Interferon-free Anti-hepatitis C Virus Treatment for Long-term Liver Transplantation Recipients with Failed Interferon Therapy

Kiyotaka Hosoda, Yuichi Masuda^{*}, Atsuyoshi MITA and Akira Kobayashi First Department of Surgery, Shinshu University school of Medicine

Background: Recurrent hepatitis and cirrhosis due to hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a major cause of graft loss in liver transplant (LT) patients. Although interferon (IFN)-based therapy has been used in patients with recurrent hepatitis C after LT, the safety and efficacy of treatment remains unclear. IFN-free regimens with direct-acting antiviral agents (DAAs) against HCV showed excellent results in terms of sustained virological response (SVR) in non-LT patients. Recently, the efficacy of DAAs for LT patients has been reported. However, drug-drug interactions, the increasing risk of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and the existence of drug-resistant gene variants require investigation in LT patients.

Method: This study included patients with recurrent hepatitis C after LT who failed IFN therapy and received IFN-free therapy. Medical records were reviewed retrospectively.

Results: Seven recipients were included. The median duration from LT to DAA treatment was 124 (34-181) months. HCV genotype was 1b in 6 patients and 2a in 1 patient. The Child-Pugh classification at IFN-free therapy was A in 6 patients and B in 1 patient. DAAs were used as follows: daclatasvir and asunaprevir in 3 patients, ledipasvir and sofosbuvir in 3, and sofosbuvir and ribavirin in 1. In all patients, sustained virologic response at week 24 was achieved without significant adverse events. No evidence of HCC has been observed. **Conclusion**: HCV can be eradicated with interferon-free therapy in LT recipients with failed IFN therapy. *Shinshu Med J 67*: 417–423, 2019

(Received for publication March 28, 2019; accepted in revised form July 4, 2019)

Key words : direct-acting antiviral agents, interferon, liver transplantation, hepatitis C virus

I Introduction

Liver transplant (LT) recipients with hepatitis C virus (HCV) have a risk of recurrent hepatitis C. Liver cirrhosis (LC) due to the recurrence of HCV is a major cause of graft loss after LT. Interferon (IFN)-based therapy (IFN or peg-IFN with/without ribavirin [RBV]) has been administered for recurrent hepatitis C after LT, and a response rate of 30-40 % was reported¹⁾²⁾. LT recipients frequently discontinued these treatments due to the relatively high incidence of complications and an increased risk of rejection.

Some direct-acting antiviral agents (DAAs) have been approved and high sustained virological response (SVR) rates in non-LT HCV patients treated with DAAs have been reported³⁾⁻⁷⁾. Historically, DAAs have been used with IFN, (peg-IFN+RBV+telaprevir [TVR]/ simeprevir [SMV]). These regimens have been used in LT patients, and improved SVR rates have been observed. However, the frequency of adverse events with these regimens remains high⁸⁾⁻¹⁰⁾. Recent IFN-free DAA regimens, including combinations of daclatasvir (DCV) and asunaprevir (ASV)³⁾⁴⁾, sofosbuvir (SOF) and ledipasvir (LDV)⁵⁾⁶⁾, and SOF and RBV⁷⁾ reportedly have greater safety and efficacy. The regimens resulted in high rates of SVR in LT patients¹¹⁾, and even remained high in patients with failed IFN therapy. Meanwhile, DAA

^{*} Corresponding author: Yuichi Masuda

First Department of Surgery, Shinshu University School of Medicine, 3–1–1 Asahi, Matsumoto, Nagano 390–8621, Japan E-mail:khosoda@shinshu-u.ac.jp

resistant HCV, drug interactions, and higher rates of HCC have also been reported¹²⁾.

Herein, we report our long-term experience with 7 cases of IFN-free treatment after failed IFN therapy in LT recipients.

Patients and methods:

Among 46 patients who received LT for HCV disease in our institute, recipients who failed IFN therapy and received IFN-free therapy were selected and included in this study. The medical records were retrospectively analyzed. This study protocol was approved by the Shinshu University Hospital Ethics Committee.

II Results

Seven LT recipients with failed IFN therapy treated with DAAs were included in this study. The median age at LT was 53 years old (range: 43-65 years old). All of them underwent living donor transplantation, including one case of re-transplantation. HCV genotype was 1b in 6 patients and 2a in 1 patient. Drug resistance-associated variants (NS3 D168 or NS5A L31 or Y93 variants) were detected in 4 patients. In all cases, liver biopsy specimens were taken at the time of IFN therapy, and recurrent hepatitis was diagnosed. All patients were previously treated with IFN, IFN with RBV, or peg-IFN with RBV after LT. The median duration of IFN-based therapy was 69 weeks (range: 1-274 weeks). IFNbased therapy discontinuation was due to depression, heart failure, retinopathy, rejection, or non-responder status. All patients remained positive for serum HCV-RNA regardless of therapy.

Patients started treatment with IFN-free regimens at 2 to 15 years after LT (median: 12 years). The median age at initiation of IFN-free therapy was 64 years old (range: 55-70 years). Three were treated with DCV and ASV, 3 with LDV and SOF, and 1 with SOF and RBV. Child-Pugh classification at the beginning of the therapy was class A in 6, and class B in 1. Since the use of DAAs for patients with Child-Pugh B was considered an off-label indication in Japan, institutional review board approval and informed consent from the patients were

obtained prior to treatment. Calcineurin inhibitors were administered in all patients, with tacrolimus in 5, and cyclosporine in 2. Mycophenolate mofetil was used in combination with a calcineurin inhibitor in 4. Corticosteroids were not administrated to any patients. During the course of DAA treatment, the levels of serum AST and ALT decreased or remained within normal limits in all cases. The serum HCV-RNA levels were 1.2-7.0 logIU/ml (median: 5.2 logIU/ml) before the treatment, and decreased to undetectable levels within 2-9 weeks (median: 3 weeks), and sustained virologic response at week 24 (SVR24) was achieved in all cases. Occurrence of HCC was not observed during this study period. The details of each clinical course are shown in Table 1-3. The clinical course of IFN-free therapy in one representative case (Case 1) is shown in Fig. 1.

Ⅲ Discussion

After LT for HCV-related cirrhosis, most patients experience recurrence of hepatitis¹³⁾. In our institution, 46 patients received LT due to HCV infection. Forty-five of the 46 experienced recurrence of hepatitis. Among these, 36 were previously treated with IFN-based therapy. SVR was achieved in only 12 with IFN-based therapy. Two were treated with DAAs with IFN and achieved SVR. Four were not able to start DAAs due to their physical condition, and 11 had died. Seven recipients were treated with IFN-free DAA therapy, and were reviewed in this study. A recipient in whom HCV was eradicated with IFN-based therapy before LT had no episodes of recurrent hepatitis. It is known that insufficient HCV therapy will lead to much earlier development of cirrhosis in LT patients, and is associated with a poor prognosis. Liver cirrhosis accounts for above 50 % of graft loss or death in HCV LT $recipients^{14)15}$. Because of the clinical course, LC recipients with HCV had a worse prognosis than LC recipients with other etiologies. Only 2.5 % of patients achieved SVR with IFN monotherapy¹⁾, and 24-45 % of those achieved SVR with peg-IFN and RBV.20 Not only antiviral effects but also multiple side effects, such as heart failure, retinopathy, depression, cytopenia, and graft

IFN-free therapy for long-term LT recipients

| | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| IFN [†] -free therapy | DCV [¶] + ASV# | DCV + ASV | DCV + ASV |
| Child-Pugh classification at start of IFN-free therapy | А | А | А |
| HCV genotype | 1b | 1b | 1b |
| Age at IFN-free therapy | 59 | 66 | 60 |
| Time from LT [‡] to IFN-free therapy | 8y5m | 13y11m | 15y1m |
| Immunosuppressive agent at start of IFN-free therapy | Tac ^{††} + MMF ^{‡‡} | Тас | Тас |
| AST pre/post IFN-free therapy (IU/mL) | 43/14 | 24/26 | 49/27 |
| ALT pre/post IFN-free therapy (IU/mL) | 82/10 | 22/23 | 43/23 |
| Age at LT (years) | 51 | 53 | 45 |
| LT modality | LDLT ^{§§} | LDLT | LDLT |
| Time from LT to liver biopsy (weeks) | 3 | 72 | 5 |
| New Inuyama Classification | A1/F0 | A1/F1 | A2/F2 |
| Previous therapy | Peg-IFN ^Ⅲ + RBV [¶] [¶] | Peg-IFN + RBV | IFN |
| Duration of IFN-based therapy (weeks) | 20 | 182 | 1 |
| Cause of discontinuation of IFN therapy | retinopathy | heart failure | depression |
| Drug resistance-associated variants | no | <u>NS3 D168T</u> <u>NS5A Y93H</u> | <u>NS5A Y93H</u> |
| Serum HCV-RNA levels before the treatment (log $_{10}$ IU/ml) | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.1 |
| Duration from institution of DAAs [§] to undetected HCV RNA (weeks) | 6 | 9 | 3 |
| Duration from attainment of SVR^{I} (months) | 30 | 30 | 11 |
| Occurrence of HCC | no | no | no |

Table 1 The details of patients' characteristics treated with daclatasvir and asunaprevir.

†:interferon ‡:liver transplantation §:direct-acting antiviral agents ∥:sustained virological response

¶ : daclatasvir # : asunaprevir † † : tacrolimus ‡ ‡ : mycophenolate mofetil

\$: living donor liver transplantation $\parallel \parallel$: pegylated interferon : ribavirin

rejection have been reported¹⁶⁾. Some patients had to discontinue IFN therapy because of adverse effects. Therefore, a safe and effective strategy for HCV therapy after LT has been needed.

DAAs have been introduced in recent years. Regimens have included TVR or SMV, which are NS3/ 4A protease inhibitors, in combination with IFN. Compared with peg-IFN-only regimens, the response rates were much improved in non-LT patients with use of DAAs. In LT patients, the reported SVR rates with TVR regimens were 67 % ⁷⁾, and the rate with SMV was 80 %¹⁰⁾. There were significant

No. 6, 2019

improvements in viral response rates using DAAs with IFN. However, the adverse events caused by IFN were still significant. Recently, combination DAA therapy without IFN was reported to have a > 90 % SVR rate in non-LT patients³⁾⁴⁾. These regimens were also used in LT patients. The SVR rate in LT patients was 93.1 % with DCV + ASV and 100 % with SOF + LDV¹¹⁾. Mutation in HCV genes is known to decrease SVR. NS3 D168 variants in a SMV/ASV regimen and NS5A L31 or Y93 in a DCV/ASV regimen have been of concern. In a DCV/ASV regimen, these variants were associated with poor response rates,

Hosoda · Masuda · Mita et al.

| | Case 4 | Case 5 | Case 6 |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| IFN-free therapy | $SOF^{\dagger} + LDV^{\ddagger}$ | SOF + LDV | SOF + LDV |
| Child-Pugh classification at start of IFN-free therapy | А | В | А |
| HCV genotype | 1b | 1b | 1b |
| Age at IFN-free therapy | 64 | 70 | 55 |
| Time from LT to IFN-free therapy | 6y5m | 12y8m | 12y9m |
| Immunosuppressive agent at start of IFN-free therapy | Tac + MMF | CyA [§] + MMF | CyA+MMF |
| AST pre/post IFN-free therapy (IU/mL) | 21/18 | 54/50 | 32/23 |
| ALT pre/post IFN-free therapy (IU/mL) | 9/9 | 39/36 | 24/14 |
| Age at LT (years) | 58 | 58 | 43 |
| LT modality | LDLT | LDLT | 1 LDLT 2 DDLT ^I |
| Time from LT to liver biopsy (weeks) | 10 | 26 | 21 |
| New Inuyama Classification | A1/F0 | A2/F4 | A2/F0 |
| Previous therapy | Peg-IFN + RBV | IFN + RBV | Peg-IFN + RBV |
| Duration of IFN-based therapy (weeks) | 160 | 9 | 274 |
| Cause of discontinuation of IFN therapy | retinopathy | rejection | depression, infection |
| Drug resistance-associated variants | undetectable | <u>NS5A L31M</u> <u>NS5A Y93H</u> | <u>NS3 Q80L</u> <u>NS5A Y93H</u> |
| Serum HCV-RNA levels before the treatment ($\log_{10} IU/ml$) | 3.1 | 3.9 | 5.1 |
| Duration from institution of DAAs to undetected HCV RNA (weeks) | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Duration from attainment of SVR (months) | 18 | 13 | 25 |
| Occurrence of HCC | no | no | no |

Table 2 The details of patients' characteristics treated with sofosbuvir and ledipasvir.

†:sofosbuvir ‡:ledipasvir §:cyclosporine ∥:deceased donor liver transplantation

and only 40 % of patients with these variants could achieve SVR³⁾. The SOF/LDV regimen can even attain a high rate of SVR in these patients¹⁷⁾. In our institution, anti-HCV therapy has been initiated in recipients with recurrent HCV hepatitis diagnosed pathologically. The anti-HCV therapy regimen consisted of IFN with or without RBV and DAAs until 2014, and IFN-free regimens with DAAs were started in 2015. SOF and LDV were used in recent cases. Prior to the approval of SOF/LDV regimen in Japan, patients without L31 or Y93 variants were treated with DCV/ASV, and with those variants were followed closely without DAAs. In genotype 2

cases, an SOF+RBV regimen was used. SVR was achieved in all patients in this study, and levels of serum HCV have remained undetectable. Occult HCV cases in HCV LT recipients treated with DAAs have been reported. In LT patients, the serum levels of HCV and liver functions should be carefully monitored, even in cases that achieved SVR¹⁸⁾.

DAAs are metabolized by CYP3A, and cause drug interactions between DAAs and immunosuppressive agents, such as tacrolimus and cyclosporine. The patients in this study were long-term recipients of LT, and used less immunosuppressive medication; no

IFN-free therapy for long-term LT recipients

| | Case 7 |
|---|-------------------------|
| IFN-free therapy | SOF + RBV |
| Child-Pugh classification at start of IFN-free therapy | А |
| HCV genotype | 2a |
| Age at IFN-free therapy | 68 |
| Time from LT to IFN-free therapy | 2y10m |
| Immunosuppressive agent at start of IFN-free therapy | Tac |
| AST pre/post IFN-free therapy (IU/mL) | 80/35 |
| ALT pre/post IFN-free therapy (IU/mL) | 34/33 |
| Age at LT (years) | 65 |
| LT modality | LDLT |
| Time from LT to liver biopsy (weeks) | 69 |
| New Inuyama Classification | A2/F1 |
| Previous therapy | Peg-IFN + RBV |
| Duration of IFN-based therapy (weeks) | 69 |
| Cause of discontinuation of IFN therapy | non-responder status |
| Drug resistance-associated variants | undetectable |
| Serum HCV-RNA levels before the treatment (log_{10} IU/ml) | 1.2 |
| Duration from institution of DAAs to undetected HCV RNA (weeks) | 8 |
| Duration from attainment of SVR (months) | 26 |
| Occurrence of HCC | no |

Table 3 The details of patients' characteristics treated with sofosbuvir and ribavirin.

patients had difficulty controlling blood levels of calcineurin inhibitors. In addition, DAA treatment was completed without severe adverse events except in 1 of the 7 cases with slight elevation of liver enzymes and serum bilirubin level in this study.

Recently, the risk of HCC recurrence after DAA treatment has been reported¹²⁾. IFN-based therapy was thought to have a positive effect on the anticancer immune system. However, Li et al. reported that DAA treatment was not associated with a higher incidence of HCC¹⁹⁾. They also noted that regardless of DAA or IFN use, the incidence of HCC was lower in SVR patients. In the present study, at least in the short term (10 to 30 months after SVR), there was no evidence of HCC recurrence.

\mathbb{N} Conclusion

All HCV recipients achieved SVR24 with IFN-free DAA treatment. DAA treatment for LT recipients was used safely with monitoring of liver function tests. HCV can be eradicated with interferon-free DAA therapy without significant adverse events in long-term LT patients following failure of IFN therapy. Hosoda · Masuda · Mita et al.



Fig. 1 Clinical course of IFN-free therapy in one representative case (Case 1) Interrupted line at upper left of figure represents period of IFN-based therapy, and inverted triangle denotes start of IFN-free therapy.

References

- 1) Ahmad J, Dodson SF, Demetris AJ, Fung JJ, Shakil AO: Recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation: a nonrandomized trial of interferon alfa alone versus interferon alfa and ribavirin. Liver Transpl 7: 863-869, 2001
- 2) Berenguer M, Schuppan D: Progression of liver fibrosis in post-transplant hepatitis C: mechanisms, assessment and treatment. J Hepatol 58: 1028-1041, 2013
- 3) Manns M, Pol S, Jacobson IM, et al: All-oral daclatasvir plus asunaprevir for hepatitis C virus genotype 1b: a multinational, phase 3, multicohort study. Lancet 384:1597-1605, 2014
- 4) Kumada H, Suzuki Y, Ikeda K, et al: Daclatasvir plus asunaprevir for chronic HCV genotype 1b infection. Hepatology 59: 2083-2091, 2014
- 5) Afdhal N, Zeuzem S, Kwo P, et al : Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for untreated HCV genotype 1 infection. N Engl J Med 370 : 1889–1898, 2014
- 6) Mizokami M, Yokosuka O, Takehara T, et al: Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir fixed-dose combination with and without ribavirin for 12 weeks in treatment-naive and previously treated Japanese patients with genotype 1 hepatitis C: an open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Infect Dis 15:645-653, 2015
- 7) Omata M, Nishiguchi S, Ueno Y, et al: Sofosbuvir plus ribavirin in Japanese patients with chronic genotype 2 HCV infection: an open-label, phase 3 trial. J Viral Hepat 21:762-768, 2014
- 8) Pungpapong S, Aqel BA, Koning L, et al: Multicenter experience using telaprevir or boceprevir with peginterferon and ribavirin to treat hepatitis C genotype 1 after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 19:690-700, 2013
- 9) Ikegami T, Yoshizumi T, Yoshida Y, et al: Telaprevir versus simeprevir for the treatment of recurrent hepatitis C after living donor liver transplantation. Hepatol Res 46:136-145, 2016
- Shinoda M, Ebinuma H, Itano O, et al : Simeprevir/pegylated interferon/ribavirin triple therapy for recurrent hepatitis C after living donor liver transplantation. Hepatol Res 46 : 1118–1128, 2016
- 11) Ciesek S, Proske V, Otto B, et al: Efficacy and safety of sofosbuvir/ledipasvir for the treatment of patients with hepatitis C virus re-infection after liver transplantation. Transpl Infect Dis 18: 326-332, 2016

IFN-free therapy for long-term LT recipients

- 12) Reig M, Mariño Z, Perelló C, et al : Unexpected high rate of early tumor recurrence in patients with HCV-related HCC undergoing interferon-free therapy. J Hepatol 65 : 719–726, 2016
- 13) Watt K, Veldt B, Charlton M: A practical guide to the management of HCV infection following liver transplantation. Am J Transplant 9:1707-1713, 2009
- Crespo G, Mariño Z, Navasa M, Forns X: Viral hepatitis in liver transplantation. Gastroenterology 142:1373-1383, 2012
- 15) Berenguer M, Ferrell L, Watson J, et al: HCV-related fibrosis progression following liver transplantation: increase in recent years. J Hepatol 32:673-684, 2000
- 16) Stravitz RT, Shiffman ML, Sanyal AJ, et al: Effects of interferon treatment on liver histology and allograft rejection in patients with recurrent hepatitis C following liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 10: 850–858, 2004
- 17) Mizokami M, Dvory-Sobol H, Izumi N, et al: Resistance analyses of Japanese hepatitis C-infected patients receiving sofosbuvir or ledipasvir/sofosbuvir containing regimens in phase 3 studies. J Viral Hepat 23:780-788, 2016
- 18) Elmasry S, Wadhwa S, Bang BR, et al: Detection of occult hepatitis C virus infection in patients who achieved a sustained virologic response to direct-acting antiviral agents for recurrent infection after liver transplantation. Gastroenterology 152:550-553, 2017
- 19) Li DK, Ren Y, Fierer DS, et al : The short-term incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma is not increased after hepatitis C treatment with direct-acting antivirals : an ERCHIVES study. Hepatology 67 : 2244-2253, 2018

(2019. 3. 28 received; 2019. 7. 4 accepted)