2	Mutation Research Short communication
3	
4	Characterization of mutations induced by
5	N-methyl-N´-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine in an
6	industrial Corynebacterium glutamicum strain
7	
8	Junko Ohnishi <sup>a,b</sup> , Hiroshi Mizoguchi <sup>a</sup> , Seiki Takeno <sup>b</sup> , Masato Ikeda <sup>a,b,*</sup>
9	
10	<sup>a</sup> Bio-frontier Laboratories, Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd., Machida, Tokyo 194-8533
11	<sup>b</sup> Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Shinshu
12	University, Nagano 399-4598, Japan
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	* Corresponding author. Fax: +81 265 77 1629.
21	<i>E-mail address:</i> m_ikeda@shinshu-u.ac.jp (M. Ikeda).

#### 1 Abstract

 $\mathbf{2}$ Mutations induced by classical whole-cell mutagenesis using 3 *N*-methyl-*N*<sup>2</sup>nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (NTG) were determined for all genes of pathways 4 from glucose to L-lysine in an industrial L-lysine producer of Corynebacterium  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 glutamicum. A total of 50 mutations with a genome-wide distribution were identified and characterized for mutational types and mutagenic specificities. Those mutations  $\mathbf{7}$ 8 were all point mutations with single-base substitutions and no deletions, frame shifts, 9 and insertions were found. Among six possible types of base substitutions, the mutations consisted of only two types: 47 G·C A·T transitions and three A·T G·C transitions with 10no transversion. The findings indicate a limited repertoire of amino-acid substitutions by 11 12classical NTG mutagenesis and thus raise a new possibility of further improving industrial strains by optimizing key mutations through PCR-mediated site-directed 1314mutagenesis. 15Keywords: Mutagenic specificity; N-methyl- $N^2$ nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine; Strain 16improvement; Corynebacterium glutamicum 171819201. Introduction 2122Production strains that are used in industrial amino acid fermentation have 23been generally constructed by repeating random mutation and selection [1-3]. In this 24classical approach, N-methyl-N<sup>-</sup>nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (NTG) has been used as the 25popular mutagen to induce mutants that exhibit improved production [1]. Some of these mutants have been shown to be genetically deregulated with respect to relevant 26

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

biosynthetic pathways. However, recent more detailed analysis has revealed that
mutations responsible for deregulation, such as the mutations in *thrA* [4], *dapA* [5], *lysC*[6], and *gnd* [7], resulted in only partial desensitization of the enzymes, despite continual
efforts of strain improvement. This made us realize again that NTG mutagenesis is not
necessarily the best to achieve high desensitization of regulatory enzymes.

6 NTG induces a relatively wide spectrum of mutations by alkylating purines and 7pyrimidines, although the mutagen has its own specificity of the types of base 8 substitutions. Such conception is apparently based on previous studies which focused on 9 certain genes to examine the mutagenic specificity of NTG. Gee et al. used six 10Salmonella typhimurium tester strains which carried different missense mutations in 11 the histidine-biosynthetic operon to determine the specificity of reversion via 12NTG-induced base substitutions [8]. Their conclusion was that the mutagen induced 13preferentially G·C A·T transitions and, to a lesser extent, A·T G·C transitions and A·T C·G transversions. Wang et al. used both *E. coli recA*-positive and *recA*-negative 1415strains to investigate the types of base substitutions in NTG-induced mutations in the 16tonB gene [9]. Also in this work, the mutagenic specificity observed was similar to that mentioned above, while other types of base substitutions such as  $A \cdot T = T \cdot A$  and  $G \cdot C = T \cdot A$ 1718transversions were found in the *recA* background. However, as far as we know, there has 19 been no report examining the mutagenic specificities of NTG on a genome-wide scale in 20classically derived industrial production strains.



1	two cases of the NTG-induced mutations. Although both NTG-induced mutations show
2	the same pattern of base substitution (G·C $\rightarrow$ A·T transition), these are not enough for
3	discussing not only the spectrum of NTG-induced mutations but the mutagenic potential
4	for strain improvement in amino acid-producing organisms, especially in <i>C. glutamicum</i> .
5	Our laboratories have recently determined the whole genome sequence of the
6	wild-type strain of <i>C. glutamicum</i> , ATCC 13032 [19]. Following this, we analyzed
7	mutations introduced at specific locations in the genome of a <i>C. glutamicum</i> L-lysine
8	producer derived through multiple rounds of NTG mutagenesis, followed by
9	reconstruction of the producer by assembling only beneficial mutations in a wild-type
10	background [6,20,21]. In this process, we have identified numerous mutations
11	accumulated in the producer's genome as reported previously [6,7,22,23]. This time, we
12	examined the types of base substitutions of those extensive mutations, which disclosed
13	an extreme bias in the patterns of base substitutions beyond our expectation. Here we
14	describe the results and discuss limited usefulness of classical whole-cell mutagenesis
15	using NTG for strain improvement.
16	
17	2. Materials and methods
18	
19	2.1. Bacterial strains and plasmid
20	The L-lysine producer used for characterization of mutations is <i>C. glutamicum</i>
21	B-6 [24]. This production strain was derived by multiple rounds of NTG mutagenesis
22	from the wild type <i>C. glutamicum</i> ATCC 13032 and has many mutations that lead to
23	resistance to an L-lysine structural analog, S-(2-aminoethyl)-L-cysteine, rifampicin,
24	streptomycin, and 6-azauracil. NTG treatment to induce strain B-6 was carried out by
25	incubating cells at 30°C for 30 min in 50 mM Tris-maleate buffer (pH 6.0) containing 400

1	$\mu$ g/ml of NTG as described previously [25]. <i>E. coli</i> DH5 $\alpha$ was used as a host for cloning of
2	the PCR products. Vector pESB30 [6] was used to clone the PCR products.
3	
4	2.2. Media
5	Complete medium BY [26] was used for cultivation of <i>C. glutamicum</i> . Solid
6	plates were made by the addition of Bacto-Agar (Difco) to 1.6%. When required,
7	kanamycin was added at the final concentration of 20 $\mu$ g/ml. For growth of <i>E. coli</i> , LB
8	medium [27] was used.
9	
10	2.3. Recombinant DNA techniques
11	Standard protocols [27] were used for the construction, purification and analysis
12	of plasmid DNA, and transformation of <i>E. coli</i> . Chromosomal DNA was extracted from
13	protoplasts of <i>C. glutamicum</i> B-6 by the method of Saito and Miura [28]. The protoplasts
14	were prepared by the method of Katsumata et al. [29]. PCR was performed with a DNA
15	Thermal Cycler GeneAmp 9700 (Perkin Elmer, USA), using <i>Taq</i> polymerase (Roche,
16	Germany).
17	
18	2.4. Characterization of mutations
19	The sequences of all genes indicated by the gene symbols in Fig. 1 were
20	determined for L-lysine producer B-6 as described previously [6]. Mutations were
21	identified by comparing the sequences with the corresponding wild-type sequences. The
22	whole-genome sequence of the wild-type strain <i>C. glutamicum</i> ATCC 13032 is available
23	under the accession numbers, <u>BA000036</u> (Kyowa Hakko Kogyo and Kitasato University)
24	and <b><u>BX927147</u></b> (Degussa AG and Bielefeld University).
25	

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

#### 3. Results and Discussion

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3	In <i>C. glutamicum</i> , there are more than 60 genes for the conversion of glucose to			
4	L-lysine (Fig. 1). These include genes for the relevant terminal pathways and transport,			
<b>5</b>	the glycolytic pathway, the pentose phosphate pathway, and TCA cycle. We determined			
6	the sequences of all the genes in L-lysine producer B-6, revealing a total of 50 mutations			
7	with a genome-wide distribution (Fig. 1). Those mutations were all point mutations with			
8	single-base substitutions and no deletions, frame shifts, and insertions were found.			
9	These base-pair mutations consisted of 34 missense mutations causing amino-acid			
10	substitutions, 15 silent mutations causing no amino-acid substitutions, and one			
11	nonsense mutation leading to a change to a stop codon.			
12	Among the 34 missense mutations, four specific mutations, $hom 59$ (a T to C			
13	exchange at position 176, leading to V59A), <i>lysC311</i> (a C to T exchange at position 932,			
14	leading to T311I), pyc458 (a C to T exchange at position 1372, leading to P458S), and			
15	gnd361 (a C to T exchange at position 1083, leading to S361F), were defined as useful			
16	mutations relevant to L-lysine production, as described previously [6, 7, 21]. One			
17	nonsense mutation, <i>mqo224</i> (a G to A exchange at position 672, leading to W224opal),			
18	was also a useful mutation for improved L-lysine production [21, 22]. Some of these			
19	useful mutations were characterized for their phenotypic consequences, which were			
20	given in the legend of Table 2. The other 30 missense mutations and the 15 silent			
21	mutations are assumed to be secondary mutations introduced into the genome			
22	concomitantly with the introduction of the useful mutations.			
23	All these mutations were classified based on the types of base substitutions,			
24	which were summarized in Table 2. Among six possible base substitutions, $94\%$ (47/50)			

25 were G·C A·T transitions and the remainder (3/50) were A·T G·C transitions.

Unexpectedly, any other 4 types of transversions were not found. This means that NTG induced only two types of base substitutions out of the six possible types. To verify this mutational spectrum, we extended our analysis over additional 50 point mutations defined on other metabolic pathways in strain B-6. As the result, we confirmed substantially the same specificity of base substitutions (data not shown), revealing a limited repertoire of base substitutions by NTG mutagenesis in *C. glutamicum*.

7The mutagenic preference to the types of base substitutions was basically in 8 agreement with the previous conception that the mutagen induces preferentially G·C 9 A.T transitions. However, the spectrum of mutations was much narrower than the results reported for certain genes of Gram-negative E. coli [9] and S. typhimurium [8], in 10both of which transversions have also taken place. The mutagenic spectrum was 11 12suggested to be affected by the genetic background used [9], and thus, the extreme bias in the patterns of base substitutions in our study might reflect the differences in DNA 1314replication or DNA repair systems between the Gram-negative bacteria, E. coli and S. typhimurium, and Gram-positive C. glutamicum. 15

16The fact that more than 90% of the NTG-induced mutations were G·C A·T transitions means a limited variation in amino-acid substitutions occurred by the 17mutagen. For instance, in case of the gnd361 mutation (Ser361Phe) which was found to 1819be responsible for diminished allosteric regulation of 6-phophogluconate dehydrogenase 20[7], there were hardly any other choices of amino-acid substitutions, because the 21predominant mutational type of the G·C A·T transition resulted in only the change 22from TCC codon (Ser) to TTC codon (Phe) or to TCT (Ser). Even if it should happen that 23the other rare mutational type of the A·T G·C transition occurs within the same TCC 24codon (Ser), the resulting amino-acid substitution is limited to only the change from TCC codon (Ser) to CCC codon (Pro). Such a limited variation in amino-acid substitutions by 25

NTG is not confined to the *gnd361* mutation but is true of other cases reported as
positive mutations for L-lysine production; e.g. the *lysC311* mutation (Thr311Ile) [6]
with probable changes from ACC codon (Thr) predominantly to ATC codon (Ile) or ACT
codon (Thr), and rarely to GCC codon (Ala); the *mqo244* mutation (Trp224stop) [22] with
probable changes from TGG codon (Trp) predominantly to TAG stop codon or TGA stop
codon, and rarely to CGG (Arg) codon.

 $\overline{7}$ The extreme bias in the patterns of amino-acid substitutions by NTG in *C*. 8 glutamicum raises a question how reliable the mutagen is in order to induce a mutant 9 with the most desirable property. Thus, we should throw doubts on the quality of 10mutated enzymes of classically derived industrial strains. In fact, the gnd361 and 11 *lysC311* mutations mentioned above have been shown to cause only partial deregulation 12of each gene product from allosteric inhibition [6,7], which is now reasonably attributed 13to the use of NTG. In this sense, it is worth attempting to optimize NTG-derived key 14mutations by site-directly changing amino acid residues to other residues which are scarcely obtained by the mutagen. Through this approach, we have actually succeeded in 15higher deregulation of several key enzymes and thereby improved amino acid production 16in C. glutamicum. One such example has already been demonstrated for L-arginine 1718production [30].

19

#### 20 Acknowledgments

21

We thank Dr. A. Ozaki for encouraging support of our work. We appreciate S.
Mitsuhashi, M. Hayashi, K. Tanaka, T. Nakano, T. Abe, S. Hashimoto, S. Koizumi, M.
Yagasaki, and Y. Yonetani for their useful discussions.

# References

	~
- 6	
	/

3	[1] S. Kinoshita, Glutamic Acid Bacteria, in: A.L. Demain, N.A. Solomon (Eds.), Biology			
4	of Industrial Microorganisms, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, I			
5	5 California, 1985, pp. 115-142.			
6	[2] A. L. Demain, Microbial biotechnology, Trends Biotechnol. 18 (2000) 26–31.			
7	[3] M. Ikeda, Amino acid production processes, in: R. Faurie, J. Thommel (Eds.), Adv.			
8	Biochem. Eng. Biotechnol. vol. 79, Microbial production of L-amino acids,			
9	Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003, pp. 1-35.			
10	[4] K. Omori, Y. Imai, S. Suzuki, S. Komatsubara, Nucleotide sequence of the Serratia			
11	marcescens threonine operon and analysis of the threonine operon mutations which			
12	alter feedback inhibition of both aspartokinase I and homoserine dehydrogenase I,			
13	J. Bacterial. 175 (1993) 785-794.			
14	[5] H. Motoyama, H. Yano, T. Terasaki, H. Anazawa, Overproduction of L-lysine from			
15	methanol by <i>Methylobacillus glycogens</i> , Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67 (2001)			
16	3064-3070.			
17	[6] J. Ohnishi, S. Mitsuhashi, M. Hayashi, S. Ando, H. Yokoi, K. Ochiai, M. Ikeda, A			
18	novel methodology employing Corynebacterium glutamicum genome information to			
19	generate a new L-lysine-producing mutant, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 58 (2002)			
20	217-223.			
21	[7] J. Ohnishi, R. Katahira, S. Mitsuhashi, S. Kakita, M. Ikeda, A novel gnd mutation			
22	leading to increased L-lysine production in Corynebacterium glutamicum, FEMS			
23	Microbiol. Lett. 242 (2005) 265–274.			
24	[8] P. Gee, D.M. Maron, B.N. Ames, Detection and classification of mutagens: a set of			
25	base-specific <i>Salmonella</i> tester strains, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91 (1994)			

1	11606-1	1610
1	11000-1	1010

2	[9] X. Wang, K. Kitamura, K. Yamamoto, Mutagenic specificity of
3	N-methyl- $N$ -nitrosoguanidine in the $tonB$ gene on the chromosome of
4	<i>Escherichia coli recA</i> <sup>+</sup> and <i>recA</i> <sup>-</sup> cells, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 227 (1996)
5	334-339.
6	[10] D.M. Herry, L.K. Dunican, Cloning of the <i>trp</i> gene cluster from a
7	tryptophan-hyperproducing strain of Corynebacterium glutamicum: identification
8	of a mutation in the <i>trp</i> leader sequence, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59 (1993)
9	791-799.
10	[11] H. Sekine, T. Shimada, C. Hayashi, A. Ishiguro, F. Tomita, A. Yokota, H <sup>+-</sup> ATPase
11	defect in <i>Corynebacterium glutamicum</i> abolishes glutamic acid production with
12	enhancement of glucose consumption rate, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 57 (2001)
13	534-540.
14	[12] P. Kotrba, M. Inui, H. Yukawa, A single V317A or V317M substitution in enzyme II
15	of a newly identified $\beta$ -glucoside phosphotransferase and utilization system of
16	Corynebacterium glutamicum R extends its specificity towards cellobiose,
17	Microbiology 149 (2003) 1569-1580.
18	[13] H. Liao, L. Lin, H.R. Chien, W. Hsu, Serine 187 is a crucial residue for allosteric
19	regulation of Corynebacterium glutamicum
20	3-deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate-7-phosphate synthase, FEMS Micobiol. Lett. 194
21	(2001) 59-64.
22	[14] G. Thierbach, J. Kalinowski, B. Bachmann, A. Pühler, Cloning of a DNA fragment
23	from Corynebacterium glutamicum conferring aminoethyl cysteine resistance and
24	feedback resistance to aspartokinase, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 32 (1990)
25	443-448.

1	[15] K. Matsui, K. Miwa, K. Sano, Two single-base-pair substitutions causing
2	desensitization to tryptophan feedback inhibition of anthranilate synthase and
3	enhanced expression of tryptophan genes of Brevibacterium lactofermentum, J.
4	Bacteriol. 169 (1987) 5330-5332.
5	[16] R. Netzer, M. Krause, D. Rittmann, P.G. Peters-Wendish, L. Eggeling, V.F. Wendish,
6	H. Sahm, Roles of pyruvate kinase and malic enzyme in Corynebacterium
7	glutamicum for growth on carbon sources requiring gluconeogenesis, Arch.
8	Microbiol. 182 (2004) 354-363.
9	[17] Y. Kikuchi, K. Tsujimoto, O. Kurahashi, Mutational analysis of the feedback sites of
10	phenylalanine-sensitive 3-deoxy-D- <i>arabino</i> -heptulosonate-7-phosphate synthase of
11	Escherichia coli, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63 (1997) 761-762.
12	[18] K. Omori, S. Suzuki, K. Imai, S. Komatsubara, Analysis of the mutant <i>proBA</i> operon
13	from a proline-producing strain of <i>Serratia marcescens</i> , J. Gen. Microbiol. 138
14	(1992) 693-699.
15	[19] M. Ikeda, S. Nakagawa, The Corynebacterium glutamicum genome: features and
16	impacts on biotechnological processes, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 62 (2003)
17	99-109.
18	[20] J. Ohnishi, M. Ikeda, Comparisons of potentials for L-lysine production among
19	different Corynebacterium glutamicum strains, Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 70
20	(2006) 1017-1020.
21	[21] M. Ikeda, J. Ohnishi, M. Hayashi, S. Mitsuhashi, A genome-based approach to
22	create a minimally mutated Corynebacterium glutamicum strain for efficient
23	L-lysine production, J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 33 (2006) 610-615.
24	[22] S. Mitsuhashi, M. Hayashi, J. Ohnishi, M. Ikeda, Disruption of malate:quinone
25	oxidoreductase increases L-lysine production by Corynebacterium glutamicum,

Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 70 (2006) 2803-2806.

2	[23] M. Hayashi, H. Mizoguchi, J. Ohnishi, S. Mitsuhashi, Y. Yonetani, S. Hashimoto, M			
3	Ikeda, A <i>leuC</i> mutation leading to increased L-lysine production and			
4	rel-independent global expression changes in Corynebacterium glutamicum, Appl.			
5	Microbiol. Biotechnol. 72 (2006) 783-789.			
6	[24] T. Hirao, T. Nakano, T. Azuma, M. Sugimoto, T. Nakanishi, L-lysine production in			
7	continuous culture of an L-lysine hyperproducing mutant of Corynebacterium			
8	glutamicum, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 32 (1989) 269-273.			
9	[25] M. Ikeda, R. Katsumata, Transport of aromatic amino acids and its influence on			
10	overproduction of the amino acids in Corynebacterium glutamicum, J. Ferment.			
11	Bioeng. 78 (1994) 420-425.			
12	[26] S. Takeno, J. Ohnishi, T. Komatsu, T. Masaki, K. Sen, M. Ikeda, Anaerobic growth			
13	and potential for amino acid production by nitrate respiration in Corynebacterium			
14	glutamicum, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 75 (2007) 1173-1182.			
15	[27] J. Sambrook, D.W. Russell, Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 3rd Edn. Cold			
16	Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, 2001.			
17	[28] H. Saito, K. Miura, Preparation of transforming deoxyribonucleic acid by phenol			
18	treatment, Biochem. Biophys. Acta 72 (1963) 619-629.			
19	[29] R. Katsumata, A. Ozaki, T. Oka, A. Furuya, Protoplast transformation of			
20	glutamate-producing bacteria with plasmid DNA, J. Bacteriol. 159 (1984) 306-311.			
21	[30] M. Ikeda, T. Nakano, S. Mitsuhashi, M. Hayashi, K. Tanaka, Method of producing			
22	L-arginine, L-ornithine, or L-citrulline, WO 2006/035831 A1, 2006.			

- 1 Fig. 1. *C. glutamicum* genome map of the genes for sequence analysis. All predicted
- 2 genes relevant to L-lysine biosynthesis from glucose were arranged around the genome
- 3 provided by DDBJ (http://gib.genes.nig.ac.jp/single/index.php?spid=Cglu\_ATCC13032).
- 4 The mutated genes identified by comparative genomic analysis between L-lysine
- 5 producer B-6 and its parental wild-type were underlined.

### Table 1

Type of mutation	Mutagen	Strain	Gene(s)	Reference
Transition				
$G \cdot C \rightarrow A \cdot T$	NTG	C. glutamicum ATCC 21850	<i>trp</i> operon	[10]
	NTG	S. marcescens	proB	[18]
	Hydroxylamine	<i>E. coli</i> W3110	aroG	[17]
	Spontaneous	B. flavum ATCC 14067	atp	[11]
	Spontaneous	C. glutamicum R	bglF	[12]
Transversion				
$C \cdot G \rightarrow G \cdot C$	Nitrous acid	C. glutamicum ATCC 21850	aroF, aroG, aroH	[13]
	Spontaneous	B. flavum ATCC 14067	<i>atp</i> operon	[11]
$C{\cdot}G{\rightarrow}A{\cdot}T$	EMS	C. glutamicum ATCC 13032	lysC	[14]
$T{\cdot}A{\rightarrow}G{\cdot}C$	Spontaneous	B. lactofermentum	trpE	[15]
	Spontaneous	C. glutamicum ATCC 13032	<i>malE</i> up	[16]
	Spontaneous	C. glutamicum R	bglF	[12]

Types of base substitutions induced by chemical or spontaneous mutagenesis reported for amino acid-producing bacteria

EMS, ethyl methanesulfonate; *malE* up, upstream region of *malE*.

# Table 2

Types of base substitutions among NTG-induced mutations in C. glutamicum B-6

Type of mutation	Number detect	ed Mutated genes and base changes (amino-acid changes)
Transition		
$G \cdot C \rightarrow A \cdot T$	47	$gnd, \operatorname{AT}\underline{C}(I) \rightarrow \operatorname{AT}\underline{T}(I), \ \underline{T}\underline{C}C(S) \rightarrow \underline{T}\underline{T}C(F)^*, \ \underline{C}CA(P) \rightarrow \underline{T}CA(S); \ tkt, \ \underline{G}CT(A) \rightarrow \underline{A}CT(T)$
		$zwf, \underline{G}CT(A) \rightarrow \underline{A}CT(T); opcA, \underline{T}\underline{C}C(S) \rightarrow \underline{T}\underline{T}C(F); ppc, \underline{G}\underline{C}T(A) \rightarrow \underline{G}\underline{T}T(V)$
		$pyc, \ \underline{C}CG(P) \rightarrow \underline{T}CG(S)^*, \ \underline{AAG}(K) \rightarrow \underline{AAA}(K), \ \underline{TAC}(Y) \rightarrow \underline{TAT}(Y); \ pgk, \ \underline{C}TT(L) \rightarrow \underline{T}TT(F)$
		$gapB$ , $CG\underline{C}(R) \rightarrow CG\underline{T}(R)$ ; $gapA$ , $AT\underline{C}(I) \rightarrow AT\underline{T}(I)$ ; $eno$ , $\underline{G}AG(E) \rightarrow \underline{A}AG(K)$
		$pck, \underline{C}CA(P) \rightarrow \underline{T}CA(S); ppsA, GAC(D) \rightarrow \underline{A}AC(N); pdhA, GC\underline{G}(A) \rightarrow GC\underline{A}(A)$
		$pdhB$ , C <u>G</u> T(R) $\rightarrow$ C <u>A</u> T(H); $pfk$ , <u>G</u> CA(A) $\rightarrow$ <u>A</u> CA(T), <u>G</u> AA(E) $\rightarrow$ <u>A</u> AA(K)
		$lpd, CA\underline{C}(H) \rightarrow CA\underline{T}(H), G\underline{C}C(A) \rightarrow G\underline{T}C(V); gltA, GC\underline{C}(A) \rightarrow GC\underline{T}(A), AA\underline{C}(N) \rightarrow AA\underline{T}(N)$
		$odhA, \text{GCC}(A) \rightarrow \text{G}\underline{T}\text{C}(V), \text{C}\underline{C}A(P) \rightarrow \text{C}\underline{T}A(L), \text{GA}\underline{G}(E) \rightarrow \text{GA}\underline{A}(E); mdh, \text{A}\underline{C}\text{C}(T) \rightarrow \text{A}\underline{T}\text{C}(I)$
		$fumH, \operatorname{CG}\underline{C}(\operatorname{R}) \rightarrow \operatorname{CG}\underline{T}(\operatorname{R}); acn, \operatorname{GA}\underline{G}(\operatorname{E}) \rightarrow \operatorname{GA}\underline{A}(\operatorname{E}), \underline{G}\operatorname{GC}(\operatorname{G}) \rightarrow \underline{A}\operatorname{GC}(\operatorname{S}); aceB, \underline{G}\operatorname{AA}(\operatorname{E}) \rightarrow \underline{A}\operatorname{AA}(\operatorname{K})$
		$aceA, \underline{G}CT(A) \rightarrow \underline{A}CT(T); \ sucC, \ \underline{G}CA(A) \rightarrow \underline{A}CA(T), \ \underline{G}\underline{G}A(G) \rightarrow \underline{G}\underline{A}A(E), \ \underline{C}CA(P) \rightarrow \underline{T}CA(S)$
		$tal, \operatorname{CG}\underline{C}(\operatorname{R}) \rightarrow \operatorname{CG}\underline{T}(\operatorname{R}); mqo, \operatorname{TT}\underline{C}(\operatorname{F}) \rightarrow \operatorname{TT}\underline{T}(\operatorname{F}), \operatorname{TG}\underline{G}(\operatorname{W}) \rightarrow \operatorname{TG}\underline{A}(\operatorname{stop})^*; lysC, \operatorname{A}\underline{C}\operatorname{C}(\operatorname{T}) \rightarrow \operatorname{A}\underline{T}\operatorname{C}(\operatorname{I})^*$
		$dapE, \ \underline{\mathrm{TT}}\underline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{F}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{TT}}\underline{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{F}); \ dapF, \ \underline{\mathrm{AA}}\underline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{N}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{AA}}\underline{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{N}); \ thrB, \ \underline{\mathrm{G}}\underline{\mathrm{C}}\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{G}}\underline{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{V}), \ \underline{\mathrm{C}}\mathrm{C}\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{P}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{C}\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{S})$
		$thrC$ , A <u>C</u> T(T) $\rightarrow$ A <u>T</u> T(I), AC <u>C</u> (T) $\rightarrow$ AC <u>T</u> (T); <i>lysA</i> , G <u>G</u> G(G) $\rightarrow$ G <u>A</u> G(E)
$A \cdot T \rightarrow G \cdot C$	3	$pfk, C\underline{T}C(L) \rightarrow C\underline{C}C(P); sucD, \underline{T}AC(Y) \rightarrow \underline{C}AC(H); hom, G\underline{T}T(V) \rightarrow \underline{GC}T(A)^*$
Transversion		
$G \cdot C \rightarrow T \cdot A$	0	_
$A \cdot T \rightarrow C \cdot G$	0	_
$G \cdot C \rightarrow C \cdot G$	0	_
$A \cdot T \rightarrow T \cdot A$	0	_

Bases that form substitutions are underlined. The five useful mutations relevant to L-lysine production are indicated by asterisks.

Among the useful mutations, the  $G\underline{T}T(V) \rightarrow G\underline{C}A(A)$  mutation in *hom*, the  $A\underline{C}C(T) \rightarrow A\underline{T}C(I)$  mutation in *lysC*, and the  $TG\underline{G}(W) \rightarrow TG\underline{A}(stop)$  mutation in *mqo* confer on *C. glutamicum* wild-type ATCC 13032 the phenotypes of a partial requirement for L-homoserine, of resistance to an L-lysine structural analog, S-(2-aminoethyl)-L-cysteine, and of the requirement of nicotinamide, respectively [6, 22].



Fig.1 Ohnishi et al.