

MDPI

Article

Alcohol Drinking Patterns and Laboratory Indices of Health: Does Type of Alcohol Preferred Make a Difference?

Onni Niemelä 1,*, Mauri Aalto 2, Aini Bloigu 3, Risto Bloigu 4, Anni S. Halkola 1 and Tiina Laatikainen 5,6,7 1

- Department of Laboratory Medicine and Medical Research Unit, Seinäjoki Central Hospital and Tampere University, 60220 Seinäjoki, Finland
- ² Department of Psychiatry, Seinäjoki Central Hospital, Tampere University, 33100 Tampere, Finland
- ³ Center for Life Course Health Research, University of Oulu, 90570 Oulu, Finland
- ⁴ Infrastructure of Population Studies, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oulu, 90570 Oulu, Finland
- Department of Public Health Solutions, National Institute for Health and Welfare (THL), 00271 Helsinki, Finland
- Institute of Public Health and Clinical Nutrition, University of Eastern Finland, 70210 Kuopio, Finland
- Joint Municipal Authority for North Karelia Social and Health Services, 80210 Joensuu, Finland
- * Correspondence: onni.niemela@epshp.fi; Tel.: +358-6-415-4719

Abstract: Although excessive alcohol consumption is a highly prevalent public health problem the data on the associations between alcohol consumption and health outcomes in individuals preferring different types of alcoholic beverages has remained unclear. We examined the relationships between the amounts and patterns of drinking with the data on laboratory indices of liver function, lipid status and inflammation in a national population-based health survey (FINRISK). Data on health status, alcohol drinking, types of alcoholic beverages preferred, body weight, smoking, coffee consumption and physical activity were recorded from 22,432 subjects (10,626 men, 11,806 women), age range 25-74 years. The participants were divided to subgroups based on the amounts of regular alcohol intake (abstainers, moderate and heavy drinkers), patterns of drinking (binge or regular) and the type of alcoholic beverage preferred (wine, beer, cider or long drink, hard liquor or mixed). Regular drinking was found to be more typical in wine drinkers whereas the subjects preferring beer or hard liquor were more often binge-type drinkers and cigarette smokers. Alcohol use in all forms was associated with increased frequencies of abnormalities in the markers of liver function, lipid status and inflammation even at rather low levels of consumption. The highest rates of abnormalities occurred, however, in the subgroups of binge-type drinkers preferring beer or hard liquor. These results demonstrate that adverse consequences of alcohol occur even at moderate average drinking levels especially in individuals who engage in binge drinking and in those preferring beer or hard liquor. Further emphasis should be placed on such patterns of drinking in policies aimed at preventing alcohol-induced adverse health outcomes.

Keywords: beer; binge drinking; ethanol; harm reduction; liquor; wine



Citation: Niemelä, O.; Aalto, M.; Bloigu, A.; Bloigu, R.; Halkola, A.S.; Laatikainen, T. Alcohol Drinking Patterns and Laboratory Indices of Health: Does Type of Alcohol Preferred Make a Difference? Nutrients 2022, 14, 4529. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu14214529

Academic Editor: Ramon Estruch Riba

Received: 11 September 2022 Accepted: 25 October 2022 Published: 27 October 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Excessive alcohol drinking is strongly associated with morbidity and mortality throughout the world. However, the relationships between the amounts and patterns of drinking and health status still remain unclear [1–5]. There has also been a longstanding debate on possible differences between the health issues brought about by different types of alcoholic beverages.

Recent studies have indicated that a causal relationship exists with cumulative ethanol intake and adverse health effects when weekly regular alcohol drinking exceeds 100 g of alcohol [6–9]. However, due to the fact that ethanol intake exerts toxic effects through a wide variety of biochemical pathways, which also take place in an age- and sex-dependent

Nutrients 2022, 14, 4529 2 of 14

manner, there may be significant variation in the dose–response relationships and individual risks of disease. Independent of the average drinking levels, binge drinkers seem to be at a higher risk of alcohol problems than those with regular consumption [9–11]. Binge drinking, which is typically characterized by repeated bouts of heavy drinking in amounts exceeding 60 g of alcohol (men) or 40 g (women) on each episode [12,13], leads to high blood alcohol levels in a repetitive manner and may thus be expected to create distinct types of health risks through generation of toxic alcohol metabolites, stimulation of inflammation, oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation [14–18].

While several lines of previous evidence have suggested that individuals consuming low to modest amounts of wine show a decreased risk for cardiovascular diseases, a great deal of controversy exists in defining safe levels of alcohol drinking [19–22]. Recent meta-analyses have concluded that low-volume alcohol drinking shows no net mortality benefits [22]. As yet, only limited information has, however, been available on the comparisons of the drinking patterns and the characteristics of alcohol-related health effects in individuals preferring various types of specific alcoholic beverages. Therefore, the present work was set out to investigate the relationships between alcohol use and laboratory indices of health in a large population-based sample of individuals classified according to the amounts and patterns of drinking and the dominant alcoholic beverage type in their consumption.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design, Data Sources and Participants

Data were collected from a cross-sectional population health survey (The National FINRISK Study) [23] carried out in Finland every five years since 1972 [23]. In this work, the data from surveys between 1997 and 2007 representing an age- and gender stratified random sample drawn from the population register were used as previously described [6,23,24]. Clinical examinations included anthropometric measures, laboratory tests and detailed questionnaires on the amounts and patterns of alcohol intake, types of alcoholic beverages consumed, current health status, diet, smoking, physical activity, medical history and socioeconomic factors [25]. The total study sample consisted of 22,432 apparently healthy individuals: 10,626 men, 11,806 women (mean age 49 ± 13 years, range 25–74 years). The participation rates varied from 52.6% to 73.4% as percentages of individuals who both completed the questionnaires and attended the medical examination. The study excluded individuals with, any apparent clinical signs of liver disease, ischemic heart or brain disease or active infection at the time of the study. The medications reported by the present study subjects included occasional analgesics (22.8%), antidepressants (4.7%), sedatives (5.9%), inhaled asthma medication (5.7%), antihistamines (3.0%) and low-dose acetylsalicylic acid (10.2%).

Data on alcohol consumption were based on self-reports, which were recorded systematically from the past 12 months and past weeks prior to blood sampling. The structured questionnaires used for this purpose covered the types of beverages consumed, the frequency of consumption, and the total amounts of ethanol-containing drinks. The amount of ethanol in different beverage types was quantitated in grams of ethanol based on defined portion sizes as follows: wine 12 g (12 cL), regular beer 12 g (1/3 L), strong beer 15.5 g (1/3 L), long drink 15.5 g (1/3 L), cider 12 g (1/3 L) and spirit 12 g (4 cL), The participants were further classified according to the type of alcoholic beverage preferred (>50% of total consumption) into the following categories (wine, beer, cider or long drink, spirits or mixed type). The mixed group consisted of individuals in whom none of the above specific types of beverages exceeded 50% of the total consumption. The main characteristics of the subjects in each subgroup are summarized in Table 1. The alcohol drinkers were further classified according to the pattern of drinking to either regular drinkers or binge drinkers. Data on regular alcohol consumption from the past 12 months prior to sampling were used to categorize the material to i: abstainers, ii: moderate drinkers (\leq 7 drinks per week for women or ≤14 drinks per week for men) or iii: heavy drinkers, who exceeded 7 drinks per

Nutrients 2022, 14, 4529 3 of 14

week (women) or 14 drinks per week (men) [26]. Binge drinking was defined as a pattern characterized by occasional bouts of heavy drinking at least once a month in amounts exceeding 60 g (men) or 40 g (women) on each occasion typically leading to blood alcohol levels above 0.8 per mill, as previously recommended [12,13].

Body weight and height were determined to the nearest 0.1~kg and 0.1~cm, respectively. Body mass index (BMI, kg/m^2) was subsequently calculated as a measure of relative body weight. Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 0.5~cm between the lowest rib and the iliac crest while exhaling. Data on smoking and coffee consumption were recorded with a set of standardized questions and expressed as the amounts of cigarettes per day and as the intake of standard servings of coffee (cups) per day, respectively. Leisure-time physical activity and the number of physical exercises with intensity leading to shortness of breath or sweating were registered using structured questionnaires, as previously described [6].

The ethical approval for the study was received from the Coordinating Ethics Committee of the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District (1997:38/96; 2002:87/2001; 2007:229/EO/2006). All surveys were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki according to the ethical rules of the National Public Health Institute.

2.2. Laboratory Analyses

Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) activities were measured by standard clinical chemical methods on an Abbott Architect clinical chemical analyzer (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA) as described previously [6,15]. The measurements of C-reactive protein (CRP) were carried out using a latex high-sensitivity immunoassay (Sentinel Diagnostics, Milan, Italy) on Abbott Architect c8000 immunochemistry analyzer. The levels of total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and total triglycerides were analyzed by standard enzymatic methods. Blood cell counts were measured from one regional subsample of 1715 participants using routine automated hematological assay systems. The cut-offs for the normal limits in these laboratory parameters were as follows: ALT (50 U/L men; 35 U/L women), GGT (60 U/L men; 40 U/L women), CRP (3.0 mg/L), cholesterol (5 mmol/L), HDL cholesterol (1.0 mmol/L men, 1.2 mmol/L women), LDL cholesterol (3.0 mmol/L), triglycerides (1.7 mmol/L), mean corpuscular volume of erythrocytes (MCV) 96 fl.

2.3. Statistical Methods

The study variables are presented using means and standard deviations (SDs) or frequencies and percentages. Continuous variables were compared by independent samples t-test and categorical variables by chi-square test. For comparisons between alcohol consumers in general and subgroups preferring different types of alcoholic beverages we used z-test for two proportions. A multivariate binary logistic regression analysis was used to estimate the relative risks of abnormal biomarker levels associated with the dominant type of alcoholic beverage using age, BMI, smoking, physical activity and coffee consumption as covariates. The distribution of findings exceeding the cut-offs for the biomarkers were examined by chi-square test for trend in ordered study groups from low to average drinking levels and binge-type patterns as follows: (1) moderate average drinking without binge-type pattern, (2) moderate average drinking with binge-type pattern, (3) heavy average drinking without binge-type pattern and (4) heavy average drinking with binge-type pattern. Statistical comparisons were performed exploratively without correction for multiple comparisons to reveal tendencies. Correlations between the study parameters were calculated using Spearman's rank correlation coefficients. For the analyses, IBM SPSS Statistics 28.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) was used. A p-value < 0.05 was deemed statistically significant.

Nutrients **2022**, 14, 4529 4 of 14

Table 1. Main characteristics of the study population, as classified according to the characteristics of alcohol consumption.

		, , ,		O .	•		
Men, N = 10 626	Abstainers	Alcohol Consumers	Wine	Beer	Type of Alcohol Preferred Cider or Long Drink	Spirits	Mixed
n (% of N)	3046 (28.7)	7580 (71.3)	981 (9.2)	3691 (34.7)	144 (1.4)	1426 (13.4)	1338 (12.6)
Age, years, mean \pm SD	51.9 ± 14.1	$49.0 \pm 13.2^{'a}$	$53.2 \pm 12.6^{\text{ b,d}}$	$45.5 \pm 12.9 \overset{'}{a,d}$	$46.2 \pm 14.1^{a,d}$	54.0 ± 11.9 a,d	$50.5 \pm 12.9^{'b}$
Alcohol consumption, g/day, mean \pm SD	0.0 ± 0.0	18.1 ± 19.4	$14.0 \pm 13.8 ^{\mathrm{d}}$	$18.8\pm20.0~^{\rm d}$	$11.0\pm12.7^{ ext{ d}}$	$18.1 \pm 19.9^{\text{ e}}$	$19.9 \pm 20.9 ^{\mathrm{d}}$
Average level of drinking, n (%)							
moderate	N/A	5815 (76.7)	835 (85.1) ^d	2755 (74.6) ^f	128 (88.9) ^d	1088 (76.3)	1009 (75.4)
heavy	N/A	1765 (23.3)	146 (14.9)	936 (25.4)	16 (11.1)	338 (23.7)	329 (24.6)
Drinking pattern, n (%)							
regular	N/A	5025 (66.3)	755 (77.0) ^d	2334 (63.2) ^e	116 (80.6) ^d	896 (62.8) ^f	924 (69.1) ^f
binge	N/A	2555 (33.7)	226 (23.0)	1357 (36.8)	28 (19.4)	530 (37.2)	414 (30.9)
BMI, mean \pm SD	27.4 ± 4.3	$27.1\pm4.0^{\ \mathrm{b}}$	27.2 ± 3.9	$26.7 \pm 3.9^{\text{ a,d}}$	26.9 ± 3.9	28.2 ± 4.5 ^{a,d}	$27.1\pm3.6^{\text{ c}}$
Waist circumference, cm, mean \pm SD	96.4 ± 12.3	95.8 ± 11.5 ^c	96.3 ± 11.2	94.4 ± 11.4 a,d	94.5 ± 11.2	$99.0 \pm 12.3 ^{\mathrm{a,d}}$	95.7 ± 10.5
Smoking, cigarettes/day, mean \pm SD	4.1 ± 8.6	5.2 ± 9.1 a	$2.5 \pm 6.3^{\text{ a,d}}$	$6.2 \pm 9.7^{\mathrm{\ a,d}}$	4.6 ± 10.0	$5.5 \pm 9.5 ^{\mathrm{a,d}}$	$3.9\pm8.3~^{\mathrm{f}}$
Coffee, cups/day, mean \pm SD	4.7 ± 3.5	4.6 ± 3.1 ^c	4.0 ± 2.8 a,d	4.8 ± 3.3 d	4.5 ± 3.4	$4.8\pm3.2~^{ m d}$	4.2 ± 2.8 a,e
Physical activity, number of exercises per week, mean \pm SD	2.5 ± 2.4	2.3 \pm 2.1 $^{\rm b}$	2.6 ± 2.0 $^{\mathrm{e}}$	2.2 ± 2.0 a	$1.6\pm1.8^{\text{ c,f}}$	2.4 ± 2.4	2.4 ± 1.9
Women, N = 11 806							
<i>n</i> (% of <i>N</i>)	5174 (43.8)	6632 (56.2)	2278 (19.3)	2197 (18.6)	429 (3.6)	600 (5.1)	1128 (9.6)
Age, years, mean \pm SD	49.6 ± 14.1	$46.9\pm12.7~^{\mathrm{a}}$	$49.9 \pm 12.9 ^{ ext{ d}}$	$43.4 \pm 11.5 ^{\mathrm{a,d}}$	$43.8 \pm 12.9^{ m \ a,d}$	$49.9 \pm 12.9 ^{ ext{ d}}$	47.5 ± 12.5 a
Alcohol consumption, g/day, mean \pm SD	0.0 ± 0.0	8.6 ± 9.1	8.1 ± 9.4	8.7 ± 8.9	$5.7\pm5.3~^{ m d}$	8.5 ± 10.4	$10.5\pm8.7^{ m d}$
Average level of drinking, n (%)							
moderate	N/A	5284 (79.7)	1887 (82.8) e	1715 (78.1)	386 (90.0) ^d	490 (81.7)	806 (71.5) ^d
heavy	N/A	1348 (20.3)	391 (17.2)	482 (21.9)	43 (10.0)	110 (18.3)	322 (28.5)
Drinking pattern, n (%)							
regular	N/A	5873 (88.6)	2073 (91.0) ^e	1872 (85.2) ^d	409 (95.3) ^d	515 (85.8) ^f	1004 (89.0)
binge	N/A	759 (11.4)	205 (9.0)	325 (14.8)	20 (4.7)	85 (14.2)	124 (11.0)
BMI, mean \pm SD	27.2 ± 5.5	26.0 ± 4.8 a	26.1 ± 4.8 a	25.6 ± 4.7 ^{a,d}	26.4 ± 5.3 b	$27.0 \pm 5.1^{\text{ d}}$	26.1 ± 4.9 a
Waist circumference, cm, mean \pm SD	85.6 ± 13.7	$83.2\pm12.5~^{\mathrm{a}}$	$83.5\pm12.3~^{\mathrm{a}}$	$82.3 \pm 12.1 ^{\mathrm{a,d}}$	82.9 ± 13.7 a	$85.8 \pm 13.6 ^{\mathrm{d}}$	$83.2\pm12.5~^{\mathrm{a}}$
Smoking, cigarettes/day, mean \pm SD	1.8 ± 5.0	2.7 ± 6.0 a	1.6 ± 4.5 d	4.2 ± 7.1 a,d	2.9 ± 6.5 a	3.4 ± 7.3 a,e	$1.9\pm4.8~^{ m d}$
Coffee, cups/day, mean \pm SD	3.7 ± 2.6	3.7 ± 2.4	3.3 ± 2.2 a,d	4.1 ± 2.6 a,d	3.8 ± 2.6	3.8 ± 2.6	3.6 ± 2.2 f
Physical activity, number of exercises per week, mean \pm SD	2.5 ± 2.1	2.5 ± 2.0	2.6 ± 2.1	2.4 ± 2.0	2.3 ± 1.8	2.3 ± 1.9	$2.6\pm1.8~^{\rm f}$

BMI, body mass index; N/A, not applicable; a p < 0.001 b p < 0.01 c p < 0.05 for comparisons between abstainers vs. alcohol drinkers, d p < 0.001 e p < 0.05 for alcohol consumers in general vs. subgroups preferring different types of alcoholic beverages.

Nutrients 2022, 14, 4529 5 of 14

3. Results

The present study population consisted of 22,432 individuals (10,626 men, 11,806 women) of whom 14,212 (7580 men, 6632 women) (63.4%) were alcohol consumers. The main characteristics of the participants classified to abstainers, alcohol consumers and subgroups according to the levels and patterns of drinking are summarized in Table 1. The data in the groups of alcohol consumers, classified according to the dominant type of alcohol-containing beverages that they prefer, are also shown. In the demographic characteristics, alcohol consumers were younger than abstainers (p < 0.001 for both men and women). Within the population of alcohol consumers, those preferring beer or cider/long drinks were found to be younger (p < 0.001 for both men and women) and those preferring wine or hard liquor older than the population of alcohol consumers in general (p < 0.001 for both men and women).

In the total population of alcohol consumers, moderate average-level drinking was markedly more common in wine drinkers whereas the subjects preferring beer or hard liquor showed higher frequencies of binge-type drinking (Table 1). The latter groups were also found to smoke significantly more often than abstainers, alcohol consumers in general, or wine drinkers (p < 0.001 for all comparisons). The subgroup of those preferring hard liquor typically showed higher BMI and waist circumference than abstainers or alcohol consumers in general (p < 0.001 for both comparisons). Coffee consumption was highest in those preferring beer or hard liquor (p < 0.001) and lowest in wine drinkers (p < 0.001) (Table 1). In men, those preferring wine also showed the highest levels of physical activity (p < 0.01), whereas the lowest levels were registered from the groups representing drinkers of beer and cider or long drinks. In women, the levels of physical activity were rather similar between the study groups.

Table 2 shows the incidences of abnormal values in various biomarkers of liver function, inflammation and lipid status in the various study groups. The data for MCV, a laboratory indicator of long-term alcohol drinking, which were available from a subsample of 1715 participants (1115 men, 600 women), are also shown. In comparisons with the group of abstainers, the abnormalities in the biomarker status were significantly more common in alcohol consumers. The highest rates of abnormalities occurred in the group preferring hard liquor, who also showed higher incidences of abnormal GGT, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, CRP and MCV than the total population of alcohol consumers in general (Table 2).

Table 3 demonstrates the multivariable relative risks for abnormal biomarker status in alcohol consumers according to dominant alcoholic beverage type as adjusted for age, BMI, physical activity, smoking and coffee consumption. In comparisons with abstainers, the most striking associations with alcohol use and abnormal liver enzymes, LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides and MCV levels were found to occur in men preferring beer or spirits, whereas women were found to be less sensitive to aberrations in lipid status. In both sexes, alcohol consumption was found to be associated with a decreased risk for abnormal HDL-cholesterol.

Table 4 summarizes the data on the trends of abnormal biomarker findings in the ordered subgroups of men and women with or without binge-type pattern of drinking and with either moderate or heavy average levels of drinking. The most significant trends for increased liver enzymes, abnormal lipid status and elevated CRP, a biomarker of inflammation, were observed in those representing drinkers of beer or hard liquor (Table 4). Gender-dependent variation was also observed in the magnitude of the differences with more striking ALT and CRP findings being observed in men. In additional analyses of correlations between the frequency of binge drinking episodes in alcohol consumers from the past one year, the strongest associations were observed between GGT ($r_s = 0.234$, p < 0.001) in men preferring spirit and between MCV in men ($r_s = 0.258$, p < 0.001) and women ($r_s = 0.270$, p < 0.001) preferring beer.

Nutrients **2022**, 14, 4529 6 of 14

Table 2. The percentages of values exceeding the upper normal limits of each laboratory test in the study groups.

					Type of Alcohol Preferred		
Men	Abstainers	Alcohol Consumers	Wine	Beer	Cider Or Long Drink	Spirits	Mixed
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
GGT ≥ 60U/L	11.8	18.9 a	17.3 ^a	18.4 ^a	11.8 ^f	23.1 ^{a,d}	17.9 ^a
$ALT \ge 50 \text{ U/L}$	9.3	13.1 ^b	11.0	12.6 ^c	16.1	14.7 ^b	13.8 ^b
Cholesterol $\geq 5 \text{ mmol/L}$	63.0	69.3 ^a	65.7 ^f	67.9 a	61.1 ^f	73.4 ^{a,e}	72.6 ^{a,f}
$HDL \le 1 \text{ mmol/L}$	25.4	15.2 ^a	14.2 ^a	14.5 ^a	20.1	17.4 ^{a,f}	14.9 ^a
$LDL \ge 3 \text{ mmol/L}$	61.4	65.3 ^b	60.8 ^f	63.8	65.6	68.8 a,f	69.1 ^{a,f}
Triglycerides ≥ 1.7 mmol/L	34.2	35.1	31.9	33.8	36.8	39.6 ^{a,e}	35.7
CRP-hs \geq 3 mg/L	19.0	18.3	15.5 c,f	17.1 ^c	17.9	23.8 a,d	17.9
MCV > 96 fL	11.4	18.2 ^b	16.7	19.4 ^b	10.0	20.4 ^b	15.6
Women							
GGT ≥ 40U/L	10.5	12.3 ^b	11.8	12.1 ^c	7.9 ^e	16.7 ^{a,e}	12.9 ^c
$ALT \ge 35 \text{ U/L}$	8.2	9.4	9.8	8.9	7.5	12.2 ^c	8.2
Cholesterol $\geq 5 \text{ mmol/L}$	67.1	62.1 ^a	63.4 ^b	58.7 ^{a,e}	58.7 ^a	66.3 ^f	64.9
$HDL \le 1.2 \text{ mmol/L}$	20.0	11.7 ^a	10.7 ^a	12.0 ^a	17.0 ^d	14.8 b,f	9.4 ^{a,f}
$LDL \ge 3 \text{ mmol/L}$	59.4	52.9 ^a	53.8 ^a	50.4 ^a	52.2	56.5	54.7 ^c
Triglycerides ≥ 1.7 mmol/L	21.0	15.1 ^a	14.6 ^a	15.0 ^a	16.3 ^c	19.3 ^e	13.7 ^a
CRP -hs $\geq 3 \text{ mg/L}$	24.0	20.4 ^a	20.2 ^a	18.7 ^a	22.4	26.5 ^d	20.2 ^b
MCV > 96 fL	17.1	18.8	21.5	15.5	0.0	28.6	20.0

^a p < 0.001 ^b p < 0.01 ^c p < 0.05 for comparisons with abstainers, ^d p < 0.001 ^e p < 0.01 ^f p < 0.05 for comparisons with the total group of alcohol consumers. For MCV, n = 1715 (1115 men, 600 women). ALT, alanine aminotransferase; CRP, C-reactive protein; GGT, gamma glutamyl transferase; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; MCV, mean corpuscular volume.

Nutrients **2022**, 14, 4529 7 of 14

Table 3. Odds ratios for abnormal biomarker status in alcohol consumers according to dominant alcoholic beverage type, as adjusted for age, BMI, physical activity, smoking and coffee consumption.

		Men		Women	
		Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p
GGT	Abstainers	1.0		1.0	
	Wine	1.77 (1.44 to 2.18)	< 0.001	1.34 (1.14 to 1.58)	< 0.001
	Beer	1.94 (1.67 to 2.25)	< 0.001	1.68 (1.41 to 1.99)	< 0.001
	Cider or long drink	1.16 (0.69 to 1.95)	0.584	0.95 (0.66 to 1.37)	0.771
	Spirits	2.06 (1.73 to 2.46)	< 0.001	1.77 (1.39 to 2.26)	< 0.001
	Mixed	1.87 (1.55 to 2.25)	< 0.001	1.64 (1.34 to 2.01)	< 0.001
ALT	Abstainers	1.0		1.0	
	Wine	1.48 (0.96 to 2.26)	0.076	1.35 (1.02 to 1.80)	0.039
	Beer	1.37 (1.03 to 1.81)	0.028	1.37 (1.03 to 1.83)	0.032
	Cider or long drink	1.81 (0.62 to 5.25)	0.278	0.92 (0.36 to 2.34)	0.852
	Spirits	1.77 (1.27 to 2.48)	< 0.001	1.57 (1.03 to 2.39)	0.035
	Mixed	1.68 (1.18 to 2.40)	0.004	1.13 (0.77 to 1.65)	0.526
Cholesterol	Abstainers	1.0		1.0	
	Wine	1.14 (0.98 to 1.33)	0.092	0.86 (0.77 to 0.96)	0.008
	Beer	1.44 (1.29 to 1.60)	< 0.001	0.93 (0.83 to 1.04)	0.194
	Cider or long drink	1.01 (0.71 to 1.42)	0.967	0.96 (0.78 to 1.18)	0.685
	Spirits	1.46 (1.27 to 1.68)	< 0.001	0.93 (0.77 to 1.13)	0.471
	Mixed	1.68 (1.46 to 1.94)	< 0.001	1.04 (0.90 to 1.20)	0.607
HDL-cholesterol	Abstainers	1.0		1.0	
	Wine	0.50 (0.41 to 0.61)	< 0.001	0.55 (0.47 to 0.64)	< 0.001
	Beer	0.51 (0.45 to 0.58)	< 0.001	0.59 (0.51 to 0.69)	< 0.001
	Cider or long drink	0.77 (0.50 to 1.17)	0.219	0.90 (0.69 to 1.17)	0.410
	Spirits	0.55 (0.47 to 0.65)	< 0.001	0.65 (0.51 to 0.84)	< 0.001
	Mixed	0.52 (0.43 to 0.62)	< 0.001	0.46 (0.37 to 0.57)	< 0.001
LDL-cholesterol	Abstainers	1.0		1.0	
	Wine	0.99 (0.82 to 1.19)	0.908	0.81 (0.72 to 0.92)	0.001
	Beer	1.16 (1.02 to 1.32)	0.025	0.89 (0.78 to 1.01)	0.067
	Cider or long drink	1.22 (0.72 to 2.09)	0.456	0.77 (0.54 to 1.10)	0.154
	Spirits	1.30 (1.09 to 1.54)	0.003	0.82 (0.66 to 1.02)	0.082
	Mixed	1.45 (1.22 to 1.73)	< 0.001	0.92 (0.78 to 1.09)	0.337
Triglycerides	Abstainers	1.0		1.0	
0 7	Wine	0.98 (0.83 to 1.15)	0.777	0.72 (0.62 to 0.83)	< 0.001
	Beer	1.12 (1.00 to 1.25)	0.048	0.93 (0.80 to 1.08)	0.339
	Cider or long drink	1.23 (0.85 to 1.77)	0.272	0.95 (0.72 to 1.25)	0.714
	Spirits	1.10 (0.95 to 1.26)	0.203	0.90 (0.72 to 1.13)	0.347
	Mixed	1.19 (1.03 to 1.37)	0.020	0.72 (0.59 to 0.87)	< 0.001
CRP	Abstainers	1.0		1.0	
	Wine	0.82 (0.67 to 1.00)	0.052	0.97 (0.85 to 1.11)	0.629
	Beer	1.02 (0.89 to 1.17)	0.777	0.95 (0.83 to 1.10)	0.512
	Cider or long drink	1.12 (0.71 to 1.76)	0.634	1.04 (0.80 to 1.35)	0.778
	Spirits	1.11 (0.94 to 1.30)	0.216	1.19 (0.96 to 1.47)	0.108
	Mixed	1.03 (0.86 to 1.23)	0.754	0.98 (0.82 to 1.16)	0.809
MCV	Abstainers	1.0		1.0	
	Wine	1.60 (0.89 to 2.89)	0.120	1.30 (0.69 to 2.48)	0.417
	Beer	1.80 (1.15 to 2.84)	0.011	0.87 (0.48 to 1.58)	0.648
	Cider or long drink	0.77 (0.09 to 6.49)	0.813	NA	
	Spirits	1.89 (1.09 to 3.27)	0.024	1.85 (0.79 to 4.33)	0.155
	Mixed	1.27 (0.72 to 2.24)	0.403	1.27 (0.57 to 2.80)	0.557

For abbreviations and marker cut-offs, see Table 2. NA, not applicable.

Nutrients **2022**, 14, 4529 8 of 14

Table 4. Percentages of abnormal values in different biomarkers in subgroups with different patterns of alcohol consumption. *P* values refer to trends in distribution of observations.

				el of Drinking		
		Mod	erate	Hea	avy	
		Drinking Pattern		Drinking Pattern		
Men		Regular	Binge	Regular	Binge	p
ivien		%	%	%	%	
$GGT \ge 60 \text{ U/L}$	wine	13.5	21.3	27.9	34.1	< 0.001
	beer	12.2	16.6	33.3	33.3	< 0.001
	spirits	16.4	25.7	31.3	38.8	< 0.001
	mixed	11.5	22.0	29.6	32.2	< 0.001
$ALT \ge 50 \text{ U/L}$	wine	8.7	14.6	20.0	14.7	0.082
	beer	10.0	11.7	14.3	20.2	< 0.001
	spirits	11.1	13.2	19.4	27.7	< 0.001
	mixed	10.9	16.9	20.0	17.9	0.037
Cholesterol $\geq 5 \text{ mmol/L}$	wine	64.3	62.4	77.0	75.3	0.017
_ ,	beer	66.0	67.1	77.2	70.1	0.004
	spirits	71.1	73.3	83.3	76.9	0.019
	mixed	73.5	72.0	72.2	70.1	0.311
$HDL \le 1 \text{ mmol/L}$	wine	15.9	12.1	16.4	2.4	0.003
TIBE = Timitel, E	beer	17.8	12.1	10.4	8.6	< 0.001
	spirits	19.0	17.0	21.9	10.7	0.012
	mixed	17.2	9.5	13.9	11.7	0.024
$LDL \ge 3 \text{ mmol/L}$	wine	59.3	63.8	64.3	65.5	0.024
LDL ≥ 3 mmor/ L	beer	64.4	60.7	68.7	63.5	0.244
	spirits	68.6	68.0	68.5	70.4	0.710
Tri -1i d > 1 71 /I	mixed	70.6	67.7	74.6	61.1	0.097
Triglycerides $\geq 1.7 \text{ mmol/L}$	wine	31.0	35.5	39.3	28.2	0.732
	beer	32.1	32.6	43.3	36.3	0.003
	spirits	37.1	39.9	45.8	44.6	0.017
	mixed	34.4	32.5	33.9	44.9	0.016
$CRP \ge 3mg/L$	wine	14.7	20.6	20.0	9.6	0.854
	beer	15.2	15.6	20.3	23.3	< 0.001
	spirits	20.0	24.0	31.3	33.2	< 0.001
	mixed	17.2	19.4	18.9	18.7	0.521
Women						
$GGT \ge 40 \text{ U/L}$	wine	10.8	14.5	16.0	15.6	0.005
	beer	8.9	11.0	21.2	25.9	< 0.001
	spirits	14.7	19.0	19.4	30.2	0.011
	mixed	11.2	13.5	16.2	18.4	0.010
$ALT \ge 35 U/L$	wine	9.3	12.0	14.7	6.4	0.511
	beer	8.1	4.1	11.7	16.0	0.014
	spirits	11.1	21.7	10.0	15.0	0.612
	mixed	8.4	5.0	8.9	6.5	0.807
Cholesterol $\geq 5 \text{ mmol/L}$	wine	63.0	53.0	72.1	57.4	0.435
Choicsteror _ 0 minor, i	beer	59.0	48.5	70.0	46.0	0.396
	spirits	67.2	64.3	62.7	65.1	0.506
	mixed	67.0	56.8	63.0	55.2	0.026
$HDL \le 1.2 mmol/L$	wine	11.6	7.2	7.4	6.6	0.007
-12 2 <u>-</u> 1.2 mmor, 2	beer	12.9	11.0	8.5	10.1	0.036
	spirits	14.7	19.0	14.9	11.6	0.787
	mixed	10.1	10.8	9.8	1.1	0.062
$LDL \ge 3 \text{ mmol/L}$	wine	54.0	35.4	61.1	48.4	0.936
LDL Z 3 HIHOI/ L	beer	52.4	40.2	53.2	37.1	0.936
				39.6		
	spirits	61.1 57.6	44.1		52.8 48.6	0.015
	mixed	57.6	29.0	53.0	48.6	0.079

Nutrients 2022, 14, 4529 9 of 14

Table 4. Cont.

		Average Level of Drinking						
		Moderate Drinking Pattern		Heavy Drinking Pattern				
3.6		Regular	Binge %	Regular %	Binge %	p		
Men		%						
Triglycerides ≥ 1.7 mmol/L	wine	14.6	14.5	14.5	14.8	0.982		
	beer	13.9	12.5	19.1	19.6	0.006		
	spirits	17.9	11.9	22.4	37.2	0.009		
	mixed	13.5	5.4	15.3	13.8	0.670		
$CRP \ge 3mg/L$	wine	20.8	19.5	18.6	14.9	0.097		
	beer	17.4	14.2	25.2	21.8	0.007		
	spirits	24.9	37.5	23.9	37.2	0.154		
	mixed	19.6	10.8	23.7	20.7	0.321		

For abbreviations, see Table 2.

4. Discussion

Although alcohol consumers are known to be at a higher risk for developing health problems, relatively little has been known on the specific characteristics of health effects induced by different patterns of drinking or differences in the metabolic consequences brought about by different types of alcoholic beverages. This population-based study of individuals with detailed structured information on the amounts and patterns of alcohol use and health status indicates that independent of the average levels of drinking, distinct features in the patterns of drinking may lead to significant variation in the status of biomarkers predicting adverse health outcomes. Our data also support the view of notable variations in lifestyle-related individual characteristics between subjects preferring different types of alcoholic beverages.

Based on current findings it is possible that the patterns of drinking and beverage-specific differences may also explain previous observations on the dose–response relationships between alcohol consumption and attributable health outcomes [1,9]. Several lines of evidence have suggested that drinking wine in light to moderate amounts may be associated with good benefits of cardiovascular health [19,21,27–29]. However, such findings have been reported primarily from samples representing societies with a low prevalence of binge drinking and populations following Mediterranean diets. A number of other studies from different types of cohorts have indicated that no amount of alcohol is safe [9,20,22,30–34]. In Finland, a relatively large proportion of alcohol consumption has traditionally consisted of distilled beverages or beer with a high prevalence of binge-type drinking [35]. The present data suggest that such drinking patterns may also be linked with a significant overrepresentation of health problems.

While the primary mechanisms underlying the present observations remain unclear at this time it should be noted that consumption of distilled beverages with high alcohol by volume content may typically produce higher peak blood alcohol levels and excessive amounts of toxic alcohol metabolites as compared to the levels typically achieved by other types of alcohol [36,37]. There may also be differences in the caloric contents of the different types of beverages such that drinking beer may lead to markedly higher caloric intake than corresponding doses of wine [38]. Interestingly, in the present material, the responses in ALT, which may be considered a biomarker of liver metabolic functioning, was most frequently elevated in binge-type drinkers of beer (Table 4). The relative differences between wine and other types of alcoholic beverages may also be explained by factors unrelated to ethanol, such as beverage polyphenol content or beverage-specific effects on the gastrointestinal bacterial flora, which in turn may also be associated with the individual status of low-grade inflammation [39-41]. Here, the responses in CRP, a biomarker of inflammation, were found to occur in a more sensitive manner in drinkers of beer or spirits, whereas wine drinkers showed lower odds for increased CRP levels supporting the view of a greater anti-inflammatory action for wine [41,42]. It should further be noted

Nutrients 2022, 14, 4529 10 of 14

that the responses in the subsample of MCV analyses (n = 1715) were most pronounced in drinkers of hard liquor. Previously, elevated MCV levels in heavy drinkers have also been linked with a risk for upper gastrointestinal tract carcinogenesis where high local levels of acetaldehyde, the first metabolite of ethanol, as typically achieved by ingestion of distilled alcohol products, seem to play a pivotal role [43-45]. Due to the smaller number of observations in this sample, the findings should, however, be interpreted with caution at this time.

The present data further indicate that binge-type drinking patterns appear to be most typical among drinkers of beer and hard liquor. While as yet relatively little has been known on the differences between the specific clinical features induced by binge-type drinking or regular alcohol consumption, repeated episodes of binge drinking may obviously be expected to intensify the damage resulting from high blood alcohol contents, generation of toxic ethanol metabolites and consequent activation of oxidative stress and inflammatory cascades [15,16,46–49]. In line with this view, the present observations indicate a high rate of abnormalities in GGT, a marker of oxidative stress and CRP, a biomarker of inflammation, especially in binge-type drinkers. GGT activation has previously been shown to coincide with the generation of superoxide ion, oxidation of lipoproteins and activation of a proinflammatory status in the body [48–52]. Elevation of blood neutrophils in alcoholic patients with recent drinking have also been shown to correlate with increased activities of serum liver enzymes [53]. Combinations of chronic and binge type drinking also aggravate hepatic inflammation and liver damage through upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and endothelial-leukocyte adhesion molecules [54,55]. High levels of ethanol in circulation may also induce immunological responses to ethanol metabolite-specific neoantigens [56–58].

While here the overall biomarker responses appeared fairly similar between genders, it should be emphasized that some of the reported patterns were not consistent. The responses in ALT, lipid status and inflammation appeared more pronounced in men. It should, however, be noted that women show biomarker responses following smaller actual amounts of consumption. Based on previous literature women are also considered more vulnerable to alcohol-induced damage in the liver and central nervous system [7,14,59,60]. Stimulation of oxidative stress and inflammatory consequences of heavy drinking may also occur in a sex-dependent manner [16,51,61–63]. Therefore, further studies appear clearly warranted to compare the metabolic consequences of alcohol drinking in men and women with different alcohol preference types.

The present observations also support the view that a wide variety of lifestyle-related risk factors and their combinations may play a significant role in the metabolic consequences of alcohol intake in individuals with different alcohol drinking patterns and preference types. Previous studies have suggested that wine drinkers typically drink together with meals and also consume more vegetables [27,29]. The present data among male participants indicate a higher number of physical exercises in wine drinkers than in those preferring other types of alcoholic beverages. Binge-type drinking was also found here to be a frequently co-occurring behavior with smoking [64,65]. Thus, the synergistic effects between alcohol use and other lifestyle risk factors need further consideration when evaluating the metabolic and inflammatory consequences of alcohol drinking [66–70]. Future studies should also address the possibility whether for example those preferring beer or spirits together with smoking would be at a higher risk for developing alcohol dependence and related medical disorders [1–5,71]. On the other hand, it may be assumed that interventions aimed at reducing the total number of high-risk factors of lifestyle could be useful in harm reduction related to alcohol drinking.

The strengths of this study are the large number of participants and separate assessments for both sexes. The questionnaire used for collecting data covered the evaluation of a wide variety of aspects related to alcohol drinking, including the quantities of regular alcohol consumption, the types of alcoholic beverages preferred and the frequencies of binge drinking occasions, which should allow a comprehensive assessment of the associations between drinking patterns and health outcomes. Although at an individual level variation

Nutrients 2022, 14, 4529 11 of 14

in drinking patterns may occur over time, the data collected here from the past one year showed a strong correlation with the corresponding data from the past one week prior to sampling ($r_s = 0.76$, p < 0.0005) indicating that the findings represent relatively consistent traits in alcohol consummatory behavior. The stability of the biomarkers should also be sufficient to reflect the current status of liver dysfunction, lipid status and inflammation at the time of blood sampling. Various other factors related to lifestyle, such as smoking, indices of overweight, physical activity or coffee consumption were also considered in the analyses. Nevertheless, our study has potential limitations. Self-report data may lead to overestimation of the proportion of abstainers and underestimation of the true dose-response associations [72]. Although in this work we reached relatively high participation rates, it is possible that the generalizability of the findings could suffer from non-response-patterns. Previous studies have indicated that especially high-risk drinkers are overrepresented in non-responders of population-based studies [73]. However, we feel that this would more likely dilute our findings than cause overestimates in the observed associations. At this time, we also cannot rule out the possibility of differences in self-report data between binge drinkers and regular alcohol consumers since self-reports represent a memory-dependent information channel. The cross-sectional setting of the survey hampering the assessment of causal inferences can also be kept as a shortcoming of this study.

Nevertheless, our study demonstrates that the type of alcohol preferred in consumption plays a significant role in modulating the effects of alcohol drinking on health. There may also be distinct differences in alcohol consumers with or without heavy episodic drinking. These findings should be implicated for formulation of low-risk drinking guidelines and in public health policies [6,22]. In clinical practice, the binge-type patterns of drinking deserve further attention in the development of specific questionnaires for identifying alcohol use disorders [15,35]. Measurements of key biomarkers can be recommended as complementary tools to obtain information on the individual status of inflammation and oxidative stress and for prediction of lifestyle-associated adverse health outcomes [6,14,48,74–77].

Author Contributions: O.N., T.L. and M.A. were responsible for designing the study, A.B., R.B. and A.S.H. carried out the data analyses. O.N. drafted the manuscript and all authors revised and approved the final version. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported in part by Competitive State Research Financing of the Expert Responsibility area of Seinäjoki Central Hospital and University of Tampere, VTR 5300/3116, the Finnish Foundation for the Promotion of Laboratory Medicine and the Finnish Society for Clinical Chemistry.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Coordinating Ethics Committee of the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District (1997:38/96; 2002:87/2001; 2007:229/EO/2006).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: THL Biobank administrates and grants access to the FINRISK data to research projects that are of high scientific quality and impact, are ethically conducted, and that correspond with the research areas of THL Biobank. All data are available for application at https://thl.fi/en/web/thl-biobank/for-researchers/sample-collections/the-national-finrisk-study-1992-2012 (accessed on 12 May 2022). The name of dataset is the National FINRISK Study 1992-2012. Interested researchers can replicate our study findings in their entirety by directly obtaining the data and following the protocol in the Methods section. The authors did not have any special access privileges that others would not have. More information: finriski(at)thl.fi.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Nutrients 2022, 14, 4529 12 of 14

References

- 1. Connor, J.P.; Haber, P.S.; Hall, W.D. Alcohol use disorders. Lancet 2016, 387, 988–998. [CrossRef]
- 2. GBD 2016 Alcohol Collaborators. Alcohol use and burden for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet* 2018, 392, 1015–1035. [CrossRef]
- 3. Lim, S.S.; Vos, T.; Flaxman, A.D.; Danaei, G.; Shibuya, K.; Adair-Rohani, H.; Amann, M.; Anderson, H.R.; Andrews, K.G.; Aryee, M.; et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012, 380, 2224–2260. [CrossRef]
- 4. Spanagel, R.; Durstewitz, D.; Hansson, A.; Heinz, A.; Kiefer, F.; Köhr, G.; Matthäus, F.; Nöthen, M.M.; Noori, H.R.; Obermayer, K.; et al. A systems medicine research approach for studying alcohol addiction. *Addict. Biol.* **2013**, *18*, 883–896. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Wittchen, H.U. The burden of mood disorders. Science 2012, 338, 15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Niemelä, O.; Niemelä, M.; Bloigu, R.; Aalto, M.; Laatikainen, T. Where should the safe limits of alcohol consumption stand in light of liver enzyme abnormalities in alcohol consumers? PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0188574. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schwarzinger, M.; Pollock, B.G.; Hasan, O.S.M.; Dufouil, C.; Rehm, J. Contribution of alcohol use disorders to the burden of dementia in France 2008-13: A nationwide retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Public Health* 2018, 3, e124–e132. [CrossRef]
- 8. Witkiewitz, K.; Hallgren, K.A.; Kranzler, H.R.; Mann, K.F.; Hasin, D.S.; Falk, D.E.; Litten, R.Z.; O'Malley, S.S.; Anton, R.F. Clinical validation of reduced alcohol consumption after treatment for alcohol dependence using the World Health Organization risk drinking levels. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* **2017**, *41*, 179–186. [CrossRef]
- 9. Wood, A.M.; Kaptoge, S.; Butterworth, A.S.; Willeit, P.; Warnakula, S.; Bolton, T.; Paige, E.; Paul, D.S.; Sweeting, M.; Burgess, S.; et al. Risk thresholds for alcohol consumption: Combined analysis of individual-participant data for 599 912 current drinkers in 83 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2018, 391, 1513–1523. [CrossRef]
- Holahan, C.J.; Holahan, C.K.; Moos, R.H. Binge drinking and alcohol problems among moderate average-level drinkers. Am. J. Prev. Med. 2022, 63, 324–330. [CrossRef]
- 11. Laatikainen, T.; Manninen, L.; Poikolainen, K.; Vartiainen, E. Increased mortality related to heavy alcohol intake pattern. *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* **2003**, *57*, 379–384. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. NIAAA Council approves definition of binge drinking. In *NIAAA Newsletter*; National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2004. Available online: https://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/Newsletter/winter2004/Newsletter_Number3.pdf (accessed on 7 September 2022).
- World Health Organization. International Guide for Monitoring Alcohol Consumption and Related Harm; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2000. Available online: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/66529 (accessed on 7 September 2022).
- 14. Hillbom, M.; Saloheimo, P.; Juvela, S. Alcohol consumption, blood pressure, and the risk of stroke. *Curr. Hypertens. Rep.* **2011**, 13, 208–213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Nivukoski, U.; Bloigu, A.; Bloigu, R.; Aalto, M.; Laatikainen, T.; Niemelä, O. Liver enzymes in alcohol consumers with or without binge drinking. *Alcohol* **2019**, *78*, 13–19. [CrossRef]
- 16. Orio, L.; Anton, M.; Rodríguez-Rojo, I.C.; Correas, A.; García-Bueno, B.; Corral, M.; Rodríguez de Fonseca, F.R.; García-Moreno, L.M.; Maestú, F.; Cadaveira, F. Young alcohol binge drinkers have elevated blood endotoxin, peripheral inflammation and low cortisol levels: Neuropsychological correlations in women. *Addict. Biol.* 2018, 23, 1130–1144. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Rehm, J.; Shield, K.D. The impact of confounding and alcohol consumption patterns on the calculated risks of alcohol-related diseases. *Addiction* **2013**, *108*, 1544–1545. [CrossRef]
- 18. Sundell, L.; Salomaa, V.; Vartiainen, E.; Poikolainen, K.; Laatikainen, T. Increased stroke risk is related to a binge-drinking habit. Stroke 2008, 39, 3179–3184. [CrossRef]
- 19. Di Castelnuovo, A.; Costanzo, S.; Bagnardi, V.; Donati, M.B.; Iacoviello, L.; de Gaetano, G. Alcohol dosing and total mortality in men and women: An updated meta-analysis of 34 prospective studies. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **2006**, 166, 2437–2445. [CrossRef]
- 20. Klatsky, A.L. Alcohol and cardiovascular diseases: Where do we stand today? J. Intern. Med. 2015, 278, 238–250. [CrossRef]
- 21. Renaud, S.; de Lorgeril, M. Wine, alcohol, platelets, and the French paradox for coronary heart disease. *Lancet* **1992**, 339, 1523–1526. [CrossRef]
- 22. Stockwell, T.; Zhao, J.; Panwar, S.; Roemer, A.; Naimi, T.; Chikritzhs, T. Do "moderate" drinkers have reduced mortality risk? A systematic review and meta-analysis of alcohol consumption and all-cause mortality. *J. Stud. Alcohol Drugs* **2016**, 77, 185–198. [CrossRef]
- The National FINRISK Study. Available online: https://thl.fi/en/web/thlfi-en/research-and-development/research-and-projects/the-national-finrisk-study (accessed on 22 July 2022).
- World Health Organization. The World Health Organization MONICA Project (Monitoring trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease): A major international collaboration. WHO MONICA Project Principal Investigators. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 1988, 41, 105–114. [CrossRef]
- 25. Borodulin, K.; Tolonen, H.; Jousilahti, P.; Jula, A.; Juolevi, A.; Koskinen, S.; Kuulasmaa, K.; Laatikainen, T.; Männistö, S.; Peltonen, M.; et al. Cohort Profile: The National FINRISK Study. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **2018**, 47, 696–696i. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Alcohol Research: Current Reviews Editorial Staff. Drinking patterns and their definitions. Alcohol Res. 2018, 39, 17–18.
- 27. Barbería-Latasa, M.; Gea, A.; Martínez-González, M.A. Alcohol, drinking pattern, and chronic disease. *Nutrients* **2022**, 14, 1954. [CrossRef]

Nutrients 2022, 14, 4529 13 of 14

28. Grønbaek, M.; Becker, U.; Johansen, D.; Gottschau, A.; Schnohr, P.; Hein, H.O.; Jensen, G.; Sørensen, T.I. Type of alcohol consumed and mortality from all causes, coronary heart disease, and cancer. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2000, 133, 411–419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 29. Panagiotakos, D.B.; Pitsavos, C.; Stefanadis, C. Dietary patterns: A Mediterranean diet score and its relation to clinical and biological markers of cardiovascular disease risk. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2006**, *16*, 559–568. [CrossRef]
- 30. Holmes, M.V.; Dale, C.E.; Zuccolo, L.; Silverwood, R.J.; Guo, Y.; Ye, Z.; Prieto-Merino, D.; Dehghan, A.; Trompet, S.; Wong, A.; et al. Association between alcohol and cardiovascular disease: Mendelian randomisation analysis based on individual participant data. *BMJ* 2014, 349, g4164. [CrossRef]
- 31. Sipilä, P.; Rose, R.J.; Kaprio, J. Drinking and mortality: Long-term follow-up of drinking-discordant twin pairs. *Addiction* **2016**, 111, 245–254. [CrossRef]
- 32. Stokowski, L.A. No Amount of Alcohol is Safe. *Medscape*. Available online: https://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/824237 (accessed on 28 July 2022).
- 33. Topiwala, A.; Allan, C.L.; Valkanova, V.; Zsoldos, E.; Filippini, N.; Sexton, C.; Mahmood, A.; Fooks, P.; Singh-Manoux, A.; Mackay, C.E.; et al. Moderate alcohol consumption as risk factor for adverse brain outcomes and cognitive decline: Longitudinal cohort study. *BMJ* 2017, 357, j2353. [CrossRef]
- 34. Zaridze, D.; Brennan, P.; Boreham, J.; Boroda, A.; Karpov, R.; Lazarev, A.; Konobeevskaya, I.; Igitov, V.; Terechova, T.; Boffetta, P.; et al. Alcohol and cause-specific mortality in Russia: A retrospective case-control study of 48,557 adult deaths. *Lancet* 2009, 373, 2201–2214. [CrossRef]
- 35. Aalto, M.; Alho, H.; Halme, J.T.; Seppä, K. AUDIT and its abbreviated versions in detecting heavy and binge drinking in a general population survey. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* **2009**, *103*, 25–29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Lieber, C.S. Medical disorders of alcoholism. N. Engl. J. Med. 1995, 333, 1058–1065. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Mitchell, M.C., Jr.; Teigen, E.L.; Ramchandani, V.A. Absorption and peak blood alcohol concentration after drinking beer, wine, or spirits. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* **2014**, *38*, 1200–1204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Shelton, N.J.; Knott, C.S. Association between alcohol calorie intake and overweight and obesity in English adults. *Am. J. Public Health* **2014**, *104*, 629–631. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Chen, L.; Zhu, Y.; Hou, X.; Yang, L.; Chu, H. The role of gut bacteria and fungi in alcohol-associated liver disease. *Front. Med.* **2022**, *9*, 840752. [CrossRef]
- 40. Dai, X.J.; Tan, L.; Ren, L.; Shao, Y.; Tao, W.; Wang, Y. COVID-19 risk appears to vary across different alcoholic beverages. *Front. Nutr.* **2021**, *8*, 772700. [CrossRef]
- 41. Estruch, R.; Sacanella, E.; Badia, E.; Antunez, E.; Nicolas, J.M.; Fernandez-Sola, J.; Rotilio, D.; de, G.G.; Rubin, E.; Urbano-Marquez, A. Different effects of red wine and gin consumption on inflammatory biomarkers of atherosclerosis: A prospective randomized crossover trial. Effects of wine on inflammatory markers. *Atherosclerosis* **2004**, *175*, 117–123. [CrossRef]
- 42. Ross, R. Atherosclerosis—An inflammatory disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 1999, 340, 115–126. [CrossRef]
- 43. Salaspuro, M. Interactions of alcohol and tobacco in gastrointestinal cancer. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2012**, 27 (Suppl. S2), 135–139. [CrossRef]
- 44. Warnakulasuriya, S.; Parkkila, S.; Nagao, T.; Preedy, V.R.; Pasanen, M.; Koivisto, H.; Niemelä, O. Demonstration of ethanol-induced protein adducts in oral leukoplakia (pre-cancer) and cancer. *J. Oral Pathol. Med.* **2008**, *37*, 157–165. [CrossRef]
- 45. Yokoyama, A.; Yokoyama, T.; Muramatsu, T.; Omori, T.; Matsushita, S.; Higuchi, S.; Maruyama, K.; Ishii, H. Macrocytosis, a new predictor for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Japanese alcoholic men. *Carcinogenesis* **2003**, 24, 1773–1778. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Ezquer, F.; Morales, P.; Quintanilla, M.E.; Santapau, D.; Lespay-Rebolledo, C.; Ezquer, M.; Herrera-Marschitz, M.; Israel, Y. Intravenous administration of anti-inflammatory mesenchymal stem cell spheroids reduces chronic alcohol intake and abolishes binge-drinking. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 4325. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Guerri, C.; Pascual, M. Mechanisms involved in the neurotoxic, cognitive, and neurobehavioral effects of alcohol consumption during adolescence. *Alcohol* **2010**, *44*, 15–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Kazemi-Shirazi, L.; Endler, G.; Winkler, S.; Schickbauer, T.; Wagner, O.; Marsik, C. Gamma glutamyltransferase and long-term survival: Is it just the liver? *Clin. Chem.* **2007**, *53*, 940–946. [CrossRef]
- 49. Lee, D.H.; Blomhoff, R.; Jacobs, D.R., Jr. Is serum gamma glutamyltransferase a marker of oxidative stress? *Free Radic. Res.* **2004**, 38, 535–539. [CrossRef]
- 50. Danielsson, J.; Kangastupa, P.; Laatikainen, T.; Aalto, M.; Niemelä, O. Impacts of common factors of life style on serum liver enzymes. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2014**, *20*, 11743–11752. [CrossRef]
- 51. Emdin, M.; Pompella, A.; Paolicchi, A. Gamma-glutamyltransferase, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular disease: Triggering oxidative stress within the plaque. *Circulation* **2005**, *112*, 2078–2080. [CrossRef]
- 52. Kozakova, M.; Palombo, C.; Eng, M.P.; Dekker, J.; Flyvbjerg, A.; Mitrakou, A.; Gastaldelli, A.; Ferrannini, E. Fatty liver index, gamma-glutamyltransferase, and early carotid plaques. *Hepatology* **2012**, *55*, 1406–1415. [CrossRef]
- 53. Li, M.; He, Y.; Zhou, Z.; Ramirez, T.; Gao, Y.; Gao, Y.; Ross, R.A.; Cao, H.; Cai, Y.; Xu, M.; et al. MicroRNA-223 ameliorates alcoholic liver injury by inhibiting the IL-6-p47(phox)-oxidative stress pathway in neutrophils. *Gut* 2017, 66, 705–715. [CrossRef]
- 54. Bertola, A.; Park, O.; Gao, B. Chronic plus binge ethanol feeding synergistically induces neutrophil infiltration and liver injury in mice: A critical role for E-selectin. *Hepatology* **2013**, *58*, 1814–1823. [CrossRef]

Nutrients 2022, 14, 4529 14 of 14

55. Cai, Y.; Xu, M.J.; Koritzinsky, E.H.; Zhou, Z.; Wang, W.; Cao, H.; Yuen, P.S.; Ross, R.A.; Star, R.A.; Liangpunsakul, S.; et al. Mitochondrial DNA-enriched microparticles promote acute-on-chronic alcoholic neutrophilia and hepatotoxicity. *JCI Insight* 2017, 2, e92634. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 56. Rungratanawanich, W.; Qu, Y.; Wang, X.; Essa, M.M.; Song, B.J. Advanced glycation end products (AGEs) and other adducts in aging-related diseases and alcohol-mediated tissue injury. *Exp. Mol. Med.* **2021**, *53*, 168–188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Sureshchandra, S.; Raus, A.; Jankeel, A.; Ligh, B.J.K.; Walter, N.A.R.; Newman, N.; Grant, K.A.; Messaoudi, I. Dose-dependent effects of chronic alcohol drinking on peripheral immune responses. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 7847. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Viitala, K.; Makkonen, K.; Israel, Y.; Lehtimäki, T.; Jaakkola, O.; Koivula, T.; Blake, J.E.; Niemelä, O. Autoimmune responses against oxidant stress and acetaldehyde-derived epitopes in human alcohol consumers. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* **2000**, 24, 1103–1109. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Alfonso-Loeches, S.; Pascual, M.; Guerri, C. Gender differences in alcohol-induced neurotoxicity and brain damage. *Toxicology* **2013**, *311*, 27–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Liu, B.; Balkwill, A.; Reeves, G.; Beral, V.; Million Women Study Collaborators. Body mass index and risk of liver cirrhosis in middle aged UK women: Prospective study. *BMJ* **2010**, *340*, c912. [CrossRef]
- 61. Finkel, T.; Holbrook, N.J. Oxidants, oxidative stress and the biology of ageing. Nature 2000, 408, 239-247. [CrossRef]
- 62. Zhang, H.; Forman, H.J. Redox regulation of gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **2009**, 41, 509–515. [CrossRef]
- 63. Pascual, M.; Montesinos, J.; Marcos, M.; Torres, J.L.; Costa-Alba, P.; García-García, F.; Laso, F.J.; Guerri, C. Gender differences in the inflammatory cytokine and chemokine profiles induced by binge ethanol drinking in adolescence. *Addict. Biol.* **2017**, 22, 1829–1841. [CrossRef]
- 64. Harrison, E.L.; Desai, R.A.; McKee, S.A. Nondaily smoking and alcohol use, hazardous drinking, and alcohol diagnoses among young adults: Findings from the NESARC. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* **2008**, *32*, 2081–2087. [CrossRef]
- 65. Woolard, R.; Liu, J.; Parsa, M.; Merriman, G.; Tarwater, P.; Alba, I.; Villalobos, S.; Ramos, R.; Bernstein, J.; Bernstein, E.; et al. Smoking is associated with increased risk of binge drinking in a young adult Hispanic population at the US-Mexico border. *Subst. Abus.* 2015, 36, 318–324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Alatalo, P.I.; Koivisto, H.M.; Hietala, J.P.; Puukka, K.S.; Bloigu, R.; Niemelä, O.J. Effect of moderate alcohol consumption on liver enzymes increases with increasing body mass index. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2008**, *88*, 1097–1103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Breitling, L.P.; Raum, E.; Müller, H.; Rothenbacher, D.; Brenner, H. Synergism between smoking and alcohol consumption with respect to serum gamma-glutamyltransferase. *Hepatology* **2009**, *49*, 802–808. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Goh, G.B.; Chow, W.C.; Wang, R.; Yuan, J.M.; Koh, W.P. Coffee, alcohol and other beverages in relation to cirrhosis mortality: The Singapore Chinese Health Study. *Hepatology* **2014**, *60*, 661–669. [CrossRef]
- 69. Park, E.Y.; Lim, M.K.; Oh, J.K.; Cho, H.; Bae, M.J.; Yun, E.H.; Kim, D.I.; Shin, H.R. Independent and supra-additive effects of alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, and metabolic syndrome on the elevation of serum liver enzyme levels. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e63439. [CrossRef]
- 70. Xiao, Q.; Sinha, R.; Graubard, B.I.; Freedman, N.D. Inverse associations of total and decaffeinated coffee with liver enzyme levels in National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999–2010. *Hepatology* **2014**, *60*, 2091–2098. [CrossRef]
- 71. Grønbaek, M.; Jensen, M.K.; Johansen, D.; Sørensen, T.I.; Becker, U. Intake of beer, wine and spirits and risk of heavy drinking and alcoholic cirrhosis. *Biol. Res.* **2004**, *37*, 195–200. [CrossRef]
- 72. Livingston, M.; Callinan, S. Underreporting in alcohol surveys: Whose drinking is underestimated? *J. Stud. Alcohol Drugs* **2015**, 76, 158–164. [CrossRef]
- 73. Tolonen, H.; Laatikainen, T.; Helakorpi, S.; Talala, K.; Martelin, T.; Prättälä, R. Marital status, educational level and household income explain part of the excess mortality of survey non-respondents. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* **2010**, 25, 69–76. [CrossRef]
- Kim, W.R.; Flamm, S.L.; Di Bisceglie, A.M.; Bodenheimer, H.C.; Public Policy Committee of the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease. Serum activity of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) as an indicator of health and disease. *Hepatology* 2008, 47, 1363–1370. [CrossRef]
- 75. Lawlor, D.A.; Benn, M.; Zuccolo, L.; de Silva, N.M.; Tybjaerg-Hansen, A.; Smith, G.D.; Nordestgaard, B.G. ADH1B and ADH1C genotype, alcohol consumption and biomarkers of liver function: Findings from a Mendelian randomization study in 58,313 European origin Danes. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e114294. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Ruhl, C.E.; Everhart, J.E. Elevated serum alanine aminotransferase and gamma-glutamyltransferase and mortality in the United States population. *Gastroenterology* **2009**, 136, 477–485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Ruttmann, E.; Brant, L.J.; Concin, H.; Diem, G.; Rapp, K.; Ulmer, H.; Vorarlberg Health Monitoring and Promotion Program Study Group. Gamma-glutamyltransferase as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease mortality: An epidemiological investigation in a cohort of 163,944 Austrian adults. *Circulation* 2005, 112, 2130–2137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]