

# On the Ricci tensor and the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection of real hypersurfaces in a complex space form

By MAYUKO KON

**Abstract.** We prove that the Ricci tensor  $\hat{S}$  with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection of a real hypersurface with the almost contact structure  $(\eta, \phi, \xi, g)$  in a complex space form of complex dimension  $n \geq 3$  satisfies  $\hat{S}(X, \phi Y) = \lambda g(X, \phi Y)$  for any vector field  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $\lambda$  being a function, if and only if the real hypersurface is locally congruent to some type (A) hypersurface.

## 1. Introduction

*Tanaka-Webster connection* is a unique affine connection on a non-degenerate, pseudo-Hermitian  $CR$  manifold which associated with the almost contact structure ([12], [14]). Tanno [13] gave the *generalized Tanaka-Webster connection* (*g-Tanaka-Webster connection*) for contact metric manifolds, which coincides with Tanaka-Webster connection if the associated  $CR$ -structure is integrable. For a real hypersurface in a Kählerian manifold with an almost contact metric structure  $(\eta, \phi, \xi, g)$ , in [3] and [4], Cho defined the g-Tanaka-Webster

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 53B20, 53C15, 53C25.

*Key words and phrases:* complex space form, real hypersurface, Ricci tensor, Tanaka-Webster connection.

This work was supported by the JSPS International Training Program (ITP).

connection  $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$  for a non-zero real number  $k$ . Then we can see that  $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}\eta = 0$ ,  $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}\xi = 0$ ,  $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}g = 0$ ,  $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}\phi = 0$ . Moreover, if the shape operator  $A$  of a real hypersurface satisfies  $\phi A + A\phi = 2k\phi$ , then the g-Tanaka-Webster connection  $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$  coincides with the Tanaka-Webster connection.

For real hypersurfaces in a complex space form  $M^n(c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c \neq 0$ , one of the major problem is to determine real hypersurfaces satisfying certain geometrical assumptions. Cho [5] determined flat Hopf hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form with respect to the g-Tanaka-Webster connection. Besides, he classified Hopf hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form which admits a pseudo-Einstein  $CR$ -structure for the g-Tanaka-Webster connection.

The purpose of this paper is to study real hypersurfaces in a complex space form whose Ricci tensor  $\hat{S}$  with respect to the g-Tanaka-Webster connection  $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$  satisfies  $\hat{S}(X, \phi Y) = \lambda g(X, \phi Y)$  for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ .

The author would like to express her sincere gratitude to Professor P. F. Leung for his valuable advice. Also, the author would like to thank the referee for valuable comments.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $M^n(c)$  denote the complex space form of complex dimension  $n$  (real dimension  $2n$ ) of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$ . For the sake of simplicity, if  $c > 0$ , we only use  $c = +1$  and call it the complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , and if  $c < 0$ , we just consider  $c = -1$ , so that we call it the complex hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{C}H^n$ . We denote by  $J$  the almost complex structure of  $M^n(c)$ . The Hermitian metric of  $M^n(c)$  will be denoted by  $G$ .

Let  $M$  be a real  $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional hypersurface immersed in  $M^n(c)$ . We denote by  $g$  the Riemannian metric induced on  $M$  from  $G$ . We take the unit normal vector field  $V$  of  $M$  in  $M^n(c)$ . For any vector field  $X$  tangent to  $M$ , we define  $\phi$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  by

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)V, \quad JV = -\xi,$$

where  $\phi X$  is the tangential part of  $JX$ ,  $\phi$  is a tensor field of type  $(1,1)$ ,  $\eta$  is a 1-form, and  $\xi$  is the unit vector field on  $M$ . Then they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^2 X &= -X + \eta(X)\xi, & \phi\xi &= 0, & \eta(\phi X) &= 0, \\ \eta(X) &= g(X, \xi), & g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  defines an almost contact metric structure on  $M$ . Let  $H_0$  denote the holomorphic distribution on  $M$  defined by  $H_0(x) = \{X \in T_x(M) | \eta(X) = 0\}$ .

We denote by  $\tilde{\nabla}$  the operator of covariant differentiation in  $M^n(c)$ , and by  $\nabla$  the one in  $M$  determined by the induced metric. Then the *Gauss and Weingarten formulas* are given respectively by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)V, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X V = -AX$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . We call  $A$  the *shape operator* of  $M$ .

From the Gauss and Weingarten formulas, we have

$$\nabla_X \xi = \phi AX, \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi.$$

We denote by  $R$  the Riemannian curvature tensor field of  $M$ . Then the *equation of Gauss* is given by

$$\begin{aligned}R(X, Y)Z &= c\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y \\ &\quad - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z\} + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,\end{aligned}$$

and the *equation of Codazzi* by

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = c\{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\}.$$

If  $A\xi = \lambda\xi$ ,  $\lambda$  being a function, then  $M$  is called a *Hopf hypersurface*. There are many results for real hypersurfaces in complex space forms under the assumption that they are Hopf hypersurfaces. By the Codazzi equation, we have the following result (c.f. [8]).

**Proposition A.** *Let  $M$  be a Hopf hypersurface in  $M^n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , If  $X \perp \xi$  and  $AX = \beta X$ , then  $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$  is constant and*

$$(2\beta - \alpha)A\phi X = (\beta\alpha + 2c)\phi X.$$

We use the following results for the proof of the main theorem.

**Theorem B** ([7]). *Let  $M$  be a Hopf hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . Then  $M$  has constant principal curvatures if and only if  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the following:*

- (A<sub>1</sub>) *a geodesic hypersphere of radius  $r$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/2$ ,*
- (A<sub>2</sub>) *a tube over a totally geodesic  $\mathbb{C}P^l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq n-2$ ), where  $0 < r < \pi/2$ ,*
- (B) *a tube of radius  $r$  over a complex quadric  $Q^{n-1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$ .*
- (C) *a tube of radius  $r$  over  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$  and  $n$  ( $\geq 5$ ) is odd,*
- (D) *a tube of radius  $r$  over a complex Grassmann  $\mathbb{C}G_{2,5}$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$  and  $n = 9$ ,*
- (E) *a tube of radius  $r$  over a Hermitian symmetric space  $SO(10)/U(5)$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$  and  $n = 15$ .*

**Theorem C** ([1]). *Let  $M$  be a Hopf hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}H^n$ . Then  $M$  has constant principal curvatures if and only if  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the following:*

- (A<sub>0</sub>) *a horosphere,*
- (A<sub>1</sub>) *a tube over a complex hyperbolic hyperplane  $\mathbb{C}H^k$  ( $k = 0, n-1$ ),*
- (A<sub>2</sub>) *a tube over a totally geodesic  $\mathbb{C}H^l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq n-2$ ),*
- (B) *a tube over a totally real hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{R}H^n$ .*

Next we introduce the notion of Tanaka-Webster connection and its generalization. Tanaka [12] defined the canonical affine connection on a non-degenerate, pseudo-Hermitian  $CR$  manifold. As a generalization of Tanaka-Webster connection, Tanno [13] defined the  $g$ -Tanaka-Webster connection for contact metric manifolds by

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + (\nabla_X \eta)(Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi - \eta(X)\phi Y,$$

where  $(\eta, \phi, \xi, g)$  is a contact metric structure. Using the naturally extended affine connection of Tanno's  $g$ -Tanaka-Webster connection, the  $g$ -Tanaka-Webster connection  $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$  for real hypersurfaces in Kähler manifold is given by,

$$\hat{\nabla}_X^{(k)} Y = \nabla_X Y + g(\phi A X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi A X - k\eta(X)\phi Y$$

for a non-zero real number  $k$  (see Cho [3], [4]). Then we see that

$$\hat{\nabla}^{(k)} \eta = 0, \quad \hat{\nabla}^{(k)} \xi = 0, \quad \hat{\nabla}^{(k)} g = 0, \quad \hat{\nabla}^{(k)} \phi = 0.$$

In particular, if the shape operator of a real hypersurface satisfies  $\phi A + A\phi = 2k\phi$ , then the  $g$ -Tanaka-Webster connection coincides with the Tanaka-Webster connection. Next we define the  $g$ -Tanaka-Webster curvature tensor  $\hat{R}$  with respect to  $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$  by

$$\hat{R}(X, Y)Z = \hat{\nabla}_X(\hat{\nabla}_Y Z) - \hat{\nabla}_Y(\hat{\nabla}_X Z) - \hat{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}Z$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  in  $M$ . We denote by  $\hat{S}$  the  $g$ -Tanaka Webster Ricci tensor, which is defined by

$$\hat{S}(Y, Z) = \text{trace of } \{X \mapsto \hat{R}(X, Y)Z\}.$$

### 3. The Ricci tensor of real hypersurfaces in a complex space form

To prove the theorem, we prepare the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in a complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . If there exists an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}, \xi\}$  on a sufficiently small neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $x \in M$  such that the shape operator  $A$  can be represented as*

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|c} a_1 & & & 0 & h_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & a_{2n-2} & 0 \\ \hline h_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right),$$

then we have

$$(a_1 - a_j)g(\nabla_{e_i}e_1, e_j) + (a_j - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_1}e_i, e_j) + a_i h_1 g(\phi e_i, e_j) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$(a_j - a_1)g(\nabla_{e_i}e_j, e_1) - (a_i - a_1)g(\nabla_{e_j}e_i, e_1) + h_1(a_i + a_j)g(\phi e_i, e_j) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\{2c - 2a_i a_j + \alpha(a_i + a_j)\}g(\phi e_i, e_j) - h_1 g(\nabla_{e_i}e_j, e_1) + h_1 g(\nabla_{e_j}e_i, e_1) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$(a_1 - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_i}e_1, e_i) - (e_1 a_i) = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$h_1(2a_i + a_1)g(\phi e_i, e_1) + (a_1 - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_1}e_i, e_1) + (e_i a_1) = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$(c + a_1 \alpha - a_1 a_i - h_1^2)g(\phi e_1, e_i) - (a_1 - a_i)g(\nabla_{\xi}e_1, e_i) + h_1 g(\nabla_{e_1}e_1, e_i) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

for any  $i, j \geq 2$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

PROOF. By the equation of Codazzi, we have

$$g((\nabla_{e_i}A)e_1 - (\nabla_{e_1}A)e_i, e_j) = 0,$$

where  $i, j = 2, \dots, 2n - 2$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g((\nabla_{e_i}A)e_1 - (\nabla_{e_1}A)e_i, e_j) \\ &= g(\nabla_{e_i}(Ae_1) - A\nabla_{e_i}e_1 - \nabla_{e_1}(Ae_i) + A\nabla_{e_1}e_i, e_j) \\ &= (a_1 - a_j)g(\nabla_{e_i}e_1, e_j) + (a_j - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_1}e_i, e_j) + a_i h_1 g(\phi e_i, e_j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (3.1). By the similar computation, we have our results.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in a complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . We suppose that the Ricci tensor  $\hat{S}$  of the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection  $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$  satisfies  $\hat{S}(X, \phi Y) = \lambda g(X, \phi Y)$  for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $\lambda$  being a function.*

- (1) *If  $c > 0$  and  $k^2 \neq 4c$ , then  $M$  is a Hopf hypersurface.*
- (2) *If  $c < 0$ , then  $M$  is a Hopf hypersurface.*

PROOF. By the definition of the g-Tanaka-Webster connection, we have (see [5])

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + g(\phi((\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X), Z)\xi \\
 &\quad + 2g(\phi AY, Z)\phi AX - 2g(\phi AX, Z)\phi AY \\
 &\quad + g((\nabla_X \phi)AY - (\nabla_Y \phi)AX, Z)\xi \\
 &\quad - \eta(Z) \left( \phi((\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X) + (\nabla_X \phi)AY - (\nabla_Y \phi)AX \right) \\
 &\quad - k \left( g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y)\phi Z + \eta(Y)(\nabla_X \phi)Z - \eta(X)(\nabla_Y \phi)Z \right) \\
 &\quad + g(\phi AX, F_Y Z)\xi - \eta(F_Y Z)\phi AX - k\eta(X)\phi F_Y Z \\
 &\quad - g(\phi AY, F_X Z)\xi + \eta(F_X Z)\phi AY + k\eta(Y)\phi F_X Z,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where  $F$  is given by

$$F_X Y = g(\phi AX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi AX - k\eta(X)\phi Y.$$

By the definition of g-Tanaka-Webster Ricci tensor, equation of Gauss and Codazzi, direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{S}(Y, Z) &= 2ncg(Y, Z) + (\text{tr}A - \eta(A\xi) + k)g(AY, Z) \\
 &\quad - g(A^2 Y, Z) - g(\phi A \phi AY, Z) - kg(\phi A \phi Y, Z) + \eta(AY)g(A\xi, Z) \\
 &\quad + \eta(Z)(-2nc\eta(Y) - \eta(AY)\text{tr}A + \eta(A^2 Y) - k\eta(AY)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the following lemma of Ryan [10].

**Lemma D.** Let  $A$  be a symmetric tensor field of type (1,1) on a

connected Riemannian manifold  $M^n$ . Then there exists  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$  such that for each point  $x$ ,  $\{\lambda_i(x)\}(i = 1, \cdots, n)$  are the eigenvalues of  $A_x$ .

For the shape operator  $A$  of a real hypersurface  $M$ , we consider the symmetric tensor field  $\phi A \phi$  of type (1,1). By the above lemma, we can take an orthonormal frame  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2n-2}, \xi\}$  in a neighborhood of a point  $x$  such that  $\phi A \phi \xi = 0$ ,  $\phi A \phi v_1 = -a_1 v_1, \dots, \phi A \phi v_{2n-2} = -a_{2n-2} v_{2n-2}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(A\phi v_i, \phi v_j) &= -g(\phi A \phi v_i, v_j) = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \\ g(A\phi v_i, \phi v_i) &= -g(\phi A \phi v_i, v_i) = a_i. \end{aligned}$$

We take an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1 = \phi v_1, \dots, e_{2n-2} = \phi v_{2n-2}, \xi\}$  in a neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of a point  $x$ . Then, in the neighborhood,  $A$  is of the form

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} a_1 & \cdots & 0 & h_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & a_{2n-2} & h_{2n-2} \\ \hline h_1 & \cdots & h_{2n-2} & \alpha \end{array} \right),$$

where we have put  $h_i = g(Ae_i, \xi)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 2n-2$ , and  $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$ .

The condition  $\hat{S}(X, \phi Y) = \lambda g(X, \phi Y)$  for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  is equivalent to  $\hat{S}(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y)$  for any vector field  $X$  and any vector field  $Y$  orthogonal to  $\xi$ . By the direct computation using the previous equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}(\xi, \xi) &= 0, \quad \hat{S}(e_i, \xi) = 0, \\ \hat{S}(\xi, e_i) &= (\text{tr}A - \alpha + k - a_i)h_i - g(\phi A \phi A \xi, e_i) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\hat{S}(e_i, e_i) \quad (3.9)$$

$$= 2nc + (\text{tr}A)a_i - a_i^2 - \alpha a_i + ka_i + (a_i + k)g(A\phi e_i, \phi e_i) = \lambda,$$

$$\hat{S}(e_i, e_j) = (a_i + k)g(A\phi e_i, \phi e_j) = 0 \quad (i \neq j). \quad (3.10)$$

In the following, we suppose that  $M$  is not a Hopf hypersurface. Then there is a point  $x$  and hence an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $x$  where  $A\xi \neq \alpha\xi$  on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then  $h_i \neq 0$  for some  $i$ .



If  $a_i = -k$  for all  $i$  at some  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ , then (3.9) and  $\text{tr}A = -(2n - 2)k + \alpha$  imply that

$$2nc + (2n - 4)k^2 = \lambda.$$

By (3.8),

$$(\text{tr}A - \alpha + 2k)h_i + g(\phi A\xi, A\phi e_i) = 0.$$

Since  $g(\phi A\xi, A\phi e_i) = -kh_i$ ,  $\text{tr}A - \alpha = -(2n - 2)k$ , we have

$$(2n - 3)kh_i = 0.$$

for all  $i$ . Thus we have  $k = 0$ . This contradicts to our assumption. Therefore,  $a_i \neq -k$  for some  $i$ . From (3.10), if  $a_i \neq -k$ , then  $g(A\phi e_i, \phi e_j) = 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ . Thus we set

$$A\phi e_i = \bar{a}_i\phi e_i + \bar{h}_i\xi,$$

where we have put  $\bar{a}_i = g(A\phi e_i, \phi e_i)$  and  $\bar{h}_i = g(A\phi e_i, \xi)$ . We also have

$$\hat{S}(\phi e_i, \phi e_i) = 2nc + (\text{tr}A)\bar{a}_i - \bar{a}_i^2 - \alpha\bar{a}_i + k\bar{a}_i + (\bar{a}_i + k)a_i = \lambda. \quad (3.11)$$

Using (3.9) and (3.11), we obtain

$$(a_i - \bar{a}_i)(\text{tr}A - \alpha - a_i - \bar{a}_i) = 0.$$

When  $a_i = \bar{a}_i$ , (3.9) implies

$$2nc - \lambda = a_i(\alpha - 2k - \text{tr}A).$$

Otherwise, if  $a_i \neq \bar{a}_i$ , then  $\text{tr}A - \alpha = a_i + \bar{a}_i$ . Using (3.9), we obtain

$$2a_i^2 - 2(\text{tr}A - \alpha)a_i - k(\text{tr}A - \alpha) - 2nc + \lambda = 0,$$

from which

$$(a_i - a_j)(\text{tr}A - \alpha - a_i - a_j) = 0$$

for  $a_j$  that satisfies  $a_j \neq k$  and  $a_j \neq \bar{a}_j$ . If  $a_i \neq a_j$ , then  $\text{tr}A - \alpha = a_i + a_j = a_i + \bar{a}_i$ . Hence we have  $a_j = \bar{a}_i$ . We put  $b = a_i$  and  $\bar{b} = \bar{a}_i$ . They satisfy

$$b + \bar{b} = \text{tr}A - \alpha, \quad (3.12)$$

$$b\bar{b} = -\frac{k}{2}(\text{tr}A - \alpha) - nc + \frac{\lambda}{2}. \quad (3.13)$$

We remark that  $b \neq -k$  or  $\bar{b} \neq -k$ .

From these, in  $\mathcal{N}$ , we have

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{cccccccc|c} b & & & & & & & & h_1 \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & & \\ & & b & & & & & & & \\ & & & \bar{b} & & & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & & & & \bar{b} & & & & \\ & & & & & & d & & & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & & & & d & \\ & & & & & & & & & -k \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & & & & -k & h_{2n-2} \\ \hline h_1 & & & \cdots & & & & & & & h_{2n-2} & \alpha \end{array} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d &= g(Ae_s, e_s) = g(A\phi e_s, \phi e_s) \neq -k, \\ 2nc - \lambda &= d(\alpha - 2k - \text{tr}A). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

In the following, we use integers  $y, z, \dots$  for  $Ae_y = be_y + h_y\xi$ ,  $s \dots$  for  $Ae_s = de_s + h_s\xi$  and  $v \dots$  for  $Ae_v = -ke_v$ . We denote by  $H_1(x)$ ,  $H_2(x)$ ,  $H_3(x)$  and  $H_4(x)$  the subspaces of a tangential space at  $x$  spanned by  $\{e_y\}$ ,  $\{\phi e_y\}$ ,  $\{e_s\}$  and  $\{e_v\}$ , respectively.

We suppose that  $\dim H_3(x) \neq 0$  and  $\dim H_4(x) \neq 0$  at some  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ . Taking  $e_s \in H_3(x)$  and  $e_v \in H_4(x)$ , (3.9) implies

$$\hat{S}(e_v, e_v) = 2nc - k(\operatorname{tr}A) - 2k^2 + \alpha k = \lambda.$$

From this and (3.14), we have

$$(d+k)(\alpha - 2k - \operatorname{tr}A) = 0.$$

Since  $d \neq -k$ , then we have  $\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha = -2k$  and  $2nc - \lambda = 0$ .

Moreover, if  $\dim H_1(x) = \dim H_2(x) \neq 0$ , taking  $e_y \in H_1(x)$ , (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) imply  $a_y = b = -k$  and  $\bar{a}_y = \bar{b} = -k$ . This case cannot be occurred. Hence we have  $\dim H_1(x) = \dim H_2(x) = 0$ . Then, by  $\phi e_s \in H_3(x)$  and  $\phi e_v \in H_4(x)$ , we have  $a_i = \bar{a}_i$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, 2n-2\}$ . Thus, by (3.8) and  $\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha = -2k$ ,

$$(-k - a_i)h_i - g(\phi A \phi A \xi, e_i) = -kh_i = 0$$

for all  $i$ . This implies  $k = 0$ . This contradicts to our assumption.

So, we see that  $\dim H_3(x) = 0$  or  $\dim H_4(x) = 0$  at any point  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ , that is,

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{cccccc|c} b & & & & & & h_1 \\ & \ddots & & & & & \vdots \\ & & b & & & & \\ & & & \bar{b} & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & \bar{b} & \\ & & & & & & f \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & f \\ \hline h_1 & & \cdots & & & h_{2n-2} & \alpha \end{array} \right),$$

When  $\dim H_4 = 0$ ,  $f$  denotes  $a_s = d$ . We remark that  $f = d$  satisfies (3.14). Otherwise, when  $\dim H_3 = 0$ ,  $f$  denotes  $a_v = -k$ . In this case, we see that  $\bar{a}_v = -k$  by the definition of  $b$  and  $\bar{b}$ . Thus, using (3.9),  $f = -k$  also satisfies

$$2nc - \lambda = -k(\alpha - 2k - \operatorname{tr}A).$$

Hence,  $f = \bar{f}$  and  $f$  satisfies

$$2nc - \lambda = f(\alpha - 2k - \text{tr}A) \quad (3.15)$$

in both cases.

In the following, we use integers  $s \cdots$  for  $Ae_s = fe_s + h_s\xi$  and redefine  $H_3(x)$  as the subspaces of a tangential space at  $x$  spanned by  $\{e_s\}$ .

By a direct computation using (3.8),

$$(\text{tr}A - \alpha + k - b + \bar{b})h_y = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

$$(\text{tr}A - \alpha + k + b - \bar{b})\bar{h}_y = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

$$(\text{tr}A - \alpha + k)h_s = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *We have  $h_s = 0$  for all  $e_s \in H_3$ .*

PROOF. If there exists  $e_s \in H_3$  that satisfies  $h_s \neq 0$  at some  $x$ , and hence on some neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N}$ , then

$$\text{tr}A - \alpha + k = 0.$$

From (3.16) and (3.17), we have

$$(-b + \bar{b})h_y = 0, \quad (b - \bar{b})\bar{h}_y = 0.$$

Since  $b \neq \bar{b}$ , we have  $h_y = 0$  and  $\bar{h}_y = 0$  for all  $y$ . The direct computation shows that

$$|tE - A| = (t - b)^p(t - \bar{b})^p(t - f)^{q-1}\{(t - f)(t - \alpha) - \sum_{s=1}^q h_s^2\},$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are the multiplicities of  $b$  and  $f$ , respectively. We remark that  $2p + q = 2n - 2$ .

Suppose  $Ae' = fe'$  is satisfied by  $e' = X + \beta\xi$ , where  $X \in H_3$ . Since  $AX = fX + h\xi$  for some  $h$ , we obtain

$$Ae' = fX + h\xi + \beta\left(\sum h_s e_s + \alpha\xi\right).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$Ae' = f(X + \beta\xi) = fX + f\beta\xi.$$

From these equations, we obtain

$$\beta \sum h_s e_s + (h + \alpha\beta - f\beta)\xi = 0.$$

Since  $h_s \neq 0$  for some  $e_s$ , we have  $\beta = 0$ , that is,  $g(e', \xi) = 0$ . Thus, in  $\mathcal{N}'$ , we can represent the shape operator  $A$  by a following matrix with respect to a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_p, \phi e_1, \dots, \phi e_p, e_{2p+1}, \dots, e_{2n-2}, \xi\}$ :

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{cccccc|c} b & & & & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & & & \vdots \\ & & b & & & & \\ & & & \bar{b} & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & \bar{b} & \\ & & & & & & f \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & f \\ \hline 0 & & \dots & & 0 & h_{2n-2} & \alpha \end{array} \right).$$

From (3.15) and (3.18) we obtain

$$2nc - \lambda = -fk, \quad \text{tr}A - \alpha = -k.$$

We now suppose that there is a point  $x$  in  $\mathcal{N}'$  where  $p \neq 0$ . Then (3.12) implies

$$-(p-1)k + qf = 0.$$

By (3.13), we also have

$$b\bar{b} = \frac{1}{2}(k^2 + fk).$$

Using  $b + \bar{b} = \text{tr}A - \alpha = -k$ , we see

$$\left(b + \frac{k}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(k + 2f)k = 0.$$

Since  $(p-1)k = qf$ , we see  $fk \geq 0$ . This implies that  $k + 2f = 0$  and hence  $(2p-2+q)k = 0$ . Thus we have  $k = 0$ . This contradicts to our assumption.

Let us suppose that  $p = 0$  on  $\mathcal{N}'$  of  $x$ . Then  $\text{tr}A - \alpha = (2n-2)f = -k$  shows that  $f$  is non-zero constant on  $\mathcal{N}'$  of  $x$ . By (3.5), we see that  $h_{2n-2}f = 0$ . This is also a contradiction. This proves our lemma.  $\square$

If there exist  $e_y \in H_1$  and  $\phi e_z \in H_2$  that satisfy  $h_y \neq 0$  and  $\bar{h}_z \neq 0$ , (3.16) and (3.17) implies  $b = \bar{b}$ . This case cannot be occurred. So it is sufficient to consider the case that  $\bar{h}_y = 0$  for any  $\phi e_y \in H_2$ . Using (3.12) and (3.16), we have

$$b = \text{tr}A - \alpha + \frac{k}{2}, \quad \bar{b} = -\frac{k}{2}. \quad (3.19)$$

By the similar calculation as Lemma 3.3, in  $\mathcal{N}$ , we can represent the shape operator  $A$  by a following matrix with respect to an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_p, \phi e_1, \dots, \phi e_p, e_{2p+1}, \dots, e_{2n-2}, \xi\}$ :

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{cccccccc|c} b & & & & & & & & h_1 \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & 0 \\ & & b & & & & & & \\ & & & \bar{b} & & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & & \bar{b} & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & f & & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & f & 0 \\ \hline h_1 & 0 & & & \dots & & & & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right).$$

Then we have

$$\text{tr}A = p(b + \bar{b}) + qf + \alpha.$$

Using (3.12),

$$(p-1)(b + \bar{b}) + qf = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

First, we suppose that  $\text{tr}A - \alpha = b + \bar{b} \neq 0$  at a point  $x$  and hence an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}'' \subset \mathcal{N}$  of  $x$ . Then (3.20) implies that  $q \neq 0$

on  $\mathcal{N}''$ . Because, if  $q = 0$  at some point  $x \in \mathcal{N}''$ , then  $p - 1 = 0$  and hence  $n = 2$ . This contradicts to  $n \geq 3$ . From (3.13) and (3.19), we have

$$-\frac{k^2}{4} = -nc + \frac{\lambda}{2}, \quad (3.21)$$

from which we see that  $-nc + (\lambda/2) \neq 0$  and  $\lambda$  is constant on  $\mathcal{N}''$ . Thus, by (3.15) and (3.20), we obtain  $f \neq 0$  and  $p \neq 1$ . So we have  $p \geq 2$ . Using (3.15) and (3.19),

$$2nc - \lambda = f(\alpha - 2k - \text{tr}A) = f\left(-b - \frac{3}{2}k\right). \quad (3.22)$$

From (3.19), (3.20), (3.22) and  $2p + q = 2n - 2$ , we obtain

$$b^2 + kb - \frac{3}{4}k^2 - \frac{(2nc - \lambda)(2n - 2p - 2)}{p - 1} = 0.$$

Since  $b$  is continuous and  $p$  is positive integer, we see that  $b$  is constant. So (3.22) implies that  $f$  is also constant on  $\mathcal{N}''$ .

We put  $AU = bU + h_1\xi$  and  $AZ = fZ$ . By the equation of Codazzi, computing  $g((\nabla_Z A)U - (\nabla_U A)Z, \phi Z)$ , we have

$$(b - f)g(\nabla_Z U, \phi Z) + fh_1 = 0$$

on  $\mathcal{N}''$ . Similarly, computing  $g((\nabla_Z A)\phi U - (\nabla_{\phi U} A)Z, Z)$ ,

$$(\bar{b} - f)g(\nabla_Z \phi U, Z) = 0.$$

If  $\bar{b} = f$ , then (3.21) and (3.22) imply that  $b = \bar{b} = -k/2$ . This case cannot be occurred. So we have  $g(\nabla_Z \phi U, Z) = 0$ . On the other hand, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_Z U, \phi Z) &= -g(U, (\nabla_Z \phi)Z) - g(U, \phi \nabla_Z Z) \\ &= g(\phi U, \nabla_Z Z) = -g(\nabla_Z \phi U, Z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From these we have  $fh_1 = 0$ . This contradicts to  $f \neq 0$ .

Finally, we consider the case  $\text{tr}A - \alpha = b + \bar{b} = 0$  on  $\mathcal{N}''$ . Then (3.20) implies that  $qf = 0$ . If  $f = 0$ , then (3.15) gives  $2nc - \lambda = 0$  and hence, by (3.13), we see

$$b\bar{b} = -\frac{k^2}{4} = 0,$$

which contradicts to  $k \neq 0$ . So we have  $q = 0$  on  $\mathcal{N}''$ .

From (3.13), (3.19) and (3.20),

$$b = -\bar{b} = \frac{k}{2}, \quad b\bar{b} = -nc + \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

We can choose an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n, \dots, e_{2n-2}, \xi\}$  on  $M$  which satisfies  $Ae_1 = be_1 + h_1\xi$ ,  $Ae_y = be_y$  for  $y = 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $A\phi e_y = \bar{b}\phi e_y$  for  $y = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then, in  $\mathcal{N}''$ , the shape operator  $A$  is represented by the following

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|c} b & & & & h_1 \\ & \ddots & & & 0 \\ & & b & & \vdots \\ & & & \bar{b} & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \bar{b} & 0 \\ \hline h_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right).$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we have

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\phi e_y \in H_2$  be perpendicular to  $\phi e_1$ . Then,*

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = \frac{h_1}{2} \phi e_1, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1 = \frac{2c + 2nc - \lambda}{h_1} e_y. \quad (3.24)$$

PROOF. Using (3.5), we have  $g(\nabla_{e_1} \phi e_y, e_1) = -g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_y) = 0$ . On the other hand, putting  $e_i = \phi e_1$  in (3.5),

$$h_1(2\bar{b} + b)g(\phi^2 e_1, e_1) + (b - \bar{b})g(\nabla_{e_1} \phi e_1, e_1) = 0,$$

from which we obtain

$$g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_1) = \frac{h_1}{2}.$$

By (3.6), we see that  $g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, e_y) = 0$  for any  $e_y \in H_1$ . Since  $g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, \xi) = -g(e_1, \phi A e_1) = 0$ , we have (3.23).



Next, putting  $e_i = \phi e_y$  and  $e_j = \phi e_z$  in (3.1), we have  $g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_z) = 0$  for any  $\phi e_y, \phi e_z \in H_2, y \neq z$ . Moreover, we have  $g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) = 0$  by (3.4). On the other hand, using (3.2), we see that

$$g(\nabla_{e_z} \phi e_y, e_1) = 0 \quad (3.25)$$

for any  $e_z \in H_1$ . Thus, putting  $e_i = e_z$  and  $e_j = \phi e_y$  in (3.3), direct calculation shows that

$$g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, e_z) = \frac{2c + 2nc - \lambda}{h_1} g(\phi e_z, \phi e_y).$$

Since  $g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \xi) = 0$  and  $g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, e_1) = 0$ , we have (3.24).  $\square$

Using this lemma, we compute the sectional curvature spanned by  $e_1$  and  $\phi e_y \perp \phi e_1$ . From (3.23), we have

$$g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} \nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_y) = -\frac{h_1}{2} g(\phi e_1, \nabla_{\phi e_y} \phi e_y).$$

Since  $g(\phi e_1, \phi e_y) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\phi e_1, \nabla_{\phi e_y} \phi e_y) &= -g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} \phi e_1, \phi e_y) = -g(\phi \nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) \\ &= -g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, e_y) = \frac{-2c - 2nc + \lambda}{h_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} \nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_y) = c + nc - \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

On the other hand, by (3.24),

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) &= \nabla_{e_1} g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) - g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \nabla_{e_1} \phi e_y) \\ &= \frac{-2c - 2nc + \lambda}{h_1} g(e_y, \nabla_{e_1} \phi e_y). \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $e_i = \phi e_y$  and  $e_j = e_y$  in (3.1), we have  $g(\nabla_{e_1} \phi e_y, e_y) = -h_1/2$ . From these equations, we obtain

$$g(\nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) = c + nc - \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

Next, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(\nabla_{[\phi e_y, e_1]} e_1, \phi e_y) \\
&= g(\nabla_{\xi} e_1, \phi e_y) g(\xi, [\phi e_y, e_1]) + g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_y) g(e_1, [\phi e_y, e_1]) \\
&\quad + \sum_{z \geq 2} g(\nabla_{e_z} e_1, \phi e_y) g(e_z, [\phi e_y, e_1]) + \sum_{z \geq 1} g(\nabla_{\phi e_z} e_1, \phi e_y) g(\phi e_z, [\phi e_y, e_1]) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we note that we have  $g(\nabla_{\phi e_z} \phi e_y, e_1) = 0$  for  $z \neq y$  from (3.1) and  $g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} \phi e_y, e_1) = 0$  from (3.4).

From these equations, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(R(\phi e_y, e_1) e_1, \phi e_y) \\
&= g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} \nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_y) - g(\nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) - g(\nabla_{[\phi e_y, e_1]} e_1, \phi e_y) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the equation of Gauss implies that

$$g(R(\phi e_y, e_1) e_1, \phi e_y) = c + b\bar{b} = c - nc + \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

So we have  $nc - \lambda/2 = c$ . Since  $b\bar{b} = -c$  and  $b = -\bar{b} = k/2$ , we see that  $c > 0$ ,  $b^2 = c$  and  $k^2 = 4c$ . This contradicts to our assumption  $k^2 \neq 4c$ .

From these considerations we see that  $M$  has no point  $x$  where  $A\xi \neq \alpha\xi$ , and hence  $M$  is a Hopf hypersurface. This proves our theorem.  $\square$

Using Theorem 3.2 and Theorem B-C, we have our main result.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in a complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . We suppose that the Ricci tensor  $\hat{S}$  of the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection  $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$  satisfies  $\hat{S}(X, \phi Y) = \lambda g(X, \phi Y)$  for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $\lambda$  being a function.*

(1) *If  $M$  is a real hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and  $k^2 \neq 4$ , then  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the following:*

- (a) *a geodesic hypersphere with  $k^2 \geq (2n - 2)(2n - \lambda)$ ,*
- (b) *a tube over a totally geodesic  $\mathbb{C}P^l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq n - 2$ ) with  $\lambda = 2n$ .*

(2) If  $M$  is a real hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}H^n$ , then  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the following:

- (a) a geodesic hypersphere with  $k^2 \geq (-2n - 2)(2n - \lambda)$ ,
- (b) a tube over a complex hyperbolic hyperplane with  $k^2 \geq (-2n - 2)(2n - \lambda)$ ,
- (c) a horosphere with  $\lambda = 2k - 2$ ,
- (d) a tube over a totally geodesic  $\mathbb{C}H^l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq n - 2$ ) with  $\lambda = -2n$ .

PROOF. From Theorem 3.2,  $M$  is a Hopf hypersurface of  $M^n(c)$ . Then Proposition A shows

$$(2\beta - \alpha)A\phi X = (\beta\alpha + 2c)\phi X,$$

where  $AX = \beta X$ ,  $g(X, \xi) = 0$  and  $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$ . We notice that  $\alpha$  is constant. If  $2\beta - \alpha = 0$ , then  $\beta\alpha + 2c = 0$ , and hence  $\alpha^2 + 4c = 0$ . Thus we have  $c < 0$  and  $M$  has two distinct constant principal curvatures  $\alpha$  and  $b$  with multiplicities 1 and  $2n - 2$  respectively. Moreover  $b$  is constant and  $M$  is a horosphere of principal curvatures 2 and 1 with multiplicities 1 and  $2n - 2$ , respectively (see Berndt [1]). By (3.9) and  $c = -1$ , we have  $\lambda = 2k - 2$ .

In the following, we assume that  $2\beta - \alpha \neq 0$ . Then

$$A\phi X = \frac{\beta\alpha + 2c}{2\beta - \alpha}\phi X.$$

We put  $\bar{\beta} = (\beta\alpha + 2c)/(2\beta - \alpha)$ . Then, by the assumption on  $\hat{S}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= 2nc + (\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha + k)\beta - \beta^2 + \beta\bar{\beta} + k\bar{\beta}, \\ \lambda &= 2nc + (\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha + k)\bar{\beta} - \bar{\beta}^2 + \bar{\beta}\beta + k\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

These imply

$$0 = (\beta - \bar{\beta})(\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha - \beta - \bar{\beta}).$$

Suppose  $\beta \neq \bar{\beta}$ . Then  $\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha - \beta - \bar{\beta} = 0$ . Substituting  $\bar{\beta} = \operatorname{tr}A - \alpha - \beta$  into the equation above, we obtain

$$2\beta^2 - 2(\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha)\beta - k(\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha) - 2nc + \lambda = 0. \quad (3.27)$$

Therefore,  $\beta$  satisfies the quadratic equation

$$2t^2 - 2(\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha)t - k(\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha) - 2nc + \lambda = 0.$$

From this we see that at most two distinct  $\beta$  satisfies the above equation. But  $\bar{\beta}$  also satisfies the above quadratic equation, and  $M$  has two principal curvatures  $b$  and  $\bar{b}$  with multiplicities  $p$  and  $p$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq n-1$ , that satisfies  $b \neq \bar{b}$ .

We next suppose that  $\beta = \bar{\beta}$ . Then  $\beta^2 - \alpha\beta - c = 0$ . Therefore,  $M$  has at most two non-zero distinct constant principal curvatures  $d$  and  $f$  such that  $d = \bar{d}$ ,  $f = \bar{f}$  with multiplicities  $q$  and  $r$ , respectively, where  $2p + q + r = 2n - 2$ . On the other hand, from (3.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2nc - \lambda + (\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha + 2k)d &= 0, \\ 2nc - \lambda + (\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha + 2k)f &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

If  $M$  has 5 distinct principal curvatures  $b \neq \bar{b}$ ,  $d$ ,  $f$  and  $\alpha$ , then the above equations show that  $\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha + 2k = 0$  and  $2nc - \lambda = 0$  since  $d \neq f$ . Moreover, from (3.27), we have  $2b^2 + 4kb + 2k^2 = 2(b+k)^2 = 0$  and  $(\bar{b} + k)^2 = 0$ . Hence we obtain  $b = \bar{b} = -k$ . This contradicts to the assumption  $b \neq \bar{b}$ .

We now suppose that  $M$  has 4 distinct principal curvatures  $b \neq \bar{b}$ ,  $d$ ,  $\alpha$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha = b + \bar{b} = p(b + \bar{b}) + qd.$$

From this and  $2p + q = 2n - 2$ ,

$$(p-1)(b + \bar{b}) + (2n - 2p - 2)d = 0.$$

We notice that  $b$  and  $\bar{b}$  is continuous. Since  $p$  is positive integer and  $d$  is non-zero constant, we see that  $p \neq 1$  and  $b + \bar{b}$  is constant. Moreover,  $\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha$  is constant. So (3.28) shows that  $\lambda$  is constant. Hence, from (3.27),  $b$  and  $\bar{b}$  are also constant. But there is no Hopf hypersurface with constant four principal curvatures.

If  $M$  has two constant principal curvatures  $d$  and  $\alpha$ , then  $\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha = (2n - 2)d$ . From (3.26),

$$(2n - 2)d^2 + 2kd + 2nc - \lambda = 0.$$

This gives a root when

$$k^2 - (2n - 2)(2nc - \lambda) \geq 0.$$

Next, if  $M$  has three distinct principal curvatures  $b$ ,  $\bar{b}$  and  $\alpha$ , then

$$\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha = b + \bar{b} = (n - 1)(b + \bar{b}).$$

Hence we have  $b + \bar{b} = \operatorname{tr}A - \alpha = 0$ . On the other hand,  $b$  and  $\bar{b}$  satisfy

$$b + \bar{b} = \frac{2b^2 + 2c}{2b - \alpha} = 0.$$

Thus we have  $c < 0$ . But the condition  $c < 0$  implies that the principal curvatures  $b$  and  $\bar{b}$  are positive. This contradicts to  $b + \bar{b} = 0$ .

Finally we consider the case that  $M$  has three constant principal curvatures  $d, f, \alpha$ , where  $d = \bar{d}, f = \bar{f}$ . Since  $d \neq f$ , we have

$$\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha = -2k, \quad 2nc - \lambda = 0.$$

From these considerations and Theorems B, C we have our assertion.  $\square$

## References

1. J. Berndt, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 395 (1989), 132-141.
2. T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 269 (1982), 481-499.
3. J. T. Cho, CR structures on real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 54 (1999), 473-487.
4. J. T. Cho, Levi-parallel hypersurfaces in a complex space form, *Tsukuba J. Math.* 30 (2006), 329-344.
5. J. T. Cho, Pseudo-Einstein CR-structures on real hypersurfaces in a complex space form, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 37 (2008), 1-17.
6. J. T. Cho and M. Kimura, Pseudo-holomorphic sectional curvatures of real hypersurfaces in a complex space form, *Kyushu J. Math.* 62 (2008), 75-87.
7. M. Kimura, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 296 (1986), 137-149.

8. Y. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 28 (1976), 529-540.
9. M. Ortega, Classifications of real hypersurfaces in complex space forms by means of curvature conditions, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* 9 (2002), 351-360.
10. P. J. Ryan, Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hypersurfaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* 21 (1969), 363-388.
11. R. Takagi, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 27 (1975), 43-53.
12. N. Tanaka, On non-degenerate real hypersurfaces, graded Lie algebras and Cartan connections, *Japan. J. Math.* 2 (1976), 131-190.
13. S. Tanno, Variational problems on contact Riemannian manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 314 (1989), 349-379.
14. S. M. Webster, Pseudohermitian structures on a real hypersurface, *J. Differential Geom.* 13 (1978), 25-41.

FACULTY OF EDUCATION  
SHINSHU UNIVERSITY  
6-RO, NISHINAGANO, NAGANO CITY 380-8544, JAPAN.

*E-mail:* mayuko\_k@shinshu-u.ac.jp