Higher-derivative Heterotic Double Field Theory and Classical Double Copy

Eric Lescano[†] and Jesús A. Rodríguez^{*}

[†] Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio, Universidad de Buenos Aires (UBA-CONICET) Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón IAFE, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

* Departamento de Física, FCEyN, Universidad de Buenos Aires (UBA-CONICET) Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón 1, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

elescano@iafe.uba.ar, jarodriguez@df.uba.ar

Abstract

The generalized Kerr-Schild ansatz (GKSA) is a powerful tool for constructing exact solutions in Double Field Theory (DFT). In this paper we focus in the heterotic formulation of DFT, considering up to four-derivative terms in the action principle, while the field content is perturbed by the GKSA. We study the inclusion of the generalized version of the Green-Schwarz mechanism to this setup, in order to reproduce the low energy effective heterotic supergravity upon parametrization. This formalism reproduces higherderivative heterotic background solutions where the metric tensor and Kalb-Ramond field are perturbed by a pair of null vectors. Next we study higher-derivative contributions to the classical double copy structure. After a suitable identification of the null vectors with a pair of U(1) gauge fields, the dynamics is given by a pair of Maxwell equations plus higher derivative corrections in agreement with the KLT relation.

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	2
2	Higher-derivative heterotic supergravity		4
	2.1	Action and field content for background fields	4
	2.2	Perturbing the background	6
3	Double Field Theory and the generalized Kerr-Schild Ansatz		7
	3.1	Generalized metric formulation	7
	3.2	Generalized flux formulation	9
4	Higher-derivative Double Field Theory		13
	4.1	Biparametric corrections	15
	4.2	Equations of motion	17
	4.3	Generalized Green-Schwarz transformations	17
5	Heterotic parametrization		18
	5.1	Parametrization	18
	5.2	Field redefinitions	19
6	Classical Double Copy		21
	6.1	Double null vector ansatz	21
7	Conclusions		23
\mathbf{A}	Corrections to the DFT action 2		24

1 Introduction

Double Field Theory (DFT) [1, 2] is a duality symmetric formalism that can be understood as a generalization of D-dimensional Riemannian geometry, manifestly invariant under the action of O(D, D). This group is closely related with an exact symmetry of String Theory [3]. One of the most exciting features of this formalism is that the space-time must be doubled to accomplish O(D, D) as a global symmetry of the theory [4]. The generalized coordinates of the double space $X^M = (x^{\mu}, \tilde{x}_{\mu})$ are in the fundamental representation of O(D, D), where \tilde{x}_{μ} is the extra set of coordinates and $M = 0, \ldots, 2D - 1$. However, the theory is constrained by the section condition (or strong constraint), which effectively removes the dependence on \tilde{x}_{μ} .

The fundamental bosonic fields consist of a symmetric generalized tensor $H_{MN}(X)$ and a generalized scalar $d_o(X)$. While the former is a multiplet of the duality group, the latter is an invariant, and they are usually referred as the generalized metric and the generalized dilaton. On the other hand, DFT can easily describe the low energy limit of heterotic string theory [5]. This formalism requires a generalized frame [6] instead of a generalized metric to embed the gravitational degrees of freedom of the theory. A similar approach is the flux formulation of DFT [7].

One important issue that can be studied with DFT is the incorporation of higher derivative terms in supergravity frameworks. In [8] a biparametric family of higherderivative duality invariant theories was presented. For particular values of the parameters, the higher-derivative contributions reproduce the α' -corrections of the heterotic supergravity, also studied in [9].

In this work we are interested in considering H_{MN} and d_o as arbitrary background fields that can be perturbed. We focus in the generalized Kerr-Schild ansatz (GKSA) introduced in [10], which is an exact and linear perturbation of the generalized background metric given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = H_{MN} + \kappa \bar{K}_M K_N + \kappa K_M \bar{K}_N \,, \tag{1.1}$$

where κ is an arbitrary parameter that allows to quantify the order of the perturbations

and $\bar{K}_M = \bar{P}_M{}^N \bar{K}_N$ and $K_M = P_M{}^N K_N$ are a pair of generalized null vectors

$$\eta^{MN}\bar{K}_M\bar{K}_N = \eta^{MN}K_MK_N = \eta^{MN}\bar{K}_MK_N = 0 , \qquad (1.2)$$

where η_{MN} is an O(D, D) invariant metric and $\bar{P}_{MN} = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{MN} + H_{MN})$ and $P_{MN} = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{MN} - H_{MN})$ are used to project the O(D, D) indices. This ansatz (plus a generalized dilaton perturbation) is the duality invariant analogous of the ordinary Kerr-Schild ansatz [11] [12], and the inclusion of a pair of generalized null vectors is closely related with the chiral structure of DFT. This duality invariant ansatz was recently used in different context as exceptional field theory [13], supersymmetry [14], among others [15].

In [16] a consistent way to impose the GKSA in a two-derivative heterotic DFT framework was described. In that work the authors considered a generalized metric approach to recover the leading order contributions. Here we extend the formalism by considering higher-derivative terms in the flux formulation of DFT. We use a systematic method for obtaining these corrections, closely related with [17]. Higher-derivative contributions requiere agreement between the ansatz and the generalized version of the Green-Schwarz mechanism as we show.

As an application we study higher-derivative contributions to the classical double copy [18] [19] [20] ¹ in a heterotic supergravity background. We consider,

$$g^{\mu\nu} = g_o^{\mu\nu} + \kappa l^{(\mu} \bar{l}^{\nu)} , \qquad (1.3)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{o\mu\nu} - \tilde{\kappa} \bar{l}_{(\mu} l_{\nu)} , \qquad (1.4)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa} = \frac{2\kappa}{2+\kappa(l\cdot l)}$ and l and \bar{l} are a pair of null vectors with respect to the background metric. We compute the Riem² contributions and we obtain the leading four-derivative corrections to the single copy, given by κ corrections to the Maxwell-like equations that described the gravity sector after identifying the null vectors with a pair of U(1) gauge fields, in agreement with the KLT relation for heterotic string theory.

This work is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce the field content, the symmetries and the action principle of the low energy effective heterotic supergravity, considering up to four-derivative terms in the action principle. Section 3 is dedicated to

¹See also [21] for recent approaches to this topic.

explore the extension of the GKSA to the flux formalism of DFT. First we review the generalized metric formalism and then we discuss the generalized frame formalism that is necessary to construct the generalized fluxes. In Section 4 we start by considering multiplets of O(D, D + K), and we perform a suitable breaking to O(D, D) by identifying the extra gauge field with a particular flux component. With this method we obtain the higher-derivative extension to DFT. Here we choose the free parameters of the construction to match with the heterotic DFT formulation. In Section 5 we parametrize the theory in terms of the field content of heterotic supergravity. We discuss about the tension between the perturbation of the gravitational sector in terms of a pair of null vector with respect to the absence of a perturbation for the gauge sector. Then we apply our formalism in Section 6 to explore higher-derivative corrections to the heterotic Classical Double Copy. Finally, in Section 7, we present the conclusions of the work.

2 Higher-derivative heterotic supergravity

In the first part of this section we review the D = 10 heterotic supergravity considering α and β contributions, according to [22]². Then we impose the supergravity version of the GKSA to perturbe the background fields.

2.1 Action and field content for background fields

The low energy effective action that describes D = 10 heterotic string theory to first order in α' is

$$S = \int_{M_{10}} e^{-2\phi_o} \left(R_o - 4\partial_\mu \phi_o \partial^\mu \phi_o - \frac{1}{12} \hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\rho} \hat{H}_o^{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4} (F_{o\mu\nu i} F_o^{\mu\nu i} + R_{o\mu\nu}^{(-)ab} R_o^{(-)\mu\nu}{}_{ab}) \right), (2.1)$$

where $\mu = 0, \ldots, 9, a = 0, \ldots, 9, i = 1, \ldots, n$ with *n* the dimension of the Yang-Mills group, typically n = 496. The 10-dimensional field content consists of a background metric $g_{o\mu\nu} = e_{o\mu a} \eta^{ab} e_{o\nu b}$, the background Kalb-Ramond field $b_{o\mu\nu}$, the background gauge field $A_{o\mu i}$ and the background dilaton ϕ_o . The action (2.1) is written in terms of the

²We take $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$ to simplify notation. Conventions for the field content follows [14].

curvatures of the previous fields, *i.e.*,

$$\hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\rho} = 3 \left[\partial_{[\mu} b_{o\nu\rho]} - \left(A^{i}_{o[\mu} \partial_{\nu} A_{o\rho]i} - \frac{1}{3} f_{ijk} A^{i}_{o\mu} A^{j}_{o\nu} A^{k}_{o\rho} \right) - \left(\partial_{[\mu} \Omega^{(-)cd}_{o\nu} \Omega^{(-)}_{o\rho]cd} + \frac{2}{3} \Omega^{(-)ab}_{o\mu} \Omega^{(-)}_{o\nubc} \Omega^{(-)c}_{o\rho} \right) \right],$$

$$F^{i}_{o\mu\nu} = 2 \partial_{[\mu} A^{i}_{o\nu]} - f^{i}_{jk} A^{j}_{o\mu} A^{k}_{o\nu},$$

$$R^{(-)}_{o\mu\nuab} = \left(-2 \partial_{[\mu} w_{o\nu]ab} + 2 w_{o[\mu|a}{}^{c} w_{o|\nu]cb} \right),$$
(2.2)

where the spin and Hull connections are defined as

$$w_{o\mu a}{}^{b} = -e^{\nu}_{oa}\partial_{\mu}e_{o\nu}{}^{b} + \Gamma^{\sigma}_{o\,\mu\nu}e_{o\sigma}{}^{b}e^{\nu}_{o\,a}, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\Omega_{o\mu ab}^{(-)} = w_{o\mu ab} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\rho} e_{oa}^{\nu} e_{ob}^{\rho} , \qquad (2.4)$$

and the Christoffel connection is

$$\Gamma^{\sigma}_{o\,\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\sigma\rho}_{o} \left(\partial_{\mu} g_{o\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu} g_{o\mu\rho} - \partial_{\rho} g_{o\mu\nu} \right) \,. \tag{2.5}$$

The action principle (2.1) is invariant under Lorentz transformations. These transformations acting on a generic vector V_a reads

$$\delta_{\Lambda} V_a = V_b \Lambda^b{}_a \,, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\Lambda_{ab} = -\Lambda_{ba}$ is the Lorentz parameter. Contraction of indices in (2.6) make use of the Lorentz metric η_{ab} . The invariance of (2.1) requires that the Kalb-Ramond field transforms with a non-covariant transformation, namely,

$$\delta_{\Lambda} b_{o\mu\nu} = -\Omega_{o[\mu}^{(-)ab} \partial_{\nu]} \Lambda_{ab}.$$
(2.7)

The previous transformation is known as the Green-Schwarz mechanism and it is a higherderivative transformation that cannot be removed with field redefinitions. The equations of motion for this setup are given by

$$\Delta\phi_{o} = R_{o} + 4g_{o}^{\mu\nu}(\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\phi_{o} - \partial_{\mu}\phi_{o}\partial_{\nu}\phi_{o}) - \frac{1}{12}g_{o}^{\mu\sigma}g_{o}^{\nu\tau}g_{o}^{\rho\xi}\hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\rho}\hat{H}_{o\sigma\tau\xi} \qquad (2.8)$$
$$-\frac{1}{4}g_{o}^{\mu\rho}g_{o}^{\nu\sigma}F_{o\mu\nu}{}^{i}F_{o\rho\sigma i} - \frac{1}{4}g_{o}^{\mu\rho}g_{o}^{\nu\sigma}R_{o\mu\nu ab}^{(-)}R_{o\rho\sigma}^{(-)ab} = 0,$$
$$\Delta g_{o\mu\nu} = R_{o\mu\nu} + 2\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\phi_{o} - \frac{1}{4}g_{o}^{\sigma\tau}g_{o}^{\lambda\xi}\hat{H}_{o\sigma\lambda\mu}\hat{H}_{o\tau\xi\nu}$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}g_{o}^{\sigma\tau}F_{o\sigma\mu i}F_{o\tau\nu}{}^{i} - \frac{1}{2}g_{o}^{\sigma\tau}R_{o\sigma\mu ab}^{(-)}R_{o\tau\nu}^{(-)ab} = 0, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$\Delta b_{o\mu\nu} = g_o^{\rho\sigma} \nabla_\rho \left(e^{-2\phi_o} \hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\sigma} \right) = 0, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$\Delta A_{o\nu}{}^{i} = g_{o}^{\rho\mu} \nabla_{\rho}^{(+,A)} \left(e^{-2\phi_{o}} F_{o\mu\nu}{}^{i} \right) = 0, \qquad (2.11)$$

where we have defined

$$\nabla_{\rho}^{(+,A)} F_{o\mu\nu}{}^{i} = \partial_{\rho} F_{o\mu\nu}{}^{i} - \Gamma_{o\rho\mu}^{(+)\sigma} F_{o\sigma\nu}{}^{i} - \Gamma_{o\rho\nu}^{(+)\sigma} F_{o\mu\sigma}{}^{i} - f_{jk}{}^{i} A_{o\rho}{}^{j} F_{o\mu\nu}{}^{k}, \qquad (2.12)$$

$$\Gamma^{(+)\rho}_{o\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\rho}_{o\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\sigma}g^{\sigma\rho}_{o}, \qquad (2.13)$$

which covariantizes the derivative with respect to ten dimensional diffeomorphisms and gauge transformations using the Christoffel and gauge connection respectively. Here we mention that the equations (2.8)-(2.11) are not strictly the ones obtained from variations of the action with respect to the fundamental fields, but combinations of them.

2.2 Perturbing the background

Now we are interested in imposing the supergravity version of the GKSA on the previous formulation. We do not consider perturbations of the gauge field, *i.e.*, $A_{\mu i} = A_{o\mu i}$. The inverse of the 10-dimensional background metric is perturbed as

$$g^{\mu\nu} = g_o^{\mu\nu} + \kappa l^{(\mu} \bar{l}^{\nu)} , \qquad (2.14)$$

where l_{μ} and \bar{l}_{μ} are null vectors with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ and $g^{\mu\nu}_{o}$, *i.e.*,

$$l_{\mu}l_{\nu}g^{\mu\nu} = l_{\mu}g_{o}^{\mu\nu}l_{\nu} = 0, \qquad (2.15)$$

$$\bar{l}_{\mu}\bar{l}_{\nu}g^{\mu\nu} = \bar{l}_{\mu}g_{o}^{\ \mu\nu}\bar{l}_{\nu} = 0.$$
(2.16)

The previous objects also satisfy the following relations

$$\bar{l}^{\nu} \nabla_{o\nu} l_{\mu} = 0, \quad l^{\nu} \nabla_{o\nu} \bar{l}_{\mu} = 0,$$
(2.17)

which reduce to the standard geodesic conditions when l and \bar{l} are identified [10]. The perturbation of the Kalb-Ramond field is given by

$$b_{\mu\nu} = b_{o\mu\nu} - \tilde{\kappa} l_{[\mu} \bar{l}_{\nu]}, \qquad (2.18)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa} = \frac{2\kappa}{2+\kappa(l\cdot l)}$ and the dilaton is perturbed as showed in [14]. Moreover, the first order Lorentz transformation for the exact *b*-field now takes the following form

$$\delta_{\Lambda} b_{\mu\nu} = -\Omega^{(-)ab}_{[\mu} \partial_{\nu]} \Lambda_{ab} , \qquad (2.19)$$

while the other exact fields are Lorentz invariant.

3 Double Field Theory and the generalized Kerr-Schild Ansatz

3.1 Generalized metric formulation

In this section we review DFT and the GKSA following the conventions of [14]. The GKSA was formulated in [10] as an exact and linear perturbation of the generalized background metric H_{MN} (M, N = 0, ..., 2D - 1) and an exact perturbation of the generalized background dilaton d_o . We work with arbitrary D until parametrization.

Since the generalized metric is an O(D, D) element, its perturbation has the following form

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = H_{MN} + \kappa (\bar{K}_M K_N + K_M \bar{K}_N), \qquad (3.1)$$

where $\bar{K}_M = \bar{P}_M{}^N \bar{K}_N$ and $K_M = P_M{}^N K_N$ are a pair of generalized null vectors

$$\eta^{MN}\bar{K}_M\bar{K}_N = \eta^{MN}K_MK_N = \eta^{MN}\bar{K}_MK_N = 0.$$
 (3.2)

According to (3.1), the DFT projectors are

$$\mathcal{P}_{MN} = P_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\bar{K}_M K_N + K_M \bar{K}_N) \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{MN} = \bar{P}_{MN} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\bar{K}_M K_N + K_M \bar{K}_N).$$
(3.3)

Each O(D, D) multiplet can be written as a sum over its projections,

$$V_M = P_M{}^N V_N + \bar{P}_M{}^N V_N = V_{\underline{M}} + V_{\overline{M}}, \qquad (3.4)$$

where V_M is a generic double vector. When we use the underline and overline notation, we consider the background projectors P_{MN} and \bar{P}_{MN} .

The generalized background dilaton can be perturbed with a generic κ expansion,

$$d = d_o + \kappa f , \qquad f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \kappa^n f_n , \qquad (3.5)$$

with $n \ge 0$.

Mimicking the ordinary Kerr-Schild ansatz, the generalized vectors K_M , \bar{K}_M and f obey some conditions in order to produce finite deformations in the DFT action and EOM's. If we consider a generic double vector V_N , the covariant derivative can be defined as

$$\nabla_M V_N = \partial_M V_N - \Gamma_{MN}{}^P V_P \,, \tag{3.6}$$

where Γ_{MNP} is the generalized affine connection. Demanding

$$\nabla_M \mathcal{H}_{NP} = 0, \quad \nabla_M \eta_{NP} = 0, \qquad (3.7)$$

and a vanishing generalized torsion

$$\Gamma_{[MNP]} = 0, \qquad (3.8)$$

the following projections of $\Gamma_{MNP} = -\Gamma_{MPN}$ are well-defined and can be perturbed,

$$\Gamma_{\underline{MN}\overline{Q}} = -\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{Q}{}^{R}\mathcal{P}_{M}{}^{S}\partial_{S}\mathcal{P}_{RN}, \quad \Gamma_{\overline{MN}\underline{Q}} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{N}{}^{R}\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{M}{}^{S}\partial_{S}\mathcal{P}_{RQ},$$

$$\Gamma_{\underline{M}\overline{NQ}} = 2\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{[N}{}^{R}\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{Q]}{}^{S}\partial_{S}\mathcal{P}_{RM}, \quad \Gamma_{\overline{M}\underline{NQ}} = 2\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{M}{}^{R}\mathcal{P}_{[N}{}^{S}\partial_{S}\mathcal{P}_{Q]R}.$$
(3.9)

Similarly to Riemannian geometry, the generalized Ricci scalar and the generalized Ricci tensor can be constructed from different (determined) projections of the generalized affine connection. Following the original construction of the GKSA we impose,

$$\bar{K}^{P}\partial_{P}K^{M} + K_{P}\partial^{M}\bar{K}^{P} - K^{P}\partial_{P}\bar{K}^{M} = 0,$$

$$K^{P}\partial_{P}\bar{K}^{M} + \bar{K}_{P}\partial^{M}K^{P} - \bar{K}^{P}\partial_{P}K^{M} = 0,$$
(3.10)

and

$$K^M \partial_M f = \bar{K}^M \partial_M f = 0. aga{3.11}$$

Using (3.8), we can change $\partial \to \nabla$ in (3.10) obtaining,

$$\bar{K}^{P}\nabla_{P}K^{M} + K_{P}\nabla^{M}\bar{K}^{P} - K^{P}\nabla_{P}\bar{K}^{M} = 0,$$

$$K^{P}\nabla_{P}\bar{K}^{M} + \bar{K}_{P}\nabla^{M}K^{P} - \bar{K}^{P}\nabla_{P}K^{M} = 0.$$
(3.12)

In the next part we explore how the previous conditions appear in the flux formalism of DFT [7]. Then we explicitly compute the EOM's of the field content of DFT when we impose the GKSA in this formalism.

3.2 Generalized flux formulation

The generalized flux formulation of DFT is closely related with the generalized frame formulation introduced in [6]. The latter is compatible with the GKSA if we consider perturbations of the form,

$$\mathcal{E}_{M}^{\overline{A}} = E_{M}^{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa E_{M}^{\underline{B}} K_{\underline{B}} \overline{K}^{\overline{A}},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{M}^{\underline{A}} = E_{M}^{\underline{A}} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa E_{M}^{\overline{B}} \overline{K}_{\overline{B}} K^{\underline{A}},$$
(3.13)

where $K_A = \mathcal{E}^M \underline{A} K_M = E^M \underline{A} K_M$ and $\overline{K}_A = \mathcal{E}^M \overline{A} \overline{K}_M = E^M \overline{A} \overline{K}_M$ and \mathcal{E}_{MA} is an $O(D, D)/O(D - 1, 1)_L \times O(1, D - 1)_R$ frame. In this formulation $\underline{A} = 0, \dots, D - 1$ and $\overline{A} = 0, \dots, D - 1$ are $O(D - 1, 1)_L$ and $O(1, D - 1)_R$ indices, respectively.

We can define flat invariant projectors as follows,

$$\mathcal{P}_{AB} = \mathcal{E}_{M\underline{A}} \mathcal{E}^{M}{}_{\underline{B}} = P_{AB} ,$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{AB} = \mathcal{E}_{M\overline{A}} \mathcal{E}^{M}{}_{\overline{B}} = \bar{P}_{AB} , \qquad (3.14)$$

where $P_{AB} = E_{M\underline{A}}E^{M}\underline{B}$ and $\overline{P}_{AB} = E_{M\overline{A}}E^{M}\overline{B}$ are the standard DFT flat projectors. Using these projectors we can construct two invariant metrics,

$$\eta_{AB} = \mathcal{E}_{MA} \eta^{MN} \mathcal{E}_{NB} = E_{MA} \eta^{MN} E_{NB} , \qquad (3.15)$$

$$H_{AB} = \mathcal{E}_{MA} \mathcal{H}^{MN} \mathcal{E}_{NB} = E_{MA} H^{MN} E_{NB} \,. \tag{3.16}$$

The flat covariant derivative acting on a generic vector V_B is

$$\mathcal{D}_A V_B = \mathcal{E}_A V_B + \mathcal{W}_{AB}{}^C V_C \,, \tag{3.17}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_A = \sqrt{2} \mathcal{E}^M{}_A \partial_M$ and $\mathcal{W}_{AB}{}^C$ is the generalized spin connection that satisfies

$$\mathcal{W}_{ABC} = -\mathcal{W}_{ACB}$$
 and $\mathcal{W}_{A\overline{B}\underline{C}} = \mathcal{W}_{A\underline{B}\overline{C}} = 0$. (3.18)

With the help of the generalized frames we can construct the generalized fluxes, which are defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_{ABC} = 3\mathcal{E}_{[A}(\mathcal{E}^{M}{}_{B})\mathcal{E}_{MC]},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{A} = \sqrt{2}e^{2d}\partial_{M}\left(\mathcal{E}^{M}{}_{A}e^{-2d}\right).$$
 (3.19)

In the flux formulation of DFT, conditions (3.10) and (3.11) become

$$K^{\underline{A}}E_{\underline{A}}\bar{K}^{\overline{C}} + K^{\underline{A}}\bar{K}^{\overline{B}}F_{\underline{A}\overline{B}}^{\overline{C}} = 0,$$

$$\bar{K}^{\overline{A}}E_{\overline{A}}K^{\underline{C}} + \bar{K}^{\overline{A}}K^{\underline{B}}F_{\overline{A}\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}} = 0,$$
 (3.20)

and

$$K^{\underline{A}}E_{\underline{A}}f = \bar{K}^{A}E_{\overline{A}}f = 0.$$
(3.21)

It is straightforward to check that the previous conditions are double Lorentz invariant using

$$\delta_{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}_{MA} = \mathcal{E}_M{}^B \Gamma_{BA} \,, \quad \delta_{\Gamma} E_{MA} = E_M{}^B \Gamma_{BA} \tag{3.22}$$

where $\Gamma_{AB} = -\Gamma_{BA}$ is the double Lorentz parameter.

Only the totally antisymmetric and trace parts of \mathcal{W}_{ABC} can be determined in terms of $\mathcal{E}_M{}^A$ and d,

$$\mathcal{W}_{[ABC]} = -\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{F}_{ABC}, \qquad (3.23)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{BA}{}^B = -\mathcal{F}_A, \qquad (3.24)$$

the latter arising from partial integration with the dilaton density. Using these identifications, conditions (3.20) and (3.21) can be written as

$$K_{\underline{A}}D^{\underline{A}}\overline{K}^{\overline{B}} = \overline{K}_{\overline{A}}D^{\overline{A}}K^{\underline{B}} = 0,$$

$$K_{\underline{A}}D^{\underline{A}}f = K_{\overline{A}}D^{\overline{A}}f = 0,$$
(3.25)

where D_A is the background covariant derivative. As we mentioned before, the generalized Ricci scalar and the generalized Ricci tensor are completely determined in terms of the degrees of freedom of DFT and, particularly, can be written in terms of different projections of the fluxes,

$$\mathcal{R} = 2\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}} + \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}} - \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABC}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{ABC}} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{\overline{\underline{ABC}}}\mathcal{F}^{\overline{\underline{ABC}}}, \qquad (3.26)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\overline{A}\underline{B}} = \mathcal{E}_{\overline{A}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}} - \mathcal{E}_{\underline{C}}\mathcal{F}_{\overline{A}\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}} + \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\overline{D}\overline{A}}\mathcal{F}^{\overline{D}}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}} - \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}\mathcal{F}_{\overline{A}\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}}.$$
(3.27)

The previous projections of the fluxes can be computed using (3.13) and imposing (3.20) and (3.21),

$$\mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABC}} = F_{\underline{ABC}} - \frac{3}{2} \kappa \overline{K}^{\overline{D}} K_{\underline{[A]}} F_{\underline{BC]} \overline{D}}, \qquad (3.28)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}} = F_{\underline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}} + \kappa \left(\overline{K}_{[\overline{C}}D_{\overline{B}]}K_{\underline{A}} + K_{\underline{A}}E_{[\overline{B}}\overline{K}_{\overline{C}]} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}}K_{\underline{A}}F_{\overline{D}\overline{B}\overline{C}} \right), \quad (3.29)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\overline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} = F_{\overline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - \kappa \left(K_{[\underline{C}}D_{\underline{B}]}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}} + \overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E_{[\underline{B}}K_{\underline{C}]} - \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} \right) , \qquad (3.30)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}} = F_{\underline{A}} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa \left(K_{\underline{A}} D_{\overline{B}} \overline{K}^{\overline{B}} + F_{\overline{B}\underline{A}\underline{C}} \overline{K}^{\overline{B}} K^{\underline{C}} + 4D_{\underline{A}} f \right) .$$
(3.31)

Replacing the previous expressions in (3.26) the generalized Ricci scalar can be written as

$$\mathcal{R} = R + \kappa \left[-K_{\underline{A}} \overline{K}^{\overline{B}} E_{\overline{B}} F^{\underline{A}} - D_{\underline{A}} \left(K^{\underline{A}} D_{\overline{B}} \overline{K}^{\overline{B}} + F_{\underline{B}} \overline{AC} \overline{K}^{\overline{B}} K_{\underline{C}} \right) + F^{\overline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} K_{\underline{C}} D_{\underline{B}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} + F^{\overline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} E_{\underline{B}} K_{\underline{C}} - 4D_{\underline{A}} D^{\underline{A}} f \right] + \kappa^2 \left[4E_{\underline{A}} f E^{\underline{A}} f \right] , \qquad (3.32)$$

and therefore in the case f = const., the generalized Ricci scalar can be linearized.

With a similar procedure the generalized Ricci tensor can be written as,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\overline{A\underline{B}}} = R_{\overline{A\underline{B}}} + \kappa \mathcal{R}_{(\kappa)\overline{A\underline{B}}} + \kappa^2 \mathcal{R}_{(\kappa^2)\overline{A\underline{B}}}, \qquad (3.33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{(\kappa)\overline{A}\underline{B}} &= -\frac{1}{2}D_{\overline{A}}\left(K_{\underline{B}}D_{\overline{C}}\overline{K}^{\overline{C}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}E^{\underline{C}}\left(K_{\underline{C}}D_{\underline{B}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}E^{\underline{C}}\left(K_{\underline{B}}D_{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}E^{\underline{C}}\left(\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E_{\underline{B}}K_{\underline{C}}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}E^{\underline{C}}\left(\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E_{\underline{C}}K_{\underline{B}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}}K^{\underline{C}}E_{\overline{A}}F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}E_{\overline{A}}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}}K^{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}}E_{\overline{A}}K^{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}E^{\underline{C}}K^{\underline{D}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}}E^{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E^{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}}K^{\underline{C}}E_{\overline{D}}F_{\underline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}E^{\underline{C}}K^{\underline{D}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{C}}E_{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}}E^{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E^{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}}K^{\underline{C}}E_{\overline{D}}F_{\underline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{C}}D_{\underline{B}}\overline{K}_{\overline{E}}F^{\underline{C}\overline{E}}_{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{B}}D_{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\overline{E}}F^{\underline{C}\overline{E}}_{\overline{A}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{E}}E_{\underline{B}}K_{\underline{C}}F^{\underline{C}\overline{E}}_{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{E}}E_{\underline{C}}K_{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{C}\overline{E}}_{\overline{A}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}D_{\overline{D}}K_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}\overline{D}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{D}}D_{\overline{A}}K_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}\overline{D}} + \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{C}}E_{\overline{D}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}\overline{D}} - \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{C}}E_{\overline{A}}\overline{K}_{\overline{D}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}\overline{D}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{C}}D_{\underline{B}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{C}} + \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}} - \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{B}}D_{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{C}} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E_{\underline{B}}K_{\underline{C}}F^{\underline{C}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E_{\underline{D}}K_{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{E}}K_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}D^{\underline{C}}\overline{D} + \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{C}} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E_{\underline{B}}K_{\underline{C}}F^{\underline{C}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E_{\underline{C}}K_{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{E}}K_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}D^{\underline{C}}\overline{D} + \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{C}}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\underline{A}}E_{\underline{C}}K_{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{E}}K_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}D^{\underline{C}} + \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}}\overline{K}_{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\underline{A}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\underline{A}}E_{\underline{C}}K_{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\underline{E}}K_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}D^{\underline{C}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{(\kappa^{2})\overline{A}\underline{B}} = \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}}K^{\underline{C}}\left(E_{\overline{D}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}\right)\left(E_{\underline{C}}K_{\underline{B}}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}}K^{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}\left(D_{\overline{D}}E_{\underline{C}}K_{\underline{B}}\right) \\
- \frac{1}{4}K^{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}K_{\underline{B}}\left(E_{\underline{C}}D_{\overline{D}}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}}\right) - \frac{1}{4}K^{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}K^{\underline{E}}E_{\underline{C}}\left(\overline{K}^{\overline{D}}F_{\overline{D}\underline{B}\underline{E}}\right) \\
- K^{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}\left(E_{\underline{C}}D_{\underline{B}}f\right) + \left(K_{\underline{B}}D_{\underline{C}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}\right)\left(D^{\underline{C}}f\right) - \left(\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E_{\underline{B}}K_{\underline{C}}\right)\left(D^{\underline{C}}f\right) \\
+ \left(\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E_{\underline{C}}K_{\underline{B}}\right)\left(D^{\underline{C}}f\right) + \left(K^{\underline{D}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}}\right)\left(D^{\underline{C}}f\right) .$$
(3.35)

As can be appreciated, the EOM of the generalized metric contains quadratic terms even if f = 0, and unlike general relativity there no exist α_1 and α_2 such that the quadratic terms can be written as

$$\mathcal{R}_{(\kappa^2)\overline{\underline{A}\underline{B}}} = \alpha_1 \kappa \bar{K}_{\overline{A}} \bar{K}^{\overline{C}} \mathcal{R}_{(\kappa)\overline{\underline{C}\underline{B}}} + \alpha_2 \kappa K_{\underline{B}} K^{\underline{C}} \mathcal{R}_{(\kappa)\overline{\underline{A}\underline{C}}}.$$

The previous equation shows that the equation of motion of the generalized metric cannot be linearized when the GKSA is considered. Nevertheless, upon breaking the global O(D, D) invariance and using the equation of motion of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $b_{\mu\nu}$, it is straightforward to probe that the quadratic contributions vanish when f = 0, as showed in [10].

4 Higher-derivative Double Field Theory

Higher-derivative extensions in DFT were analyzed in several works [8] [9]. An iterative procedure to find an infinite tower of this kind of terms was recently given in [17]. In that work the authors consider an O(D, D+K) multiplet $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{MN}$, which is a generalized metric constrained to be an element of O(D, D+K) with invariant metric $\widehat{\eta}_{MN}$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{MP}} \ \widehat{\eta}^{\mathcal{PQ}} \ \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{NQ}} = \widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{MN}} \ , \tag{4.1}$$

 $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} = 0, \dots, 2d - 1 + K$. In this formulation, K is the dimension of a gauge group \mathcal{K} and therefore $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is parametrized by a generalized metric which is an O(D, D) element and by a generalized constrained O(D, D) vector field.

The generalized frame $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\mathcal{A}}$ relates the generalized metric $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{MN}}$ with the flat generalized metric $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{AB}}$, and the O(D, D + K) invariant metric $\widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{MN}}$ with its flat version $\widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{AB}}$ (which we assume to be constant) as follows

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{MN}} = \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\mathcal{A}} \, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{AB}} \, \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}{}^{\mathcal{B}} \tag{4.2}$$

$$\widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} = \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\mathcal{A}} \widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}{}^{\mathcal{B}} .$$
(4.3)

Since the idea of this formalism is to cast the O(D, D + K) formulation in terms of O(D, D) frame multiplets, the extended Lorentz subgroup $O(1, D - 1 + K) \rightarrow O(1, D - 1) \times O(K)$ is broken such that the flat indices now split as $\mathcal{A} = (\underline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) = (\underline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\alpha})$, and transform respectively under $O(D - 1, 1) \times O(1, D - 1) \times O(K)$.

Under this splitting we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{MN}} = -\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\underline{A}}\,\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\underline{A}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\overline{A}}\,\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\overline{A}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\overline{\alpha}}\,\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\overline{\alpha}} , \qquad (4.4)$$

$$\widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} = \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\underline{A}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\underline{A}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\overline{A}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\overline{A}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}{}^{\overline{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\overline{\alpha}} , \qquad (4.5)$$

where we use the convention that \mathcal{P}_{AB} , $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{AB}$ and $\kappa_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}$ raise and lower indices once we parametrize the $O(D-1,1) \times O(1, D-1+K)$ projectors as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{AB} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \widehat{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{AB} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \kappa_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} .$$
(4.6)

We introduce the following O(D, D) multiplets

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN}$$
, $\mathcal{C}_M{}^{\alpha}$, d , (4.7)

and the $O(D, D)/O(D - 1, 1) \times O(1, D - 1)$ frames which satisfy

$$\eta_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{MP} \eta^{PQ} \mathcal{H}_{NQ}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = -\mathcal{E}_{M}{}^{\underline{A}} \mathcal{E}_{N\underline{A}} + \mathcal{E}_{M}{}^{\overline{A}} \mathcal{E}_{N\overline{A}} ,$$
$$\eta_{MN} = \mathcal{E}_{M}{}^{\underline{A}} \mathcal{E}_{N\underline{A}} + \mathcal{E}_{M}{}^{\overline{A}} \mathcal{E}_{N\overline{A}}$$
(4.8)

and we demand

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_M{}^N \mathcal{C}_N{}^\alpha = 0, \quad \mathcal{P}_M{}^N \mathcal{C}_N{}^\alpha = \mathcal{C}_M{}^\alpha.$$
(4.9)

It is straightforward to find the relation between the O(D, D) multiplets and the components of the O(D, D + K) multiplets. Given the following parameterization [24]

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{MN}} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{MN} & \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M}{}^{\beta} \\ (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{T})^{\alpha}{}_{N} & \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad \widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{MN}} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{MN} & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (4.10)$$

one obtains a non-polynomial relation given by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{MN} + 2\mathcal{C}_{M\alpha} \left(\kappa + \mathcal{C}^T \eta^{-1} \mathcal{C}\right)^{-1\alpha\beta} (\mathcal{C}^T)_{\beta N} ,
\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M\alpha} = 2\mathcal{C}_{M\beta} \left(\kappa + \mathcal{C}^T \eta^{-1} \mathcal{C}\right)^{-1\beta\gamma} \kappa_{\gamma\alpha} ,
\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\alpha\beta} = -\kappa_{\alpha\beta} + 2\kappa_{\alpha\gamma} \left(\kappa + \mathcal{C}^T \eta^{-1} \mathcal{C}\right)^{-1\gamma\delta} \kappa_{\delta\beta} .$$
(4.11)

The tilded fields are also O(D, D) multiplets, which are constrained by the requirement (4.1), that reads

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_M{}^P \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{NP} + \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_M{}^\alpha \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{N\alpha} = \eta_{MN} , \qquad (4.12)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_M{}^P \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_P{}^\alpha + \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_M{}^\beta \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\beta{}^\alpha = 0 , \qquad (4.13)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{P\alpha}\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{P}{}_{\beta} + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\gamma\alpha}\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} = \kappa_{\alpha\beta} .$$
(4.14)

On the other hand introducing the following definitions

$$\Delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \kappa_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} + \mathcal{C}_{M\alpha}\mathcal{C}^{M\beta}$$
(4.15)

$$\Xi_M{}^N = \eta_M{}^N + \mathcal{C}_{M\alpha}\mathcal{C}^{N\alpha} \tag{4.16}$$

we can parametrize

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{M}^{\overline{A}} = \mathcal{E}_{M}^{\overline{A}}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{M}^{\underline{A}} = (\Xi^{-\frac{1}{2}})_{M}^{P} \mathcal{E}_{P}^{\underline{A}}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{M}^{\overline{\alpha}} = \mathcal{C}_{M}^{\gamma} (\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}})_{\gamma}^{\beta} e_{\beta}^{\overline{\alpha}}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha}^{\overline{A}} = 0$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha}^{\underline{A}} = -\mathcal{E}^{P\underline{A}} (\Xi^{-\frac{1}{2}})_{P}^{Q} \mathcal{C}_{Q\alpha}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha}^{\overline{\alpha}} = (\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}})_{\alpha}^{\beta} e_{\beta}^{\overline{\alpha}},$$
(4.17)

which verify (4.4) and (4.5). Here we have introduced a constant $e_{\alpha}{}^{\overline{\beta}}$ that identifies the gauge indices α with $\overline{\alpha}$ and satisfies $e_{\alpha}{}^{\overline{\alpha}}\kappa_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}e_{\beta}{}^{\overline{\beta}} = \kappa_{\alpha\beta}$.

4.1 Biparametric corrections

In this work, we explicitly implement the following identification for the gauge group \mathcal{K} ,

$$\mathcal{K} = O(1, D - 1) \subset O(1, D - 1 + K), \qquad (4.18)$$

which is enough to include 4-derivative terms in the ordinary DFT action, as was discussed in appendix A of [17]. The idea is to identify the gauge degrees of freedom $C_M{}^{\alpha}$ with (derivatives of) the generalized frame $\mathcal{E}_M{}^A$.

Let us first begin by introducing the generators $(t_{\alpha})_{\overline{A}}^{\overline{B}}$ that relate objects with gauge and $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ -projected adjoint Lorentz indices

$$A_{\alpha} = -(t_{\alpha})_{\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}} A_{\overline{A}}^{\overline{B}} , \qquad A_{\overline{A}}^{\overline{B}} = -A_{\alpha}(t^{\alpha})_{\overline{A}}^{\overline{B}} .$$

$$(4.19)$$

This implies that

$$(t^{\alpha})^{\overline{AB}}(t_{\alpha})_{\overline{CD}} = \delta^{\overline{A}}_{[\overline{C}}\delta^{\overline{B}}_{\overline{D}]} , \qquad (t_{\alpha})_{\overline{A}}{}^{\overline{B}}(t_{\beta})_{\overline{B}}{}^{\overline{A}} = \kappa_{\alpha\beta} , \qquad (4.20)$$

and

$$[t_{\alpha}, t_{\beta}] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} t_{\gamma} . \qquad (4.21)$$

We define

$$\mathcal{C}_{\underline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}} = -\sqrt{2}\mathcal{E}^{M}{}_{\underline{A}}\mathcal{C}_{M}{}^{\alpha}(t_{\alpha})_{\overline{B}\overline{C}}$$
(4.22)

which we identify with $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{ABC}}$, as its index structure suggests. It is important to remark that this method is valid only to include four-derivative terms in the action principle, as discussed in [17].

Mimicking the previous procedure, but starting with an O(D+K, D) invariant theory results in an equivalent O(D, D) formalism up to a Z_2 transformation. Therefore, the most general higher-order action principle in terms of O(D, D) fields is a biparametric action with the following form,

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^{2D} X e^{-2d} \hat{\mathcal{R}} = \int d^{2D} X e^{-2d} \left(\mathcal{R} + a \mathcal{R}^{(-)} + b \mathcal{R}^{(+)} \right)$$
(4.23)

where $\mathcal{R}^{(+)}$ is

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}^{(+)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{B}}_{\ \overline{CD}} \right) \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}\overline{CD}} + \left(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}}_{\ \overline{CD}} \right) \mathcal{F}^{\underline{B}\overline{CD}} + 2 \left(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\ \overline{CD}} \right) \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}}_{\ \overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{B}} \\ &+ \left(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}\overline{CD}} \right) \left(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{B}}_{\ \overline{CD}} \right) + \left(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\ \overline{CD}} \right) \left(\mathcal{E}^{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{B}}_{\ \overline{CD}} \right) + 2 \left(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}} \right) \mathcal{F}^{\underline{B}}_{\ \overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}\overline{CD}} \\ &+ \left(\mathcal{E}_{\overline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}\overline{CD}} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\ \overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}^{\overline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - \left(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}\overline{CD}} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\ \overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} + 2 \left(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}}_{\ \overline{CD}} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\ \overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{B}} \\ &- 4 \left(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\ \overline{CD}} \right) \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}}_{\ \overline{CE}} \mathcal{F}^{\overline{B}\overline{E}}_{\ \overline{D}} + \frac{4}{3} \mathcal{F}^{\overline{E}}_{\ \underline{A}\overline{C}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}\overline{ED}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\ \overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} + \mathcal{F}^{\underline{B}}_{\ \overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\ \overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}} \\ &+ \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\ \overline{CE}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}\overline{ED}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}}_{\ \overline{CG}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{B}\overline{GD}} - \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\ \overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\overline{ED}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}}_{\ \overline{CG}} \mathcal{F}^{\overline{B}\overline{GD}} - \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\underline{B}\underline{D}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{C}\overline{D}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\ \overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\ \overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\overline{CD}} \right) \right], \end{split}$$

in agreement with [8], and was determined through the corrections to the extended generalized fluxes using (4.17),

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\overline{ABC}} = \mathcal{F}_{\overline{ABC}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\overline{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\overline{CD}}{}_{[\underline{B}} + \mathcal{F}^{\underline{E}\overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\overline{A}\underline{E}[\underline{B}]} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}|\overline{CD}},
\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{ABC}} = \mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABC}} - \frac{3}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{D}\overline{EF}} \mathcal{F}^{\overline{EF}}{}_{[\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{D}}{}_{\underline{BC}]},
\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{ABC}} = \mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABC}} + \frac{3}{2} \left(\mathcal{E}_{[\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\overline{CD}}{}_{\underline{B}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{D}[\underline{AB}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{D}\overline{CD}} - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{F}^{\overline{C}}{}_{\overline{E}[\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}{}^{\underline{ED}} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}|\overline{CD}},
\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{A}} = \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}} - \frac{1}{4} \left[\mathcal{F}^{\underline{B}}{}_{\underline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}{}^{\overline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}} + \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \left(\mathcal{F}^{\underline{B}}{}_{\underline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}{}^{\overline{CD}} \right) \right].$$
(4.24)

 $\mathcal{R}^{(-)}$ coincides with $\mathcal{R}^{(+)}$ modulo a Z_2 transformation. Here *a* and *b* are undetermined constants and there exists an infinite amount of first-order duality invariant theories, some of them not related to String Theory [25]. In this work we focus in the case a = 0 and b = 1in order to match with the higher-derivative heterotic supergravity after parametrization. The explicit form of the κ terms of the 4-derivative Lagrangian is given in appendix A.

4.2 Equations of motion

Using the procedure discussed in the previous section, the equations of motion of higherderivative heterotic DFT can be recast in the following compact form,

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} + \mathcal{R}^{(+)} = 0, \qquad (4.25)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\overline{B}\underline{A}} = \mathcal{R}_{\overline{B}\underline{A}} + \mathcal{R}^{(+)}_{\overline{B}\underline{A}} = 0, \qquad (4.26)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{\overline{B}\underline{A}}^{(+)} = -\frac{b}{4}\mathcal{E}_{\overline{B}}\left[\mathcal{E}_{\underline{C}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{C}\overline{EF}}\right) + \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{C}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}\right] - \frac{b}{2}\mathcal{E}^{\underline{C}}\left[\left(\mathcal{E}_{\overline{B}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\overline{EF}} + \mathcal{F}^{\underline{D}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}_{\overline{B}\underline{D}\underline{A}}\right)\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\underline{B}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\overline{G}}\right] \\ + \frac{b}{2}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\overline{G}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\overline{EF}} + \mathcal{F}^{\underline{D}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}_{\overline{G}\underline{D}\underline{A}}\right)\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\underline{B}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{C}\overline{G}}_{\overline{B}} + \frac{b}{4}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\underline{C}}^{\overline{G}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}\underline{G}}^{\underline{D}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{D}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{C}\overline{EF}} \\ + \frac{b}{4}\mathcal{E}_{\underline{D}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}\underline{A}}^{\underline{C}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{D}\overline{EF}} + b\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\underline{B}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{E}_{\overline{B}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{C}\overline{EF}} - b\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\underline{B}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{D}}^{\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}\underline{D}} \\ - \frac{b}{2}\mathcal{E}_{\overline{B}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{C}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\overline{E}}^{\overline{G}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\overline{G}\overline{F}} + \frac{b}{2}\mathcal{E}_{\overline{B}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{C}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{D}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\underline{C}}^{\underline{D}} - \frac{b}{2}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\overline{E}}^{\overline{G}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}} \\ - \frac{b}{2}\mathcal{E}_{\overline{B}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{C}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\overline{E}}^{\overline{G}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\overline{G}\overline{F}} + \frac{b}{2}\mathcal{E}_{\overline{B}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{C}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{D}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\underline{C}}^{\underline{D}} - \frac{b}{2}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\overline{E}}^{\overline{G}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}} \\ + \frac{b}{2}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{CD}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}\underline{C}\underline{G}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{D}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F} - \frac{b}{2}\left(E_{\overline{B}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F}^{\underline{D}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}\underline{D}\underline{A}}\right)\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\underline{B}\overline{F}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{C}} \\ + \frac{b}{4}\left[\mathcal{E}_{\underline{D}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{D}\overline{EF}}\right) + \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}^{\underline{D}\overline{EF}}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{D}}\right]\mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}\underline{A}}^{\underline{C}}, \qquad (4.27)$$

and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ given in the previous section. The former equation corresponds to the first-order correction to the equation of motion of the generalized frame in the flux formalism of heterotic DFT. As far as we know, this result was not previously reported in the literature considering the flux formulation of DFT.

4.3 Generalized Green-Schwarz transformations

The biparametric higher-derivative DFT action (4.23) is invariant under generalized Lorentz transformations only if the generalized frame receives a higher-derivative correction to its Lorentz transformation,

$$\delta^{(1)} \mathcal{E}_{M\overline{A}} = -\mathcal{E}_{M}{}^{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}{}^{\overline{CD}} \mathcal{E}^{\overline{A}} \Gamma_{\overline{CD}},$$

$$\delta^{(1)} \mathcal{E}_{M\underline{A}} = \mathcal{E}_{M}{}^{\overline{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}{}^{\overline{CD}} \mathcal{E}_{\overline{B}} \Gamma_{\overline{CD}},$$
 (4.28)

where Γ_{AB} was defined in (3.22). Equations (4.28) mimic a Green-Schwarz mechanism, but in a DFT scenario. Using the previous expressions it is straightforward to obtain the following transformations

$$\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{F}_{\overline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} = \sqrt{2}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N\overline{A}}(\partial^{N}\mathcal{E}^{M}{}_{\underline{B}})\mathcal{E}_{\underline{M}\underline{C}} + 2\mathcal{E}_{[\underline{B}}\mathcal{E}^{N}{}_{\underline{C}]}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N\overline{A}} + 2\sqrt{2}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N[\underline{B}}\partial^{N}\mathcal{E}^{M}{}_{\underline{C}]}\mathcal{E}_{M\overline{A}} - 2(\mathcal{E}_{[\underline{C}}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{\underline{N}\underline{B}]})\mathcal{E}^{N}{}_{\overline{A}}, \delta^{(1)}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}} = \sqrt{2}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{\underline{N}\underline{A}}(\partial^{N}\mathcal{E}^{M}{}_{\overline{B}})\mathcal{E}_{M\overline{C}} + 2\mathcal{E}_{[\overline{B}}\mathcal{E}^{N}{}_{\overline{C}]}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{\underline{N}\underline{A}} + 2\sqrt{2}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N[\overline{B}}\partial^{N}\mathcal{E}^{M}{}_{\overline{C}]}\mathcal{E}_{\underline{M}\underline{A}} - 2(\mathcal{E}_{[\overline{C}}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{\underline{N}\overline{B}}])\mathcal{E}^{N}{}_{\underline{A}}.$$
(4.29)

When one imposes the GKSA, the generalized background E_{MA} and the generalized null vectors K_M , \bar{K}_M receive a first-order Lorentz transformation coming from (4.28). This transformation can be interpreted as a generalized Green-Schwarz transformation and it must respect the constraints of the GKSA. Inspecting the null condition we need,

$$\delta^{(1)}(\bar{K}_M)\bar{K}^M = 0, \quad \delta^{(1)}(K_M)K^M = 0.$$
(4.30)

Similar relations can be found inspecting the generalized geodesic equations,

$$\delta^{(1)}\left(K^{\underline{A}}D_{\underline{A}}\bar{K}^{\overline{C}}\right) = 0, \quad \delta^{(1)}\left(\bar{K}^{\overline{A}}D_{\overline{A}}K^{\underline{C}}\right) = 0.$$

$$(4.31)$$

The previous conditions cannot be satisfied with the zeroth-order constraints for a generic solution, and therefore equations (4.30) and (4.31) can be interpreted as extra constraints of the theory. In the next part of the work we break the duality group in order to obtain the low energy effective heterotic supergravity with higher-derivative terms.

5 Heterotic parametrization

5.1 Parametrization

We start by taking D = 10 and promoting the duality group to $\mathcal{H} = O(9, 1)_L \times O(1, 9+n)_R$ with n = 496 in order to describe the 10-dimensional heterotic supergravity. We admit the inclusion of heterotic vectors in a duality covariant formulation as in [26]. We split the indices as $M = (\mu, \mu, i)$ and $A = (\underline{a}, \overline{a}, \overline{i})$. The generalized frame is parametrized in the following way,

$$E^{M}{}_{A} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{\mu\underline{a}} & E^{\mu}{}_{\underline{a}} & E^{i}{}_{\underline{a}} \\ E_{\mu\overline{a}} & E^{\mu}{}_{\overline{a}} & E^{i}{}_{\overline{a}} \\ E_{\mu\overline{i}} & E^{\mu}{}_{\overline{i}} & E^{i}{}_{\overline{i}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{e}_{o\mu a} - C_{o\rho\mu}\tilde{e}^{\rho}_{oa} & \tilde{e}^{\mu}_{oa} & -A_{o\rho}{}^{i}\tilde{e}^{\rho}_{oa} \\ \tilde{e}_{o\mu a} - C_{o\rho\mu}\tilde{e}^{\rho}_{oa} & \tilde{e}^{\mu}_{oa} & -A_{o\rho}{}^{i}\tilde{e}^{\rho}_{oa} \\ \sqrt{2}A_{o\mu i}e^{i}{}_{\overline{i}} & 0 & \sqrt{2}e^{i}{}_{\overline{i}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $C_{o\mu\nu} = b_{o\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}A_{o\mu}{}^{i}A_{o\nu i}$. The invariant projectors of DFT are parametrized in the following way

$$P_{\underline{a}\underline{b}} = -\eta_{ab}\delta^{a}_{\underline{a}}\delta^{b}_{\underline{b}}, \quad \overline{P}_{\overline{a}\overline{b}} = \eta_{ab}\delta^{a}_{\overline{a}}\delta^{b}_{\overline{b}}.$$
(5.2)

According to the previous parametrization, the generalized metric takes the following form,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{o}^{\mu\nu} & -\tilde{g}_{o}^{\mu\rho}C_{o\rho\nu} & -\tilde{g}_{o}^{\mu\rho}A_{o\rhoi} \\ -\tilde{g}_{o}^{\nu\rho}C_{o\rho\mu} & \tilde{g}_{o\mu\nu} + C_{o\rho\mu}C_{o\sigma\nu}\tilde{g}_{o}^{\rho\sigma} + A_{o\mu}{}^{i}\kappa_{ij}A_{o\nu}{}^{j} & C_{o\rho\mu}\tilde{g}_{o}^{\rho\sigma}A_{o\sigmai} + A_{o\mu}{}^{j}\kappa_{ji} \\ -\tilde{g}_{o}^{\nu\rho}A_{o\rhoi} & C_{o\rho\nu}\tilde{g}_{o}^{\rho\sigma}A_{o\sigmai} + A_{o\nu}{}^{j}\kappa_{ij} & \kappa_{ij} + A_{o\rhoi}\tilde{g}_{o}^{\rho\sigma}A_{o\sigmaj} \end{pmatrix} .$$
(5.3)

On the other hand K_M and \bar{K}_M can be parametrized as

$$K_M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} l^{\mu} \\ -l_{\mu} - C_{o\rho\mu} l^{\rho} \\ -A_{oi\rho} l^{\rho} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{K}_M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{l}^{\mu} \\ \bar{l}_{\mu} - C_{o\rho\mu} \bar{l}^{\rho} \\ -A_{oi\rho} \bar{l}^{\rho} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (5.4)

We impose the standard gauge fixing for the double Lorentz group,

$$\tilde{e}_{o\mu a}\eta^{ab}\tilde{e}_{o\nu b} = \tilde{\overline{e}}_{o\mu a}\eta^{ab}\tilde{\overline{e}}_{o\nu b} = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}\,,\tag{5.5}$$

with η_{ab} the ten dimensional flat metric, $a, b = 0, \ldots, 9$. Finally, the parametrization of the generalized dilaton is,

$$e^{-2d} = \sqrt{\tilde{g}}e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} \,. \tag{5.6}$$

The previous parametrization reproduce the low energy heterotic supergravity with higher-derivative terms. While $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and $b_{\mu\nu}$ are consistently perturbed by a pair of null vectors l and \bar{l} as in (2.14) and (2.18), the perturbations of the gauge field $A_{o\mu i}$ are suppressed by the O(10, 10 + n) invariance.

5.2 Field redefinitions

One of the most interesting aspects of higher-derivative DFT is the need of field redefinitions to match with standard heterotic supergravity using (5.1). It is straightforward to show that $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$ transforms under Lorentz transformations as,

$$\delta_{\Lambda} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\Lambda} (\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}_{o} + \kappa l^{(\mu} \bar{l}^{\nu)}) = -\Omega_{(\mu}{}^{ab} \partial_{\nu)} \Lambda_{ab} \,.$$
(5.7)

We stress that this field redefinition is independent of the GKSA and therefore it is mandatory to consider an exact metric and dilaton redefinition of the form [8],

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{(-)}_{\mu ab} \Omega^{(-)ab}_{\nu}, \qquad (5.8)$$

$$\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} = \sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi}, \qquad (5.9)$$

and hence $\delta_{\Lambda}g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ as in (2.19). The previous field redefinitions can be imposed at the level of perturbative double field theory and, in particular, when one considers the GKSA. Parametrizing the generalized frame perturbations we find (before imposing the gauge fixing),

$$\tilde{\bar{e}}_{\mu}^{\ \overline{a}} = \tilde{\bar{e}}_{o\mu}^{\ \overline{a}} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\kappa} \bar{l}_{\mu} l_{\nu} \tilde{\bar{e}}_{o}^{\nu \overline{a}} , \qquad (5.10)$$

$$\tilde{e}_{\mu}{}^{\underline{a}} = \tilde{e}_{o\mu}{}^{\underline{a}} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\kappa}l_{\mu}\bar{l}_{\nu}\tilde{e}_{o}^{\nu\underline{a}}, \qquad (5.11)$$

$$\tilde{\bar{e}}^{\mu\bar{a}} = \tilde{\bar{e}}_{o}^{\ \mu\bar{a}} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa l^{\mu}\bar{l}^{\nu}\tilde{\bar{e}}_{o\nu}{}^{\overline{a}}, \qquad (5.12)$$

$$\tilde{e}^{\mu\underline{a}} = \tilde{e}_o{}^{\mu\overline{a}} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa\bar{l}^{\mu}l^{\nu}\tilde{e}_{o\nu}{}^{\underline{a}}, \qquad (5.13)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\kappa} = \frac{2\kappa}{2 + \kappa (l \cdot \overline{l})}.$$
(5.14)

In the limit $\kappa^2 \sim 0$ we can identify $\tilde{\tilde{e}}_{\mu}{}^{\overline{a}} \rightarrow \tilde{e}_{\mu}{}^{a}$ (and $\tilde{\tilde{e}}^{\mu\overline{a}} \rightarrow \tilde{e}^{\mu a}$). Moreover, since the field redefinition (5.8) contains two explicit derivatives we can construct the torsionful connection using $e_{\mu a}$ instead of $\tilde{e}_{\mu a}$. The former is perturbed as

$$e_{\mu}{}^{a} = e_{o\mu}{}^{a} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa \bar{l}_{\mu}l_{\nu}e_{o}^{\nu \bar{a}}$$
(5.15)

and the torsionful connection can be easily constructed considering the perturbations of the spin connection

$$w_{\mu ab} = w_{o\mu ab} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \left[\nabla_{o\mu} \left(l_{\nu} \bar{l}_{\sigma} \right) + \nabla_{o\nu} \left(l_{\sigma} \bar{l}_{\mu} \right) - \nabla_{o\sigma} \left(l_{\mu} \bar{l}_{\nu} \right) \right] e_{o[a}^{\nu} e_{ob]}^{\sigma} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^2) , \qquad (5.16)$$

and the 3-form

$$H_{\mu\nu\rho} = H_{o\mu\nu\rho} - 3\kappa \nabla_{o[\mu} \left(l_{\nu} \bar{l}_{\rho]} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^2) \,. \tag{5.17}$$

In this case the gauge fixing implies $\tilde{e}_{o\mu}{}^{\underline{a}}\delta_{\underline{a}}{}^{\underline{a}} = e_{o\mu}{}^{\underline{a}} - \tilde{\kappa}\bar{l}_{[\mu}l_{\nu]}e_{o}{}^{\nu a}$, where the left background vielbein is related to right one through l and \bar{l} terms.

In what follows we use the present formulation to find higher-derivative corrections in the context of heterotic supergravity considering the GKSA. We restrict our study to the leading order in κ terms in order to be compatible with the gauge fixing here presented.

6 Classical Double Copy

The double-copy structure of perturbative gravity originates from string theory in the so called KLT formalism, where one identifies universal relations between open- and closedstring tree-level amplitudes [18]. The KLT relations have been later on reformulated in a field-theory framework by Bern, Carrasco and Johansson (BCJ) noticing a hidden symmetry of gauge-theory amplitudes which is a duality between color and kinematics [19]. In heterotic supergravity, the identification of the null vectors with a pair of U(1)gauge fields reproduce a pair of Maxwell-like equations to describe the dynamics of the system, as showed in [16]. In this part of the work we inspect higher-derivative corrections to these equations.

6.1 Double null vector ansatz

Higher-derivative terms can be easily incorporated in the classical double copy prescription of the low energy limit of heterotic string theory. We assume that the geometry admits one Killing vector ξ^{μ} such that the Lie derivative L_{ξ} acting on an exact field vanishes,

$$L_{\xi}T_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\dots} = 0 \tag{6.1}$$

where $T_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\dots}$ is an arbitrary tensor. Moreover we choose a coordinate system where ξ^{μ} is covariantly constant, *i.e.*,

$$\nabla_{o\mu}\xi_{\nu} = \nabla_{o[\mu}\xi_{\nu]} = 0, \qquad (6.2)$$

and then condition (6.1) is

$$\xi^{\mu} \nabla_{o\mu} T_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \dots} = 0.$$
(6.3)

We normalize the null vectors to satisfy,

$$\xi_{\mu}\eta^{\mu\nu}l_{\mu} = \xi_{\mu}\eta^{\mu\nu}\bar{l}_{\mu} = 1.$$
(6.4)

In order to obtain the leading order terms with up to four derivatives in the single and zeroth copy, we perturbe the gravity contributions to (2.9) by considering $A_{o\mu i} = 0$, $\phi = \phi_o = \text{const.}$ and $\hat{H}_{\mu\nu\rho} = \hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\rho} = 0$. Then we contract the equation of motion for the metric tensor with ξ^{μ} and $\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\nu}$,

$$\xi^{\mu} \Delta^{(1)} g_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \xi^{\mu} g^{\sigma\tau} R_{\sigma\mu ab} R_{\tau\nu}{}^{ab} , \qquad (6.5)$$

$$\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\nu}\Delta^{(1)}g_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\nu}g^{\sigma\tau}R_{\sigma\mu ab}R_{\tau\nu}{}^{ab}, \qquad (6.6)$$

to find the single copy and the zeroth copy, respectively. We perturb around a generic background,

$$g^{\mu\nu} = g_o^{\mu\nu} + \kappa \varphi l^{(\mu} \bar{l}^{\nu)},$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{o\mu\nu} - \kappa \varphi l^{(\mu} \bar{l}^{\nu)},$$
(6.7)

keeping only κ terms to be compatible with the previous section, and we include the scalar function φ in the ansatz.

After imposing the previous ansatz the connection takes the following form

$$\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\sigma}_{o\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa g^{\sigma\rho}_{o} \left[\nabla_{o\mu} \left(\varphi \bar{l}_{(\nu} l_{\rho)} \right) + \nabla_{o\nu} \left(\varphi \bar{l}_{(\mu} l_{\rho)} \right) - \nabla_{o\rho} \left(\varphi \bar{l}_{(\mu} l_{\nu)} \right) \right] .$$
(6.8)

The Riemann tensor for this configuration can be written in the following covariant way,

$$R^{\sigma}{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} = R^{\sigma}{}_{o\lambda\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa g^{\sigma\rho}{}_{o}\nabla_{o\mu} \left[\nabla_{o\nu} \left(\varphi \bar{l}_{(\lambda} l_{\rho)}\right) + \nabla_{o\lambda} \left(\varphi \bar{l}_{(\nu} l_{\rho)}\right) - \nabla_{o\rho} \left(\varphi \bar{l}_{(\nu} l_{\lambda)}\right)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\kappa g^{\sigma\rho}{}_{o}\nabla_{o\nu} \left[\nabla_{o\mu} \left(\varphi \bar{l}_{(\lambda} l_{\rho)}\right) + \nabla_{o\lambda} \left(\varphi \bar{l}_{(\mu} l_{\rho)}\right) - \nabla_{o\rho} \left(\varphi \bar{l}_{(\mu} l_{\lambda)}\right)\right] + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{2}).$$

The leading order contributions to the equation of motion of the metric tensor were studied in [16] and match with Maxwell-like equations after identifing $\varphi l_{\mu} = A_{\mu}$ and $\varphi \bar{l}_{\mu} = \bar{A}_{\mu}$, where A_{μ} and \bar{A}_{μ} are a pair of U(1) gauge vectors,

$$\frac{\kappa}{4} \nabla^{\mu}_{o} F_{\mu\nu i} = 0, \qquad (6.9)$$

$$\frac{\kappa}{4}\nabla^{\mu}_{o}\bar{F}_{\mu\nu i} = 0. \qquad (6.10)$$

The curvatures for the abelian gauge fields are $F_{\mu\nu i} = 2\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]i}$ and $\bar{F}_{\mu\nu i} = 2\partial_{[\mu}\bar{A}_{\nu]i}$. The first correction to these equations are κ terms that come from the linear perturbation of the Riemann tensor in (6.6). Explicitly,

$$\frac{\kappa}{4} \left[\nabla^{\mu}_{o} F_{\mu\nu} + (\nabla_{o\rho} F_{\mu\sigma}) R_{o\nu}{}^{\mu\rho\sigma} \right] = 0, \qquad (6.11)$$

$$\frac{\kappa}{4} \left[\nabla^{\mu}_{o} \bar{F}_{\mu\nu} + (\nabla_{o\rho} \bar{F}_{\mu\sigma}) R_{o\nu}{}^{\mu\rho\sigma} \right] = 0, \qquad (6.12)$$

where we have used

$$[\nabla_{o\rho}, \nabla_{o\sigma}]\xi^{\mu} = R^{\mu}_{o\,\lambda\rho\sigma}\xi^{\lambda} = 0.$$
(6.13)

The zeroth copy dynamics does no receive a higher-derivative correction in this approximation,

$$\frac{\kappa}{4} \nabla^{\mu}_{o} \nabla_{o\mu} \varphi = 0.$$
(6.14)

Finally we mention that the contributions found in this paper are consistent with the KLT relation but they do not satisfy the color-kinematics duality. The gauge contributions that satisfy this duality are [27]

$$L_{\rm open} = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu i} F^{\mu\nu i} + \frac{2}{3} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\nu\lambda} F_{\lambda}{}^{\mu} + \mathcal{O}(F^4) , \qquad (6.15)$$

where L_{open} is the effective open string Lagrangian. The second contribution in (6.15) is a $\mathcal{O}(\alpha')$ contribution that requires non-abelian contributions from the structure constants of the heterotic gauge group. We left the study of these color-kinematics terms for future work.

7 Conclusions

We study the heterotic formulation of DFT when higher-derivative terms are included, and the field content is perturbed with the GKSA. We start by adapting the GKSA to the flux formulation of DFT. Then we compute a higher-derivative extension for DFT considering multiplets of O(D, D+K) and we choose the free parameters of the formalism to match with the heterotic case. At this stage we review the four-derivative corrections to the action principle of DFT and we compute the full first order equations of motion. Then we impose the GKSA to compute the leading-order contributions to the action principle and we study the first order symmetry corrections in this framework. The double Lorentz symmetry is deformed by a generalized Green-Schwarz transformation that must respect the constraints of the GKSA and these are new conditions for generic double backgrounds.

Upon parametrization, we reproduce the low energy heterotic supergravity with higherderivative terms. Higher-derivative field redefinitions are required to match with the standard transformation rules. The gravitational field content, $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $b_{\mu\nu}$, is consistently perturbed by a pair of null vectors l and \bar{l} . Interestingly enough, the perturbations of the gauge field $A_{\mu i}$ are suppressed by the O(10, 10 + n) invariance, using the flux formulation of DFT. This last point indicates a tension between the generalized metric formalism and the generalized frame formalism upon parametrization. Moreover the generalized frame formulation requires to impose gauge fixing to relate the vielbeins needed to construct the torsionful spin connection. Here we solve this issue considering $\kappa^2 \sim 0$.

As an application we study higher-derivative contributions to the classical double copy. We focus in the single and zeroth copy coming from the Riem² starting from a generic background. In this scenario we obtain four-derivative corrections to the κ Maxwell-like equations previously discussed in [16] in agreement with the KLT relation.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank K. Lee and K. Cho for exceedingly interesting remarks and comments. Support by CONICET is also gratefully acknowledged.

A Corrections to the DFT action

The four-derivative contributions to the DFT Lagrangian when the GKSA is imposed are given by

$$\mathcal{R} = R + b\kappa (T_0 + T_1 + T_2 + T_3) + b\mathcal{O}(\kappa^2), \qquad (A.1)$$

where

$$\begin{split} T_{0} &= K_{\underline{A}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}\left(-\frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{B}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{BC}}F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{BC}} - 2F^{\overline{B}\underline{B}\underline{A}}F_{\underline{B}\underline{C}\underline{D}}F^{\underline{C}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{C}F^{\underline{D}}_{\overline{C}}\overline{A} - \frac{1}{2}F^{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{A}}F^{\overline{B}\underline{C}\overline{A}}F_{\underline{B}\underline{B}\underline{B}} \\ &-F^{\overline{B}\underline{C}\overline{A}}F_{\underline{B}\underline{D}}\overline{E}F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{C}\underline{E}}F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{D} - 2F^{\overline{B}\underline{B}\underline{A}}F_{\underline{C}\underline{C}}\overline{A}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{D}\overline{C}F^{\underline{C}}_{\overline{B}\underline{D}} + F^{\overline{B}\underline{C}\overline{A}}F_{\underline{B}\underline{C}}\overline{D}F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{E}\underline{D}}F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{E} \\ &-\frac{1}{2}F^{\underline{B}}F^{\overline{B}\underline{C}\overline{D}}F_{\underline{B}\underline{B}\underline{C}}F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{D}}\overline{A} - F^{\overline{B}\underline{B}\underline{A}}F_{\underline{C}\underline{C}\overline{D}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}\overline{A}F^{\underline{C}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{D} + F^{\overline{B}\underline{B}\underline{A}}F_{\underline{B}\underline{B}}\overline{C}F_{\underline{C}\underline{D}}\overline{A}F^{\underline{C}}_{\overline{C}}\overline{D} \\ &-\frac{1}{2}F^{\underline{B}}B^{\underline{A}}F_{\underline{C}\underline{C}\overline{D}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}\overline{D}F^{\underline{C}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A} + \frac{1}{2}F_{\underline{B}\underline{B}}AF^{\overline{C}\underline{D}A}F^{\overline{B}\underline{B}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}\underline{D}} + F^{\overline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}\underline{B}}\overline{C}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}\overline{D}F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{D}\underline{C}} \\ &-\frac{1}{2}F^{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{A}\underline{C}\underline{A}}F_{\underline{C}\underline{D}}F^{\underline{B}}\overline{C} - F^{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{C}}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{B}}AF^{\underline{C}\underline{D}\overline{A}}F^{\underline{B}\underline{B}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}\underline{D}} + F^{\overline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}\underline{B}}\overline{C}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{A}}\overline{D}C \\ &-\frac{1}{2}F^{\underline{B}}F^{\overline{A}\underline{C}\underline{A}}F_{\underline{C}\underline{B}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}F - F^{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{C}}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{B}}AF_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}\overline{A}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}\overline{A} - \frac{1}{2}F^{\underline{B}\underline{B}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{C}\overline{D}F^{\underline{A}}\underline{D}C \\ &+F^{\overline{B}\underline{C}\underline{A}}F_{\underline{C}\underline{B}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}F - F^{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{C}}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}\overline{A}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{A}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{D}}F^{\underline{A}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{D}F^{\underline{A}}\underline{A}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{D}}F \\ &+F^{\overline{B}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}\underline{A}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{D}}F^{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}F + \frac{1}{2}F^{\underline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F^{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F^{\underline{C}}\underline{D}}F^{\underline{B}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{C}}\overline{A}F^{\underline{B}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{C}\overline{A}}F, \\ &+F^{\overline{B}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\underline{A}F^{\underline{C}}\underline{B}}F^{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{B}}}F_{\underline{C}}F^{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{D}}F_{\underline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F^{\underline{C}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{D}}F_{\underline{D}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{C}}\overline{A}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}F$$

$$\begin{split} T_1 &= -2E^{A}fF^{B}F_{\underline{B}AB}F_{\underline{A}}^{\overline{AB}} + K_{\underline{A}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}(-\frac{1}{2}E^{\overline{A}}F^{A}_{\overline{BC}}F^{B}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{BC}}\overline{F} + \frac{1}{2}E^{A}F_{\underline{B}BC}F^{\overline{B}}F^{\overline{A}B}\overline{F}} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}E^{A}F_{\underline{B}}F^{\overline{B}C\overline{A}}F^{B}_{\overline{B}C} - E^{A}F_{\underline{B}BC}F^{\overline{A}CB}F_{\underline{C}}^{\overline{B}C} - \frac{1}{2}E^{A}F_{\underline{B}BC}F^{B}F^{B}_{\overline{B}}\overline{C} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F^{A}_{\overline{C}D}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{C}}\overline{C}F^{B}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{A}F_{\underline{B}BC}F^{\overline{A}B\overline{B}}F^{D}_{\overline{D}}\overline{C}^{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{A}F_{\underline{B}BC}F^{B}_{\overline{D}}\overline{C}^{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{A}F_{\underline{B}BC}F^{B}_{\overline{D}}\overline{C}^{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{A}F_{\underline{B}BC}F^{B}_{\overline{D}}\overline{C}^{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\overline{A}}\overline{B}^{\overline{B}C} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F^{A}\overline{B}F^{C}F_{\underline{A}BC} - \frac{1}{2}E^{A}F_{\underline{B}}F^{B}}_{\overline{B}C}F^{A}\overline{F}^{\overline{B}C} + \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\overline{B}C}F^{B}_{\overline{D}}\overline{C}^{\overline{B}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\overline{A}}\overline{B}^{\overline{B}C} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\overline{A}}F^{A}\overline{C}\overline{C}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}^{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{B}C}F^{A}\overline{F}^{B}\overline{C}^{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\overline{A}}\overline{B}^{\overline{C}} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}F^{A}F^{A}\overline{C}\overline{D}^{\overline{B}} - \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}C}F^{A}\overline{F}^{\overline{A}\overline{D}}^{\overline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}F^{A}\overline{D}^{\overline{D}} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{B}\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}}F^{A}\overline{C}\overline{D}^{\overline{D}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{A}\overline{B}}F^{A}\overline{C}\overline{D}^{\overline{D}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{B}\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}}F^{A}\overline{C}\overline{D}^{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{A}\overline{B}}F^{A}\overline{C}\overline{D}^{\overline{A}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{B}\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}}F^{A}\overline{C}\overline{D}^{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{A}\overline{B}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}}\overline{C}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{\overline{B}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}} - E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{\overline{B}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{B}}\overline{B}} \\ &+ E^{B}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}}F^{C}\overline{A}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{B}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{\overline{A}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{B}} - \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{\overline{A}}\overline{A}C} F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}} \\ &+ E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}}^{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{C}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{\overline{A}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}\overline{C}}F^{\overline{A}}\overline{A}C} F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}} \\ &+ E^{B}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}}^{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{C}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_{\underline{D}}\overline{C}}F^{\overline{A}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{C}} F^{\underline{C}}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}E^{B}F_$$

$$\begin{split} &-\frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{A}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{BC}}F_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{BC}} - \frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{BCA}}F_{\underline{BB}}^{\overline{B}}\overline{D}F_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{CD}} + F^{\underline{C}}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}^{\underline{A}}F_{\underline{BB}}^{\underline{B}}\overline{A} \\ &+F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}\underline{A}F_{\underline{B}\underline{C}\underline{D}}F^{\underline{D}}\underline{A}^{\overline{A}} - \frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{BCA}}F_{\underline{BC}}\underline{A}F^{\underline{C}}_{\underline{BC}} - F^{\underline{C}}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{B}}\underline{A}F_{\underline{C}\overline{B}}\overline{A} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}\underline{A}F_{\underline{B}\overline{B}}\overline{C}F_{\underline{C}\overline{C}}\overline{A} - \frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}\underline{A}F_{\underline{C}\underline{B}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}A + \frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{A}}\underline{B}\underline{C}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}C}F_{\underline{A}}\underline{B}C \\ &+\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}E^{\overline{B}}K_{\underline{A}}(F^{\underline{A}}F^{\underline{B}}F_{\underline{B}\overline{B}}\overline{A} - F^{\underline{B}\underline{C}}B^{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}B^{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{C}^{\underline{A}} + 2F_{\underline{B}\underline{C}}\underline{A}F^{\underline{B}}\underline{B}}\overline{C}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{C}^{\overline{A}} \\ &+F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}^{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{A}}\underline{B}\underline{D}F^{\underline{B}}\overline{C}\overline{D} + 2F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{C}}\overline{A}F^{\underline{A}}\underline{D}\underline{C}F^{\underline{B}}\underline{B}^{\overline{D}} - F_{\underline{C}\underline{B}}\underline{A}F^{\underline{C}\underline{B}}\underline{C}F_{\underline{C}}B^{\overline{A}} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}F^{\underline{B}}\underline{B}\underline{A}F^{\overline{C}}\overline{D}\overline{A}F_{\underline{B}}\underline{C}\overline{D} - F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}^{\overline{D}}F^{\underline{A}}\underline{D}\overline{A}F^{\underline{B}}\underline{B}^{\overline{D}}) + \overline{K}_{\overline{A}}\underline{E}^{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{B}}(-\frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{A}}F_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{B}\underline{C}}F^{\underline{B}}\underline{B}\underline{C} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{A}}\underline{A}^{\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{B}}\underline{B}\overline{B} + F^{\underline{C}}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}B}F_{\underline{A}}\overline{B}^{\overline{A}} + F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}B}F_{\underline{A}\underline{D}}F^{\underline{D}}\underline{B}^{\overline{A}} \\ &-F^{\underline{C}}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{A}^{\underline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}^{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}F^{\underline{B}}F^{\overline{B}}\overline{C}}F_{\underline{A}}\underline{B}\overline{C} - \frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\overline{C}A}F_{\underline{A}\underline{B}}\overline{C} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}B}F_{\underline{A}}\overline{B}^{\overline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}^{\overline{A}} - \frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}B}F_{\underline{A}}\underline{B}^{\overline{A}} - \frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}B}F_{\underline{A}}\underline{B}}\overline{C} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}B}F_{\underline{A}}\overline{B}^{\overline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}\overline{C} - \frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}B}F_{\underline{A}}\underline{B}}\overline{C} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}B}F_{\underline{A}}\overline{B}^{\overline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{A}}B^{\overline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}B}F_{\underline{A}}B^{\overline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}B}F_{\underline{C}}B} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}B}F_{\underline{A}}\overline{B}^{\overline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{A}}B^{\overline{C}}}F_{\underline{C}}B}F_{\underline{C}}B}F_{\underline{C}}B^{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}B}F_{\underline{C}}B^{\underline{C}} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}B}F_{\underline{A}}B^{\overline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}B^{\overline{C}}F_{\underline{C}}B}F_{\underline{C}}B}F_{\underline{C}}B^{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}B}F_{\underline{C}}B^{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}B}F_{\underline{C}}B}F_{\underline{C}}B}F_{\underline{C}}B^{\underline{C}}\overline{B}}F_{$$

$$\begin{split} T_2 = & 2E^{\Delta}F_{\underline{B}\overline{A}\overline{B}}E^{\underline{B}}fF_{\underline{A}}^{\overline{AB}} - 2\sqrt{2}E^{\Delta}E^{M}{\underline{B}}F_{\underline{A}}^{\overline{AB}}F^{\underline{B}}{\underline{A}B}\partial_{M}f - 4E^{M\underline{A}}E^{N\underline{B}}F_{\underline{A}\overline{AB}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{AB}}\partial_{MN}f \\ & -2E^{\Delta}F_{\underline{A}\overline{AB}}E^{\underline{B}}fF_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{AB}} + K_{\underline{A}}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}}(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}E^{\Delta}E^{M\underline{B}}F^{\overline{ABC}}\partial_{M}F_{\underline{B}\overline{BC}} + E^{M\underline{A}}E^{N\underline{B}}F^{\overline{ABC}}\partial_{MN}F_{\underline{B}\overline{BC}} \\ & +\frac{1}{2}E^{\underline{B}}F_{\underline{B}\overline{B}\overline{C}}E^{\Delta}F^{\overline{ABC}} + \frac{1}{2}E^{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}}E^{\underline{B}}F_{\underline{B}\overline{B}\overline{C}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}E^{\Delta}E^{M}\underline{B}F^{\underline{B}\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F_{\overline{B}\overline{C}}^{\overline{A}} \\ & +\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}E^{\underline{B}}E^{M\overline{A}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F^{\underline{A}}_{\underline{B}\overline{C}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}E^{\overline{A}}E^{M\underline{B}}F^{\underline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F_{\underline{B}\overline{B}\overline{C}} + E^{M\overline{A}}E^{N\underline{B}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{MN}F^{\underline{A}}_{\underline{B}\overline{C}} \\ & +E^{M\overline{A}}E^{N\underline{B}}F^{\underline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{MN}F_{\underline{B}\overline{B}\overline{C}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}E^{\underline{B}}E^{M\underline{A}}F^{\overline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F_{\underline{B}\overline{B}\overline{C}} + \frac{1}{4}E^{\underline{B}}E^{M\overline{A}}F^{\underline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F_{\underline{B}\overline{B}\overline{C}} \\ & +\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}E^{\overline{A}}E^{M}F^{\underline{B}\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F_{\underline{B}\overline{B}\overline{C}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}E^{\underline{B}}E^{A}B^{\overline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}E^{\overline{A}}F^{\underline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F_{\underline{B}\overline{B}\overline{C}} \\ & +\sqrt{2}E^{\underline{B}}F_{\underline{B}\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}}E^{\underline{C}}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}^{\underline{A}} + E_{\underline{B}}F_{\underline{C}}^{\overline{A}}B^{\underline{B}}E^{\underline{B}}F^{\overline{A}\overline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}E^{\underline{B}}E^{M\underline{A}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F_{\overline{B}\overline{C}}^{\overline{A}} \\ & +E^{M\underline{B}}E^{N\underline{A}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F_{\overline{B}\overline{C}}^{\overline{A}} - \frac{1}{2}E^{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}E^{\underline{B}}E^{M\underline{A}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F_{\overline{B}\overline{C}}^{\overline{A}} \\ & +\sqrt{2}E^{\underline{B}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}}E^{\underline{C}}F^{\overline{B}}\underline{C}^{\underline{A}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}E^{\underline{B}}E^{M\underline{A}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F_{\overline{B}\overline{C}}^{\overline{A}} \\ & +2^{M}\underline{B}E^{N\underline{A}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{B}\overline{C}}\partial_{M}F_{\overline{B}}\overline{C}}^{\overline{A}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}E^{\underline{B}}E^{M\underline{A}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{B}\overline{C}}} \\ & +\sqrt{2}E^{\underline{B}}E^{\overline{A}}B}\partial_{M}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}^{\underline{A}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}E^{\underline{B}}E^{\underline{B}}E^{\overline{A}}B}\partial_{M}F_{\underline{B}}\underline{C}}^{\underline{A}} - 2E^{M\underline{B}}E^{\overline{A}}B}\partial_{M}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{C}}^{\underline{A}} \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}E^{\underline{B}}E^{M}}F_{\underline{B}}^{\overline{A}}}\partial_{M}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}E^{\underline{B}}E^{\underline{B}}E^{\overline{A}}B}\partial_{M}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}^{\overline{A}}} \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}E^{\underline$$

$$\begin{split} + e^{\Delta \overline{K}_{A}} E^{\overline{B}} K_{\underline{B}}(-F^{\underline{B}} F_{A}B^{\overline{A}} + F_{AC}^{\underline{B}} F^{\underline{C}}_{B}\overline{A}^{-1} \frac{1}{2} F_{A}\overline{B}^{\overline{C}} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{C}}\overline{A}^{-1} \frac{1}{2} F_{A}^{\overline{C}} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{C}^{-1} \frac{1}{2} F^{\underline{B}}_{A} F_{\underline{B}} F^{\underline{B}}_{A} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{C}} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{C}}\overline{A}^{-1} \frac{1}{2} F^{\underline{B}}_{A} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{C}^{-1} \frac{1}{2} F^{\underline{B}}_{A} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{C}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{A}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1}} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1}} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{B}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}^{-1}} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}\overline{A}}^{-1} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}} F^{\underline{B}}_{\overline{A}}} F^{\underline{A}}_{$$

$$\begin{split} T_{3} &= E^{\overline{A}}K_{\Delta}(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}E^{\underline{B}}E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{A}}B_{\partial \overline{A}}\overline{K}_{\overline{B}} - 2E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{B}}\overline{K}^{\overline{B}}\partial_{NN}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{A}\overline{B}} - 2E^{\underline{A}}\underline{A}E^{\underline{B}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{A}^{\overline{B}}\partial_{MN}\overline{K}_{\overline{B}} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{M}}\underline{B}}F^{\underline{B}}\overline{A}^{\overline{B}}\partial_{M}\overline{K}_{\overline{B}} + \sqrt{2}E^{\underline{B}}F^{\underline{A}}\overline{A}^{\overline{B}}}\underline{B}_{\underline{B}}\overline{K}_{\overline{B}}) \\ &\quad +E^{\overline{A}}\overline{K}_{\overline{B}}(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{M}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{A}}\overline{A}^{\overline{B}}}\partial_{M}K_{\underline{B}} - 2E^{\underline{M}}\underline{A}E^{\underline{N}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{A}}\overline{A}^{\overline{B}}}\partial_{MN}K_{\underline{B}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{M}}\underline{B}}F^{\underline{B}}\overline{A}^{\overline{B}}}\partial_{M}K_{\underline{B}}) \\ &\quad +V^{2}E^{\underline{A}}F\underline{B}}\overline{A}^{\overline{B}}\underline{E}_{\underline{A}}K\underline{B}) + E^{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{A}}(-2E^{\underline{M}}\overline{A}}E^{\underline{N}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{A}^{\overline{B}}}\partial_{M}\overline{K}_{\overline{B}}) \\ &\quad +E^{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{B}}(-2E^{M\overline{A}}E^{\underline{N}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{A}}\overline{A}^{\overline{B}}\partial_{M}K_{\underline{B}} - 2E^{\underline{M}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{A}^{\overline{A}}\partial_{M}K_{\underline{B}} - \sqrt{2}E^{\underline{B}}\underline{E}^{\underline{M}}}A_{\overline{A}}} \\ &\quad -\sqrt{2}E^{\underline{B}}E^{\underline{M}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{A}}^{\overline{B}}\partial_{M}K_{\underline{B}}) + K_{\underline{A}}(-2E^{\overline{A}}\overline{K}^{\overline{B}}E^{\underline{N}}\underline{B}}F_{\underline{B}}\overline{A}^{\overline{A}}\partial_{M}K_{\underline{A}} - \sqrt{2}E^{\underline{B}}E^{\underline{M}}}B_{\underline{B}}\overline{B}}_{\underline{A}}^{\overline{A}}\partial_{M}K_{\underline{A}} \\ &\quad -\sqrt{2}E^{\underline{B}}E^{\underline{M}}\underline{A}^{\overline{B}}\partial_{M}K_{\underline{B}}) + K_{\underline{A}}(-2E^{\overline{A}}\overline{K}^{\overline{B}}E^{\underline{A}}\underline{B}}\partial_{M}K_{\overline{B}} - \sqrt{2}E^{\underline{B}}\underline{E}^{\underline{M}}\underline{B}}B_{\underline{A}}^{\overline{A}}}\partial_{M}K_{\underline{B}} \\ &\quad -2E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{M}}\underline{A}E^{\underline{A}}\overline{B}}\partial_{M}K_{\overline{B}} - 2E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{M}}\underline{B}}B_{\underline{A}}\overline{B}}\partial_{M}K_{\overline{B}} + 2\sqrt{2}E^{\underline{M}}\underline{B}}E^{\underline{A}}\underline{B}}B^{\underline{A}}}B_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{A}} \\ &\quad -2E^{\underline{B}}E^{\underline{A}}\overline{A}}B^{\underline{A}}\partial_{M}K_{\overline{B}} - \sqrt{2}E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{A}}\overline{B}}B_{\underline{A}}\overline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{B}}} \\ &\quad -E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{A}}\underline{B}}E^{\underline{A}}\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{B}}} - 2\sqrt{2}E^{\underline{A}}\overline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{B}} - 2E^{\underline{B}}E^{\underline{A}}\overline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{B}} \\ &\quad -E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{A}}\underline{B}}B_{\underline{A}}\overline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{B}} \\ \\ &\quad -E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{A}}\underline{B}}E^{\underline{A}}\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{B}} - 2\sqrt{2}E^{\underline{A}}\overline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{B}}B_{\underline{A}}\overline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{B}}} \\ \\ &\quad -E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{A}}E^{\underline{A}}\underline{B}}B_{\underline{A}}\overline{B}}_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}K_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}}B_{\underline{A}}}B_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}}B_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}B_{\underline{A}}$$

References

 W. Siegel, "Two vierbein formalism for string inspired axionic gravity", Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 5453 [hep-th/9302036].

W. Siegel, "Superspace duality in low-energy superstrings", Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 2826 [hep-th/9305073].

W. Siegel, "Manifest duality in low-energy superstrings", In *Berkeley 1993, Proceedings, Strings '93* 353-363, and State U. New York Stony Brook - ITP-SB-93-050 (93,rec.Sep.) 11 p. (315661) [hep-th/9308133].

[2] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, "Double Field Theory", JHEP 0909 (2009) 099 [hep-th/0904.4664].

O. Hohm, C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, "Generalized metric formulation of Double Field Theory", JHEP **1008** (2010) 008 [hep-th/1006.4823].

I. Jeon, K. Lee and J. H. Park, "Stringy differential geometry, beyond Riemann", Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 044022 [hep-th/1105.6294].

I. Jeon, K. Lee and J. H. Park, "Differential geometry with a projection: Application to Double Field Theory", JHEP **1104** (2011) 014 [hep-th/1011.1324].

- [3] A. Sen, " $O(d) \times O(d)$ symmetry of the space of cosmological solutions in string theory, scale factor duality and two-dimensional black holes", Phys. Lett. B **271** (1991) 295.
- [4] G. Aldazabal, D. Marques and C. Nuñez, "Double Field Theory: A Pedagogical Review", Class. Quantum Grav. **30** (2013) 163001, [hep-th/1305.1907].
 O. Hohm, D. Lust and B. Zwiebach, "The Spacetime of Double Field Theory: Review, Remarks, and Outlook", Fortsch. Phys. **61** (2013) 926, [hep-th/1309.2977].
 D. S. Berman and D. C. Thompson, "Duality Symmetric String and M-Theory", Phys. Rept. **566** (2014) 1, [hep-th/1306.2643].
- [5] O. Hohm and S. K. Kwak, "Double Field Theory Formulation of Heterotic Strings", JHEP 1106 (2011) 096 [hep-th/1103.2136].
- [6] O. Hohm and S. K. Kwak, "Frame-like Geometry of Double Field Theory", J. Phys. A 44 (2011) 085404 [hep-th/1011.4101].
- [7] D. Geissbuhler, D. Marques, C. Nunez and V. Penas, "Exploring Double Field Theory", JHEP 1306 (2013) 101, [hep-th/1304.1472].
- [8] "T-duality and α '-corrections," JHEP **1510**, 084 (2015), [hep-th/1507.00652].
- [9] O. Bedoya, D. Marques, C. Nunez, "Heterotic α'-corrections in Double Field Theory", JHEP 12 (2014) 074 [hep-th/1407.0365]

A. Coimbra, R. Minasian, H. Triendl and D. Waldram, "Generalised geometry for string corrections", JHEP **1411** (2014) 160 [hep-th/1407.7542].

K. Lee, "Quadratic α '-corrections to heterotic double field theory", Nucl. Phys. B 899, 594 (2015), [hep-th/1504.00149].

W. H. Baron, J. J. Fernandez-Melgarejo, D. Marques and C. Nunez, "The Odd story of α'-corrections", JHEP 1704, 078 (2017), [hep-th/1702.05489].

- [10] K. Lee, "Kerr-Schild Double Field Theory and Classical Double Copy", JHEP 1810(2018) 027, [hep-th/1807.08443].
- [11] R. P. Kerr, "Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics", Phys. Rev. Lett.11 (1963) 237.
 R. P. Kerr and A. Schild, "A new class of vacuum solutions of the Einstein field equations", Proc. Symp. Appl. Math.17(1965), 199.
 G. C. Debney, R. P. Kerr and A. Schild, "Solutions of the Einstein and Einstein-Maxwell Equations", J. Math. Phys.10, 1842 (1969).
- [12] M. Gurses and F. Gursey, "Lorentz Covariant Treatment of the Kerr-Schild Geometry", J. Math. Phys. 16, 2385 (1975)

R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, "Black Holes In Higher Dimensional Space-Times", Annals Phys. 172, 304 (1986).

A. Anabalon, N. Deruelle, Y. Morisawa et al., "Kerr-Schild ansatz in Einstein-Gauss Bonnet gravity: An exact vacuum solution in five dimensions", Class. Quant. Grav.
26, 065002 (2009), [hep-th/0812.3194].

B. Ett and D. Kastor, "Kerr–Schild Ansatz in Lovelock Gravity", JHEP 1104 (2011)
109, [hep-th/1103.3182].

- [13] D. S. Berman, K. Kim, K. Lee, "The Classical Double Copy for M-theory from a Kerr-Schild Ansatz for Exceptional Field Theory", [hep-th/2010.08255].
- [14] E. Lescano and A. Rodríguez, "N = 1 Supersymmetric Double Field Theory and the generalized Kerr-Schild Ansatz", JHEP 10 (2020) 148, [hep-th/2002.07751].
- [15] R. Alawadhi, D. S. Berman, B. Spence, D. P. Veiga "S-duality and the double copy", [hep-th/1911.06797].

K. Kim, K. Lee, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, and D. P. Veiga, "The Classical Double Copy of a Point Charge", JHEP **02** (2020) 046, [hep-th/1912.02177].

[55] R. Alawadhi, D. S. Berman, and B. Spence, "Weyl doubling", [hep-th/2007.03264].
A. Banerjee, E. Colgain, J. Rosabal, H. Yavartanoo, "Ehlers as EM duality in the double copy", Phys.Rev.D 102 (2020) 126017, [hep-th/1912.02597].

S. Angus, K. Cho, K. Lee, "The Classical Double Copy for Half-Maximal Supergravities and T-duality", [hep-th/2105.12857]

- [16] W. Cho, K. Lee, "Heterotic Kerr-Schild Double Field Theory and Classical Double Copy", JHEP 07(2019) 030, [hep-th/1904.11650]
- [17] W. H. Baron, E. Lescano and D. Marques, "The generalized Bergshoeff-de Roo identification", JHEP 1811, 160 (2018), [hep-th/1810.01427].
- [18] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen and S. H. H. Tye, "A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings", Nucl. Phys. B 269 (1986).
- [19] Z. Bern, J. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, "New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes", Phys.Rev. D78 (2008) 085011, [hep-ph/0805.3993].
 Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, "Perturbative Quantum Gravity as a Double Copy of Gauge Theory", Phys.Rev.Lett. 105 (2010) 061602, [hep-th/1004.0476].
- [20] N. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, and P. Vanhove, "Minimal Basis for Gauge Theory Amplitudes", Phys.Rev.Lett. 103 (2009) 161602, [hep-th/0907.1425].
 S. Stieberger, "Open and Closed vs. Pure Open String Disk Amplitudes", [hepth/0907.2211].

Z. Bern, T. Dennen, Y.-t. Huang, and M. Kiermaier, "Gravity as the Square of Gauge Theory", Phys.Rev. D82 (2010) 065003, [hep-th/1004.0693].

N. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, R. Monteiro, and D. O'Connell, "Algebras for Amplitudes", JHEP 1206 (2012) 061, [hep-th/1203.0944].

[21] A. Momeni, J. Rumbutis, A. J. Tolley, "Massive Gravity from Double Copy", JHEP 12 (2020) 030, [hep-th/2004.07853]. A.Luna, S. Nagy, C. White, "The convolutional double copy: a case study with a point", JHEP 09 (2020) 062, [hep-th/2004.11254].

L. Borsten, S. Nagy, "The pure BRST Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian from the doublecopy to cubic order", JHEP 07 (2020) 093, [hep-th/2004.14945].

E. Casali, A. Puhm, "A Double Copy for Celestial Amplitudes", [hep-th/2007.15027].

D. A. Easson, C. Keeler, T. Manton, "Classical double copy of nonsingular black holes", Phys.Rev.D 102 (2020) 8, 086015, [gr-qc/2007.16186]

L. de la Cruz, B. Maybee, D. O'Connell, A. Ross, "Classical Yang-Mills observables from amplitudes", JHEP 12 (2020) 076, [hep-th/2009.03842].

H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar, R. Monteiro, D. P. Veiga, C.N. Pope, "The Weyl Double Copy for Gravitational Waves", [hep-th/2010.02925].

J. Carrasco, I. Vazquez-Holm, "Loop-Level Double-Copy for Massive Quantum Particles", [hep-th/2010.13435].

S. G. Prabhu, "The classical double copy in curved spacetimes: Perturbative Yang-Mills from the bi-adjoint scalar", [hep-th/2011.06588].

P. Ferrero, D. Francia, "On the Lagrangian formulation of the double copy to cubic order", [hep-th/2012.00713].

C. D. White, "A Twistorial Foundation for the Classical Double Copy", [hep-th/2012.02479].

R. Monteiro, D. O'Connell, D. P. Veiga, M. Sergola, "Classical Solutions and their Double Copy in Split Signature", [hep-th/2012.11190].

M. Gurses, Bayram Tekin, "Classical double copy: Kerr-Schild-Kundt metrics from Yang-Mills theory", Phys.Rev.D 98 (2018) 12, 126017, [gr-qc/1810.03411].

- [22] E. Bergshoeff and M. de Roo, "The quartic effective action of the heterotic string and supersymmetry", Nucl.Phys. B328 439 (1989).
- [23] W.A. Chemissany, M. de Roo, S. Panda, "α'-Corrections to Heterotic Superstring Effective Action Revisited", JHEP 08 037 (2007).

- [24] O. Hohm, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, "Heterotic Effective Action and Duality Symmetries Revisited", JHEP 1502 (2015) 079, [hep-th/1411.5696].
- [25] O. Hohm, W. Siegel and B. Zwiebach, "Doubled α'-geometry", JHEP 1402, 065 (2014), [hep-th/1306.2970].
 E. Lescano and D. Marques, "Second order higher-derivative corrections in Double Field Theory", JHEP 1706, 104 (2017), [hep-th/1611.05031].
- [26] J. Maharana and J. H. Schwarz, "Noncompact symmetries in string theory", Nucl. Phys. B 390 (1993) 3 [hep-th/9207016].
- [27] L. Garozzo, L. Queimada, O. Schlotterer, "Berends-Giele currents in Bern-Carrasco-Johansson gauge for F³- and F⁴-deformed Yang-Mills amplitudes", JHEP 02 078 (2019) [hep-th/1809.08103].