

# The Journal of Rheumatology

The Journal of Rheumatology

Volume 91, no.

Current Treatment Options for Latent Tuberculosis Infection

Marialuisa Bocchino, Alessandro Matarese and Alessandro Sanduzzi

J Rheumatol 2014;91;71-77

<http://www.jrheum.org/content/91/71>

1. Sign up for our monthly e-table of contents  
<http://www.jrheum.org/cgi/alerts/etoc>
2. Information on Subscriptions  
<http://jrheum.com/subscribe.html>
3. Have us contact your library about access options  
[Refer\\_your\\_library@jrheum.com](mailto:Refer_your_library@jrheum.com)
4. Information on permissions/orders of reprints  
<http://jrheum.com/reprints.html>

*The Journal of Rheumatology* is a monthly international serial edited by Earl D. Silverman featuring research articles on clinical subjects from scientists working in rheumatology and related fields.

# Current Treatment Options for Latent Tuberculosis Infection

Marialuisa Bocchino, Alessandro Matarese, and Alessandro Sanduzzi

**ABSTRACT.** Treatment of latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) is a key component in TB control strategies worldwide. However, as people with LTBI are neither symptomatic nor contagious, any screening decision should be weighed carefully against the potential benefit of preventing active disease in those who are known to be at higher risk and are willing to accept therapy for LTBI. This means that a targeted approach is desirable to maximize cost effectiveness and to guarantee patient adherence. We focus on LTBI treatment strategies in patient populations at increased risk of developing active TB, including candidates for treatment with tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  blockers. In the last 40 years, isoniazid (INH) has represented the keystone of LTBI therapy across the world. Although INH remains the first therapeutic option, alternative treatments that are effective and associated with increased adherence and economic savings are available. Current recommendations, toxicity, compliance, and cost issues are discussed in detail in this review. A balanced relationship between the patient and healthcare provider could increase adherence, while cost-saving treatment strategies with higher effectiveness, fewer side effects, and of shorter duration should be offered as preferred. (J Rheumatol Suppl. 2014 May; 91:71–7; doi:10.3899/jrheum.140105)

*Key Indexing Terms:*

LATENT TUBERCULOSIS INFECTION

TREATMENT

TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR- $\alpha$  BLOCKERS

Latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) is defined as an infection with mycobacteria of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex, in which the bacteria are alive but not currently causing active disease. Once infected, the chance of a given immune-competent individual developing active TB is highest within the first 2 years after exposure, with half the cases occurring within 5 years, accounting overall for the 5–10% of cases<sup>1</sup>. The remaining 90–95% of subjects with LTBI stay healthy over their lifetime, representing the largest reservoir of the tubercle bacilli. It is estimated that about one-third of the world's population has LTBI, the majority of the cases being distributed in 22 high-burden countries. Airborne infection control measures, with early diagnosis and treatment of active TB, remain the top priority to limit person-to-person transmission in resource-poor countries in which the prevalence of TB is high<sup>2</sup>. Although treatment of LTBI is an essential component of TB control in low-prevalence countries such as the United States, where a significant proportion of cases of active TB is due to reactivation of an old infection<sup>3</sup>, it is not widely practiced in most endemic countries.

*From the Dipartimento di Medicina Clinica e Chirurgia, Divisione di Malattie dell'Apparato Respiratorio Università degli Studi Federico II, Naples, Italy.*

*M. Bocchino, MD, PhD; A. Matarese, MD; A. Sanduzzi, MD, Dipartimento di Medicina Clinica e Chirurgia, Divisione di Malattie dell'Apparato Respiratorio Università degli Studi Federico II.*

*Address correspondence to Dr. Bocchino, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Divisione di Malattie dell'Apparato Respiratorio, Azienda dei Colli (Ospedale Monaldi), Via L. Bianchi 5, 80131 Naples, Italy. E-mail: marialuisa.bocchino@unina.it*

## Targeting LTBI Treatment

Because people with LTBI are neither symptomatic nor contagious, any screening decision should be weighed carefully against the potential benefit of preventing active disease in those who are known to be at higher risk and are willing to accept therapy for LTBI. A targeted approach is therefore desirable to maximize cost-effectiveness. Treatment of contacts should be tailored on the basis of risk assessment algorithms that consider a range of factors, including type of contact (close or casual), tuberculin skin test (TST) and/or interferon- $\gamma$  release assay (IGRA) results, bacillus Calmette-Guérin vaccination status, place of birth (foreign-born or resident), and age group (cutoff 35 yrs). Current guidelines suggest treatment in recently infected cases due to close exposure to patients affected by active pulmonary TB. Additional high-risk populations are reported in Table 1<sup>4,5</sup>. The targeted policy comprises no therapy in casual contacts with a positive vaccination history even with a positive TST result<sup>6</sup>. Conversely, treatment of LTBI must be offered to individuals infected by human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), foreign-born subjects younger than 35 years, immune-suppressed patients, and children under the age of 5 years with a recent contact with a case of active TB regardless of TB infection screening results<sup>6,7</sup>.

It is mandatory that before starting any treatment, active TB should be carefully excluded in all cases. All close contacts (household, close friends, and job colleagues) of patients with active TB and vulnerable subjects (cases with

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2014. All rights reserved.

Table 1. Risk factors for progression of latent tuberculosis (TB) infection into active disease.

- Recent close exposure to active pulmonary TB (within 2 years)
- Human immunodeficiency virus infection
- Recently exposed infants and children aged < 5 years
- Silicosis
- Radiographic findings consistent with prior untreated or not adequately treated TB
- Immigrants from high TB burden areas
- Residents and employees of congregate living facilities
- Solid organ transplantation/solid organ tumors (neck, head, lung)/lymphoma, leukemia
- Gastrectomy/jejunoileal bypass
- Chronic renal failure/hemodialysis
- Diabetes mellitus/low body mass index (< 18.5)
- Longterm therapies with corticosteroids/immunosuppressive drugs or with tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  blockers
- Cigarette smokers/drug or alcohol abusers

1 or more known TB risk factors) must be offered a chest radiograph and a screening test for TB infection (that is, TST and/or any IGRA). All cases tested positive by the TST and/or IGRA with no evidence of clinical and radiographic signs suggestive of active TB should be treated for LTBI. In the case of suspicion of a false-negative TST and/or IGRA result, retesting should be considered after a window period of at least 8 weeks from the last exposure to the index case while still infectious<sup>8,9</sup>. In the case of suspicion of active TB, the patients should have 3 daily sputum specimens (or additional site-specific samples, if requested) cultured for mycobacteria. Further investigations should be tailored based on the clinical and radiological presentation.

### Therapy Options

Current standard monotherapy with daily self-administered isoniazid (INH) is the preferred regimen in the United States and European countries because it reduces the risk of active TB by as much as 90% if taken for 9 months<sup>10,11</sup>. Prospective randomized controlled trials (RCT) have largely established the protective efficacy of INH given for 6–12 months among both non-HIV-infected and HIV-infected subjects<sup>12,13</sup>. A 6-month (m) regimen also provides protection, being more favorable from a cost-effectiveness point of view<sup>11</sup>. Pina, *et al* found that the number of patients to be treated to prevent 1 TB case was 33 and 26, using 6m-INH or 9m-INH, respectively, with an estimated cost ratio of 0.98 (95% CI 0.6–1.5)<sup>14</sup>. Shortening the treatment duration to 6 months is actually recommended in immune-competent adults<sup>11,15</sup>. Intermittent INH therapy (i.e., twice weekly with increased dosage) is allowed as directly observed treatment. Longterm INH therapy may be an effective and quite safe option in HIV-infected patients in high TB-burden settings<sup>16</sup>.

Daily rifampicin (RMP) monotherapy for 24 weeks is well tolerated<sup>17</sup>, but efficacy data are currently limited<sup>18,19,20</sup>, and concerns remain over possible selection of RMP-resistant mutants in HIV-infected patients receiving antiretroviral therapies<sup>21</sup>. With an assumed 4m-RMP efficacy of 60%, this regimen has been estimated to be

cheaper and more effective than 9m-INH, offering a challenge to cost savings within 10 years along with a significant reduction in the risk of noncompletion and liver toxicity<sup>22,23</sup>. Treatment with RMP alone is indicated for people who cannot tolerate INH, or close contacts of patients affected by INH-resistant TB<sup>10</sup>.

Combination therapy with RMP and pyrazinamide (PRZ) for 2 months, given either daily or twice weekly, was advocated as an alternative choice in the American Thoracic Society (ATS)/US Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines in 2000<sup>10</sup>. Despite the initial promising results in HIV-infected patients<sup>24,25</sup>, further reporting of severe liver toxicity and death mainly in non HIV-infected patients<sup>26,27</sup>, as confirmed later in a metaanalysis by Gao, *et al*<sup>28</sup>, led to a revision of the ATS/CDC recommendations in 2003<sup>29</sup>. As a consequence, this regimen should not generally be offered. In selected cases (patients poorly compliant to longer therapies or intolerant to INH), clinical surveillance and liver function monitoring are required.

Daily or intermittent combined treatment with RMP plus INH for 3 months has proven efficacy, is well tolerated, and is safe<sup>30,31</sup>; however, adverse effects (AE) may be more frequent than with monotherapy regimens<sup>32</sup>. Salinas, *et al* recently reported an increased rate of treatment completion (84.9% vs 92.5%) and lower hepatotoxicity (1.6% vs 2.4%) in patients treated with 3m-RMP/INH in comparison with 6m-INH<sup>33</sup>.

Three RCT have shown that a new combination regimen of INH and rifapentine (RPT) administered weekly for 12 weeks as directly observed treatment is as effective at preventing TB as other regimens<sup>32,34</sup>. Sterling, *et al* reported that active TB developed in 7 of 3986 subjects in the combination-therapy group (cumulative rate, 0.19%) and in 15 of 3745 subjects in the INH-only group (cumulative rate, 0.43%), for a difference of 0.24 percentage points. Rates of treatment completion were 82.1% and 69.0%, respectively ( $p < 0.001$ ), with the proportions of drug discontinuation due to an AE being 4.9% and 3.7%, respectively ( $p = 0.009$ ). Rates of investigator-assessed drug-related hepatotoxicity were 0.4% and 2.7%, respectively ( $p < 0.001$ )<sup>35</sup>. The CDC

recommends this regimen as an equal alternative to the 9m-INH regimen for otherwise healthy patients aged  $\geq 12$  years who have LTBI and factors that are predictive of the development of TB (e.g., recent exposure to contagious TB). The new regimen can also be considered for other categories of patients when it offers practical advantages. Although the INH-RPT regimen was well tolerated in treatment trials, monitoring for AE is recommended<sup>36</sup>. RPT-based regimens for treating LTBI are being considered for future clinical trials, but even if they prove effective, high drug costs may limit their economic viability. Holland, *et al* have estimated that both daily INH/RPT for 1 month and weekly INH/RPT for 3 months were less expensive and more effective than other strategies under a wide variety of clinically plausible variable estimates, the former being the least expensive and most effective<sup>37</sup>. Current treatment regimens with their doses/schedules are reported in Table 2.

### Management of Suspected Drug-resistant LTBI

Expert opinions differ on whether to treat contacts of patients with multidrug-resistant TB. A reasonable option may be close clinical monitoring for at least 2 years for contacts who are healthy and do not have risk factors for disease progression. Regarding treatment, further concerns that have not yet been resolved include the choice and number (at least 2) of drugs to be used, and for how long. Regarding this issue, the 2000 ATS/CDC guidelines recommend combining PRZ with ethambutol or with a quinolone (i.e., levofloxacin or ofloxacin) for 6–12 months, respectively, in immune-competent and HIV-infected contacts<sup>10</sup>. However, poor tolerance and high rates of liver toxicity have been observed with PRZ-containing regimens<sup>38,39,40</sup>. Fluoroquinolone monotherapy has been suggested as a safe alternative, but emergence of drug resistance is a matter of concern<sup>41</sup>. More recently, thiori-

dazine alone, or with other antibiotics, has been proposed for the treatment of drug-resistant LTBI as inexpensive, effective, safe, and unlikely to induce resistance<sup>42</sup>. In a computerized Markov model assessing the cost and effectiveness of 6 different therapy options, the combination of moxifloxacin with ethambutol was estimated as the preferred strategy under a wide array of assumptions<sup>43</sup>.

### Toxicity Issues

Hepatotoxicity is the most severe AE related to LTBI treatment. Drug administration should be discontinued if transaminase levels are greater than 3 times the upper limit of normal in symptomatic patients or 5 times the upper limit of normal in asymptomatic patients. In a retrospective study done from 1999 to 2005 among 219 adults patients initiating INH treatment, Vinnard, *et al* found that 8% of cases discontinued therapy because of liver toxicity, the median time to onset of this toxicity being 3 months<sup>44</sup>. Discontinuation was not associated with advancing age, while a predictive factor was hepatitis C infection. Conversely, in a retrospective evaluation of 11 studies addressing this issue in the general population (n = 6) and in transplant recipients (n = 5), chronic viral hepatitis was not an established risk factor for INH hepatotoxicity<sup>45</sup>. In a further analysis of a historical cohort including all residents given therapy for LTBI (95% INH, 5% RMP) in Quebec, the risk of hepatotoxicity requiring hospital admission increased significantly among patients over 65 years old<sup>46</sup>. Previously, in a systematic review including 7 studies (18,610 participants), the rates of INH- and/or RMP-associated liver injury were higher among persons aged  $\geq 35$  years<sup>47</sup>. Recently, based on data in a passive surveillance system, the CDC reported the occurrence of 17 serious AE in INH-treated patients (15 adults and 2 children) in the period 2004–2008, suggesting the need for liver function monitoring while receiving therapy<sup>48</sup>.

Table 2. Treatment regimens and doses/schedules of latent tuberculosis (TB) infection.

Regimen	Dose/Schedule	Recommendation
Isoniazid for 9 mos	Daily, self-administered 5 mg/kg (max 300 mg/day) Twice weekly, DOT 15 mg/kg (max 900 mg/dose)	ATS/CDC, preferred regimen for all risk groups
Isoniazid for 6 mos	Same dose/schedule of INH-9 months	NICE (UK), recommended regimen for HIV-infected/uninfected people of any age
Rifampicin for 4 mos	Daily, self-administered 10 mg/kg (max 600 mg/day)	Alternative to INH 9 mos for contacts of INH-resistant TB
Rifampicin plus isoniazid for 3 mos	Daily, self-administered RMP: 10 mg/kg (max 600 mg/day); INH: 5 mg/kg (max 300 mg/day)	NICE (UK), equal alternative to INH 6 mos for non-HIV adults
Rifapentine plus isoniazid for 3 mos	Once weekly, DOT; INH: 15 mg/kg (max 900 mg/dose) RPT: 10.0–14.0 kg: 300 mg 14.1–25.0 kg: 450 mg 25.1–32.0 kg: 600 mg 32.1–49.9 kg: 750 mg $\geq 50$ kg: 900 mg max	CDC, equal alternative to self-administered 9m-INH in persons $\geq 12$ yrs. Not recommended for children $< 2$ yrs, HIV-infected persons taking ART, pregnant women

INH: isoniazid; RMP: rifampicin; RPT: rifapentine; ART: antiretroviral therapy; ATS: American Thoracic Society; CDC: US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; NICE: UK National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; DOT: directly observed treatment; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2014. All rights reserved.

A metaanalysis of 4 RCT comparing conventional INH treatment with the association of RMP/PRZ in 2657 HIV-infected patients showed that the rate of development of severe hepatotoxicity was lower in the RMP/PRZ group than in the 6–12m-INH group<sup>49</sup>. A combined clinical picture of INH-induced eosinophilic exudative pleural effusion and systemic lupus erythematosus was also found in a 75-year-old woman<sup>50</sup>. Finally, AE, including low rates of hepatotoxicity, flu-like syndrome, and thrombocytopenia, have been reported in 87 patients under LTBI treatment with RMP, with 2 cases developing active TB during 21.8 months of followup<sup>51</sup>. Negligible rates of hepatotoxicity were reported in 205 adults with LTBI treated with RMP who had poor adherence to clinic visits and treatment<sup>52</sup>. Four months of treatment with RMP was associated with significantly better completion rates and less hepatotoxicity, albeit at a higher total cost<sup>53</sup>.

### Compliance

Although INH reduces the risk of active TB by as much as 90% if taken daily for 9 months, acceptance and adherence to prolonged therapy are less than desired because completion is less than 50% in many programs. The relationships between medication adherence and demographics, sex, self-reported health, and side effects are inconclusive<sup>54,55</sup>.

Of 101 household contacts of hospitalized patients with pulmonary TB in Brazil, 53.5% completed a 6m-INH regimen, the risk of treatment noncompletion being significantly higher in household contacts who reported side effects; 28.7% of cases were lost to followup because of difficulties reaching the hospital<sup>56</sup>. A previous survey study including 380 LTBI patients attending the Wetmore TB Clinic (New Orleans, Louisiana, USA) estimated that the adherence rate to treatment was very low, at 19%<sup>57</sup>. A more comprehensive systematic review of 78 studies in the United States and Canada, published between 1997 and 2007, found suboptimal adherence and completion rates across high-risk groups, with no consistent associations with patient-related factors, clinic facilities, or treatment characteristics<sup>58</sup>. Completion rates of 59% and 67% were reported for 9m-INH and 6m-INH regimens, respectively, in a retrospective review of pharmacy records from 2000 to 2006<sup>59</sup>. A completion rate of 45.2% was estimated in New York City among a total of 15,035 patients. Treatment completers were more likely to be 35 years old or more, contacts of patients with pulmonary TB, receiving directly observed treatment, and to have received the RMP-based regimen, with no differences between HIV-negative and HIV-positive individuals<sup>60</sup>. In a retrospective survey in 19 regions of the United States and Canada, the risk factors for failure to complete treatment included starting the 9m-INH regimen, residence in a congregate setting (nursing home, shelter, or jail), injection drug use, age 15 years or more, and employ-

ment at a healthcare facility<sup>61</sup>. In a randomized prospective study by Trajman, *et al* treatment completion was higher with RMP than with the conventional INH regimen. Early predictors of nonadherence were late first visit attendance, >20% of missed doses, and greater variation of hours between doses. Serious AE were not associated with irregularity of treatment<sup>62</sup>. Marriage and alcohol use were significant predictors of completion and noncompletion in 2 RCT comparing 6-12m-INH to 9m-INH self-administered regimens, with an overall treatment adherence rate of 44%<sup>63</sup>. A further retrospective evaluation including 599 LTBI cases in Spain found a very high rate of treatment completion (80.8%), with no differences according to therapy duration. Again, low adherence was associated with age < 36 years, male sex, immigrant status < 5 years of residence, and the presence of social risk factors<sup>64</sup>.

### LTBI Management in Candidates for Tumor Necrosis Factor- $\alpha$ Blockers

Although there is wide agreement among scientists and experts about the need to treat LTBI in candidates for anti-tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ) therapy, the choice of the best timing for initiation is still a matter of debate. In the United States, the CDC suggest completing LTBI therapy before starting treatment with TNF- $\alpha$  blockers<sup>65</sup>.

Conversely, in the European setting, the current opinion is to start anti-TNF- $\alpha$  treatment at the completion of an induction period of 3–8 weeks of LTBI therapy; both treatments can then be continued together for the required period<sup>66,67,68</sup>. More recently, a board of experts fixed the so-called induction period of LTBI therapy at 4 weeks<sup>69</sup>.

Treatment management of LTBI is largely based on INH monotherapy at the dosage of 5 mg/kg per day for 9 months. This is also the safest regimen in terms of occurrence of hepatotoxicity and of other AE<sup>70</sup>. An increased rate (39%) of gastrointestinal intolerance or high transamine levels was recently reported in a small cohort of patients treated with INH or RMP while taking antirheumatic drugs<sup>71</sup>.

Currently no regimens have been validated as alternatives to INH for LTBI treatment in this specific clinical setting. However, further options may be represented by the daily or intermittent association of INH and RMP, or by RMP monotherapy in INH-intolerant patients.

In the last 40 years, INH has been the keystone of LTBI treatment in all clinical settings, with few alternative and equally effective options available. Targeted testing of high-risk populations is pivotal in TB control programs as “intention to test is intention to treat.” A balanced relationship between patients and the healthcare provider could increase adherence, while cost-saving treatment strategies with greater effectiveness, fewer side effects, and shorter duration should be offered as consistently preferred.

In summary:

- LTBI screening and treatment decisions should be weighed carefully against the benefit of preventing active disease in high-risk patients
- Longterm administration of INH has been the keystone of LTBI therapy over the last 40 years
- Effective, well-tolerated, shorter lasting, and cost-saving alternative therapy regimens are becoming available

## REFERENCES

1. Enarson DA. The epidemiological basis of tuberculosis control. In: Clinical tuberculosis. London: Chapman & Hall; 1998:711-823.
2. Sharma SK, Mohanan S, Sharma A. Relevance of latent TB infection in areas of high TB prevalence. *Chest* 2012;142:761-73.
3. Small PM, Hopewell PC, Singh SP, Paz A, Parsonnet J, Ruston DC, et al. The epidemiology of tuberculosis in San Francisco. A population-based study using conventional and molecular methods. *N Engl J Med* 1994;330:1703-9.
4. Keane J, Gershon S, Wise RP, Mirabile-Levens E, Kasznica J, Schwietzman WD, et al. Tuberculosis associated with infliximab, a tumor necrosis factor alpha-neutralizing agent. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:1098-104.
5. Ehlers S. Tumor necrosis factor and its blockade in granulomatous infection: differential modes of action of infliximab and etanercept? *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;41:S199-S203.
6. Sadatsafavi M, Marra C, Marra F, Moran O, FitzGerald JM, Lynd L. A quantitative benefit-risk analysis of isoniazid for treatment of latent tuberculosis infection using incremental benefit framework. *Value Health* 2013;16:66-75.
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Latent tuberculosis infection: a guide for primary health care providers. [Internet. Accessed March 3, 2014.] Available from: [www.cdc.gov/tb/publications/LTBI/default.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/tb/publications/LTBI/default.htm)
8. Erkens CG, Kamphorst M, Abubakar I, Bothamley GH, Chemtob D, Haas W, et al. Tuberculosis contact investigation in low prevalence countries: a European consensus. *Eur Respir J* 2010;36:925-49.
9. Fox GJ, Barry SE, Britton WJ, Marks GB. Contact investigation for tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2013;41:140-56.
10. Targeted tuberculin testing and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. This official statement of the American Thoracic Society was adopted by the ATS Board of Directors, July 1999. This is a Joint Statement of the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). This statement was endorsed by the Council of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. (IDSA), September 1999, and the sections of this statement. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;161:S221-47.
11. Comstock GW. How much isoniazid is needed for prevention of tuberculosis among immunocompetent adults? *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1999;3:847-50.
12. Woldehanna S, Volmink J. Treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in HIV infected persons. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004;1:CD000171.
13. Guidelines for intensified tuberculosis case finding and isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV in resource constrained settings. Department HIV/AIDS. Stop TB Department. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011:5-15.
14. Pina JM, Clotet L, Sala MR, Ferrer A, Arias C, Dominguez A. Is isoniazid for 6 months more cost-effective than isoniazid for 9 months? *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2012;16:768-73.
15. National Collaborating Centre for Chronic Conditions (UK), Centre for Clinical Practice at NICE (UK). Tuberculosis. Clinical diagnosis and management of tuberculosis, and measures for its prevention and control. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (UK); 2011.
16. Samandari T, Agizew TB, Nyirenda S, Tedla Z, Sibanda T, Shang N, et al. 6-month versus 36-month isoniazid preventive treatment for tuberculosis in adults with HIV infection in Botswana: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011;377:1588-98.
17. Villarino ME, Ridzon R, Weismuller PC, Elcock M, Maxwell MR, Meador J, et al. Rifampin preventive therapy for tuberculosis infection: experience with 157 adolescents. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;155:1735-8.
18. A double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial of three antituberculosis chemoprophylaxis regimens in patients with silicosis in Hong Kong. Hong Kong Chest Service/Tuberculosis Research Centre, Madras/British Medical Research Council. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992;145:36-41.
19. Polesky A, Farber HW, Gottlieb DJ, Park H, Levinson S, O'Connell JJ, et al. Rifampin preventive therapy for tuberculosis in Boston's homeless. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;154:1473-7.
20. Menzies D, Dion MJ, Rabinovitch B, Mannix S, Brassard P, Schwartzman K. Treatment completion and costs of a randomized trial of rifampin for 4 months versus isoniazid for 9 months. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;170:445-9.
21. Vernon A, Burman W, Benator D, Khan A, Bozeman L. Acquired rifampin monoresistance in patients with HIV-related tuberculosis treated with once-weekly rifapentine and isoniazid. Tuberculosis Trials Consortium. *Lancet* 1999;353:1843-7.
22. Esfahani K, Aspler A, Menzies D, Schwartzman K. Potential cost-effectiveness of rifampin vs. isoniazid for latent tuberculosis: implications for future clinical trials. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2011;15:1340-6.
23. Ziakas PD, Mylonakis E. 4 months of rifampin compared with 9 months of isoniazid for the management of latent tuberculosis infection: a meta-analysis and cost-effectiveness study that focuses on compliance and liver toxicity. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;49:1883-9.
24. Mwinga A, Hosp M, Godfrey-Faussett P, Quigley M, Mwaba P, Mugala BN, et al. Twice weekly tuberculosis preventive therapy in HIV infection in Zambia. *AIDS* 1998;12:2447-57.
25. Halsey NA, Coberly JS, Desormeaux J, Losikoff P, Atkinson J, Moulton LH, et al. Randomised trial of isoniazid versus rifampicin and pyrazinamide for prevention of tuberculosis in HIV-1 infection. *Lancet* 1998;351:786-92.
26. Gordin F, Chaisson RE, Matts JP, Miller C, de Lourdes Garcia M, Hafner R, et al. Rifampin and pyrazinamide vs isoniazid for prevention of tuberculosis in HIV-infected persons: an international randomized trial. Terry Bein Community Programs for Clinical Research on AIDS, the Adult AIDS Clinical Trials Group, the Pan American Health Organization, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Study Group. *JAMA* 2000;283:1445-50.
27. Jasmer RM, Saukkonen JJ, Blumberg HM, Daley CL, Bernardo J, Vittinghoff E, et al. Short-Course Rifampin and Pyrazinamide for Tuberculosis Infection (SCRIPT) Study Investigators. Short-course rifampin and pyrazinamide compared with isoniazid for latent tuberculosis infection: a multicenter clinical trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2002;137:640-7.
28. Gao XF, Wang L, Liu GJ, Wen J, Sun X, Xie Y, et al. Rifampicin plus pyrazinamide versus isoniazid for treating latent tuberculosis infection: a meta-analysis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2006;10:1080-90.
29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); American Thoracic Society. Update: adverse event data and revised American Thoracic Society/CDC recommendations against the use of rifampin and pyrazinamide for treatment of latent tuberculosis infection—United States, 2003. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*

- 2003;52:735-9.
30. Ena J, Valls V. Short-course therapy with rifampin plus isoniazid, compared with standard therapy with isoniazid, for latent tuberculosis infection: a meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;40:670-6.
  31. Spyridis NP, Spyridis PG, Gelesme A, Sypsa V, Valianatou M, Metsou F, et al. The effectiveness of a 9-month regimen of isoniazid alone versus 3- and 4-month regimens of isoniazid plus rifampin for treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in children: results of an 11-year randomized study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;45:715-22.
  32. Martinson NA, Barnes GL, Moulton LH, Msandiwa R, Hausler H, Ram M, et al. New regimens to prevent tuberculosis in adults with HIV infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:11-20.
  33. Salinas C, Pascual Erquicia S, Diez R, Aguirre U, Egorro M, Altube L, et al. Three-month course of rifampicin and isoniazid for the treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. *Med Clin* 2010;135:293-9.
  34. Schechter M, Zajdenverg R, Falco G, Barnes GL, Faulhaber JC, Coberly JS, et al. Weekly rifapentine/isoniazid or daily rifampin/pyrazinamide for latent tuberculosis in household contacts. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;173:922-6.
  35. Sterling TR, Villarino ME, Borisov AS, Shang N, Gordin F, Bilven-Sizemore E, et al. TB Trials Consortium PREVENT TB Study Team. Three months of rifapentine and isoniazid for latent tuberculosis infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:2155-66.
  36. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Recommendations for use of an isoniazid-rifapentine regimen with direct observation to treat latent *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2011;60:1650-3.
  37. Holland DP, Sanders GD, Hamilton CD, Stout JE. Potential economic viability of two proposed rifapentine-based regimens for treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e22276.
  38. Lou HX, Shullo MA, McKaveney TP. Limited tolerability of levofloxacin and pyrazinamide for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis prophylaxis in a solid organ transplant population. *Pharmacotherapy* 2002;22:701-4.
  39. Papastavros T, Dolovich LR, Holbrook A, Whitehead L, Loeb M. Adverse events associated with pyrazinamide and levofloxacin in the treatment of latent multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *CMAJ* 2002;167:131-6.
  40. Younossian AB, Rochat T, Ketterer JP, Wacker J, Janssens JP. High hepatotoxicity of pyrazinamide and ethambutol for treatment of latent tuberculosis. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:462-4.
  41. Curry International Tuberculosis Center and California Department of Public Health. Drug resistant tuberculosis: a survival guide for clinicians. 2nd ed. San Francisco: Curry International Tuberculosis Center, California Department of Public Health; 2008.
  42. Sohaskey C. Latent tuberculosis: Is there a role for thioridazine? *Recent Pat Antiinfect Drug Discov* 2011;6:139-46.
  43. Holland DP, Sanders GD, Hamilton CD, Stout JE. Strategies for treating latent multiple-drug resistant tuberculosis: a decision analysis. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e30194.
  44. Vinnard C, Gopal A, Linkin DR, Maslow J. Isoniazid toxicity among an older veteran population: a retrospective cohort study. *Tuberc Res Treat* 2013;2013:549473.
  45. Bliven EE, Podewils LJ. The role of chronic hepatitis in isoniazid hepatotoxicity during treatment for latent tuberculosis infection. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2009;13:1054-60.
  46. Smith BM, Schwartzman K, Bartlett G, Menzies D. Adverse events associated with treatment of latent tuberculosis in the general population. *CMAJ* 2011;183:E173-9.
  47. Kunst H, Khan KS. Age-related risk of hepatotoxicity in the treatment of latent tuberculosis infection: a systematic review. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2010;14:1374-81.
  48. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Severe isoniazid-associated liver injuries among persons being treated for latent tuberculosis infection — United States, 2004-2008. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2010;59:224-9.
  49. Camacho A, Pérez-Camacho I, Rivero A, Natera C, Garcia-Lazaro M, Caston JJ, et al. Use of rifampicin plus pyrazinamide for antituberculosis prophylaxis does not increase the risk of severe hepatotoxicity in HIV patients: meta-analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin* 2010;28:239-44.
  50. Khattri S, Kushawaha A, Dahal K, Lee M, Mobarakai N. Isoniazid (INH)-induced eosinophilic exudative pleural effusion and lupus erythematosus. A clinical reminder of drug side effects. *Bull NYU Hosp Jt Dis* 2011;69:181-4.
  51. Lee SH, Yim JJ, Kim HJ, Shim TS, Seo HS, Cho YS, et al. Adverse events and development of tuberculosis after 4 months of rifampicin prophylaxis in a tuberculosis outbreak. *Epidemiol Infect* 2012;140:1028-35.
  52. Fountain FF, Tolley EA, Jacobs AR, Self TH. Rifampin hepatotoxicity associated with treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. *Am J Med Sci* 2009;337:317-20.
  53. Young H, Wessolossky M, Ellis J, Kaminsky M, Daly JS. A retrospective evaluation of completion rates, total cost, and adverse effects for treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in a public health clinic in central Massachusetts. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;49:424-7.
  54. Zuñiga JA. Medication adherence in Hispanics to latent tuberculosis treatment: a literature review. *J Immigr Minor Health* 2012;14:23-9.
  55. Guo N, Marra CA, FitzGerald JM, Elwood RK, Anis AH, Marra F. Patient preference for latent tuberculosis infection preventive treatment: a discrete choice experiment. *Value Health* 2011;14:937-43.
  56. Machado A Jr, Finkmoore B, Emodi K, Takenami I, Barbosa T, Tavares M, et al. Risk factors for failure to complete a course of latent tuberculosis infection treatment in Salvador, Brazil. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2009;13:719-25.
  57. Bieberly J, Ali J. Treatment adherence of the latently infected tuberculosis population (post-Katrina) at Wetmore TB Clinic, New Orleans, USA. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2008;12:1134-8.
  58. Hirsch-Moverman Y, Daftary A, Franks J, Colson PW. Adherence to treatment for latent tuberculosis infection: systematic review of studies in the US and Canada. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2008;12:1235-54.
  59. Hess K, Goad J, Wu J, Johnson K. Isoniazid completion rates for latent tuberculosis infection among college students managed by a community pharmacist. *J Am Coll Health* 2009;57:553-5.
  60. Li J, Munsiff SS, Tarantino T, Dorsinville M. Adherence to treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in a clinical population in New York City. *Int J Infect Dis* 2010;14:e292-7.
  61. Horsburgh CR Jr, Goldberg S, Bethel J, Chen S, Colson PW, Hirsch-Moverman Y, et al. Tuberculosis Epidemiologic Studies Consortium. Latent TB infection treatment acceptance and completion in the United States and Canada. *Chest* 2010;137:401-9.
  62. Trajman A, Long R, Zylberberg D, Dion MJ, Al-Otaibi B, Menzies D. Factors associated with treatment adherence in a randomised trial of latent tuberculosis infection treatment. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2010;14:551-9.
  63. Hirsch-Moverman Y, Bethel J, Colson PW, Franks J, El-Sadr W. Predictors of latent tuberculosis infection treatment completion in the United States: an inner city experience. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2010;14:1104-11.
  64. Anibarro L, Casas S, Paz-Esquete J, Gonzales L, Pina A, Guerra MR, et al. *Mycobacteria Study Group (GEIM) of Spanish Society*

- of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (SEIMC). Treatment completion in latent tuberculosis infection at specialist tuberculosis units in Spain. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2010;14:701-7.
65. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Tuberculosis associated with blocking agents against tumor necrosis factor alfa: California, 2002-2003. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2004;53:683-6.
  66. Carmona L, Gómez-Reino JJ, Rodríguez-Valverde V, Montero D, Pascual-Gomez E, Mola EM, et al. BIOBADASER Group. Effectiveness of recommendations to prevent reactivation of latent tuberculosis infection in patients treated with tumor necrosis factor antagonists. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52:1766-72.
  67. Mariette X, Salmon D. French guidelines for diagnosis and treating latent and active tuberculosis in patients with RA treated with TNF blockers [abstract]. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;62 Suppl:791.
  68. Diel R, Hauer B, Loddenkemper R, Manger B, Kruger K. Recommendations for tuberculosis screening before initiation of TNF-alpha-inhibitor treatment in rheumatic diseases. *Pneumologie* 2009;63:329-34.
  69. Solovic I, Sester M, Gomez-Reino JJ, Rieder HL, Ehlers S, Milburn HJ, et al. The risk of tuberculosis related to tumor necrosis factor antagonist therapies: a TBNET consensus statement. *Eur Respir J* 2010;36:1185-206.
  70. Saukkonen JJ, Cohn DL, Jasmer RM, Schenker S, Jereb JA, Nolan CM, et al. Hepatotoxicity of Antituberculosis Therapy Subcommittee. An official ATS statement: hepatotoxicity of antituberculosis therapy. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; 174:935-52.
  71. Haroon M, Martin U, Devlin J. High incidence of intolerance to tuberculosis chemoprophylaxis. *Rheumatol Int* 2012;32:33-7.