits. The knowledge obtained will be useful for developing novel breeding strategies to enhance maize resistance to stalk lodging thereby improving crop yield.

Materials and Methods

Description of Experimental Site

This study was conducted at Bako Agricultural Research Center located in East Wollega Zone of the Oromia Regional State, western Ethiopia at an altitude of 1650 meters above sea level (m.a.s.l). Bako lies at $9^{\circ}6'$ north latitude and 37⁰09' east longitude in the sub-humid ecology of the country 260km west of Addis Ababa. Average annual rainfall at this location is 1237mm. The rainy season covers April to October and maximum rain is received in the months of July to August. The mean minimum, mean maximum and average air temperatures are 13.3° c, 27.9° c and 20.6° c, respectively. The mean soil temperature at 0, 5, 10, 20, 50, and depths are 25.4° c. 25.3° c. 100cm 23.8° c, 23.4° c, 23.2° c and 24.1° c, respectively. Sixty percent of the soil (1400 ha), in Bako Research center is

reddish brown in color and clay and loam in texture (Wakene, 2001). According to USDA soil classification. the soil is alfisols developed from basaltic parent materials, and is deeply weathered, acidic and slightly in reaction (Wakene, 2001).

Experimental Design, and Management

The experiment was arranged in randomized complete block design with 3 replications. The planting was made following the onset of rain. Each plot consisted of 4 rows, each 5.1m long and spaced 0.75m apart. A plot size was 15.3 m^2 . The spacing between plants was 0.3 m, Fertilizers were applied at the rate of 69/92 Kg/ha P₂ O

5 / N i.e 150 kg/ha DAP and 150 kg/ha urea. Nitrogen was applied in split (half at planting and the rest 37 days after emergence). In order to ensure a healthy crop, agronomic practices including weeding, hoeing, field pest control and other practices were done as per research recommendation. The central two rows were used for data collection.

Experimental Materials

The experimental materials for this study consisted of 12 released maize varieties obtained from Bako Agricultural Research Centre and Alemaya University of which seven of them were hybrids and the rest were composites. Detailed description of the 12 varieties is given in Table 1. Table 1 Description of Experimental Materials

Туре	Variety	Year of	Altitude	Rain fall (cm)	Plant Height	Days to	Ear	Seed	Yield(k	(g/ha)	Gray	Turcicum	Common
		release	(msl)		(cm)	maturity	placement (cm)	color	on station	on farm	Leaf Spot	Leaf Blight	Leaf Rust
Hybrid	BH-140	1996	1000-1800	1000-1200	240-255	145	105-120	White	80-90	47-60	MT	MT	MT
	BH-530	1988	1000-1800	1000-1200	200-230	140	110-120	White	80-90	50-60	MT	MT	MT
	BH 540	1995	1000-2000	1000-1200	230-260	145	110-120	White	80-100	50-65	MT	MT	MT
	BH 541	2001	1000-1300	1000-1200	245-260	135-145	130-140	White	80	60-70	MR	MR	MR
	BHQP 542	2001	1000-1300	1000-1200	220-250	135 -145	100-120	White	80	60-70	MR	MR	MR
	BH 660	1993	1600-2200	1000-1500	255-290	160	145-165	White	90-120	60-80	Т	Т	Т
	BH-670	2001	1700-2400	1000-1500	260-295	165	150-160	White	91-120				
Composite	Alemaya composite	1975	1600-2200	1000-1200	280-300	163	160-190	White	50-70	38-42	Т	Т	Т
	Gambela composite	2001	500-1000	1000-1200	210-230	110	120-140	White	60-70	40-45	MT	Т	Т
	Gibe composite1	2000	Low to mid altitude	1000-1700	240-260	145	130-140	White	60-70	40-45	MT	Т	Т
	Kuleni	1995	1700-2200	1000-1200	240-265	150	130-145	White	60-70	40-45	Т	Т	Т
	Rare-1	1997	1600-2200	900-1200	250-270	163	130-150	White	60-80	40-45	Т	Т	Т

Source: (Mosisa *et al.*, 2002), T=Tolerant MT= Moderately Tolerant MR= Moderately Resistant (Roelfs *et al.*, 1992)

Data collection

Data were collected on: Plant height (cm), Ear height (cm), Ear height to plant height ratio, Internode length, Major stalk diameter (cm). Minor stalk diameter (cm), Ear length (cm), Ear diameter (cm),Stalk Lodging, Number of ears per plant, Stalk water content, Grain moisture content, Grain yield, Weather data and Disease record.

Statistical Analysis Analysis of variance

The data for stalk lodging, rust, gray leaf spot and blight were transformed with the arc sin of the percentage data. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for RCBD was conducted as suggested Gomez by and Gomez (1984). Regarding ANOVA models. all dependent variables and genotype were fixed effects while replications were considered random effect. Phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) was calculated according to

Burton and Devane (1953) as:

PCV =
$$\frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2}p}{\overline{x}} X100$$
, Where $\sigma^2 p$ is

the phenotypic standard devotion and \overline{X} is the grand mean.

Correlation coefficient

The analysis of phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlations was computed using Spar software. The phenotypic and genotypic variation and coefficient of variation were calculated following the formulae suggested by Singh and Chaundhary (1979). Phenotypic, genotypic and environmental variances were computed from the respective mean squares as indicated below. Correlation coefficients between the parameters were calculated from the variance and covariance components using the formula;

Where:

r(x, y) is the phenotypic correlation between variables x and y.

Cov (x, y) is the genotypic or phenotypic covariances between the two variables, which are expressed by the formulae

where: -gxy -g

 σ_x^2 is the phenotypic variance of the variable x, and σ_y^2 is the phenotypic variance of the variable y.

Path coefficient analysis

The analysis for path coefficient was done using Indostate software. Path coefficient analysis was carried out using phenotypic correlation values to determine the direct and indirect effects of the yield components and other morphological characters on seed yield per plot using the general formula of Dewey and Lu (1959).

$$\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{P}_{ij} + \sum \mathbf{r}_{ik} \mathbf{P}_{jk}$$
:

Where:

 r_{ij} is the mutual association between the independent character (i) and dependent character (j);

 P_{ij} is the direct effect of independent character (i) on the dependent variable (j); and

 $\sum r_{ik}P_{jk}$ is summation of components of indirect effects of a given independent

character (i) on a given dependent character (j) via other independent characters (k).

To determine P_{ii} values, square matrices of phenotypic correlation coefficients between independent characters in all possible pairs were inverted and then multiplied by the correlation coefficient between the independent and dependent characters following complete descriptions of the method in Singh and Chaudhary (1979). Residual effects were estimated using the formula:

$$\vec{F}_{R} \rightarrow \vec{F}_{R,i}$$

Where, P_{R11}^2 is the residual effect and $P_{yi}r_{yi}$ is the product of direct effect of any variable and its correlation coefficient with the dependant character.

Results and Discussions

Analysis of variance

The results of analysis of variance for yield and yield related traits are presented in Table Highly 2. significant variations (p < 0.01) were observed among genotypes for all except for stalk lodging, traits internodes length, plant height and rust where the difference were significant at (p<0.05). Non-significant variations were observed among the genotypes for number of ears per plant, gray leaf and blight. The significant spot differences recorded for the different traits among the genotypes studied implied that the maize genotypes included in this study had diverse genetic backgrounds. Our finding is in line with previous studies by vashistha et al., 2013 and Reddy et al., 2012.

Characters	Mean squares								
	Replication (df=2)	Genotypes (df=11)	Error (df=22)						
Plant height	5546.528**	1373.422*	461.679						
Ear height	3325.69**	1368.876**	257.513						
Ear height to plant height ratio	0.006*	0.007**	0.001						
Internod length	10.33**	6.311*	2.394						
Major stalk diameter	0.069	0.087**	0.024						
Minor stalk diameter	0.003	0.038**	0.007						
Ear length	16.675**	5.879**	1.284						
Ear diameter	0.098	0.105**	0.032						
Stalk lodging	17.400	36.65*	16.120						
Number of ears per plant	0.015	0.084 ^{ns}	0.054						
Stalk water content	252.127	4501.74**	212.192						
Grain moisture content	1.890	21.82**	3.080						
Adjusted yield	508.126**	464.303**	73.970						
Leaf Blight	2.8 ^{ns}	12.35 ^{ns}	6.8						
Leaf Rust	25.9*	17.1*	5.7						
Gray leaf spot	216.5**	16.8 ^{ns}	16.1						

Table 2. Mean squares of yield and yield related traits.

** Significant at 0.01 level of probability, * significant at 0.05 level of probability, ns non-sign.

Mean value of the 17 characters were computed and presented in Table 3. Grain yield ranged from 32.49 qt/ha for Alemaya Composite to 80.59 qt/ha for BH-541. Plant height ranged from 206.67 cm for **BHOP-542** and Gambela Composite to 271.67 cm for Alemaya Composite, while the highest ear height of 168.3 cm was recorded for BH-670 and the lowest 98.3 cm for BHQP-542. The ratio of ear height to plant height ranged from 0.47 for BHOP 542 to 0.58 for Alemava composite. highest internode The length was recorded for Alemaya Composite and BH-541 20 and 20.3 cm respectively while the lowest 15.62 cm was recorded for BH-140. BH-541 has the highest major stalk diameter, (2.52 cm) and has one of highest minor stack diameter (1.97 cm) even though the highest minor stalk diameter was (2.18) for Kuleni. A wide variation was observed for ear length, which ranged from 12.54 for Gambela Composite to 17.44 cm for BH-541. BH-541 has also the highest ear diameter. Wide variations among the genotypes were observed for stalk water content, the highest was recorded for Gibe Composite (144.8 g/300g) and the lowest (43.85 g/300g) for BH-140.

The percentage of stalk lodging is greater than root lodging. The highest stalk lodging was recorded for BH-660 and Alemaya composite due to their long height and the lowest was recorded for BHQP-542. The highest root lodging was recorded for BH-540 and no root lodging were recorded for BHOP-542 perhaps due to the shortest plant height and ear height making it the least susceptible among the genotypes tested. Relatively high stalk lodging percentage was recorded for BH-660, Alemaya composite, Rara-1, BH-540, BH-670 Kuleni, Gambela composite, Gibe composite in their decreasing order. High stalk lodging percentage coupled with late onset and terminal drought stress contributed for the low yield of the genotypes. The disease intensity was low for the three diseases, namely, gray leaf spot, blight, and rust during 2003 growing season (Table 3). All genotypes had disease score of 3 or lower, which can be categorized as resistant to moderately resistant. The low disease incidence might be due to short rainy season, the resultant dry condition being not favourable for the development of pathogens.

Table 3. Mean values of the studied characters

Genotype	Plant height	Ear height	Plant height to	Internodes	Major stalk	Minor stalk diameter		Earle			
	(cm)	(cm)	Ear height	length (cm)	diameter (cm)	(cm)			,		
			ratio								
BH-660	266.67AB	153.30ABC	0.57BC	17.30BC	2.12BCD	1.84BC			15.59AB		
BH-670	260.00ABC	168.30A	0.57A	16.00C	2.28ABC	1.98B			15.80AB		
BH-540	220.00CD	108.30DE	0.49EF	18.00ABC	2.13BCD	1.90B			14.47BCD		
BH-541	241.67ABCD	138.30BCD	0.57BC	20.30A	2.52A	1.97B			17.44A		
BHQP-542	206.67D	98.30E	0.47F	16.67C	1.93D	1.70C			13.12CD		
BH-530	238.30ABCD	121.67DE	0.51DEF	17.00C	2.25ABC	1.96B			16.31AB		
BH-140	223.30CD	121.67DE	0.54BCDE	15.67C	2.21BCD	1.93B			16.48AB		
Kuleni	231.67ABCD	131.67BCD	0.57BCD	16.67C	2.40AB	2.18A			14.83BC		
Gibe Composite-1	230.00BCD	125.00CDE	0.54BCDE	17.30BC	2.26ABC	1.85B			15.05BC		
Gambela Composite	206.67D	108.30DE	0.52CDEF	16.30C	1.94D	1.89B			12.54D		
Alemaya Composite	271.67A	158.30AB	0.58B	20.00AB	2.15BCD	1.92B			15.83AB		
Rare-1	231.67ABCD	125.00CDE	0.53BCDE	17.67ABC	2.09CD	1.99B					
Grand mean	235.69	129.86	0.55	17.40	2.19	1.93B					
CV%	9.12	12.36	6.93	8.88	7.07	4.34					
LSD (0.05)	36.38	27.17	0.06	2.62	0.26	0.14			1.92		
BH-660	4.50ABC	22.30A	0.88	134.30A	19.26A	50.43BC	37.14	39.2	43.1B		
BH-670	4.43BC	16.67AB	0.84	77.00B	17.73A	50.71BC	37.14	43.1	43.1B		
BH-540	4.70AD	16.90AB	1.00	125.07A	14.33BC	58.81B	39.15	39.2	43.1B		
BH-541	4.79A	8.83BC	1.16	138.07A	16.86AB	80.59A	39.14	39.2	41.2BC		
BHQP-542	4.59AB	6.87C	1.00	49.10D	10.56E	47.74BCD	37.14	43.1	45AB		
BH-530	4.42BC	9.60BC	1.46	80.63B	12.30CD	59.77B	41.1	43.1	48.8A		
BH-140	4.50ABC	10.80BC	0.98	43.85D	12.30CD	52.94B	37.23	41.2	46.9AB		
Kuleni	4.49ABC	15.67AB	1.02	51.00CD	15.36ABC	54.90B	43.1	43.1	43.1B		
Gibe composite-1	4.79A	14.70B	0.86	144.80A	14.10BC	46.60BCD	37.14	41.2	45AB		
Gambela composite	4.49ABC	14.70B	1.07	75.50BC	12.56CD	35.50CD	43.1	45	48.8A		
Alemaya composite	4.79A	20.83A	0.91	136.70A	16.73AB	32.49D	41.1	39.2	45AB		
Rare-1	4.18C	18.50AB	0.98	117.47A	11.96D	43.34BCD	37.25	37.25 43.1			
Grand mean	4.56	18.53	1.01	97.79	14.50	51.16	39.13	41.64	44.8		
CV%	3.91	18.60	22.86	14.90	12.10	16.81	10.26	6.27	5.3		
LSD (0.05)	0.30	5.81	0.39	24.67	2.97	14.56	6.79	4.42	4.1		

NB: CV%, LSD, gray leaf spot (%), blight (%), rust (%) are transformed values

Association among morphological characters

Phenotypic correlations

The correlation values though higher were non-significant for most of the trait combinations due to the size of material tested (Table 4). Grain yield manifested significant strong positive association with major stalk diameter (r=0.7056), ear length, (r=0.5991) but it showed a non-significant medium negative association with stalk lodging (r=-0.4995). A strong and negative correlation was observed between stem lodging and grain yield (Nzuve etal, 2014). Similar results have been reported in other studies (Djordjevic and Ivanovic, 1996). It also showed non-significant and positive association with internodes length diameter (r=0.2583). minor stalk (r=0.2402), ear diameter (0.1918) and weak and positive association with ear height to plant height ratio (r=0.0226) and stalk water content (0.0717) at phenotypic level. Whereas significant positive correlation of major and minor stalk diameter with grain yield results have been reported in other studies (Djordjevic and Ivanovic, 1996). According to Mandefro (1999), grain yield significantly associated with number of kernels per row, ear height, plant height, days to tasselling, silking and maturity. According to Blum (1988), significant strong and positive association between grain yield and major stalk diameter, grain yield and ear length provides an opportunity to improve grain yield simultaneously with major stalk diameter and ear length. Yield related traits, which have strong association with yield have been emphasized as a selection criterion to increase grain yield in crops.

Lodging Stalk manifested nonsignificant positive and medium association with grain moisture content (r=0.3477), but negative and medium association with major stalk diameter (r=-0.3713), ear length (r=-0.4332), ear diameter (r=-0.3304). It showed a non -significant weak positive association with plant height (r=0.1794), ear height (r=0.2161), ear height to plant height ratio (r=0.189) and stalk water content (r=0.2648) but it showed a non-significant negative and weak association with minor stalk diameter (r=0.0749) at phenotypic level. Similarly, it was reported that significant phenotypic associations was not observed between stalk lodging and other morphological plant traits namely plant height, ear height, stalk inter nod length, major and minor stalk diameter (Diordievic and 1996) Inconsistent Ivanovic relationship between stalk lodging and plant height was also reported for r values ranged from (-0.02 to -0.32) (Albrecht and Dudley 1987). The lack of association indicated that selection for morphological traits alone would not improve stalk quality; concluded that such findings, however, allow us to use morphological traits in breeding for adaptability to high plant density and intensive use of N fertilizers without significant changes in stalk

lodging resistance (Djordjevic and Ivanovic, 1996).

Grain moisture showed a strong significant positive association with plant height (r=0.8255) ear height (r=0.8537) and ear height to plant height ratio (r=0.7744). There was a negative and significant correlation between moisture content and ear and plant height, grain yield and field weight (Nzuve et al, 2014). Plant height showed a strong significant positive association with ear height (r=0.9417), ear height to plant height According (r=0.763). ratio to Mandefro (1999) plant height showed strong association with ear height, number of kernels per row and grain yield. Yield also showed a significant medium positive association with ear length (r=0.6182. Ear height showed significant positive and strong association with ear height to plant height ratio (r=0.9339), However, it showed significant medium and positive association with ear length (r=0.5809) so we can improve simultaneously ear height, ear height to plant height ratio and ear length. Internodes length showed a significant strong positive association with stalk water content (r=0.7421). Major stalk diameter manifested a significant strong positive association with ear length (r=0.788) and it showed a significant medium positive association with minor stalk diameter (r=0.6502) so we can improve major stalk diameter, ear length and minor stalk diameter simultaneously. An increase in those traits which show positive and significant correlations

could lead to enhanced grain yield (Akinwale et al., 2011). Similar relationships have been reported in previous studies (Kabdal et al., 2003; Shakoor et al., 2007). On the other hand, low phenotypic correlation coefficients could arise due to the modifying effect of environment on the association character at genetic level (Alake et al., 2008).

Environmental correlations

Environmental correlations indicate the magnitude of environmental effects on a pair of traits. Direct selection for yield is often deceptive as it is highly influenced by fluctuating environmental components (Talebi et al., 2007). The maize yield character is influenced by several genes which also interact with various environmental conditions (Bocanski et al., 2009). Grain yield manifested strong positive and significant association with plant height (r=0.5578)and ear height(r=0.4565) this indicates that any environment, which is favourable for grain vield, is also favourable for plant height and ear height. It showed non-significant positive association with ear height to plant height ratio, internod length, major and minor stalk diameter and ear length, however, it showed low negative association with stalk water content, stalk lodging percentage at environmental level (Table 5).

Stalk lodging percentage manifested a non-significant positive association with minor stalk diameter, ear length, and internode length but nonsignificant negative association with plant height, major stalk diameter and ear diameter at environmental level, such non-significant negative environmental correlations indicating that there was no environmental elements which favours stalk lodging and disfavours the other trait.

Plant height showed significant strong positive association with ear height (r= and node length 0.8649) inter (r=0.5515) such significant positive environmental correlations indicating environment which that any is favourable for plant height is also favourable for ear height and inter node length. Ear height showed significant positive association with ear height to plant height ratio (r=0.6885) and with inter node length

(r=0.4912) such significant positive environmental correlations indicating that anv environment which is favourable Ear height is also favourable for ear height to plant height ratio. Minor stalk diameter showed strong significant positive association with stalk lodging percentage at environmental level such significant positive environmental correlations indicating that anv environment which is favourable Minor stalk diameter is also favourable for stalk lodging. Low phenotypic correlation coefficients could arise due to the modifying effect of environment on the association character at genetic level (Alake et al., 2008).

 Table 4. The estimates of phenotypic correlation coefficients among 12 traits

Character	М%	Ayld	PH	EH	EH:PH	IL	MSD	msd	EL	ED	SWC	SL
Grain Moisture Content (M%)		0.1829	0.8255**	0.8537**	0.7744**	0.3755	0.4585	0.2491	0.4937	0.2854	0.4928	0.3477
Adjusted yield (Ayld)			-0.0182	-0.019	0.0226	0.2583	0.7056*	0.2402	0.5991*	0.1918	0.0717	-0.4945
Plant height (PH)				0.9417**	0.763**	0.4113	0.3729	0.2265	0.6182**	0.0993	0.4783	0.1794
Ear height (EH)					0.9339**	0.2694	0.448	0.3391	0.5809*	0.0397	0.3414	0.2161
Ear height to plant height ratio (EH:PH)						0.1115	0.5347	0.4598	0.5205	-0.0024	0.1687	0.189
Internode length (IL)							0.3388	0.0315	0.3889	0.5675	0.7421**	-0.1986
Major stalk diameter (MSD)								0.6592**	0.788**	0.255	0.1738	-0.3713
Minor stalk diameter (msd)									0.3383	-0.2895	-0.132	0.0749
Ear length (EL)										0.2256	0.2755	-0.4332
Ear diameter (ED)											0.4387	-0.3304
Stalk water content (SWC)												0.2648
Stalk lodging (SL)												

*, **- Significant at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Character	M%	Ayld	PH	EH	EH:PH	IL	MSD	msd	EL	ED	SWC	SL
Grain Moisture Content (M%)		-0.1118	0.1595	0.0148	-0.114	0.1947	0.008	0.0364	0.0605	-0.0477	0.3451	-0.1937
Adjusted yield (Ayld)			0.5578**	0.4565*	0.1429	0.2254	0.1654	0.1959	0.2434	0.0034	-0.0257	-0.0891
Plant height (PH)				0.8649**	0.2536	0.5515**	0.0509	-0.1166	-0.1356	0.1901	0.2006	-0.2099
Ear height (EH)					0.6885**	0.4912*	0.1042	-0.0147	-0.1713	0.1635	0.1929	-0.0661
Ear height to plant height ratio (EH:PH)						0.0425	0.2055	0.1812	-0.169	0.0373	0.1624	0.0169
Internode length (IL)							-0.0822	-0.1575	0.3557	-0.055	-0.0705	0.2345
Major stalk diameter (MSD)								0.3793	0.1942	0.1423	0.0544	-0.1906
Minor stalk diameter (msd)									0.3103	0.0056	0.1048	0.4487*
Ear length (EL)										-0.1457	-0.3832	0.3159
Ear diameter (ED)											0.3291	-0.1192
Stalk water content (SWC)												-0.379
Stalk lodging (SL)												

Table 5. The estimates of environmental correlation coefficients among 12 traits

Key: *, **= Significant at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Path Analysis

It is necessary to analyze the cause and effect relationship between dependent and independent variables to entangle the nature of relationship between the variables (Sidramappa et al., 2008). Path coefficient analysis (Dewey and Lu, 1959) was therefore used to elicit the nature of relationship of dependent variable (yield) with closely associated independent variables.

Phenotypic path analysis for yield

The phenotypic direct (bold) and indirect effects of different traits on grain yield per hectare are presented in Table 6. Ear height exerted the highest positive direct effect and negative association with grain yield due to its negative indirect effect through plant height, ear height to plant height ratio and stalk lodging. Under such restricted circumstances. а simultaneous selection model is to be followed, i.e. restrictions are to be imposed to nullify the undesirable indirect effects to make use of the direct effect i.e. traits. which contribute positively to the direct effect, should be considered (Singh and Kakar 1977). In the present case grain moister, major stalk diameter, ear length and stalk water content contributed positively so we must consider these traits when we use ear height for direct selection.

Grain moisture content exerted the second highest positive direct effect next to ear height, positive association with grain yield. This is due to its

negative indirect effects through plant height, ear height to plant height ratio stalk lodging. Under and such circumstances. restricted а simultaneous selection model is to be followed (Singh and Kakar 1977), i.e. restrictions are to be imposed to nullify the undesirable indirect effects to make use of the direct effect *i.e.* traits, which contribute positively to the direct effect, should be considered. Plant height exerted the highest negative direct effect on grain yield (-0.018). It is because its high negative direct effect was cancelled by positive indirect effect through grain moister content, major stalk diameter, ear height, and ear length and stalk water content. Trait with high negative direct effect and negative or negligible association with the economic yield grain yield should such as be deselected when selection is for high yield (Singh and Chaudhary 1977).

Ear height to plant height ratio exerted the highest negative direct effect on grain yield next to plant height but this negligible trait had rather no association (0.023) with yield. It is because its high negative direct effect was cancelled by positive indirect effect through grain moister content, ear height, major stalk diameter, ear height, and ear length and stalk water Trait with high negative direct effect and negative or negligible association with the economic yield such as grain vield should be deselected when selection is for high yield (Singh and Chaudhary 1977).

Inter node length exerted the negative direct effect and positive association with grain yield due to positive indirect effect through grain moister, major stalk diameter, ear height, ear length, stalk water content and stalk lodging. In this case, indirect factors are to be considered for selection. Major stalk diameter and Ear length exerted positive direct effect and equal positive significant almost association with grain vield. It is because the balanced negative and positive indirect effect of all traits. Direct selection through this trait will be effective.

Stalk water content exerted positive direct effect and weak non-significant positive association with grain yield. This is due to the negative indirect effect via plant height, ear height to plant height ratio, inter node length and ear diameter. Under such restricted circumstances. а simultaneous selection model is to be followed, i.e. restrictions are to be imposed to nullify the undesirable indirect effects to make use of the direct effect (Singh and Kakar 1977)

i.e. traits, which contribute positively to the direct effect. should be reported considered. It was the undesirable effect of stalk water content on grain yield (-0.751) indicating that the selection for high stalk water content to improve stalk lodging resistance could reduce grain yield (Djordjevic and Ivanovic 1996). This agrees with the statement that significant improvements in stalk quality may lead to competition and ear for between the stalk photosynthate (Beak et al., 1988), which could contribute to grain yield reduction.

Ear diameter exert medium negative direct effect and positive association with grain yield due to its positive indirect effect through grain moister content, major stalk diameter, ear height, stalk water content and stalk lodging. So, considering indirect characters for selection are important. The residual effect was almost zero $(R^2 = 0.0006)$ indicating that all the traits supposed to influence grain yield per hectare are included in the analysis.

	M%	PH	EH	EH:PH	IL	MSD	msd	EL	ED	SWC	SL	rp
Grain moisture content (M %)	1.382	-4.029	5.939	-3.294 -0	0.054	0.225	0.023	0.205	-0.161	0.216	-0.269	0.183
Plant height (PH)	1.141	-4.881	6.551	-3.245 -0	0.059	0.183	0.021	0.257	-0.056	0.21	-0.139	-0.018
Ear height (EH)	1.18	-4.597	6.957	-3.972 -0	0.038	0.22	0.031	0.241	-0.022	0.15	-0.167	-0.019
Ear height to plant height ratio (EH: PH)	1.07	-3.724	6.497	-4.254 -0	0.016	0.263	0.042	0.216	0.001	0.074	-0.146	0.023
Inter nod length (IL)	0.519	-2.008	1.874	-0.474 -0	0.143	0.166	0.003	0.162	-0.32	0.326	0.154	0.258
Major stalk diameter (MSD)	0.634	-1.82	3.117	-2.274 -0	0.048	0.491	0.06	0.327	-0.144	0.076	0.288	0.706*
Minor stalk diameter (msd)	0.344	-1.106	2.359	-1.956 -0	0.005	0.324	0.091	0.141	0.164	-0.058	-0.058	0.24
Ear length (EL)	0.682	-3.017	4.041	-2.214 -0	0.056	0.387	0.031	0.415	-0.127	0.121	0.335	0.599*
Ear diameter (ED)	0.394	-0.485	0.276	0.01 -0	0.081	0.125	-0.026	0.094	-0.564	0.192	0.256	0.192
Stalk water content (SWC)	0.681	-2.335	2.375	-0.718 -0	0.106	0.085	-0.012	0.114	-0.247	0.439	-0.205	0.072
Stalk lodging (SL)	0.48	-0.876	1.503	-0.804 0	0.028	-0.182	0.007	-0.18	0.186	0.116	-0.774	-0.495

 Table 6. Phenotypic path analysis of the direct (bold) and indirect effects of eleven characters on grain yield

R²=0.0007, rp- phenotypic correlation

Phenotypic path analysis for stalk lodging

The phenotypic direct (bold) and indirect effects of different traits on stalk lodging percentage are presented in Table 7. Ear height and grain moisture content exerted the first and the second highest positive direct effect. Both the traits did not possess significant positive association with stalk lodging percentage. This was due to their negative indirect effect via plant height, ear height to plant height ratio, inter node length and ear diameter. Therefore, direct selection of these characters is advantageous. According to Singh and Choudhary (1977) direct selection through these traits will be effective to increase stalk lodging, but it is in the undesirable direction. Therefore, selection against these traits might be effective.

Plant height and ear height to plant height ratio exerted the first and second highest negative direct effect and positive association with stalk lodging percentage respectively due to their positive indirect effect with grain moister content, ear height, major and minor stalk diameter, ear length and stalk water content. According to Singh and Choudhary (1977) indirect characters seems to be the cause of correlation that is in the undesirable direction in increasing stalk lodging, so to improve stalk lodging we must consider plant height and ear height to plant height ratio.

Major stalk diameter and ear length exerted medium positive direct effect and negative association with stalk lodging percentage due to their

negative indirect effect through plant height, ear height to plant height ratio, inter node length and grain yield. Under such circumstances, a restricted simultaneous selection model is to be followed (Singh and Kakar 1977), i.e. restrictions are to be imposed to nullify the undesirable indirect effects to make use of the direct effect, so in this study we should not consider in our selection ear height, minor stalk diameter, grain moister content and stalk water content because all contribute in positive direction which results in the stalk lodging increase which is undesirable.

Minor stalk diameter and stalk water content exerted low to medium positive direct effect and positive association with stalk lodging percentage due to their negative indirect effect through plant height, ear height to plant height ratio, inter node length and grain yield. Direct selection through these traits will be effective but it is in the undesirable direction (Singh and Chaudhary 1977) i.e. improving these traits will increase lodging so in our case to improve lodging we should not consider this trait. In the contrary it was reported that path analysis has negative direct effect of stalk water content with stalk lodging (Djordjevic and Ivanovic 1996). Stalk water content, could be an indicator for stay-green. Stay green is characterized increased bv photosynthetic activity in older vegetative growth, increased resistance to diseases and pests, lower percentage lodging. of plant and increased

tolerance to drought (Howared and Smart, 1993). Duvick (1984) showed improved stay-green could that provide an alternative strategy for improving stalk quality and increasing grain yield without changing maturity, plant height, or leaf area index. Staygreen type plants are usually more disease resistant and have less stalk rot and lodging (Berzonsky and Hawk 1986). Usually as soluble stalk solids increase, stalk lodging decrease due to a lower incidence of pith cell death (Craig and Hooker, 1961).

Grain yield exerted the third highest negative direct effect and negative association with stalk lodging percentage due to its positive indirect effect through grain moister, plant height, major and minor stalk diameter, ear length and stalk water content. Singh and Chaudhary (1977) reported that trait with high negative direct effect and negative association with the economic yield such as grain yield should be deselected when selection is for high yield. In this study the important character is stalk lodging which we want to decrease so direct selection of direct effect i.e. grain yield is advantageous to decrease lodging.

Internodes length and ear diameter exerted low to high negative direct effect and negative association with stalk lodging percentage due to their positive indirect effect through grain moister, ear height, major and minor stalk diameter, ear length and stalk water content. Singh and Chaudhary (1977) reported that trait with high negative direct effect and negative association with the economic yield should be such as grain vield deselected when selection is for high In this study the important vield. character is lodging which we want to decrease so direct selection of inter nod length and ear diameter is advantageous. Similarly, Djordjevic and Ivanovic (1996) reported that subsequent path coefficient analysis showed that no single morphological trait (plant and ear height, stalk inter nod length, major and minor stalk diameter) was significantly associated with stalk lodging. The residual effect is negligible ($R^2=0.0007$) as in the case of phenotypic path coefficient analysis indicating that all the traits supposed to influence stalk lodging percentage were considered in the analysis.

	M%	Ayld	PH	EH	EH:PH	IL	MSD	msd	EL	ED	SWC	rp
Grain moisture content (M%)	1.784	-0.236	-5.204	7.67	-4.254	-0.069	0.291	0.029	0.265	-0.208	0.279	0.348
Adjusted yield (Ayld)	0.326	-1.291	0.115	-0.17	-0.124	-0.048	0.448	0.028	0.321	-0.14	0.041	-0.495
Plant height (PH)	1.473	0.024	-6.304	8.46	-4.191	-0.076	0.237	0.027	0.331	-0.072	0.271	0.179
Ear height (EH)	1.523	0.025	-5.936	8.984	-5.13	-0.05	0.284	0.04	0.312	-0.029	0.193	0.216
Ear height to plant height ratio (EH: PH)	1.382	-0.029	-4.81	8.39	-5.493	-0.021	0.339	0.054	0.279	0.002	0.096	0.189
Inter nod length (IL)	0.67	-0.334	-2.593	2.42	-0.612	-0.184	0.215	0.004	0.209	-0.413	0.42	-0.198
Major stalk diameter (MSD)	0.818	-0.911	-2.351	4.025	-2.937	-0.062	0.634	0.078	0.042	0.186	0.098	-0.371
Minor stalk diameter (msd)	0.444	-0.31	-1.428	3.047	-2.526	-0.006	0.418	0.118	0.181	0.211	-0.075	0.075
Ear length (EL)	0.881	-0.774	-3.102	5.219	-2.859	-0.072	-0.5	0.04	0.536	-0.164	0.156	-0.433
Ear diameter (ED)	0.509	-0.248	-0.626	0.357	0.013	-0.105	0.162	-0.034	0.121	-0.729	0.249	-0.33
Stalk water content (SWC)	0.879	-0.093	-3.015	3.067	-0.927	-0.137	0.11	-0.016	0.148	-0.32	0.566	0.265

Table 7. Phenotypic path analysis of the direct (bold) and indirect effects of eleven characters on stalk lodging

R²=0.0006, rp-phenotypic correlation

Conclusion

Both grain yield and stalk lodging showed positive direct effect with grain moisture content, ear height, major stalk diameter, minor stalk diameter, ear length and stalk water content at phenotypic level. Although the direct effect was positive which is undesirable to fulfil our objective; therefore. restricted simultaneous selection of other indirect traits would be important especially when we practice direct selection through major stalk diameter and ear length. Hence, the positive contributor traits grain moister content, ear height, minor stalk diameter, stalk water content ignored while plant height, ear height to plant height ratio should be considered. We should rank the genotypes based on major stalk diameter and ear length. Among the genotypes BH-541 is ideal because it has the highest desirable values for both major stalk diameter and ear length. The other genotypes worth considering are Kuleni, BH-670, BH-530 and Gibe composite since they have relatively high major stalk diameter and ear length as compared to the other genotypes. For further improvement genotypes with below average grain yield and below average stalk lodging resistance should be discarded. Most genotypes gave grain yield below their potential except BH-541. This was due to the late onset of rainfall and terminal drought stress, which disfavoured the full expression of the genetic

potential of the genotypes, the only exceptional case, was BH-541, which might be due to its relatively early maturing genotypes as compared to other genotypes. The same experiment should be repeated across years and locations to get more robust data to effectively select useful attributes for maize breeding.

References

- Abate, T., Shiferaw, B., Menkir, A., Wegary, D., Kebede, Y., Tesfaye, K., Kassie, M., Bogale, G., Tadesse, B. and Keno, T. 2015. Factors that transformed maize productivity in Ethiopia. Food Secur. 7(5):965-981.
- Albrecht, B. and Dudley J.W.1987. Evaluation of four maize populations containing Different proportions of exotic germ plasma. Crop Sci.27: 480-486.
- Ali-Jibour, H, A., Miller, P. A. and Robinson, H. P. 1958. Genetic and environmental variance and covariance in upland cotton cross of inter-specific origin. Agri. J. 50: 633-636.
- Arnold, J.M. and Josephson, L.M. 1975. Inheritance of Stalk Quality Characteristics in Maize Breeding. Univ.Press, Ames,Iowa.
- Bello, O. B., Ige, S. A., Azeez, M. A., Afolabi, M. S., Abdulmaliq, S. Y., and Mahamood, J. 2012. Heritability and Genetic Advance for Grain Yield and its Component Characters in Maize (Zea Mays L.). International of Plant Journal 138-145. Research, 2(5), http://dx.doi.org/10.5923/j.plant.201 20205.01.

- Benti, T. 1988.Genetic improvement in Ethiopia: Strategies and progress made. In: Towards self-sufficiency.
 Proceedings of the second Eastern, Southern and Central Africa regional maize workshop. Harare, Zimbabwe, March15-21, 1987.CIMMYT, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp 47- 60.
- Blum, A. 1988. Plant breeding for stress environment. CRC Press, Florida.
- Bocanski, J., Sreckov, Z., and Nastasic, A. 2009. Genetic and phenotypic relationship between grain yield and components of grain yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Genetika*, 41(2), 145-154.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2298/GENSR09 02145B

- Brozonsky, W.A. and Hawk, J.A. 1986. Agronomic features of two maize synthetics Selected for high and low stalk-crushing strength. Crop Sci.26: 871-875.
- Burton, D.R. and De vore, E. H. 1953. Estimating heritability in tall Fescu (Festuca arundinacea) from replicated clonal material. Agron. J. 45:481-487.
- Craig, J. and Hooker, A.L. 1961. Relation of sugar trends and pith density to Diplodia stalk rot in dent corn. Phytopathology 51: 376-382.
- CSA. 2015. Report on area and production of crops. Agricultural sample survey on private peasant holdings. Central Statistic Authority, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Dewey, D.R., and Lu, K.H. 1959. A correlation and path analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agron.J.51: 515-518.
- Djordjevic. J.J.S., and Ivanovic, R. M. 1996. Genetic analysis for stalk lodging resistance in narrow-base

maize synthetic population ZPS14. Crop.Sci. 36: 909-913.

- Duvick, D.N.1992. Genetic contributions to advances in yield of U.S maize. *Maydica* 37:69-79.
- FAOSTAT. 2015. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistics Division. Rome: FAO.
- Gomez, K. A. and, Gomez, A. A. 1984. Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research. 2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. USA. 680pp.
- Howard, T. and Smart, C.M. 1993. Crops that stay green. Ann. Appl. Biol. 123: 193-219.
- Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. 1955. Genotypic and Phenotypic correlations in soyabeans and their implication in selection. *Agron. J.*, **47** : 477-483.
- Malosetti, M., Ribaut, J., Vargas, M., Crossa, J. and Van Eeuwijk, F. 2008. A multi-trait multienvironment QTL mixed model with an application to drought and nitrogen stress trials in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Euphytica, 161: 241-257. DOI: 10.1007/s10681-007-9594-0.
- Mandefro, N. 1999. Hetrosis, combining ability, and correlation in diallel crosses of drought resistance maize (Zea mays L.) populations at melkassa. M.Sc thesis presented to school of graduate studies of Alemaya university of agriculture, Ethiopia.
- Martin, M.J. and Russell, W.A. 1984. Correlated responses of yield and other agronomic traits to recurrent selection for stalk quality in a maize synthetic. Crop Sci., 24: 746-750. <u>http://crop.scijournals.org/cgi/conten</u> <u>t/abstract/24/4/746</u>.
- Mohammadia, S.A., Prasanna, B.M. and Singh, N.N. 2003. Sequential path model for determine in

interrelationship among grain yield and related characters in maize. *CropSci*, 43: 1690-1697.

- Mosisa, W., Legesse, W., Berhanu, T., Girma, D., Girum, A., Wende, A., Tolera, K., Gezahegn, B., Dagne, W., Solomon, A., Habtamu, Z., Kasa, Y., Temesgen, C., Habte J., Demoz, N. and Getachew, B. 2012. Status and Future Direction of Maize Research and Production in Ethiopia. pp. 17-23. In: Mosisa Worku., Twumasi-Afrivie, S., Wolde, L., Tadesse, B., Demisie G., Bogale, G., Wegary, D. and Prasanna, B.M. (Eds.) 2012. Meeti ng the Challenges of Global Climate Change and Food Security through Innovati ve Maize Research. Proceedings of the Third National Maize Workshop of Ethiopia. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Mosisa, W., Hadji, T., Mandefro, N. and Abera, D. 2002. Maize Production Trends in Ethiopia. In: Mandefro Nigussie, D. Tanner and Twumasi-Afriyie (Eds). Enhancing the Contribution of Maize to Food Security in Ethiopia: Proc. Of the 2nd National Maize Workshop of Ethiopia, 12-16. November, 2001. Addis Ababa. Ethiopia.
- Nagabhushan, N. M., Mallikarjuna, C. H., Shashibhaskar, M. S. and Prahalada, G. D. 2011. Genetic variability and correlation studies for yield and related characters in single cross hybrids of maize (Zea mays L.). *CurrentBiotica*, *5*, 157-163.
- Roelfs, A. P., Singh, R. P. and Saari, E. E. 1992. Rust Diseases of Wheat: Concepts and methods of disease management. Mexico, D.F., CIMMYT.

- Robinson, H. F., Comstock, R. E. and Harvey, P. H. 1951. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation's in wheat and their implications in selection. *Agronomy Journal*, **43** : 282-287.
- Sherry, A. F-G., Jampatongb, C., Darrah, L., and McMullenc, M. D. 2003. Quantitative Trait Locus Analysis Of Stalk Strength In Four Maize Populations. Crop sci. 43: 13-22.
- Sidramappa, S. A., Patil, P., Salimath, M., and Kajjidoni, S. T. 2008. Genetic variation for productivity and its related traits in a recombinant inbred lines population of chickpea. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 21(4): 488-490.
- Singh, R.K and Kakar, S.N. 1977. Control on individual trait means during index selection. Proc. Third Congr. SABRAO (Canberra), 3(d): 22-25.
- Singh, R.K. and, Chaudhary, B.D. 1979. Biometrical Methods In Quantitative Genetic Analysis. Kalyani publisher. New Dehli-ludhiane. 318pp.
- Sleeper, D.A. and Russell, W.A. 1970. Interrelationship among several stalk characters tics in maize and their significance in resistance to natural stalk breakage. Iowa State. J. Sci. 3: 323-329.
- Sujiprihati, S., Saleh, G. B., and Ali, E. S. 2003. Heritability, performance and correlation studies on single cross hybrids of tropical maize. *Asian Journal of Plant Science*, 2(1), 51-57.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ajps.2003. 51.57

Talebi, R. F. F. and Babaeian Jelodar, N.A. 2007. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield components of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under dry land condition in the West of Iran. Asian J. Plant Sci. 6: 1151-1154. DOI: 10.3923/ajps.2007.1151.1154.

- Tesfa, B., Duft, U., Assenga, R.H., Tuaeli. М.. Friesen. D.K.. Kikafunda, J. and Ransom, J.K. 2004. Legume fallows for maizebased systems in Eastern Africa: Contribution of legumes to enhanced maize productivity and reduced nitrogen requirements. In: Friesen, D.K. and Palmer, F.A.E. (Eds.). Integrated approach to higher maize productivity in the new millennium. Proceeding of the 7th Eastern Southern Africa Regional Maize Conference. 5-11 February 2002, CIMMYT/KARI, Nairobi, Kenya. pp. 325-329.
- Thompson, D.L.1963. Stalk strength of corn as measured by crushing strength and Rind thickness. Crop Sci.3: 323-329.
- Thomson, D.L.1982. Grain yield of two synthetics of corn after seven cycles of Selection for lodging resistance. Crop Sci. 22: 1207-1210.

- Wakene Negassa. 2001. Assessment of important physicochemical properties of dystric udalf (dystric Nitosols) under different manegement system in Bako area, Ethiopia. thesis Westeren Α presented to School of graduate Alemava University. studies. Ethiopia.
- Zeeshan, M., Ahsan, M., Arshad, W., Ali, S., Hussain, M., and Khan, M. I. 2013. Estimate of correlated responses for some polygenic parameters in yellow maize (Zea mays L.) hybrids. International Journal of Advanced Research, 1(5), Retrieved from 24-29. http://www.journalijar.com
- Zuber, M.S. and Loesch, P.J.Jr. 1962. A mechanical method of evaluating stalk lodging. P: 15-23. In: seventeenth Proceeding of the annual hybrid corn industry research conference. Chicago. Illinois. December 5 and 6, 1962.