

Explicit Isomorphism between $SU(4)$ and $Spin(6)$

By ICHIRO YOKOTA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,

Shinshu University

(Received March 27, 1979)

It is well known that the special unitary group $SU(4)$ and the spinor group $Spin(6)$ are isomorphic. To prove this it is usually used that their Lie algebras are isomorphic. In this paper, we shall prove it by giving a homomorphism $p : SU(4) \rightarrow SO(6)$ explicitly.

1. Preliminaries.

(1) Let \mathbf{C} and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{C} \oplus j\mathbf{C}$ be the complex and the quaternion fields respectively. \mathbf{H} is isomorphic to the space $\mathfrak{H} = \{x \in M(2, \mathbf{C}) \mid xj = j\bar{x}\}$, where $j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, as algebra, by the correspondence $k : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$,

$$k(a + jb) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -\bar{b} \\ b & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b \in \mathbf{C},$$

and k has the following properties:

$$k(\bar{x}) = x^*, \quad \frac{1}{2}(xy^* + yx^*) = (x, y)E, \quad xx^* = x^*x = |x|^2E$$

where $x = k(x)$, $y = k(y)$ and E is the unit matrix. This mapping k is naturally extended to the spaces of matrices:

$$k : M(2, \mathbf{H}) \rightarrow M(4, \mathbf{C}), \quad k \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k(x_{11}) & k(x_{12}) \\ k(x_{21}) & k(x_{22}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) Let $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})$ be the vector space of all 2×2 quaternion Hermitian matrices:

$$\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H}) = \{X \in M(2, \mathbf{H}) \mid X^* = X\}.$$

In $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})$, we define the inner product (X, Y) by

$$(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(XY + YX).$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})^{\mathbf{C}} = \{X = X_1 + iX_2 \mid X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})\}$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})$.

In $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})^{\mathcal{C}}$ we define the Hermitian inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle$ by

$$\langle X_1 + iX_2, Y_1 + iY_2 \rangle = \langle X_1, Y_1 \rangle + \langle X_2, Y_2 \rangle + i(\langle X_1, Y_2 \rangle - \langle X_2, Y_1 \rangle).$$

Furthermore let $\mathfrak{S}(4, \mathbf{C})$ be the vector space of all 4×4 complex skew-symmetric matrices:

$$\mathfrak{S}(4, \mathbf{C}) = \{P \in M(4, \mathbf{C}) \mid {}^t P = -P\}.$$

In $\mathfrak{S}(4, \mathbf{C})$ we define the Hermitian inner product $\langle P, Q \rangle$ by

$$\langle P, Q \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(P\bar{Q} + Q\bar{P}).$$

Then the space $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})^{\mathcal{C}}$ is isomorphic to the space $\mathfrak{S}(4, \mathbf{C})$ by the correspondence $h : \mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(4, \mathbf{C})$,

$$h(X_1 + iX_2) = (k(X_1) + ik(X_2))J, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & j \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3) Let \mathfrak{c}_2 be the Lie algebra of all 2×2 quaternion skew-Hermitian matrices:

$$\mathfrak{c}_2 = \{D \in M(2, \mathbf{H}) \mid D^* = -D\}$$

and \mathfrak{a}_3 the Lie algebra of all 4×4 complex skew-Hermitian matrices with zero trace:

$$\mathfrak{a}_3 = \{S \in M(4, \mathbf{C}) \mid S^* = -S, \operatorname{tr}(S) = 0\}.$$

Any element S of \mathfrak{a}_3 can be represented by the form

$$\begin{aligned} S &= k(D) + ik(T), \quad D \in \mathfrak{c}_2, T \in \mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H}), \operatorname{tr}(T) = 0 \\ &= k(D) + ik(F(a)) + itk(E_1 - E_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $F(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ \bar{a} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $a \in \mathbf{H}$, $E_1 - E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$ (\mathbf{R} is the field of real numbers).

In fact, for $S \in \mathfrak{a}_3$, put $D_1 = \frac{1}{2}(S - \bar{J}S)$ and $T_1 = -\frac{i}{2}(S + \bar{J}S)$, then we have $S = D_1 + iT_1$, $D_1^* = -D_1$, $D_1 J = J \bar{D}_1$ and $T_1^* = T_1$, $T_1 J = \bar{J} T_1$, $\operatorname{tr}(T_1) = 0$. So $D = k^{-1}(D_1)$ and $T = k^{-1}(T_1)$ satisfy the required conditions.

2. Low dimensional spinor groups.

We define the low dimensional symplectic groups, the special unitary group and the orthogonal groups by

$$Sp(1) = \{a \in \mathbf{H} \mid |a| = 1\},$$

$$Sp(2) = \{A \in M(2, \mathbf{H}) \mid A^* A = E\},$$

$$SU(4) = \{A \in M(4, \mathbf{C}) \mid A^* A = E, \det A = 1\},$$

$$SO(3) = SO(\mathbf{H}_0) = \{\alpha \in \operatorname{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{H}_0, \mathbf{H}_0) \mid (\alpha x, \alpha y) = (x, y), \det \alpha = 1\}$$

where $\mathbf{H}_0 = \{x \in \mathbf{H} \mid \bar{x} = -x\}$,

$$SO(4) = SO(\mathbf{H}) = \{\alpha \in \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}) \mid (\alpha x, \alpha y) = (x, y), \det \alpha = 1\},$$

$$SO(5) = SO(\mathfrak{S}_0) = \{\alpha \in \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathfrak{S}_0, \mathfrak{S}_0) \mid (\alpha X, \alpha Y) = (X, Y), \det \alpha = 1\}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_0 = \mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})_0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H}) \mid \text{tr}(X) = 0\}$ and

$$SO(6) = SO(V) = \{\alpha \in \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(V, V) \mid \langle \alpha X, \alpha Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle, \det \alpha = 1\}$$

where $V = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \xi & x \\ \bar{x} & -\bar{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \mid \xi \in \mathbf{C}, x \in \mathbf{H} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})^{\mathbf{C}}$.

We note that the restriction of the mapping h of the section 1 on V is an isometry:

$$\langle h(X), h(Y) \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle, \quad X, Y \in V$$

and the group $Sp(2)$ acts on the space $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})$ by $\mu : Sp(2) \times \mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})$, $\mu(A, X) = AXA^*$ and it holds that

$$(AXA^*, AYA^*) = (X, Y), \quad \text{tr}(AXA^*) = \text{tr}(X).$$

On the other hand, the group $SU(4)$ acts on the space $\mathfrak{S}(4, \mathbf{C})$ by $\mu : SU(4) \times \mathfrak{S}(4, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(4, \mathbf{C})$, $\mu(A, P) = AP^t A$ and it holds that

$$\langle AP^t A, AQ^t A \rangle = \langle P, Q \rangle.$$

Now we define the following homomorphisms.

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 : Sp(1) &\rightarrow SO(3), & p_1(a)x &= ax\bar{a}, \quad x \in \mathbf{H}_0, \\ p_2 : Sp(1) \times Sp(1) &\rightarrow SO(4), & p_2(a, b)x &= ax\bar{b}, \quad x \in \mathbf{H}, \\ p_3 : Sp(2) &\rightarrow SO(5), & p_3(A)X &= AXA^*, \quad X \in \mathfrak{S}_0, \\ p = p_4 : SU(4) &\rightarrow SO(6), & p(A)X &= h^{-1}(Ah(X)^t A), \quad X \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

Theorem 1. *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Sp(1) & \xrightarrow{k_1} & Sp(1) \times Sp(1) & \xrightarrow{k_2} & Sp(2) & \xrightarrow{k} & SU(4) \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow p_3 & & \downarrow p \\ SO(3) & \xrightarrow{j_1} & SO(4) & \xrightarrow{j_2} & SO(5) & \xrightarrow{j} & SO(6) \end{array}$$

where k_1 is the diagonal mapping and k_2, j_1, j_2, j are natural inclusions. And each mapping p_i is the universal covering homomorphism. In particular, we have the following isomorphisms.

$$\begin{aligned} Sp(1) &\cong Spin(3), & Sp(1) \times Sp(1) &\cong Spin(4), \\ Sp(2) &\cong Spin(5), & SU(4) &\cong Spin(6). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As for the mapping p_1 , p_2 , they are well known (Chap. I [1]). The mapping p_3 is also well known, however we will give a proof that p_3 is onto by using the following

Lemma 2. *Let G, G' be groups, H, H' subgroups of G, G' respectively and $p: G \rightarrow G'$ a homomorphism satisfying $p(H) \subset H'$. If $p' = p|_H: H \rightarrow H'$ and $\bar{p}: G/H \rightarrow G'/H'$ (the induced mapping of p) are both onto, then $p: G \rightarrow G'$ is also onto.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G/H & \longrightarrow & * \\ & & \downarrow p' & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \bar{p} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & H' & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & G'/H' & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

Proof of Lemma 2 is easy (Lemma 1.50 [2]).

Let S^4 be the unit sphere in $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})_0$:

$$S^4 = \{X \in \mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})_0 \mid \langle X, X \rangle = 2\}.$$

By using that any element of $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})$ can be transformed in a diagonal form by the action μ of $Sp(2)$, we see that any element X of S^4 can be transformed to $E_1 - E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ by $Sp(2)$. This shows that the group $Sp(2)$ acts transitively on S^4 . Since the isotropy subgroup of $Sp(2)$ at $E_1 - E_2$ is $k_2(Sp(1) \times Sp(1))$, we have the following homeomorphism

$$Sp(2)/k_2(Sp(1) \times Sp(1)) \simeq S^4.$$

Thus we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & Sp(1) \times Sp(1) & \xrightarrow{k_2} & Sp(2) & \longrightarrow & S^4 & \longrightarrow & * \\ & & \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow p_3 & & \parallel & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & SO(4) & \xrightarrow{j_2} & SO(5) & \longrightarrow & S^4 & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

Therefore, from Lemma 2, we see that p_3 is onto. $\text{Ker } p_3 = \mathbf{Z}_2 = \{E, -E\}$ is easily obtained.

Now, we consider the mapping $p: SU(4) \rightarrow SO(6)$. In order to prove that the mapping p is well-defined, first we have to show that, for $A \in SU(4)$ and $X \in V$, we have

$$p(A)X = h^{-1}(Ah(X)^t A) \in V.$$

Since any element S of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{a}_3 of $SU(4)$ is represented by the form

$$S = k(D) + ik(F(a)) + itk(E_1 - E_2)$$

as §1 (3), the group $SU(4)$ is generated by the elements such as $\exp k(D)$, $\exp ik(F(a))$ and $\exp itk(E_1 - E_2)$. For $A = k(A_1)$ where $A_1 = \exp D \in Sp(2)$, $X \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-1}(Ah(X)^t A) &= h^{-1}(k(A_1)k(X)J^t k(A_1)) = h^{-1}(k(A_1)k(X)k(A_1)^* J) \\ &= h^{-1}(k(A_1 X A_1^*) J) = A_1 X A_1^* \in V. \end{aligned}$$

For $A = \exp ik(F(a))$, $X \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-1}(Ah(X)^t A) &= h^{-1}((\exp ik(F(a)))k(X)J^t(\exp ik(F(a)))) \\ &= h^{-1}((\exp ik(F(a)))k(X)(\exp ik(F(a)))J) \\ &= h^{-1}(k((\exp iF(a))X(\exp iF(a)))J) \\ &= (\exp iF(a))X(\exp iF(a)) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos|a| & i\frac{a}{|a|}\sin|a| \\ i\frac{\bar{a}}{|a|}\sin|a| & \cos|a| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi & x \\ \bar{x} & -\bar{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos|a| & i\frac{a}{|a|}\sin|a| \\ i\frac{\bar{a}}{|a|}\sin|a| & \cos|a| \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \eta & y \\ \bar{y} & -\bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \in V, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \xi \cos^2|a| + \bar{\xi} \sin^2|a| + i\frac{2(a, x)}{|a|}\sin|a|\cos|a|, \\ y &= x - \frac{2(a, x)}{|a|^2}\sin^2|a| + i\frac{(\xi - \bar{\xi})a}{|a|}\sin|a|\cos|a|. \end{aligned}$$

For $A(t) = \exp \frac{it}{2} k(E_1 - E_2)$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & x \\ \bar{x} & -\bar{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \in V$, it is easy to verify that

$$h^{-1}(A(t)h(X)^t A(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{it\xi} & x \\ \bar{x} & -e^{-it\bar{\xi}} \end{pmatrix} \in V.$$

Thus $p(A)X \in V$ is proved. For $A \in SU(4)$, we see that $p(A) \in O(8) = O(V) = \{\alpha \in \text{Iso}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V) \mid \langle \alpha X, \alpha Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle\}$, because

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p(A)X, p(A)Y \rangle &= \langle h(p(A)X), h(p(A)Y) \rangle \\ &= \langle Ah(X)^t A, Ah(Y)^t A \rangle = \langle h(X), h(Y) \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $SU(4)$ is connected, $p(SU(4))$ is contained in the connected component $SO(6)$ of identity E in $O(V)$, i. e. $p(SU(4)) \subset SO(6)$. Thus we see that the mapping p is well-defined.

Let S^5 be the unit sphere in V :

$$S^5 = \{X \in V \mid \langle X, X \rangle = 2\}$$

We shall prove that the group $SU(4)$ acts transitively on S^5 . To prove this, it

is sufficient to show that any element X of S^5 can be transformed to $i(E_1+E_2)=\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$. For a given $X \in S^5$, operate some element $A(t_0)=\exp\frac{it}{2}(E_1-E_2)$, then we have

$$p(A(t_0))X \in S^4.$$

Since $Sp(2)$ acts transitively on S^4 , there exists $A \in Sp(2)$ such that

$$p(k(A)A(t_0))X = E_1 - E_2,$$

and then operate $A\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=\exp\frac{i\pi}{4}(E_1-E_2)$ on it, then we have

$$p\left(A\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)k(A)A(t_0)\right)X = i(E_1+E_2).$$

This implies the transitivity of $SU(4)$. Since the isotropy subgroup of $SU(4)$ at $i(E_1+E_2)$ is $k(Sp(2))$, we have the following homeomorphism

$$SU(4)/k(Sp(2)) \simeq S^5.$$

Thus we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & Sp(2) & \xrightarrow{k} & SU(4) & \longrightarrow & S^5 \longrightarrow * \\ & & \downarrow p_3 & & \downarrow p & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & SO(5) & \xrightarrow{j} & SO(6) & \longrightarrow & S^5 \longrightarrow * \end{array}$$

Therefore, from Lemma 2, we see that p is onto. $\text{Ker } p = \{E, -E\}$ is easily obtained. Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

References

- [1] C. CHEVALLY ; *Theory of Lie Groups I*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1946.
- [2] I. YOKOTA ; *Groups and Representations* (in Japanese), Shokabo, 1973.