

1944

## Carcinogenesis of the sex hormone

Leland J. Olson  
*University of Nebraska Medical Center*

This manuscript is historical in nature and may not reflect current medical research and practice. Search [PubMed](#) for current research.

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/mdtheses>

---

### Recommended Citation

Olson, Leland J., "Carcinogenesis of the sex hormone" (1944). *MD Theses*. 1247.  
<https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/mdtheses/1247>

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Special Collections at DigitalCommons@UNMC. It has been accepted for inclusion in MD Theses by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNMC. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@unmc.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@unmc.edu).

CARCINOGENESIS OF THE SEX HORMONES



by

Leland J. Olson

SENIOR THESIS PRESENTED TO THE COLLEGE OF MEDICINE

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, OMAHA

1944



## TABLE OF CONTENT

I.	Introduction. . . . .	1
II.	Estrogens and Carcinoma of the Breast . .	3
	A. General Consideration . . . . .	3
	B. Experimental Work on Estrogens. . . .	9
	C. Clinical Work on Estrogens. . . . .	22
III.	Androgens and Carcinoma of the Prostate .	41
	A. General Consideration . . . . .	41
	B. Experimental Work on Androgens. . . .	44
	C. Clinical Work on Androgens. . . . .	50
IV.	Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	60
	A. The Estrogens . . . . .	60
	B. The Androgens . . . . .	64
V.	Bibliography. . . . .	66



## I. INTRODUCTION

The therapeutics and etiology of cancer have been unsolved problems in medicine since tumor growths were first recognized. Many forms of treatment and a similiar number of causative factors have been postulated. These have evolved into a few known facts and many more seemingly sound theories until at the present time many who are interested in the subject feel that in the near future the question mark of cancer may be answered.

Because, in the past quarter century much experimental work has been carried out in the field of cancer research with surprisingly meager clinical results, many readers of medical literature become skeptical at the sight of original work in cancer. However, at the present time, much can be learned from a review of this literature. At present, certain etiological theories may be set forth. It is probably true that no one of these theories is correct in itself, but rather a combination of factors are needed to give carcinogenesis. A few of the theories set forth are: (1) parasitic; (2) inception as a result of irritation, usually chronic; (3) origin in nuclear aberrations;



(4) hereditary origin; (5) some perversion of metabolism; and (6) some hormonal imbalance. (Eggers, 1935)

Equally true is the fact that no definitive treatment can be set forth until more is known of the etiology of the disease.

This paper is an attempt to review some of the more authoritative writers on one special subject in cancer--the sex hormones and their relationship to carcinoma. This is probably best studied from two points of view--the therapeutic and the etiologic association of the two sex hormones, estrogen and androgen, to carcinoma. On the whole, the works reviewed on estrogens are limited to carcinoma of the breast, while that of the androgens are limited to carcinoma of the prostate.

The paper itself will be made up of experimental work of the effect of the sex hormones on the various laboratory animals and clinical reports of the part that these hormones play in the etiology and treatment of carcinoma of the breast and prostate.



## II. ESTROGENS AND CARCINOMA OF THE BREAST

### A. General Considerations

That endocrines have been thought to have some role in carcinogenesis has been shown by numerous reports over the past half century.

The earliest report on the association of carcinoma of the breast to ovarian function is a note by Schinzinger in 1889 that bilateral oophorectomy may help in the treatment of carcinoma of the breast. (Schinzinger, 1889) This was a mere observation, but in 1896 a British surgeon presented the first comprehensive article on the subject. He presented two cases of carcinoma of the breast which seemed to be helped by bilateral oophorectomy. He stated that the result of these two isolated cases could not be taken as conclusive evidence, but he presented the cases in hope that further study in this field might yield a helpful treatment for the disease. He concluded: (1) that there seemed to be evidence that the ovaries have some control in the body over local proliferation of epithelium; (2) that the removal of the tubes and ovaries have effect on the local proliferation of epithelium which occurs in carcinoma of the mamma and helps in the tendency cancer naturally has to fatty



degeneration; and (3) that this effect is best seen in cases of carcinoma in young people, a class of cases where local removal of the disease is often unsatisfactory. (Beatson, 1896)

Beatson had several contemporaries who presented cases which seemed to bear out his findings. Boyd collected 54 cases of which 19 showed improvement, (Boyd, 1900), Thomson reported 80 cases with 29 improvements, (Thomson, 1902), and Lett presented 99 cases and found improvement in 22. The last two groups were all inoperable carcinoma of the breast. Lett also observed that in those cases under 50 years of age, the percentage of improvement rose to 41%. (Lett, 1905) Thus one sees that interest has been stimulated in the subject being discussed for almost a half century. Their conclusions varied--from 23 to 41% improvement in cases of inoperable carcinoma being treated by bilateral oophorectomy.

Interest in the above work gradually waned and was forgotten until in recent years experimental work on this subject has stimulated new enthusiasm in this field. At the present time, one may review the work of Beatson and his followers with some skepticism because of their lack of knowledge of endocrinology and their crude manner of observation as compared to



the present exacting experimentation and accurate clinical observations; however one should not discount this first work that was done on the treatment of carcinoma of the breast. It helped to lay the foundation for present day experiments on the association of estrogenic action on carcinoma and is the basis for one school of thought on present day treatment of carcinoma of the breast.

After the above findings were presented at the turn of the century nothing further was written on the subject until the first experimental work on cancer in mice was reported in 1913 by Lathrop and Loeb. These workers showed that in cancer-susceptible strains of mice the tumor incidence could be lowered and the average age of appearance raised by the prevention of breeding by castration. They added the following observations: (1) the incidence of spontaneous mammary cancer in mice--the species in which these investigations were carried out--varies greatly in different strains, (2) the hereditary cancer rate in successive generations remains constant, and (3) the cancer rate in breeding mice is higher than in non-breeding mice in almost all strains. (Lathrop and Loeb, 1913)



In the next few years much work was done in respect to the effect of oophorectomy and its association to cancer in mice. One of the more prolific workers in this field was Loeb, who reported more completely on his work begun in 1913. He demonstrated that in strains of mice with high cancer incidence the rate of mammary gland cancer could be reduced to zero if the ovaries were extirpated at the time of early sexual maturity (3-4 months); if oophorectomy were carried out at 5-6 months the incidence was lowered but not to such a degree as if removed earlier and the cancer would appear at a later date; and finally if the procedure were carried out at still a later date (8-9 months), the cancer rate rose still more until in mice operated at 10 months the tumor incidence was the same as in normal mice. One may conclude that spontaneous mammary gland cancer in mice is due to a combined group of actions: (1) factors transmitted by heredity and (2) the growth promoting action of estrogenic hormone. Thus given a constant hereditary factor, the incidence of spontaneous mammary gland cancer can be quantitatively graded in accordance with the amount of estrogenic hormone given off by the ovary during the sexual cycle. It seems that,



if a certain amount of estrogenic stimulation has accumulated in a tissue, some other cancerous agent elsewhere in the body is able to continue the work of the hormone, which a considerable time after the action of the hormone has ceased may evenuate in cancer. But without the estrogenic stimulation, the second agent cannot give cancerous transformation. (Loeb, 1916, 1920) All of Loeb's work has since been corroborated. (Cori, 1927) From the above experiments one sees that the significance of hormones in the origin of cancer has been proven by examining the effects of graded diminutions in their amount as compared with that normally active in high tumor strains. However, the effects of hormones on the development of tumors can also be shown by increasing it over the normal usually given by the ovaries.

In 1928 this new principle was introduced into cancer research when mammary cancer was produced in the male mouse. Male mice, even in susceptible strains, are usually free from mammary cancer; however, such tumors were produced by transplanting ovarian tissue into males. Murray reported the incidence of mammary tumors in the strain he was working with as follows; 80% in normal breeding females, 17% in ovariectomized



females, 11% in non-breeding females, and 7% in males bearing ovarian grafts. (Murray, 1928)

Thus, up to 1928 one sees two general topics under consideration in literature referable to the carcinogenesis of the ovarian hormones. These are: (1) clinical observations that bilateral oophorectomy in some cases of inoperable carcinoma of the breast is of some palliative aid and (2) experimental work on carcinoma in mice of varying susceptibility strains and the effect of oophorectomy on them.

It was not until 1929 that the crystalline ovarian hormone was isolated. (Doisy et al, 1929) This proved a boon to the cancer research worker and, as Taylor states in his recent review of the pathology of the ovarian hormone, two developments that made possible the investigation of the pathology of the ovarian hormone were: (1) attainment of potent preparations of estrogenic substances and (2) elaboration of methods of extraction and measurements of ovarian hormone which can be applied to the study of its effects on human tissues and body fluids. (Taylor, 1938) Thus, after the isolation and production of estrogens, it was possible to carry out more extensive experiments on their effect in carcinogenesis. Two general



methods of study have been used. One is to take a given condition such as carcinoma of the breast, for which an endocrine basis is conceivable. Then evidence is sought to demonstrate hyperactivity of the ovary. The second method has been to begin with a known excess of estrogenic substance and attempt by its injection, usually into laboratory animals, to produce lesions similar to those in human beings.

#### B. Experimental Work on Estrogens

The pathological effects produced in animals by the administration of estrogenic substances include particularly the proliferation of glandular tissues of secondary organs of reproduction. The human lesions which may be analogous are certain hyperplastic and neoplastic conditions of the female and male genital tract. (Taylor, 1938)

Before one considers the experimental work on the estrogens in carcinogenesis, one should first look to other associated factors which are known to be present in order that mammary carcinoma may occur in mice. The first factor concerning this was the study of genetics of that disease. Pure strains of mice were developed by inbreeding. After a given number



of generations, the animals became chromosomally identical (homozygous) and the various physical traits could be expected to be reproduced regularly in their descendants. Through this method certain pure strains are established in which a large percentage of the females will develop breast carcinoma. Other strains have been bred which never or rarely develop neoplasms.

The anatomical structures in the breast of low-breast-cancer incidence mice show very slight development of acini on the duct system of the resting breast, while in that of the high incidence mice, myriad buds of epithelial cells are seen in the duct system.

(Bittner, 1942)

The susceptibility of breast cancer in mice is hereditary, however Little proved clearly that it is not a simple Mendelian dominant but a more complex factor. It is transmitted by the maternal parent and does not follow the Mendelian law. (Little, 1931)

In general, the greater the hereditary tendency to mammary gland cancer, the more readily will the stimulation by certain quantities of hormone applied over a period of time lead to precancerous and cancerous changes. (Loeb, 1920)

In the case of cancer of the breast there is an



inverse ratio between the amount of stimulation and the degree of hereditary factor needed.

Without the application of stimulating factors, the transformation of normal into cancerous tissue does not take place in the mammary gland, even if the degree of hereditary tendency is very great.

Essentially, the hereditary factor in cancer consists in an inherited degree of responsiveness of mammary gland to stimulation. Therefore one would expect that the different strains differ merely in the amount of stimulation necessary. This is not the case, for given a constant intensity of stimulation applied in a unit of time, cancer will not appear at the same age in the same strains. This indicates that other variable factors complicate these relations, but these factors are unknown. (Loeb, 1940)

Also in two different strains mammary cancer may show very great differences in frequency, whereas cancer of the cervix and vagina may be equally frequent in both. The reverse condition may also be true. (Loeb et al, 1936)

From this, one concludes that hereditary tendency of cancer is an inherited organ peculiarity, corresponding to the many other organ and tissue peculiarities



which a more thorough comparison of the life history of individuals belonging to different strains reveals.

A second factor to consider in experimental production of cancer in mice is diet. Bagg has shown that it is necessary to supply high protein diets to keep the animals breeding actively. (Bagg, 1936) Also experiments have been carried out showing that under-nourishment may be a factor (Tannenbaum, 1940) and that the incidence of mammary tumors may be restricted by caloric intake. (Visscher, 1942)

In 1933 a third factor was determined which was instrumental in the production of mammary cancer in mice. This was found to be an active principle present during the entire lactation period in the milk of females from strains with a high incidence of mammary tumors. This may be eliminated by foster nursing on females of strains with a low incidence of mammary tumors. (Bittner, 1936) It was found that this principle was especially active in the first 24 hours following birth and during this time has much to do with the incidence of cancer. In other words mice of mothers with high incidence nursed the first 24 hours of life by mice with low incidence of cancer show a decreased incidence of the disease while the converse is also



true. (Andervont, 1940)

A fourth factor and the one which is to be considered in this paper is the female sex hormone, estrogen. Soon after the isolation of the estrogenic hormone, experimental work was begun on the carcinogenesis of injections of this material into mice.

It might be noted before considering the effect of the estrogens that the sex hormones seem to be the only hormones with the exception of the pituitary that are important in carcinogenesis--at least as far as has been proven to date. Of course it is well known that the pituitary exerts a controlling effect over the sex glands.

It has been shown that spontaneous development of cancer in a high-incidence-cancer strain of mice can be prevented by the thyrotropic hormone of the anterior lobe of the pituitary gland, also the development of the mammary gland in males produced by prolonged estrinization can be kept in check by the simultaneous administration of thyrotropic hormone. Therefore the thyrotropic hormone is in some respects a physiological antagonist to the estrogenic hormone. (Cramer and Horning, 1938)

Transplants of the anterior pituitary into mice



caused a marked development of the mammary gland both in strains with low and high incidence of mammary cancer. They also caused a considerable increase in cancer rate over that observed in virgin mice which had not received such transplants. Mammary gland cancer could not be induced, however, by this means in very low tumor strains. In this respect transplanted anterior lobes behaved like long-continued injections of large doses of estrogen. (Loeb and Kirtz, 1939)

Many papers have been written on the association of the other endocrines to carcinoma with rather indecisive results. It is not the purpose of this paper to discuss this questionable issue, but rather to discuss the sex hormones which experimentally and perhaps clinically have some association with cancer.

Lacassagne was the first to show the significance of the estrogenic hormones in the development of mammary tumors. He did this by injecting them in mice over an extended period. He found he could produce cancer in males of high-cancer-incidence strains. In other words, no amount of treatment of males was effective if they were of strains of which the females normally would not develop breast cancer.

He carried out his experiments by weekly injections



of 0.03 milligrams of ketoestrin benzoate. All of three male mice from a strain (R<sub>3</sub>) in which 72% of the untreated multiparous females showed spontaneous development of mammary cancer gave rise to mammary tumor. He then investigated the influence of estrogens on the incidence of mammary tumors in male and female mice from strains in which the multiparous females showed few spontaneous mammary tumors. The initial study indicated that the incidence of tumors in some strains of mice could be increased greatly by injection of estrogens. In one strain mammary cancer did not develop. In the high tumor strain (R<sub>3</sub>), 11 of 12 male and 7 of 9 female mice after estrogen was injected presented mammary tumors. (Lacassagne, 1936) This work has been confirmed by numerous other workers with similar results.

Gardner and his associates injected four different estrogenic chemicals (theelin, keto-estrin benzoate, hydroxyestrin benzoate, and equilin benzoate) and found that all were carcinogenic in mice.

In their experiments, which were similar to those of Lacassagne, a total of 126 mice of three inbred strains received estrogenic hormones for periods of 125 days or more. Twenty-eight mice have developed



one or more mammary carcinomas. The "CBA" strain is usually rather tumor-resistant, however, mammary tumors have occurred in two of three females.

(Gardner et al, 1936)

Burns and Schenken have concluded likewise from similar experiments in which time was considered an important criterion. They injected a certain estrogen at regular intervals into male mice belonging to a high tumor strain. Injections for one month did not produce cancer; if continued for 2 or 3 months tumors appeared, but with diminished incidence as compared with that noted in breeding females. Injections over periods of 4 or 5 months yielded the maximum number of cancers; further increase in the length of time did not cause an additional increase in tumor incidence.

(Burns and Schenken, 1940)

If the female sex hormone seems to be carcinogenic in certain strains of mice it seems plausible that the male sex hormone should prevent this action of estrogen under certain conditions. Experiments with this principle in mind were carried out. Testosterone was administered to female mice of a high-breast-cancer-incidence strain. This was successful if the male hormone was given early in the life of the animal;



but if given later in life, it had no effect in preventing cancer of the breast in mice. Thus it seems that after a certain period the animal has gained a certain "sensitivity" and the male sex hormones do not seem to control the cancer. (Nathanson and Andervont, 1939)

The significance of hormones in the origin of cancer has usually been proven by examining the effects of graded diminutions in their amounts as compared with that normally active in high-tumor strains. In addition to this method, the effect of the hormones can be demonstrated by increasing the amount over that given off by the ovaries under usual conditions. For this purpose ovaries from female mice of high-cancer, partly inbred strains were transplanted into castrated brothers. This method was the reverse of the one used previously and, although the first few experiments were negative, later more complete series were run in which cancer was produced in this way. (Loeb, 1940)

It must be remembered in evaluating the many experiments carried out on the relationship of estrogens to the various strains of mice that there are variations in these strains. The estrogenic stimulations seem to



vary in different inbred strains of mice. Some develop few tumors. (Little et al, 1939) Others have a low incidence in breeding females. (Bittner, 1939) Andervont has shown some stocks to have a high incidence in both virgins and breeding females. The greatest variations in susceptible stocks have been observed in "C<sub>3</sub>H" strain having a very high incidence, and virgin females of the "A" stock a very low one, whereas breeding females of the two strains have a high incidence. One wonders why this difference occurs in the incidence in virgin females of the two strains. Andervont explains it on a basis of (1) an increased production of estrogenic hormone, (2) decrease in the rate of destruction of these hormones, or (3) lower threshold sensitivity of the mammary tissue to the neoplastic changes. Therefore the amount of estrogenic hormones produced in virgin females of various stocks is the result of strain differences and as such may result from intrinsic factors. In some susceptible strains of mice the amount of hormones secreted by virgin females will produce a sufficient development of the mammary tissue to result in a high incidence of mammary tumor. Other strains of susceptible mice have a low incidence in virgin females probably because their



intrinsic factors are able to produce only a low level of estrogenic stimulation. The production of estrogenic hormones may be further increased as the result of extrinsic causes such as: (1) the production of young, as in the case of normal breeding; (2) forced breeding; or (3) injections of the hormone over long periods of time. Most of the experiments cited thus far use the last principle. (Andervont, 1941)

How do estrogens effect the mammary gland to produce mammary gland carcinoma in mice? Loeb has attempted to answer this question. He states that a sudden change does not occur from the resting gland or from the gland undergoing ordinary cyclic growth processes, either during the sexual cycle, pregnancy, or lactation. There is a slowly progressive stimulation of the mammary gland which may express itself either in mitotic proliferation, in secretion, or more generally in a combination of these two processes. Only very slowly do active growth and secretion processes set in. Preceded by intense growth and secretion processes which seem to be pre-cancerous, the carcinomatous transformation occurs. Abnormal glandular structures begin to develop which may protrude as papillae, or penetrate actively into surrounding stroma



and continue this growth even if the injection of estrogen is diminished. The end ducts and acini developing from them, rather than the individual cells, are the structural units of the mammary gland which are affected by these stimuli and which respond with growth and secretion processes. The estrogenic hormones thus produce cancer of the mammary gland by stimulating the gland tissue to greater and greater proliferation until the final threshold of normal growth is exceeded. Thus the interpretation is that hormones produce cancer by stimulating epithelial structures in such a way that, step by step, the growth becomes intensified until cancerous transformation occurs. (Loeb, 1940)

That these cancerous changes seem to be common to other genital organs has been substantiated by numerous workers. Gardner showed that abnormal proliferative changes were elicited in the cervix of mice by merely injecting estrogens subcutaneously. He proved further the cancerous nature of these proliferative changes by transplanting them successfully into other individuals of the same strain. (Gardner, 1938)

Loeb has made some very interesting observations on the administration of estrogens in mice. He concludes that estrogenic hormones produce cancerous



changes in mice in which the responsiveness to growth stimuli on the part of the tissue (mammary gland) has passed a certain limit. When this cancerous change has occurred the estrogenic hormone is no longer needed. Estrogenic hormones are effective because they induce growth processes in the organs they help to control, i. e. mammary gland, and estrogen does not induce a refractory state to such a degree as some of the hormones of a protein nature. If this were true, the refractory state would inhibit the growth stimulation. This refractory state in other hormones may be due to the development of "immune substances" which inactivate the hormone.

Furthermore, the hormones should not be cyclic in their action. Many of the growth processes which take place within the organism are cyclic. However this does seem to be true of the ovarian hormones as it is liberated rhythmically during the normal sexual activity and during pregnancy. The tissue response cannot be completely rhythmic, and the state of the tissues at the end of the cycle is not the exact duplicate of the beginning. This is easily observed by the fact that even during the normal life of the female mouse in certain strains, a slow but continued growth of the mammary gland may take place with advancing age.



Apparently rhythmic growth processes may ultimately lead to mammary gland cancer. Conversely, the mechanisms which tend to make growth processes strictly cyclic, as well as those mechanisms which underlie refractory states to the action of hormones, are opposed to, and help to prevent the transformation of normal into cancerous tissue. It may be assumed that without these mechanisms spontaneous cancer would develop more easily through estrogenic or any other carcinogenic stimulation. Thus one sees a carcinogenic agent (estrogens) being opposed by a mechanism which in the normal organism tends to prevent abnormal neoplastic growths. (Loeb, 1940)

### C. Clinical Work on Estrogens

Most people believe that it is a long way from cancer in mice to cancer in man. This is very true; however, there are several important things one can learn from studying the two.

First it must be said that there is no clear cut evidence that estrogens are the etiological agent in carcinoma of the breast in man.

On the other hand, since the etiology of cancer has not been worked out definitely and often the therapeutics is inadequate, one should attempt to



correlate the known facts in the experimental work just presented with the desirable knowledge of the etiology and treatment of carcinoma in man.

To apply these experiments clinically, one must make certain assumptions. First, the patients with cancer of the breast must be susceptible and must be secreting estrogenic hormone in increasing amounts. This increase should be comparable in degree to that used experimentally to produce cancer. Comparative susceptibility to cancer cannot be determined with certainty. As to the second assumption (that ovaries of these patients must be secreting estrogen in increasing amounts) no data has been published. Thus, one sees the problem of correlating experimental and clinical work. These assumptions have often been too enthusiastic, with the resulting skepticism in the clinical evaluation of the subject under discussion.

It is understandable if estrogens are a factor in human cancer there must be several degrees of relationship. Taylor places importance on this in order to study the pathological effects of estrogenic stimulation in the explanation of cancer. However he warns that theory is probably years ahead of any definite proof and all valuation of evidence must be guarded. He presents four classifications of the degree of rela-



tionship to cancer as follows:

1. The hormone may play simply a "protective" role maintaining the tissue in a state in which some other agent may be effective. This is the simplest explanation of why fibroids do not develop after bilateral oophorectomy.
2. The hormone may be effective only in starting the process, in causing a simple hyperplasia, while other agents are necessary to transform this to a tumor. A possible example of this is the development of carcinoma on the basis of endometrial hyperplasia.
3. The hormone may have certain indirect effects which by producing other types of stimulation lead to tumor formation. The stasis of secretions often noted in mammary ducts in which early cancer is present may be the result of a hormone and the cause of a chemical irritation.
4. Finally the hormone may be the true cause, but in two senses. It may represent simply a physiologic stimulus, which, when effective, excessively produces abnormal morphologic results; or it may be specifically tumor producing. (Taylor, 1938)

It seems reasonable that Taylor's theories of the varying degree of carcinogenesis of estrogens has a strong theoretical value, since the last word has not been said in regard to the etiology in man. Because much that is to be stated here is theoretical it is difficult to evaluate the evidence for an endocrine factor in human breast cancer. This can best be attacked from several different points and then a consideration of the relationship of these facts.



First, the age incidence has an important bearing on the etiologic theory. Approximately one-third of the cases of breast cancer occur in women with a mature menstrual function or premenopausal age group. Another one-third occurs within the 10 year period of unstable ovarian function or 5 years preceding and the 5 years succeeding the menopause. The last third are in the older age group past the menopause in which any development of cancer from the ovarian hormone must presumably depend on the continued development of abnormal cellular material having its inception many years before. (Taylor, 1938) However, Olch reports in his survey that about 50% of the instances of carcinoma of the breast are in women who first noticed a lump in the breast after they had reached 50. He does say that of these many have already passed through the menopause, and the remainder are still menstruating when they appear for medical attention. Further, it seems that estrogenic activity may be present in some women after the cessation of menstruation and this may be a factor in the development of mammary cancer at this late period. (Olch, 1937)

Taylor did some additional work on the disease in the younger age group and reports findings which seem to bear out the fact that the carcinoma is usually



more malignant in the younger women. This seems to bear out the premise that if estrogens do have a part to play in carcinoma, they would surely be most active in the younger age group, thus producing the more malignant lesion. He found that cancer of the breast metastasizes earlier, it tends to be of higher grade of malignancy, and post-operative recurrence takes place more promptly in younger women. (Taylor, 1936)

A second point to consider along this same vein is the time of menopause in women with carcinoma of the breast. One must know this to evaluate the age of onset of the disease. Again Olch has noted a delay in the appearance of the menopause in these women. This may indicate a physiologic state favorable to abnormal epithelial proliferation. The age of menopause in women normally is between 40 and 50--at least 72% of women will be in this group while only 9-12% of women will normally cease menstruating after 50. In a series of 342 patients coming for carcinoma of the breast first after the age of 50, the menopausal age of 55% was past 50. Thus almost 5 times as many women in this group had a delayed menopausal age as is the accepted normal. (Olch, 1937)

Even though statistics are often misleading it seems that one can draw some conclusions. No matter



when the menopause occurs, there is some evidence that estrogenic activity continues after this and if it does not in other cases there is a distinct possibility that the carcinogenic action of the estrogens has affected the tissues prior to menopause. Although Taylor believes that the disease is quite evenly divided between premenopausal, postmenopausal women, and those women in the menopause, Olch has shown that there is a delayed onset of menopause in a high percentage of women with the disease.

Further in this discussion, it has been shown that young women with cancer of the breast often experience menstrual disturbances. This leads one to believe that there may be some hormonal imbalance in women with cancer of the breast during their catamenia. (Lane-Clayton, 1936) Also lactation deficiencies occur with considerable frequency in the history of women with breast carcinoma. The significance of this is not clear but perhaps the failure to nurse is voluntary and the stasis of secretions produces irritation and finally cancer. Perhaps the failure to nurse is a physiologic deficiency based on some functional or structural abnormality, associated also with the cause of breast carcinoma. (Adair, 1934)

Strong evidence now exists that, just as in mice,



there is an hereditary factor in breast carcinoma. It does not seem that this hereditary factor is equal for all cancers. For example the familial incidence of cancer of the breast is rather high while that of the lip is low.

From this hereditary evidence one may get an important insight into the difference between the carcinogenesis of estrogens and that of the other carcinogenic agents such as the hydrocarbons. Going back to the experimental work already presented one can see that production of mouse carcinoma by estrogens is done with an environment which is entirely internal. This is in direct contrast to the production of cancer experimentally by hydrocarbons which is always done externally. The existence of a carcinogenic environment which is entirely internal enables one to understand why malignancy can develop in organs not exposed to influences from without. Therefore, the inference in man which one may conclude from this familial incidence is that carcinoma of the breast in women, at least in those with a family history of breast cancer, is the result of an internal carcinogenic environment. Since in mice this internal environment is associated with abnormalities in endocrine systems, a search for such abnormalities in women with breast cancer and a family



history of the disease is indicated. (Cramer, 1937)

What evidence can be offered that will substantiate the known facts of endocrine carcinogenesis in experimental animals? Perhaps this is studied best by observation of already established breast cancer. First one may consider the widely held belief that breast cancer developing during pregnancy or lactation is of high malignancy and carries a grave prognosis. Secondly there is the effect of suppression of ovarian function by artificial means.

Pregnancy is the one physiological process which normally gives an increased amount of estrogen over an extended period. This is due to the fact that the corpus luteum is eliminated during pregnancy and the estrogenic secretion is maintained. From what has been said regarding the carcinogenic action of estrogens experimentally one would think that pregnancy in itself might be carcinogenic. This is just contrary to actual statistics for it is very uncommon to have cancer develop during pregnancy. Emge has reported that he has observed only one patient in 11,600 pregnancies with mammary carcinoma. This seems to indicate that pregnancy has very little association with the genesis of carcinoma of the breast and, as he shows, with



any genital tract cancer. (Emge, 1934) Peller substantiates these facts. He compared the expected number of neoplastic growths in pregnant and non-pregnant women estimated on the basis of known figures for carcinoma in various age groups. He found that actual occurrence of carcinoma of the breast in pregnancy was significantly lower than comparable non-pregnant groups of women. He concludes that the correlation between cancer and pregnancies in women is entirely different from that in mice. He even went so far as to say that pregnancy leaves the general body structure more resistant to the development of cancer, raises the average age of cancer occurrence, and retards its progress. (Peller, 1940)

It is well known that benign adenomas of the breast respond to the stimulus of pregnancy in the same ratio as does the normal mammary tissue. Such adenomas become more evident during lactation because of accumulated secretions. They usually recede after weaning but the process is repeated with each succeeding pregnancy.

Neoplastic growths are probably affected in a more complex manner. First it seems that they are responsive to general systemic influences of pregnancy.



This is expressed by cytologic changes just as normal breast tissue and benign breast lesions are. Secondly, these changes are not influenced beyond variations in individual growth propensities. If these two factors are in imbalance, the growth of the neoplasm will be either increased or decreased in the pregnant over the normal woman. Thus it is quite explainable why much variance in opinions has resulted over the effect of pregnancy on mammary carcinoma. Emge concludes from the work presented above that pregnancy as a rule does not influence the growth rate or the size of neoplasms beyond certain reactions of which retardation is the most frequent. In many instances it remains unaffected and occasionally an acceleration is observed. However he does state that neoplastic tissue sensitive to hormonal stimuli may exhibit increased activity during pregnancy. This seems to be the case in mammary carcinoma. (Emge, 1934)

It is interesting to note the differences of opinion which exist as to the effect of pregnancies on carcinoma. There is much contradiction in the literature and in some instances confusion. Taylor is very emphatic about his views on the subject. He states that pregnancy following radical mastectomy



for breast carcinoma involves a grave hazard of activating recurrence or stimulating development of primary carcinoma of the other breast. He concludes that treatment of operable carcinoma complicated by pregnancy should include prompt abortion. (Taylor, 1934)

Just as there is much difference of opinion as to the effect of pregnancy on carcinoma, so are there two schools of thought on its effect on the genesis of carcinoma. The report has been presented that most writers feel it has little to do with carcinogenesis. However others feel that the hyperfolliculinism of pregnancy favors the development of mammary carcinoma. Scapier exemplifies this school of thought and supports his belief by case reports. However he was undecided whether the cause of the mammary carcinoma could be attributed to the prolonged irritation of the mammary epithelium by folliculin or to a loss of hormonal equilibrium that accompanies the change from the stable condition of the nine months of pregnancy to the puerperal state. (Scapier, 1941) One sees now that the association of pregnancy and carcinoma has not been decided but must be studied more fully to warrant any conclusive facts.



The second bit of clinical evidence to support the experimental work on mammary carcinoma is the artificial suppression of ovarian function. The use of the artificial menopause in the treatment of mammary carcinoma is not a new thing. Beatson's work on this subject in 1896 has been presented. One also has seen how his work and that of his followers fell into disuse after the turn of the century. This probably was precipitated by the improvement in technique and results obtained in radical mastectomy. However during the past 15 years this original work has been revived by many men and utilized with radical mastectomy in the treatment of certain mammary carcinomas. The method of gaining artificial menopause has been:

(1) surgical removal of the ovaries, (2) irradiation of the ovaries, or (3) the administration of certain androgenic hormones which seem to antagonize the female sex hormones liberated by the ovaries.

Dresser was one of the first men to employ this method of treatment in modern times. He reported in his initial series from 1929 to 1934 fifty-nine cases of carcinoma of the breast which received ovarian irradiation. Thirty in this group had not reached the menopause and nine or 30% of these have shown



definite regression of bone metastases as determined by frequent roentgen examination. The regression is manifest by destructive areas in the bone becoming hyperplastic, and in some instances complete return to normal appearance. There is concomitant relief of pain and improvement in the general condition of the patient. This may continue for a period of several months up to two or three years. Thirteen of this group (43%) were temporarily relieved of pain but showed no regression of metastatic processes. In eight cases (26%) there was no response. In the group past the menopause, not a single case showed regression by roentgenologic examination. The author concludes that this method of treatment in the premenopausal group is offered as a palliative measure and may be expected to be effective in about one-third of the cases treated. (Dresser, 1936)

Horsley made a study of cases of bilateral oophorectomy with radical operation for carcinoma of the breast. He has presented a comparison of this form of treatment with that which he formerly employed, that of radical mastectomy alone. Up to 1937 he performed 184 radical operations with a 51% recurrence. From November, 1937 to October, 1943 he performed



bilateral oophorectomy with the radical operation. Of this series of 25 cases he has had only two (8%) recurrences. One of these probably had internal metastases at operation and the other patient had a mucoid type carcinoma. Failure in the latter case is probably due to the fact that pathogenesis of mucoid carcinoma of the breast differs from that of the usual mammary carcinoma. As it probably arises from the stroma, estrogens do not seem to influence it. Nineteen of the 25 cases reported have gone from one to six years after operation with one recurrence (5.3%). This is quite significant in view of the earlier results the author obtained by radical operation alone and is probably more than an accident. (Horsley, 1944)

Even though these two series of cases vary as to the time element and probably as to the extent of the disease, it does seem that such a decided difference in the results obtained points to the efficacy of incorporating castration in the treatment.

Taylor, who has done much experimental cancer research, has also employed castration in carcinoma of the breast. He used artificial menopause following radical mastectomy for operable carcinoma of the breast. Forty-seven were studied with 14 patients



having no axillary node metastases. Of these, one died of metastases and 13 are living and apparently free from disease for an average period of 2.8 years. Of the 33 with axillary involvement, 15 are dead, 3 are living with probable recurrence and 15 are living without evidence of disease for a period of 2.7 years. The author believes that this form of treatment may be expected to result in temporary regression or improvement in one-third of the cases with recurrent and inoperable carcinoma of the breast. He obtained best results in cases with osseous metastases. (Taylor, 1939) These results approximate those of Dresser presented above.

From the cases presented one sees that castration in breast carcinoma is of greatest value in controlling to some degree the metastatic lesions. It helps to diminish pain and other clinical symptoms and in some cases of osseous metastases it seems to give roentgenologic improvement. Hunt also gives a comprehensive report on regression of pulmonary metastases following irradiation of the ovaries in mammary carcinoma. He presented two cases in which definite clinical and roentgenologic improvement was observed following this treatment. He believes the primary mechanism must have



been a biologic readjustment of the growth forces following withdrawal of the stimulating ovarian hormones. Other more potent and fundamental factors were involved in initiation of the cancer. Growth of tissues may be looked upon as influenced by two groups of opposing forces. One promotes and drives growth; the other retards and directs it. The ovarian hormones were merely one factor promoting growth of the breast which carried the tissue equilibrium more rapidly into the cancerous phase. Withdrawal of the hormones resulted in the reaction becoming reversed for a while but other carcinogenic forces finally took over. (Hunt, 1940)

Taylor also states that best results of castration are found in the younger patients and often postpones or inhibits metastases in these patients. (Taylor, 1934)

It is well known that carcinoma in young women is usually more malignant than those that have passed the menopause. Lee has presented a review of 191 cases of breast carcinoma occurring in women under 40 years of age treated only by radical mastectomy. A follow-up after three years showed only 15% alive and free from the disease. (Lee, 1930) Taylor bears out Lee's work in a report of carcinoma of the breast



at Massachusetts General Hospital from 1921 to 1929. The cases were divided into those patients from 46 to 60 years of age (postmenopausal) and those under 45 (premenopausal). It was found that carcinoma of the breast in the younger age group tended to metastasize earlier and was of higher malignancy than in the older group. Postoperative recurrence seemed to take place more promptly in the younger group. The treatment used was radical mastectomy and the results were 34% cures in the younger women as contrasted to 44% in the older group. (Taylor, 1938)

All this seems to indicate that, perhaps because mammary carcinoma is more malignant in the young, ovarian stimulation which would probably be more active in the young is one of the factors which gives carcinogenesis in man.

Another interesting fact is that, to date, no case of true carcinoma of the breast has been reported before puberty. This further adds to the increasing evidence incriminating the sex hormones since very little of this is active in man before puberty. (Power, 1942)

If estrogens in man seem to be carcinogenic, are there any specific case reports to substantiate this



premise? There are scattered reports throughout the literature which tend to incriminate the estrogens. These authors are very careful not to be too enthusiastic in their conclusions. Allaben and Owen presented a case in which 258,000 units of estrogen were given over a 12 months period for menopausal symptoms. The patient developed a breast malignancy subsequently and the medication was stopped. They suggest that, even though the estrogenic therapy might not be involved etiologically, the fact remains that it is a potentially dangerous drug and should not be given in unphysiologic quantities over long periods. (Allaben and Owens, 1939) Other cases have been reported with similar results and conclusions. (Auchincloss, Haagense, 1940; Parsons, 1941)

High incidence of breast carcinoma following the menopause is well known. In fact, as was shown, at least two-thirds of the cases are either during or following the menopause. If estrogenic activity is responsible for the genesis of the disease, how would one explain the high incidence following menopause? This has been adequately explained on several bases. Frank and his coworkers suggest that there may be an extra ovarian source of the estrogenic hormone. They have shown that 12 surgical castrates have excreted



some estrogens in the urine. This not only explains the problem presented above but also may explain why in certain cases of mammary carcinoma artificial menopause does not seem to give the results that it does in others. (Frank, et al, 1936) Robson and his associates found estrogens in fairly large amounts in the urine as late as 18 years after the menopause. There is some evidence that this may come from other glands of internal secretion, perhaps the adrenal. (Robson, et al, 1934)

Another explanation seems to be that the estrogenic hormone has "sensitized" the mammary tissue perhaps over a period of several decades. Even if the menopause intercedes, the tissue has reached a point where some associated etiological factor may give rise to the neoplasm.

It is known that ovulation usually stops after the menopause. This eliminates the corpus luteum which periodically interrupts estrogenic stimulation. Ovarian conditions, especially if some cystic process occurs, would lead one to expect a more or less continued estrogenic stimulation. This seems to favor the premise that a hormonal imbalance may be an etiologic factor in cancer of the breast. (Allen, 1940)



### III. ANDROGENS AND CARCINOMA OF THE PROSTATE

#### A. General Considerations

Because there is a close analogy between the female and male sex hormones in respect to carcinogenesis, it seems fitting that reviews of the two should be correlated. It is interesting to note the similarity of the two subjects, their synthesis, discovery, experimental and clinical application. This has lead men interested in cancer to consider the two sex hormones as having some association with its etiology and in some cases the treatment of the disease of cancer of certain genital organs.

It was reported as early as 1836 by two Frenchmen, d'Etoille and Civiale, that the testicular function had some control over the size of the prostate. They mentioned that they observed complete disappearance of the prostate in patients who had undergone bilateral orchidectomy for treatment of double hernia. (White, 1893) Of course any report on endocrine function of that date is necessarily taken with much reservation; however it does bring to light the fact that a simple observation of over a century ago now forms the basis of the most modern treatment for certain cases of carcinoma of the prostate.



Although numerous reports are to be found throughout the nineteenth century similar to the above, not until 1893 did any truly scientific work appear. At that time White reported that castration of 35 dogs yielded atrophy of the glandular and muscular elements of the prostate. He suggested from this work that perhaps castration before a certain age in man might prevent the hypertrophy and subsequent carcinoma which often result in older males. (White, 1893) He then carried out castration on 111 patients with prostatic enlargement. From this study favorable clinical results were obtained. (White, 1895)

However, there were many conflicting reports on White's work. In 1896 it was reviewed and stated that, although castration was perhaps helpful, the best treatment for prostatic enlargement was prostatectomy. (Cabot, 1896)

Just as has been reported on the female sex hormone, after the turn of the century the work on the male sex hormone fell into obscurity. It was not until 1935 that discussion was once again started when it was stated that the female sex hormone acted as an antagonist to the male hormone and might prove a boon for the treatment of carcinoma of the prostate,



acting in an analogous role to orchidectomy. (Strohm, 1935)

Perhaps before the full scope of the work on carcinogenesis of the male androgens is considered, one should attempt to answer the question of why is the medical profession interested in cancer of the prostate? Most men realize the seriousness of carcinoma of the breast and the expedience of an early diagnosis but few realize that the same is true of carcinoma of the prostate. Statistics are often misleading but the ones quoted herein have been chosen since they seem to be a middle course between the extremely radical and the ultra-conservative. Approximately 45% of men over 40 have some benign hypertrophy of the prostate. Carcinoma of the prostate occurs in from 9 to 17% of all men over 50 while it is death-dealing in about 5% of all men over fifty. (Huggins, 1943)

The prostate is not known to produce a hormone and it is dependent for its existence in the adult upon two types of endocrines, the androgens and the estrogens. The androgens cause increase in size and the initiation and maintenance of the function of prostatic epithelium and in excess produce hyperplasia.



The estrogens in excess cause a decrease in size and cessation of function of the epithelial cells. Further, these fat soluble compounds have physiological capacity of neutralizing the action of each other with respect to the prostate when administered in appropriate amounts. (Huggins, 1943)

#### B. Experimental Work on Androgens

One will note that the experimental work done on the carcinogenesis of the male sex hormone is much less prolific than that done on the female sex hormone. This probably has its basis in two facts. First, experimental work on carcinoma of the breast and estrogens was started early (Lathrop and Loeb, 1913) and was found to be successfully carried out in various laboratory animals such as mice, rats, and guinea pigs. As none of the similar laboratory animals have a true prostate, work on carcinoma of the prostate and androgens was not started intensively until this past decade. Secondly, because seemingly good clinical results have been obtained in the treatment of carcinoma of the prostate with the elimination of the androgen, less work has been required to get greater clinical results.



The gateway to androgen experimentation was opened in 1927 when the male hormone was first isolated from the sex glands of bulls. (McGee, 1927) In 1934 a Swiss chemist, Ruzicka, prepared it synthetically. (Allen, 1939)

Following these two discoveries research on cancer of the prostate took on a new role, just as research on cancer of the breast did following the isolation and synthesis of the female sex hormone. It became a functional or physiological approach to the problem of tumors rather than a descriptive or pathological approach as was formerly done. The latter deals only with sections of the dead organism while the former deals with the entire living organism. To accomplish this, one must know how much cancer activity is present and how this can be increased or decreased. Assay of the disease in the laboratory removes much of the uncertainty of bedside observation. This is possible in prostatic carcinoma through certain enzymes, the phosphatases. (Huggins, 1943)

Kutscher and Wolbergs (1935) were first to find that an enzyme capable of breaking down beta-glycerophosphate at an acid reaction (optimal pH 5) was present in adult human prostate. It has been known



for some time that human serum contained a phosphatase active on the alkaline side, but this was the first acid phosphatase to be demonstrated. The alkaline phosphatase is called into use during bone repair, the enzyme being used to make available phosphate deposition in bone structure as calcium phosphate. (Rhodes, 1943) At the same time it was shown that prostate tissue extracts split off inorganic phosphorus from sodium nucleinate, a nuclease effect attributable to nucleotidases, which are now classified as phosphatases. (Moore and Hanzel, 1936)

Gutman and Gutman have shown that infant's prostatic tissue contained small amounts of this acid phosphatase while in adults it increased in activity. Prostatic phosphatase was found in primary prostatic carcinomas, at the site of distant metastases, and in the blood serum of patients with metastasizing carcinoma of the prostate. These same men have carried out experiments on monkeys and have shown that the administration of testosterone (an androgen) increases the amount of acid phosphatase while the administration of estrogenic substances had little effect. (Gutman and Gutman, 1938) Some have found rather unexpected results from animal experiments in view of the results



obtained from human phosphatase levels. In sexually mature animals, dogs were found to have 35.6 units/g of phosphatase at a pH of 4.9, cats had 2.8 units/g, rabbits had 1.9 units/g, guinea pigs had 3.9 units/g, and rats had 2 units/g. Rat prostates, unlike other animals contained considerable alkaline phosphatase, 15.5 to 54.8 units/g at a pH 9. (Gutman and Gutman, 1938) These animal experiments seem to bear out the statement made above that, due to the fact no embryologically homologous prostate is found in these animals, it is difficult to use them in research of cancer of the prostate.

However Huggins and Clark have done work on dogs with normal and with hyperplastic prostates which is well worth reviewing. They have observed that cystic hyperplasia of the prostate occurs spontaneously in senile dogs only when they possess physiologically effective amounts of androgenic hormone. Both normal and cystic prostates undergo marked atrophy when the testes are removed, the chief difference being that three months after orchidectomy there is a persistence of slightly dilated clefts and spaces at the site of the former cysts in the senile state.

In the castrated dog whose prostate is being



reconstructed as the result of the influence of daily injections of androgens, certain doses of estrogens prevent the increase of secretion and still larger doses greatly depress the output of the gland.

In dogs with either normal or cystic prostate glands the prostate decreases in size when estrogen is injected in amounts to depress prostatic secretions profoundly. The gland is maintained in an atrophic state and overdosage avoided by controlled periodic injections of stilbesterol until secretion is reduced to the minimum, followed by free intervals, the estrogen again being administered when secretion is measurably increased. The shrinkage is related to depression of male hormone production.

Overdoseage of estrogen causes the prostate of dogs to enlarge and structures of the posterior lobe and utriculus respond first with metaplasia caused by this material. The prostatic enlargement does not resemble the common cystic hyperplasia of the senile dog. Metaplasia quickly disappears and the epithelial structure quickly returns to normal when estrogen is discontinued and androgen is administered. (Huggins and Clark, 1938) This work seems to prove that the prostatic secretions and the prostate are under the direct control of the sex hormones.



Work on phosphatase levels in animals has been quite discouraging as was shown by the result obtained above. However monkeys do exhibit similar acid phosphatase activity to man and Rhesus monkeys were used in the following experiments.

At a pH 4.9 it was found that there was marked phosphatase activity of prostatic tissue. Unlike man, adult monkey prostate tissue exhibits appreciable phosphatase activity at pH 9 as in the rat. In the prepubertal monkey both acid and alkaline phosphatase activity of prostatic tissue are negligible. Following treatment with testosterone, the phosphatase activity of immature monkey prostates increased strikingly at pH 4.9 and pH 9 to adult levels.

Within broad limits, the level of acid phosphatase activity of prostatic tissue would appear to afford some measure of the capacity to exercise some unknown function of the prostate, which is deduced from the extraordinarily high acid phosphatase activity in the sexually mature man and monkey.

When estrogens were injected which acted chiefly on the fibromuscular elements of the immature monkey prostate, they did not evoke a significant rise in acid phosphatase, whereas, a marked increase followed



injection of testosterone which acts on glandular epithelium. (Gutman and Gutman, 1939)

### C. Clinical Work on Androgens

Experimental work on any subject is of little value unless it can be correlated with clinical application in order that practical results may be obtained. Thus, even to a greater degree than the female sex hormones, has the knowledge of the male sex hormones been applied to the treatment of carcinoma and in particular, the prostatic carcinomas.

From the work presented it seems reasonable that acid phosphatase levels in the serum may be used as a guide to diagnosis and prognosis of cancer of the prostate. With this in mind and with no adequate treatment of the disease, many men in the past few years have accomplished good results by reducing the acid phosphatase levels through limitation of the male sex hormone. This may be done in any manner in which castration is effected, either surgical or artificial.

In order to evaluate the results from this method of treatment it is necessary to review selected case reports with their findings. The interesting thing



to note from this and following reports is the element of conservativeness. Most men seem to be positive of the definite aid this treatment affords, however, they are reluctant to be too enthusiastic since all of this work is of recent origin and must be borne out by more complete reports of case studies.

Huggins was one of the first men to report on the subject and his work seems to be held in high esteem because of its authenticity and completeness. He presents a series of 45 patients over a period of 30 months with advanced prostatic carcinoma accompanied by local infiltration or metastases. All were treated by orchidectomy. Thirty-two of these patients had metastases demonstrated on roentgenologic examination of the bone. Twenty-one patients were operated upon more than seven years before and 15 in that group had osseous metastases.

Of the 45 patients, there have been 8 deaths, all in men with extensive metastases to bone. From a clinical standpoint, 31 men have had sustained improvement lasting as long as 30 months; 9 men had temporary improvement followed by recurrence of symptoms, and in 5 there was no improvement following castration.

In 11 men of the 21 patients operated 12-30 months



ago there has been significant improvement; these patients are free from symptoms, acid and alkaline phosphatase values of serum are in or near normal range, there has been complete or partial resolution of roentgenographic evidence of osseous metastases, and a great decrease in size and in stony consistency of the primary neoplasm. Huggins classified the neoplasms into two groups, adenocarcinoma and undifferentiated carcinoma. All of the deaths, from carcinomatosis and the patients with slight or no improvement after orchidectomy, had undifferentiated carcinoma, while in the more satisfactory cases the cytologic appearance was adenocarcinoma. The findings of testes much lighter than the usual weight of 20 grams signified a poor prognosis.

In five cases of the series a great decrease occurred in the size of the primary neoplasm even though the metastases were advancing.

Estrogen administration was not found to be of value in the failure cases after orchidectomy. (Huggins, 1942)

Curtis has confirmed the work of Huggins with the presentation of similar case reports. He does bring up a few points which might be well to consider. He states that through the proper evaluation of



precastration and postcastration levels of serum acid phosphatase the presence or absence, the regression or extension of skeletal metastases may be adduced quite accurately, although there is no correlation at present between the height of these levels and the extent of skeletal metastases present. Also, orchidectomy in no way supplants other indicated surgical procedures in the treatment of prostatic carcinoma, but should be used in a majority of these cases to augment the other treatment.

His results showed regression of metastases in 38% of the cases presented and in 14 of the 15 cases the primary site of the neoplasm seemed to regress. The symptomatic relief and clinical improvement coincides with Huggins' work. (Curtis, 1943)

Randall presents a series of 7 cases in which orchidectomy was done from 7-9 years ago. His conclusions were rather indefinite but seem to be similar to those already presented. He reported no cures but in 3 of the cases clinical improvement was obtained. However he was in doubt as to whether transurethral resection or castration was responsible for this. In the light of the other works presented it seems rather conclusive that only castration would account for the improvement. (Randall, 1942)



Herger and Sauer have presented a very authoritative report which further bears out Huggins' earlier work. They carried out two treatments, surgical orchidectomy and administration of stilbesterol, the latter being used in cases where no metastases could be found. In their series, which ran for 12 months, diagnosis of cancer of the prostate was made by biopsy in 85% of the cases. There was no evidence of metastases in 57.3%; metastases suspected by clinical course in 6.1%; and definite metastases in 36.6%. It was found by them that in administering stilbesterol it was better to give small doses continuously rather than large doses intermittantly. Their results follow:

	Stilbesterol	Orchidectomy	Both	Total	%
Died of disease	7	--	3	10	12.2
Died of other causes	2	--	2	4	4.9
Alive--improved	13	3	10	26	31.7
Alive with progressive disease	11	--	4	15	18.3
No change	27	--	--	27	32.9
	60	3	19	82	100.0

(Herger and Sauer, 1943)

In evaluating the above table one sees that the per-



centage of patients aided (31.7%) seems to equal Randall's but is only about one-half as encouraging as Huggins' (31 cases with sustained clinical improvement out of 45). Thus, there seems to be varying results. This is to be expected and may be explained by differences in treatment and observation.

Herger and Sauer in the above series also presented very careful records of symptomatic relief obtained which might be well to review. As to the size of the primary site of the neoplasm they found regression and softening of the lesion in approximately one-half of the cases, no change in one-fourth, and an increase in one-fourth of the cases. (Herger and Sauer, 1943)

It is of interest that although regression of the primary lesion is reported in certain cases above, from the microscopic standpoint no changes occur that are characteristic of any modifications of the prostatic cells with castration. (Herbst, 1942)

It was difficult for Herger and Sauer to evaluate the effect of castration on urinary obstruction, however, in over one-half of the patients, no effect on the degree of obstruction was noted.

Thirty patients had metastases. Ten of these were treated with stilbesterol alone, 2 died of the disease, one had no change, 4 were alive with pro-



gressive disease, and 3 were clinically improved. Clinical improvement took place in 3 patients who had orchidectomy alone. Of the 17 patients with both treatments, 2 died of the disease, 3 died of other causes, clinical improvement was observed in 9, and evidence of further progression of the lesion was observed in the 3 remaining cases. These figures seem to indicate that castration is the most successful treatment.

Pain from bone metastases was present in 22 patients. Treatment had no influence on the intensity of pain in 5 cases and in 3 cases the pain became progressively worse. The remaining patients responded favorably. Nine patients showed complete disappearance of pain but in 4 cases the improvement was temporary, lasting from three to six months. Analysis of end results indicate that lasting effects were obtained only in a limited number of patients.

It is difficult to evaluate the effect of treatment on bone metastases since x-rays taken at different times are not alike. However only 3 of 23 patients followed showed any evidence of regression of bony metastases roentgenologically. (Herger and Sauer, 1943)

How does serum phosphatase play a role for the



clinician in carcinoma of the prostate? The role that it plays in experimental work on carcinoma of the prostate has already been described. Clinically, phosphatase measurements are used to simulate the measurement of the prostatic secretions as was carried out in dogs. By the above statements the analogy is explained. To prove this, three patients with extensive bone metastases from prostatic carcinoma were given testosterone. A prompt rise in serum acid phosphatase occurred in all and it seemed clear that the disease was made worse by making the amount of available androgenic material increased. Conversely, when these patients were castrated (decrease in amount of androgens), the acid phosphatase dropped and the alkaline phosphatase increased as an index of an increased rate of bone repair invaded by the metastasizing tumor. This effect was so striking that it seemed safe to conclude that castration resulted in a decrease in activity of prostatic tissue. (Rhodes, 1943)

Thus one sees that through actual clinical application measurement of acid and alkaline phosphatase is a criterion to the extent of prostatic carcinoma. However the elevated phosphatase levels in cancer of the prostate are due to two different mechanisms. Elevation of the acid phosphatase is due to the prostatic epithelium and



and is directly associated with a malignancy of that organ while elevation of alkaline phosphatase is due to osteoblasts. It is associated with prostatic cancer in so far as there is an increased osteoblastic function as a result of invasion of bone by tumor. (Huggins and Hodges, 1941)

Dean and his coworkers have recently reported a comprehensive study on the phosphatase levels in a series of cases. They show that 19 of 26 patients showed elevated acid phosphatase before treated by castration while 7 had normal phosphatase levels. In 16 of the 19 with high levels, the quantity diminished during the two months following castration. They also confirm Huggins and Hodges' report that when the disease has invaded bone, its activity is reflected in the degree of elevation of acid phosphatase in the blood. The activity of the bone defense is indicated by the amount of alkaline phosphatase in the blood.

After castration, the activity of the prostatic carcinoma in bones probably decreases as is shown by the drop in acid phosphatase. They state that one would expect the alkaline phosphatase, an index of the attempt at bone repair, would also decrease as need for repair becomes less urgent. This does not occur in the majority of cases and may be explained possibly



by the fact that the shift in hormone balance following castration may stimulate the regenerative capacity of bone. (Dean et al, 1943)

Herger and Sauer have carried out phosphatase studies with similar results. However their figures do show that many of their patients with carcinoma of the prostate with no bone metastases show acid phosphatase levels well within normal limits. They conclude that acid phosphatase determinations are probably most helpful diagnostic aid in the early recognition of bone metastases in new patients and in determining the prognosis in patients under observation. (Herger and Sauer, 1943)



#### IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Castration as a method of treatment for neoplasms of the prostate and breast was first used in the last decade of the nineteenth century. Following the turn of the century popularity of this treatment declined and was supplanted by more radical operations of the primary lesion.

It remained for modern experimental endocrinology to revive castration as an accepted treatment for carcinoma of the breast and prostate.

##### A. The Estrogens

This experimental work resolved itself into several different phases. The research on breast carcinoma was begun early (1913) and was carried out in the most part on mice. Inbreeding was done to determine hereditary factors. Castration, transplanting ovarian tissue to males, and the injection of the ovarian hormone into various strains of mice were used to determine the control that sex hormones had over breast cancer. Mice with certain hereditary tendencies were foster nursed by mice of other tendencies. The control of the pituitary over the ovaries was studied.

Out of these experiments came the knowledge now possessed about carcinogenesis of estrogens in mice.



This includes: (1) mice must have certain hereditary tendencies in order that estrogens may stimulate carcinoma, (2) estrogens seem to "sensitize" the mammary tissue so that after a certain amount of stimulation, cancer will result even if the estrogens are removed, and (3) several factors besides heredity and estrogens may be involved in carcinogenesis. These include nursing, diet, and several unknown factors. It has been demonstrated that the greater the amount of one factor present, the less is required of a second factor to produce carcinoma.

All the experimental work presented is quite conclusive in proving estrogens are carcinogenic in mice. However what I was interested in in writing this paper was the evaluation of sex hormones and carcinogenesis in man. After reviewing the literature I do not believe that there is enough clear cut evidence to say estrogen is the etiologic agent of breast carcinoma. There is justification in pointing out experimental and clinical investigations that are similar and that seem in some degree to prove the question raised.

Susceptibility can definitely be shown in mice however it is practically impossible to show this in man. This subject should be given further study.



Estrogens may be controlled at will in mice. They may be increased over the normal by injection or eliminated completely by castration. Control of estrogens in man is difficult and it is impossible to say that the ovaries of one patient are producing more or less female sex hormone than those of another. However this problem has been attacked by various means in man to prove that similar conditions exist to those produced in experiments. First, scattered reports have been presented to show with rather inconclusive results that incidental strenuous estrogenic therapy has produced carcinoma. Secondly, pregnancy, at which time large amounts of estrogen are known to be present, has been incriminated by some and exonerated by others as being a stimulus to carcinoma. Lastly, and with the most conclusive evidence, the results of castration in the treatment of breast carcinoma have been presented. We must depend on this to give the best support to the theory that estrogens are carcinogenic.

This treatment seems to arrest the disease for a time and is more helpful in treating the premenopausal than the postmenopausal case. This seems to incriminate the estrogens since it is known that more estrogen is present in the pre- than in the postmenopausal woman. It is interesting to note that estrogens have been



discussed in the light of being carcinogenic. Carcinogenesis is difficult to prove but I believe that castration treatment in itself proves the fact that the estrogens are carcinomatous agents in so far as they promote new growth after it has been established. I believe the transitory effect of the treatment in some cases is due to other carcinomatous agents interceding after the estrogens are eliminated.

No prepubertal cases of breast carcinoma have been reported. This means that in the phase of life when no estrogens are present, no neoplasms occur.

How is the fact explained that most carcinoma of the breast occurs after 50 and also why is it that castration sometimes fails in giving improvement? The breast tissue of some probably requires long stimulation from the carcinogenic agent and even if this agent (estrogen) is eliminated at the menopause, enough stimulation perhaps has preceded to prepare a fertile field for some other agent to intercede and give the neoplasm at a later date. Also the proof has been presented showing that in some instances postmenopausal and even surgical castrates still produce estrogen. This helps to explain why the disease may appear after the menopause and why castration fails as a treatment in certain cases.



## B. The Androgens

I found the experimental work on carcinoma of the prostate much less prolific than that of the breast. This was mostly confined to the effect of androgens and the phosphatases. The levels of these substances in serum have been found to be controlled by the injection of estrogens and androgens in the monkey. Also, no cystic hyperplasia of the prostate was found in dogs unless a high level of androgen was present.

With these facts in mind phosphatase levels were determined in man. Before puberty low levels of acid phosphatase were found. Following puberty normal levels appeared and when prostatic carcinoma developed, very high levels were encountered. This has been utilized in the treatment of the disease for castration eliminates the androgen and consequently the high acid phosphatase levels. Very good clinical results have been obtained and it also has been found that phosphatase levels may help to give the diagnosis and prognosis of the prostatic carcinoma.

High acid phosphatase levels indicate increased activity of prostatic epithelium and perhaps a neoplasm of that organ. Alkaline phosphatase levels measure the osteoblastic reaction and is associated with the



malignancy in so far as there is an increase of these cells as a result of osseous metastases.

I have attempted to review all of the pertinent literature on the sex hormones as associated with carcinoma. From this study I believe that one might conclude:

1. The sex hormones have not definitely been proved to be carcinogenic in man.
2. The female sex hormone under some conditions is carcinogenic in mice.
3. Certain human reactions agree with experimental work on female sex hormones while others disagree.
4. Oophorectomy as a treatment for carcinoma of the breast is helpful in selected cases.
5. The sex hormones seem to control the activity of the prostate in monkey and man.
6. Phosphatase levels are an accurate guide to the activity of the prostate.
7. Diagnosis and prognosis of prostatic carcinoma can be aided by observation of phosphatase levels.
8. Orchidectomy as a treatment for carcinoma of the prostate is helpful in selected cases.
9. If the sex hormones are carcinogenic in man, other associated factors must be present to give carcinoma.



## V. BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Adair, F. C. 1934 Etiological factors of mammary carcinoma in 200 women. *New York State J. Med.*, v. 34, p. 61-68.
2. Allaben, G. R. and Owen, S. F. 1939 Adenocarcinoma of the breast coincidental with strenuous endocrine therapy. *J. A. M. A.*, v. 112, p. 1933-1934.
3. Allen, Edgar 1939 Sex and internal secretions. Second edition. Baltimore, Williams and Wilkins, p. 810.
4. Allen, Edgar 1940 Ovarian hormones and female genital carcinoma. *J. A. M. A.*, v. 114, p. 2107-2114.
5. Andervont, H. B. 1940 The influence of foster nursing upon the incidence of spontaneous mammary cancer in resistant and susceptible mice. *J. Nat. Cancer Inst.*, v. 1, p. 147-153.
6. Andervont, H. B. 1941 Spontaneous tumor in a subline strain of C<sub>3</sub>H mice. *J. Nat. Cancer Inst.*, v. 1, p. 737-744.
7. Auchincloss, Hugh and Haagense, Cushman D. 1940 Carcinoma of the breast possibly induced by estrogenic substances. *J. A. M. A.*, v. 114, p. 1517-1523.
8. Bagg, H. J. 1936 Further studies on the relation of functional activity to mammary carcinoma in mice. *Am. J. Cancer*, v. 27, p. 542-550.
9. Beatson, G. T. 1896 On treatment of inoperative cases of carcinoma of mamma: Suggestions for a new method of treatment, *Lancet*, v. 2, p. 104-107, 162-165.
10. Bittner, J. J. 1936 Some possible effects of nursing on the mammary gland tumor incidence in mice. *Science*, v. 84, p. 162.
11. Bittner, J. J. 1939 Breast carcinoma in breeding and virgin "A" and "B" stock female mice and their hybrids. *Pub. Health Rep.*, v. 54, p. 113-118.



12. Bittner, J. J. 1942 Possible relationship of estrogenic hormones, genetic susceptibility, and milk influence in the production of mammary carcinoma in mice. *Cancer Research*, v. 2, p. 710-719.
13. Boyd, S. 1900 On oophorectomy in cancer of the breast. *Brit. Med. J.*, v. 2, p. 1161-1167.
14. Burns, E. L. and Schenken, J. R. 1940 Quantitative studies on relationship between estrogens and mammary gland carcinoma in strain C<sub>3</sub>H mice. *Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. and Med.*, v. 43, p. 608-610.
15. Cabot, A. T. 1896 The question of castration for enlarged prostate. *Ann. Surg.*, v. 24, p. 265-266.
16. Cori, C. F. 1927 The influence of ovariectomy on spontaneous occurrence of mammary carcinoma in mice. *J. Exper. Med.*, v. 45, p. 981-983.
17. Cramer, W. 1937 On the etiology of carcinoma of mamma in mouse and man. *Am. J. Cancer*, v. 30, p. 318-331.
18. Cramer, W. and Horning, E. S. 1938 Prevention of spontaneous mammary carcinoma in mice by thyrotropic hormone of pituitary gland. *Lancet*, v. 1, p. 72-76.
19. Curtis, M. S. 1943 New developments in treatment of prostatic carcinoma. *U. S. Nav. M. Bull.*, v. 41, p. 1022-1035.
20. Dean, A. L., Woodard, H. Q. and Twombly, G. H. 1943 Endocrine treatment of carcinoma of the prostate. *Tr. Am. A. Genito-Urin. Surgeons*, v. 35, p. 345-358.
21. Doisy, E. A., Veller, C. D. and Thayer, S. A. 1930 The preparation of the crystalline ovarian hormone from the urine of pregnant women. *J. Biol. Chem.*, v. 86, p. 499-509.



22. Dresser, R. 1936 The effect of ovarian irradiation on the bone metastases of carcinoma of the breast. *Am. J. Roentgenol.*, v. 35, p. 384-388.
23. Eggers, H. E. 1935 The causation of cancer. *Nebr. Med. J.*, v. 20, p. 121-123.
24. Emge, L. A. 1934 Influence of pregnancy on tumor growth. *Am. J. Obst. and Gynec.*, v. 28, p. 682-697.
25. Frank, R. T., Goldberger, M. A., Salmon, U. J. 1936 Estrogenic substances in blood and urine after castration and menopause. *Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. and Med.*, v. 33, p. 615-616.
26. Gardner, W. V., Smith, G. M., Strong, L. C. and Allen, E. 1936 Carcinoma of mammary glands induced in male mice receiving estrogenic hormone. *J. A. M. A.*, v. 107, p. 656-657.
27. Gardner, W. V., Allen E., Smith, G. M., Strong, L. C. 1938 Carcinoma of cervix in mice receiving estrogens. *J. A. M. A.*, v. 110, p. 1182-1183.
28. Gutman, A. B., and Gutman, E. B. 1938 "Acid" phosphatase functional activity of the prostate (man) and preputial glands (rat). *Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. and Med.*, v. 39, p. 529-532.
29. Gutman, A. B. and Gutman E. B. 1939 Adult phosphate levels in prepubertal rhesus prostate tissue after testosterone proprionate. *Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. and Med.*, v. 41, p. 277-281.
30. Herbst, Wm. P. 1942 Histopathologic studies in prostatic carcinoma under treatment by castration, the injection of diethyl stilbestrol or both. *Tr. Am. A. Genito-Urin. Surgeons*, v. 35, p. 337-343.
31. Herger, C. C. and Sauer, H. R. 1943 Effect of orchidectomy and stilbestrol in carcinoma of the prostate. *Am. J. Surg.*, v. 62, p. 185-200



32. Horsley, J. Shelton 1944 Bilateral oophorectomy with radical operation for cancer of the breast. *Surgery*, v. 15, p. 590-594.
33. Huggins, C. 1942 Effect of orchiectomy and irradiation on carcinoma of the prostate. *Ann. Surg.*, v. 115, p. 1192-1200.
34. Huggins, C. 1943 Endocrine control of prostatic carcinoma. *Science*, v. 97, p. 541-544.
35. Huggins, C. and Clark, P. J. 1940 Quantitative studies of prostatic secretion and the effect of castration and of estrogen injection on the normal and on the hyperplastic prostate glands of dogs. *J. Exper. Med.*, v. 72, p. 747-762.
36. Huggins, C. and Hodges, C. V. 1941 Studies on prostatic carcinoma. *Cancer Research*, v. 1, p. 293-297.
37. Hunt, H. 1940 Regression of pulmonary metastases from mammary carcinoma following inactivation of ovaries by irradiation. *Radiology*, v. 34, p. 235-238.
38. Lacassagne, A. 1936 Comparative study of carcinogenic action of certain estrogenic hormones. *Am. J. Cancer*, v. 28, p. 735-740.
39. Lane, Clayton C. E. 1936 Report on public health and medical subjects, no. 32. London, H. M. Stationery Office.
40. Lathrop, A. E. C. and Loeb, L. 1913 The influence of pregnancy on the incidence of carcinoma in mice. *Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. and Med.*, v. 11, p. 38-50.
41. Lee, B. J. 1930 Carcinoma of the breast in the young. *Tr. South. S. A.*, v. 42, p. 340-376.
42. Lett, Hugh 1905 In analysis of 99 cases of inoperative carcinoma of the breast treated by oophorectomy. *Lancet*, v. 1, p. 227-228.



43. Little, C. C., Murray, W. S., and Claudman, A. M. 1939 The genetics of the non-epithelial tumor formation in mice. *Am. J. Cancer*, v. 36, p. 431-450.
44. Loeb, L. 1916 General problems and tendencies in carcinoma research. *Science*, v. 43, p. 293-302.
45. Loeb, L. 1920 Causes and definition of carcinoma. *Am. J. M. Sc.*, v. 159, p. 781-800.
46. Loeb, L. 1940 The significance of hormones in the origin of cancer. *J. Nat. Cancer Inst.*, v. 1, p. 169-183.
47. Loeb, L., Burns, E. L., Suntzeff, V., and Moskop, M. 1936 Carcinoma-like proliferation in vagina, cervix, and uterus of mouse treated with estrogenic hormones. *Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. and Med.*, v. 35, p. 320-322.
48. Loeb L. and Kirtz, M. M. 1939 The effects of transplants of anterior lobes of the hypophysis on the growth of mammary gland and on the development of mammary gland carcinoma in various strains of mice. *Am. J. Cancer*, v. 36, p. 56-82.
49. McGee, L. C. 1927 The effect of the injection of a lipid fraction of bull testicle in capons. *Proc. Inst. Med. of Chicago*, v. 6, p. 242.
50. Moore, R. A. and Hanzel, R. F. 1936 Chemical composition of prostatic corpora amylacea and calculi. *Arch. Path.*, v. 22, p. 41-54.
51. Murray, W. S. 1928 Ovarian secretion and tumor incidence. *J. Cancer Research*, v. 12, p. 18-25.
52. Nathanson, I. T. and Andervont, H. B. 1939 Effect of testosterone propionate on development and growth of mammary carcinoma in female mice. *Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. and Med.*, v. 40, p. 421-422.
53. Olch, I. V. 1937 The menopausal age in women with carcinoma of the breast. *Am. J. Cancer*, v. 30, p. 563-566.



54. Parsons, W. H. and McCall, E. F. 1941 Role of estrogenic substances in production of malignant mammary lesions, with report of case of adenocarcinoma of the breast, possibly induced by strenuous estrogen therapy. *Surgery*, v. 9, p. 780-786.
55. Peller, S. 1940 Cancer and its relations to pregnancy and delivery and to marital and social status; cancer of the breast and genital organs. *Surg., Gynec., and Obst.*, v. 71, p. 1-8.
56. Power, H. A. 1942 Carcinoma of the breast. *Pennsylvania M. J.*, v. 45, p. 1049-1050.
57. Randall, Alexander 1942 Eight year results of castration of prostatic malignancy. *Tr. Am. A. Genito-Urin. Surgeons*, v. 35, p. 323-327.
58. Rhodes, C. P. 1943 New aspects of research on the relationship of the sex hormones. *Am. J. Roentgenol.*, v. 49, p. 289-298.
59. Robson, J. M., McGregor, T. N., Illingworth, R. E., and Steere, N. 1934 Urinary excretion of oestrin administered under experimental conditions and after the menopause. *Brit. Med. Jour.*, v. 1, p. 888-891.
60. Scapier, J. 1941 Development of mammary cancer. *Am. J. M. Sc.*, v. 202, p. 402-407.
61. Schinzinger. Quoted by: Taylor, G. W. 1934 Artificial menopause and carcinoma of the breast. *New England J. Med.*, v. 211, p. 1138-1140.
62. Strohm, J. Q. 1941 Carcinoma of prostate: a study of 429 cases. *Urol. and Cutan. Rev.*, v. 45, p. 770-783.
63. Tannenbaum, A. 1940 The initiation and growth of tumors. *Am. J. Cancer*, v. 38, p. 335-350.
64. Taylor, G. W. 1934 Artificial menopause in carcinoma of the breast. *New England J. Med.*, v. 211, p. 1138-1140.



65. Taylor, G. W. 1936 Carcinoma of the breast in young women. *New England J. Med.*, v. 215, p. 1276-1278.
66. Taylor, G. W. 1938 The rationale of artificial menopause in carcinoma of the breast. *Am. J. Roentgenol.*, v. 39, p. 419-431.
67. Taylor, G. W. 1939 Evaluation of ovarian sterilization for breast carcinoma. *Surg., Gynec., and Obst.*, v. 68, p. 452-456.
68. Taylor, H. C. 1938 The pathology of the ovarian hormone. *A. J. Obst.*, v. 36, p. 332-348.
69. Thomson, A. 1902 Analysis of cases in which oophorectomy was performed for inoperable carcinoma of the breast. *Brit. M. J.*, v. 2, p. 1538-1541.
70. Visscher, M. B., Ball, Z. B., Barnes, R. H., and Sivertsen, I. 1942 The influence of caloric restriction upon the incidence of spontaneous mammary carcinoma in mice. *Surgery*, v. 11, p. 48-55.
71. White, J. W. 1893 The present position of surgery of hypertrophied prostate. *Ann. Surg.*, v. 18, p. 152.
72. White, J. W. 1895 Castration for the cure of hypertrophy of prostate. *Brit. M. J.*, v. 1, p. 12 and 50.