

1
2
3
4 1 **In vitro ectomycorrhization of *Tricholoma matsutake* strains is differentially affected by soil**
5
6 2 **type**
7
8
9 3

10
11 4 Chika Saito^a, Wakana Ogawa^b, Hisayasu Kobayashi^c, Takashi Yamanaka^d, Masaki Fukuda^{a,b},
12
13
14 5 Akiyoshi Yamada^{a,b,e,*}
15
16
17 6

18
19 7 ^a Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Shinshu University, 8304,
20
21
22 8 Minami-minowa, Nagano, 399-4598, Japan
23

24 9 ^b Department of Bioscience and Food Production Science, Interdisciplinary Graduate School of
25
26 10 Science and Technology, Shinshu University, 8304, Minami-minowa, Nagano, 399-4598,
27
28
29 11 Japan
30

31 12 ^c Ibaraki Prefectural Forestry Research Institute, Toh, Naka, Ibaraki, 311-0122, Japan
32

33
34 13 ^d Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Matsunosato, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8687,
35
36 14 Japan
37

38
39 15 ^e Division of Terrestrial Ecosystem, Institute of Mountain Science, Shinshu University, 8304,
40
41 16 Minami-minowa, Nagano, 399-4598, Japan
42
43 17

44
45
46 18 *Corresponding author: A. Yamada
47

48
49 19 E-mail: akiyosh@shinshu-u.ac.jp
50

51 20 Tel: +81-265-77-1631
52

53 21 Fax: +81-265-77-1629
54
55
56 22

57
58 23 Text 21 pages; 5 tables; 3 figures; 2 supplementary tables, 1 supplementary figure
59
60
61 24
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Abstract**

2 The Japanese delicacy *Tricholoma matsutake* has been conducted in vitro ectomycorrhizal
3 syntheses for more than 20 y. The development of its ectomycorrhizal structures varies among
4 experimental systems. Here, we examined the effects of soil-fungus interactions on the early
5 stage of in vitro *T. matsutake* ectomycorrhization. Axenic *Pinus densiflora* seedlings were
6 transplanted into autoclaved natural inorganic soil, inoculated with the cultured mycelium of *T.*
7 *matsutake*, and incubated for 90 d in vitro. Both soil type and fungal strain significantly affected
8 host plant growth; host plant growth and mycorrhization levels significantly differed among soil
9 type/fungal strain combinations. Therefore, the selection of *T. matsutake* strains for optimal
10 mycorrhization must take into account such fungal and soil properties.

11
12 **Keywords:** Ectomycorrhizal symbiosis, Fungal strain selection, Non-timber forest resources,
13 Soil-fungus interaction, Wild edible mushroom

1
2
3
4 **1. Introduction**

5
6 2 The Japanese mushroom delicacy *matsutake* [*Tricholoma matsutake* (S. Ito & S. Imai) Singer] is
7
8 3 a valuable food commodity in the Japanese market; the estimated annual domestic production is
9
10 4 worth several billion yen (Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan 2017).
11
12 5 However, annual production has declined sharply since reaching a peak of 12,000 t in 1941. The
13
14 6 decline was a result of pine wilt disease and environmental changes in a wide range of productive
15
16 7 forest areas (Ogawa 1978; Kishi 1995; Suzuki 2004, 2005). Annual production in recent years
17
18 8 has generally amounted to < 100 t (Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan 2017),
19
20 9 but consumer demand has required a supply of 1200–2900 t annually. Thus, 94.0–98.9% of
21
22 10 domestic consumption has been supplied by imports from China, USA, Canada, Turkey,
23
24 11 Morocco, Korea, Mexico, and several other countries (Murata et al. 2008, 2013; Ministry of
25
26 12 Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan 2017). Recovery of Japanese production in pine
27
28 13 forests (based on the transplantation of mycorrhizal seedlings) is highly desirable. This
29
30 14 transplantation procedure has been very successful for the production of black truffle (Wang and
31
32 15 Hall 2004) and saffron milk cap (Guerin-Laguette et al. 2014).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 16 In vitro procedures for ectomycorrhization of pine hosts with *T. matsutake* have been
42
43 17 available for 20 y (Yamada et al. 2014; Yamanaka et al. 2014; Endo et al. 2015).
44
45 18 Ectomycorrhizas of *T. matsutake* can be established in vitro on *Pinus densiflora* Siebold & Zucc.
46
47 19 seedlings that are more than 3 mo old (Yamada et al. 1999b); only 2–4 wk are required for
48
49 20 mycorrhizal formation after the mycelium makes contact with pine plants that have differentiated
50
51 21 lateral root systems (Guerin-Laguette et al. 2000; Vaario et al. 2000). The symbiotic
52
53 22 characteristics of the mycorrhizal association have been clarified by studies of the ultrastructural
54
55 23 features of the Hartig net hyphae, the cortical cells of pine roots and their cell walls (Gill et al.
56
57 24 2000), and by investigation of pine growth promotion following *T. matsutake* inoculation and
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 ectomycorrhization (Guerin-Laguette et al. 2004; Yamada et al. 2006; Murata et al. 2013).
2 Granite-based mineral soil substrata are able to provide *shiro* in vitro; *shiro* is a visible whitish
3 soil-mycelium aggregate that covers many ectomycorrhizal root tips. The aggregates produced in
4 vitro are closely similar to those that have established naturally in pine forests (Yamada et al.
5 2006; Kobayashi et al. 2007). *Shiro*-like mycelial structures have also been produced in vitro
6 using organic soil substrate with adjuvants, i.e., non-ionic surfactants or vegetable oil
7 (Guerin-Laguette et al. 2003).

8 *Tricholoma matsutake* strains have preferred host ranges among conifers (Yamada et al.
9 2010, 2014; Yamanaka et al. 2011, 2014) and can be host-specific (Vaario et al. 2010). Therefore,
10 an appropriate selection of *T. matsutake* strains that form abundant ectomycorrhizas on a given
11 host species will facilitate the mycorrhization process in vitro. In vitro mycorrhization of *T.*
12 *matsutake* with host *P. densiflora* plants is affected by the nutrient composition of the soil
13 (Yamada et al. 1999b, 2006). Soil physiochemical properties also affect the mycorrhizal
14 relationship: mineral soils, such as a granite-based substratum, promote the formation of a
15 densely packed soil-mycelium complex aggregated with ectomycorrhizal root systems, as
16 indicated above. Therefore, host-fungus-soil relationships require full exploration to improve
17 progress in *T. matsutake* strain selection procedures in vitro.

18 The largest dimensions of a *T. matsutake*-*P. densiflora* association obtained in vitro in a
19 1 L soil volume by Kobayashi et al. (2007) were approximately 4 g fresh shoot weight, 60 m total
20 root length, and 10 m ectomycorrhizal root length. The mycorrhizal seedlings were established
21 after incubation for 1 y at 20 °C under continual illumination of 100 μmol photons/m²/s. This
22 system produced a *shiro* structure approximately 5 cm diam on the mycorrhizal seedlings, but the
23 procedure was very protracted; the minimum times for experimental *T. matsutake*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 ectomycorrhization of juvenile pine seedlings were several times shorter (Yamada 1999b, 2006;
2 Guerin-Laguette et al. 2004). Mycelial growth (Kawai and Abe 1976; Kawai and Ogawa 1976;
3 Kusuda et al. 2008) and enzyme activities that catalyze carbohydrate transformation (Terashita et
4 al. 1995; Vaario et al. 2002, 2012; Kusuda et al. 2006, 2008) differ among *T. matsutake* strains
5 and likely affect the mycorrhizal host relationship. If procedures for obtaining better mycelial
6 growth of *T. matsutake* on agar can also achieve better mycorrhization in vitro, these methods
7 would facilitate the mycorrhization process in vitro. Any exploration of new procedures should
8 incorporate soil condition as a key factor that influences fungus-plant growth. Thus, we aimed to
9 determine how the *T. matsutake*-*P. densiflora* ectomycorrhizal system is affected by soil
10 conditions in vitro.

11
12 **2. Materials and Methods**

13 *2.1. Tricholoma matsutake strains, host plant, and soil types*

14 Four *T. matsutake* cultured strains that differed in origin of isolation were included in our
15 experiments (Table 1). The strains were isolated and subcultured on Modified Norkrans'
16 C (MNC) agar medium (Yamada and Katsuya 1995) until used. The sampling sites in Nagano
17 Prefecture were located in a mountainous region where this mushroom species is highly
18 productive and is harvested for commercial purposes. The Y1 strain promotes *P. densiflora*
19 seedling growth in vitro by developing ectomycorrhizal structures (Yamada et al. 2006; Murata et
20 al. 2013). Seeds of *P. densiflora* (the host for *in vitro* mycorrhization) used in our study were
21 collected in the experimental forest of Ibaraki Prefectural Forestry Institute, Japan, and stored in a
22 deep freezer until used. Each of the four strains previously cultured on MNC agar plates were
23 used for the mycelial growth test on MNC medium. A 5 × 5 mm colony segment of each strain
24 was inoculated on fresh MNC medium and incubated at 20 °C in the dark. Colony diameters

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 were measured under a dissecting microscope 10, 20, and 30 d post inoculation. Seven replicates
2 were established for each of the four strains. In addition, a 5 × 5 mm colony segment was
3 inoculated into 10 mL of MNC liquid medium (without agar) in a wide-mouth glass bottle (75
4 mL volume; closed with a transparent polycarbonate screw cap) and incubated at 20 °C in the
5 dark. Cultured mycelium was harvested from each of the liquid media 10, 20, and 30 d post
6 inoculation and dried at 70 °C for 24 h, then weighed. Seven replicates were established for each
7 of the four strains in each harvest period (Supplementary Table S1).

8 To compare soil effects in the in vitro experiments, four soil samples were obtained from
9 soil B-layers of different montane areas in Nagano (“Chino,” “Nakagawa” and “Matsumoto”) and
10 “Ibaraki” (Hitachi-ohmiya, Hitachi-ohta) Prefectures (Table 2). All of the soil samples were dried
11 at 50 °C, sieved through a standard mesh (mesh size: 5 mm), and stored in the laboratory until
12 used. Small amounts of these soil samples were used to measure pH and the contents of
13 water-soluble inorganic phosphorus (PO_4^{3-}) and nitrogen (NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , NO_2^-). A 100 g dried soil
14 sample was mixed with 100 mL of distilled water in a flask and stirred for 1 h with a magnetic
15 stirrer, after which the sample was filtered; the pH of the filtrate was determined. To measure
16 water-soluble phosphate and nitrogen, a 50 g soil sample was mixed with 100 mL of distilled
17 water in a flask and stirred for 1 h with a magnetic stirrer. The soil solution was centrifuged at
18 3000 rpm for 10 min; the supernatant was filtered and subjected to the following tests:
19 PACKTEST WAK-PO4 (D), WAK-NH4, WAK-NO3, and WAK-NO2 by the KYORITSU
20 CHEMICAL-CHECK Laboratory (Tokyo, Japan) to measure the concentrations of PO_4^{3-} , NH_4^+ ,
21 NO_2^- , and NO_3^- , respectively. The concentrations were measured by absorption spectroscopy
22 (JASCO V-530 UV/VIS spectrometer, Tokyo, Japan) and standard curves were prepared using

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 standard solutions of KH_2PO_4 , NH_4Cl , KNO_3 , and KNO_2 , respectively. Three measurements on
2 single samples were averaged and used in the analyses (Table 2).

3
4 *2.2. Effects of fungus strain/soil type combinations on mycorrhizal colonization and host plant*
5 *growth*

6 Four soil types (Table 2) and four *T. matsutake* isolates (Table 1) were combined in a crossed
7 design to produce 16 treatments. Of the soils sampled, “Matsumoto” and “Nakagawa” in Nagano
8 Prefecture were selected for the experiments, as *T. matsutake* has been commercially harvested
9 from these regions and their soils are expected to develop mycorrhizae in vitro. In contrast,
10 “Chino” soil was categorized as an unsuitable habitat for *T. matsutake* populations in nature
11 (Hamada 1974; Ogawa 1978), and almost no harvest sites occur on such areas of parent rock in
12 Nagano Prefecture. The mixed “Ibaraki” soil was prepared following Kobayashi et al. (2015).
13 Each treatment combination was tested on five replicate seedlings. *Pinus densiflora* seedlings
14 inoculated with *T. matsutake* were incubated for 90 d, inspected for mycorrhization status, and
15 measured for increases in dry weight, as described below. In addition, a non-inoculated control
16 treatment was established on the “Nakagawa” soil to evaluate whether *T. matsutake* would
17 exhibit symbiotic effects on host pine.

18
19 *2.3. Mycorrhizal synthesis in vitro*

20 Stored *P. densiflora* seeds were washed, surface sterilized, and germinated on MNC agar plates
21 (Yamada et al. 2010); 7–10-d-old seedlings were transplanted into prepared soil in culture bottles,
22 as described below. Two mycelial segments (5×5 mm) of each *T. matsutake* strain that had been
23 previously cultured on a MNC agar plate for 2 mo were inoculated into 10 mL of autoclaved
24 MNC liquid medium in a 75 mL wide mouth glass bottle and incubated at 20 °C for 1 mo to

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 prepare a mycelial inoculum for mycorrhization. Previously dried and stored soil was dried again
2 at 70 °C overnight to reach a relative water content of 0%. The dried soil was saturated with
3 distilled water to produce a relative water content of 100%. Approximately 240 mL water was
4 required to increase the water content of 1 kg dried soil to 100%. Dried and fully water-saturated
5 soils were mixed in appropriate ratios to produce a relative water content of 75%. A 200 mL
6 sample of the prepared soil was autoclaved at 121 °C for 45 min in a 250 mL polycarbonate
7 wide-mouth jar (No. 2116-0250; Thermo Scientific Inc., Rochester, NY, USA). The cap of the
8 autoclaved jar was removed (under a sterile hood), and the soil was inoculated with a
9 liquid-cultured *T. matsutake* mycelium (wet volume equivalent to ~30 mg dry weight); the
10 inoculum was divided into several segments before being dispersed through the soil in the
11 polycarbonate jar. An axenically germinated *P. densiflora* seedling was transplanted into each
12 polycarbonate jar. A second (open), autoclaved polycarbonate jar was inverted and placed over
13 the top of the planter jar; the two jars stood mouth to mouth (Kobayashi et al. 2007). Then the
14 necks of the jars were sealed with transparent polyvinyl chloride film (Riken Tape; Kyoei Plastic
15 MGF Co. Ltd., Tokyo), after which the whole assembly was weighed. Four 6 mm diam aeration
16 holes were punched through the top jar, and each hole was covered with a fluorocarbon
17 membrane filter (pore size 0.45 µm; Milliseal, Millipore, Yonezawa, Japan). These jar
18 assemblies were incubated in a growth chamber at 20 °C under continual florescent illumination
19 at a photon flux of 100 µmol/m²/s for 90 d. Each experimental unit comprised five replicate
20 seedlings. Sterilized distilled water was supplied weekly to the soil substratum in each jar under a
21 sterile hood to maintain a constant jar weight.

22
23 *2.4. Harvest and measurement of grown seedlings*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 After incubations of 90 d, a small volume of the soil particles in each jar was inoculated onto a
2 MNC agar plate to check for the presence/absence of contaminating microbes and the growth of
3 inoculated *T. matsutake* mycelium. After this procedure, each seedling was removed from the jar
4 and separated into shoot and root systems. The shoot was dried at 60 °C for 24 h, after which the
5 dry weight was measured. The root system was washed in flowing tap water and dissected into
6 segments < 1 cm long. Total root length and mycorrhizal root length were measured at the same
7 time using the grid-line intersect method (Brundrett et al. 1996). We examined small samples of
8 sound ectomycorrhizal tips microscopically to check the morphological and anatomical
9 characteristics of ectomycorrhizal development (Yamada et al. 2010). After measurements were
10 completed, the root system was also dried to determine its dry weight. With these data, we
11 calculated total seedling weight and shoot/root (S/R) biomass ratios.

12
13 *2.5. Data analyses*

14 One-way ANOVA was used to test for significant differences among means in each experiment
15 using Kaleidagraph ver. 4.1.2 software (Synergy Software, Reading, PA, USA). Tukey's HSD
16 post hoc test or Dunnett post hoc test was used for multiple comparisons ($P < 0.05$) of treatment
17 means. If necessary, t-test was secondarily used for the comparison between selected two
18 treatments. Two-way ANOVA was used to detect significant effects of the inoculated fungal
19 strains, soil type, and their interaction on host pine growth. S/R ratios were arcsine transformed
20 for ANOVA. Regression analyses were also conducted to determine the effects of
21 ectomycorrhizal development on plant growth parameters as well as how soil nutrient nitrogen
22 and phosphorus affect ectomycorrhizal development and plant growth parameters.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of *T. matsutake* inoculation on pine seedling growth on “Nakagawa” soil

All four *T. matsutake* strains exhibited significant positive effects on pine root length and root dry weight compared to non-inoculated controls (Table 3). In the total dry weight, AT-0707 and AT-0781 inoculations exhibited significant positive effects compared to non-inoculated controls. In the Y-1 and AT-0742 inoculations, such positive effect was briefly detected ($P < 0.1$). However, shoot dry weight was not affected by *T. matsutake* inoculation. Therefore, S/R ratios were significantly lower in the inoculated pine seedling than controls. Inoculated pine seedlings exhibited ectomycorrhizal formations (Supplementary Fig. S1), with the exception of AT-0707 (Table 3), for which inoculated mycelia grew in the soil (with no accompanying microbial contamination; Supplementary Table S2) and on the fine roots. Among the inoculated seedlings, no significant differences were observed among strains in terms of root length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, total dry weight, and S/R ratio (Tukey HSD post hoc test of one-way ANOVA at $P < 0.05$).

3.2. Effect of soil types on mycorrhizal formation and host plant growth

On the “Chino,” “Matsumoto,” and “Ibaraki” soils, all of the *T. matsutake* strains showed ectomycorrhizal formation (Table 4). Host pine growth and root colonization levels differed among *T. matsutake* strains in the limited soil conditions. On the “Chino” soil, the S/R ratio significantly differed between Y1 and AT-0781, whereas total root length only marginally differed ($P < 0.10$) between Y1 and AT-0707. On the “Matsumoto” soil, root dry weight and total dry weight significantly differed between Y1 and AT-0742 and the S/R ratio significantly differed between AT-0707 and AT-0742. Mycorrhizal root length significantly differed among all of the *T. matsutake* strains on “Matsumoto” soil and between AT-0742 and AT-0781 on

1
2
3
4 1 the “Chino” soil. The colonization ratio significantly differed among several combinations of *T.*
5
6 2 *matsutake* strains on “Matsumoto” and “Chino” soils and between AT-0742 and AT-0707 on the
7
8 3 “Ibaraki” soil. The properties of these *T. matsutake* strains on pine hosts with different soil types
9
10 4 could not be inferred from mycelial growth trends on MNC medium (i.e., AT-0707 and AT-0781
11
12 5 grew significantly better in terms of biomass and colony diameter compared to Y1 and AT-0742)
13
14 6 (Supplementary Table S1). Two-way ANOVA indicated that soil type had significant effects on
15
16 7 all of the plant growth parameters and mycorrhization (Table 5); however, the *T. matsutake* strain
17
18 8 and its interaction with soil type had significant effects on only mycorrhizal root length and the
19
20 9 root colonization ratio.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 11 *3.3. Relationships between mycorrhizal root length and pine host growth of the four soil types*

30
31 12 Although symbiotic effects of *T. matsutake* inoculation on pine host growth in vitro has been
32
33 13 previously reported (Guerin-Laguette et al. 2004; Yamada et al. 2006; Murata et al. 2013), this
34
35 14 study is the first to examine the correlation between mycorrhizal root length and host pine growth.
36
37 15 Pine growth parameters other than the S/R ratio were significantly positively correlated with
38
39 16 mycorrhizal length (Fig. 1); however, the correlation coefficients were relatively low.
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 18 *3.4. Relationships between soil nutrients and pine host growth and mycorrhizal colonization*

47
48 19 Plant growth parameters were positively correlated with ectomycorrhizal root length (which can
49
50 20 determine soil nutrient adsorption by the host plants); we therefore analyzed the relationships
51
52 21 between soil nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) and (i) pine host growth, and (ii) mycorrhizal
53
54 22 root length. Total and mycorrhizal root length, mycorrhizal colonization ratio, shoot dry weight,
55
56 23 root dry weight, and total dry weight were significantly positively correlated with concentrations
57
58 24 of water-soluble nitrogen (mostly NH_4^+) in the soil (Fig. 2). In contrast, ectomycorrhizal root
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 length, mycorrhizal colonization ratio, root dry weight and total dry weight were significantly
2 negatively correlated with water-soluble phosphorus concentrations (PO_4^{3-}) in the soil (Fig. 3).
3 However, the correlation coefficients were very low.

4. Discussion

We measured host pine growth at an early stage of ectomycorrhizal colonization with *T. matsutake* under different soil types in vitro. This is the first report showing that ectomycorrhization between strains of *T. matsutake* and the pine host is affected by (i) soil type and (ii) their interactions with fungal strains. We were able to detect different mycorrhization properties of *T. matsutake* strains in vitro within a short (90 d) incubation period; additionally, these properties could not be inferred from their mycelial growth on nutrient medium. Our protocol should enable efficient strain selection of *T. matsutake* (as a mycorrhizal symbiont of pine) under selected soil conditions in vitro.

The ectomycorrhization of *T. matsutake* in vitro has been reported previously for a system comprising a 1 L soil volume and host pine plants more than 1-y-old (Kobayashi et al. 2007); this system produced a tennis ball-sized *shiro* with perhaps thousands of mycorrhizal root tips. This *shiro* survived for 2 y under natural pine forest conditions after the colonized seedlings were outplanted (Kobayashi et al. 2015). Production of *T. matsutake shiro* in vitro within a shorter incubation period would facilitate outplantation trials of this fungus-colonized pine seedlings, but the effects of environmental and biological factors that may regulate host pine growth and mycorrhizal development during this shorter incubation period require clarification. We therefore selected smaller mycorrhization system in a 250-mL soil volume. However, although the system developed ectomycorrhizal root tips, a distinct *shiro* structure did not form due the limited incubation period and low seedling biomass.

1
2
3
4 1 This study demonstrated the symbiotic effects of four *T. matsutake* strains on the pine
5
6 2 host in “Nakagawa” soil collected from a productive habitat of this fungus. These results provide
7
8 3 additional support to those reporting similar symbiotic traits of *T. matsutake* in vitro
9
10 4 (Guerin-Laguette et al. 2004; Murata et al. 2013). On the “Nakagawa” soil, although all four *T.*
11
12 5 *matsutake* strains showed root growth promotion in both length and biomass compared to
13
14 6 non-inoculated controls, shoot growth promotion was not observed in any strain. In addition,
15
16 7 AT-0707 inoculation did not exhibit ectomycorrhizal development. Because this strain colonized
17
18 8 the soil area and the root surface (Supplementary Table S1), these results suggest that *T.*
19
20 9 *matsutake* first promotes host plant growth in the belowground root system, even at the
21
22 10 pre-Hartig net developmental stage in vitro. Guerin-Laguette et al. (2004) also documented
23
24 11 enhanced plant growth by *T. matsutake* on small pine seedlings (75 d after fungal inoculation on
25
26 12 12 d-old seedlings), but the symbiotic effect was detected in both the shoot and root. Further
27
28 13 research is necessary to determine how such variation in carbon allocation patterns occur. One
29
30 14 probable explanation is variation in soil type: we used relatively nutrient-poor granite-based
31
32 15 mineral soil in contrast to the organic-based soil used by Guerin-Laguette et al. (2004).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 16 The four strains of *T. matsutake* differentially affected pine host responses under
42
43 17 different soil types. Soil type was a more important determinant of host growth compared to the
44
45 18 type of *T. matsutake* strain (Table 5). AT-0707 produced no ectomycorrhization in “Nakagawa”
46
47 19 soil, and its mycorrhization level tended to be lower in the remaining three soil types (Table 4).
48
49 20 Therefore, this strain has a low potential for ectomycorrhizal formation with pine hosts in vitro.
50
51 21 In contrast, AT-0742 formed ectomycorrhiza with all of the 20 pine seedlings tested, and its
52
53 22 mycorrhization level was generally high among strains across soil conditions. “Matsumoto” soil
54
55 23 produced the highest level of mycorrhization, whereas “Chino” soil produced the lowest. The
56
57 24 higher nitrogen concentrations of the “Matsumoto” soil may have led to the enhanced
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 1 mycorrhizal colonization. “Chino” soil is a weathered volcanic mafic rock that is characterized
5
6 2 by higher concentrations of elements, such as iron and magnesium; this soil can be categorized as
7
8 3 an unsuitable habitat for *T. matsutake* (Hamada 1974; Ogawa 1978). Because we did not analyze
9
10 4 these mineral elements, future studies should examine the effects of soil properties on the
11
12 5 mycorrhizal development of *T. matsutake*.
13

14
15
16 6 The “Matsumoto” soil/AT-0742 combination produced marked mycorrhization and
17
18 7 host growth. Strain AT-0781 also showed a similar level of pine growth in “Matsumoto” soil, but
19
20 8 the mycorrhization level was less than half that observed in the “Matsumoto” soil/AT-0742
21
22 9 combination. Thus, the soil/fungal strain combination has a major determining effect on
23
24 10 mycorrhizal development in vitro.
25
26
27

28
29 11 Rich soil conditions, e.g., those in organic andosol, promote extensive in vitro mycelial
30
31 12 growth of *T. matsutake* in monoculture and have been used for in vitro mycorrhization in *P.*
32
33 13 *densiflora*/*T. matsutake* combinations (Guerin-Laguette et al. 2003, 2004). In ectomycorrhizal
34
35 14 symbioses, host growth and nutrition may be determined by soil nutrient levels (e.g., nitrogen and
36
37 15 phosphorus contents) and by the species and strains of fungi (Gobert and Plassard 2008; Smith
38
39 16 and Read 2008). Our work corroborated previous studies on the effects of water-soluble nitrogen
40
41 17 in soil, but contradicted those examining the effects of water-soluble phosphorus. We used
42
43 18 natural mineral soils sampled from different sites where the phosphorus levels might not have
44
45 19 spanned the entire range of concentrations required to estimate phosphorus effects. However, the
46
47 20 wild *shiro* structure develops from *T. matsutake* mycelium growing exclusively in mineral soil
48
49 21 layers (Ogawa 1975; Yamada et al. 1999a; Lian et al. 2006; Vaario et al. 2015); we therefore
50
51 22 included only mineral soil conditions in our experimental design.
52
53
54
55
56

57
58 23 In conclusion, we tested mycorrhization and host growth response of inoculated *T.*
59
60 24 *matsutake* strains under different soil types in vitro, and found that fungal strains and soil type
61
62
63
64
65

1 (and the combination thereof) strongly affect mycorrhization and host pine growth. Thus, *T.*
2 *matsutake* strain selection for the efficient development of large numbers of ectomycorrhizal root
3 tips on pine root systems in vitro must take into account soil type.

4 5 **Disclosure**

6 The authors declare no conflict of interest. All of the experiments undertaken in this study
7 comply with the current laws of Japan.

8 9 **Acknowledgments**

10 This study was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (no. 19380085,
11 15H01751) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan,
12 and a grant from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan, “Technology
13 development for the optimal use of forest resources”.

14 15 16 **REFERENCES**

- 17 Brundrett M, Bougher N, Dell B, Grove T, Malajczuk N, 1996. *Working with mycorrhizas in*
18 *forestry and agriculture. Chapter 4.3. Measuring root colonisation by mycorrhizal fungi.*
19 Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra, pp 184–193.
- 20 Endo N, Dokmai P, Suwannasai N, Phosri C, Horimai Y, Hirai N, Fukuda M, Yamada A, 2015.
21 Ectomycorrhization of *Tricholoma matsutake* with *Abies veitchii* and *Tsuga diversifolia* in
22 the subalpine forests of Japan. *Mycoscience* 56: 402–412; doi:
23 10.1016/j.myc.2014.12.004.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Gill W M, Guerin-Laguette A, Lapeyrie F, Suzuki K, 2000. Matsutake – Morphological evidence
2 of ectomycorrhiza formation between *Tricholoma matsutake* and host root in a pure *Pinus*
3 *densiflora* forest stand. *New Phytologist* 147: 381–388; doi:
4 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00707.x.

5 Gobert A, Plassard C, 2008. The beneficial effects of mycorrhizae on N utilization by the
6 host-plant: myth or reality? In: Varma A (ed), *Mycorrhiza: state of the art, genetics and*
7 *molecular biology, eco-function, biotechnology, eco-physiology, structure and systematics,*
8 *3rd edn.* Springer, Berlin, pp 209–240; doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-78826-3_11.

9 Guerin-Laguette A, Cummings N, Butler RC, Willows A, Hesom-Williams N, Li S, Wang Y,
10 2014. *Lactarius deliciosus* and *Pinus radiata* in New Zealand: towards the development
11 of innovative gourmet mushroom orchards. *Mycorrhiza* 24: 511–523; doi:
12 10.1007/s00572-014-0570-y.

13 Guerin-Laguette A, Shindo K, Matsushita N, Suzuki K, Lapeyrie F, 2004. The mycorrhizal
14 fungus *Tricholoma matsutake* stimulates *Pinus densiflora* seedling growth in vitro.
15 *Mycorrhiza* 14: 391–395; doi: 10.1007/s00572-004-0322-5.

16 Guerin-Laguette A, Vaario L-M, Gill WM, Lapeyrie F, Matsushita N, and Suzuki K, 2000. Rapid
17 in vitro ectomycorrhizal infection on *Pinus densiflora* roots by *Tricholoma matsutake*.
18 *Mycoscience* 41: 389–393; doi: 10.1007/BF02463952.

19 Guerin-Laguette A, Vaario L-M, Matsushita N, Shindo K, and Suzuki K, Lapeyrie F, 2003.
20 Growth stimulation of a shiro-like, mycorrhiza forming, mycelium of *Tricholoma*
21 *matsutake* on solid substrates by non-ionic surfactants or vegetable oil. *Mycological*
22 *Progress* 2: 37–44; doi: 10.1007/s11557-006-0042-7.

23 Hamada M, 1974. *Matsutake nikki (Diaries of matsutake)* (in Japanese). Kyoto University,
24 Kyoto.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Kawai M, Abe S, 1976. Studies on the artificial reproduction of *Tricholoma matsutake* (S. Ito et
2 Imai) Sing. I. Effects of carbon and nitrogen sources in media on the vegetative growth of
3 *T. matsutake*. *Transactions of the Mycological Society of Japan* 17: 159–167.

4 Kawai M, Ogawa M, 1976. Studies on the artificial reproduction of *Tricholoma matsutake* (S. Ito
5 et Imai) Sing. IV. Studies on a seed culture and a trial for the cultivation on solid media.
6 *Transactions of the Mycological Society of Japan* 17: 499–505.

7 Kishi Y, 1995. *The pine wood nematode and the Japanese pine sawyer*. Thomas Company
8 Limited, Tokyo.

9 Kobayashi H, Terasaki M, Yamada A, 2015. Two-year survival of *Tricholoma matsutake*
10 ectomycorrhizae on *Pinus densiflora* seedlings after outplanting to a pine forest.
11 *Mushroom Science and Biotechnology* 23: 108–113.

12 Kobayashi H, Watahiki T, Kuramochi M, Onose S, Yamada A, 2007. Production of pine
13 seedlings with the shiro-like structure of the matsutake mushroom *Tricholoma matsutake*
14 (S. Ito et Imai) Sing. in a large culture bottle (in Japanese). *Mushroom Science and*
15 *Biotechnology* 15: 151–155.

16 Kusuda M, Ueda M, Konishi Y, Araki Y, Yamanaka K, Nakazawa M, Miyatake K, Terashita T,
17 2006. Detection of β -glucosidase as saprotrophic ability from an ectomycorrhizal
18 mushroom, *Tricholoma matsutake*. *Mycoscience* 47: 184–189; doi:
19 10.1007/s10267-005-0289-x.

20 Kusuda M, Ueda M, Miyatake K, Terashita T, 2008. Characterization of the carbohydrase
21 productions of an ectomycorrhizal fungus, *Tricholoma matsutake*. *Mycoscience* 49:
22 291–297; doi: 10.1007/s10267-008-0423-7.

23 Lian C, Narimatsu M, Nara K, Hogetsu T, 2006. *Tricholoma matsutake* in a natural *Pinus*
24 *densiflora* forest: correspondence between above- and below-ground genets, association

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 with multiple host trees and alteration of existing ectomycorrhizal communities. *New*
2 *Phytologist* 171: 825–836; doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01801.x.

3 Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 2017. <http://www.maff.go.jp/e/index.html>;
4 accessed 3 August 2017.

5 Murata H, Babasaki K, Saegusa T, Takemoto K, Yamada A, Ohta A, 2008. Traceability of Asian
6 ‘matsutake’, specialty mushrooms produced by the ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete
7 *Tricholoma matsutake*, based on retroelement-based DNA markers. *Applied and*
8 *Environmental Microbiology* 74: 2023–2031; doi: 10.1128/AEM.02411-07.

9 Murata H, Yamada A, Maruyama T, Endo N, Yamamoto K, Ohira T, Shimokawa T, 2013. Root
10 endophyte interaction between ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete *Tricholoma matsutake* and
11 arbuscular mycorrhizal tree *Cedrela odorata*, allowing in vitro synthesis of rhizospheric
12 “shiro”. *Mycorrhiza* 23: 235–242; doi: 10.1007/s00572-012-0466-7.

13 Ogawa M, 1975. Microbial ecology of mycorrhizal fungus *Tricholoma matsutake* Ito et Imai
14 (Sing) in pine forests. I. Fungal colony (‘shiro’) of *Tricholoma matsutake*. *Bulletin of the*
15 *Government Forest Experiment Station* 272: 79–121.

16 Ogawa M, 1978. *The biology of matsutake mushroom* (in Japanese). Tsukiji Shokan, Tokyo.

17 Smith SE, Read D, 2008. *Mycorrhizal symbiosis, 3rd edn*. Academic Press, New York.

18 Suzuki K, 2004. Pine wilt and the pine wood nematode. In: Burlery J, Evans J, Youngquist JA
19 (eds), *Encyclopedia of forest science*. Elsevier, Oxford, pp 773–777.

20 Suzuki K, 2005. Ectomycorrhizal ecophysiology and the puzzle of *Tricholoma matsutake* (in
21 Japanese). *Journal of the Japanese Forest Society* 87: 90–102.

22 Terashita T, Kono M, Yoshikawa K, Shishiyama J, 1995. Productivity of hydrolytic enzymes by
23 mycorrhizal mushrooms. *Mycoscience* 36: 221–225; doi: 10.1007/BF02268561.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Vaario LM, Guerin-Laguette A, Gill WM, Lapeyrie F, Suzuki K, 2000. Only two weeks are
2 required for *Tricholoma matsutake* to differentiate ectomycorrhizal Hartig net structures
3 in roots of *Pinus densiflora* seedlings cultivated on artificial substrate. *Journal of Forest*
4 *Research* 5: 293–297; doi: 10.1007/BF02767125.

5 Vaario LM, Guerin-Laguette A, Matsushita N, Suzuki K, Lapeyrie F, 2002. Saprobic potential of
6 *Tricholoma matsutake*: growth over pine bark treated with surfactants. *Mycorrhiza* 12:
7 1–5; doi: 10.1007/s00572-001-0144-7.

8 Vaario L-M, Heinonsalo J, Spetz P, Pennanen T, Heinonen J, Tervahauta A, Fritze H, 2012. The
9 ectomycorrhizal fungus *Tricholoma matsutake* is a facultative saprotroph in vitro.
10 *Mycorrhiza* 22: 409–418; doi: 10.1007/s00572-011-0416-9.

11 Vaario L-M, Pennanen T, Lu J, Palmén J, Stenman J, Leveinen J, Kilpeläinen P, Kitunen V, 2015.
12 *Tricholoma matsutake* can absorb and accumulate trace elements directly from rock
13 fragments in the shiro. *Mycorrhiza* 25: 325–334; doi: 10.1007/s00572-014-0615-2.

14 Vaario L-M, Pennanen T, Sarjala T, Savonen E-M, Heinonsalo J, 2010. Ectomycorrhization of
15 *Tricholoma matsutake* and two major conifers in Finland – an assessment of in vitro
16 mycorrhiza formation. *Mycorrhiza* 20: 511–518; doi: 10.1007/s00572-010-0304-8.

17 Wang Y, Hall IR, 2004. Edible ectomycorrhizal mushrooms: challenges and achievements.
18 *Canadian Journal of Botany* 82: 1063–1073; doi: 10.1139/B04-051.

19 Yamada A, Endo N, Murata H, Ohta A, Fukuda M, 2014. *Tricholoma matsutake* Y1 strain
20 associated with *Pinus densiflora* shows a gradient of in vitro ectomycorrhizal specificity
21 with Pinaceae and oak hosts. *Mycoscience* 55: 27–34; doi: 10.1016/j.myc.2013.05.004.

22 Yamada A, Kanekawa S, and Ohmasa M, 1999a. Ectomycorrhiza formation of *Tricholoma*
23 *matsutake* on *Pinus densiflora*. *Mycoscience* 40: 193–198; doi: 10.1007/BF02464298

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Yamada A, Katsuya K, 1995. Mycorrhizal association of isolates from sporocarps and
2 ectomycorrhizas with *Pinus densiflora* seedlings. *Mycoscience* 36: 315–323; doi:
3 10.1007/BF02268607.

4 Yamada A, Kobayashi H, Murata H, Kalmis E, Kalyoncu F, Fukuda M, 2010. In vitro
5 ectomycorrhizal specificity between the Asian red pine *Pinus densiflora* and *Tricholoma*
6 *matsutake* and allied species from worldwide Pinaceae and Fagaceae forests. *Mycorrhiza*
7 20: 333–339; doi: 10.1007/s00572-009-0286-6.

8 Yamada A, Maeda K, Kobayashi H, Murata H, 2006. Ectomycorrhizal symbiosis in vitro
9 between *Tricholoma matsutake* and *Pinus densiflora* seedlings that resembles naturally
10 occurring ‘shiro’. *Mycorrhiza* 16: 111–116; doi: 10.1007/s00572-005-0021-x.

11 Yamada A, Maeda K, Ohmasa M, 1999b. Ectomycorrhiza formation of *Tricholoma matsutake*
12 isolates on seedling of *Pinus densiflora* in vitro. *Mycoscience* 40: 455–463; doi:
13 10.1007/BF02461022.

14 Yamada A, Ogura T, Degawa Y, Ohmasa M, 2001. Isolation of *Tricholoma matsutake* and *T.*
15 *bakamatsutake* cultures from field-collected ectomycorrhizas. *Mycoscience* 42:43–50;
16 doi: 10.1007/BF02463974.

17 Yamanaka K, Aimi T, Wan J, Cao H, Chen M, 2011. Species of host trees associated with
18 *Tricholoma matsutake* and closely allied species in Asia. *Mushroom Science and*
19 *Technology* 19: 79–87.

20 Yamanaka T, Ota Y, Konno M, Kawai M, Ohta A, Neda H, Terashima Y, Yamada A, 2014. The
21 host ranges of conifer-associated *Tricholoma matsutake*, Fagaceae-associated *T.*
22 *bakamatsutake* and *T. fulvocastaneum* are wider in vitro than in nature. *Mycologia* 106:
23 397–406; doi: 10.3852/13-197.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Figure Legends**

2 Fig. 1 – Relationships between ectomycorrhizal root length and pine growth parameters. (A)
3 Total root length, (B) shoot dry weight, (C) root dry weight, and (D) total dry weight. The fitted
4 line in each graph was derived from 79 data points of fungus-inoculated seedlings.

6 Fig. 2 – Relationships between water-soluble nitrogen concentrations in the soil and plant growth
7 parameters. (A) Total root length, (B) ectomycorrhizal root length, (C) mycorrhizal colonization
8 tatio, (D) shoot dry weight, (E) root dry weight, and (F) total dry weight. The fitted line in each
9 graph was derived from 79 data points of fungus-inoculated seedlings.

11 Fig. 3 – Relationships between water-soluble phosphorus concentrations in the soil and plant
12 growth parameters. (A) Total root length, (B) ectomycorrhizal root length, (C) mycorrhizal
13 colonization tatio, (D) shoot dry weight, (E) root dry weight, and (F) total dry weight. The fitted
14 line in each graph was derived from 79 data points of fungus-inoculated seedlings.

1 Table 1 – Cultured strains of *Tricholoma matsutake* used for mycorrhizal syntheses.

Strain	Origin of cultured strain				Year	Culture deposit in NBRC ^c	Accession number of ITS sequence
	Isolation source ^a	Sampling site in Japan	Putative ectomycorrhizal host	Parent rock ^b			
Y1	FB	Takaizuri, Hitachi-ohmiya, Ibaraki (N 36°41', E 140°24', 230 m above sea level)	<i>Pinus densiflora</i>	Accretionary prism, mudstone	1993	33136	AB036890
AT-0707	FB	Matsukawa, Nagano (N 35°36', E 137°59', 750 m asl)	<i>P. densiflora</i>	Plutonic, felsic, granite	2000		LC120308
AT-0742	M	Kami-hisakata, Iida, Nagano (N 35°27' E 137°55', 1060 m asl)	<i>Tsuga sieboldii</i> Carrière	Plutonic, felsic, granite	2001		LC120310
AT-0781	FB	Kuwahara, Nakagawa, Nagano (N 35°37' E 137°59', 700 m asl)	<i>P. densiflora</i>	Plutonic, felsic, granite	2004		LC120312

2 ^a FB: Fruit body, M: Monotropoid mycorrhizal root tips of *Monotropia hypopithys* L. were used for the isolation. See Yamada et al.
3 (2001) for the isolation procedure.

4 ^b The mother rock information was obtained from GeomapNavi (<https://gbank.gsj.jp/geonavi/>).

5 ^c NBRC, Biological Resource Center, National Institute of Technology and Evaluation.
6
7
8

1 Table 2 – Characteristics of soil types used for mycorrhizal synthesis.

Sampling site	Forest canopy vegetation ^a	Parent rock ^b	pH	Concentration (mg/kg soil) of:	
				Phosphate	Nitrogen ^c
Kitayama, “Chino”, Nagano (N 36°03’ E 138° 20’, 2105 m asl)	Lk	Volcanic, non-alkali mafic rock	5.13	0.080	2.50
Anazawa, “Matsumoto”, Nagano (N 36° 20’ E 138°01’, 855 m asl)	Pd	Sedimentary, sandstone	4.43	0.016	9.66
Tajima-zawa, “Nakagawa”, Nagano (N 35°36’ E 137°00’, 620 m asl)	Pd, Qa	Plutonic, felsic, granite	5.75	0.020	2.49
Takaizuri, Hitachi-ohmiya, “Ibaraki”	Pd	Accretionary prism, mudstone*			
Satomi, Hitachi-ohta, “Ibaraki” (N 36°45’ E 140°31’, 570 m asl)	Pd	Plutonic, felsic, granite*	4.31	0.020	1.43

2 ^a Lk: *Larix kaempferi* (Lamb.) Carrière, Pd: *Pinus densiflora*, Qa: *Quercus acutissima* Carruth.

3 ^b The mother rock information was obtained from GeomapNavi (<https://gbank.gsj.jp/geonavi/>). * The two soils were mixed in a 1:1
4 (v/v) ratio before measurements were made. See Kobayashi et al. (2015).

5 ^c Nitrogen contents are summed values of NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, and NO₂⁻ concentrations. In most cases, NH₄⁺ was the dominant ion; NO₂⁻ and
6 NO₃⁻ were minor components.
7

1 Table 3 – Effects of *T. matsutake* inoculation on pine seedlings grown on “Nakagawa” soil.

Strain	Mean (standard error) ^a ; <i>N</i> = 5 in each treatment						
	Total root length (cm)	Mycorrhizal root length ^b (mm)	Colonization (×10 ⁻² %) ^b	Shoot dry weight (mg) ^b	Root dry weight (mg) ^b	Total dry weight (mg)	S/R ratio (w/w) ^b
Non-inoculated control	99 (17)	0	0	67 (5)	30.0 (4.4)	97 (9)	2.37 (0.29)
Y1	157 (15) s	7.0 (3.2)	43 (19)	64 (6)	51.3 (3.5) s	115 (9)	1.25 (0.10) s
AT-0707	175 (10) s	0	0	71 (8)	62.8 (2.8) s	134 (11)*	1.13 (0.10) s
AT-0742	176 (16) s	5.9 (2.5)	33 (13)	67 (5)	58.5 (4.6) s	126 (9)	1.16 (0.07) s
AT-0781	176 (14) s	4.7 (2.0)	28 (12)	80 (11)	56.1 (6.3) s	136 (17)*	1.43 (0.09) s

2 ^aThe lower case letter “s” in the columns indicate significant difference of means between non-inoculated control and fungal
 3 inoculations by the Dunnett post hoc test of one-way ANOVA at *P* < 0.05.

4 ^bRaw data of root colonization proportions and S/R ratio were subjected to arcsine transformation before statistical analyses. S/R ratio,
 5 seedling shoot dry weight/root dry weight ratio. See Table 1 for strain information.

6 *Significant difference against non-inoculated control by the t-test at *P* < 0.05.

1 Table 4 – Effects of soil type/fungal strain combinations on pine growth and root colonization.

Soil/strain ^a	Mean (standard error) ^b ; <i>N</i> = 5 in each treatment						
	Total root length (cm)	Mycorrhizal root length (mm)	Colonization (×10 ⁻² %) ^c	Shoot dry weight (mg)	Root dry weight (mg)	Total dry weight (mg)	S/R ratio (w/w) ^c
C /Y1 ^d	134 (17) a ^{***}	4.4 (3.5) a	30 (24) a	67 (6) a	36.1 (3.5) a	103 (8) a	1.87 (0.15) a [*]
C /AT-0707	193 (20) a ^{***}	1.2 (1.2) a	8 (8) a ^{**}	75 (9) a	45.3 (4.6) a	120 (12) a	1.68 (0.23) a
C /AT-0742	148 (20) a	5.3 (1.1) a [*]	35 (3) a ^{*,**}	63 (9) a	40.9 (6.2) a	104 (15) a	1.57 (0.10) a
C /AT-0781	168 (12) a	0.6 (0.6) a [*]	3 (3) a [*]	69 (7) a	47.4 (4.1) a	117 (11) a	1.47 (0.07) a [*]
(mean; <i>N</i> = 4)	161 A	2.9 A	19 A	69 BC [*]	42.4 BC	111 BC ^{*,**}	1.64 A
M /Y1	166 (28) a	11.7 (4.6) b	105 (67) b	85 (10) a	52.3 (7.1) a [*]	137 (17) a [*]	1.66 (0.1) a
M /AT-0707	175 (18) a	2.3 (1.1) b ^{**}	15 (6) b [*]	108 (11) a	63.2 (8.1) a	172 (19) a	1.74 (0.07) a [*]
M /AT-0742	219 (11) a	69.3 (13.1) a [*]	308 (51) a	110 (8) a	76.7 (4.6) a [*]	187 (12) a [*]	1.44 (0.08) a [*]
M /AT-0781	221 (17) a	28.8 (3.6) ab ^{*,**}	128 (10) b [*]	107 (8) a	67.0 (3.9) a	174 (11) a	1.60 (0.06) a
(mean; <i>N</i> = 4)	195 A	28.0 A	139 A	103 A	64.8 A	168 A	1.61 A
I /Y1	116 (19) a	5.3 (1.7) a	42 (13) a	50 (5) a	40.3 (5.7) a	91 (11) a	1.29 (0.10) a
I /AT-0707	135 (15) a	1.8 (1.2) a	11 (7) a ^{***}	57 (9) a	47.0 (7.1) a	104 (16) a	1.22 (0.03) a
I /AT-0742	109 (16) a	13.5 (7.1) a	113 (44) a ^{***}	54 (10) a	34.8 (5.1) a	89 (15) a	1.52 (0.07) a
I /AT-0781	106 (24) a	7.6 (5.0) a	55 (30) a	53 (11) a	37.6 (11.1) a	91 (22) a	1.61 (0.25) a
(mean; <i>N</i> = 4)	117 B	7.1 A	55 A	54 C [*]	39.9 C	94 C ^{**}	1.41 AB
(mean; “Nakagwa” <i>N</i> = 4)	171 A	4.4 A	26 A	71 B	57.2 B	128 B [*]	1.24 B

2 ^a C: “Chino”, M: “Matsumoto”, I: “Ibaraki”. The mean value of Nakagwa soil experiment is based on the data in Table 3.

3 ^b Different lower case letters in the columns indicate significant difference of means between inoculated strains in each soil condition
4 by the Tukey HSD post hoc test of one-way ANOVA at *P* < 0.05.

5 Different capital letters in the columns indicate significant difference of means between soil types by the Tukey HSD post hoc test of
6 one-way ANOVA at *P* < 0.05.

7 ^c Raw data of root colonization proportions and S/R ratio were subjected to arcsine transformation before statistical analyses. S/R ratio,
8 seedling shoot dry weight/root dry weight ratio. See Table 1 for strain information.

9 ^d The number of replicate was 4, because one seedling dead in the incubation period. Please see supplementary Table S2.

10 ^{*}, ^{**} Significant difference between the two mean values by the t-test at *P* < 0.05, in the soil type.

11 ^{***} Significant difference between the two mean values by the t-test at *P* < 0.1, in the soil type.

1

2

3 Table 5 – Effects of soil type, *Tricholoma matsutake* strain, and their interaction on seedling biometric traits and mycorrhization
 4 (2-way ANOVA).

Measured parameters	<i>P</i> -values of the following factors		
	Soil type	<i>Tricholoma matsutake</i> strain	Interaction
Root length	< 0.0001	0.390	0.280
Mycorrhizal length	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Root colonization ratio	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.004
Shoot dry weight	< 0.0001	0.217	0.787
Root dry weight	< 0.0001	0.232	0.309
Total dry weight	< 0.0001	0.223	0.676
S/R ratio	< 0.0001	0.142	0.076

5 The data analyzed were the same with Tables 3 and 4 with *T. matsutake* inoculation ($N = 60$ in total); data of “Chino” soil ($N = 19$ in
 6 total) on Table 4 were deleted from the analysis to balance the experimental design.

7





