



Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering: Imaging & Visualization

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tciv20

Mediastinal lymph nodes segmentation using 3D convolutional neural network ensembles and anatomical priors guiding

David Bouget, André Pedersen, Johanna Vanel, Haakon O. Leira & Thomas Langø

To cite this article: David Bouget, André Pedersen, Johanna Vanel, Haakon O. Leira & Thomas Langø (2022): Mediastinal lymph nodes segmentation using 3D convolutional neural network ensembles and anatomical priors guiding, Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering: Imaging & Visualization, DOI: 10.1080/21681163.2022.2043778

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/21681163.2022.2043778

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

4	0	(1
Е	Г		
Г	Г		
E			

0

Published online: 06 Mar 2022.

Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 485



View related articles 🗹



則 🛛 View Crossmark data 🗹

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Mediastinal lymph nodes segmentation using 3D convolutional neural network ensembles and anatomical priors guiding

David Bouget (D^{a,b}, André Pedersen^a, Johanna Vanel^a, Haakon O. Leira^c and Thomas Langø^a

^aDepartment of Medical Technology, SINTEF, Trondheim, Norway; ^bDepartment of Circulation and Medical Imaging, NTNU, Center for Innovative Ultrasound Solutions, Trondheim, Norway; ^cDepartment of Thoracic Medicine, St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim, Norway

ABSTRACT

As lung cancer evolves, the presence of potentially malignant lymph nodes must be assessed to properly estimate disease progression and select the best treatment strategy. A method for accurate and automatic segmentation is hence decisive for quantitatively describing lymph nodes. In this study, the use of 3D convolutional neural networks, either through slab-wise schemes or the leveraging of downsampled entire volumes, is investigated. As lymph nodes have similar attenuation values to nearby anatomical structures, we use the knowledge of other organs as prior information to guide the segmentation. To assess the performances, a 5-fold cross-validation strategy was followed over a dataset of 120 contrast-enhanced CT volumes. For the 1178 lymph nodes with a short-axis diameter ≥ 10 mm, our best-performing approach reached a patient-wise recall of 92%, a false positive per patient ratio of 5 and a segmentation overlap of 80.5%. Fusing a slab-wise and a full volume approach within an ensemble scheme generated the best performances. The anatomical priors guiding strategy is promising, yet a larger set than four organs appears needed to generate an optimal benefit. A larger dataset is also mandatory given the wide range of expressions a lymph node can exhibit (i.e. shape, location and attenuation).

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 10 February 2021 Accepted 15 February 2022

KEYWORDS

3D segmentation; ensemble; attention U-net; mediastinal lymph nodes; CT

1. Introduction

Lung cancer manifests itself through the development of malignant tumours characterised by uncontrolled cell growth in tissues of the lung. As cancer evolves, its growth can spread beyond the lung and reach nearby anatomical structures such as lymph nodes, causing them to grow in size (Falk and Williams 2010). Lymph nodes are routinely assessed by clinicians to monitor disease progression, establish cancer diagnosis, or simply evaluate the effect of therapeutics given their propensity to enlarge under the effect of many pathologies. As defined by the Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumours (RECIST) guidelines (Eisenhauer et al. 2009), a lymph node with a short-axis diameter of at least 10 mm in an axial CT slice is likely to be malignant and represents a clinical interest (Schwartz et al. 2009). Accurate clinical or pretreatment stage classification of lung cancer leads to optimal patient outcomes and improved prognostication. Regional lymph node maps are mandatory to facilitate consistent and reproducible lymph node designations, and are regularly issued by the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC) (El-Sherief et al. 2014). The regional map defines 14 different general anatomic locations, also called stations, precisely described by a set of guidelines articulated around neighbouring anatomical structures in the mediastinum (e.g. blood vessels, airways or ligaments). In practice, a lymph node is assigned its station by an expert radiologist, according to its relative position with respect to nearby anatomical structures. In lung cancer diagnosis, a chest contrast-enhanced Computed Tomography (CT) scan is most frequently favoured, and represents the gold-standard modality. In the absence of distant metastasis, enlarged lymph nodes identified on chest CT demand a verification procedure, either through endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) (Sorger et al. 2017) or mediastinoscopy, to ascertain the severity and aggressiveness of the cancer. However, the manual segmentation of lymph nodes in the mediastinal area is tedious, highly time-consuming and requires trained experts. This process is inherently subject to intra-/inter-observer variability depending on the time allocated to perform the task, level of concentration and quality of the CT scan (McErlean et al. 2013). In addition, challenges arise from the relatively similar attenuation between lymph nodes and surrounding structures (e.g. oesophagus, azygos vein or other vessels), especially impactful when poor contrast enhancement is exhibited. Last but not least, lymph nodes manifest themselves through extensive variations in shape, size, texture and location. An automatic method is therefore of high importance for facilitating the tasks of lymph node segmentation and standardised measurement computation (e.g. short-axis diameter and station) in order to assist the clinical team in making the best cancer staging.

Advances in machine learning, and more specifically deep learning, have boosted the performance in image segmentation, and fully convolutional neural networks (Long et al. 2015) have been widely accepted for medical image segmentation (Ronneberger et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2017). While deep

CONTACT David Bouget 🖾 david.bouget@sintef.no 🗈 Department of Medical Technology, , SINTEF, Prof. Brochs gate 2, NO-7465 Trondheim, Norway

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

learning-based methods thrive on everyday-life data, access to sufficiently large and annotated training datasets in the medical field represents a known bottleneck. In recent years, medical image segmentation, from CT volumes amongst other modalities, has known tremendous progress, driven by subsequent challenges where annotated datasets were made publicly available to foster research and challenge medical communities. For instance, the segmentation of moderately large organs (e.g. liver, pancreas or kidneys) from abdominal CT volumes was initially investigated in multiple challenges (Simpson et al. 2019; Kavur et al. 2020). Such organs present the advantage of being easy to delineate, without the required assistance of an expert radiologist, to create trustworthy datasets. Initially, most current deep learning architectures were designed to operate using a 2D input, hence requiring CT volumes to be segmented into one sectional image (i.e. slice) at a time (Vesal et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2019). Yet in practice, a radiologist would scroll through the CT volume and across all views to properly identify the full extent of a given anatomical structure. Naturally, anatomical borders can be only discernible from subtle change in texture or shape, not always visible in consecutive 2D slices. To overcome such limitations, attempts have been made to analyse all three views at the same time in a 2.5D fashion (Zhuang and Shen 2016), or by using multiple 2D patches around the segmentation target (Setio et al. 2016). Fortunately, with the increasing capacity of GPUs, and the ability for neural network architectures to seamlessly adapt to different dimensions, studies over 3D medical images emerged (Çiçek et al. 2016). Attempts to leverage full resolution CT volumes are still held back by their huge memory footprint. The rising bottlenecks comprise a high model complexity (i.e. number of parameters), longer training times, and overfitting issues. Nevertheless, access to local 3D context can be gained by processing the raw volume in a slab-wise or block-wise fashion (Kamnitsas et al. 2016). For the joint tasks of segmentation and instance detection, popular approaches have been widely used over 2D images (He et al. 2017; Redmon and Farhadi 2018). While such architectures are powerful and could translate well to the 3D domain, setting up the region proposal layer is too memory-expensive and training time would be a challenge.

In the literature, mediastinal lymph nodes have predominantly been studied for detection purposes and on occasion for segmentation, most often leveraging only a contrast-enhanced CT volume. In their initial work, Oda et al. employed standard machine learning technique to perform lymph node detection whereby hand-crafted features were extracted using a Hessianbased strategy (Oda et al. 2017b). In a follow-up study, a two-step detection algorithm was proposed, based on an intensity targeted radial structure tensor and blob-like structure enhancement filters (Oda et al. 2017a). Similarly, Paing et al. (Paing et al. 2019) proposed a pipeline mixing traditional image features extraction (i.e. threshold, watershed and hessian eigenvalues) fed to a classification 3D neural network separating lymph node candidates from false lesions. In mediastinal and abdominal contexts, Nogues et al. focused on the segmentation of lymph node clusters (Nogues et al. 2016). The cope with reduced intensity and texture contrast amongst collocated lymph nodes, 2D holistically-nested neural networks were proposed as

a solution to perform embedded edge detection. Structured optimisation techniques were then promoted to refine the produced imprecise segmentation, such as conditional random fields and graph cuts. Roth et al. (Roth et al. 2014) presented a two-stage pipeline to perform detection of all the potentially malignant lymph nodes. All voxels were first classified as to either belonging to the lymph node class or the background by use of blobness and circular transforms. Fed by the results of the first stage, a convolutional neural network, trained in a 2.5D fashion, produced the final set of lymph node candidates. Overall, the proposed methods were partly or fully built upon ad-hoc components or required strongly hand-crafted features, which represents a clear limitation given the impossibility to assume fixed intensity thresholds from input volumes with varying quality. In addition, prior assumptions over the shape of a lymph node were made (i.e. roundish blob) and are often incorrect. In consecutive works, Liu et al. addressed the topic of mediastinal lymph node detection and station mapping using the previously described two-stage pipeline (Liu et al. 2014, 2016). Eight anatomical structures were additionally segmented to help in the station mapping task. Each lymph node candidate was assigned a station based on its centroid location w.r.t. surrounding structures and following the IASLC guidelines. Five stages and more than half an hour were necessary to produce the final segmentation and instance detection results. The use of hand-crafted features and multiple steps also limit the method's ability to generalise and deployment in practice. Fully end-to-end approaches appears more adequate to address both limitations at once. To that end, (Oda et al. 2018) proposed a method to perform lymph node detection and segmentation using a 3D U-Net. To improve their performances and reduce data imbalance issues, they also proposed to include four anatomical structures (i.e. lungs, airways, aortic arch and branches and pulmonary arteries). While a high true-positive detection rate was reached, the reported false positive per patient rate of 17 appeared quite high. Including up to 14 anatomical structures, in addition to the lymph nodes, Bouget et al. proposed a pipeline operating in 2D (Bouget et al. 2019). The suggested approach combined the pixel-wise segmentation capability of a U-Net and improved instance detection capability from Mask R-CNN. Validated only on a dataset of 15 patients, a recall of 75% was reached for 9 false positives per patient on average, but the lack of global information was detrimental to obtain competitive results. Facing the issue of limited access to ground truth, Li et al. (Li and Xia 2020) devised a weakly supervised method generating bounding boxes and pixel-wise pseudo-mask from the RECIST annotations. A U-Net architecture was used to produce the initial pixel-wise segmentation and a deep reinforcement-based component was coupled for optimisation. State-of-the-art performance was attained over thoracoabdominal lymph nodes, reaching a Dice score of 77%. Many previous studies performed their experiments using the only open-source dataset, first introduced by Roth et al. (Roth et al. 2014). The dataset contains 90 CT volumes featuring mediastinal lymph nodes, together with manual annotations provided for most lymph nodes with a short-axis diameter larger than 10 mm. However, the annotations are often sparse, and every smaller but visible lymph node was left unsegmented, making it suboptimal to use straight off the shelf for fully supervised pixel-wise segmentation purposes.

In another line of work, a few studies have focused on leveraging both contrast-enhanced CT and PET/CT modalities concurrently. Only the malignant lymph nodes exhibit uptake in the PET volume, leaving the benign ones unnoticeable. For simple pixel-wise semantic segmentation, Xu et al. proposed to use the DeepLabv3+ architecture to benefit from atrous spatial pyramid pooling operating at various grid scales, improving boundary segmentation (Xu et al. 2020). Focal loss was further studied to allow the network focus on the difficulty-to-segment voxels and prevent overfitting on the other category of nonchallenging voxels. Zhu et al. (Zhu et al. 2020) proposed a multi-branch detection-by-segmentation network to perform segmentation and detection of malignant lymph nodes. An effective distance-based gating approach was developed in their proposed framework, replicating protocols conducted by oncologists in daily practice. Unfortunately, a PET/CT scan is usually acquired at a later stage during the diagnostic process, after cancer suspicion is raised from the analysis of the contrastenhanced CT scan. As such, an optimal processing of this initial CT volume is mandatory before considering the PET/CT modality.

In this study, our contribution are the following: (i) the investigation of slab-wise, full volume and ensemble strategies for semantic segmentation in 3D to benefit from local and global context, (ii) validation studies showing segmentation and detection performances with respect to lymph nodes' short-axis diameter and station providing insights on the impact of training data variability and (iii) the largest annotated mediastinal lymph nodes dataset with 120 patients and close to 3000 lymph nodes, partially available in open-access.

2. Data

In this work, a dataset of 120 contrast-enhanced CT volumes was assembled, featuring lung cancer patients exhibiting malignant lymph nodes and coming from two different sources. First, the 89 patients with mediastinal lymph nodes from the NIH open-source dataset (Roth et al. 2014) were gathered, which are publicly available for download.¹ The remaining 31 volumes were acquired on lung cancer patients at the Department of Thoracic Medicine, St. Olavs hospital, Trondheim University Hospital, Norway.

Overall, CT volume dimensions are covering $[487; 512] \times$ $[441; 512] \times [56; 854]$ voxels, and the voxel size ranges $[0.58; 0.97] \times [0.58; 0.97] \times [0.5; 5.0]$ mm ³. An average CT volume is $[511 \times 511 \times 628]$ pixels with a spacing of $[0.79 \times$ 0.79×0.99] mm⁻³. For the CT volumes acquired at the St. Olavs hospital, lymph nodes' manual annotations were performed by an expert thoracic radiologist. Regarding the CT volumes from the NIH dataset, the available annotations were used as a starting point and manually refined by a medical trainee under the supervision of the expert. Following the RECIST criterion, malignancy for a lymph node is considered for a short-axis diameter larger than 10 mm. Using the regionprops method from the Scikit-Image Python package, the short-axis diameter was computed for each annotated lymph node. A total of 2912 lymph nodes are featured in our dataset, with 1178 having a short-axis diameter larger than 10 mm, 767 having

a short-axis diameter in the range [7, 10[mm and 967 with a short-axis diameter smaller than 7 mm. A set of annotated lymph nodes from our dataset is illustrated in Figure 1.

Following the IASLC guidelines, each lymph node was assigned its station by a medical trainee under the supervision of the expert. The exhaustive list of stations' number and name is as follows: 1) Low cervical, supraclavicular and sternal notch nodes, 2) Upper paratracheal, 3a) Prevascular, 3p) Retrotracheal, 4) Lower paratracheal, 5) Subaortic, 6) Para-aortic, 7) Subcarinal, 8) Paraesophageal below carina, 9) Pulmonary ligament, 10) Hilar, 11) Interlobar, 12) Lobar, 13) Segmental and 14) Sub-segmental. Most stations can also be subdivided between left and right side, but in the rest of the paper we will refer to a station by its main number. Given the large variability in lymph nodes' expression (e.g. shape and size), overlaps across multiple stations are common and multiplestation assignments were required. Occasionally, lymph nodes could not be directly mapped to the guidelines or were outside the mediastinum scope, and were thus left station-less (e.g. around the heart, below the base of the lungs, or at the base of the neck). Overall, 74 lymph nodes were left unassigned, 1256 were overlapping at least two stations and 379 were overlapping at least three stations. In terms of volume, lymph nodes are ranging [0.01, 234.72] ml with a mean value of 1.98 ± 6.81 ml. Lymph nodes statistics with respect to volume and primary station are illustrated in Figure 2. The primary station distribution is represented to the left, with unassigned lymph nodes grouped in the NA category. A large imbalance can be noticed, especially between stations 2 and 9, and it can be noted that on average lymph nodes are more present on the right side. In some cases, the decision could not be made regarding lateralisation, represented as unspecified (in blue). Volume-wise, the distribution across primary stations is relatively homogeneous, but the plot cannot be interpreted unbiasedly as often more than one station is overlapped by a lymph node, especially for the larger ones.

3. Methods

First, a description of the neural network architectures and designs used in this study is provided in Section 3.1. Then, the possibility to leverage anatomical priors to guide the network during training is introduced, together with our proposed ensemble strategy, in Section 3.2. Finally, the different preprocessing steps and selected training strategies are detailed in Section 3.3. An overview of our proposed approach is given in Figure 3.

3.1. Architectures and designs

For properly segmenting and detecting instances of potentially collocated lymph nodes, the most promising alternatives described in the literature are multi-task architectures the likes of Mask R-CNN (He et al. 2017) or YOLACT (Bolya et al. 2019). Even though extremely good performance was documented from studies carried out in the 2D domain, fewer studies were applied to the 3D domain as the transition presents many limitations ranging from memory overload, to complexity to generate enough sensible proposals. When dealing with 3D medical volumes, too sizeable to fit on GPU memory, two main lines of work can be identified: (i) splitting the volume



Figure 1. Illustrations of the manual annotations over the dataset. For each pair, the left image represents the raw CT clipped to [-150; 500] HU, and the right image represents the same CT slice with the manually annotated lymph nodes overlaid in colour. From top to bottom, and left to right, each example features lymph nodes in different stations.



Figure 2. Dataset statistics regarding the primary station distribution (to the left), and the volume distribution within each primary station (to the right). Each station is uniquely represented and the lateralisation distribution is not directly shown. Lymph nodes not mapped to any IASLC station are regrouped under the NA category.

in a slab-wise manner (SW) and (ii) using the full volume at a lower resolution (FV). In the slab-wise strategy, the objective is to benefit locally from a high-resolution while generating contextual features on some extent of global information, which is correlated to the slab size. In the full volume strategy, overall contextual features can be computed to model all spatial relationships between each visible anatomical structure. However, due to memory limitations and time constraints for training, keeping the initial resolution is not feasible yet and degrading the spatial resolution is needed as opposed to the slab-wise strategy. Over the previous years, ample focus has been dedicated to improving 2D/3D pixelwise segmentation performances over well-established backbone architectures such as ResNet (He et al. 2016) and U-Net



Figure 3. Overall representation of the proposed approach, where a slab-wise and a full volume approach are fused in an ensemble fashion, with the possibility to include anatomical priors.

(Ronneberger et al. 2015), providing near radiologist-level performances on some medical image analysis tasks (Liao et al. 2019). A common pitfall for such encoder-decoder architectures is some extent of details' loss provoked by strided convolutions and pooling operations along the encoding path to progressively enlarge the field-of-view. The constant challenge lies in optimally using global and contextual information from high-level features and border information from lowlevel features to resolve small details (Sang et al. 2020). To compensate for the loss of details in the encoding path, previous studies have non-exhaustively covered multi-scale investigations employing the input volume at down-sampled scales in each encoder block (Abraham and Khan 2019), enlarging the receptive fields using atrous convolutions and pyramid spatial pooling (Chen et al. 2017), or by crafting multi-scale feature maps encoding jointly low-level and high-level semantic information in a powerful representation (Sinha and Dolz 2020). Similarly, instead of computing the loss simply from the last decoder step intermediate feature maps generated at each level of the architecture can be leveraged. Feature maps from hidden layers of a deep network can serve as a proxy to improve the overall segmentation quality and sensitivity of the model, while alleviating the problem of vanishing gradients (Lee et al. 2015). In a different line of work, attention mechanisms are able to identify salient image regions and amplify their influence while filtering away irrelevant information from other regions, making the prediction more contextualised (Jetley et al. 2018). Attention is optimally coupled to each level during the decoding path and can be designed to capture features' dependencies spatially, channel-wise, or across any other dimension (Fu et al. 2019). Interestingly, all those suggested concepts can be seamlessly integrated into the current CNN architectures considered as backbones and enable complete end-to-end training.

In this work, given the inherent 3D nature of the various anatomical structures in the mediastinal area, we chose to focus on improving the lymph nodes' pixel-wise segmentation using 3D U-Net (Çiçek et al. 2016) as the backbone architecture (denoted as UNet). Our UNet design has been set to seven levels and [8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 256] as filter sizes for each level, respectively. For studying the impact of concepts like deep supervision or attention, we chose the Attention-Gated U-Net (AGUNet) and Dual-Attention Guided U-Net (DAGUNet) architectures as previously introduced (Bouget et al. 2021). For those, the design was set to five levels and [32, 64, 128, 256, 512] as filter sizes for each level, respectively.

3.2. Anatomical knowledge priors and model ensembles

In the mediastinal area, many anatomical structures have attenuation values in the same range as the lymph nodes (e.g. oesophagus and azygos vein) often without clear inbetween boundaries. To assist the model in better differentiating between lymph nodes and surrounding similar-looking structures, anatomical knowledge priors can be injected to serve as prior knowledge during training. To that end, each training sample can be built as a combination of the raw CT positioned in channel 0, and a binary mask containing anatomical priors placed in channel 1. With this approach, the no-go zones defined in the anatomical priors' mask should be identified to prevent the model generating high probabilities, hence decreasing the false-positive ratio.

Multiple models operating on different input shapes or focusing on different aspects during training can be ensembled to generate a better consensus and hence improve the final prediction map (Feng et al. 2020). Global context and local refinement can virtually be obtained separately at the cost of longer training and inference time, and higher model complexity. Regarding the task of lymph nodes' segmentation, the two main strategies considered (i.e. slab-wise and full volume) operate on different domains and present each inherent strength and weakness. Since training a model using the whole CT at a high resolution is not feasible, performing ensembling appears to be a competitive alternative solution. In this study, we opted for a straightforward approach whereby a maximum operator is applied pixel-wise over the probability maps resulting from a model trained following each of the two main strategies. By doing so, the newly created probability map is biased towards a better recall at the detriment of the precision since more voxels will have a higher probability as belonging to the lymph node class.

3.3. Training strategies

To prepare the training samples, all CT volumes were preprocessed identically using the following series of steps: (i) resampling to an isotropic spacing of 1 mm ³ using spline interpolation order 1 from NiBabel,² (ii) lung-cropping using a pre-trained network (Hofmanninger et al. 2020) in order to generate the tightest bounding box around the mediastinal area, (iii) resizing to the network's input resolution using spline interpolation order 1, and (iv) intensity clipping to the range [-250, 500] HU followed by normalising to the range [0, 1].

For the slab-wise investigations performed in this study, we chose to wield slabs of 32 slices and 64 slices along the z-axis denoted as SW32 and SW64, respectively, for an axial resolution of 256×192 pixels. In order to generate a collection of training samples, a stride parameter of 8 was employed whereby two consecutive slabs would share 24 slices and 56 slices, respectively. The value was empirically chosen as a good trade-off by which models can be trained in a decent amount of time, generalise well, and circumvent overfitting hurdles. Regarding the full volume approach, a drastic down-sampling was imperative given the GPU memory limitations, therefore a new resolution of 128 imes 128×144 voxels was chosen. Investigations performed using anatomical priors guiding were performed solely with the full volume approach, hence with a final training sample dimension of $128 \times 128 \times 144 \times 2$ voxels. The four following structures were used as part of the anatomical priors: oesophagus, azygos vein, subclavian arteries, and brachiocephalic veins.

For the data augmentation strategy, the following transforms were applied to each input sample with a probability of 50%: horizontal and vertical flipping, random rotation in the range $[-20^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}]$, translation up to 10% of the axis dimension, zoom between [80, 120]% in the axial plane. Given the large variability in lymph nodes' shape and location and the relatively limited total number of patients, the training samples were randomly assigned to their fold at a patient-level without any other consideration. All models were trained from scratch using the Adam optimiser with an initial learning rate of 10^{-3} , and training was stopped after 30 consecutive epochs without validation loss improvement. The main loss function used was the class-average Dice, excluding the background, and batches of size 8 were used for slab-wise training, while batches of size 1 with 32 accumulated gradient steps were used for full volume training. The concept of accumulated gradient enables training with larger batch sizes, whereby N batches are run sequentially using the same model weights for calculating the gradients. When the N steps are performed, the model weights are properly updated using the accumulated sum of gradients.

4. Validation studies

In this work, we aim at assessing the lymph nodes' pixel-wise segmentation and partial instance detection performances, leaving aside the task of disambiguation between collocated instances. The dataset was split randomly into five folds at the patient level, and a 5-fold cross-validation performed whereby three folds were used for training, one for validation and one for testing. As described in Section 4.1, the initial ground truth was slightly refined to adjust for the inability to distinguish between collocated lymph nodes. The selected measurements and metrics used are then introduced in Section 4.2 while the validation studies are detailed in Section 4.3.

4.1. Ground truth preprocessing

In order to assess instance detection performances (e.g. recall and precision) over results from a pure pixel-wise segmentation neural network, some adjustments must be performed. From the resulting probability map, and after thresholding using the optimal value, a connected components approach is operated in order to generate lymph node instances. However, collocated lymph nodes would only produce one instance using such an approach. In addition, the same behaviour is to be expected for close-by lymph nodes, given some noisiness in the final binary mask. To reflect the inability of our method to optimally perform instance segmentation and reduce the bias in reported performances, we applied the same connected components method on the original ground truth. Each new lymph node cluster was assigned with all primary stations for each individual element composing the cluster. An illustration is provided in Figure 4, where two clusters of collocated lymph nodes (circled in red) are visible.

4.2. Measurements and metrics

To assess the segmentation performance, the Dice score is computed between the ground truth and a binary representation of the probability map generated by a trained model. The binary representation is computed for 10 different equally spaced probability thresholds (PT), in the range [0, 1]. After identification of the best PT for each model, the instance detection performance is assessed in turn. A connected components approach, used over the resulting binary map, coupled to a pairing strategy is executed to identify matches between a ground truth and a detection mask, based on the Dice score computation.

The Dice score, reported in %, is used to assess the quality of the pixel-wise segmentation at a patient level. To refine and highlight the reported segmentation performance at the lymph node level, the Dice score over true positive instances is further computed (noted Dice-TP). Finally, discrepancies are expected between paired lymph node instances from the use of a connected components approach over noisy masks. To prevent the results from being heavily penalised by such shortcomings, we propose to report in complement the total percentage of the ground truth to have been correctly segmented at the lymph node level (noted GT-perc).



Figure 4. Illustration of the preprocessing performed over the ground truth annotation to prepare for instance detection performance assessment. The raw CT slice is shown to the left, the original ground truth with 7 lymph nodes is visible in the middle, and the final ground truth with 3 lymph nodes is presented to the right. The two transformed lymph node clusters are circled in red.

To report instance detection performance, the recall as a global metric across all patients is supplied, as well as a perpatient recall (noted Recall-PW) to take into account the high variability in the amount of lymph nodes from patient to patient. Regarding precision, the number of false positives per patient (FPPP) is mentioned.

Lastly, the pure inference speed and the total elapsed time required to generate predictions for a new patient are reported (in s), obtained with both CPU or GPU support. The operations required to prepare the data to be sent through the network, to initialise the environment, to load the trained model, and to reconstruct the probability map in the referential space of the original volume are accounted for. The experiment has been repeated five consecutive times over the same CT volume, using a representative high-resolution case of $512 \times 512 \times 767$ voxels with $0.68 \times 0.68 \times 0.5$ mm³ spacing. All measurements are reported with mean and standard deviation.

4.3. Studies

Experiments using various combinations of architectures and training strategies (as introduced in Section 3) were carried out, and the name given to each experiment is a descriptive concatenation. Architectures to choose from are: regular U-Net (UNet), attention-gated U-Net (AGUNet), and dual attention guided U-Net (DAGUNet). Training strategies to choose from are: slab-wise with 32 slices (SW32), slab-wise with 64 slices (SW64), full volume (FV), and using anatomical priors guiding (APG). Experiments using ensembles are specifically mentioned.

Slab-wise performance analysis: On average, 12 days were necessary to train one model in a slab-wise fashion. Under such circumstances, comparing all slab-wise methods using a 5-fold cross-validation approach was realistically unachievable. The objective of this first study is to show limited performance variability across the five different folds using the UNet-SW32 approach. All models trained in a slab-wise fashion are then compared over the first fold only to identify the best-performing approach.

Overall performance comparison: Averaged over the 5 folds, a comparative analysis is performed between the different methods considered and across the whole set of proposed metrics. In this study, all lymph nodes featured in our dataset are considered without restrictions over the short-axis diameter or primary station. A total of 2122 lymph nodes or lymph node clusters, after ground truth preprocessing, are taken into account. Performance analysis over lymph nodes' characteristics: For the best-performing model identified in the previous validation study, an in-depth analysis is carried out with respect to the short-axis diameter and primary station. Three divisions are used to highlight the performance with regard to the short-axis diameter, around the thresholds of 7 mm and 10 mm. Given our choice of preprocessing steps to generate the training samples, many lymph nodes featured in station 1 (i.e. above or at the top of the lungs) were never seen by the network and hence could not be segmented. As such, two main groups are considered: (i) all stations even for the NA category (noted all stations) and (ii) all stations except 1 and NA (noted relevant stations).

Ground truth quality assessment over a benchmark subset: Out of the 31 CT volumes acquired at the St. Olavs hospital and featured in our dataset, 15 have been part of previous studies and are publicly available (Reynisson et al. 2015). To assess the quality of the ground truth, a second expert with a background as a thorax radiologist was asked to look over the segmentation and assigned stations for this subset. To judge the inter-rater variability regarding station assignment, and given that lymph nodes can overlap multiple stations, we defined three grades: (i) perfect if both annotators were in agreement regarding the primary station, (ii) good if annotators agreed on the multiple stations overlapped but disagreed on the primary station and (iii) bad if the second expert assigned a different and unrelated primary station.

In addition to the ground truth made publicly available, segmentation and instance detection performances obtained over the 15 patients are separately reported for benchmark purposes.

5. Results

The models were trained using an HP desktop: Intel Xeon @3.70 GHz, 62.5 GiB of RAM, NVIDIA Quadro P5000 (16GB), and a regular hard drive. Implementation was done in Python 3.6 using TensorFlow v1.13.1, Cuda 10.0, and the Imgaug Python library for data augmentation methods. Trained models, inference code, and ground truth files are available online.³

5.1. Slab-wise performance analysis

For each of the five folds, performances obtained using the UNet-SW32 method are summarised in Table 1. The recall and Dice score values achieved over the first fold are above the

Table	1. Segmentation and instance detection performances collected for the five folds with the UNet-SW32 approach.	The # LN corresponds to the number of
lymph	nodes or clusters in each fold.	

Fold	PT	# LN	Dice	Recall	Recall-PW	FPPP	GT-Perc
1	0.3	459	67.34 ± 14.49	56.43	58.16 ± 16.49	$\textbf{4.04} \pm \textbf{1.85}$	65.58 ± 9.45
2	0.3	410	64.47 ± 11.25	55.12	57.63 ± 16.94	5.83 ± 3.34	71.40 ± 10.7
3	0.3	451	61.88 ± 14.83	47.01	48.85 ± 17.73	5.83 ± 2.94	66.56 ± 12.84
4	0.3	330	63.21 ± 16.40	55.15	$\textbf{60.71} \pm \textbf{18.93}$	9.37 ± 4.56	$\textbf{70.80} \pm \textbf{12.68}$
5	0.3	472	64.58 ± 16.27	49.36	$\textbf{50.93} \pm \textbf{18.21}$	$\textbf{4.08} \pm \textbf{2.26}$	$\textbf{63.99} \pm \textbf{14.45}$
Total	0.3	2122	64.27 ± 14.63	52.40	55.23 ± 17.98	5.85 ± 3.65	67.68 ± 12.32

average performances by 3%. Performances across each fold are relatively stable and contained within 4% of the average values. The highest magnitude in difference exists for the patient-wise recall with 12% between folds 3 and 4. However, it is worth noting that fold 4 exhibits the smallest amount of single or collocated lymph nodes, around 25% fewer than in any other fold. Comparing the different slab-wise training schemes based on the first fold only appears trustworthy enough to identify the best-performing approach in a reasonable amount of time.

Performances over the first fold for the different models trained using a slab-wise strategy are reported in Table 2. The best-performing method regarding the Dice score and recall is the slab-wise U-Net architecture with 32 slices (experiment (i)), reaching up to 58% patient-wise recall for all lymph nodes. Doubling the number of slices in the slab worsened all scores by about 2%, probably indicating the need for more training samples (cf. experiments (i) and (ii)). Using more advanced architectures such as the attention-gated U-Net shows improved precision with one less false positive per patient and improved GT-Perc, demonstrating improved pixel-wise segmentation around detected lymph nodes (cf. experiments (ii) and (iii)). However, this increase is accompanied by deteriorated recall and patient-wise recall values. By downsampling the input resolution, performances are diminished by 2% at most as can be seen between experiments (iii) and (iv). Nonetheless, the minimal drop in performance for a faster training appears as a good trade-off to investigate training schemes or architecture designs in a more reasonable amount of time.

5.2. Overall performance comparison

For the overall performance comparison study, results have been averaged over the five folds and are reported in Table 3. The best slab-wise approach is competitive in terms of recall when compared to full volume approaches, as can be seen between experiments (i) and (ii). In addition, and given the higher spatial resolution, the slab-wise approach is able to top pixel-wise segmentation performances with up to 64% overall Dice score compared to 60% with the best full volume approach (cf. experiments (i) and (iv)). Using more advanced architectures, in combination with the use of anatomical priors guiding, lead to a 3% increase in recall and patient-wise recall together with a reduction of almost 1 FPPP (cf. experiments (ii) and (iii)). When ensembling a slab-wise and a full volume approach, recall and patient-wise recall performances are similar (cf. experiments (iii) and (vi)). However, only through ensembling can all the metrics reach close to their maximum simultaneously, and as such experiment (vi) is deemed to be our best-performing method. The ensemble of a slabwise U-Net using 32 slices and a full volume attentionguided U-Net using anatomical priors reaches a patientwise recall of 58.8%, a Dice score of 64%, an extent of segmented ground truth up to 71%, for 5 false positives per patient. A 3D illustration for two patients, showing the ground truth and detected lymph nodes side-by-side, is provided in Figure 5, and where the four organs used for the anatomical priors guiding are also featured.

Table 2. Overall performances obtained with different slab-wise training configurations, for the first fold only. The fourth experiment, indicated by *, used a lower input resolution of 192 × 128 voxels.

Experiment	PT	Dice	Dice-TP	GT-Perc	Recall	Recall-PW	FPPP
(i) UNet-SW32	0.3	67.34 ± 14.49	$\textbf{53.49} \pm \textbf{14.21}$	65.58 ± 09.45	56.43	$\textbf{58.16} \pm \textbf{16.49}$	4.04 ± 1.85
(ii) UNet-SW64	0.4	65.86 ± 14.18	$\textbf{52.46} \pm \textbf{11.01}$	62.77 ± 08.23	52.72	56.94 ± 15.66	4.57 ± 2.04
(iii)AGUNet-SW64	0.2	64.24 ± 13.55	53.37 ± 10.83	77.12 ± 09.51	53.38	55.49 ± 15.34	$\textbf{3.00} \pm \textbf{1.41}$
(iv) AGUNet-SW64*	0.2	62.86 ± 13.24	50.31 ± 13.00	78.55 ± 09.72	51.42	53.96 ± 16.82	3.74 ± 2.16

Table 3. Overall performance comparison obtained for the different experiments carried out, averaged across the five folds. The abbreviations are: regular U-Net (UNet), attention-gated U-Net (AGUNet), dual attention guided U-Net (DAGUNet), anatomical priors guiding (APG), full volume (FV) and slab-wise with 32 slices (SW32).

		3	· //	1 3 3 7 11		·	, ,
Experiment	PT	Dice	Dice-TP	GT-Perc	Recall	Recall-PW	FPPP
(i) UNet-SW32	0.3	64.27 ± 14.63	$\textbf{53.93} \pm \textbf{13.79}$	67.68 ± 12.32	52.40	55.23 ± 17.98	5.85 ± 3.65
(ii) AGUNet-FV	0.2	59.48 ± 13.48	45.21 ± 16.93	72.73 ± 12.17	52.21	55.86 ± 19.07	$\textbf{4.48} \pm \textbf{2.98}$
(iii) AGUNet-FV-APG	0.2	57.64 ± 13.53	42.13 ± 15.88	75.07 \pm 12.35	55.42	58.04 ± 19.95	$\textbf{4.48} \pm \textbf{2.95}$
(iv) DAGUNet-FV-APG	0.4	60.01 ± 15.78	45.89 ± 16.51	65.81 ± 14.27	50.80	54.21 ± 19.17	$\textbf{4.19} \pm \textbf{2.87}$
(v) Ensemble (i) & (ii)	0.4	$\textbf{65.01} \pm \textbf{12.75}$	53.59 ± 14.97	69.70 ± 11.44	52.59	56.02 ± 18.15	5.12 ± 2.96
(vi) Ensemble (i) & (iii)	0.4	64.00 ± 13.21	51.89 ± 15.32	71.01 ± 11.46	55.23	$\textbf{58.79} \pm \textbf{19.72}$	5.23 ± 2.80
(vii) Ensemble (i) & (iv)	0.6	64.16 ± 14.78	$\textbf{50.98} \pm \textbf{14.75}$	62.02 ± 12.74	50.47	53.66 ± 19.13	$\textbf{4.05} \pm \textbf{2.27}$



Figure 5. Representation in 3D of the results for two patients where the ground truth is shown to the left and the model output to the right. The four anatomical structures used for the anatomical priors guiding are also represented: oesophagus (blue), azygos vein (red), subclavian arteries (yellow) and brachiocephalic veins (green).

For five different patients, one being featured per row and sampled from each fold, visual comparisons are provided in Figure 6 between the four main designs. The probability maps are set to the range [0,1] where cool colours indicate low confidence in the lymph node class and warm colours indicate high confidence, and the CT images are shown in the range [-150, 500] HU. In the first and fourth rows, the segmentation performance is similar across all methods for the displayed lymph nodes. The effect of anatomical prior guiding can be witnessed in the third row, over the azygos vein to the left of the yellow lymph node. In thefirst two methods without guiding the upper part of the vein is by mistake partially segmented as being a lymph node. On the opposite, the azygos vein is left totally unsegmented when using anatomical prior guiding as can be shown with the last two methods. In the last row, the contrast is slightly different than from other patients, resulting

in lymph nodes more challenging to detect. While the top lymph node (coloured in yellow) is similarly segmented across all methods, two other lymph nodes are featured in the ground truth (coloured in blue and magenta) with varying extent of segmentation. Given the difficulty to visually identify those lymph nodes, judging whether the manual ground truth has been under-segmented or the last two methods are oversegmenting, is extremely challenging.

To quantify and fully appreciate the effect of anatomical priors guiding on the pixel-wise segmentation performance rather than just visually, a supplementary analysis has been completed. The Dice scores between a model prediction and each of the four organs making the anatomical priors are reported in Table 4. Reported scores are predominantly lower for the two experiments making use of the priors when compared with the other two experiments. Without the use of

	•	000	° Ç .	،۵،
	6° 0 °	e 6. 4	6 ° °	€ ♥ *
	800	er 0	00	00.
	÷	}	A	æ
			4 1	\$

Figure 6. Prediction examples for a patient from each fold, one per line, for the four main designs: UNet-SW32, AGUNet, AGUNet-FV-APG and DAGUNet-FV-APG. Cool colours indicate low confidence in the lymph node class and warm colours indicate high confidence.

Table 4. Quantification of false-positive segmentation over the four anatomical structures used for priors guiding. For each of the four main designs, Dice scores averaged across the 120 patients are reported.

Experiment	Oesophagus	Azygos vein	Subclavian arteries	Brachiocephalic veins
(i) UNet-SW32	3.54%	3.54%	0.49%	1.27%
(ii) AGUNet-FV	6.87%	7.26%	1.20%	2.57%
(iii) AGUNet-FV-APG	2.03%	1.89%	0.90%	0.60%
(iv) DAGUNet-FV-APG	1.61%	1.64%	0.85%	0.48%

priors, the slab-wise approach seems to fair better, with twice as low scores, than the full volume approach (cf. experiments (i) and (ii)). The higher spatial resolution from the slab-wise approach being surely profitable to distinguish between similar-looking voxels belonging to different anatomical structures. The benefit is even more apparent for over the oesophagus and azygos vein, with a drastic reduction in false-positive segmentation (cf. experiments (ii) and (iii)).

Regarding the total processing time required to process a new CT volume with a single model, an average of 3.5 ± 0 minutes has been calculated, using GPU support. For ensembling, models were run sequentially without any specific optimisation, leading to a total processing time of 7.3 ± 0.2 minutes. Interestingly, a similar performance is reached using only the CPU. Most of the processing time is dedicated to the different resampling and resizing operations, given the large resolution of the input CT volume, and performed by default on the CPU. Regarding pure inference, including model loading, only 8.4 ± 0.4 seconds are necessary on average.

5.3. Performance analysis over lymph nodes' characteristics

From the best-performing approach, ensemble of UNet-SW32 and AGUNet-FV-APG, performances based on lymph nodes' primary station and short-axis diameter are reported in Table 5. When considering lymph nodes of all sizes, a 6% recall increase can be witnessed when discarding the lymph nodes located in regions not corresponding to any IASLC station or in station 1 (i.e. relevant stations category). Those lymph nodes are either heavily under-represented in our dataset, or simply not included during sample preprocessing, explaining the model's worst performance. A significant recall improvement of 20% can be acknowledged when focusing on lymph nodes with a short-axis diameter \geq 7 mm. Regarding the most clinically relevant lymph nodes, with a short-axis diameter > 10mm and potentially malignant following the RECIST criterion, an overall recall of 90.73% is reached along with a patient-wise recall of 92%. The pixel-wise segmentation performances are comparatively worse, with an average Dice-TP of 59% at best.

However, the score is not directly representative of the segmentation quality and is heavily impacted by the process including the connected components approach and pairing strategy. A Dice score computed between a single lymph node and a cluster of collocated lymph nodes will be inherently low, even if the pixel-segmentation is accurate over the different lymph nodes in the cluster. If anything, this value is an indication of the method's struggles to perform instance disambiguation. Conversely, the GT-Perc score is a better metric to assess the total extent of properly segmented lymph node voxels. On average, up to 80% of the voxels belonging to the clinically relevant lymph nodes have been successfully segmented. While the noisiness in the results is not factored in here, the combination of the different metrics (i.e. Dice-TP, GT-Perc and FPPP) should be enough to appreciate the quality of the pixelwise segmentation.

Leaving laterality aside, the recall performances are reported with respect to each of the IASLC station in Figure 7. Considering only the clinically relevant lymph nodes (i.e. right sub-plot), no major recall discrepancy can be identified across the different stations. Even though the distribution of lymph nodes featured in each station is heavily unbalanced, especially between stations 4 and 9, the model's ability to segment the largest lymph nodes has not been hindered. When including lymph nodes of all short-axis diameters (i.e. left sub-plot), stations 2, 3 and 8 are standing out with a recall below 60%. Station 2 being the most populated station, the lower recall percentage cannot be explained by an under-representation or data imbalance rationalisation. Having the possibility to train our models with a higher input resolution should help segment the smallest and challenging lymph nodes.

An investigation over the pixel-wise segmentation quality across the different stations is provided in Figure 8. The average GT-Perc scores (right sub-plot) are stable across the different stations with values around or above 80%. Only station 7 seems to be standing out with a more compact range of scores, far from the few outliers. A similar general behaviour can be observed for the Dice-TP scores (left sub-plot).

5.4. Ground truth quality assessment over a benchmark subset

For assessing the quality of the ground truth, a direct comparison between assigned stations for the 15 patients of the benchmark subset has been performed. Out of the 363 lymph nodes featured, 312 instances were attributed a perfect grade as both the expert and medical trainees were in agreement, amounting to about 86% of all cases. A good grade was associated with 33 instances (around 9%), as the expert believed the

Table 5. Segmentation performances obtained with our best-performing method for the three lymph node categories based on short-axis value. The first four measurements (left-most columns) are reported for all lymph nodes while the last four measurements (right-most columns) are reported for the lymph nodes belonging to relevant IASLC stations.

All stations						Relevant sta	tions	
Short-axis	Dice-TP	GT-Perc	Recall	Recall-PW	Dice-TP	GT-Perc	Recall	Recall-PW
All	51.89 ± 15.32	71.01 ± 11.46	55.23	$\textbf{58.79} \pm \textbf{19.72}$	51.68 ± 15.54	71.59 ± 11.77	61.50	65.46 ± 20.14
\geq 7 mm	55.03 ± 15.31	74.20 ± 12.05	75.63	$\textbf{79.59} \pm \textbf{18.41}$	54.80 ± 15.52	74.69 ± 12.46	80.53	83.33 ± 18.05
≥ 10 <i>mm</i>	59.02 ± 16.48	80.04 ± 12.60	85.73	88.96 ± 14.75	58.54 ± 16.99	80.55 ± 12.54	90.73	$\textbf{92.10} \pm \textbf{14.43}$



Figure 7. Lymph nodes recall performance per primary station for our best approach. To the left all lymph nodes are considered, to the right only the lymph nodes with a short-axis diameter \geq 10 mm are considered. The red colour represents the total amount of lymph nodes and the green colour the amount positively detected.



Figure 8. Segmentation performance for lymph nodes with a short-axis diameter \geq 10 mm and featured in the relevant stations category, obtained with our bestperforming approach. The Dice-TP scores are reported to the left and the GT-Perc scores to the right.

primary and secondary stations assigned by the trainee were to be swapped. Given the loose definition from the IASLC guidelines, capturing exactly the quantity of a lymph node lying in each station is impossible solely from a CT volume. As such, 95% of all lymph nodes were considered to be correctly assigned with their main stations (up to three). Lastly, 18 instances were assigned a wrong station according to the expert, representing slightly less than 5% of all cases. The vast majority of confused cases revolved around a mistaken assignment to station 10 whereby lymph nodes should have been assigned to station 4 (in 7 cases), station 5 (in 4 cases), or stations 6 and 12 (in 1 case each).

Regarding the quality of the pixel-wise segmentation, the task was not asked to be performed by the second expert, as being too time-consuming. From a thorough eye-balling, no clinically relevant lymph node was mentioned as having been overlooked. In three occurrences, the segmentation was suggested to be refined as more than one lymph node could be identified from the segmented cluster. For completeness, the performances obtained on the benchmark subset, with our best-performing method, are reported in Table 6. Not indicated in the table, an FPPP rate of 4.73 ± 2.52 was obtained on average over the 15 patients.

6. Discussion

In this study, we have investigated the segmentation of mediastinal lymph nodes using different 3D neural network architectures and strategies, and performed in-depth validation studies on a dataset of close to 3000 lymph nodes from 120 patients. The main findings to consider are: the positive impact of using anatomical priors as a guiding component to increase the specificity of the trained models towards lymph nodes and avoid segmentation of nearby organs, and the added value of ensembling strategies where local and global information are fused. The main limitation lies in the nature of the neural network architectures performing solely segmentation and hence unable to disambiguate collocated lymph nodes. However,

Table 6. Segmentation performances obtained with our best-performing method for the three lymph node categories based on short-axis values. The first four measurements (left-most columns) are reported for all lymph nodes, while the last four measurements (right-most columns) are reported for the lymph nodes belonging to relevant IASLC stations. Only for the 15 patients of the benchmark subset.

All stations					Relevant stations			
Short-axis	Dice-TP	GT-Perc	Recall	Recall-PW	Dice-TP	GT-Perc	Recall	Recall-PW
All	44.75 ± 13.52	53.13 ± 19.44	46.42	$\textbf{46.47} \pm \textbf{11.98}$	44.49 ± 13.07	$\textbf{52.61} \pm \textbf{19.24}$	56.02	58.39 ± 16.19

such disambiguation task is equally difficult for an experienced radiologist from CT volumes only, and ultrasound investigations are usually mandatory. Furthermore, while the dataset used in this study is the largest existing, a total of 120 patients represent a bare minimum given the high variability in lymph nodes' expressions and as such a bigger dataset is required.

Solely from the visual inspection of a CT volume, a perfect identification, pixel-wise segmentation, and station mapping of all lymph nodes is arguably close to impossible for various reasons. The resolution in CT acquisitions is relatively correct, but inferior to what ultrasound can achieve during the EBUS procedure. The timing and quality of the contrast uptake is volatile, resulting in some regions visually exhibiting the same characteristics and attenuation as lymph nodes (e.g. mucus, fluid or other soft tissues), often without clear boundaries. Only a thorough biopsy sample from every lymph node candidate identified on CT would ascertain the perfection of the ground truth, which is unrealistic to achieve in practice. Therefore, a conservative annotation approach was adopted whereby every suspicious region has been labelled as a lymph node, potentially engendering a bias towards hyperdetection. Similarly, visible lymph nodes of any short-axis diameter were annotated if possible, even smaller than the recommended RECIST criterion of 10 mm. The inclusion of smaller lymph nodes can be seen as a very efficient data augmentation approach especially for location, either bypassing or complementing heavier data augmentation transforms during training (e.g. zoom, affine or perspective operations). In addition, clinicians tend to find all PET-positive lymph nodes to be relevant, regardless of size, and often the number and pattern of enlargement in even smaller lymph nodes is looked at.

Regarding station mapping, the guidelines prescribed by the IASLC are approximate since construed relatively to the surrounding anatomical structures in the mediastinum, leaving room for interpretation and speculating. In addition to constant evolution of the guidelines through yearly updates, lymph nodes, either expressed as singular entities or within a cluster, can be featured in multiple stations simultaneously. For all these reasons, we do not claim exact segmentation and station mapping in our ground truth. However, from the results of the fourth validation study, the deviation between the medical trainee and expert was minimal. Some trust regarding the manual annotations can then be granted for the other patients not proofed by the expert. In any case, for this nontrivial task a perfect ground-truth cannot be expected, and we believe this annotation work to be a step in the right direction, sufficient to get insights over the performance in each station. Stemming from this work, multi-task architectures simultaneously performing pixel-wise segmentation, instance detection, and station classification could be investigated.

Regarding the architecture designs or training schemes investigated, a mild impact could be appreciated from the simpler or more complex operations, with a 5–10% variation across the different metrics studied. The main limitation to get better performances is most likely coming from the dataset itself rather than the chosen methods. While a hefty number of lymph nodes are featured, a total of 120 patients might not represent enough diversity, especially when training full volume approaches whereby one patient equates to only one training sample. Lymph nodes do exhibit a wide range of expressions (i.e. shape, size and location), a clear imbalance in the station distributions has been highlighted, and a disparity in contrast-enhancing has also been witnessed. Therefore, access to a dataset orders of magnitude larger would be needed, but it represents a tremendous data collection and annotation workload. Performing ad-hoc ensembling as postprocessing enables to benefit from the higher spatial resolution generated by the slab-wise models and global relationships from full volume models. As it is, the ensemble approach is favouring recall and GT-Perc in essence but at the expanse of precision. Smarter and end-to-end consensus designs should be investigated in-depth, using more than simply two models, which would require more data and induce a longer training time.

Using anatomical priors during the training process partly had the expected behaviour, whereby less false-positive seqmentation was predicted over the oesophagus and azygos vein. At the same time, side-effects were also witnessed from lower predicted probabilities over lymph nodes close to those anatomical structures and with similar attenuation values. In order to purely favour instance detection recall, anatomical priors might be leveraged as well in postprocessing. The larger number of voxels predicted with a high probability to belong to the lymph node class could be refined by applying a mask containing the location of every other know anatomical structure in the mediastinum. The number of false positives per patient would then be drastically reduced, the pixel-wise segmentation over the lymph nodes refined, and the overall patient-wise recall kept high. With such strategies, well-performing models are required for at least 15 to 20 anatomical structures. Considering a standalone model for each anatomical structure, the total processing time for a new CT patient would be forcibly longer yet not detrimental as real-time processing is not a requirement for this modality. This post-processing step could either be performed as a simple masking, or end-to-end through a shallow refinement network. Be it as it may, we believe there is strong potential in further investigating anatomical priors guiding, which would circumvent the need for any refinement or post-processing step. More training samples, and especially the knowledge of more than four other anatomical structures, appear mandatory to proceed.

For the performance assessment, with results generated by a pixel-wise segmentation architecture deprived of any instance detection component, a direct comparison to the raw ground truth was deemed unfair. In many cases involving collocated lymph nodes, no apparent boundary is clearly visible between the different elements of a cluster. The use of a connected component approach over a thresholded prediction map, and the conceivable existence of noise in the pixel-wise segmentation, prevents from a fair one-to-one mapping between prediction and ground truth. Besides the patient-wise Dice score to assess the quality of the segmentation, reporting instance-wise segmentation performances in two different ways gives further insights. With Dice-TP, the segmentation quality and correctness in instance pairing can be simultaneously assessed. The full extent of segmented ground truth, disregarding instantiating consideration and

false positives, can be gauged by GT-Perc scores. Given the challenges in reporting instance detection performances from results of a pure segmentation method, all the metrics considered in the validation studies should be sufficient to cover all aspects and properly enable to put our results in perspective.

Given the extremely long time required to perform a full 5-fold cross-validation with slab-wise approaches, nearing 60 days, only a few experiments could reasonably be carried out. Given the low variability in performance across the different folds, comparing architectures and designs over a single fold is arguably enough to identify the best performing ones. Interestingly, models trained using full volumes as input are performing nearly as well as slab-wise approaches, with the benefit to train at a much faster pace. Focusing on improving full volume approaches might be more relevant in the future as experiments can be carried out with less time required.

When considering clinically relevant lymph nodes (i.e. with a short-axis diameter \geq 10 mm), and featured in relevant IASLC stations, a patient-wise recall of 92%, a segmentation extent of 81% on average, and an FPPP ratio of 5, was reached. Even though the distribution of lymph nodes per station is heavily uneven, the segmentation and detection performances are smooth and stable across all stations. Considering a potential use in a clinical setting, such results are encouraging as a first step to generate report and compute automatic measurements. The main limitation is the inability to properly detect instances and separate collocated lymph nodes. In its current status, our model can be used as preprocessing to bring attention to mediastinal areas potentially featuring lymph nodes, letting the clinical team decide on the mandatory zones where to perform biopsy.

In this work, the knowledge regarding the stations was merely used as a tool to drive the interpretation of the results, with the intent to fine-tune the training schemes in case of need. From the large room given to interpretation, and the proportion of lymph nodes to lie in at least two stations simultaneously, training an end-to-end multi-task architecture for segmentation and station classification appears to this day too challenging. Collecting more data ranks high in the list of future tasks, enabling the possibility to investigate smarter balancing or training sampling schemes. As intermediate solution, station classification could be explored as a refinement step from the results of the pixel-wise segmentation, either in an ad-hoc fashion or by using another shallower architecture. Finally, investigating multi-task architecture in 3D (e.g. Mask R-CNN and YOLACT) is a possibility but would require more powerful GPUs, and a larger dataset.

7. Conclusion

The segmentation of mediastinal lymph nodes in CT volumes has been investigated in this study with a focus on 3D neural network architectures and ensembles. Simple and more complex architectures using U-Net as backbone were explored, leveraging either the entire CT volume after heavy downsampling or slab-wise. To benefit from the advantages of each strategy, post-training ensembles enable to generate the best segmentation and instance detection performances. Similarly, anatomical priors guiding reduces false-positive predictions over other anatomical structures with similar attenuation values. For clinically relevant lymph nodes, a patient-wise recall of 92% was reached for a ratio of up to 5 false positives per patient, with steady performances across the different IASLC stations. In future work, being able to dissociate collocated lymph nodes and properly perform instance detection using a multi-task architecture appears of interest. In addition, better leveraging the station information is of importance to transform the method into a proper and trustworthy diagnostic tool. Finally, increasing the dataset size is needed to gain more data diversity which would in turn improve overall performances.

Notes

- https://wiki.cancerimagingarchive.net/display/Public/CT+Lymph +Nodes
- 2. https://github.com/nipy/nibabel
- https://github.com/dbouget/ct_mediastinal_structures_ segmentation

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This work was funded by the Norwegian National Advisory Unit for Ultrasound and Image-Guided Therapy (usigt.org) at St. Olavs hospital, Trondheim, Norway.

Notes on contributors

David Bouget received his engineering degree in image processing and computer graphics in 2010, and PhD in medical image processing in 2015, both at the University of Rennes 1, Rennes, France. Currently, he is working as a research scientist at SINTEF Medical Technology in Trondheim, Norway. His main research interests include medical image processing, machine learning, and medical application development.

André Pedersen received his civil engineering degree in Applied Physics and Mathematics with specialization in machine learning and statistics in 2019, at the Arctic University of Norway (UiT) in Tromsø, Norway. Currently, he is pursuing a PhD in medical technology with focus on artificial intelligence for improved breast cancer prognostication at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU). He also works part-time at SINTEF Medical Technology. His main research interests include statistics, medical image analysis, deep learning and computational pathology and radiology.

Johanna Vanel graduated as a medical intern in general medicine in 2017 at the Nice Medical School, Sophia Antipolis University, France; where she is still pursuing her medical studies.

Håkon O. Leira (MD, PhD) is Senior Consultant at the Department of Thoracic Medicine at St. Olavs University hospital, in Trondheim, Norway. He also holds a position as Associate Professor at the Institute for Circulation and Medical Imaging at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway. Leira received his PhD degree in electromagnetic navigation in bronchoscopy in 2012 at NTNU. His current interests and focus in research and development is lung cancer diagnostics, advanced technologies to improve cancer diagnostics and therapy, new imaging and guidance technologies in bronchoscopy, patient communication and monitoring, and deep learning applied to radiology imaging for improved cancer diagnostics.

Thomas Lange is Chief Scientist in Medical Technology at SINTEF AS, Trondheim, Norway. In addition, he holds a position at the Research department of the St. Olavs University hospital in Trondheim, as Leader for the Norwegian national research center for Minimally invasive and imageguided Diagnostics and Therapy (MiDT, previously the Norwegian National Advisory Unit for Ultrasound and Image-Guided Therapy, USIGT). Langø received his civil engineering degree in Biophysics and Medical technology, at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU) in Trondheim, Norway, in 1996. He received his PhD degree in Medical Cybernetics with specialization in ultrasound-guided neurosurgery and image analysis in 2000 (NTNU). In 2003 he completed a PostDoc fellowship in analysis of accuracy of ultrasound-guided minimal access surgery. His current interests and focus in research is medical imaging, particular focus on ultrasound, image analysis, navigation/guiding technology in minimally invasive therapy (surgery), robotics, diagnostics, medical robotics, artificial intelligence (machine learning) applied to medical image analysis, and new applications in minimal invasive and non-invasive treatment.

ORCID

David Bouget (i) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5669-9514

References

- Abraham N, and Khan NM. 2019. A novel focal Tversky loss function with improved attention u-net for lesion segmentation. In: 2019 IEEE 16th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI 2019); Venice, Italy: IEEE. p. 683–687.
- Bolya D, Zhou C, Xiao F, and Lee YJ. 2019. Yolact: real-time instance segmentation. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision; Seoul, Korea. p. 9157–9166.
- Bouget D, Jørgensen A, Kiss G, Leira HO, Langø T. 2019. Semantic segmentation and detection of mediastinal lymph nodes and anatomical structures in ct data for lung cancer staging. Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg. 14(6):977–986. doi:10.1007/s11548-019-01948-8.
- Bouget D, Pedersen A, Hosainey SAM, Solheim O, Reinertsen I. 2021. Meningioma segmentation in t1-weighted MRI leveraging global context and attention mechanisms. arXiv preprint arXiv:210107715. Frontiers in Radiology. 1. doi:10.3389/fradi.2021.711514
- Chen LC, Papandreou G, Schroff F, and Adam H. 2017. Rethinking atrous convolution for semantic image segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv: 1706.05587.
- Çiçek Ö, Abdulkadir A, Lienkamp SS, Brox T, and Ronneberger O. 2016. 3d u-net: learning dense volumetric segmentation from sparse annotation. In: International conference on medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention; Athens, Greece: Springer. p. 424–432.
- Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, Dancey J, Arbuck S, Gwyther S, Mooney M, et al. 2009. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised recist guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer. 45(2):228–247. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.026.
- El-Sherief AH, Lau CT, Wu CC, Drake RL, Abbott GF, Rice TW. 2014. International association for the study of lung cancer (iaslc) lymph node map: radiologic review with ct illustration. Radiographics. 34 (6):1680–1691. doi:10.1148/rg.346130097.
- Falk, S. A., and Williams C J. 2010. Chapter 1. Lung Cancer: the facts (Oxford, England). 3rd ed. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press; p. 3–4.
- Feng X, Tustison NJ, Patel SH, Meyer CH. 2020. Brain tumor segmentation using an ensemble of 3d u-nets and overall survival prediction using radiomic features. Front Comput Neurosci. 14:25. doi:10.3389/fncom.2020.00025.
- Fu J, Liu J, Tian H, Li Y, Bao Y, Fang Z, and Lu H. 2019. Dual attention network for scene segmentation. In: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition; Long Beach California, USA. p. 3146–3154.

- He K, Gkioxari G, Dollár P, and Girshick R. 2017. Mask r-cnn. In: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision; Venice, Italy. p. 2961–2969.
- He K, Zhang X, Ren S, and Sun J. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition; Las Vegas, USA. p. 770–778.
- Hofmanninger J, Prayer F, Pan J, Rohrich S, Prosch H, Langs G. 2020. Automatic lung segmentation in routine imaging is a data diversity problem, not a methodology problem. arXiv preprint arXiv:200111767. European Radiology Experimental. 4. doi:10.1186/ s41747-020-00173-2

Jetley S, Lord NA, Lee N, Torr PHS. 2018. Learn to pay attention.

- Kamnitsas K, Ferrante E, Parisot S, Ledig C, Nori AV, Criminisi A, Rueckert D, and Glocker B. 2016. Deepmedic for brain tumor segmentation. In: International workshop on Brainlesion: glioma, multiple sclerosis, stroke and traumatic brain injuries. Athens, Greece: Workshop was part of the International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Interventions (MICCAI) 2016; p. 138–149.
- Kavur AE, Gezer NS, Barş M, Conze PH, Groza V, Pham DD, Chatterjee S, Ernst P, Özkan S, and Baydar B. 2020. Chaos challenge–combined (ct-mr) healthy abdominal organ segmentation. Medical Image Analysis, 69: 101950.
- Lee CY, Xie S, Gallagher P, Zhang Z, and Tu Z. 2015. Deeply-supervised nets. Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, PMLR, San Diego, USA. 38: 562–570.
- Li Z, and Xia Y. 2020. "Deep reinforcement learning for weakly-supervised lymph node segmentation in ct images." IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, 774–783.
- Liao F, Liang M, Li Z, Hu X, Song S. 2019. Evaluate the malignancy of pulmonary nodules using the 3-d deep leaky noisy-or network. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems. 30 (11):3484–3495. doi:10.1109/TNNLS.2019.2892409.
- Liu J, Hoffman J, Zhao J, Yao J, Lu L, Kim L, Turkbey EB, Summers RM. 2016. Mediastinal lymph node detection and station mapping on chest ct using spatial priors and random forest. Med Phys. 43(7):4362–4374. doi:10.1118/1.4954009.
- Liu J, Zhao J, Hoffman J, Yao J, Zhang W, Turkbey EB, Wang S, Kim C, and Summers RM. 2014. Mediastinal lymph node detection on thoracic ct scans using spatial prior from multi-atlas label fusion. In: Medical imaging 2014: computer-Aided diagnosis. (Vol. 9035). SPIE: International Society for Optics and Photonics; p. 166–172.
- Long J, Shelhamer E, and Darrell T. 2015. Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, Boston, USA. p. 3431–3440.
- McErlean A, Panicek DM, Zabor EC, Moskowitz CS, Bitar R, Motzer RJ, Hricak H, Ginsberg MS. 2013. Intra-and interobserver variability in ct measurements in oncology. Radiology. 269(2):451–459. doi:10.1148/ radiol.13122665.
- Nogues I, Lu L, Wang X, Roth H, Bertasius G, Lay N, Shi J, Tsehay Y, and Summers RM. 2016. Automatic lymph node cluster segmentation using holistically-nested neural networks and structured optimization in ct images. In: International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention; Athens, Greece: Springer. p. 388–397.
- Oda H, Bhatia KK, Oda M, Kitasaka T, Iwano S, Homma H, Takabatake H, Mori M, Natori H, Schnabel JA, et al. 2017a. Automated mediastinal lymph node detection from ct volumes based on intensity targeted radial structure tensor analysis. J of Medical Imaging. 4(4):044502. doi:10.1117/1.JMI.4.4.044502.
- Oda H, Bhatia KK, Oda M, Kitasaka T, Iwano S, Homma H, Takabatake H, Mori M, Natori H, and Schnabel JA, et al. 2017b. Hessian-assisted supervoxel: structure-oriented voxel clustering and application to mediastinal lymph node detection from ct volumes. In: Medical imaging 2017: computer-Aided diagnosis. Vol. 10134, International Society for Optics and Photonics (SPIE); p. 101341.
- Oda H, Roth HR, Bhatia KK, Oda M, Kitasaka T, Iwano S, Homma H, Takabatake H, Mori M, and Natori H, et al. 2018. Dense volumetric detection and segmentation of mediastinal lymph nodes in chest ct images. In: Medical Imaging 2018: computer-Aided Diagnosis. Vol. 10575, International Society for Optics and Photonics (SPIE); p. 1057502.

- Paing MP, Pintavirooj C, Tungjitkusolmun S, Win KP, and Hamamoto K. 2019. Automatic detection of mediastinal lymph nodes using 3d convolutional neural network. In: Proceedings of the 2019 4th International Conference on Biomedical Imaging, Signal Processing; Nagoya, Japan. p. 26–31.
- Redmon J, Farhadi A. 2018. Yolov3: an incremental improvement. arXiv preprint arXiv:180402767.
- Reynisson PJ, Scali M, Smistad E, Hofstad EF, Leira HO, Lindseth F, Nagelhus Hernes TA, Amundsen T, Sorger H, Langø T. 2015. Airway segmentation and centerline extraction from thoracic ct–comparison of a new method to state of the art commercialized methods. PloS one. 10(12):e0144282. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144282.
- Ronneberger O, Fischer P, and Brox T. 2015. U-net: convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In: International Conference on Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention; Munich, Germany: Springer. p. 234–241.
- Roth HR, Lu L, Seff A, Cherry KM, Hoffman J, Wang S, Liu J, Turkbey E, and Summers RM. 2014. A new 2.5 d representation for lymph node detection using random sets of deep convolutional neural network observations. In: International conference on medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention; Boston, USA: Springer. p. 520–527.
- Sang H, Zhou Q, Zhao Y. 2020. Pcanet: pyramid convolutional attention network for semantic segmentation. Image Vis Comput. 103:103997. doi:10.1016/j.imavis.2020.103997.
- Schwartz L, Bogaerts J, Ford R, Shankar L, Therasse P, Gwyther S, Eisenhauer E. 2009. Evaluation of lymph nodes with recist 1.1. Eur J Cancer. 45(2):261–267. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.028.
- Setio AAA, Ciompi F, Litjens G, Gerke P, Jacobs C, Van Riel SJ, Wille MMW, Naqibullah M, Sánchez CI, van Ginneken B. 2016. Pulmonary nodule detection in ct images: false positive reduction using multi-view convolutional networks. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 35(5):1160–1169. doi:10.1109/TMI.2016.2536809.

- Simpson AL, Antonelli M, Bakas S, Bilello M, Farahani K, van Ginneken B, Kopp-Schneider A, Landman BA, Litjens G, and Menze B. 2019. A large annotated medical image dataset for the development and evaluation of segmentation algorithms. arXiv preprint arXiv: 1902.09063.
- Sinha A, and Dolz J. 2020. Multi-scale self-guided attention for medical image segmentation. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics; Jan 25: 121–130.
- Sorger H, Hofstad EF, Amundsen T, Langø T, Bakeng JBL, Leira HO, Agarwal R. 2017. A multimodal image guiding system for navigated ultrasound bronchoscopy (ebus): a human feasibility study. PloS one. 12(2):e0171841. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171841.
- Vesal S, Ravikumar N, and Maier A. 2019. A 2D dilated residual u-net for multi-organ segmentation in thoracic CT. arXiv preprint arXiv: 1905.07710.
- Wang Y, Zhou Y, Shen W, Park S, Fishman EK, Yuille AL. 2019. Abdominal multi-organ segmentation with organ-attention networks and statistical fusion. Med Image Anal. 55:88–102. doi:10.1016/j.media.2019.04.005.
- Xu G, Cao H, Dong Y, Yue C, Li K, and Tong Y. 2020. Focal loss function based deeplabv3+ for pathological lymph node segmentation on pet/ct. In: Proceedings of the 2020 2nd International Conference on Intelligent Medicine and Image Processing; Tianjin, China. p. 24–28.
- Zhou Y, Xie L, Shen W, Wang Y, Fishman EK, and Yuille AL. 2017. A fixed-point model for pancreas segmentation in abdominal ct scans. In: International conference on medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention; Quebec City, Canada: Springer. p. 693–701.
- Zhu Z, Jin D, Yan K, Ho TY, Ye X, Guo D, Chao CH, Xiao J, Yuille A, and Lu L. 2020. Lymph node gross tumor volume detection and segmentation via distance-based gating using 3d ct/pet imaging in radiotherapy. In: International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention; Lima, Peru: Springer. p. 753–762.
- Zhuang X, Shen J. 2016. Multi-scale patch and multi-modality atlases for whole heart segmentation of mri. Med Image Anal. 31:77–87. doi:10.1016/j.media.2016.02.006.