

# University of Tennessee, Knoxville TRACE: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange

Masters Theses

Graduate School

12-1996

# Transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal leaves fed varying abscisic acid, pH, calcium and phosphorus

Craig Dale. Green

Follow this and additional works at: https://trace.tennessee.edu/utk\_gradthes

#### **Recommended Citation**

Green, Craig Dale., "Transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal leaves fed varying abscisic acid, pH, calcium and phosphorus. " Master's Thesis, University of Tennessee, 1996. https://trace.tennessee.edu/utk\_gradthes/6813

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at TRACE: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Masters Theses by an authorized administrator of TRACE: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact trace@utk.edu.

To the Graduate Council:

I am submitting herewith a thesis written by Craig Dale. Green entitled "Transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal leaves fed varying abscisic acid, pH, calcium and phosphorus." I have examined the final electronic copy of this thesis for form and content and recommend that it be accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science, with a major in Landscape Architecture.

Robert M. Augé, Major Professor

We have read this thesis and recommend its acceptance:

Tim Tschaplinski, Bonnie Ownley

Accepted for the Council: Carolyn R. Hodges

Vice Provost and Dean of the Graduate School

(Original signatures are on file with official student records.)

I am submitting herewith a thesis written by Craig D. Green entitled "Transpiration of Detached Mycorrhizal and Nonmycorrhizal Leaves Fed Varying Abscisic Acid, pH, Calcium and Phosphorus". I have examined the final copy of this thesis for form and content and recommend that it be accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science, with a major in Ornamental Horticultural and Landscape Design.

Robert M. Augé, Major Professor

We have read this thesis and recommend its acceptance:

Bornie A- Ownley Sim J. Achapliniki

Accepted for the Council:

Cenminkel

Associate Vice Chancellor and Dean of The Graduate School

# TRANSPIRATION OF DETACHED MYCORRHIZAL AND NONMYCORRHIZAL LEAVES FED VARYING ABSCISIC ACID, pH, CALCIUM AND PHOSPHORUS

A Thesis

Presented for the

**Master of Science** 

Degree

The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

Craig D. Green

December, 1996

### AD-VET-NED.

Thesis 96 . G734

## DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved wife Jill. Her love and support made it possible for me to finish this.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I extend thanks to my major professor Dr. Robert M. Augé for his excellent guidance and sincere support given to me throughout this project. I also wish to thank my committee members Dr. Tim Tschaplinski and Dr. Bonnie Ownley for the advice and help. I thank Dr. Douglas Crater and Dr. Don Williams for their leadership and for providing me the opportunity to attend graduate school. Special thanks go to Xiangrong Duan and Ann Stodola for helping me develop procedures and for assisting in data analysis. A very big thanks goes to Angie, Joy, Kathy and Nikki for making life a whole lot easier. Finally I wish to thank fellow graduate students Kevin Carter, Cathy Scott, Brendon Johnson, Jenny Croker and research associate Johnny Parham for being good friends and making this experience tolerable.

#### ABSTRACT

Mycorrhizal colonization can alter stomatal behavior of host leaves during drought without obvious changes in plant size or nutrition. This may be related to an altered production or reception of a chemical signal of soil drying. I tested whether intact root systems were required to observe a mycorrhizal effect on leaf transpiration (*E*), or whether some residual mycorrhizal influence on leaves could affect E of foliage detached from root systems. Transpiration assays were performed in the presence of several possible candidates for a chemical signal of soil drying. Although colonization alone did not alter E of detached leaves of Vigna unguiculata (cowpea), colonization interacted significantly with ABA and pH in regulating transpiration. Colonization affected *E* of detached *Rosa hybrida* (rose) leaves but had no effect on E of detached leaves of Pelargonium hortorum (geranium). In each species tested, increasing the ABA concentration decreased E. In cowpea, calcium appeared to alter stomatal sensitivity to ABA, as well as regulate stomatal activity directly. The pH of the feeding solution affected E in rose, but did not change E independently in cowpea or geranium. Adding phosphorus to the feeding solution did not alter E, but did change the apparent sensitivity of cowpea stomata to ABA. Colonization of roots by mycorrhizal fungi can result in residual effects in detached leaves, that can alter the stomatal reception of chemical signals in both rose and cowpea.

iv

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW	1
Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi	1
Chemical signals and stomatal behavior	5
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS	10
In situ leaf conductance	10
Preliminary assay to test transpiration assay procedure	12
Cowpea pH transpiration assay, mycorrhizal vs nonmycorrhizal	13
Cowpea phosphorus transpiration assay, mycorrhizal vs nonmycorrhizal	14
Cowpea calcium transpiration assay, mycorrhizal vs nonmycorrhizal	15
Rose pH transpiration assay, mycorrhizal vs nonmycorrhizal	15
Geranium pH transpiration assay, mycorrhizal vs nonmycorrhizal.	17
Shoot dry weight, leaf phosphorus concentration, and root colonization	18
Statistical Analysis	19
III. RESULTS	20
In situ leaf conductance	20
Preliminary assay to test assay procedure	20
AM fungi	23
ABA	27

	Level of pH		•••	•	•••				•	•			•	•	• •	•	•	•			•	•		•				•••	27
	Level of Ca	lcium		• •	• •			•••		•			•	• •				•			•	•	•						31
	Level of pho	ospho	rus	• •	•••				•	•	•••	•	•	• •	• •						•	•	•	•		•	•		31
	Shoot dry w root coloniz	eight, ation	lea 	af   	ph	os 	pt	וסר 	rus	5	CC	n	С€	en	tra	ati	01	n,	te	ota	al	le	98	af	a	re	a	ano 	d 33
IV. DI	SCUSSION			• •	• •					•	• •			• •	• •	•	•	• •		•	•		•		• •	•	•	••	35
REFE	RENCES .					• •		• •		•			•	• •			•	• •		•		•	•	• •	•		•	•	40
VITA					•					•		•				•		•				•	•	• •		•			49

## LIST OF TABLES

Т	ABLE	PA	GE
1.	In situ conductance of intact cowpea leaves		21
2. pł	ANOVA showing main effects and their interactions for rose		24
3. p	ANOVA showing main effects and their interactions for cowpea H experiment.	•••	26
4. pł	ANOVA showing main effects and their interactions for geranium	•••	28
5. ca	ANOVA showing main effects and their interactions for cowpea	• •	29
6. ph	ANOVA showing main effects and their interactions for cowpea		30
7.	Percent colonization and leaf area for each experiment	•••	34
8.	Shoot dry weight and leaf phosphorus for each experiment		34

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE PAGE	
1. Illustration of hydraulic limitation of stomatal behavior.	5
2. Illustration of nonhydraulic limitation of stomatal behavior	,
3. <i>In situ leaf</i> conductance of intact mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal leaves measured on 10 separate days	J
4. Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves at varying ABA	
5. Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal rose leaves at varying pH and ABA	ŀ
6. Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves combining data from pH, calcium and phosphorus experiments	;
7. Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves at varying pH and ABA	5
8. Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal geranium leaves at varying pH and ABA	3
9. Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves at varying calcium and ABA	)
10. Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves at varying phosphorus and ABA	)
11. Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves at varying calcium	

#### I. INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

#### Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi

100

Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi play important roles in the plant community, colonizing the roots of most plants, and markedly affecting their physiology. One example is their ability to relieve certain stresses imposed on a plant. AM fungi probably have their greatest impact when plants are exposed to "growth-limiting environmental stress" (Sylvia & Williams 1992) and are most often found in soils of low productivity, especially where water and nutrient stress prevail (Gerdemann 1976, Hayman *et al.* 1976).

Water is the single most important element limiting plant productivity throughout the world (Kreeb *et al.* 1989). Influences by AM fungi change a plant's ability to absorb and conduct water, and should thus be examined. Plants take up water from the soil through roots and deliver it through xylem to leaves where it exits leaves via transpiration. It is widely accepted that one of the greatest benefits to the plant from AM fungi is increased phosphorus nutrition, and most early studies attributed influences on water relations to such (Safir *et al.* 1971, Safir *et al.* 1972, Graham & Syvertsen 1985). Because of this, it became important to design experiments so that uncolonized plants would have adequate phosphorus to attain similar growth rates as AM plants. Differences in water relations between colonized and uncolonized plants appearing in light of these controls suggested an even

more complex effect on plants infected with AM fungi (Augé *et al.* 1986b, Augé 1989). Now there is conclusive evidence that AM fungi have both nutritional and non-nutritional effects on plants.

Transpiration (E) can be affected by AM colonization (Druge and Schönbeck 1992). When compared, mycorrhizal rose plants had transpiration rates 12.2 mg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to 14 mg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> higher than nonmycorrhizal rose plants of similar size and phosphorus content (Augé 1989), under similar conditions in either a greenhouse or growth room. Such a change may have been related to osmotic adjustments occurring in the leaf upon colonization. This idea has been explored (Allen & Boosalis 1983, Augé et al. 1986b) and it was found that mycorrhizal leaves had decreased osmotic potential ( $\Psi_{\pi}$ ) at full turgor and at the turgor loss point. This was associated with a corresponding increase in the pressure potential  $(\Psi_{n})$  at full turgor. Colonization apparently enabled plants to maintain leaf turgor at larger tissue water deficits, and lower leaf and soil water potentials  $(\Psi)$  compared to uncolonized plants. Mycorrhizae also influence photosynthesis, by increasing CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates (Drüge and Schönbeck 1992).

Additionally, the uptake of water by the root can be altered by colonization. Mycorrhizae have hyphae, some of which extend away from the root, penetrating into and between soil aggregates. Besides greatly increasing the absorptive surface area (Gerdemann 1975), these may

increase the root hydraulic conductivity of mycorrhizal plants (Hardie and Leyton 1981), with substantial increases in water uptake being associated with decreased root resistance (Faber *et al.* 1991). These extraradical hyphae may be acting as tiny conduits, exploring and scavenging water from portions of the soil environment where root hairs cannot reach. Mycorrhizal roots are able to maintain greater turgor across a range of tissue hydration, following drought, although under well-watered conditions mycorrhizal roots had lower solute concentrations in root symplasm than nonmycorrhizal roots (Augé and Stodola 1990).

Stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$ , the inverse of the resistance of water loss from a leaf, can also be affected by mycorrhizal colonization (Levy and Krikun 1979, Hardie and Leyton 1981, Allen and Boosalis 1983, Augé *et al.* 1986a, Augé *et al.* 1987, Augé *et al.* 1991, Ebel *et al.* 1996). Usually, mycorrhizal symbiosis increases  $g_s$ . Early studies attributed changes in  $g_s$  to increased root length, surface area and lower root resistances in mycorrhizal plants (Hardie and Leyton 1981), or alternatively to altered stomatal regulation independent of root resistance (Levy and Krikun 1979). Higher  $g_s$ has been demonstrated in both wet and dry conditions, where stomatal closure occurred at lower leaf water potentials in mycorrhizal plants (Allen and Boosalis 1983). Well-watered rose plants colonized by AM fungi, and given low rates of P, had higher  $g_s$  even when there was no apparent difference in leaf water potential of colonized and uncolonized plants (Augé

*et al.* 1986a). Foliar leaf P levels did not appear to be the controlling factor. When plants were artificially manipulated by changing the soil  $\Psi_{\pi}$  to achieve similar low levels, AM plants also had higher  $g_s$ , suggesting that the colonized root systems either scavenged water of low activity more effectively or influenced nonhydraulic root-to-shoot communication differently than the non-infected root systems (Augé *et al.* 1992).

AM influence on "nonhydrualic", chemical signals of soil drying has been examined. Currently, the idea is that such a signal originates in roots in response to soil drying and is transported to the leaves. Infection of roots by mycorrhizal fungi has led to increases in gibberellin-like substances and decreases in ABA-like substances, both plant hormones with signal characteristics (Allen et al. 1981). In-split root experiments, mycorrhizal plants with one side of the root system dried displayed declines in  $g_{\rm s}$  before any change was observed in leaf water potential, possibly due to altered chemical signal production associated with a change in the rate of turgor decline (Augé and Duan 1991). However, guantitative studies with an indirect ELISA have shown a considerably higher level of free ABA in AM infected plants (Danneberg et al. 1992). Zeatin riboside, another possible signal, did not differ with colonization (Danneberg et al. 1992). AM fungi may also eliminate the growth inhibition to chemical signals communicating dry soil conditions (Augé et al. 1994).

Mycorrhizal fungi historically have been shown to increase plant uptake of phosphorus and calcium (Gerdemann 1978). Both ions have been implicated in drought-induced chemical signaling as has the pH change potentially stimulated in the xylem by this increased accumulation (Davies *et al.* 1990, Hartung & Radin 1989).

#### Chemical signals and stomatal behavior

The idea of chemical signals affecting plant water relations can be best described by comparing it to the traditional idea of hydraulic limitation. Hydraulic limitation occurs when soil water content drops, causing root dehydration. Eventually, water loss rate from leaves exceeds replacement rate by root water absorption. This is followed by declines in leaf  $\Psi$ ,  $\Psi_p$ , and relative water content (RWC). Stomata then close, which minimizes further leaf dehydration (Figure 1). The nonhydraulic, root-to-shoot signaling hypothesis asserts that a chemical(s) alerts the leaf to changing soil conditions. This can occur before soil is dry enough for leaf water relations to have changed. Upon reception of the signal, stomata can close, reducing further water loss and potential damage (Figure 2) (Blackman and Davies 1985, Saab and Sharp 1989, Zhang and Davies 1989, Zhang and Davies 1990).

Researchers have used various procedures to test for the presence of chemical signals. One involves splitting the root system of one plant



Figure 1. Illustration of hydraulic limitation of stomatal behavior. Arrow size represents relative water movement. Brown areas are dryer soil, blue areas are moister soil.



Figure 2. Illutration of nonhydraulic limitation of stomatal behavior. Arrow size represents relative water movement, blue areas are moist soil and brown areas are dry soil.

between two pots (Zhang *et al.* 1987, Saab and Sharp 1989, Gowing and Davies 1990, Zhang and Davies 1990). One pot would be dried and the other watered regularly, providing enough moisture to shoots to maintain normal leaf water status (Zhang *et al.* 1987, Zhang and Davies 1989), while simultaneously drying part of the root system sufficiently to produce the chemical signal. Severing the dry side would result in restored  $g_s$  due to a decreased signal concentration. To further test for the signal, plants were grown with roots under pressure to force normal hydration (Gollan *et al.* 1986, Passioura 1988), or in deep containers so that only a portion of the root system dried (Zhang and Davies 1989, Blum *et al.* 1990, Zhang and Davies1990). In both situations, where normal or control leaf hydration was maintained,  $g_s$  declined relative to controls, supporting the existence of a chemical signal affecting water relations of a plant.

Several possibilities exist for the nature of the signal, but it is likely a positive inhibitor conveyed by hormonal, nutritional, or ionic means (Gowing *et al.* 1990). ABA is a positive inhibitor of  $g_s$  and is currently considered a chemical signal of soil drying (Davies *et al.* 1994). Other possible signals include calcium, phosphorus, or pH changes in the xylem sap (Radin 1984, Hartung and Radin 1988, Atkinson *et al.* 1990,). As mentioned previously, calcium and phosphorus are affected by AM colonization which could change xylem sap pH levels. The objective of my study was to examine the effect of AM fungi on the reception of these suspected signals at the

stomata when the roots are not connected to the plant. To do this, detached leaf transpiration assays were performed. This study was conducted to determine if AM fungi, upon colonization, cause metabolic or biochemical changes that alter the sensitivity of stomata to these chemical signals, changes that remain even after the fungi are separated from the leaf.

#### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### In situ leaf conductance

Plant material and culture. Seeds of Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. 'White acre' (cowpea) were planted and grown in 1-liter pots, containing a medium composed of two parts autoclaved silica sand, and one part calcined montmorillonite clay (Turface) (v:v). This medium was chosen because it promotes extensive mycorrhizal colonization, it can be readily removed from the roots allowing quantification of the fungus, and the soil moisture characteristics are known (Augé et al. 1994). To this mixture was added pot culture which contained medium and roots from either cowpea plants whose roots were colonized by mycorrhizal fungi (Glomus intraradices Schenck & Smith) or cowpea plants whose roots were not colonized. The added medium was mixed one part pot culture to three parts of the sand/turface mixture (v:v). Work benches, utensils, and containers were sterilized with a 10% bleach mixture to prevent contamination of the nonmycorrhizal pot culture and newly planted plants. Plants were put under high intensity sodium lights on a greenhouse bench to grow until sufficiently colonized.

With every watering (usually every day), the plants received a 0.21 M concentration of Peter's (Grace-Sierra, Milpitas, CA, USA) 15-0-15

fertilizer and a 1 mM concentration of magnesium as  $MgCl_2$ . To adjust for similar size, mycorrhizal plants received less phosphorus, generally 1mM, with nonmycorrhizal plants receiving either 2, 3, or 4 mM P as  $K_2PO_4$  once a week. Soluble trace elements were supplied once a week at 1  $\mu$ M Mn (STEM, Peters Fertilizer Products, W.R. Grace, Fogelsville, PA, USA). Fe was provided at 1.0 mM as Sprint (Ciba-Geigy, Greensboro, NC, USA).

Between 2 April and 28 April, 1995 mycorrhizal cowpea plants with high colonization and nonmycorrhizal plants of similar size were tested for differences in abaxial  $g_s$ . Four to five leaves per plant were measured using a diffusion porometer (AP4, Delta-T Device, Cambridge, Great Britain) placed adjacent to the mid-vein.  $g_s$  readings were taken between 9:00 am and 3:00 pm for ten days. Leaf temperatures ranged from 16.6 °C to 32.6 °C. Relative humidities in the greenhouse ranged from 30 % to 55 %. This was a preliminary test to verify that AM fungi do in fact alter  $g_s$ . Photosynthetic flux density was recorded with each measurement using the light sensor on the porometer; values ranged from 10 to 2400 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Upon completion of the experiment total leaf area was determined with a leaf area meter (Li-Cor LI-3000A, Lincoln, NE, USA). Plants were oven dried at 80 ° C and dry weight was determined.

#### Preliminary assay to test transpiration assay procedure

Fifty mycorrhizal and 50 nonmycorrhizal cowpea (White acre) plants of similar size, grown and cultured as described in the in situ leaf conductance experiment, were used for a second preliminary test to verify the effectiveness of the detached leaf transpiration assay procedure used to follow stomatal activity. These tests were performed on 15 and 17 February, 1995. For each assay the terminal leaflet from the third leaf of each plant was excised under distilled water. Once detached, the leaf was trimmed and transferred to a storage vial containing only distilled water and allowed to rehydrate in the dark. When turgid, a leaf would be transferred into a treatment vial containing a concentration of abscisic acid (ABA) (10<sup>-7</sup>, 10<sup>-6</sup>, 10<sup>-5</sup> M) or a control vial containing only distilled water. The vials were then moved to a benchtop space illuminated by high intensity sodium halide lamps (PPFD 200-400 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The vials were weighed every 30 minutes on a Sartorius analytical balance, with the weight and time being recorded into a spreadsheet template by Sartowedge software and an RS232 interface. This continued for three hours. The area of the transpiring part of the leaf was measured using a leaf area meter and recorded. The transpiration rate (E) was calculated using the following formula:

 $E= \underline{\Delta W}$ leaf area \*  $\Delta t$ 

where W was weight of water in grams and t was time in seconds. Leaf areas were recorded as square meters. Light levels for this and following assays were maintained close to  $325 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$  PPFD. Air temperatures for all assays were about 27 °C and relative humidity in the study area ranged from 26 % to 62 %.

#### Cowpea pH transpiration assay, mycorrhizal vs nonmycorrhizal

To test the effect of changing pH levels in the xylem sap as a possible chemical signal affecting stomatal opening, 50 mycorrhizal and 50 nonmycorrhizal cowpea (White acre) plants were grown and cultured similarly to those in the preliminary experiment. The exception was that these plants were transferred to a controlled growth chamber (M75, Environmental Growth Chambers, Inc., Chagrin Falls, OH, USA) shortly after germination to grow until the experiment was complete. The chamber was set on a 14 hour day/10 hour night program with day temperature set at 25 °C and night temperatures of 18-20 °C, with relative humidity levels set to 65 %. Light levels at bench height were approximately 400 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> PPFD during the light cycle. Here also the terminal leaflet from the third leaf of each plant was excised under distilled water, trimmed and transferred to a storage vial containing only distilled water and allowed to rehydrate. Once turgid, the leaflet was transferred into a treatment vial containing a 10<sup>-7</sup>, 10<sup>-6</sup>, 10<sup>-5</sup> M concentration of ABA or a control vial containing only distilled water.

Each solution had been adjusted to one of three predetermined pH values (5.5, 6.0, and 6.5). In one day, two complete replications could be done. To obtain eight replications of each treatment, the assay was repeated for four days. The assay was completed in 3 hours and the *E* was calculated. After the experiment, total leaf area, percent root colonization by AM fungi and shoot dry weight of ten mycorrhizal and ten nonmycorrhizal plants were determined.

#### Cowpea phosphorus transpiration assay, mycorrhizal vs nonmycorrhizal

Cowpea (White acre) plants planted 19 June, 1995 were grown and cultured as described in the cowpea pH experiment, fifty mycorrhizal and fifty nonmycorrhizal. For this assay, the terminal leaflet from the third leaf of each plant, after being excised under distilled water, trimmed, and transferred to a storage vial containing only distilled water, and allowed to rehydrate, was transferred into a treatment vial containing only distilled water. Each vial contained one of three phosphorus concentrations (0 mM, 0.4 mM, and 8 mM). Phosphorus was added as  $KH_2PO_4$ . The pH of each solution was adjusted to 6.0. Two complete replications were completed each day and the assay was repeated for four days between 13-19 September, 1995 to obtain eight replications of each treatment. The assay was completed in 3 hours and the *E* was calculated. At the end of this

experiment, shoot dry weight, percent root colonization by AM fungi and total leaf area were measured.

#### Cowpea calcium transpiration assay, mycorrhizal vs nonmycorrhizal

Fifty mycorrhizal and 50 nonmycorrhizal cowpea (White acre) plants planted 1 November, 1995 were grown and cultured as described in the cowpea pH experiment. The assay solutions in which the terminal leaflets were place in after rehydration contained three levels of calcium (0 mM, 1 mM and 5 mM) and either ABA at a concentration of 10<sup>-7</sup>, 10<sup>-6</sup> or 10<sup>-5</sup> M or only distilled water as a control. The calcium was supplied as CaCl<sub>2</sub>. The pH of each solution was adjusted to 6.0. There were eight replications of each treatment, requiring four days (20 December, 1995 to 3 January, 1996) to complete the experiment. At the end of the experiment, plant dry weight, percent colonization and total leaf area were measured.

#### Rose pH transpiration assay, mycorrhizal vs nonmycorrhizal

Plant material and culture. Rose plants (Rosa hybirda) were donated by Jackson and Perkins (California). One hundred plants were transplanted and grown in 5.8-liter pots, containing a medium composed of two parts autoclaved silica sand, and one part calcined montmorillonite clay (Turface) (v:v) on 10 January, 1995. To this mixture was added pot culture which contained medium and roots from either cowpea plants whose roots were colonized by mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomus intratadices* Schenck & Smith) or cowpea plants whose roots were not colonized (50 of each). The added medium was mixed one part pot culture to three parts of the sand/turface mixture (v:v). Special precautions were made to prevent contamination of the nonmycorrhizal pot culture and newly planted plants. Plants were allowed to grow on a greenhouse bench until the experiment was completed.

With every watering (usually every day), the plants received a 0.21 M concentration of Peter's (Grace-Sierra, Milpitas, CA, USA) 15-0-15 fertilizer and a 1 mM concentration of magnesium as MgCl<sub>2</sub>. To adjust for similar size, mycorrhizal plants received less phosphorus, generally 1 mM, with nonmycorrhizal receiving 2, 3, or 4 mM depending on the particular experimental conditions. Phosphorus was applied as  $K_2PO_4$  once a week. Soluble trace elements were supplied once a week at 1  $\mu$ M Mn (STEM, Peters Fertilizer Products, W.R. Grace, Fogelsville, PA, USA). Fe was provided at 1.0 mM as Sprint (Ciba-Geigy, Greensboro, NC, USA) once a week.

To test the effectiveness of pH as a chemical signal, leaves from each plant were excised under distilled water and transferred to a storage vial containing only distilled water and allowed to rehydrate. Turgid leaves were transferred into a treatment vial containing a concentration of ABA ranging from 10<sup>-7</sup> to 10<sup>-5</sup> M or only distilled water that had been adjusted to one of three predetermined pH values (5.5, 6.0, and 6.5). The assay was completed in three hours and the *E* was calculated. There were eight replications of each treatment, requiring four days between 23 June, 1995 and 30 June, 1995 to complete the experiment. After the experiment total leaf area, percent root colonization by AM fungi and shoot dry weight were determined.

#### Geranium pH transpiration assay, mycorrhizal vs nonmycorrhizal

Plant material and culture. Geranium, Pelargonium hortorum (Designer Scarlet) stem cuttings were taken from the trial garden located at the University of Tennessee Agricultural campus. One hundred cuttings were started under mist in nursery trays in low light. Later the cuttings were transplanted and grown in 1-liter pots, containing a medium composed of two parts autoclaved silica sand, and one part calcined montmorillonite clay (Turface) (v:v). To this mixture was added pot culture which contained medium and roots from either cowpea plants whose roots were colonized by mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomus intraradices* Schenck & Smith) or plants whose roots were not colonized (50 of each). The added medium was mixed one part pot culture to three parts of the sand/turface mixture (v:v). Precautions were made to prevent contamination of the nonmycorrhizal pot culture and newly planted plants. Plants were allowed to grow on a greenhouse bench until the experiment was completed on 21 August, 1995.

With every watering (usually every day), the plants received a 0.21 M concentration of Peter's (Grace-Sierra, Milpitas, CA, USA) 15-5-15 fertilizer and a 1 mM concentration of magnesium as MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Phosphorus was applied as  $K_2PO_4$  once per week. Soluble trace elements were supplied once per week at 1  $\mu$ M Mn (STEM, Peters Fertilizer Products, W.R. Grace, Fogelsville, PA, USA). Fe was provided at 1.0 mM as Sprint (Ciba-Geigy, Greensboro, NC, USA) weekly.

To test the effectiveness of pH as a chemical signal, leaves from each plant were excised at the petiole under distilled water, transferred to a storage vial containing only distilled water and allowed to rehydrate. When turgid, the leaf's petiole was placed into a treatment vial containing a concentration of ABA ranging from  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-5}$  M (or distilled water) that had been adjusted to one of three predetermined pH values (5.5, 6.0, and 6.5). This assay also was completed in three hours and the *E* was calculated. There were eight replications of each treatment, requiring four days between 14 August, 1995 and 21 August, 1995 to complete the experiment. After the experiment total leaf area, percent root colonization by AM fungi and shoot dry weight were determined.

#### Shoot dry weight, leaf phosphorus concentration, and root colonization

Degree of colonization of root systems by AM fungi was determined at the end of each experiment with eight mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal plants from each experiment. A clearing and staining procedure was used as described by Brundrett *et al.* (1983). The percentage of root colonization was determined using 50 pieces of stained root segments per treatment. The pieces were mounted onto a slide and the mycorrhizal vesicles, arbuscules and hyphae intersecting the line were counted. The scope was set to 100x magnification. Colonization was calculated by dividing colonized roots by total roots examined and expressed as a percentage. Phosphorus concentration of oven-dried (70 °C) leaves was assayed using the vanadatemolybdate-yellow method (Chapman & Pratt, 1961). Samples of leaves were dry-ashed with magnesium nitrate at 700 °C for 2 hours, then digested in nitric acid. Shoots were oven-dried at 80 °C for at least 48 hours and the dry weight measured.

#### Statistical analysis

Data was analyzed as a completely randomized design using the Analysis-of-Variance (ANOVA) procedure of the Statistical Analytical Services (SAS) programs.

#### III. RESULTS

#### In situ leaf conductance

Stomatal conductance of two groups of well-watered cowpea plants were compared, one extensively colonized by AM fungi and one not colonized (Figure 3). Mean  $g_s$  for these two group differed significantly after the 10 days of sampling (Table 1). Leaf age also affected  $g_s$ , (Table 1), and so I used only the 3rd leaflet throughout all cowpea experiments. The day the sample was taken also changed  $g_s$ , thus each replication was always completed in one day. These data, proving AM fungi alter  $g_s$  of plants, allowed the experiments to proceed: if I was going to test for a residual effect of mycorrhizal colonization on leaves, first I had to demonstrate that the mycorrhizal effect was present in intact leaves.

#### Preliminary assay to test assay procedure

In this preliminary assay, mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves did not have different *E* (P = 0.1305) (Figure 4). Likewise, the sensitivity of the stomata to ABA supplied to the mycorrhizal leaves in the assay solution did not differ from the nonmycorrhizal leaves (P = 0.3962), as monitored by *E*. Increasing the concentration of ABA supplied in the assay solution from  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-5}$  µM caused a decrease in *E* of 53 % for nonmycorrhizal and 47 % for mycorrhizal cowpea plants. ABA did have a

Source	PR > F
DAY	0.0001
LEAF AGE	0.0001
COLONIZATION	0.0393
DAY*LEAF AGE	0.0001
DAY*COLONIZATION	0.3028
LEAF AGE*COLONIZATION	0.2363
DAY*LEAF AGE*COLONIZATION	0.5679

 Table 1. In situ conductance of intact cowpea plants. Significant differences in bold.



**Figure 3** *In situ* leaf conductance of intact mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal leaves measured on 10 separate days. Numbers on the x axis refer to Julian days (the number of days into the year). Mean  $g_s$  given for each treatment.



Figure 4. Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves at varying ABA.

significant effect on *E* overall, causing a decrease of 48 % with an increase in [ABA] from  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-5}$  µM. This proved that the assay procedure was satisfactory.

#### AM fungi

Statistically significant differences (Table 2) in E were observed between mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal rose leaves (Figure 5). Average values were 2.14 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for mycorrhizal and 1.98 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for nonmycorrhizal. Combining the data from the cowpea pH, calcium and phosphorus experiments gave no significant difference (P = 0.764) in E of mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea plants (Figure 6). However, colonization did alter the way ABA and pH interacted to affect stomatal opening in cowpea plants (Table 3). Detached mycorrhizal cowpea leaves had an E that was decreased to a lesser extent than nonmycorrhizal leaves fed the same concentration of ABA (Figure 7). At an [ABA] of 10<sup>-6</sup> µM, AM leaves had an average E of 1.94 mmol  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$  whereas nonmycorrhizal leaves had an average E of 1.69 mmol  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ . At a pH level of 6.0, mycorrhizal leaves' average E was 2.09 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, 0.28 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> higher than the nonmycorrhizal average E. Changing the pH level to 5.5 caused mycorrhizal leaves to average 0.18 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> lower than the nonmycorrhzial leaves. The rates were almost identical at pH 6.5. Colonization of geraniums did not alter either the overall E or the sensitivity

**Table 2.** ANOVA showing main effects and their interactions for rose pH experiment. Sigificant differences (P < .05) in bold.

SOURCE	PR>F
COLONIZATION	0.0094
pH LEVEL	0.0001
[ABA]	0.0001
COLONIZATION*pH	0.1144
COLONIZATION*[ABA]	0.0760
pH*[ABA]	0.3575



**Figure 5.** Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal rose leaves at varying pH and ABA.



**Figure 6.** Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves combining data from pH, calcium and phosphorus experiments.

**Table 3.** ANOVA showing main effects and their interactions for cowpea pH experiment. Significant differences (P< .05) in bold.

SOURCE	PR>F
COLONIZATION	0.6020
pH LEVEL	0.2587
[ABA]	0.0001
COLONIZATION*pH	0.0001
COLONIZATION*[ABA]	0.0056
pH*[ABA]	0.7866



**Figure 7.** Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves at varying pH and ABA

of their stomates to changes in [ABA] or pH (Table 4, Figure 8).

### ABA

Transpiration declined similarly in each host species as the concentration of ABA in the feeding solution increased from  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-5} \mu$ M in a near linear manner (Figures 3-10). The *E* for each level of ABA was significantly different (Pr  $\leq 0.05$ ) for each species in all the transpiration experiments (Tables 2-6). The average decrease in *E* accompanying an increase of 10x in the [ABA] was 18.9% for cowpea, 26.4% for geranium and 15.5% for rose. In rose (Figure 5), adding  $10^{-7} \mu$ M was not sufficient to decrease *E*.

#### Level of pH

Cowpea and rose *E* were also partially controlled by pH. For rose (Figure 5), solutions with a pH of 6.0 were significantly separated from the other pH levels (Table 2) and resulted in the greatest *E* of 2.36 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Increasing or decreasing the pH by 0.5 caused an 83 % and 73 % decrease, respectively. In cowpea leaves (Figure 7) pH alone did not alter *E*, but as mentioned in the AM fungi section, there was a significant interaction between pH and colonization (Table 3). Geranium *E* was not affected by changes in pH (Table 4, Figure 8).

**Table 4.** ANOVA showing main effects and their interactions for geranium pH experiment. Significant differences (P < .05) in bold.

SOURCE	PR>F	
COLONIZATION	0.4069	
pH LEVEL	0.09791	
[ABA]	0.0001	
COLONIZATION*pH	0.5469	
COLONIZATION*[ABA]	0.7117	
pH*[ABA]	0.6949	



**Figure 8.** Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal geranium leaves at varying pH and ABA.

Table 5. Al	<b>NOVA</b> show	ing main	effects	and	their	interac	tions	for	cowpea
calcium exp	eriment. S	gnificant	differer	ices (	(P <	.05) in	bold.		

SOURCE	PR>F	
COLONIZATION	0.5195	
CALCIUM LEVEL	0.0074	
[ABA]	0.0001	
COLONIZATION*CALCIUM	0.4927	
COLONIZATION*[ABA]	0.9871	
CALCIUM*[ABA]	0.0272	



**Figure 9.** Absolute transpriation of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves at varying calcium and ABA.

Table 6.	ANOVA showing	ng main effe	ects and th	eir interac	tions for	cowpea
phosphor	us experiment.	Significant	difference	s (P < .05	in bold.	

SOURCE	PR>F
COLONIZATION	0.1665
Phosphorus LEVEL	0.7123
[ABA]	0.0001
COLONIZATION*Phosphorus	0.2321
COLONIZATION*[ABA]	0.5954
Phosphorus*[ABA]	0.0222



**Figure 10.** Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves at varying phosphorus and ABA.

#### Level of Calcium

*E* of detached cowpea leaves was responsive to varying levels of Ca<sup>++</sup> supplied in the assay solution (Figure 11). When the concentration was 1.0 mM, the average *E* was 3.63 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Increasing the concentration to 5.0 mM or decreasing the concentration to 0.0 mM caused a decrease in *E* of 87%. A significant interaction with ABA also appeared (Table 5). Stomata of cowpea have an apparently different sensitivity to a 1.0 mM concentration of Ca<sup>++</sup> at 0.0  $\mu$ M ABA than to either 5.0 mM or no Ca<sup>++</sup> at the same ABA concentration. The average difference in *E* between 1.0 mM Ca<sup>++</sup> at 0.0  $\mu$ M ABA and 0.0 mM Ca<sup>++</sup> was 1.27 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. For 1.0 mM Ca<sup>++</sup> and 5.0 mM Ca<sup>++</sup> the difference is 1.14 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 0.0  $\mu$ M ABA.

#### Level of phosphorus

Cowpea stomata did not differ in their sensitivity to phosphorus supplied in the assay solution (Table 6, Figure 10). Mean *E* for both 0.8 mM and 4.0 mM PO<sub>4</sub> only differed from controls by 0.03 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The two concentrations differed from each other by an average of 0.06 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. There were no significant interactions between the level of colonization and level of phosphorus supplied (Table 6). Phosphorus did alter stomatal sensitivity to ABA but only the 0.8 mM at a 10<sup>-7</sup> concentration (Table 6).



Figure 11. Absolute transpiration of detached mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal cowpea leaves at varying calcium.

# Shoot dry weight, leaf phosphorus concentration, total leaf area and root colonization

Data for total leaf area and percent root colonization by AM fungi for all experiments are given in Table 7. Shoot dry weight, and leaf phosphorus data for all experiments are give in Table 8. Only in the cowpea experiment with added phosphorus did leaf phosphorus concentration differ between mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal leaves. Since mycorrhizal colonization did not alter stomatal sensitivity to phosphorus, I do not consider such a difference relevant. Shoot dry weight of mycorrhizal plants was significantly higher than nonmycorrhizal plants (P = 0.016) in the cowpea pH experiment, but by only 11.7%. Such a difference is not usually enough to account for the difference associated with mycorrhizal colonization in that experiment. For all other experiments, no differences were found in these variables, suggesting that nutrition was not the controlling influence here.

	% Colo	% Colonization: VAM Only			Leaf Area	
Experiment	Vesicles	Arbuscules	Hyphae	AM	NonAM	
AM vs	66.7	68.3	88.3	-	-	
NonAM						
Cowpea	50 5	85	94 5	3071 5	3286.8	
pH	39.5	.00	54.5	5071.5	5200.0	
Cowpea	55.3	77.8	95.5	954.5	1246.3	
Phos						
Cowpea	57	83.5	98	182.5	223.8	
Са						
Geranium	19	23.3	60.8	1608.0	1704.9	
рН						
Rose	78.7	6.7	67.7			

 Table 7. Percent colonization and leaf area for each experiment.

 Table 8.
 Shoot dryweight and leaf phosphorus for each experiment. Significant differences in bold.

	Shoot	Dry weight	Leaf	Leaf Phos		
Experiment	AM	NonAM	AM	NonAM		
AM vs NonAM	-	-	1.7	2.3		
Cowpea pH	27.1	30.7	3.5	4.3		
Cowpea Phos	6.36	9.25	2.3	4.3		
Cowpea Ca	2.08	1.96	0.8	1.1		
Geranium pH	17.3	17.3	3.7	4.2		
Rose	- 200	-	1.4	1.2		

#### IV. DISCUSSION

Transpiration assays were performed using three host species to test for any residual mycorrhizal effects on stomatal sensitivity to the potential chemical signals ABA, pH, calcium, and phosphorus, as well as the ability of these factors to independently affect stomatal behavior. Finding a difference in  $g_s$ , between mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal plants with intact leaves (leaves still attached to plants) was necessary in order to continue this study. If no effect was present in intact leaves, one would not be expected in detached leaves. Previously, colonization by mycorrhizal fungi has led to increased g<sub>s</sub> in intact leaves of both rose and cowpea (Augé et al. 1986a, Ebel et al. 1996). Similar results were found in the preliminary g. experiment performed at the beginning of this study. gs was consistently higher in AM colonized plants for the 10 days of the test. Several possibilities exist as to the nature of the mycorrhizal influence on stomatal activity. Most authors relate such changes to root functions often altered by mycorrhizal colonization: for example, altered radial or axial hydraulic conductivity (Hardie and Leyton 1981), altered root system architecture (Kotari et al. 1990), increased water uptake via soil hyphae (Faber et al. 1991), and altered root-to-shoot hormonal relations (Hardie and Leyton 1981). This study investigated whether AM fungi imposes a persistent influence on stomata that remains after the fungi (i.e. the roots) are removed

and, if this influence would change the sensitivity of the stomata to the potential chemical signals in question.

One change in leaf water relations that could possibly be brought about by AM fungi colonization is altered leaf osmotic potential. In rose leaves, colonization by either the AM fungi *Glomus deserticola* Trappe, Boss, and Menge or *G. intraradices* Schenck and Smith led to lower  $\psi_{\pi}$  at full turgor and at the turgor loss point (Augé *et al.* 1986b). Consequently, the plant was able to maintain leaf turgor and g<sub>s</sub> at greater tissue water deficits and lower leaf and soil water potentials, compared to nonmycorrhizal plants In my study, the only host species to have detached leaves with altered transpiration due directly to AM colonization was rose plants. Although leaf  $\psi_{\pi}$  was not monitored in this study, it may be that these differences were due to altered  $\psi_{\pi}$  similar to that found in Augé's 1986 study.

Previously, AM colonization did not alter *E* of unstressed cowpea leaves (Duan *et al.* 1996). Similar results were found in my study when *E* and colonization were the only variables. When the [ABA] and pH level are also considered, AM fungi colonizing plant roots do influence cowpea stomata of detached leaves. Here again, no tests were performed to determine if the biochemistry or the metabolism of the leaves had been altered, thus no conclusions as to the specific nature of the mycorrhizal effect can be made. It is possible that cowpea undergoes changes in leaf

 $\psi_{\pi}$  similar to those in rose, except that the reception of ABA and/or pH changes at the stomata is the specific parameter changed and not just overall *E*. It appears that these changes in stomatal sensitivity were not due to phosphorus nutrition, as the leaf concentration of P did not differ between AM and nonmycorrhizal cowpeas.

The *E* of geranium leaves was not altered by AM colonization, nor did mycorrhizae change the apparent sensitivity of geranium stomata to ABA or pH. Similarly, colonization did not alter the sensitivity of stomata in cowpea leaves to  $Ca^{++}$  or P, either independently or in conjunction with ABA.

ABA is a potent inhibitor of  $g_s$  (Davies and Zhang 1991). Rootsourced ABA is most likely the molecule acting as the chemical signal of soil drying in plants whose leaves have not yet suffered drought-induced dehydration. Roots produce ABA in sufficient quantities to decrease stomatal activity in the leaf (Zhang *et al.*1990). ABA in the assay solution simulates root-sourced ABA by traveling through the xylem tissue and does decrease *E* of the three test species dramatically in proportion to the amount supplied. This would seem to support the idea of root-sourced ABA being the primary signal but whether or not this depicts actual leaf physiology accurately is unknown.

Leaves also produce ABA, which may be the molecule responsible for inhibiting stomatal opening before any dehydration stress is suffered. If so, some other signal must alert the leaf of drying conditions which would then stimulates the release of leaf ABA from symplastic compartments into the apoplast, and thence to guard cells. A possible candidate is pH. In the leaf, ABA is in two forms - membrane impermeable ABA and permeable ABAH. The impermeable form is the active stomatal closing form and the permeable form is stored in alkaline compartments (Hartung et al. 1990). With increasing stress, pH values change causing ABAH to be deprotonated and allowing the active form to function in closing the stomata. The pH of the assay solution in my study was altered in rose, cowpea and geranium to find if such changes can function as a signal or alter stomatal sensitivity to supplied ABA. If pH increases acted as a signal, a near linear change in E should be associated with sequential changes in pH. This did not happen, thus the leaf-sourced ABA is most likely not the active form of ABA controlling stomata. Rose leaves were sensitive to pH changes, but not in a correlated pattern as expected. Instead the pattern shows that, for rose, a pH of 6.0 gives the optimal E of the 3 pH's examined. Cowpea and geranium stomata were not sensitive to pH changes, nor to interactions between pH and ABA.

Calcium has also been shown to inhibit stomatal conductance (Atkinson *et al.* 1990) and was examined as a possible chemical signal affecting stomata. Although  $Ca^{++}$  applied directly to or fed to transpiring leaves can cause decreased  $g_s$  and transpiration (Atkinson 1990), its function as a chemical signal is uncertain. Plants grown in conditions of

high rhizospheric Ca<sup>++</sup> can show higher  $g_s$  (Atkinson 1991). This type of incongruity limits the hypothesis that Ca<sup>++</sup> is a chemical signal alerting the plant of soil conditions. Similar results were found in this study. Calcium did inhibit *E* at the highest concentration, but the lowest concentration actually increased *E* over the control [Ca<sup>++</sup>]. Again, if Ca<sup>++</sup> was a chemical signal, a near linear decrease in *E* would be expected with increasing concentration.

Finally, phosphorus has been shown to influence the sensitivity of stomata to ABA. Plants grown in low P conditions had stomata with increased sensitivity to ABA (Radin 1984). Phosphorus was examined as a potential chemical signal in my study primarily because AM colonization often alters leaf [P]. Colonization did not alter the reception of P and P did not alter *E*. Phosphorus did interact significantly with ABA, but not in a signal manner. Increasing the [P] did not cause a linear change in *E* as expected by a chemical signal.

With the exception of rose plants, there appears to be no consistent mycorrhizal effect on  $g_s$  that remains in leaves once they are detached form the plant. Duan *et al.* (1996) came to a similar conclusion and suggested that in their study, AM effects on host  $g_s$  had to be mediated by the root system.

# REFERENCES

# REFERENCES

- Augé RM, (1989) Do VA mycorrhizae enhance transpiration by affecting host phosphorus content? Journal of Plant Nutrition 12(6): 743-753
- Augé RM, Duan X (1991) Mycorrhizal fungi and nonhydraulic root signals of soil drying. Plant Physiol 87: 821-824
- Augé RM, Duan X, Ebel RC, Stodola AJW (1994) Nonhydraulic signalling of soil drying in mycorrhizal maize. Planta 193: 74-82
- Augé RM, Schekel KA, Wample RL (1986a) Greater leaf conductance of well-watered VA mycorrhizal rose plants is not related to phosphorus nutrition. New Phytol 103: 107-116
- Augé RM, Schekel KA, Wample RL (1986b) Osmotic adjustment in leave of VA mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal rose plants in response to drought stress. Plant Physiol 82: 765-770
- Augé RM, Schekel KA, Wample RL (1987) Leaf water and carbohydrate status of VA mycorrhizal rose exposed to water deficit stress. Plant Soil 99: 291-302

- Augé RM, Stodola AJW (1990) An apparent increase in symplastic water contributes to greater turgor in mycorrhizal roots of droughted *Rosa* plants. New phytol. 115: 285-295
- Augé RM, Stodola AJW, Brown MS, Bethlenfalvay GJ (1992) Stomatal response of mycorrhizal cowpea and soybean to short-term osmotic stress. New Phytol. 120: 117-125
- Allen MF, Boosalis MG (1983) Effects of two species of VA mycorrhizal fungi on drought tolerance of winter wheat. New phytol 93: 67-76
- Atkinson CJ, Mansfield TA, Davies WJ (1990) Does calcium in xylem sap r regulate stomatal conductance? New Phytol 116: 19-27
- Blackman PG, Davies WJ (1984) Age-related changes stomatal response to cytokinin and abscisic acid. Ann Bot 54: 121-125
- Chapmann HD, Pratt PF (1961) Methods of analysis for soil. Plants and Waters. University of California, Riverside, pp 161-174

- Danneberg G, Latus C, Zimmer W, Hundeshagen B, Schneider-Poetsch Hj,
  Bothe H (1992) Influence of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae on
  phytohormone balances in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) J. Plant Physiol 141:
  33-39
- Davies WJ, Mansfield TA, Hetherington AM (1990) Sensing of soil water status and the regulation of plant growth and development. Plant Cell and Envir 13: 709-719
- Davies WJ, Tardieu F, Trejo CL (1994) How do chemical signals work in plants that grow in drying soil? Plant Physiol 104: 309-314
- Davies WJ, Zhang JH (1991) Root signals and the regulation of growth and development of plants in drying soil. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 42: 55-76
- Drüge U, Schönbeck F, (1992) Effect of Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal infection on transpiration, photosynthesis and growth of flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) in relation to cytokinin levels. J. Plant Physiol 141: 40-48

Ebel RC, Welbaum GE, Gunatilaka M, Nelson T, Augé RM (1996) Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and nonhydraulic signaling of soil drying in *Vigna unguiculata* (L,) Walp. Mycorrrhiza 6: 119-127

Faber BA, Zasoski RJ, Munns DN Shcakel K (1991) A method for measuring hyphal nutrient and water uptake in mycorrhizal plants.Can. J. Bot. 69, 87-94.

Gerdemann JW (1975) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae. In JG Torrey, DT Clarkson eds, The development and function of roots, Academic Press, New York, pp 575-591

Gerdemann JW (1978) Translocation of calcium and phosphate by external hyphae of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae. Soil-Sci 126(2): 125-126

Gowing DJG, Davies WJ (1990) A positive root-sourced signals an indicator of soil drying in apple, <u>Malus x domestica</u> Borkh. J Exp Bot 41: 1535-1540

Graham JH, Syvertsen JP (1985) Do mycorrhizae influence the drought tolerance of citrus? J. Environ. Hort. 5(1): 37-39

- Hardie K, Leyton L (1981) The influence of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza on growth and water relations of red clover, I. in phosphate deficient soil. New phytol. 89: 599-608
- Hartung W, Radin JW (1989) Abscisic acid in the mesophyll apoplast and in the root xylem sap of water-stressed plants: the significance of pH gradients. Current topics in plant biochemistry and physiology 8: 110-124
- Hayman DS, Barea JM, Azcon R (1976) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae in southern Spain: its distribution in crops growing in soil of different fertility. Phytopathol, Med 15: 1-6
- Kotari SK, Marschner H, George E (1990) Effect of VA mycorrhizal fungi and rhizosphere microorganisms on root and shoot morphology, growth and water relations in maize. New Phytol 116: 303-311
- Levy Y, Krikun J (1980) Effects of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza on *Citrus jambhiri* Water relations. New Phtol 85: 23-31
- Passioura JB (1988) Root signals control leaf expansion in wheat seedings growing in drying soil. Aust J Plant Physiol 15: 687-693

- Radin JW (1984) Stomatal responses to water stress and to abscisic acid in phosphorus-deficient cotton plants. Plant Physiol 76: 392-394.
- Saab IN, Sharp RE (1989) Non-hydraulic signal from maize roots in drying soil: inhibition of leaf elongation but not stomatal conductance.
   Planta 179: 466-474
- Safir GR, Boyer JS, Gerdemann JW (1971) Mycorrhizal enhancement of water transport in soybean. Science 172: 581-583
- Safir GR, Boyer JS, Gerdemann JW (1972) Nutrient status and mycorrhizal enhancement of water transport in soybean. Plant Physiol 49: 700-703
- Sylvia DM, Williams SE (1992) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae and environmental stress. In GJ Bethlenfalvay and RF Linderman (eds.) Mycorrhizae in sustainable agriculture. American Society of Agronomy, Inc, Denver.
- Zhang JH, Davies WJ (1989) Abscisic acid produced in dehydrating roots may enable the plant to measure the water status soil. Plant Cell Environ 12: 73-81

- Zhang JH, Davies WJ (1987) Increased synthesis of ABA in partially dehydrated root tips and ABA transport from roots to leaves. J Exp Bot 38: 2015-2023
- Zhang JH, Gowing DJ, Davies WJ (1990) ABA as a root signal in root to shoot communication of soil drying. In WJ Davies and B Jeffcoat eds, Importance of root to shoot communication in the responses to environmental stress, British Society for Plant Growth Regulation: pp 163-174
- Zhang JH, Davies WJ (1990) Changes in the concentration of ABA in xylem sap as a function of changing soil water status can account for changes in leaf conductance and growth. Plant Cell Environ 13: 277-285

VITA

Craig Dale Green was born in Dalton, Georgia on February 9. 1972. He attended elementary school in Crossville Tennessee and graduated from Cumberland County High School in 1990. He attended The University of Tennessee, graduating *Magna Cum Laude* in 1994, with a Bachelor of Science degree in Biology. He entered The University of Tennessee, Knoxville graduate school in June 1994, where he was employed as a graduate research assistant in the Department of Ornamental Horticulture and Landscape Design until May 1996. At that time he became a full time research assistant working on a Department of Energy Grant with Dr. Robert Augé of the Department of Ornamental Horticulture and Landscape Design at The University of Tennessee, Knoxville.

