Association for Information Systems

AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

ACIS 2022 Proceedings

Australasian (ACIS)

12-7-2022

Generating Public Trust through Digital Government Transformation

Sose Raeinaldo Virnandes University of Wollongong, srv998@uowmail.edu.au

Jun Shen University of Wollongong, jshen@uow.edu.au

Elena Vlahu-Gjorgievska University of Wollongong, elenavg@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: https://aisel.aisnet.org/acis2022

Recommended Citation

Virnandes, Sose Raeinaldo; Shen, Jun; and Vlahu-Gjorgievska, Elena, "Generating Public Trust through Digital Government Transformation" (2022). *ACIS 2022 Proceedings*. 32. https://aisel.aisnet.org/acis2022/32

This material is brought to you by the Australasian (ACIS) at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in ACIS 2022 Proceedings by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

Generating Public Trust through Digital Government Transformation

Research-in-progress

Sose Raeinaldo Virnandes

School of Computing and Information Technology University of Wollongong New South Wales, Australia Email: srv998@uowmail.edu.au

Jun Shen

School of Computing and Information Technology University of Wollongong New South Wales, Australia Email: jshen@uow.edu.au

Elena Vlahu-Gjorgievska

School of Computing and Information Technology University of Wollongong New South Wales, Australia Email: elenavg@uow.edu.au

Abstract

Public trust has been acknowledged widely as an essential element in the success of public policy implementation. However, studies showed that it has declined over the years. To overcome this, the government could improve the public trust by leveraging the transparency through the use of digital technology. On the other hand, while research interest on digital transformation in government sector has been growing, studies related with public trust are scarce. Accordingly, this research-in-progress aims to investigate on how the public trust can be generated through digital government transformation (DGT). The proposed model has been drawn from IT business value model (Melville et al. 2004) and digital capability pillars (Peppard 2018) complemented with the cognitive theory of trust (Hill and O'Hara 2006). The research will contribute to the knowledge in the field of digital government transformation related with public trust and as an input for the government in generating public trust through DGT.

Keywords Digital Transformation, Digital Government Transformation, Public Trust.

1 Introduction

Public trust in government is important for the success of public policies implementation. Especially during COVID-19 pandemic, it is one of the vital elements for government to rapidly respond and support the citizens including the mitigation and recovery (OECD 2022). However, public trust in government institutions has been declined over the years (Mahmood 2016). According to Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development/OECD (2022), the percentage of citizens trusting their government is only 51%. Among others, lack of transparency contributes to the public trust degradation (Labarca et al. 2020).

Although the research interest on the digital transformation is growing (Kraus et al. 2021), the studies that correlate between the DGT with public trust relationship are infrequent (Mahmood 2019; Mahmood et al. 2020). Accordingly, it is crucial to study on how public trust can be generated with a coincidence of the DGT.

The proposed research model is based on the IT business value model by Melville et al. (2004) and digital capability pillars by Peppard (2018) complemented with the cognitive theory of trust by Hill and O'Hara (2006). Both IT business value model (Melville et al. 2004) and digital capability pillars (Peppard 2018) are underpinned from the resource-based view (RBV) (Barney 1991). IT business value model (Melville et al. 2004) has underlined on how the internal and external factors of the organization can impact to the value generation from the use of IT, whereas the digital capability pillars (Peppard 2018) describe on how the organization can embrace the value delivered from digital opportunities by strategically explore and exploit the digital technology.

The cognitive theory of trust describes that the trust can be established through a conscious or subconscious process and the lack of trust could eliminate beneficial opportunities or cause unnecessary tensions (Hill and O'Hara 2006). Although existing studies have elaborated the cognitive theory of trust to identify the citizens' trust as a key for the e-government service adoption (Chohan and Hu 2020), it does not explicitly cover the trust as an impact of value created by the DGT.

This paper and in progress research will contribute to the body of knowledge in the public trust and DGT field. The expected result would elaborate on how the success of digital government transformation with the moderation influence of transparency may lead to improve public trust. A convergent mixed-method research (Creswell and Plano Clark 2017) will be selected for the study and the research data will be collected from an Indonesian government agency.

The following sections are organized as follows: the next section provides the literature review of DGT and public trust with its influence factors. The proposed framework and future work will be discussed in section 3 and 4, respectively. Finally, section 5 will provide the conclusion of the study.

2 Literature Review

2.1 DGT (Digital Government Transformation)

The definition of digital transformation is varied across previous studies. Verhoef et al. (2019) identify 3 phases of digital transformation, namely digitization, digitalization, and digital transformation. The digital transformation goes beyond digitization or digitalization, and has been defined as the use of digital technology to enable the organization in developing a new business model for value creation that involves organizational-wide change (Verhoef et al. 2019). In this digital transformation phase, the transformation is not only related to software or hardware upgrade (Andriole 2017), but it also requires changing organization strategy, capabilities, and knowledge on how to strategically use new digital technologies (Peppard 2018; Warner and Wäger 2019).

In the government context, many researchers have been using the term "Digital Government Transformation" (Lindgren and Van Veenstra 2018; Liva et al. 2020; Tangi et al. 2021). Overall, the literature explain DGT as the application of digital technologies by the government while transforming organizational structure and governance system (Liva et al. 2020). This process often involves cultural, organizational, and relational change that could impact the individual, organization, and society (Tangi et al. 2021) with the aim to improve service delivery and public value to citizens (Lindgren and Van Veenstra 2018). This transformation is not only viewed as an internal transformation process, but it also involves the external transformation such as relationship between the government agencies and social political actors (Luna-Reyes and Gil-Garcia 2014).

2.2 DGT to Generate Public Trust

Public trust in government is easier to lose than to build (OECD 2020). Rousseau et al. (1998) define trust as "a psychological state comprising the intention to accept vulnerability based upon positive expectations of the intentions or behaviour of another" (p.395). In terms of public trust, OECD (2015) describes it as "the confidence of citizens and businesses in the actions of governments to do what is right and perceived as fair". Public trust also can be defined as "trusting the government to do things correctly and to behave properly" (Bannister and Connolly 2011, p. 144).

Digital transformation may facilitate and empower new business models, new ways of user engagement, and new methods of collaboration with stakeholders' ecosystem (Peppard 2018). In the government sector, the use of digital technology could generate values such as improving the transparency and information visibility to public that would lead to leverage the citizens' trust (Bannister and Connolly 2011; Chohan and Hu 2020; Kamaruddin and Md Noor 2017; Mahmood et al. 2020). However, the value generated from DGT could not be acquired by using digital technology alone (Bannister and Connolly 2011), but it also requires other aspects involving various internal and external factors (Melville et al. 2004).

2.3 Factors Influence DGT to Generate Public Trust

Digital capability. Digital capability can be referred as the firm's ability and competency in continuously creating value by using various organization's resources that is often distributed across organization (Peppard 2018). To acquire digital capabilities, Peppard (2018, pp. 297-298) has identified six areas of macro-competences in digital transformation journey: "1) *crafting strategy to identify and evaluate the IT-based opportunities to formulate business strategy, 2) defining the IS requirement to translate the business strategy into information and change plans that conform to the organization's priority, 3) defining the IT capability which means that to translate the business strategy into long term IT resource architecture plan, 4) using information which consist of generate insight, informed decisions, and benefits delivery micro-competencies, 5) delivering change and services that include the deployment and development of IT resources to exploit capabilities of digital technology, and 6) supply of technology to maintain IT, application supply chain, and resource capacity."*

Governance. To sustain organization transformation and to obtain value from the IT investment, implementing governance in IT is essential (Sambamurthy and Zmud 1999; Weill 2004). To have appropriate governance, the organization needs to conform with several characteristics, for instance it should be flexible and should maintain a manageable governance structure (Warner and Wäger 2019), it has to ensure transparency of governance mechanism, and it should be designed for multiple organizational levels (Weill 2004). In addition, the organization needs to establish some efforts such as creating steering committee across organization that enable IT business alignment to encourage the involvement of relevant units to foster cross-functional collaboration in the transformation (De Haes and Van Grembergen 2009; Peppard 2018; Vial 2019).

Leadership. Alongside with the governance, the leadership is also framing the digital capabilities in the journey of digital transformation (Peppard 2018). This leadership support is required not only from the IT leader but also the organization's leaders (Peppard 2018). According to the literature, support from top management is necessary and vital for the digital transformation process (Ashaye and Irani 2019; Haneem et al. 2019; Tangi et al. 2021). The Chief Digital Officer (CDO) has a vital role on leadership in organization to orchestrate digital transformation (Singh and Hess 2017) including supporting top management to design digital transformation strategy, establish proper change management activity, plan a short-term and long-term outcomes, and encourage all employees in transformational efforts participation (Tangi et al. 2021).

Collaborative Environment. The collaborative approach can be applied internally within organization and with external partners. In the organization, the collaborative process would not always follow the top-down approach guided by the organization leaders, but also bottom-up approach with active participation from all levels in the organization (Weerakkody et al. 2011). In term of external collaboration, sharing information with external stakeholders could support the transformational government agenda (Weerakkody et al. 2011). Studies of digital transformation in Audi AG and also in British and Dutch government have shown, as examples, that beneficial outcomes were yielded from cross-collaboration of multidisciplinary competencies (Dremel et al. 2017; Weerakkody et al. 2011).

Transparency. The transparency could improve the citizens' trust in the government as it empowers government's accountability of operations and processes for the citizens (Bannister and Connolly 2011; Chohan and Hu 2020). The effort and willingness of government for transparency will lead to the

citizens' higher expectation and better understanding that government is trying to transform in order to enhance citizens' trust (Kamaruddin and Md Noor 2017; Mahmood et al. 2020). Through the DGT, the government could enable the transparency by providing visibility in regards to the government decision making, operation, and process (Bannister and Connolly 2011; Chohan and Hu 2020; Kamaruddin and Md Noor 2017; Mahmood et al. 2020).

3 Development of Conceptual Framework and Hypotheses

The proposed conceptual framework on the Figure 1 is based on the IT business value model (Melville et al. 2004) integrated with digital capability pillars (Peppard 2018) and complemented with the cognitive theory of trust (Hill and O'Hara 2006). In addition, the proposed framework constructs have been generated from the aforementioned influence factors (section 2.3) which involves the digital capability, governance, leadership, collaborative environment, and transparency.

The digital capability construct is based on the digital capability pillars (Peppard 2018) and also it represents the IT resources in IT business value model (Melville et al. 2004). The collaborative environment is adapted from the complementary organizational resource in the IT business value model (Melville et al. 2004). However, Melville et al. (2004) have not used the two constructs of governance and leadership explicitly in their IT business value model. In addition, the public trust construct is postulated from the cognitive theory of trust (Hill and O'Hara 2006) and the transparency construct is addeed as a moderating variable in the relationship between DGT and public trust.



Figure 1. Proposed framework

Digital capability can be defined as the organizational ability and competencies by using various resources in order to continuously creating value and it is an important factor that scaffolds and rewires the organization to become digital (Peppard 2018). Sufficient digital capability could address the technical challenges of DGT such as the difficulty to adapt new digital technology, the lack of IT competencies and business process reengineering skills, and facing incompatibility of legacy systems (Cichosz et al. 2020; Dremel et al. 2017; Hess et al. 2016; Van Veenstra et al. 2011; Weerakkody and Dhillon 2008). Thus, it leads to the following hypothesis:

H1= Digital capability will positively influence the DGT

IT governance has been defined as "*the specifying framework for decision rights and accountabilities to encourage desirable behaviour in the use of IT*" (Weill 2004). Having appropriate IT governance could help organization to overcome challenges such as confusion of existing process, information fragmentation, insufficient IT governance, political pressure, difficulty in aligning business process and organizational structure to digital service, complexity of process, misaligned business objectives, inflexible business processes, and lack of strategic orientation (Agrawal et al. 2020; Cichosz et al. 2020; Dremel et al. 2017; Van Veenstra et al. 2011; Weerakkody and Dhillon 2008).

H2=Governance will positively influence the DGT

Embarking and sustaining the digital transformation journey requires leadership support from all leader teams including IT and business leaders (Peppard 2018; Singh and Hess 2017). Lack of leadership support can also lead organization to face other challenges in embarking with digital transformation such as lack of strategic orientation to digital, the absence of sense in urgency to embrace digital technology, insufficient financial support, and lack of coordination and collaboration (Agrawal et al.

2020; Ashaye and Irani 2019; Cichosz et al. 2020; Van Veenstra et al. 2011) . Accordingly, it can be hypothesized that:

H3=Leadership will positively influence the DGT

Extensive literature has discovered collaborative environment as a vital element to the digital transformation (Ashaye and Irani 2019; Tangi et al. 2021; Vial 2019; Warner and Wäger 2019; Weerakkody et al. 2011). The absence of collaboration such as fragmentation (silo structure) and reluctant to share IS/IT system and process can be impediments to the digital transformation process (Van Veenstra et al. 2011; Weerakkody and Dhillon 2008). Hence, this study proposes the following hypothesis:

H4=Collaborative environment will positively influence the DGT

As mentioned earlier, public trust in government is vital for the success of public policies implementation (OECD 2022). Scholars argue that the poor government service could decrease of citizens' trust (Ashaye and Irani 2019; Mahmood et al. 2019; Omar et al. 2020). In addition, the influence factors in the DGT process such as digital capability, governance, leadership, collaborative environment along with the encourage of the important element of transparency will lead to improve public trust (Chohan and Hu 2020; Grimmelikhuijsen 2009; Mahmood et al. 2020). Accordingly, this leads to the following hypothesis:

H5= The DGT will positively influence generating public trust

Government transparency is a vital element in improvement of the public trust (Chohan and Hu 2020; Grimmelikhuijsen 2009; Mahmood et al. 2020). Through the use of ICT and the emergence of digital technology, the government could enable the transparency by providing information regarding the government decision making, operation, and process to improve the public trust (Bannister and Connolly 2011; Chohan and Hu 2020; Kamaruddin and Md Noor 2017; Mahmood et al. 2020). Therefore, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H6= Transparency will moderate the relationship between the DGT and public trust

4 Future Work

To validate the proposed model, the research data will be collected from an Indonesian government agency which transforms national civil servants' recruitment through the use of digital technology in order to improve the public trust in government (World Bank Group 2018). This study will use the convergent mixed-methods research approach with both qualitative and quantitative data collected almost at the same time (Creswell and Plano Clark 2017). For quantitative analysis, the Partial Least Square-Structural Equation Model (PLS-SEM) will be used to test the hypotheses and to measure the relationship between multiple variables of the research model. PLS-SEM is suitable for small or large samples and it provides identification issues with more robust analysis (Hair et al. 2011). Regarding the qualitative analysis, the interview recordings will be transcribed, coded, and then analysed through text analysis software (Creswell and Plano Clark 2017) such as NVivo. The inferences from the qualitative analysis will be integrated by using the bracketing and bridging approach to develop meta-inferences (Venkatesh et al. 2013).

5 Conclusion

This research-in-progress paper aims to study how citizens' trust in government could be leveraged through DGT. The proposed research model constructed from extant literature is expected to contribute to the knowledge in the field of DGT with relation to public trust, which is still limited. It also could contribute as valuable input for public administrators in generating public trust through DGT. However, the proposed framework in this initial stage of research might only have limited constructs. Thus, further study might provide additional construct towards more comprehensive frameworks.

6 References

- Agrawal, P., Narain, R., and Ullah, I. 2020. "Analysis of Barriers in Implementation of Digital Transformation of Supply Chain Using Interpretive Structural Modelling Approach," *Journal of Modelling in Management* (15:1), pp. 297-317.
- Andriole, S. J. 2017. "Five Myths About Digital Transformation," *MIT Sloan Management Review* (58:3), pp. 20-22.

- Ashaye, O. R., and Irani, Z. 2019. "The Role of Stakeholders in the Effective Use of E-Government Resources in Public Services," *International Journal of Information Management* (49), pp. 253-270.
- Bannister, F., and Connolly, R. 2011. "Trust and Transformational Government: A Proposed Framework for Research," *Government Information Quarterly* (28:2), pp. 137-147.
- Barney, J. 1991. "Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage," (17:1), pp. 99-120.
- Chohan, S. R., and Hu, G. 2020. "Success Factors Influencing Citizens' Adoption of IoT Service Orchestration for Public Value Creation in Smart Government," *IEEE Access* (8), pp. 208427-208448.
- Cichosz, M., Carl Marcus, W., and Knemeyer, A. M. 2020. "Digital Transformation at Logistics Service Providers: Barriers, Success Factors and Leading Practices," *International Journal of Logistics Management* (31:2), pp. 209-238.
- Creswell, J. W., and Plano Clark, V. L. 2017. *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*, (3rd edition). Los Angeles: SAGE Publications.
- De Haes, S., and Van Grembergen, W. 2009. "An Exploratory Study into IT Governance Implementations and Its Impact on Business/IT Alignment," *Information Systems Management* (26:2), pp. 123-137.
- Dremel, C., Herterich, M. M., Wulf, J., Waizmann, J. C., and Brenner, W. 2017. "How Audi AG Established Big Data Analytics in Its Digital Transformation," *MIS Quarterly Executive* (16:2), pp. 81-100.
- Grimmelikhuijsen, S. 2009. "Do Transparent Government Agencies Strengthen Trust?," *Information Polity* (14).
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., and Sarstedt, M. 2011. "PLS-SEM: Indeed a Silver Bullet," Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice (19:2), pp. 139-152.
- Haneem, F., Kama, N., Taskin, N., Pauleen, D., and Abu Bakar, N. A. 2019. "Determinants of Master Data Management Adoption by Local Government Organizations: An Empirical Study," *International Journal of Information Management* (45), pp. 25-43.
- Hess, T., Benlian, A., Matt, C., and Wiesböck, F. 2016. "Options for Formulating a Digital Transformation Strategy," *MIS Quarterly Executive* (15:2), pp. 123-139.
- Hill, C. A., and O'Hara, E. A. 2006. "A Cognitive Theory of Trust," *Washington University Law Review* (84:7).
- Kamaruddin, K. A., and Md Noor, N. L. 2017. "Citizen-Centric Demand Model for Transformational Government Systems," *Proceedings ot the 21st Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems:* "Societal Transformation Through IS/IT", PACIS 2017.
- Kraus, S., Jones, P., Kailer, N., Weinmann, A., Chaparro-Banegas, N., and Roig-Tierno, N. 2021. "Digital Transformation: An Overview of the Current State of the Art of Research," *SAGE Open* (11:3).
- Labarca, C., Arceneaux, P. C., and Golan, G. J. 2020. "The Relationship Management Function of Public Affairs Officers in Chile: Identifying Opportunities and Challenges in an Emergent Market," *Journal of Public Affairs* (20:3).
- Lindgren, I., and Van Veenstra, A. F. 2018. "Digital Government Transformation: A Case Illustrating Public E-Service Development as Part of Public Sector Transformation," *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series.*
- Liva, G., Codagnone, C., Misuraca, G., Gineikyte, V., and Barcevicius, E. 2020. "Exploring Digital Government Transformation: A Literature Review ", pp. 502-509.
- Luna-Reyes, L. F., and Gil-Garcia, J. R. 2014. "Digital Government Transformation and Internet Portals: The Co-Evolution of Technology, Organizations, and Institutions," *Government Information Quarterly* (31:4), pp. 545-555.
- Mahmood, M. 2016. "Enhancing Citizens' Trust and Confidence in Government through Digital Transformation," *International Journal of Electronic Government Research* (12:1), pp. 99-110.
- Mahmood, M. 2019. Does Digital Transformation of Government Lead to Enhanced Citizens' Trust and Confidence in Government? Brunel University London: Springer.

- Mahmood, M., Weerakkody, V., and Chen, W. 2019. "The Influence of Transformed Government on Citizen Trust: Insights from Bahrain," *Information Technology for Development* (25:2), pp. 275-303.
- Mahmood, M., Weerakkody, V., and Chen, W. 2020. "The Role of Information and Communications Technology in the Transformation of Government and Citizen Trust," *International Review of Administrative Sciences* (86:4), pp. 708-728.
- Melville, N., Kraemer, K., and Gurbaxani, V. 2004. "Review: Information Technology and Organizational Performance: An Integrative Model of IT Business Value," *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems* (28:2), pp. 283-322.
- OECD. 2015. Trust in Government. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD. 2020. "The Oecd Digital Government Policy Framework." Retrieved 02-May-2022, from <u>https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/content/paper/f64fed2a-en</u>
- OECD. 2022. "Trust in Government." Retrieved 19-Mar-2022, from <u>https://www.oecd.org/gov/trust-in-government.htm</u>
- Omar, A., Weerakkody, V., and Daowd, A. 2020. "Studying Transformational Government: A Review of the Existing Methodological Approaches and Future Outlook," *Government Information Quarterly* (37:2), p. 101458.
- Peppard, J. 2018. "Digital Capability: Scaffolding for Rewiring a Company for Digital," in *The Routledge Companion to Management Information Systems*. Routledge, pp. 290-306.
- Rousseau, D., Sitkin, S., Burt, R., and Camerer, C. 1998. "Not So Different after All: A Cross-Discipline View of Trust," *Academy of Management Review* (23).
- Sambamurthy, V., and Zmud, R. W. 1999. "Arrangements for Information Technology Governance: A Theory of Multiple Contingencies," *MIS Quarterly* (23:2), pp. 261-290.
- Singh, A., and Hess, T. 2017. "How Chief Digital Officers Promote the Digital Transformation of Their Companies," *MIS Quarterly Executive* (16:1), pp. 1-17.
- Tangi, L., Janssen, M., Benedetti, M., and Noci, G. 2021. "Digital Government Transformation: A Structural Equation Modelling Analysis of Driving and Impeding Factors," *International Journal of Information Management* (60).
- Van Veenstra, A. F., Klievink, B., and Janssen, M. 2011. "Barriers and Impediments to Transformational Government: Insights from Literature and Practice," *Electronic Government* (8:2-3), pp. 226-241.
- Venkatesh, V., Brown, S. A., and Bala, H. 2013. "Bridging the Qualitative-Quantitative Divide: Guidelines for Conducting Mixed Methods Research in Information Systems," *MIS quarterly* (37:1), pp. 21-54.
- Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bhattacharya, A., Qi Dong, J., Fabian, N., Bart, Y., and Haenlein, M. 2019. "Digital Transformation: A Multidisciplinary Reflection and Research Agenda," *Journal of Business Research*).
- Vial, G. 2019. "Understanding Digital Transformation: A Review and a Research Agenda," *Journal of Strategic Information Systems* (28:2), pp. 118-144.
- Warner, K. S. R., and Wäger, M. 2019. "Building Dynamic Capabilities for Digital Transformation: An Ongoing Process of Strategic Renewal " *Long Range Planning* (52:3), pp. 326-349.
- Weerakkody, V., and Dhillon, G. 2008. "Moving from E-Government to T-Government: A Study of Process Reengineering Challenges in a UK Local Authority Context," *International Journal of Electronic Government Research* (4:4), pp. 1-16.
- Weerakkody, V., Janssen, M., and Dwivedi, Y. K. 2011. "Transformational Change and Business Process Reengineering (BPR): Lessons from the British and Dutch Public Sector," *Government Information Quarterly* (28:3), pp. 320-328.
- Weill, P. 2004. "Don't Just Lead, Govern: How Top-Performing Firms Govern IT," *MIS Quarterly Executive* (3:1).
- World Bank Group. 2018. "Improving Public Sector Performance through Innovation and Inter-Agency Coordination," World Bank Group.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank to the Australia Awards Scholarship for supporting first author's scholarship. The authors also thank to the SCIT-Faculty of EIS at the University of Wollongong for the research/travel support.

Copyright

Copyright © 2022 Sose Raeinaldo Virnandes, Jun Shen, and Elena Vlahu-Gjorgievska. This is an openaccess article licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 3.0 Australia License</u>, which permits non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and ACIS are credited.